-		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, September 14, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		
I		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minute.
15	MS. REED: 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S
16	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD SEPTEMBER 13,
17	2017.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
21	RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES HELD
22	AUGUST 23 AND AUGUST 30, 2017.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
24	comments? If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. SINGLE TAX OFFICE

1	CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT
2	2016-2017 YEAR TO DATE AUGUST 31, 2017.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
4	comments? If not, received and filed.
5	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
6	REGULAR MEETING OF THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY
7	LAND BANK HELD AUGUST 11, 2017.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
9	comments? If not, received and filed.
10	MS. REED: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE
11	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING HELD
12	SEPTEMBER
13	13, 2017.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
15	comments? If not, received and filed.
16	MS. REED: 3-F. TAX ASSESSOR'S
17	REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES TO BE HELD
18	SEPTEMBER 27, SEPTEMBER 28 AND OCTOBER 4,
19	2017.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
21	comments? If not, received and filed.
22	Do any council members have
23	announcements at this time?
24	MR. PERRY: I have one announcement
25	I want to read again about the Scranton

Police Department having their Fighting for a Cure spaghetti dinner. It's going to run from October 8 through October 22 and it's going to be at Fratelli's Restaurant 11 a.m to 8 p.m. You can get your tickets now, they're \$12 and it's eat in or takeout. Tickets are available at police headquarters or you can call 348-4139 or 558-8301. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: I have two. First of all, United Neighborhood Centers of Northeastern Pennsylvania will host a welcoming Scranton celebration September 16 from 3 p.m. to 6 p.m. at Connors Park in South Side. This event bring together long-term local residents and those new to the country to grow cross-cultural understanding and raise awareness of the benefits of welcoming everyone.

Also, I'd like to remind everyone that Saturday is the Race for the Cure in downtown Scranton. I'm not sure how many years this is, but I will be walking again this year. I haven't missed a year yet, very important to me. My mother was a

32-year cancer survivor, breast cancer survivor, so I try to honor her memory each and every year so I hope you can all attend as well. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Just a follow-up on some moire details about Race for the Cure. Of course, it will be held on Saturday. The opening ceremonies and activities are at the Lackawanna County Courthouse on North Washington Avenue. The race start time is 8:30 a.m. The 5-K route begins on Wyoming Avenue, Greenridge Street, and back in downtown via North Washington Avenue. Please use caution when traveling in these areas Saturday morning and expect minor traffic delays.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: John Foley.

MR. FOLEY: Gentlemen, as always,
I'm only here to provide information, no
reaction, no response from you is necessary.
First, I had an opportunity to look at the
letter we sent by Attorney Shrive, an
analysis made an outside consulting firm of

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the sewer deal. The only exception I take with the analysis or the statement by Mr. Shrive said that he was assisted. Well, frankly, he had a consultant prepare this report, not Jason.

I looked at it and most of the statements in there I agree with. They are 100 percent accurate, but what is not in the report that is where the problem lies, Had somebody bothered to look at frankly. the cash flow statement and the city's financial statements for a couple of year period and in conjunction with the capital budget for 2016 and '17, it was going to be very clear that there would be a drain on cash. The cash negotiated number at December 2015 was not going to be there at the end of the year, so all it did for at least discussion purposes inflate the amount of the deal at \$195 million, but frankly, I believe, had they run it correctly you guys would have been comparing the deal of ACWA American with a much lower number.

The other things I disagree with the analysis that they talk about borrowing and

24

25

it was good to borrow cheap money and in most businesses that is really a good business decision, however, the borrowing was troubling me because the bond clearing account was running about \$30 some million. The money in that account could have been used for capital expenditures and, frankly, why didn't you borrow the money? Why didn't you just use the money that was in the bond clearing account? But what you did do by borrowing it -- or not you but the Authority, they borrowed money at an interest rate that was much higher than the interest earned on the funds in the money market account. That is not really a good business decision. It talked about the capital expenditures under the consent decree and, frankly, the Authority was mandated to make expenditures but the report doesn't address whether those expenditures had to be made during the three-year period, '14, '15 and '16, with the acceleration in the last three quarters of December of 2016, so my question is really did they have to be made, and if they didn't have to be made

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they would have been the responsibility of PA American Water. That's simple. But a good report forceful discussion in it, the things that are not in there are the troubling things.

Let me switch gears to one other item, because I have to get home for dinner with my wife. I sent a Right-to-Know request asking about the secret project with Carl Greco and I'm happy to report that the Authority did get back to me on that secret project. They said they would like to give it to me, the information, but attorney/client privilege. I sent that in conjunction with two other Right-to-Know requests, and it was all structured to elicit information on the possibility that there are already discussions going on to sell the city's last remaining asset, the storm water, or according to information that's in the legal bills for those who have read them closely, the Storm Water Authority. The last I heard, the city doesn't have a Storm Water Authority, but it sounds like there is something contemplated

there.

The other things that I found in looking at other information provided by the Authority was that the Authority's general ledger for the 2017 year and generally I find in books and records of any business that legal fees are generally charged to legal expense. Attorney Greco's big portion of 2017 legal fees were charged to fixed assets. They were charged to a building. have never come across -- well, I can't say that, I have come across that before, but I find it very ironic and unusual that legal expenses would be a capital asset similar to a desk or a building.

So this is the information you should ponder, and maybe you should start making inquiries of the Authority. other things but, frankly, they are too numerous to talk. I have come back and talk another day. Gentleman, have a great evening and I hope your night goes better the same as this.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Joan Hodowanitz.

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton. With regard to Item 6-D, the

capital budget, I know you are planning a

public caucus on this next week, but I was

looking at the draft and one thing that set me on my heels was this land acquisition for

green space for \$450,000 which apparently is

the corner of Linden Street and Wyoming

Avenue. I think that's where the old Abe's

restaurant and Scranton dry cleaners used to

sit, it's an empty lot fenced in. Now,

would the acquisition of this property for

green space have anything to do with the

ongoing lawsuit against the city which dates

back to 2008 for Mayor Doherty's failure to

perform the necessary environmental

remediation of that property? Will the

acquisition of this property for that sum

cancel the lawsuit which scheduled to go to

trial on October 25?

MR. EVANS: I'm not sure.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Because, you know,

gentlemen, we need green space like I need a

hole in the head. You have so many things

you need to do with the money. Roads,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bridges, street signs. We could do without green space for a little while. I would love to see the public take a look at this issue, and the Times-Tribune take a look at this issue and see what is behind the acquisition of this green space. I'm sorry, this stinks to high heaven.

Okay, with that out of the way, Item 5-C, the Meadowbrook Creek storm water project, I hope Mr. Young will address this if he is here tonight, but when are we going to see the plan for the long term fix and not the Band-Aid? You know, we owe this to Mr. Young and the other residents. regard to Item 5-D, the purchase of six vehicles and the refinancing of four others. Apparently the vehicles being refinanced from 2014 for some reason the interest rate is not going down it's going up from 3.8 percent to 3.35 percent. That means the annual payments will go up from \$170,000 a year to \$218,000 a year, okay? And also the Kansas City Bank needs a certificate of insurance for these vehicles which brings us to the Knowels insurance list which goes

back to March 30 which they were supposed to scrub because the Scranton Public Library among other issues. The minutes from March 30 I say, "I hope that once the list is scrubbed you can give us a copy of the amended list.

MR. EVANS: Absolutely.

MR. HODOWANITZ: Mr. Evans, can you kick a few walls and a few desks and knock a few heads together.

MR. EVANS: I will.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I think five and a half months is more than a reasonable time, and I want to see that they have been adjusting and modifying that list as we have been getting the Serrenti Center and vehicles and whatever.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, and I was promised a quarterly report and we haven't seen the first one yet so we'll get back on that.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, and 54 days to the election, whatever happened to the summary of the 2016 audit?

MR. WECHSLER: Mrs. Reed and are working on it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And how about the 1 disclosure of the double pension 2 3 investigation by the Pennsylvania State Police is that moving any further? 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: That is still the 6 same. 7 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. Well, I hope 8 -- oh, and also 5-E, we are having in trade 9 interest creep. The interest rate for this 10 aerial platform truck is 3.76 percent so our 11 interest rates appear to be going up since 12 2014, I wonder why. Thank you. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Mike Cantazaro. Mr. 14 Cantazaro, before you speak, I notice that you are registered as a resident of Childs? 15 16 MR. CANTAZARO: Yes, sir. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Normally city council 18 is restricted to Scranton residents, but 19 unless you are going to speak about the 20 reassessment? 21 MR. CANTAZARO: Yes. I just wanted 22 to finish what I started speaking about at 23 the last hearing. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Just keep your 25 comments to the reassessment.

. 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CANTAZARO: Excuse me?

MR. WECHSLER: Keep your comment to the reassessment issue.

MR. CANTAZARO: Yes, I will.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. CANTAZARO: First, I want to apologize, I did not there was a time limit in the amount of time that you were speaking. Just to recap, I was speaking against the reassessment issue because in my opinion, and again, I know, you know, from one of the outer boroughs in the country I'm speaking against it because in my opinion the cost doesn't justify it, it will not increase property values or promote economic activity and, in fact, on the economic activity front at the last Lackawanna County meeting both the head of SLIBCO and the head of the Scranton Chamber of Commerce spoke at the meeting and they both said that tax abatements are what's needed to promote economic activity.

You know, again, anybody, you know, whether they are on council, the city, the county, that thinks they are not paying

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enough in property taxes, nothing is stopping them from sending extra money to the city or county treasurers. In fact. I seen an interview a few years ago with Warren Buffet, who is one of the most successful financial men and investors in the country, Mr. Buffet was touting an increase in income and corporate taxes because he thought people in corporations weren't paying enough in federal taxes at that time. When he was done with his spiel the interviewer asks him if he feels so strongly about paying more taxes why didn't he send an extra check to the US Treasury. He replied that he would not because government spends money inefficiently. Ι think this is a perfect example of what he thought, this reassessment issue.

Capital, you see, tends to gravitate who where it's treated well. I believe the only ones that want a full county reassessment are the municipalities that haven't shown any fiscal responsibility on behalf of the taxpayers and are up against legislated imposed property tax caps. These

municipalities are independent entities that could still raise taxes through either the Court system or the ballot process. The County, I believe, does not need to spend \$15 million just to lower millage rates and raise assessments to remain revenue neutral so that governing bodies that are in fiscally bad shape because of the legislative imposed tax caps can raise millage in the future to try and get around these tax caps.

Finally, I'm in full agreement with the County Commissioner's decision to place the referendum on the ballot so that the voters from every municipality can have a say on a full county reassessment. The cost of this reassessment justifies this ballot initiative. I believe the County Commissioners showed a lot of courage by placing the reassessment referendum on the ballot and this is the right thing to do for the citizens and taxpayers of the county.

And, finally, just an invitation in any of the council members want to come up to Carbondale Township and speak at a

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting you will be well heard, there is no time limit, and nobody will forcibly remove you if you try and go over. Thank you, gentleman.

MR. WECHSLER: Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council. Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Commissioner's Office. To begin this evening, our countywide tire recycling event is now underway. We have a drop off site available at the Lackawanna County Recycling Center on Boulevard Avenue in Scranton. We also have eight other sites around the country, I won't go through the whole list, but they are available on our website at www.Lackawannacounty.org. The hours coming up for the next two days are 8 a.m. to 3 p.m., tomorrow, on Friday, and 8 a.m. to noon on Saturday. The cost is \$2 per tire if they are off the rim and \$5 per tire on the rim. We take tires from basically passenger vehicles. That's cars, trucks, sport utility vehicles, trucks meaning a pick up truck or a mini-van. Basically anything that doesn't require a CDL to drive

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we'll take tires from. We just can't take some of the bigger ones because our contract doesn't call for those size and dynamics of tires to be accepted.

Please come out and see us. I'm the person who typically kind of works with our environmental person to run the show on the site so tomorrow I will be there, so please come out if you have any tires laying around, come down and see us at the recycling center from 8 a.m. to 3 p.m.

Second, our second annual Heroes Day Public Safety Fair runs this Saturday, September 16, 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. a the County's 911 Center in Jessup. We feature guided tours of the 911 facility and hands on static displays of the fire, police, EMS, EMA and also military vehicles and equipment. We also have giveaways and information from various public safety agencies and county department. And also free refreshments. Come out and look at the facility behind the scenes. It's a really neat operation with the technology that we It's even better when you meet some have.

of the people that take care of us and answer 911 calls day-to-day. The people are really extraordinary that work at 911, they put up with a lot, as you can imagine, and every shift that they handle typically are some of the worst days in terms of calls from us and the general public so come on out and check everything out at 911, it's a really good time.

Third, our sixth annual Senior

Health Fair is Friday, September 22, 10 a.m.

to 1 p.m. at PNC Field on the up suite

level. We'll have approximately 50

exhibitors with lots of health screens and
information and giveaways as it relates to
senior health and wellness. We will also
have refreshments and as to past years we'll
have some health snacks, water, fruit and
we'll also have some pizza, doughnuts,
coffee because we try to meet demand when
folks ask for certain refreshments.

It's a senior health fair because we gear the information and services towards seniors, but it is open to the public so anyone can come out and join us. Please

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come out and have a good time.

Also, the Lackawanna County Area Agency on Aging has asked to pass this along, it's a scam alert for senior citizens of Lackawanna County. They call it the "Hey, Grandma" scam. It's been happening to some of our elderly residents. How it works is contact is made and people are told that their children and/or grandchildren have been in an accident or are in trouble with the law and need money in the form of a Target or Wal-Mart gift card. People are informed there is a limited amount of time to do the transaction and the people are also asked to keep the call private. Scammers generally will call the people a few times for additional money explaining that the initial transaction was not received or the deadline was missed. encourage anyone who gets this type of phone call to not respond to the call or interact with the caller. Please report any such incident to the Scranton Police Department or your local law enforcement agency and the Area on Aging also asks that you call them

at 570-963-6740.

24

25

1

Last, but not least, this is an exciting new initiative. We call it the "Say Yes to Life" campaign and what it is it's an anti-opoid public service announcement contest. It's an opportunity for individuals and organizations, could be one person, it could be a student group from high school or college, it could also be a company or some type of business in the community, they can apply to enter a 30-second, no more than 30 second, PSA, public service announcement, detailing the dangers of opioid abuse and addiction in our community. I know this is something that has touched all of us in one way or another and our community deals with it as many do across the country and around the world every day. I encourage anyone who is interested in this to visit Lackawannacounty.org and click on the Arts and Culture tab at the top of the page. There are some specific deadlines and rules regarding the video format, length, and The videos can be no more than 30 quality.

seconds, and we have categories for over 18 and under 18 that judges will pick, and there is also an online voting category for of the other videos that the public can vote on. The deadline for submission is Monday, October 16, and for the videos that will go to the online voting, the public will have from October 16 through November 6 to vote on them. Again, just visit

Lackawannacounty.org and then under arts and culture and there is all of the details right there, so thank you. That's all we have.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you very much.

Lenny Srebro.

MR. SREBRO: Lenny Srebro, Scranton resident. Good evening, Council. And, Mr. Perry, did we come up with any answers?

MR. PERRY: We got information from Director Gallagher and he stopped by the office and he is working with the city planner right now to get the definitive answer for you and for all of us. The issue going on right now is, again, what we talked about last time, whose responsibility, where

does it lie? And they are getting everybody involved to find out exactly what's going on with that.

MR. SREBRO: So how long did you think before we could get an answer?

MR. PERRY: I wish I could tell you, but I don't know. All I can do is reach out and see what he feels, you know, the appropriate amount of time is going to be.

I honestly don't know. I don't have a good answer for you, but --

MR. SREBRO: Somehow I thought that that's your jobs --

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I have a phone number, I'll get in touch with Director Gallagher and I'll give you a call tomorrow.

MR. SREBRO: I don't mean to be too forward whatever, but, you know, one of the residents, one of the neighbors said to me that, "Lenny, if you think they are going to do anything for you you're sadly mistaken."

And he brought up about the gentlemen in the Greenridge section who has the big hole in his yard that's going after the city. They said, you know, they are not

21

22

23

24

25

going to do anything about that hole that opened up because if they do that for him the city is going to have fix everybody's individual problems, so they are not going to do anything for your water problem. made me think though, it's not just my property. I'll tell you what, that's about a four or six square blocks that gets affected by that flooding problem. It's not just me going after a problem with my property. You were there, Mr. Perry, and that whole area floods. Now, my biggest concern lately is that big PPL power station, I guess that's what I would call it anyway, that they are building along Keyser Avenue. You know what, with all that foliage that they tore out of there, you know, where is all of that water going to go?

You know, I went into the office where you pull in and I asked them if I could go up on the mountain. Believe me, over the years I rode motorcycles up there along the power lines, I know that mountain pretty well, but they refused to let me go

up there, which is understandable, but they had a big map on the wall that showed their retention ponds they have and they have directing where the water is going to be coming down. Son of gun, it comes down to Keyser Avenue and then it doesn't show anything else on their map. I asked them, "So where does that water go after it makes it's way down the mountain?"

He told me he doesn't know, he can't answer that, but I just know it's going to make it's way to Keyser Creek. I'm not sure how. Where else could it possibly go?

MR. PERRY: Well, I mean, I don't need a map to tell you water runs downhill.

I mean that's exactly the issue.

MR. SREBRO: So can we find out where that water is going to go now? I believe it's going to go to Keyser Creek, however it's routed, and it's going to come down to the area that I live in, now we got more problems, and I know from speaking with neighbors like over the last 20 years the problem, 20 years plus, you know, that they informed me that that drainage pipe was in

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the past put in at the wrong angle and that's why it doesn't drain well, and I know that in the bad storms that retention pond it's down from my property, you can actually see the water coming back in a bad storm. That's what's happening. We went down to that Keyser Creek in bad storms, a couple of them, and watch it, it fills up and it comes back up instead of drain down, you know, it comes back up. So who's responsible for putting that drainage pipe in at the wrong angle? You know, the property owner, I guess, I assume there, but now we could want to go back to who inspected it that it was put in properly? You know, that's taxpayers waste of money. Somehow it was definitely done wrong but they allowed it to go Just want to say that how do I find out the information on who would be responsible for that drain pipe?

MR. PERRY: I'm going through

Director Gallagher right now, that's the person I'm using to find out where the responsibility lies, so that's who I'm using.

MR. PERRY: And that half a flush that they did, that they never finished it, see, that's wasted taxpayers' money also right there because they flushed half of it but they know if the other half is still clogged up and they don't come back then it's throwing the money away.

MR. PERRY: I think what they were doing there is whatever the city had at their disposal, the city equipment, they took whatever they had out to see if they could just clear it out for you at that time, but the city doesn't have anything to that magnitude because the pipe runs, you know, it runs well over 30 feet, 40 feet, when it gets down to that river bed.

MR. SREBRO: Well, I don't understand why we can't lease the equipment to do that job. Somebody has to have the equipment. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Al Young.

MR. YOUNG: Albert Young, Scranton resident. First comment is, Lenny, patience is a virtue. Good evening, council members. First, I'd like to thank the members of

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council for smoothing out a little rough situation this week. I don't know if it was misinformation, lack of information or otherwise, but at least it hopefully has been remedied.

Secondly, I will not apologize for my temperament as it has been a long 49 years with hundreds of phone calls, letters, and face-to-face meetings about this project. We did, however, repair smaller holes ourselves, but about 12 years ago or so the crater started to open up, and as many of you know has gotten larger and larger over the years. It's been very frustrating to sit and watch our property value diminish by 50 percent in the last 12 years, plus pay the required city taxes on the whole property and have one-third of the property become useless because of this crater in the backyard. Also, when it storms the sewage odor and leachate odor is horrendous, let alone the attorney's fees that have been endured.

Now, I think it's time for Mr. Blake and Mr. Flynn to step up to the plate and

stop the blame game here and get the monies allocated to finish what was started 30 years, 20 years, 30 years ago, and I think probably the situation should be pushed by council and the legal departments, all of the legal departments in the City of Scranton. I feel that Mr. Blake and Mr. Flynn, I'm not going to call them by their title because they are not doing their job, but I feel that they are really doing nothing but waiting for a town hall meeting or a call to someone for their birthday.

This is outrageous. I hope when council does, if they do, pass this resolution onto the Reilly firm they understand that this has to be up to code, present day code, not 20 year later or earlier and they can get in our backyard ASAP. This would be a tremendous Christmas present to us by Christmas of 2017.

Now, I know and I understand the legal department flexed it's muscles this week again and they moved it around a bit, which is I can wait another week, no problem, I have waited 49 years, we can wait

another week, but your business manager has screwed up again. He couldn't write a proper RFP and now with the resolution coming through it does not, and I made that very prominent not, give the resolution the emergency funding or proper designation that you, the council members, stated 17 months ago. I don't under why he cannot follow procedure and follow this whole thing down the line.

I'm sorry, again, gentlemen. I do thank you all for intervening with the little problem that developed over the weekend, and I can wait if it does go tonight I can wait the week, but I do want it understood that this has to be done up to code present day, federal, state and local codes, and I am willing to sit down with Reilly engineers whenever they decide to come up to the house. I will definitely sit down with them and I will go over anything and everything I have for them. Thanks again. Have a good evening.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. In preparation for the public hearing next week, the narrative that came with the capital budget says the capital budget does not include lesser items, typically will not include lesser, and then in parentheses it says certain vehicle and rolling stock capital items.

What is the dollar threshold? Nobody knows?

MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know. We can find out.

MS. SCHUMACHER: If you can find out during the week it will be more helpful, I'll send you an e-mail and remind you. The other thing I, too, am with Joan on that \$450 million investment in green space which is a tedious one block from the green space around the courthouse and I think we do have enough parks and maintaining them, but the bigger issue is what kind of liability are we taking on? Unfortunately, the DEP guy has been on vacation this week or some assignment, but hopefully he will be back next week. If you remember, the remediation that was done on this property before the

lawsuit was filed included -- now, this was Mr. Sunday who was a -- who is the part of the state regulators. It says, "Remediation of the site began in March and was largely completed by the start of August when the developer and the Scranton Redevelopment Authority submitted a final remediation report to the state but state regulators still have a few concerns including the potential for a contamination plume to reach down to an underground mine pool, Mr. Sunday said."

Now, in the legal documentation, going through that stuff, it says that -- whatever the name of the company is, "R" something, RSM, that they already spent -- the reason they filed the breach of contract was we had decided as a city to do that for them, take down the buildings, put that down. Now, this is a big item, the company itself into the legal documentation says several hundred -- they already invested \$70,000 more in trying to get it up to speed and was facing the potential of several hundred thousands dollars. So if that

number, I couldn't find any place where it had been challenged by either side, so if we are going to not only spend \$450,000 on it and then have a liability of another couple of hundred thousand dollars if we have to remediate it so it doesn't go down to the mine that's expensive. I don't think we need to do that.

Okay, onto other buildings we own that I question whether we need. Serrenti, do we have the amounts yet for the estimates for what needs to be done on that property and do we know how much the cost to maintain and do we know how much it upped the insurance to cover that building? Again, Joan gave some dates that are getting pretty moldy, but --

MR. GAUGHAN: The cost to maintain no, because I asked for that, they don't have that. The improvements that need to be done for the building, yes, there was I believe an engineering study done that detailed everything that needed to bring it up to code and all of that, so we do have and, I can get that to you.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: But that's not in 2 the capital budget. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: No. MS. SCHUMACHER: So then it begs the 4 5 question of getting back to what's the dollar threshold on these things, although, 6 7 that's obviously not a vehicle or a rolling 8 stock, it's a --9 MR. EVANS: I think a lot of that 10 money is coming from grants and things like 11 that, that's probably why they're not in the 12 capital budget. MS. SCHUMACHER: Thinking is nice, 13 14 I'd like a firm answer. I'll ask. MR. EVANS: Yeah. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, and how much 17 the insurance is on that. 18 MR. EVANS: We can certainly find that out. 19 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Also, going 21 over again some odd stuff, could we look, if 22 we have \$450,000 to throw around, if you'll 23 recall I told us, I think Mr. Gaughan, that 24 we only have two street sweepers. 25 Yeah, I'm pretty sure MR. GAUGHAN:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we only have two.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think we need to do some research on some smaller things that can do it, some vehicles that can do that kind of work and buy at least one or more trucks so we can do a good job. I'll be back with the rest next week, good Lord willing. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Good evening, Council. A couple of years ago when I was in 9th grade I had a dispute with my father about my allowance one day and he told me this little fable where my money was. Three men go to rent a room for \$15, \$5 a piece. clerks figures out immediately this was a \$10 room because it only had two beds, so she takes \$5 and goes up to the gentlemen, gives each one a dollar back and keeps the \$2 for her services. Where is the other dollar, gentlemen? Three fours are 12 and hers is 14. That's what's going on with the money in this city. People keep asking where the money is and there is no answer,

never no answers where it knows what's happening with it, just a bunch of third rate attorneys that have taken over and nobody confronts them. The state ought to be in here investigating every inch of what happened with all of these phony deals and the way they were enhancing themselves.

Brother Bill, I'm happy you're back.

Last week you asked who is running the city?

I believe Mr. Volk and Lackawanna College
run the city and I believe that's why

Amaroso was brought in here with his law
firm and I believe there is complete

collusion between them and the mayor's

office with all of these adversities that

have happened to the people of this city for
the last four years. Well, I got that off

my chest.

When Mr. Wolf was here, of course, you can't brag about downtown with all of the friends and supporters that own these buildings aren't paying taxes, there is hundreds of thousands of dollars owed in that little circle around the mall, empty apartments, it's not the city's fault but we

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to keep pouring money in there. It's never going to end. Instead of fixing fire stations you people gave the money away that a lot of others need in the city to Lackawanna College.

Well, it seems the mayor decided the jewel of this city is Cedar Avenue. been down it and it looks good, but I don't know this man was in the tax office for four years and he seems to have a complete void about collecting taxes from all of these deadbeats that owe fortunes to the city. Ιn less than one mile off Cedar Avenue, just Cedar Avenue 18 people, and it's right here in this paper, 18 people owe \$240,000. Eighteen people on just Cedar Avenue. hasn't something been done? This is the street he is bragging about that looks so good. The man that collected taxes for four years, our mayor. This is the man -- I can't comprehend anyone, anyone wanting four more years of what's happened to this city the last four years. These two running around Cedar Avenue make me think I got a bumper sticker Richard Nixon driving around

2

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in an Edsel. That's what these two are just like, just two failures. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxpayer. another reassessment issue from up here tonight and I'd like to remind people valley that 35 percent of our city is tax exempt, largely at the hands of the county and the state and, once again, what I'd love to see is go to Federal Court and see what time and at what threshold we could demand the state to come up with the compensation for their constitution. And the chamber was mentioned, of course, well, they just love their tax exempts, but the only reason there is an economic growth in Scranton is because we just said no more tax exempts, no more giveaways, and last week the -- last week the Comcast -- it was disclosed that Comcast came up with a big royalty payment or whatever and I'd like to remind the city government that somebody has been filming us for years and hopefully they will see something.

On these street signs, I had an idea last week. Now, could we get a decal made up of various streets with reflective material, I have been seeing it with other signs. You wouldn't even have to disconnect the sign from the pole, you'd just get somebody up there on a cherry picker and bing and you wouldn't have to pay for new signs, you would have a nice clear description of where you are and I think a lot of money could be saved by all of them.

So, now, also, the electric facility up on West Mountain was mentioned and we really need to be proactive because when these people are putting these things they just want to put in what they have to and water rolls downhill has been said, no more on that.

Now, last week I mentioned on trash, the removal is as important as policing and fire and when I was riding along the Erie line with bicycle by Salvation Army off of River Street I seen someone in there a few years back with a pickup truck and there was a mattress and box springs on the bed, so he

20

21

22

23

24

25

went back a little ways and then he came back and he noticed my glare and he started getting a little red faced as in fearful that I was -- and I didn't have a pencil and paper with me, so after that I took a pencil and paper with me for things that I might have seen that could be reported, however, I do not feel -- I want all trash picked up. I don't care if it has an owner, I just want it picked up and the people of the city have to pay the bill, and I don't want to argue that it's somebody else's trash. I don't want to get cited because somebody throws trash on my lawn or my property and I don't want to hear it from the city inspector, "I'm sorry, Mr. Dobrzyn, I realize that this trash was dumped here by someone else, however, you will have to remove it or pay a fine."

So we really don't need that, and I think on Act 76, once again, last week I have proved that the State of Pennsylvania is allowing employees to deduct your state taxes from your paycheck and pocket them on the guise of economic expansion or whatever

and some of these companies don't need it, and it's time that we start to tell these state representatives, you people included, that it's time we get the taxes to the government and not to somebody that doesn't need it or just wants to make a whole lot more money. If your taxes are going up then oh, well, and you have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you, Mr. Wechsler, a couple of things to cover tonight, I won't be too long. The first thing I want to do is I want to thank DPW for taking care of some pothole repairs in Hamm Court. As most of us know, paving is one of the utmost important things and especially with this rustbelt area and one of the few areas that just get neglected beyond any other courts and alleys in the city. It's just some of them are just devastatingly difficult to pass and, you know, just not high on our priority list.

I greatly appreciate it. I know that the business owners on Hamm Court appreciate that. It was difficult for customers getting in and out and I'm looking forward to just patchwork throughout the city more than courts, and if anybody has some major concerns they're more than welcome to e-mail me or get them to me and we can try to get a list together prioritizing and send them to DPW to get them taken care of, because I know there are lot out there and they do need to be addressed.

As far the Youngs go, I'm very thankful to have this on the legislation tonight. As you guys know, we put money aside over a year ago to have this taken care of, so sorry for the red tape and everything you guys have been going through. It was definitely not the intentions of anybody on this council to have it take this long, but I'm glad to see this being taken care of on the city's part, you know, the quote/unquote temporary fix, but I'm totally on your side about the state getting a

timetable together on a permanent fix all of the way up to that issue that we are having here. I mean, it just needs to be taken care of by the state and, again, that's only go to happen if you put the pressure on our representatives and our senators to make sure that we say that this has to -- you know, that we come first and that's what our society is about.

So we'll keep on that fight up with hole and try to get a permanent fix for not you, but everybody up in that area, because it's not going to get any better, it's only going to get worse, but that being said I'm glad we are actually at this point now where we are going to start to pass legislation and get people to take care of it. Thank you.

As far as spending on capital budget guidelines, that's a good question and that would have been a good question to submit to us and we would have the answer for you today if we had it ahead of time, but we'll have it for you next week. Mrs. Reed, if you could maybe send something to

Mr. Bulzoni and just see what the guideline is set up. I know all businesses in government have different guidelines on what capital expenditures are set at, some are \$5,000, some are ten, some smaller businesses are \$600, so what's the City of Scranton's cut off for what they use that capital improvement money for. I would like to know that as well.

Since we are talking about Mr.

Bulzoni, before we went on break we did
emergency legislation to get our firehouses,
get contracts signed and get the work done.

Could we just get an update from Mr. Bulzoni
on the work being done on the firehouses and
what's complete and what's not and is there
a time table for completion, because I know
one of the reason why the emergency
certificate was attached to that was to get
it done before the weather, so I just want
to make sure that we are on track with that.

As far as the Serrenti building and the spending on that, Mr. Evans was absolutely right that's going to done through grants and there will be no money

spent unless there are grants to spend the money. That's just the commitment that we got. Improvement, the building is totally usable, ready to go, it's being used by the city for years now so anything that they want to do will come in the form of grant money and they will just go grant by grant by grant as far as they want to make improvements, so I don't see anything in capital budget for the Serrenti building.

I think we even talked about the sale of the building on Ash Street being used to put into an account and that's also go to go into kind of an ongoing upkeep for that building as well to keep costs down and keep that from making kind of drain or seepage from our general budget.

And, finally, and this is something that we had issue with last year so I want to bring it up and see if we can get it on the table and get it ahead of the curve is our leaf pickup protocol for 2017. If you remember when we do not want to rehash what happened in 2016. If we can get something out to the administration, Mayor Courtright,

21

22

23

24

25

and we can copy Director Gallagher on that and we can all get on the same page on how we want to handle this and make it a one-avenue road on how we are going to direct all of the residents on proper leaf disposal. It think if we get ahead of the game now we can just totally cut off the nonsense that happened last year because, you know, a city of this size with this many employees needs to be run as efficient as possible and I think it takes all of us, including us and all our directors and employees to be on the same page so I would just like to see that happen in 2017. that's all I have for you at this time. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, three items I'm going to speak about, Mr. Perry already hit on one of them, but just to piggyback a little bit, I think it was probably the same people who talked to you that mentioned the fire station repairs to me as well, as Mr. Perry mentioned. The condition of a

number of the firehouses in Scranton are in deplorable condition and it's not something that happened overnight. These firehouses have been deteriorating for decades. That did prompt emergency legislation, which was passed by council some time ago and this work still hasn't began so, again, I agree fully with Mr. Perry on sending the letter to the business administrator to see what the delays are with these repairs, especially with the winter months coming up.

Secondly, I did want to talk a little about the condition of North South If you are not familiar with North Road. South Road this is in West Scranton between Keyser Avenue, it's an industrial area, there is a number of large employers. This was a letter, I was able to speak to the site manager for Quadrant Engineering, which employees around 200 individuals. letter was actually -- it's a copy of a letter that was sent to the DPW director back in March, and just to summarize, he is writing representing the Keyser Valley Industrial Park to recondition the North

24

25

South Road and Loop Road to the city's attention to request a major refurbishment of these two roads. I did spend a little bit of time over on those roads this week and they really are in terrible condition. The letter goes onto say that every day Colt's buses, Simplex Modular Homes, steel and freight companies such as Standard Iron Works, Gress Refrigerated Services, Rothenstein's Wholesale, Mesko Glass, Quadrant Plastics and Erie Materials along with hundreds of employees of these companies and Scranton citizens navigate the collapsing shoulders and are forced to cross into oncoming traffic to avoid serious damage or overturning. The section from Simplex Drive to Loop Road and the Loop Road connector to Keyser Avenue are in need of immediate attention.

I would ask if we could forward this letter, again, to DPW Director Gallagher and ask that some sort of meeting is set up between the city and the managers or presidents of these companies. Between just the couple of companies that were mentioned,

and there is others in that plaza, Gerrity's Supermarkets has their headquarters back there, Orlando Foods and I know there is others that I haven't mentioned, that plaza, that industrial park employes well over 1,000 people and this road is extremely dangerous and it needs to be addressed quickly. So if we could forward this letter back to Director Gallagher and ask that a meeting is set up with the individuals who are charge of these companies to work on a long-term solution and to get this road in better condition.

Finally, I believe it was Joan that brought up Item 5-D, which is the legislation, and I'm pulling it back up again, that deals with purchasing of equipment which I don't have a problem with it, that is needed, but it also has a refinancing component in here that frankly doesn't make any sense to me. Again, the purchase component is the city needs to purchase additional equipment, completely understand, but this refinancing does not make sense. The current contract is a

25

six-year lease, six-year deal on equipment, Packmasters, that were purchased for our DPW and they were purchased at an interest rate of about 3 percent. They were purchased in 2014, and if we continue to make those payments in 2020 they would be paid off and the city will not owe anymore money. legislation would take that interest rate from 3 percent to 3.4 percent, which doesn't sound like a huge difference, but refinancing to a higher interest rate is something to me that never makes sense. The current payment on this debt would cost the city \$170,000 over the next -- under the remainder of the term. By refinancing it would cost the city \$218,000 so the difference of \$47,800. \$47,000 may not sound like a lot of money in the grand scheme of a hundred million dollar budget, but when you take into account the average person in the city doesn't even make this much money, and it's not being spent to help anything. It's just extra interest, so for those reasons I would urge my colleagues to join me in opposing this legislation and

asking the business administrator to send back legislation strictly for the purchase of the new vehicles without the refinancing component.

Again, one of the things the city
has done over the last year that has been
very good and the long-term debt of the city
is paying off high interest date.
Refinancing debt into a higher interest rate
is something I will never support, it just
absolutely does not make sense. And that is

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, a speaker tonight talked about the reassessment and, of course, everybody has an opinion and he threw out a value of \$15 million as cost to do a reassessment. I've stated my opinion many times, I feel qualified to give this opinion, that a reassessment wouldn't cost anywhere near that, more likely five to seven million dollars, but I think that's part of the strategy of those that oppose to it just

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

throw these crazy numbers week in and week out.

On Mr. Young's property, you stated patience is indeed a virtue and I totally agree and congratulations, hopefully we will finally get this done for you quickly, hopefully by Christmas. That would be again, a great present for you as well as all of us. I will make one comment about the business administrator, behind the scenes the BA was a champion in getting this done for you. He is the one that came up with the funding scenario that made this -move this forward so I have had many conversations about this issue and I know it's frustrating, I know maybe there maybe there were some issues that I didn't know about, but I will say he was very much involved in trying to get this done for you, so that's just my two cents.

Council President Wechsler and I attended a meeting at the Scranton Tomorrow Economic Revitalization meeting yesterday morning to discuss downtown parking. In attendance were small business owners,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blake's office and others and representatives from ABM and NDC. To say we had a frank and open conversation about downtown parking issues would be an understatement. There is no doubt in my mind that NDC and ABM now know where those few tenants stand on the issues with the fee increase for parking. There was a birth of conversations in way that the city can help in increasing revenue by assisting with some on street parking solutions. If revenue is increased, the conversation centered on stabilization of the parking rates and an effort possibly to reduce the current rates. I'm confident now there is a mechanism finally in place to foster more cooperation

downtown developers, Larry West from Senator

Months ago I requested from the
License, Inspection and Permits Department
that they do a study of their fee structures
by looking for ways to reduce, eliminate

and continue a dialogue with NDC and ABM for

the betterment of all of the small

downtown.

businesses as well as the residents of

and/or consolidate fees that I identified as not being very revenue substantial. Weeks ago, as a follow-up, I requested what fees currently in the books are required by state statute. This is important because as we enter the budget period in the fall I expect that many of those fees that I identified are still part of the 2018 budget. I will be making a motion to amend the budget to eliminate most, if not all of them.

If LIPS remains silent on the justification for those fees, I will have to assume that they are unnecessary and an impediment to fostering a better relationship with our small business community. So if I may send another letter to Deputy Director Oleski in LIPS asking for an update on both requests, but also I would also like send a second question to Deputy Director Oleski and I'd like to see a spreadsheet of the monthly tickets issued under the quality of life ordinance for 2016 and year-to-date 2017. Specifically, how many tickets were issued each month, what is the percentage of those paid immediately,

and what is the percentage that required filing citations to collect through the magisterial process and of those what is the percentage of success at that level. As I have stated previously, I always had concerns that the fines were too high on the quality of life tickets for various violations. The goal was to change behavior and have a cleaner city in both the neighborhoods and our downtown. The goal should never have been to make them money:

LIPS is not and should never be considered a profit center for the City of Scranton.

So once we receive this information from a lips I think it might be time a take a look at all of the levels of fees within the current quality of life program and have a good, solid discussions having moved forward with that program.

Last week I briefly discussed the assessed valuation of taxable and tax exempt properties in the City of Scranton. The assessed valuation for taxable property continues to decline each year and it's very, very concerning, but the total

24

25

assessed valuation for tax exempt property is another issue altogether. The numbers were for taxing of property land Improvements, \$193,467,777 for \$25,668,955. a total of \$219,136,732 in assessed valuation, about 65 percent of what our taxable property projections are. As I said last week, those numbers simply are astounding. To put it into a different context, if that amount was taxable it would mean an additional \$14,434,321 in annual tax revenue for the city. I'm not suggesting that we could ever expect 100 percent of what would be taxable for the nonprofits, but why shouldn't we expect more? shouldn't we expect maybe 10 percent of that amount? A 90 percent reduction in taxes for the nonprofits would still garner a PILOT, or payment in lieu of taxes, over \$1.4 million annually for the city. Since I have been on city council I'm not aware of any real effort to negotiate PILOTS, from the administration. I won't dispute there is a tremendous value to the city with many of the nonprofits in jobs, in development, spin

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

offs within the economy, all of that, but having \$14.4 million as a point of reference I think it's time we did better. I think it's time we did much better. That's all I have for tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First of all, I just want to mention the Hurricane Harvey and Hurricane Irma relief drive. had a chance to speak to Mayor Barrett from the Borough of Archbald last week and she explained to me that the relief drive is going very well. They have a trailer outside of the Borough building in Archbald. When I spoke to her it was half filled so she is asking that anybody in this area drop off anything that you can think of for the people that are effected in that area. would like to commend the mayor and the fire department for having drop off locations in the City of Scranton. All Scranton firehouses will be accepting nonperishable goods to help with relief efforts for victims of Hurricane Harvey and Hurricane

1

10

11

8

9

13

12

15

14

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

Irma, so if you have any non-perishable goods in your home that you can spare such as baking items, canned fruit, canned meat, fish, condiments, noodles, rice, household items, personal care items, you can drop them off at any firehouse throughout the city. For more information on this relief drive you can call 570-348-4132. So I want to thank them again for doing that.

I did talk with the Chamber of Commerce president Bob Durkin regarding my request last week that we put together proposals to attract the online retailer Amazon to Scranton. As I mentioned last week, Amazon is interested in building a second headquarters in North America and they are launching a search in soliciting bids from cities and states throughout the United States. This headquarters will employee roughly 50,000 people. There is going to be a \$5 billion investment into the community or region by Amazon. So I had a frank discussion with Mr. Durkin and he said that the chamber is working with a company called Pennsylvania Northeast to put

together a regional proposal. From a pragmatic perspective, our chances would be slim, the City of Scranton, because we don't exactly meet some of the rigged preferences and requirements that are located in the request for proposals from Amazon, but Mr. Durkin and I both agree, as I mentioned last week, that there would be a great value in putting the proposal together and making a push for this even if we do get rejected. I think it gives us just another opportunity to tell our story and to promote the City of Scranton and the region, so I will continue to provide updates on that as we move further.

Mr. Wechsler mentioned in the caucus, as I brought up last week about the Lace works project and Mr. Rinaldi is going to attend a caucus next week to give us an update on the timeline of what's going on there and some of the security concerns that we have heard about and have been detailed in the paper.

I mentioned last week about my concerns with the Civil War Museum in the

basement of city hall, and I just want to reiterate those for a minute because I got a letter from a member of the Grand Army of the Republic Memorial Association of Scranton. Currently as it stands now the museum and the group that runs the museum in the basement of city hall their lease is up in November and we have been informed, not officially, that the mayor is considering using that space for storage. So the Museum would have to look for some place else to go, so I just want to read a portion of this letter because I think it's extremely important.

The gentlemen says, "My name is Craig Kelly and I'm a member of the Grand Army of the Republic Memorial Association of Scranton. Our nonprofit organization is housed in the basement area of city hall where we have a meeting room and an exhibit area for our Civil War Museum and library.

A great many of the artifacts on display in the GAR Museum and library have been donated by the Civil War veterans of the City of Scranton and the surrounding

area. These men who fought and returned home after the US Civil War. They wanted to keep the history of the United States Civil War a memory and sacrifices of the boys in blue alive for future generations through the exhibits in the museum and the basement of city hall.

The men of the Grand Army of the Republican were American patriots and many sacrificed their lives and fortunes to preserve our union for God and country.

This museum has provided tours for Scranton High School students, local Boy Scouts and Girl Scout troops the Children's Library of Scranton, the Lackawanna County Historical Society, among many other groups.

The relationship between this group and the City of Scranton goes back in the late 1800's. The men of the GAR Post 139 joined together first for comradery and then for political power. The Grand Army of the Republic which by 1890 was down to 409,000 veterans of the War of the Rebellion nationwide."

This gentleman concludes, and like I

said, I'm not going to go through the whole letter here, but he says, "Therefore, it is my hope that the honorable city council members take into consideration the need to renew the ordinance or lease that we may stay the course of our mission statement to keep the green memory of the boys in blue and the history of the City of Scranton, Pennsylvania, in to keep the museum in the basement of city hall."

I bring this up again for a few different reasons, I don't believe that this group is getting a fair shake or information from the mayor. They are not sure exactly where they stand and as someone who teaches American government I think that this museum is extremely important not only to this building, but to the City of Scranton and to our residents. I think if anything rather than looking for a place for them to move we should be promoting the fact that we have a museum of this magnitude in our building. So we did send a letter to the mayor asking for clarification on exactly what his plans are and I know many of the members of this

group are extremely concerned because they are not sure what their future is going to be.

203 Pittston Avenue, Mrs. Reed, if we could send a letter to the Licensing and Inspections Department. I received several complaints this week and I actually did drive by and take a look at it. Looks the building or the house is vacant, overgrown grass and it just looks terrible for that neighborhood.

I also received a complaint from a gentleman living in the 600 block of Taylor Avenue. Apparently the utility companies are there ripping up the streets. This street in particular was just paved last year by the city so I would like to see exactly what project they are completing there.

Just an update on the street
lighting project throughout the city. This
has been going on for quite a few months
now. We did receive a report and they
should be all complete by the end of
September, so that is a good thing and I

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think for the most part the project has been a success.

And the last request I have is for the Sewer Authority, I would like to know if the mandated work that was done between 2015 and to 2016 were there RFP's put out for that work and what companies performed the mandated work? And the rest of my comments I'll hold until agenda items. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Just to follow up on some of the comments Mr. Evans made, we did also attend a session concerning the amount of spending that the universities and colleges bring into the City of Scranton. The amount of money that's spent is quite significant. The issue that Mr. Evans brought up is an important one, and I think the one thing this city council has done is we have established a working relationship with the colleges and universities that we have a dialogue. Mr. Evans and myself and have been aggressively talking to the University of Scranton, Lackawanna College, and dealing with Mr. Basalyga through Luzerne County

23

24

25

Community College. We do have to come to a better understanding of working with them. The PILOT program has never really been quite successful here, but the fact that we have a dialogue now I believe establishes the point at least that we have some reference that we can start to communicate with these important members of our The University of Scranton has community. in the past contributed not so much in taxes but their building permits have added tremendously to the city and really as their building has slowed down so has their application for building permits, so we are kind of seeing a point in the University that one of their arguments that's kind of going to be receding because they aren't building as many buildings as they used to, but I do think the relationship between the city and the universities, when I say universities I should say the colleges and universities, is much better than it used to be.

We talked about -- last week I spoke to -- I called Mr. Young after we found out

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bulzoni.

that the agenda item was going to include the temporary repair for his facility -- or his home over there. I'm very happy that that is moving along, but one thing I would like to comment about that is when this project was lagging I reached out to Senator Blake's office and especially his Chief of Staff, Larry West. Larry West got me in contact with the DEP. We did find out that this project could move along with impacting our contributions to the project. Once we had that information, we got it to Mr. Bulzoni ran with the ball, so I don't like to take credit for what I do, but this project was kind of dead until I got involved with it again, moved it along with Mr. Blake, moved it along with DEP, moved it along with Mr. Bulzoni to the point now where it's going to be completed and I'm so happy to hear that's going to be done.

We had a meeting last week with Reilly Associates, they are work everything up to spec. They were involved in the original project, Phase I, so they are quite aware of -- and alleviating your concerns in

terms of the project being up to spec. The representatives from Reilly left their contact information with Mrs. Reed so if you need to get contact information provided it's available in the council office.

In addition to that, Senator Blake and Mr. West are working to try and get that main project done. They are getting it on the list for the capital program for DEP, hopefully that study will be done in 2018. You know, these guys are working on this. I know you are not satisfied with the results, but I can tell you that they are working on the --

MR. YOUNG: Twelve years.

MR. WECHSLER: Just to touch base on this project, you know, we get a lot of criticism here on council and what are you good for and what do you do, but I can cite two projects right now that without city council nothing would be happening, and one is your project. It took a long time to get it to that point, Mr. Young. I can remember you standing at the podium the first night you were here. There was a lot of grandeur

and a lot of stuff at the time and all of a sudden it kind of died, but only this city council is the one that got it pushed to the point where it's going to be done, and I do think you have to temper your words about Mr. Bulzoni. Mr. Bulzoni is the one who saw to make sure this got completed and it's done.

24

25

The other project is the paving of South Irving avenue. That's another project that has been out there for years and years and no one ever thought it was going to If Mr. Srebro is still here, I found that odd that he is saying your project is never go ing to be done and it's on the agenda this evening. So this council I'm proud of the work that my four colleagues have done and I'm proud of the work that I've done to get these two projects, projects that people said were never going to get done or going to get done and that's because this council provides constituents service, our office, our office staff provides constituent service and that's how this gets done. Mrs. Reed works with

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bulzoni, works with the administration and that's how they things get done, so when we get criticism that we don't accomplish anything I really have to disagree with that.

We had a speaker here tonight that he signed in from Childs. I let him speak because of the nature of his comments, really city council is supposed to be restricted to Scranton residents. going to allow comment from outside residents during the leading up to the election concerning the reassessment, I think it's an important issue to discuss. As Mr. Evans said, we are not going to have debate here, but that speaker this evening was totally wrong. Whether the reassessment is voted upon or not voted upon, reassessment is something that's supposed to happen all the time. You are not supposed to have reassessments down that last for 50 The area is changing, so whether this reassessment fails at the voting booth come November, at some point in time there has to be a reassessment based on the fact,

as Mr. Young stated, his property is losing value every day, so it's not fair for his assessment not to have changed in all of these years. Reassessment is something that has to happen. If it fails at the ballot box, it's still going to have to happen and it's not for us because we were financially irresponsible, it's because the economic structure and economic performance of certain areas have changed in our area and it's something that should be taken responsibly and performed on a regular basis.

The last thing I have this evening, we followed up with the Attorney General's Office in regard to our request for their activity to investigate the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority. We just sent them a letter to find out where they are at with that request. That's it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING
SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229622 ENTITLED
"LINDY CREEK FLOOD PROJECT 2017" FOR THE
RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF MATCHING

1	GRANT FUNDS FROM THE COMMONWEALTH OF
2	PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
3	PROTECTION ("DEP") FOR REHABILITATION
4	ASSISTANCE FOR THE LINDY CREEK FLOOD
5	PROJECT.
6	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. EVANS: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. PERRY: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
21	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
22	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
23	AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH REILLY
24	ASSOCIATES CONSULTING ENGINEERS FOR
25	ENGINEERING SERVICES-TEMPORARY CULVERT

IMPROVEMENTS FOR MEADOWBROOK CREEK STORM WATER PROJECT.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question.

First of all, I'm going to vote for this project because I think it's important and it's a long time coming, but I also think that we should call a spade a spade here.

Number one, this is an emergency. It's been an emergency for the last 17 months and the fact that this administration sends

legislation to us, down to us time after time with emergency certificates and this didn't come with an emergency certificate attached signed by the mayor and the controller I don't think was right and, you know, the hole gets bigger by the day yet this doesn't come down with an emergency certificate, so that's number one.

Number two, I get a kick out of

24

25

sitting here and listening to all of the back and forth about this project. What it tells me is that if you have a problem in the city like the Youngs and you have a hole in your yard you have to go to the newspaper, you have to go to council, you have to get an attorney, that's pretty sad. That's not something that should be celebrated. I think it shows a failure in government. I sat down with the Youngs early on and they can tell you and I will tell you that this could have been taken care of 17 months ago if there was a little bit more communication on the part of the administration and the mayor because I remember talking to the Youngs and the door was shut in their face. They had to get an attorney so, I mean, if -- and I commend Alan and Elaine Young because I think most people have just thrown in the towel at that point because people don't want to put up with the frustration, they don't want to get an attorney, they don't want to come down and be on television at a council meeting.

So I'm glad this is final being

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taken care of, but as Mr. Young and Mrs. Young can tell you this has been in my opinion an unnecessarily frustrating experience and I think over the past 17 months the arrogance and the negligence of the mayor and this administration is on full display. I am glad that we are voting on this and I look forward to the completion of this project. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: I would have to disagree to a point with Mr. Gaughan's comments, I agree that this took way too long to do, I disagree with it from this standpoint, the work that was going to be done by the city could have impacted the responsibility that the city might have in terms of the bigger DEP project that might happen down the road. My concerns had always been if once we put a shovel in a hole over there that the city became responsible for \$13 million project there was no way that we going to be able to do I did not accept the fact that that was going to be true, so we continued to push and push, as I stated, we pushed it

24

25

with Senator Blake, we pushed it with DEP so we could get to the point where it had to be done properly. I'm not sure it was arrogance or whatever it was in the administration, but I can tell you this one thing we talk about government, there is a speed of government and it does not move fast. The only way that we would be able to move it along is by working together, by contacting Mr. Bulzoni, contacting the mayor, contacting DEP, contacting Senator Blake, contacting State Representative That's how we move things along. Sometimes people deserve criticism, and maybe criticism is due at this point that it took too long, but the fact that -- and I hate saying this, but the fact that I worked with Blake and Bulzoni and the mayor we got this project completed. So criticism is easy, but sitting down and making the calls making sure that it happens, making sure that it happens properly that it doesn't affect the rest of this city, that's part of the reason why this project was delayed and, like I said, I'm proud to say that it's

being done. I wish it was done a year and a half ago, but that's not the case, but it is being done now. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION — A
RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO A GOVERNMENT OBLIGATION
CONTRACT BETWEEN KANSAS STATE BANK OF
MANHATTAN (OBLIGEE) AND THE CITY OF
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (OBLIGOR) FOR THE
PURCHASE OF ONE (1) 2018 MACK GU813 WITH 25
YARD PACKER, ONE (1) 2018 MACK GU812 WITH A
20 YARD PACKER, FOUR (4) FREIGHTLINER 108 SD
TRUCKS (MOUNTAIN) WITH SNOW PLOW EQUIPMENT
AND REFINANCING OF CONTRACT #3346397 FOR THE
FOUR (4) 2015 GU813 REFUSE PACKERS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll

entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. EVANS: So moved.

MR. GAUGHAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. I agree with Councilman Rogan about the increase in the interest rate and if my fellow councilmen would agree with me I would like to give a letter of explanation out to Mr. Bulzoni, what caused the increase from 3.08 to 3.35 and is there anyway to reintroduce this legislation without that increase? Again, it was I don't want to rehash what Councilman Rogan said, but \$47,000 is an awful lot, I mean, that's an extra DPW worker, that's an extra police officer, that's a lot so --

MR. MINORA: It could be amended.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I would ask my colleagues if we get a majority to move this forward and then consult with Mr. Bulzoni as to the understanding of why this is or can these two things be separated because there is no doubt about it that the DPW needs this

equipment, we need it to complete -- some of these things are going to be different trucks to use, plows to do snow removal this winter. I would ask my colleagues if we could get an aye vote on this just to move it forward so we can have future discussions with Mr. Bulzoni and I'm sure he can explain it, maybe he can explain to our satisfaction or maybe not.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I don't have problem with introducing this tonight, but I would just like to be a better explanation --

MR. WECHSLER: I agree.

MR. PERRY: -- on why the increase, what caused it, can we avoid it.

MR. WECHSLER: I agree.

MR. PERRY: Before I give a final passage.

MR. ROGAN: To be clear on my objection, my objection has absolutely nothing to do with the purchase the new equipment, I do agree the DPW does need some new equipment, but the refinancing component makes absolutely no sense. It shouldn't be

1 in the legislation. If we are doing a 2 purchase, do a purchase. If we were saving 3 on the refinancing I would be all for it, 4 but not to pay a penny more in interest 5 never mind \$47,000. MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 6 7 introduction signify by saying aye. 8 MR. PERRY: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 12 MR. ROGAN: No. 13 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 14 so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -15 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 16 17 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 18 AND ENTER INTO A GOVERNMENT OBLIGATION CONTRACT BETWEEN MUNICIPAL LEASING 19 CONSULTANTS, LLC (OBLIGEE) AND THE CITY OF 20 21 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (OBLIGOR) FOR THE ACQUISITION OF A NEW 2017 SUTPHEN SP100 22 ARIEL PLATFORM TRUCK FOR THE CITY OF 23 SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT. 24 25 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll

1 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 2 introduced into its proper committee. 3 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 7 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE 15 EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT 16 17 APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE 18 DEPARTMENT TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 19 COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (DCED) 20 FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT (GAMING 21 FUNDS-MONROE COUNTY) IN THE AMOUNT OF 22 \$83,907.00 FOR THE PURCHASE OF SEVENTY-FIVE 23 (75) TASERS FOR THE SCRANTON POLICE 24 DEPARTMENT PATROL DIVISION. 25 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll

2

3

5

7

6

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

entertain a motion that Item 5-F be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. PERRY: Yes, just quickly on the question, I'm very happy to see this come across our desk. Non-lethal weapons are some of the police officers best ways of defense, you know, with everything that's going on out there right now and this, tasers, we don't have enough on the police force right now, every police officer should have one and right now that's not the case and this just gives them another avenue, another way to safely subdue someone who is either going to cause themselves harm or a police officer harm or someone else harm and I have seen it firsthand and it's not pretty, and a police officer without non-lethal form of restriction has two choices, you know, brut force or lethal force, and we never want it to come to that because that's not safe either way you go and that's not what we are all about here in

1	our city, so I'm very happy to see that this
2	is going to get it's just about up to par on
3	every officer having a taser.
4	MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of
5	introduction signify by saying aye.
6	MR. PERRY: Aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. EVANS: Aye.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
11	ayes have it and so moved.
12	MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -
13	A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING A ONE THOUSAND
14	(\$1,000.00) DOLLAR DONATION FROM MR. AND
15	MRS. JAMES STEWART PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF
16	SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE K-9 UNIT.
17	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
19	introduced into its proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. EVANS: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
23	those in favor of introduction signify by
24	saying aye.
25	MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. 1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 5 ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -7 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 8 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY 9 FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM 10 11 (RACP) THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF 12 PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET IN THE 13 AMOUNT OF ONE MILLION (\$1,000,000.00) 14 DOLLARS; ACCEPTING AND DISBURSING THE GRANT; AND COORDINATE THE USE OF THE GRANT 15 FUNDS WITH "SCRANTON-CHERRY, LP" FOR THE 16 17 PROJECT TO BE NAMED THE "SCRANTON COUNSELING 18 CENTER." 19 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 25

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I just wanted to say that I am in full support of this project. I think that it's going do it two things. Number one, it's going to revitalize a portion of the South Scranton and the 300 block of Cherry Street. I think it's going to provide the opportunity for redevelopment of the entire 300 block of Adams Avenue where the Scranton Counseling Center currently is, and it's also going to reduce, as we discussed in the caucus, the traffic congestion that we often encounter in the 300 block of Adams Avenue. It's going to retain over 300 jobs from the Scranton Counseling Center, and it's going to create this project over 100 construction So I think, you know, this is going to be a transformative effect on two neighborhoods within the City of Scranton. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: As I mentioned in the caucus, this building has a special importance to my family, it's where my parents met when was it was Consolidated Mold Products, so I'm happy to see that it's going to be put back into use and become a

1 major lynchpin in the redevelopment of South 2 Scranton. 3 MR. EVANS: I would agree, and it's a perfect adaptive reuse of a historical 4 5 building, and as Councilman Gaughan said, the second part of this is the 6 7 revitalization of the 300 block of Adams 8 Avenue which is really a very interesting 9 block as is. There's a lot of great small 10 businesses in that block and it's only going 11 to go further to enhance that situation 12 there. 13 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 14 introduction signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 15 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 16 17 MR. EVANS: Aye. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 19 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 20 ayes have it and so moved. 21 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 22 23 113, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 24 THE COUNCIL NO. 65, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 25

1	2017" BY TRANSFERRING \$464,861.26 FROM
2	ACCOUNT NO. 01.380.38000 (MISCELLANEOUS
3	REVENUE OTHER-NOT CLASSIFIED) TO ACCOUNT NO.
4	01.011.00078.4550 (DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
5	SAFETY-BUREAU OF FIRE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES)
6	BY INCREASING FUNDING IN THE ABOVE REVENUE
7	AND CORRESPONDING EXPENSE ACCOUNTS TO
8	UTILIZE ADDITIONAL REVENUE RECEIVED FROM
9	DCED, LSA GRANTS FOR AERIAL FIRETRUCK
10	(\$330,142.00) AND PPL COMMERCIAL REBATE
11	PROGRAM (ACT 129) FUNDS (\$134,719.26).
12	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
13	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
14	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
15	pass reading by title.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MR. PERRY: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. EVANS: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -

1 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 114, 2017 - AN 2 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 3 107, 2017, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE 4 CURRENTLY OWNED BY JOAN HUDAK T/D/B/A SEVEN 5 SISTERS TAVERN, 814 SUSQUEHANNA AVENUE, 6 7 OLYPHANT, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, 8 LICENSE NO. R-3527 TO CFM BEER BRICK, LLC 9 FOR USE AT 337 WEST MARKET STREET, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED 10 BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD" TO 11 12 CORRECT A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN THE LIQUOR LICENSE NUMBER IN THE SECOND WHEREAS CLAUSE 13 14 AND THE NOW THEREFORE CLAUSE TO READ "LIQUOR LICENSE NO. R-3527". 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 17 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 18 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 19 pass reading by title. 20 MR. EVANS: Second. 21 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 22 those in favor signify by saying aye. 23 MR. PERRY: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. EVANS: Aye.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 3 ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 115, 2017 - AN 5 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6 60, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GRANTING 7 8 LOCAL ECONOMIC REVITALIZATION TAX ASSISTANCE 9 TO A PROPERTY LOCATED AT ALBRIGHT AND GLEN AVENUES AND IDENTIFIED AS PIN NO.'S 10 13420-060-017 AND 13420-050-028 OWNED BY 11 LACE BUILDING AFFILIATES LP AND SETTING 12 FORTH AMOUNTS OF TAX ABATEMENTS FOR EACH 13 14 YEAR FOR TEN YEARS" FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE TO CORRECT THE FILE OF THE COUNCIL NUMBER IN 15 THE SECOND WHEREAS CLAUSE TO FILE OF THE 16 17 COUNCIL NO. 37. 18 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 19 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 20 21 pass reading by title. 22 MR. EVANS: Second. 23 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 24 those in favor signify by saying aye. 25 MR. PERRY: Aye.

	90
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
5	ayes have it and so moved.
6	MS. REED: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -
7	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 116, 2017 - AN
8	ORDINANCE - APPROVING AND ACCEPTING THE CITY
9	OF SCRANTON CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018
10	PURSUANT TO SECTION 904 OF THE CITY'S HOME
11	RULE CHARTER.
12	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
13	by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?
14	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D
15	pass reading by title.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MR. PERRY: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
21	MR. EVANS: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.
25	MR. EVANS: I would like to make a
	ll

2 MR. ROGAN: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: There is a motion on the floor and a second to table Item 6-D. 4 5 On the question? This piece of legislation 6 is being tabled to allow for a public hearing to be held on the capital budget 7 8 next Thursday, September 21, at 5:30 p.m. 9 All those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 10 MR. EVANS: Aye. 11 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 16 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 17 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 181, 18 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 19 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 20 21 AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH A&M 22 ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION, INC. TO PROVIDE 23 MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION FOR THE 24 CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE PERIOD OF ONE (1) 25 YEAR FROM SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 THROUGH AUGUST

motion to table Item 6-D.

1

92
31, 2108.
MR. WECHSLER: What is the
recommendation of the Chairperson for the
Committee on Public Works?
MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
Committee on Committee on Public Works, I
recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
Roll call, please?
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
adopted.
MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 182, 2017 -

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN
AGREEMENT SETTING FORTH THE TERMS AND
CONDITIONS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON AND TOYOTA OF SCRANTON, INC. TO
ACCEPT THE DONATION OF A NEW TOYOTA CAMRY TO
BE USED BY THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT AS
A SUPERVISOR'S CAR.

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. PERRY: As Chair for the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, my only comment is that Toyota of Scranton has shown to be the very definition of what it means to a good corporate citizen. That's all I have, and I think we have to applaud them for what they have done for the city over and over again. They promote the city. If you go into their showroom there are pictures of the city throughout. So, again,

	94
1	they are the very, very definition of a good
2	corporate citizen.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
14	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
15	adopted.
16	If there is no further business,
17	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
18	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER