F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, October 13, 2016	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	on total content	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES
17	HELD SEPTEMBER 21 AND SEPTEMBER 27, 2016.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. BREAKDOWN OF
21	ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS
22	ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF JULY, AUGUST AND
23	SEPTEMBER 2016.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
25	comments? If not, received and filed.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have a couple, please. First announcement. October 21 come out to a happy hour benefiting "Operation Warm, More Than a Coat." Help the Scranton firefighters provide brand new U.S.A. made coats to Scranton children living in need. It's going be at the Backyard Ale House, that's on 23 Linden Street in Scranton. Again, October 21, it's going to be between 7:00 and 9:00. Donations are \$20 which will include domestic draft beers and appetizers. If you can't make it out to the event, but would like to donate, you can go to www.operationwarm.org/Scranton. And, again, that's for coat for kids by the Scranton Fire Department to local kids.

And, secondly, this one is very near and dear to my heart, a good friend of mine, the Duchnik family lost a son at a very, very young age at a tragic tow truck accident while he was working a couple of months ago on Interstate 81 by Clarks

Summit. She is not only a coworker of mine,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

but a friend and our whole organization is still devastated by our great loss and this Monday, the 17th, from 5:00 to 9:00, at the Applebee's in the Viewmont Mall we are going to be doing a fundraiser for their family, for the Duchnik family. Myself, and other Applebee's managers will be waiting on tables and we will be donating our tips to the family. So, please, come out, grab some good food at the mall and at Applebee's and be generous with your tips, they are all going to going to absolutely a fantastic This is a very good family, very tight knit and they are definitely in a time That's all I have. of need right now. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I have two announcements as well. The first one, I apologize, I'm reading it off an e-mail here, will be a massacre mansion, a haunted attraction on Friday, Saturdays and Sundays in the month of October from 6 to 11 p.m. A portion of the proceeds will benefit the West Scranton Little League. It will be held at the West Scranton Little League on Oxford Street and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

South Eight Avenue and the cost is just \$10 per person.

Secondly, over the last month we have discussed quite a bit flooding in the communities, and I did mention about having a caucus regarding the flooding on Keyser Valley and West Mountain so that meeting will be held Thursday, October 27, 2016, at 5:30 in city council chambers. The purpose of the caucus is to discuss recent weather-related issues with the flooding specifically in Merrifield Avenue and Brigg Street. This starts all of way up at the top of the mountain coming down and there is issues all the way through. It says, "Several residents of the area have informed council of the ongoing flooding issues effecting their properties. This invitation is being extended to the Sewer Authority, the City of Scranton's Department of Public Works, PennDOT, and the Offices of State Representative Marty Flynn and State Senator John Blake."

So anyone who is effected by the water runoff on West Mountain or flooding in

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Keyser Valley area we certainly welcome you to attend the meeting and we also did inform the Keyser Valley Neighborhood Association president as well.

MR. EVANS: I have one. There is a grand open for the artists at Bogart Place at the Renaissance at 500, meet the winners of the Kick Start Arts Challenge, browse the new shops and support their work. 14, 5 p.m. is a ribbon cutting and 5 p.m. tonight again there is a reception. Some of the vendors are AOS Meadows, Grapevine Design, Trinket Studios -- Trinity Studio Gallery, Jennifer Blewitt Photography, Doreen Blewitt and Mary Claire Jordan. located in Bogart Place right behind On and On at 518 Lackawanna. You walk right through the Renaissance courtyard down to the steps into Bogart Place. It's a great community project.

Also, on Saturday the 15th, there is at that same location between 12 and 9 you can stop by there before you head down to the bonfire at the Scranton Iron Works which is another event Saturday evening starting

at 6:00. That's all I have. Thanks.

MR. WECHSLER: We do have a Harrison Avenue bridge update, installation of the 75 to 100 foot concrete beams which will form the bridge will mean the closing of the Central Scranton Expressway. The Expressway entrance will close this Friday, October 14, at 8 p.m. and remain closed until 6 a.m. Monday, October 17, while the crane lifts the beams into place.

According to PennDOT, motorists heading for the downtown on 81 North will have to exit at River Street and drivers on Interstate 81 South will exit on Moosic Street so please be aware of this traffic condition that probably will be a nightmare this weekend.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident and taxpayer. I have a
number of questions if you would answer them
during Fifth Order. First, it's October 13,
the City's audit is now 136 days late. Do

2

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have a status on the document? Do we have a date for the working session and does the administration still intend to extend the Rainey & Rainey contract next year?

Number two, with regard to the NDC/ABM have they decided to open their periodic meetings to the public? If so, when and where will be the first meeting?

Yesterday I attended all of the Pension Board meetings and as you saw in today's newspaper during the Composite Board a gentleman, Mr. Jerome Scott, came forward, he is a retired police officer and he wanted to have his pension upgraded to a disability He had actually retired in 1989. pension. Solicitor Durkin told him that that could not be done because the board doesn't have the legal authority to change a pension. Once the pension is approved when the described retires that's it. They cannot later be made in a disability pension at which time he pointed out to the board that somewhere between 27 and 29 other retired police officers had actually done what he was requesting and Attorney Durkin pointed

Attorney Durkin if he was going to do anything about the other officers and he said no because they weren't taking money out of the pension fund. Their only benefit was their disability pension was not taxable so my question to you is is anyone in the administration going to follow up on these disability pensions if, as Attorney Durkin suggests, they were not legally granted. Every person who does not pay their fair share of the taxes increases my tax burden and I don't like that.

Next, what's the status of the 2017 operating budget draft? When will the public get a chance to see it?

With regard to item 5-F, the loan/grant to roll call LLC for \$250,000. I read through some of the documentation.

There are a number of conditions which must be met. If they are met after 12 months, the loan converts to a grant. My question is who in the administration monitors not only this loan but all of the other loans to make sure that the people who are getting

money from the city are meeting the requirements of their agreement. We don't seem to have a very good track record with our previous loans and in that regard do we have a status on all of the overdue loans to the city that have been defaulted?

Next, what is the status of that one-year-old rape kit which we found out about several weeks ago and we still have no answer? And when will the mayor give his State of the City address? And I'll listen to your answers in Fifth Order.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Len Serebro.

MR. SEREBRO: Lennie Serebro,

Scranton resident. You pretty much answered all my questions or most of them, Mr. Rogan,

I'm pleased to hear that something really is being done. What I'm afraid of is after this meeting, you know, it goes no where.

These things have happened before, they were meetings with the neighborhood and then nothing was ever done. You know, all of the officials were there, but let me remind you to do that, the last block where the

flooding is really worse there is four vacant houses there now. The fifth is, you know, they showed it on the news where the whole basement is collapsing and in that couple of blocks there, there is three houses that I counted that are up for sale, at least they have "For Sale" signs on them.

Now, when I was last here about three weeks ago we agreed that -- or I got the impression that at least something will be done with that drainage pipe, you know, that I believe is the biggest part of the problem there. See, you know, I don't know if anything is being done, but I'm telling you every time it rains I'm on edge, you know, if it's a heavy rain I know I'm going to be getting water and flooding in both of the garage and the house.

MR. ROGAN: We did correspondence from the Sewer Authority regarding some of the flooding. It did just come in so I didn't get a chance to read it over yet, but I will be able to get you copy of it, the part of the discussion when we have the meetings since there are so many parties

1 involved. 2 MR. SEREBRO: Okay. I didn't quite 3 catch when is the date for this meeting? 4 MR. ROGAN: The 27th I believe. MR. PERRY: 27th at 5:30. 5 MR. SEREBRO: And the time? 6 MR. ROGAN: 5:30. 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: We will publish a 9 special ad for that, right, Mrs. Reed, for 10 that? MS. REED: 11 Yes 12 MR. GAUGHAN: There will be an ad in the paper for that, too. 13 14 MR. SEREBRO: And where is it at? 15 MR. ROGAN: Right here. 16 MR. SEREBRO: Oh, all right. 17 you really caught me off guard. I had a 18 whole bunch --19 MR. WECHSLER: We surprised you. 20 MR. SEREBRO: Well, these are 21 reminders what's going on. When we had 22 these really bad storms about two months ago there was the sewer that was mixed in with 23 24 the water problem run off. I'm telling you 25 we are watching poop and toilet paper going

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

down the roads, you know. That's really a unhealthy, but I understood that DEP got somehow questioned it or got involved in it. Okay, so maybe that's -- nothing will be done now that the Sewer Authority is sold or being sold that nobody is going to want to do anything, that's how I feel until something is decided, you know. That's where I thought that drainage pipe, that one big drainage pipe in the corner of these lots, you know, if that could get opened up, you know, I know it will relieve some of the problem. Like I said, every time it rains, you know, I have to worry about flooding. Okay.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. SEREBRO: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Christina O'Reilly.

MS. O'REILLY: Good evening.

Christina O'Reilly, Scranton resident. The reason I'm here tonight is to try and save 2021 Ridgmont Street from being demolished. Our neighborhood is home to the castle house. It's a beautiful home that sits up on a hill with a turret and stone structure.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It's gorgeous. It was purchased probably about ten years ago by a Russian artists who planned on turning it into an art gallery. Throughout the years, it was condemned a few times by the city for different reasons. The neighbors did try to keep up on the property, they traveled between Russia and America. She's since passed way. Her estate was not acting on the condemnation notices and the city has repossessed it. recently learned that the home is due for demolition. I have talked with the different people in our neighborhood, everyone was very upset about the impending demolition of the home and some of the neighbors have expressed interest in purchasing the home for refurbishment. Ι feel that it would be logical and financially responsible decision to sell this home to a resident so that they can purchase the property and refurbish it back to its original state.

To quote Mr. Evans from a meeting a few weeks ago discussing reinventing this government and talking about the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entrepreneurial government he says, "It looks for government to use it's limited resources in new ways and maximize productivity and effectiveness."

My question is it most effective for Scranton to take tens of thousands of dollars out of pocket to demolish this home and then have a lien on this property or is more effective and considered to our community to have a resident who appreciates history and the beauty of this home to refurbish it. This, in turn, would generate income for the city through the purchase of the home, the permits that would undoubtedly be needed to refurbish it and then the high taxes that would be due on this home because it is a large property in the Greenridge section. So I came here tonight to try and save this home and I hope that you can help me with cause.

MR. WECHSLER: Ms. O'Reilly, could you give the address again one more time?

MS. O'REILLY: 1021 Ridgmont Street.

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm actually very familiar with this property. Might have

been about year and a half ago one of the neighbors had reached out to me, the grass was overgrown, all of that kind of stuff, and we are trying to locate, you know, who owned the house, where she was and all of that kind of stuff, but I do remember the neighbor telling me about the beauty of the home and I do think that we demolish far too many homes in the city so we will look into this and hopefully we can do something. the neighbors want to get together, I'm not sure procedurally how that works, but we can definitely find out and I think it would be more beneficial, you know, to help the neighbors out.

MR. EVANS: I agree. I'm obviously a preservationist at heart and I'm former president of the Architectural Heritage Association, so do I want to save that house? Absolutely. I talked to Director Hinton and, of course, he explained that. On the other side of it were all of the complaints from the neighbors that wanted something done because the grass was overgrown, etcetera.

MS. O'REILLY: Right.

MR. EVANS: The big issue is they can't seem to get ahold of anybody that's responsible for the property so as much as when you suggested the right thing to do is sell it, I don't know how we would do that. We have no information --

MR. GAUGHAN: What if there is as way, just thinking outside of the box here, what if there was a way that we could try to get this property into the land bank?

MR. EVANS: The problem, I think, and Director Hinton was going to check this for me, he was going to check the tax location, have they paid the taxes, so if the taxes have not been paid we could give it the land bank, I don't know.

MS. O'REILLY: I did as much as I could trying to find out from the neighborhood because you know how it is the whole neighborhood everybody knows a little bit, they believe that the taxes are paid up, but that the owner has passed away so now it's in her estate whether or not -- acting on it.

MR. EVANS: What I would ask is probably we contact Director Hinton or whoever is responsible for the demolition and see if we could put a moratorium on that a little longer. I know it's about a long time so some of the neighbors are probably, you know, looking forward to demolition, although, I don't know why because all your are --

MS. O'REILLY: (Unintelligible.)

MR. EVANS: But other have complained about it, but all they are going to get is an empty lot with overgrown grass --

MS. O'REILLY: Right.

MR. EVANS: -- and nothing is going to change so if we could save that building and get into the hands of somebody that really cares about it and wants to restore it that would be a win for everybody.

MS. O'REILLY: And we do have people on our street, there's one man actually that bought two homes on the street and has refurbished them and he is interested in this home as well.

MR. WECHSLER: Mrs. Reed, could you contact Mr. Hinton or DPW and see when the building is scheduled for demolition if it's on this year's list and see how much time we have. Perhaps it's not scheduled.

MR. EVANS: As far as I know it's on the OECD list, so if you can check that out and find out and if there's a way we can put that on some level of moratorium so we get to the bottom of this and find out what actually can be done. It's almost ashame that sadly the taxes are paid because that would have be an avenue we could get the property --

MS. O'REILLY: Maybe I'm wrong. I may be mistaken.

MR. EVANS: No, I think you are probably right which is interesting because, you know, she passed away, it's an estate, so somebody is paying the taxes, you know, but we can't reach that person to find out what they want to do with the property, but the last recourse should be the demolition of that house.

MR. WECHSLER: Even if it was in

foreclosure we could track it down with or foreclosure ordinance but this is a strange case where everything is paid and it's just neglected, but we will find out when demolition is scheduled or if it's scheduled and see how much time we have so we make sure that an excavator doesn't pull in there next week and knock it down.

MS. O'REILLY: That's what I'm worried about. Every time I walk up and down the street I'm waiting for there to be machinery there to knock it down.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, we will do that tomorrow to make sure that doesn't happen.

MS. O'REILLY: How can I follow-up?

MR. PERRY: If would you leave your name and contact information here and we can keep you in the loop.

MR. ROGAN: Just for some background on that, I'm actually working on a similar situation in the Hill Section with Ron Koladjeski and originally Pat Hinton. Now Jason Shrive is involved with the law department. The issue, and they're very similar, the issue in the hill that we

encountered is there's a property that is on the city demolition list, and somebody wants to purchase. It's actually the county has the property, somebody wants to purchase it, but in order to appeal the demolition you have to be the owner of the property. So there is a legal question there on whether or not they can hold a hearing to revoke the demolition based upon a future sale of the property.

MR. EVANS: Well, again, I don't think we are asking to remove it, we are just saying let's just hold back a little bit, postpone it so we all have an opportunity to really address this and see if we can find out once and for all and get a responsible party to come forward and get something done about the property.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, especially if there is interest in the property there is absolutely no reason to hurry up and do a demo. That's pretty much just sorting out our cards, and like my fellow councilmen said, finding ownership, contacting ownership and doing a transfer. So if we

1 can do that, you know, we can have a win-win 2 for everybody. 3 MS. O'REILLY: Thank you very much. MR. WECHSLER: Thank you for 4 5 speaking up. Anyone else? MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening. 6 7 Marie Schumacher. Tonight's property value report as of today, 22 percent of the 8 9 property transactions since mid-March in the 10 county were in Scranton. Of those, 46.3 11 percent of the Scranton property 12 transactions were below the CLR, and even 13 worse what no property owner really wants to 14 hear, a property a block away from me sold, it was assessed at \$11,500 and it sold for 15 16 \$20,000. 1.7 percent. MR. EVANS: Was the assessment 17 18 11,000 times --19 MS. SCHUMACHER: In your time. It's 20 a fact. If you would like the address I'll 21 give it to you. 22 MR. EVANS: Okay. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Last week I shared 24 my dismay that the Utility Commission had 25 kicked the can down the street on the main

23

24

25

issue with the Sewer Authority sale. the asset sale agreement of course has enough legal ease in it to make any non-lawyer's head spin with many references and counter references of other sections and you can go crazy, so what I as a ratepayer and as a taxpayer need to know before this all happens, and I think you all need to find out for us since you represent us, is what happens to that 1.9 percent compound over the first ten years if the PUC ultimately turns down that request? I mean, I know, Wayne, you said last week that Act 11 already made it moot but I ready Act 11 and it says they have the permission to come in and petition, but it doesn't guarantee so what would happen? Now, I know the agreement contains a severability clause, but there is also lots of the other clauses which don't sound too straight forward. there any other clauses that could override that or cause, for instance, there is a purchase price adjustment clause, could that adjust the purchase price and we would -either the city would have to remit or do

something or there's a Section 7.07 (d), a variance adjustment, could that result either in reduction of the purchase price which would impact us as taxpayers or the application of a distribution improvement charge which would impact us as ratepayers?

The agreement does contain a clause that has bothered me, it was in the letter that I sent to Mayor Courtright that he refuses to respond to since May. Here's what it says: "The buyer shall not propose or implement a distribution improvement system charge for the service area," and I'm assuming that would be us, "waste water customers before January 1, 2019. Is it possible that distribution improvements system charge may be applied after the first of January 29, and if so would this charge be exclusive of the 1.9 percent or inclusive of that cap?"

I think that is -- that is very pertinent. It looks as though we are going to get another rate, we got all these storm water issues, we still don't know what that's going to cost. We don't know how

much the whole cost of this transaction is going to cost. I don't know what the status and I know there is something in there for if they don't get the rights of way that they were seeking called I think it was a whole something, but anyway, I think we really need to get the answers to those questions because I just feel a train wreck coming down the road.

And then a few other items, an interesting legal notice in today's paper, the first one was announcing that someone who -- and this alone really boggled my mind that three people in the same family work for the Fire Department. And I can't understand it's for -- they are seeking assistance for -- though OECD for the Homebuyer's Assistant Program and, frankly, I can't understand how anybody that works in our Fire Department could meet the low moderate income so I don't really know --

MR. EVANS: I'll explain that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- what's going on.

You can do that in Fifth Order, if you
would, because I do have a few more. Then

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the retiree issue as well, again, I think if I could finish on that one, I really think you people, if it's true, that a former solicitor, I have no idea who it was that granted those from 2000 -- even the ones from 2002 through 2010, if that was an illegal action I think we should sue -- the city should sue on behalf the taxpayers for a refund. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I listened to the last work project, of course, I listened to it before, but that building we used as warehousing after 2002 and it was used for warehousing, but since the people of lower Greenridge supported the original project I had no problem against The only trouble that bothers me is looking for grants because that project originally was in three separate stages and they wrote it for three separate grants. might as well just do it ourselves, borrow again.

It's odd that if somebody wants

something in the city all they have to do is come to the mayor and he arranges it.

Somebody wanted the golf course so that had to be sold. Somebody wanted the old DPW site so that had to be leased. Somebody wanted the South Side Complex, that had to be sold. Exactly what in the city is the residents vow to keep except the debt.

As you know, the selling of the garage for a dollar to me is malfeasance. I believe we should hold -- have really an informal some kind of -- well, a hearing on it and give the total cost of this project to the city. I think we are going to lose anywhere from 130 to 150 million dollars over the life of that contract, and I can add and I only took 2014 budget and took the figures from that and it's a lot worse.

As you know, if somebody gets a parking ticket and don't pay it they have to go to a justice of the peace. They don't come back to the city and pay it. They have to fight it in court. Is this right for the citizens? You're going to destroy downtown. People ain't going to come here to park, and

I don't blame them and there is no guarantee that these people won't declare bankruptcy and leave us with all of the debt. The debt they took out because it's in the form of a We would have to take everything that garages put out, all of the fees for all the fines, the meter parking, and give it to the bondholders. This is what I say is that malfeasance? To me, it is and I'm surprised that no government official has called for a hearing on that deal. What are they going to wait like Harrisburg and then all of a sudden they are going to send in the guy that said, "Well, you shouldn't have done that."

Why was it done because the cost is much more than we are getting and that's the sad part. When you start paying more out than you were getting in, well, I shouldn't say getting in, that you had to pay out.

You had to pay out about three million or a year, I don't know how many more years it had to go because that wasn't told by the newspaper. The newspaper only put out an outline, a sketchy outline, but when you

_ .

look into anything in debt it wasn't a good
-- they called it not sweet. I called it
malfeasance, and I believe that's what it
should be.

We need a government hearing of some type either from the Auditor General or from even the Attorney General and look into the total cost and why it was done. When somebody is giving \$28 million at a handshake that's what it amounts to. That's not right and who was the other people? Did they offer us money for the lease or did they just say, "Hey, go with these other guys because we like them, we will do better for you?"

And the question is what are they doing for you that bothers me because nobody in their mind could accept that monetization like you call it except people that were getting something from it, and I'm sorry to say a lot of people think the same way. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MS. REED: FIRTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one thing tonight. I want to just thank Don Rinaldi for coming over to our public hearing today for a meeting. He is with the Lace building affiliates and he kind of gave council a low down on what's going on with the Scranton Lace project and I was very happy with what he had to say. Putting a development of townhouses in that area, it's over ten acres, he is already invested \$2 million into this project which means he has skin in the game. It's not going to be one of those situations where you have a telemarketer come in, unplug when they are done and leave This person has money invested. group has money invested and when everything is said and done it's going to be a \$15 million project with homes and these homes bring families. With these families, bring more revenue base to our city and I see a lot of good things happening with this project as it goes forward.

Afterward, I asked him as far as -there is going to be a lot of construction,

a lot of jobs being done and I asked him how he felt about hiring local workers and he didn't even hesitate and he looked me right in the eye and he said he believes in prevailing wage, he honors it and he is going to be hiring from our strong and well-abled work force locally, which I was very thrilled to see. And this is a great example of public and private coop working together to get something done for the community and, you know, me for one I'm very happy to see this go forward and I think this is going to be a real asset for the city. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of items. First, and I love having to say this every week, but I would like to thank our police department again for a job well done. There was a shooting in the Bellevue section of Scranton and within two days the -- cooperating with other agencies the individual was apprehended, so I want to thank them for their hard dedicated work on that. We are lucky that we live in a city

when there is a shooting it's a huge deal that's it's not like some other cities, south Wilkes-Barre, Hazelton, where it's just another day so I want to thank them tore their hard work and, again, it's something I say almost every week about one of our departments but it's something I like saying and they certainly deserve thanks for a job well done.

Second, an issue was brought up regarding property at Richmont Street and I did want to give a little bit of an update about the land banks, there was actually another issue that I'm currently working with Mr. Koldjeski on, a property in the Hill Section, it's a similar situation. This property is -- this property is in the land bank. I understand that the one on Ridgmont Street is not, and it's also on the city's demolition list, so the issue is there is an individual that wants to purchase the property but they don't want to purchase it while it's on the city's demolition list so it's kind of a chicken before the egg type of situation where the

5

4

7

8

6

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city doesn't want to take it off the demolition list until there is somebody who owns it that, you know, is going to do the rehab and vice versa. The purchaser is not going to buy a property that's on a demolition list, that they purchase the property a week later it could be demolished so I have discussed this with Mr. Koldjeski at length and also talked to Mr. Hinton and right now it's with Attorney Shrive to see what legal options there are to do in this type of situation. Like I said, it's a little bit different situation because this one is in the land bank, there is a little more government control that, but these type of situation when there is a property that's dangerous, dilapidated, if there's somebody that wants to rehab it we need to do everything we can to make that happen. last thing we want to see is buildings torn down, especially historic buildings.

Next, Councilman Perry touched on this already, the Lace Work project, and it seems that it's been a long time coming, obviously since 2002 with the building being

24

25

vacant. The residents in that neighborhood I'm sure feel like it's a lot longer than 2002, but even for myself I did ask in the back prior to the start it fells like since the 90's that the building has been vacant, but this project I'm confident will be really be a great project for the city, particularly the lower Greenridge neighborhood. Driving through there that's the first thing you see and it's an eyesore. It a huge undertaking and I want to thank Mr. Rinaldi for taking that on. I know he has a track record of doing a good job with other projects within the city, but one thing I want to stress that Mr. Evans brought up, the proposed LERTA will not reduce the current taxes that are paid on the property. What they are currently paying they will continue to pay. The only tax break they will receive is on the new construction and the townhouse side which, as was mentioned, would be between 36 and 196 units, and even on the low end if each one of those individuals, even they are making a smaller salary, that's quite a bit

of money that's coming back in the city in the form of wage tax and in the form of other taxes, and not to mention living there, shopping in the shops within the area, I know there is some good restaurants in the area as well, so I really think this project overall will be a boon for the city.

There is lot of talk especially in the media about connecting that to the city and idea of linking this project with the medical school, with the universities one way or another whether it's by -- I would love to see a trolley car but hat's probably not going to happen, but some type of pathway would be excellent, and there really is a demand for this type of housing.

When the apartments were started in downtown Scranton I know the first developers that stepped into that were probably told by a number of people they were crazy, and then when the projects were done they had waitlists for the rentals, you know, as thick as this packet in front of me so that's been a great success and I'm confident this project will be as well so

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm very glad to support that.

And, finally, sticking with the economic development theme, we also have a vote tonight for an economic development loan to grant for Roll Call. And the nice story about this one is the property that Roll Call purchased in Keyser Valley there was a business there and they grew too big to be in that current facility, they moved to Dunmore, so we lost one business. good news is Roll Call was based in Duryea and they moving to Scranton which is a story I wish we could tell more often that we are taking a business from one of the neighboring communities and bringing it back into Scranton, and in the process they are going to create eight full -- eight new full-time hires and those jobs have to be from low to moderate income individuals.

A speaker did mention the compliance, the compliance is actually done by Tom Preambo in OECD. We actually met to go over this loan and couple of others that are going to be coming in the coming weeks. He stated on top of compliance issues they

are very strict federal regulations with on the job creation of these type of loans, and although there have been issues with other types of loans, these job creating loans have been very successful in the city. know that he would do a good job to administer it.

And another thing that should be noted, these funds were actually sitting in the city coffers for decades in a dormant account that really couldn't be used. Thanks to cooperation with Governor Wolf's office, the city was given permission to repurpose these funds to use them for these type of programs. I do know there is a similar loan to grant that will be on our agenda a next week, I think there is a third one that will be coming down from that same pot of funds, so all in all it's a very positive meeting tonight on the economic development front, so I will leave it a that. Thank you.

> MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. To answer

23

20

21

22

24 25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Schumacher's question about the legal ad, to the best of my knowledge, I don't have the full story, but an individual is purchasing property through the First Time Homebuyer's Program. They are not an employee of the City of Scranton, however, a sibling is an employee of the City of Scranton. For some reason, OECD is looking for a ruling from HUD and that was one of the recommendations that they print a legal ad stating that this is the situation that's happening. I don't know why, I don't think it's a conflict at all that somebody is buying a house, a first time homebuyer. Ιn fact, I wish everybody in city hall that bought a house was a first time homebuyer, but as you mentioned, a fire fighter wouldn't even qualify because he makes too much money, but this individual does and they are not an employee, just related to an employee so that's -- hopefully that's the answer to that.

There was a recent article in the Times discussing some efforts in Clarks

Green to pick up leaves so the Borough

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

10

11

12

9

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

provides free bags to all of residents and students from the All Peace School canvas the entire neighborhood and they drop off and leave it to all of the residents so I thought it was a great idea. The Borough Public Works employees pick up the garbage bags -- or the leaf bags Monday through Friday -- or Monday through Thursday until December 1.

I bring this up because last year -well, first of all, they seem to have a plan so that's encouraging, so last year I was reminded by a taxpayer that on several occasions the DPW actually suspended newspaper recycling to pick up leaves and we were still picking up leaves until around Christmas, so I'd like to send a note to DPW to see what the plan is for this year, if there is a little more coordinated plan and also there always seems to be a question of what you put the leaves in. Do you put them in a plastic bag or do you open the plastic Do you close the plastic bag? it be in a paper bag like you get at supermarkets? Maybe even the recycling

coordinator could be involved in this, too, because I think what we should be moving towards, if we haven't already, is having residents put them in large paper bags that can be recycled as well so I'd like to see if we can get ahead of that and develop a plan this year before the trees truly start fall off the trees.

A couple of weeks ago I briefly discussed the term entrepreneurial government that was quoted to me tonight from a speaker that I was kind of excited that people were actually listening out there so that's nice, so tonight I want to expand on that discussion a little bit.

First of all, a little background.

The term entrepreneur is coined by a French economist JP Slade around the year 1800.

His definition was someone who shifts economic resources out of an area of lower productivity and into an area of higher productivity and greater yield. An entrepreneur, in other words, as I discussed previously, uses resources in new ways to maximize productivity and effectiveness so

when we discuss an entrepreneurial model of government, we are talking about public sector institutions that habitually act this way, that constantly use their resources in new ways to heighten both their efficiency and their effectiveness. This is what we -- that is what and where we need to be, to habitually act in an entrepreneurial way.

Peter Drucker, who will be familiar to anyone who was ever taken a business management course, assured us that almost anyone could be a entrepreneur if the organization is structured to encourage entrepreneurship. Conversely, almost any entrepreneur could be turned into a bureaucrat if the organization structured to encourage bureaucratic behavior.

He also stated that the most entrepreneurial, innovative people behave like the worst bureaucratic or power-hungry politician six months after they have taken over the management of the public sector institution, particularly if it is a government institution. This should sound familiar to any of us who had experience

with this and other levels of government.

So it really does confirm to me that it is all about the systems and the structure of the organization within those systems that will allow us to change individual behavior and to become an entrepreneurial government and if we can make that shift we can have hope that we can move beyond Act 47 and actually survive and thrive.

So, again, in the coming weeks I will be digging a little deeper into how an entrepreneurial government works as well as fully explore the concept of truly reinventing our government. That's all I have tonight. Thanks.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First comment, I spoke to the Youngs today, I'm still waiting for an update on what's going on with their situation on Wyoming Avenue and the large hole that continues to get bigger every day. Right now they feel hopeless. They have not heard from anyone

in the city in quite some time and I know that they have been trying to get in touch with the mayor since the end of the summer. He had promised them that this problem would be taken care of, yet, when they go to his office or they call him he refuses to meet with them or answer their questions.

So, Mrs. Reed, if you could again send a letter to the administration asking what the hold up is and what the status is of this problem because I am worried about somebody getting hurt in that area.

A resident posed a question about
the dumping going on off Mineral Avenue and
our DPW director did get in touch with our
office and explain that the city uses this
area to store the milling product when roads
are being paved throughout the city. There
has been an uptick of trucks in that area
due to the amount of paving that's been
going on in the city and the DPW actually
reuses the product as a result of the
milling for future road repairs and it's
stored in this area so that is why residents
are seeing more truck traffic in the past

few months.

On the issue of the recycling, I did receive a few e-mails from people who live in housing projects throughout the city that they still are not able to recycle in the housing project so I would like, Mrs. Reed, if we could get an update from our recycling consultant on any efforts that are being made in that regard.

And as it relates to Mr. Evans talking about the leaf pickup, I would agree with him 100 percent, and my recommendation, this is going on two years now, is for the city and other municipalities do this, when they mail out their garbage bills they mail out a calendar, a 12-month calendar with what the scheduled pickup is, how you recycle leaves and other things so I think if we are moving into the next year or two I think we should develop that and try to get that going because it is very helpful, and I do get asked all of the time what the policies and procedures are of the DPW so if we could recommend that I would appreciate it.

25

1

2

3

I would like to say that I fully support the Greenridge Neighborhood Association in their lawsuit to obtain a Court determination on whether the Scranton Sewer Authority and the city improperly allowed the Keystone Sanitary Landfill to pipe leachate throughout Greenridge. The issues of leachate and the landfill, although they haven't come up in this forum in few weeks, are so important not only to the people of Greenridge but in my opinion to the city as a whole. The future of our area depends upon both of these battles.

And to end, our project to transition city council paperless meetings is going very well, as I spoke about in the caucus. Our staff is scheduled to be trained on this new program within the next few weeks and we are working with the company Granicus on a smooth transition so I'll keep everybody updated on that. And that is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. No baby yet, right?

MR. GAUGHAN: No baby as far as I

know.

MR. WECHSLER: I just have a few items here, in our effort that we started a few weeks ago working on getting some updates on pave cuts in the city paving, as Mr. Hazzouri had told us, he did give us an update on the some of projects that are going on in the city. He gave us the street report this week. Cedar Avenue, the 3100 and 3200 blocks the gas company work that will be paved next week which is 10-17. On the Eynon Street intersection there is going to be some work done being next week and paving will commence the follow week for that.

Work. This work will be done and the roads will be -- the work will be done and the roads will be permanently, a permanent paving problem will not be until next spring. There is a project on Milo Street. There is a project on the 300 to 400 block of Crown. There also is Colliery Avenue between Davis Street and Lowery Street and then will is also projects on Burke, Kane,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Lowery Street and the last one would be again, Colliery and Davis, between Davis and Street and Colliery Street. So Mr.

Hazzouri has given us this update. These will be available from council for council

members and we'll see what we can do to get

it out to the public.

In addition to that, I have arranged to have meeting with Jim Hoover, who is the superintendent of the projects for the Pennsylvania American Water Company. going to meet with Mr. Hoover on Monday to discuss the procedures that they use with their contractors for making sure that the permanent repairs and the utility work is done to the required specifications. have had some residents calling us and calling the council office suggesting that they are concerned that some of them may not be done properly. I don't have a feeling on that yet so that's why I did want to meet with Mr. Hoover and see what his concerns are and how they are handling the repairs and the inspections afterwards.

This week I did meet with the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representatives from NDC, especially Michael Gaughan and Dave Trevasani. It was an introductory meeting for me to get familiar with them and familiar within the NDC. We had a great open discussion about their plans for the city and what they expect from us, and some of the matters that we touched on were the parking security and the camera systems and Mr. Perry is following up with We also discussed what that next week. their plans are for building development and properties that they own, especially the Linden Street garage and the building that their office was in, where the Coliseum was, and also with Molly Branigan's so they are very anxious to begin development on that.

As we kicked around in many years, we did address with them the possibility of emergency parking at the garages during winter storms for effective snow removal, it is something that they are going to have to work on. I kind of suggested to them the fact that if we can get the streets cleaned faster it would be more beneficial for their meter revenue so it is something that they

are going to take a look at, and we also discussed coming up with some type of a plan for special event parking in town that right now since parking meters are going to -- parking fees are going to aggressively pursued in order to make this deal work we are going to take a look and make sure that any open events that are downtown won't be negatively affected by parking meters.

I also did bring up the meetings being open to the public. Right now there is not a meeting scheduled, there is no meeting scheduled right now with this, basically it sounds like there is going to be a least one annual meeting that's held in the city. The other meetings will probably not. Those, like I said, it will probably be one annual meeting of that and then there will be update reports given to us and the board members.

Continuing the good news of this evening on the economic development, and it's been a long time since we have talked about that, and the fact it was several different projects going on I think it leads

to the fact that we are making progress in the city and we are getting a better reputation. People are coming back and taking another look at Scranton. Today Mrs. Reed represented city council at a meeting that was held in Senator Blake's Office concerning the development of the Viewmont Mall. As everyone knows, a lot of the new development be in Scranton. The demarkation I guess is halfway through Penny's to the right as you go into the mall. Those stores are in the city and so there will be major construction there as well.

And as Mr. Perry referenced, there is going to be an opportunity for the trades over the next couple of years in this area because of these developments the Lace works, this project and a lot of different things that are going on so it will be beneficial for our construction trade workers and also the permit fees that are going to come into the city, and just to finish up and touch on the Lace Works project, I think it's very similar to the North Scranton project. That's a project

23

24

25

that was started, it really took a long time for it to get it's feet on the ground, but when you go up to the Goodwill site and Scranton Junior High School the wait was worth it. In fact, that an anchor now for the North Scranton neighborhood and I think this facility now will also be an anchor for lower Greenridge and the Bulls Head neighborhood. Those neighbors have been very patient down there and they have been very cooperative at assisting this program. I think that the theme tonight is that the work is paying off and tonight Mr. Rinaldi was not here asking for a grant he was asking for a LERTA. The LERTA program is a good program for us because we don't have cash. We don't have any cash to invest in the project, but we can forgive taxes or not collect taxes, which is our investment in these type of projects and since the fact they are going to continue to pay what they pay it really has no impact on taxpayers. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - VACATING ANY AND ALL RIGHT,

1	TITLE AND INTEREST OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON
2	IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OF A PORTION OF NORTH
3	EIGHTH AVENUE IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, AS
4	MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED ON THE MAP AND
5	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION ATTACHED HERETO.
6	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. EVANS: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. PERRY: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: 5-C. OR INTRODUCTION -
21	AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
22	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT
23	AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS IN THE AMOUNT OF
24	\$94,268.00 AWARDED THROUGH THE U. S.
25	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BODY-WORN CAMERA PILOT

IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM TO PURCHASE AND
DEPLOY BODY-WORN CAMERAS FOR THE SCRANTON
POLICE DEPARTMENT.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I just want to commend Chief Graziano and the police department for seeking out and being awarded this grant. The body camera program has proven across the country to be an effective way to enhance accountability and transparency while strengthening the public trust in their law enforcement agency. I think this program is good now for the public trust, but making sure that we are protecting our police officers, so I fully support this program. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add I agree wholeheartedly with those comments, and also, many of the incidents that we have seen across this country over the last year

if people hadn't seen what actually happened there wouldn't have been riots in the streets, there wouldn't have been all of these instances, and as I mentioned earlier, we have one of the best police officers departments in the country that's why that doesn't happen in Scranton, but if an officer is falsely accused of doing something, which has happened in many of these instances, these cameras can show the truth so this is something I fully support.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH SANDONE TIRE
TO PROVIDE NEW TIRES FOR CITY OWNED VEHICLES
FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 THROUGH

1 DECEMBER 31, 2017. 2 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: 5 So moved. MR. EVANS: Second. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 8 those in favor of introduction signify by 9 saying aye. 10 MR. PERRY: Aye. 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 15 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 16 17 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 18 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH SANDONE TIRE TO 19 20 PROVIDE RETREAD TIRES FOR CITY OWNED 21 VEHICLES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017 22 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017. 23 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 13 14 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN TO 15 GRANT AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN/GRANT FROM 16 17 THE CITY OF SCRANTON BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY 18 LOAN/GRANT PROGRAM FOR PROJECT NO.150.37 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$250,000.00 TO ROLL 19 20 CALL LLC, TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT. 21 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 23 introduced into its proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 25 MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

Just one comment on the question, Councilman Rogan referred to that this money had been held up and that the Governor worked with us to release it and I think it's a testament to, once again, the efforts that the council and the administration have had with working with Governor Wolf that is he willing to work with us in these instances. Once again, I think it's a benefit of what we have been doing to try and get our financial house in order that Governor Wolf is being more responsive to us. All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE
EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON ON
BEHALF OF THE NORTH SCRANTON NEIGHBORHOOD

ASSOCIATION TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT
OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT(DCED)
FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT (GAMING
FUNDS) MONROE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF
\$52,340.00 FOR RENOVATIONS TO MCLAIN PARK
AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT THE
GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND DISBURSE THE GRANT
FUNDS FOR THE RENOVATION OF MCLAIN PARK
LOCATED ON ROCKWELL AVENUE IN NORTH
SCRANTON.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I just want to say that I'm in full support of this grant application. I use this park almost daily, I live right across the street and there has been no money put in this park in over 20 years. The playground equipment is in pretty bad condition, it needs to be replaced. Bangor Heights, which is a

housing project, is located a block away.

To say that there are hundreds of children that use this a week is an understatement and then you have all of the families that use the softball field who bring their children who use the park so this grant application I'm hopeful that we will receive the money from the state and that we will start to breathe new life into this area of the city and into this park. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, echoing
Mr. Gaughan's comments, we have been trying
to assist the North Scranton Neighborhood
Association in working with them to help
develop this program and they are working
very hard on it, also, and there is a
tremendous commitment from them and from the
residents in North Scranton to improve this
park which is really the center of that old
neighborhood and kids do use it so I think
this will be a worthy investment with every
type of money we can get in there.

MR. EVANS: I agree. When we see neighbors that taking ownership like they have similar to what has happened with South

Scranton and Connors Park and in Greenridge at Crowley Park that's where it starts. You know, as Councilman Wechsler just said, you know, the neighbors have rallied around this, they are fully supportive of this and they will take ownership of this once it's operational again.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE
FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 55,

2016- CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL

CITY ESCROW ACCOUNT NO. 02.229620 ENTITLED

"HARB" TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE FUNDS RECEIVED

FROM THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW

BOARD APPLICATIONS FOR THE CERTIFICATE OF

1	APPROPRIATENESS.
2	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
3	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Finance?
5	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
7	passage of Item 7-A.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
10	Roll call, please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
12	MR. PERRY: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
16	MR. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
21	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
22	adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
24	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
25	- RESOLUTION NO. 74, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE

	63
1	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
2	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH D.
3	G. NICHOLAS COMPANY TO PROVIDE THE CITY BULK
4	OIL DELIVERED FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2017
5	THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2017.
6	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Public Works?
9	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-B.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
14	Roll call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
20	MR. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
25	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully

1 adopted. MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 2 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION 3 - RESOLUTION NO. 75, 2016 - RATIFYING AND 4 APPROVING THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF 5 THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF 6 SCRANTON TO THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 7 COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED") 8 9 FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT (GAMING FUNDS) MONROE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF 10 \$236,600.00 FOR A PAVING PROJECT TO BE 11 COMPLETED IN THE OAKWOOD DEVELOPMENT IN 12 SCRANTON'S WEST SIDE. 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the 15 16 Committee on Public Works? 17 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 19 passage of Item 7-C. 20 MR. ROGAN: Second. 21 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 22 Roll call, please? 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

24

25

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes. Mr. Evans. 2 MS. CARRERA: MR. EVANS: Yes. 3 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: 5 Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 6 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 7 8 declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully 9 adopted. MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 10 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY-FOR ADOPTION 11 12 - RESOLUTION NO. 76, 2016 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF 13 14 THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THE 15 PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND 16 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ("DCED") FOR A LOCAL 17 18 SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT (GAMING FUNDS) MONROE COUNTY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$44,125.00 FOR THE 19 20 PURCHASE OF A VEHICLE FOR THE SCRANTON 21 POLICE DEPARTMENT FIRE MARSHALL. 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Public Safety? 25 MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the

1	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
2	final passage of Item 7-D.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
5	Roll call, please?
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
7	MR. PERRY: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
11	MR. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
16	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
17	adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
19	THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR
20	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 77, 2016 -
21	RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND
22	SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE
23	CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF UNITED
24	NEIGHBORHOOD CENTERS OF NORTHEASTERN
25	PENNSYLVANIA (UNC), TO THE PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC 1 DEVELOPMENT FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT. 2 3 PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT, FOR A REAL ESTATE 4 DEVELOPMENT PROJECT LOCATED AT 530 CEDAR 5 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA, 18505 AND AUTHORIZING 6 THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY 7 8 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE 9 AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT IF APPROVED WITH THE COMMONWEALTH 10 OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE 11 12 GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$310,000.00 IF AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 13 14 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "SOUTH SCRANTON WOMEN'S BUSINESS INCUBATOR 15 16 PROJECT". 17 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 19 Committee on Community Development? 20 MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the 21 Committee on Community Development, I 22 recommend final passage of Item 7-E. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 Roll call, please?

		68
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.	
2	MR. PERRY: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
11	declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully	
12	adopted.	
13	If there is no further business,	
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
15	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
16	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER