	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	
4	IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 107, 2015 AS AMENDED.
5	AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993 AS AMENDED
6	ENTITLED CITY OF SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993 BY
7	ADDING TO SECTION 807, TEMPORARY STRUCTURE USE, AND
8	DEFINING AND REGULATING THE SIZE, OPERATION AND USE OF
9	PORTABLE ON DEMAND TEMPORARY STORAGE CONTAINERS AS
10	DEFINED HEREIN AND AUTHORIZING THE ENFORCEMENT AND
11	IMPOSITION OF PENALTIES AND FEES FOR VIOLATIONS WITHIN
12	THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
13	
14	HELD:
15	Thursday, September 24, 2015
16	
17	LOCATION:
18	Council Chambers
19	Scranton City Hall
20	340 North Washington Avenue
21	Scranton, Pennsylvania
22	
23	
24	
25	ELIZABETH V. KEDRICK, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

	2
1	MR. ROGAN: I'd call this hearing to
2	order. Roll call, please?
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler?
4	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan?
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans?
8	MR. EVANS: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff?
12	MR. ROGAN: The purpose of said
13	public hearing is to hear testimony and
14	discuss the following: FILE OF THE COUNCIL
15	NO. 107, 2015 AS AMENDED. AMENDING FILE OF
16	THE COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993 AS AMENDED ENTITLED
17	CITY OF SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993 BY
18	ADDING TO SECTION 807, TEMPORARY STRUCTURE
19	USE, AND DEFINING AND REGULATING THE SIZE,
20	OPERATION AND USE OF PORTABLE ON DEMAND
21	TEMPORARY STORAGE CONTAINERS AS DEFINED
22	HEREIN AND AUTHORIZING THE ENFORCEMENT AND
23	IMPOSITION OF PENALTIES AND FEES FOR
24	VIOLATIONS WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. Our
25	first speaker is Bob Bolus.

5
MR. BOLUS: Evening Council, Bob
Bolus, Scranton. As we know from the past,
there's been many, many issues regarding
containers. I've had them on my property in
East Mountain. I've had them there for
about severalabout three or four years.
There's a definition that determines what a
container is. That's a unit without wheels.
It can't be pulled by a tractor, nor could
it be used to haul freight. That's what a
container is by classification by the city's
own ordinance, which we fully comply with.
We had an issue. We were before Judge
Corbett, the Magistrate. We complied with
her order. We removed one within two
months. And within the five month period,
we removed the other two that were there.
We later brought in containers without
landing gears which you put down if want to
raise or lower the elevation of the trailer.
Or in a container, to keep water and stuff
running out of it. There were also
insulated containers that on site carry a
box that you put propane tanks in so you
could heat them and keep stuff from

freezing. They were insulated units. We replaced them, put them back. Judge Corbett decided about a month later that we didn't comply with her order because we took them off the property and then they returned. Well, once they left the property, we were in compliance with the court order. If they had an issue, they should have filed it. But to make the story short, we appealed her decision and it's before the Court of the Common Pleas right now. I would ask tonight Council--and what I have here for Council's benefit, I've been in the business my whole life. We have roughly around 550 containers, trailers that used to be trailers that are now containers. And they're all over the place. And we have C containers, these people are familiar with. We have them all. So we've been in the trailer and storage container business for probably the last 25 years. And what I'm going to give Council--and it's for your own edification, to better understand the industry. Is this is one photograph showing containers that are stacked probably, let's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see, one, two, three--seven high, one on top of the other. They're storage containers. And the other photograph I have is similar to what we have on the property, is a 45 foot trailer that could be used as a trailer. Or it could be used for storage. And once the landing gears and the trailer suspension is removed, it becomes a storage container because it can no longer by definition of the ordinance because used to haul freight or be able to be pulled by a truck tractor. The next photograph I'm going to show you is a container lying flat on the ground without anything supporting it one way or the other. The next photograph I will give you is a container that was taken off, the wheels were removed and there's a young lady opening the back door on it. And the last photograph I'm going to show you is another van with a roll up door that's used for storage. They come in all sizes. They come from 20 foot all the way up to literally 53 foot, if that's what the customer requires. I would ask tonight, because I don't want to see people spending

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more money. It's cost us a few thousand dollars already to go in with the litigation. And the reason I continue with the litigation is it's important because it becomes discriminatory not only about what we're doing, but to people who have had containers on their property for the last 25, 30 years. So you become discriminatory saying you can't have it in a residential But yet, commercial people could have area. them in a residential area, which is the case if you go on Market Street when they were working on Harrison Avenue. Containers are part of the main stay. These pictures I showed you are from companies that are national, same as we are. And if you call up and said, I want 50 containers in Texas, we'll send you 50 containers to Texas. So they're an intricate part. You go down by the VA, they have containers. If you go to CVS on Moosic Street, there's containers parked there. So you could move all over the place and look at them. With the pending litigation, my suggestion would be that when this comes up, to table it pending

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the outcome of the litigation. And then, maybe we'll have a better definition of what 2 3 you can and cannot use. We've had discussions about containers. As far as 4 5 putting them on the street, I'm adamantly opposed to doing that. They're dangerous. 6 7 They're no more than roll off containers 8 hauling garbage. So, but they should be--if 9 they're using containers, they should be off 10 the street and shouldn't be creating a 11 hazard for anyone. Our containers are over 500 feet off any roadway. 12 MR. ROGAN: 13 Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 14 This legislation will not be in for a vote for tonight. 15 16 MR. BOLUS: I can give you these to 17 make them part of the record. Want me to 18 give them to you? I'll let you guys take a 19 look at them and then you could put them 20 Make them a part of the record, there. 21 please. Thank you. 22 MR. ROGAN: Next speaker is David 23 Lewis. 24 MR. LEWIS: Good evening, Ladies and 25 Gentlemen, David Lewis, President and

General Manager of L.A. Lewis Moving and Storage. I'm also a resident of Scranton all of my life, 62 years. I have some handouts here. Before I begin, I'd like to pass it along, if it's okay? I'm very sorry of the fact that with this ordinance on the table this evening, I really feel as though it should have been a little better advertised. I sort of caught this by accident in the morning paper. This ordinance is so full of holes that, you know, I feel as though legally you have so many lawsuits that would face this city. And I think this city is in enough trouble right now that they don't need to proceed any further with this ordinance. We need to take and rewrite this whole thing. Because, like I said, it's so full of holes that you're leaving yourself wide open for lawsuits. If you go to Section 1, "It shall be unlawful to convert, alter, change automobiles, trailers, tractor trailers, trailer of a tractor or any similar unit of this nature in the temporary portable storage." This is an industry that has been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in existence for, I'm going to say, 30 to 40 years. C containers, which is part of a tractor trailer as Mr. Bolus presented--and, you know, with the hand outs that I handed to you, you know, there's companies besides Mr. Bolus here locally. Keystone is another large player in the area. The second handout that I gave you is a national organization that uses C containers. They're nationwide. Another concern is your Section A, "The fee for an extension permit shall be \$25. No trailers, tractor trailers or trailers of any kind may be used, stored, temporarily placed in a residential zone." I'm a residential mover. So now, this is going to eliminate my ability to take a tractor trailer out and drop a trailer at a residence to have my people deliver. The tractor goes back, it hooks up to another trailer, delivers. You know, basically, you have two, three crews and in a day's time, June through September, we have a high volume of traffic. So, you get into those kind of circumstances. When the trailer is empty, you come back and you pick it up at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10
the end of the day. This ordinance limits
my ability to doyou know, to serve the
general public basically. So, I'm good.
Another big player in that type of industry
is ABF Freight. They do the same thing, you
know, but basically it's a POD situation on
wheels. They drop it in your driveway.
They drop them in the street. They're all
over this county. You're, once again,
you're limiting us to, you know, making a
living basically. Another big factor,
"Non-residential temporary storage
containers, length of 40 feet, 8 feet, 6
inches. No more than three temporary
storage containers shall be in place on a
specific property off-street in
non-residential zones." I was at a facility
today, 12. They have 12 of these
containers. You're opening yourself up to
so many lawsuits. I don't think the city
needs this. You know, as one of your local
business people, I beg that you would table
this ordinance or continue to table it and
have it rewritten. Thank you.
MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And I know,

as I mentioned to Mr. Bolus, this won't be up for a vote at tonight's meeting. And I appreciate you bringing up an issue I don't think any of us thought about, which was the moving aspect. The concern that I think many of us have, residents have, are PODs long term on the streets. I know I've received some pictures where they were almost blocking traffic for many months of storage. But, you know, the comments that were made today are definitely something we have to consider in making some changes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LEWIS: I know the bell has rung, but how many people are you going to hire to take care of this ordinance once you put it into effect? You're going to need half a dozen, 8, 10 people to go out and take care of the daily commerce that runs through PODs and containers, what have you, throughout the area. Food for thought. Thank you. MR. ROGAN: Thank you. MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address Council?

	12
1	MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz.
2	Mr. Rogan, can youyou just mentioned that
3	it's not coming up for a vote tonight. Can
4	you just give us an outline of what the plan
5	is going forward for processing this
6	ordinance?
7	MR. ROGAN: Well, there will be
8	amendments that are made to the ordinance.
9	And I know at least for myself, I have some
10	other issues that I didn't realize until Mr.
11	Lewis brought them up regarding the moving
12	industry. And that makes total sense. We
13	certainly wouldn't want to punish a mover
14	for using good business practices, even in
15	the short term. I think what we'll have to
16	do is when we go into our caucus and moving
17	forward, we'll have to discuss how we want
18	to move forward with this legislation so we
19	could address the safety concerns that
20	residents have regarding PODs on the streets
21	for long periods of time as well as legal
22	issues that Mr. Lewis and Mr. Bolus brought
23	up.
24	MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes. My only
25	comment would be that if you make

13 1 substantial changes, which it seems that 2 you're going to be doing, that you give 3 enough publicity to the changes so that the public has enough time to view them and come 4 5 forward and comment. In other words, don't simply put it back on the table for Seventh 6 7 Order. Give the general public enough time 8 to respond to any changes you propose. 9 Thank you. MR. ROGAN: You're welcome. 10 Is 11 there anyone else that would like to address 12 Council? Any Council members care to 13 comment? Meeting adjourned. 14 (WHEREUPON, the proceedings 15 concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

_	
	14
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
2	COUNTY OF LACKAWANNA
3	
4 I, Elizabeth V Kedrick, Notary Publi 5 the County of Wayne, Commonwealth of Penn hereby certify: 6	T. Elizabeth V. Kadaisk. Natany Dublic is and for
	the County of Wayne, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, do
	nereby certify:
7	That said testimony was taken down by me and
8	thereafter transcribed into typewriting, and I hereby certify the foregoing testimony is a full, true and correct transcription of my shorthand notes so taken.
9	correct transcription of my shorthand notes so taken.
10	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor related to any party to said action, nor in anyway
11	interested in the outcome thereof.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my
13	name and affixed my seal this day of, 2015.
14	,,,
15	
16	Elizabeth V Kedrick, Notary Public,
17	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Honesdale Borough, Wayne County
18	My commission expires 11/14/15
19	(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means
20	unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	