2

PUBLIC HEARING

SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

IN RE: AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2018.

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

HELD:

Thursday, September 28, 2017

LOCATION:

Council Chambers

Scranton City Hall

340 North Washington Avenue

Scranton, Pennsylvania

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY (Not Present) LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK JOSEPH COLBASSANI, SOLICITOR

MR. WECHSLER: I'd like to call this 1 2 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 6 MR. EVANS: Here. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Here. 12 MS. REED: The purpose of said 13 public hearing is to hear testimony and 14 discuss the follow: AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 15 OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS 16 17 TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 18 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 19 BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 20 21 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY 22 SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE 23 PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2018. 24 MR. WECHSLER: I'd like to thank 25 OECD members for attending this evenings

public hearing. Linda Aebli, Tom Preambo and Attorney Sean Gallagher. Mrs. Aebli will present OECD's 2018 Action Plan. Linda?

MS. AEBLI: Thank you. Thank, city council, for having us this evening. It's very difficult -- we received \$5.4 million in applications and we are only getting estimating -- I'm sorry, we are only estimating that we probably will get \$2.2 million so there is a lot of hard decisions to make and we had to look at what our high priority needs were in our five-year consolidated plan.

I provided city council with an explanation of why we funded certain items and, of course, the neighborhood police patrol is always a hot item. Everybody loves the neighborhood police patrol. The West Side West Scranton reconstruction of roads we are joining with the West Side Revitalization. They received \$2.5 million to redevelop a portion of west -- Main Avenue in West Side, so when that job is completed the city will go in, it's a

low-mod area and we will do the roads and the sidewalks. We felt that was a good project to do. Of course, our reconstruction of roads with handicap curb cuts is always popular.

The fire engine. We are been funding the fire engine for the last -- since 2015 and the Fire Department accidently put \$150,000 in and the last payment is only \$50,000 so that's why we just funded it for \$50,000.

The McLain Park, we funded that and that's also a high priority. In 2017 it was awarded \$150,000 and this year they requested 200, so with the 150 and another \$100,000 we thought we could do a good project for \$250,000. The Owner Occupied Housing Rehab Program, we usually fund this under the HOME program, however, what we are finding a lot of people need emergency housing, new furnaces, you know, new chimneys, like, emergency work to do right away, but under the HOME program you cannot do emergency work, you have to bring the whole home up to code, so that's why we

thought we would fund \$75,000 for the emergency housing.

Demolition we still have approximately \$80,000 from last year so we funded that for 25 so that would give them \$100,000.

The Arc is a new application, they
own a property and they want to make I
believe it's a handicapped porch. Wasn't it
a handicapped porch?

MR. PREAMBO: Yes.

MS. AEBLI: NEPA Shelters also is a new application, and we like to get new applications that we are not funding everybody the same years. They want to put ADA compliant on a property on Wyoming Avenue.

Center for Independent Living is also a new project that we fund and that's also a public service. We can't go over, and I believe I have it marked. No, I don't. I think \$350,000 for the public service cap. Any questions do you have?

MR. ROGAN: A few here. A few that we talked about already, Mr. Preambo and Ms.

23

24

25

Aebli, to start one that you mentioned is one the most popular items on this list it's the paving. Could you talk a little bit more about the paving. The paving list, how much has been done over the years?

MS. AEBLI: Tom could probably answer that better than me.

MR. PREAMBO: Sure. That's a very good question, Councilman Rogan. the City of Scranton and the OECD Office spends a substantial amount of it's money each year on reconstruction of roads which includes handicapped accessible curb cuts. I actually have the information, some of it's in my head so just bear with me if I have to look at my paper, but in the last seven years we have spent approximately \$7.6 million on road construction and that includes 415 city blocks and 137 new handicap ADA accessible curb cuts. The \$7.6 million does not include the administration and engineering services. That would also be an additional cost, okay?

MR. ROGAN: I think for all of us up here one of the biggest complaints we always

receive is the conditions of the road in the city and they have been improving so it's out there so the public knows how much has been spent for block grant money in low to moderate income areas on these programs.

Secondly is the \$261,000 for four police officers, and I know the answer to the question but just so the public knows as well, because we do get questions on why these officers can only go on certain areas the city. So could you address that more for the public than for the council?

MS. AEBLI: Yeah, the national objective they only can go into low and mod income areas with a census track of 51 percent low-mod so, I mean, that's how it's eligible.

MR. ROGAN: Two more questions then I'm done. I lost my place here, I apologize. And, again, this one we have spoken about this, but again just for the public I already have some individuals that mentioned this to us, Post 25 VFW there was an application put in for Phase II of a memorial park and it wasn't funded. Can you

explain, again, for the public why that wasn't.

MS. AEBLI: Yeah, this was the most difficult and agonizing one that we had. We looked at it very closely and the number one reason, as you know every five years we do our five-year consolidated plan and we have to report to HUD what activities we are going to do for the next five years. This activity, and I don't mean the exact activity, like, the overall. Like, we include paving, homeless, you know, items like that, but a memorial park is not included in our consolidated plan, so it would not be eligible.

MR. ROGAN: So if council wanted to fund this project in the future we first have to go out to the consolidated plan --

MS. AEBLI: Correct, and then HUD would have to approve it. However, you have to be careful of monument parks because monuments are statutes, monuments they are only eligible as an ornamental, like, just a piece of the project not the whole think.

MR. ROGAN: So we can't use -- and,

again, this is little off topic, but myself and Councilman Wechsler were speaking about during the week we couldn't use these funds for the Duffy monument as well when the Duffy park reopens.

MS. AEBLI: I don't know if that's low income.

MR. ROGAN: Again, yeah, same thing.

MS. AEBLI: I'd have to see the -no, just to do that, no.

MR. ROGAN: Right. And then the last question, I do see that there is still \$80,000 left in demolition of hazardous structures, is there anything that needs to be done on council's end to get that money moving because there is certainly not a lack of properties that need to be demolished in the city.

MR. PREAMBO: Councilman Rogan, I don't mean to interrupt you, for reference point the \$80,000 is from last year 2016's allocation, 2017 is for \$200,000 and it hasn't arrived yet.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I would like to

touch base on that, the fact that we went through this last year and we have not received any money if you could just go that little bit.

MS. AEBLI: Yes, I went to the HUD's annual meeting last week in Philadelphia and that was the hot topic, where is our money? And it's just not the City of Scranton and HUD has not received it yet. Congress has not appropriated the money. They told us what we are going to get, but they have not signed off on it and we have not received even our contracts yet.

MR. WECHSLER: So everything that was approved last year nothing has happened with that because of money?

MS. AEBLI: Yeah, and it sort of feels silly here I'm going forward with the 2018 and we didn't get the 2017 yet. And the one other question that was asked when I was at HUD, you have heard me talk about our timeliness, November 2 is our timeliness deadline, if we get our allocation say the third week of October we won't be timely, so I can't see us getting it before November 2.

I could be wrong, but --1 2 MR. WECHSLER: So the biggest impact 3 on that right now is the paving that was --MS. AEBLI: The paving right. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: -- unlikely to occur. MS. AEBLI: We bid it out, the 6 7 contracts were ready, but we cannot move 8 forward until we get the money. 9 MR. WECHSLER: And the holdup is in 10 Congress, is that where the hold up is? 11 MS. AEBLI: And many of the cities 12 reach out to their congressmen and senators 13 and they just haven't signed off on it yet. 14 MR. ROGAN: And that's something I am going to mention in the regular meeting 15 16 that we send a letter to Senator Casey, 17 Senator Toomey and Congressman Cartright, 18 and for the public watching it's important 19 to contact your congressmen and senators and 20 urge them to continue to support CDBG 21 funding. 22 MS. AEBLI: Right. 23 MR. ROGAN: Because, as you can see, 24 this is money that really goes right back 25 into the neighborhoods to help the

residents, whether it's police patrols, paying, a number of these organizations, so it's very important, it's something that the city really depends on. Thank you.

MR. PREAMBO: Excuse me one second, just a correction to what I said, it's not \$200,000. We submitted \$200,000 and council adjusted it to 150,000, so there is 150 for the 2017. I just remembered that as we were talking.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have a few questions, thank you. First question is about the Scranton Veteran's Memorial Park that you just mentioned we won't be able to fund. Did anyone from OECD have any conversations or consult with anyone from the VFW prior to them submitting their applications.

MS. AEBLI: Yes. I talked to Paul Ahern, he came into my office and he said \$289,000. Well, we only get \$2.2 million. I told him most likely he would not get that amount of money. I also asked him how much the school district, because it is on school district land, they did not submit an

agreement between the school district and them in their application. They were not -- the school district was not going to put any one dollar.

MR. GAUGHAN: Were they made aware that their activity wasn't going to be consistent with the five-year plan?

MS. AEBLI: No, not at the time because I didn't know until they got their application. I did tell them to submit it and we would have to look at the whole picture.

MR. GAUGHAN: The demolition application I was just reviewing it earlier, is there a list of potential properties that are supposed to be demolished because I didn't see it in the application?

MS. AEBLI: They are not in t he application. We just -- when they submit the application -- when Licensing and Permits submits the application they just submit for the funding.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay.

MS. AEBLI: And the Licensing and Permits have to do their -- all of their

legal on each property to see if they are 1 eligible. 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Right. What I'm --MS. AEBLI: They may have a 4 5 potential list, but I do not have that. MR. GAUGHAN: 6 Okay, what I was 7 trying to understand is if they submit the application, and they are asking for \$25,000 8 9 how do you know what to fund them for 10 exactly if you don't have a list of 11 properties and any like backup 12 documentation? 13 MS. AEBLI: We usually fund maybe --14 we usually bid out ten properties at a time and then they bid on each individual 15 16 property and then if we don't have enough 17 money we knock the amount -- you know, 18 certain properties off. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay, so the \$25,000 20 they are asking for that's just based on a 21 list that they have or proposed list but they don't include it in the application? 22 23 MS. AEBLI: Go ahead. Tom. 24 MR. PREAMBO: Just as an accurate 25 reference, they asked for \$800,000, Linda

allocated \$25,000 extra --

MR. GAUGHAN: Right.

MR. PREAMBO: -- because there is \$150,000 that's left, but, yes, to answer your question we don't know the properties, they don't provide that.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. The Rental Rehab Program that you have funded here for \$97,500 can you just explain the basis for creating it, because this is a new program apparently, can you explain the basis for creating that program and what data did you use to determine the need for that specific amount of funding?

MS. AEBLI: In 2017 -- did we fund it in 2017? No, '16. We have \$50,000 from a previous year and we are still looking for a good landlord to be our pilot program, and the rental rehab program was very popular back in the 90's when I first started with OECD and then they discontinued it, HUD discontinued the funding, but I thought it was a very good program because the landlords are putting some of their money into it. We do up to four units right now

until we get it all started. We will match the property owners \$10,0000, ten and ten in each unit. If there is lead base paint in there we will provide them another \$2,500. They have to rent it to income eligible people under the 60 percent median income and also there is rent controls. We felt -- I felt it was a good program because I feel like there is lot of rental properties that have lead base paint, number one, and they need fixing up just like the single family homes.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. If someone participates in this program and the project is complete and then later the owner of the property converts it to a single residential use, how is that monitored by your staff and what effect does that have with the program funds?

MS. AEBLI: We have to -- well, number one, there will be a lean on the property. It will either be probably a ten or 15 year lean. We have to monitor every one or two years who -- all of the tenant's incomes, so we monitor the whole project for

the life of the lien. 1 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay, so they will 2 3 have to submit documentation every so often? MS. AEBLI: Um-hum. It's just like 4 5 when we did Skyview apartments. I still have to get the annual housing reports from 6 them and all of their incomes. 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: So the tenant has to 9 be low to moderate income. 10 MS. AEBLI: At 60 percent; correct. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. If a tenant 12 moves, who is going to be -- who is going to 13 check to see if the new tenant coming is a 14 low to moderate income? MS. AEBLI: We monitor it. That 15 16 will be in our agreement. 17 MR. GAUGHAN: So will have to submit 18 something? MS. AEBLI: Um-hum. 19 20 MR. GAUGHAN: And one last question, 21 the Center for Independent Living is getting 22 In the description it says that \$5.000. 23 OECD must be very cautious with his activity 24 that we are only serving Scranton residents. 25 Can you explain this and how do you monitor

1 that? 2 MS. AEBLI: Do you want to answer 3 that one? 4 MR. PREAMBO: You might want to. 5 MS. AEBLI: We funded the Friendship House and we learned from there a lot of 6 7 families are from outside of the area, so we 8 only can fund, even though they are in this 9 program and the building is located in Scranton, we only could fund the portion 10 11 that are Scranton residents. Does that make 12 sense to you? 13 MR. GAUGHAN: That makes sense. I'm 14 just curious how you do that? MS. AEBLI: Well, we are going to 15 16 have to work with them very carefully. 17 didn't get to that point yet. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: All right. That's all 19 I have. Thank you very much. 20 MR. EVANS: A couple of questions. 21 The First Time Homebuyers Program is funded 22 at \$75,000 this year. Is there carry over 23 from last year as far as money that was 24 unspent? 25 MS. AEBLI: I'm sorry, what?

MR. EVANS: Was there a carryover from last year, any money that was unspent?

MS. AEBLI: I don't even know the number off the top of my head.

MR. EVANS: Do you know how many applications there were last year, I should say 2016 and 2017 year-to-date.

MS. AEBLI: I can get that information for you.

MR. EVANS: Can you explain a little bit of the rehab program for the homeowners?

MS. AEBLI: For the HOME program.

MR. EVANS: The Homeowner Housing Rehab Program.

MS. AEBLI: Yes, single family only under the HOME program. They have to be under the 80 percent median income, their taxes have to be paid, their garbage, all of their utilities. Then we go in and the one thing we are finding very hard under the HOME program, and this is one of the reasons I created the rental rehab is it has to be brought up to code, and these homes I feel we're putting too much money into. \$70,000, but we have to -- but how do you turn them

away? We have to bring the house up to code or it's not eligible for HOME funding. So we are finding it very difficult that program.

MR. EVANS: So somebody is eligible if they are up to 80 of the median income, why is only 50 percent in the rental rehab then? Is there a reason for that?

MS. AEBLI: The rentals are different. Rental rehab is different.

MR. EVANS: I know it's a different program, but is that a HUD initiative --

MS. AEBLI: Yes.

MR. EVANS: -- that says 80 percent?

It's not policy of the office?

MS. AEBLI: No, that's a HUD reg.

MR. EVANS: One quick question on -there was a proposed for half a million
dollars for the Economic Development
Activities, what was funded was \$75,000, is
there a rationale why that was reduced to
that amount because had I think is the only
location -- the only application is to hire
a program that addresses economic
development.

1	MS. AEBLI: Probably the main reason
2	is because when you you get \$5.5 million
3	we have to reduce it.
4	MR. EVANS: I understand that but, I
5	mean, we have \$2.2 million and we are only
6	allocating \$75,000 for economic development,
7	I think that's certainly on the low end.
8	MR. PREAMBO: Are you ready for a
9	zinger?
10	MR. EVANS: Yeah, give it to me.
11	MR. PREAMBO: I actually have we
12	actually have a little over \$1 million
13	available.
14	MR. EVANS: Seriously?
15	MR. PREAMBO: Yes.
16	MR. EVANS: Okay. Good to know.
17	MR. PREAMBO: \$300,000 is only a 4
18	percent loan.
19	MR. EVANS: All right.
20	MR. PREAMBO: The balance could be a
21	loan to grant through our Business and
22	Industry Loan and Grant Program, so that was
23	the rationale to answer your question,
24	Councilman Evans.
25	MR. EVANS: Which is certainly

justified and it's a great program so I
think we need to do a better job, all of us,
to beat the bushes and get people applying
for the grant to loan program -- the loan to
grant program.

MR. PREAMBO: We have quite a bit of interest. We have quite a bit in the boiler room right now that we are working on. Over the past couple of years, we have actually done quite a substantial amount of money. We have actually spent in the last three years \$514,000s in a loan to grant program and that was to assist five local businesses in the city, two of which -- actually three of which are new businesses to Scranton that came from a existing location outside of Scranton and they came into Scranton, and one of the biggest attractions was the loan to grant program.

MR. EVANS: Exactly.

MR. PREAMBO: So there is quite of bit of success. It was \$514,000 and that secured by commitment 18 full-time equivalent jobs plus there was a fallout to that, we actually got a few more.

MR. EVANS: All right. Very good.

That's all I have for now.

MR. GAUGHAN: I just have one additional question, and I think you did address this last year, but I just want to revisit this for a moment, you're scoring these applications, so you have a process in place where you go through and you score each one of them according to some criteria, so the Licensing and Inspections Department submits the application for demolition of the hazardous structures. It says that the OECD score is 70 percent. Why is that?

MS. AEBLI: Well, we funded it, number one, because it's a high priority.

Some of the applications aren't filled out like we would like them.

MR. GAUGHAN: I have to be honest with you, I have this application form in front of me right now and I don't understand, there is no backup to it. I mean, it's -- I don't know, I don't get it and it's a city department so how can they fill the application out and get 100 percent on it. That's what I don't get.

1 MS. AEBLI: I didn't get them 100 2 percent. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: No, I know. 4 MS. AEBLI; that's why they didn't get 100 percent. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: 6 Right. MS. AEBLI: But it is a high 7 8 priority need. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Well, what I would 10 like to see personally is when they are 11 going to submit an application it says, 12 "Location of proposed project? Throughout 13 the city." 14 Well, they have to be a little more specific, I think, with what properties are 15 16 going to be demolished and what -- and they 17 intend to this money to demolish properties 18 and you said they have a proposed list. 19 MS. AEBLI: But legally they don't 20 know which ones they are -- that are going 21 to be ready to go. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Right. 23 MS. AEBLI: Because we do title 24 searches. We have to contact all of the 25 property owners. After we get the title

searches we have to contact -- write letters to everyone that has a lien on the property, so at the time of the application we don't have all of that.

MR. GAUGHAN: All right. Thank you.

MS. AEBLI: So that's why you are just funding the funds for now and then we do all of the legal after.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay, thank you.

MS. AEBLI: The one thing I want to go back to is the economic development, as Tom said we have over a million dollars. We met with the Economic Development Administration about a month ago?

MR. PREAMBO: Yes.

MS. AEBLI: And their program, and their program and we asked them -- I asked them about six months ago if we could turn it into a loan to grant program and they said no, we have to keep it at 4 percent interest. What would you do, go with the loan to grant program or the 4 percent? So what we are doing is, I am in the process I did it yesterday, I'm writing to all of the banks and the ones that have like they could

	_·
1	get the loan at the bank but they might have
2	just a little squeak there, like, more
3	something wrong with their credit or
4	anything, EDA said they are the ones that
5	they like to fund, more a high risk. So
6	that's why we are looking at that. That's
7	why we have I think \$300,000 in that.
8	MR. EVANS: Is HUD saying that on a
9	going forward basis?
10	MS. AEBLI: That's EDA.
11	MS. EVANS: It's what?
12	MS. AEBLI: It's Economic
13	Development Administration.
14	MR. EVANS: Okay. Now, the money
15	that you mentioned before, the million
16	dollars plus
17	MS. AEBLI: That's HUD.
18	MR. EVANS: That could still be used
19	for the loan to grant; right?
20	MS. PREAMBO: The \$300,000 EDA funds
21	is included in that.
22	MR. EVANS: Included in that.
23	MS. AEBLI: Right.
24	MR. WECHSLER: We do have a few
25	people who signed in. If you are not signed

in you can still speak once we completed the sign-in list, so I'll just go through this as they signed in. First name on here is Patrick Ahern.

MR. AHERN: Good evening, city council, and ladies and gentlemen, good evening. My name is Patrick Ahern, I'm a volunteer with the VFW Post 25. I'm here in support of their application. This a Dave Roman, a member of Post 25, a volunteer on our Veteran's Committee, also. I have some handouts for city council, if I may? I have a few talking points, if I may.

Two years ago myself and other veterans got together because we noticed that there are actually hundreds of Scranton veterans who died in a war, died in defense of freedom who have never been named on a local monument. It's hard to believe really, but I'll repeat that. Hundreds of Scranton veterans who died in defense of freedom, okay, and have never been named on a local monument. We are going to make that right. We going to make it right with or without council's help. Now, of course, we

are here to ask for your help. We are not going to quit on this. There is no way possible us veterans are going to quit on this project, okay?

Now, we have a beautiful site, the site that was given to us by the school district is perfect. We love it. It's right in the middle of low moderate income areas. There is all kinds of young people, old people, everybody, okay, walking around that area, not just from Scranton but from outside of the city because of the football stadium and the trail, the running trail and the riverfront and so forth, so it's a perfect spot. They gave us a great arrangement. I really have to thank the school district and it's all in writing, it's good forever.

Now, it's such a good spot because really this is an educational park. That's what this park ultimately is going to be all about, educating our young people, our people from Scranton and outside of the area on what these men and women who are going to be named on the monument, there will be over

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

900 names. As I said, around 400 have never been named, but there will be 900 total because there are other beautiful monuments in the city that have some of the veteran's name on them.

Now, the site, I can't say enough about that. Phase I, if you look at the site map there, it's color coded, you see Phase I, that's already funded. We received a \$100,000 through the LSA program. Senator John Blake was very helpful in getting that grant and we thank him for that. Phase I. as we already had the survey and it's right now it's with the architect who did this here drawing for us and they are working on the specs to put out for bid in January so Phase I will be completed in the spring, there is no question about that. As you can see, that's color coded and it's really nice. We have a walkway coming in from the stadium gate there. We are going to call that Veteran's Way. We feel that's an appropriate name for that walkway.

Now, if you look at the orange-colored markings here that's --

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Ahern, we have a time limit so I'll give you another couple of minutes, but we have other people and we have to hurry along.

MR. AHERN: Okay, I'll move it along.

MR. WECHSLER: And we have to done for our meeting at 6:30.

MR. AHERN: I'm sorry, I didn't realize that. I don't have that much more to go, but I think that what I have is important, if I may. The orange markings on here are Phase II. That's what we are asking specifically for the city to fund. Now, we don't see everything on here because there is also included in the city funding would be a request for lighting, security, and the actual design costs, okay? So there is few other things that are not on there in the orange that were asking for the city's help on.

Now, as you can see, this is a big project for a group of veterans to raise funds on our own with fundraising. We need the city's help and the step we are asking

21

22

23

24

25

you to help us with, Phase II, that's a big step. That will really interject some, you know -- a good positive momentum for this project. We are going to complete it, we can't not do this. It's too important and we feel that the -- the only other thing I can say about the city is these men and women were from the city. They were residents. They didn't actually die for the City of Scranton they died for America, but I think it's our responsibility, most of them are from World War II, there is no question about it. Most of these names that have never been named before are going to be from World War II and that has the most names in total, and we just think it's time that we as a city do something that could recognize that, okay? I thank you for your time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Ms. Aebli, I have a quick question for you on this project, would there be any way that we could -- the city could seek a special exception from HUD to alter the consolidated plan to maybe include something like this?

Would we be able to request that from HUD?

MS. AEBLI: Well, we can --

MR. GAUGHAN: And I ask that question because I agree with what Mr. Ahern said that this -- I think this is a great project, number one. And, number two, from an educational perspective the fact that these students can see and understand the sacrifice of these men and women I think is particularly important.

MS. AEBLI: And I agree with you. I don't want you to think I don't like this project, but, yes, you can change a consolidated plan, it's a lot of work on our part. However, we still have a concern at that there is caps on the monumental part. It's all monuments.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can we get a specific answer from HUD if it would be possible? I know I think the next time this has to come up is in November so we have little bit of time to check into that at least.

MS. AEBLI: I could ask. I don't know if I'll have an answer for you by November.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: I would appreciate that because if there is any way that we could at least fund this if not in whole at least for a portion of it like Mr. Ahern said to, you know, put some activity into it and get some --

MR. AHERN: Well, the only thing I can say to add to that is in the beginning when we first decided that we would seek grants for this we did read as much as we could and research and, you know, it was our feeling that it's not just a monument, although, the monument is the center piece, of course, but it's an educational park. There is a lot to this with the American flag station and all of the other flags going up, we feel that this should qualify. I mean, I just think we have a case here that being in low-mod area and you could see from the monument that it's going to be an educational park I'm just hoping that that's not there is not a technicality that's going to stop us from --

MR. GAUGHAN: No, I agree. I think it's important, if we could, Ms. Aebli, if

it's possible at least get a definite answer from HUD to see if there is an ability to get a special exception to the consolidated plan and then if that would be able to be funded.

MS. AEBLI: And just think about this now, and when you came to my office I told you, you wanted \$295,000 and my first reaction was wow, you probably would not get that amount of money, but if city council wanted to fund 295 you have to think who are you going to take away from here. You remember me telling you that?

MR. AHERN: Yeah. No, I understand there are other projects, you know.

MS. AEBLI: And say we funded it, I'm just using a number \$75,000, and you need \$200,000 more, what timeframe, we have a timeliness thing we have to deal with HUD, how long would it take for you to get \$220,000 more to complete the project?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ AHERN: I don't understand the question.

MS. AEBLI: If we only funded the project say for \$75,000 and you are asking

1 for 295, that's a \$220,000 gap, where would you get the other additional money? 2 3 MR. AHERN: Well, the way --MS. AEBLI: -- not holding our money 4 5 up? MR. AHERN: The way we would 6 7 approach that is if city council were to 8 give us "X" amount of money we would spend 9 that money on something. That phase doesn't have to be done all in one. 10 MS. AEBLI: We have to --11 12 MR. AHERN: The American flag 13 station just by itself maybe \$40,000, 14 benches are, you know, so much for a bench, so that the park itself does not have to be 15 16 done Phase I, Phase II-17 MS. AEBLI: We have to -- if we 18 funded Phase II we have to see the whole 19 project in budget. You just can't just --20 MR. AHERN: Well, I think we can try 21 to -- the whole project is right on here you 22 know --23 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Ahern. 24 unfortunately --25 But if you only gave us MR. AHERN:

a certain amount of money and told us we had to spend that in a certain amount of time then we could do that.

MS. AEBLI: We have to see the whole project.

MR. ROGAN: I think we are getting ahead of ourselves.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, right now Ms.

Aebli is going to check into what

possibilities are from some adjustments to

the federal guidelines. We are not going to

accomplish that this evening, and I hate to

rush you along but we do have other

applications that we have to hear from,

also.

MR. ROGAN: One comment before you leave, and I agree completely with Councilman Gaughan's suggestion and your suggestion, if HUD will grant that waiver, you know, I think all of us up here would like to find money and I think there is some we can find for this project, but as was mentioned step one is see if we can get the waiver from HUD.

I completely support the idea of

sending that letter to see is there is something we could do to get an exception. So once that goes out and this isn't going to be finalized tonight, there is a comment period, so this will be tabled tonight, there will be a comment period and, you know, it takes -- we all know how the federal government runs, if it takes longer to get a response I am okay with waiting until we get that response to make a final decision on our allocations so you have a commitment from us.

MR. AHERN: Well, we'll cooperate with whatever way we can, of course. You know, so I thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Peg Ruddy.

MS. RUDDY: Good evening. My name is Peg Ruddy, I'm the executive director of the Women's Resource Center here in Scranton. The Women's Resource Center is celebrating our 40th year of service to Scranton and all of Lackawanna County this November and to date we have served 59,036 women and children and men, the majority of

2

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those Scranton residents over the past 40 years in our program. I consider us to be part of a public safety movement.

I'm here tonight to advocate on behalf of all of the survivors of domestic violence and sexual assault in Scranton. have actually two applications in to OECD. One is a request for \$46,000 to fund our Safe Housing Program to provide rapid rehousing and homeless prevention services for victims of domestic violence. And just to highlight the need last year in all three of those programs the Women's Resource Center provided 11,000 nights. The majority of those 76 percent of those were in Scranton. So I'm hoping that we can continue that good work and that we are successful at receiving \$46,000 -- actually, \$46,018 for those housing programs.

In addition, Women's Resource Center is requesting an additional \$10,000 through the Community Development Block Grant funding and when I hear some of the larger figures I'm just hoping there is way that a couple of those projects might be able to

shave a couple thousand dollars here and there to provide 11,000 nights of safe house, you know, really stretches us and so we are really looking to diversify our funding, get more funding in so that we can really keep our fellow Scranton residents safe. Pick up the paper any morning in Scranton and you will read about a woman or a child being battered. We are the only game in town. We are the only service provider that can provide this service, so I respectfully request that both of our applications are funded. Thank you. Yes?

MR. GAUGHAN: I just have one quick question, you said 11,000 --

MS. RUDDY: Nights.

MR. GAUGHAN: -- nights. Do you have an idea of how many victims you serve?

MS. RUDDY: Yes, we just did our annual report and last year it was 1,968 victims, and again, a majority of those -- we have to collect zip codes as part of our reporting for all of our funders and most of our funders, and so we are able to track where they are coming from and the majority

of our clients are from Scranton.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much.

MR. WECHSLER: Is there anyone else?

MS. GRAY: Good evening. My name is Maureen Gray. I'm a resident of the city of Scranton. I'm also the executive director of the new NEPA youth shelter. We were just established last summer. The mission of our shelter is to provide quality emergency shelter and related services to unaccompanied youth ages 14 through high school graduation. Our shelter will provide essentials such as food, clothing, bathrooms as well as services such as educational support, counseling, family reunification and/or preparation for independent living.

With a focus on social justice and advocacy, the shelter will be welcoming to youth from all backgrounds and especially affirming to lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer youth.

Here is some startling national statistics about homeless youth. There are over 1.3 million youth in our country who are considered homeless without adult

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supervision. They are at higher risk for physical abuse, mental health problems, substance abuse, assault, illness, and sexual exploitation or suicide. 75 percent of runaways our female. Approximately 30 percent of runaways identifies as LGBT. 75 percent of all homeless youth are at risk for dropping out of school, and I find those statistics alarming.

In the Scranton area at the end of 2016 there were 32 identified homeless students in 9 through 12. People connected to the youth population in our city estimate that there are probably about the same number of additional homeless students who are living in the shadows. Our organization is seeking to do something constructive to address this problem. We realize our mission is a huge undertaking, especially without concrete data on these teens living in the shadows. We decided to start an after school teen drop in center. The center will be open to all teens in our area and we expect that when homeless students who are not in the foster care program learn

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about us they will come to our center and use the amenities we are providing.

We were able to secure a location
just four blocks from Scranton High School
at 541 Wyoming Avenue and we will be
welcoming all teens to our center but we are
going to keep a close eye out for teens who
may have housing issues.

While we are refurbishing over 6,000 square feet of space into a teen center, we have one glaring problem. One of the bathrooms is a holdover from a Head Start program and it was built for toddlers. The toilets are on platforms and, frankly, couldn't accommodate a teenager or a person in a wheelchair. We are seeking funds through the Community Development Block Grant Program to completely gut this bathroom and replace it with an ADA compliant bathroom with a full shower, an extra powder room and laundry facilities. The estimate to get the existing bathroom completely replumbed, two toilet and two sinks and a shower and replumbing for laundry facilities, updating the electrical

needs and add a driver vent duct, paint and tile the whole space will cost approximately \$29,000. I think the money is worth it when we will be serving a diverse and nearly invisible population.

I would like to invite you also to an open house we are having this Friday evening, tomorrow night, from 5 to 8 p.m. so you can get a preview of our location and see we are going to be offering. I hope you see the value and what we are going to accomplish and I hope you will vote for our CDBG application. Thank you. May I give you our brochure just so you have some more information?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. Is there anyone else?

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton. Before you leave, I'm curious as
to how your new organization interfaces or
duplicates services from the county level?
I believe the county has Youth and Family
Services and perhaps you could explain?

MS. GRAY: Sure. We are not providing overnight shelter yet, what we are

2

4

6

5

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

providing is an after school program.

Children and Youth Services does not have an after care program.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan, this is really your comment period. I was just curious since she was up here. I just want to say of all of programs listed, especially under Community Development Block Grant Program how impressed I am with the neighborhood police patrol. I was robbed in 2015, so I don't have particularly a lot of sympathy with the criminal element. That being said, I think the best way to approach crime in the City of Scranton is whatever we can do to prevent it because once the crime is committed you have a victim with all of those costs that are entailed, we have police costs with chasing the culprit and affiant and all of that good garbage, and you got court costs and you got prison So I see the neighborhood police costs. patrol as a great return on investment for any money that we invest into it because I think you get a big bang for your buck, as you do on all of these programs, but the

police have been doing a very good effort on this and I think the spin off on this is the positive publicity and relationships that are built between the Police Department and the community and this element of trust that goes down to the youngest children all the way up to the elderly. So I just want to put my two cents in and say I would like to say I would like to see this program continue and if you ever get more money expand it. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else? If not, this will concluded this public hearing.

(Public hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. .

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER