F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, October 12, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN (Not present) TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. Mr.
11	Wechsler.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Mr. Gaughan is
13	absent tonight due to illness.
14	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
15	appoint Councilman Perry as temporary
16	Chairperson for the Committee on Public
17	Works.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
20	those in favor signify by saying aye.
21	MR. PERRY: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

Do any councilmen have announcements at this time?

MR. EVANS: I have one. The seventh annual bonfire at the furnaces will take place next Saturday, October 21, from 6:00 p.m. to 10 p.m. at the historic iron furnace located close to downtown at 159 Cedar Avenue South Scranton right off the exit from 81 and near Coney Island. The bonfire will begin at 8 p.m. The bonfire festival is fundraising for the Anthracite Heritage Museum explores the roots of Halloween in Celtic Culture and celebrates other culture autumn festivals while highlighting Northeastern Pennsylvania's cultural past.

MR. PERRY: Yes, and I also have an announcement to make. The Scranton Police Department Fighting for a Cure spaghetti dinner is underway. It started on the 8th and it's going to run through October 22. It's 11 a.m. to 8 p.m. daily. It's at Fratelli's restaurant on Providence Road. Tickets are on sale for \$12. It's eat in, take out, and as always, all the proceeds go to the American Cancer Society.

1	MR. WECHSLER: And as a reminder, on
2	October 22 there will be a benefit for
3	Elaine Novembrino Hubb. She is the daughter
4	of City Controller Roseann Novembrino. The
5	benefit will be held and Kilcoyne's from 2
6	p.m. to 7 p.m.
7	Dispense with the reading of the
8	minutes.
9	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
10	TAX ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING
11	DATES HELD SEPTEMBER 27 AND SEPTEMBER 28,
12	2017.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
14	comments? If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
16	REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF THE
17	SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD SEPTEMBER 6,
18	2017.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
20	comments? If not, received and filed.
21	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
22	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD OCTOBER
23	19, 2017.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
25	comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-D. BREAKDOWN OF THE ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF JULY, AUGUST AND SEPTEMBER, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. And since we already did announcements.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fray Franus. Mayor
Bill Courtright's reelection campaign
includes billboards and mailers which claim
that he has created more progress in three
years than in the previous 20 years. Let's
take a closer look at the real progress of
Mayor Bill Courtright.

Tax increases. Since January 2014, the date Bill Courtright took the oath of office as mayor, our property taxes have increased 82 percent. According to the Scranton Times, Scranton now has the highest taxes of all cities, boroughs and townships in Lackawanna County. Three former and current city council allies for

Mr. Courtright gifted him with a 46 percent tax increase to kick off his first day in office and together they kept that tax ball rolling until reelection year 2017.

However, while slapping taxpayers with annual increases, not once did he approach wealthy Scranton nonprofits for payments in lieu of taxes.

Fee hikes. In January 2014, our garbage fee increased from \$178 to \$300 annually. Despite Scranton City Council stating that this garbage fee increase is excessively high and a study being undertaken, Mayor Courtright has refused to decrease it to a fair and justifiable dollar amount. Sadly, citizens must sue the Courtright administration, hire legal representation and fight this mayor in Court to pursue accurate decreases in garbage and rental registration fees.

Asset giveaways. Bill Courtright gifted a downtown parking garage to the owner of the Steamtown Marketplace. In addition, he gave profitable parking meters to the nonprofit that soon controlled city

parking garages.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sweetheart deals. Mayor Courtright gave extended lucrative contracts to police and fire unions assuring fat salaries and comfortable benefits through 2021 on top of their massive \$30 million court award, but the biggest backroom deal of all time was the sale of Scranton Sewer Authority. Mayor Courtright states we have \$140 million in required sewer upgrades yet he doesn't tell us that the Sewer Authority completed \$26 million in upgrades before the sale. the more accurate dollar amount is \$114 in required upgrades not \$140. Courtright hired a city attorney and campaign contributor to represent our city in the sewer sale with a wink and a handshake rather than a legal contract. Attorney's fees for this sale are approximately \$3.1 million, yet the mayor never accounted for these heavy payments through the public.

Relatives of Mayor Bill Courtright,
City Council Solicitor Amil Minora, Judge
Carmen Minora, who will hear a

10

11

8

9

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sewer-authority related case, and Dunmore
Council Solicitor Cummings, were employees
of Pennsylvania State agencies which had
been requested to investigate the sale of
the Scranton Sewer Authority by both
Scranton City and Dunmore Councils and to
this very day no one knows where the Sewer
Authority -- whether, rather, the Sewer
Authority continues to legally exist or was
dissolved in December of 2016.

The Courtright storm water fee. Since Mayor Courtright didn't include the storm water system in the sale of the Sewer Authority, it became the city's responsibility, which actually mean it becomes the ratepayers' problem, but Bill Courtright never talks about the new fee he created and never includes it in his campaign ads. He is hoping we will forget about it until the November election. Courtright storm water fee will be his legacy as mayor. This new fee will arrive in the mail at some point following this election year and will continue long after Bill Courtright leaves office. The

Courtright storm water fee is a forever fee that never should have happened to us.

This is Mayor Bill Courtright's real progress, an 82 percent tax increase, a \$300 garbage fee, asset giveaways, sweetheart deals and a Courtright storm water fee. Can we afford four more years of Bill Courtright? Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton taxpayer and resident. 26 days to
November 7th's general election. I do hope
that all registered voters come out and
vote. I would also hope, I don't expect
this, but I would hope to see results of the
Arcadis study released. If not before the
election, soon thereafter. We need to know
what we are looking in terms of the cost.

If during Fifth Order you have the information, I would be interested in whether or not the Knowel's insurance list is available yet, and I would also be interested in knowing if the administration has decided to accept Vocab, Vocational Rehabilitation, Incorporated bid, to be the

independent medical examination coordinator so that the money from the sewer sale can flow into the pension funds if we any status on that since that has to be done first.

Last Saturday I went to the
University of Scranton to attend one of the
informational sessions on reassessment and I
was extremely disappointed because there
were all of 20 people there, and I know
maybe people are getting tired of election
season already or they just throw up their
hands in dismay that, you know, it doesn't
make any difference what they think, but I
would remind people, you know, that we get
what we vote for and if we as voters do not
stand up and make our voices heard then we
deserve what we get.

On October 25, the University will have a -- it's actually I think the League of Women Voters that sponsor at the University a mayoral debate between Mayor Courtright and Attorney Mulligan. I do open more than 20 people show up for it. These things are very important.

It doesn't seem to be much

25

controversial matter on today's agenda so I'm just going to end with an observation that it's 26 days to the election, but I assume that the budget process is well underway for the city's 2018 budget, and that should be landing somewhere in your laps in the month of November I assume, and what I'm concerned about is all of potential land mines that the city faces that can upset any budget plan or impact on the city's credit rating not the least of which is potential storm water management costs, the fate of the Sewer Authority sale, whether or not that would be challenged in Court and made null and void, and a number of other lawsuits that the city is facing. The Act 511 tax lawsuit, the refuse fee, the rental registration fee and even double pension who are suing to maintain their right to receive their double pensions for the rest of their lives. On top of that, is the hole that the Scranton School District seems to find itself in and the real possibility that their \$40 million hole may grow to \$47 million by the end of the year.

So that's why I would really hope that the voting public pays attention to these issues, attends the debates, watches council meetings, watches the school district meetings and even the budget presentation tomorrow for the county and then makes their voices heard at the ballot box on November 7, you know, because I know that it's hard to change the inertia of Scranton and city hall, but shame on us if be simply give up our right to cast a ballot. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council.
While I guess the first thing is I'd like to thank anybody tonight who is watching this meeting or will watch in the near future, but it's my opinion that the city is going to lose every single one these cases that were just mentioned here today, not just some of them, but every single one and the sad part is, you know, that I'm sure that Mr. Courtright has a role to play in some of that but the reality of most of it is that really city council has let us down for a couple of decades and, you know, it's just

ashame that the residents in the city just weren't smart enough to understand that this wasn't a comedy show and this was real life and the people who have been denied everything are the residents in the neighborhoods. The grant money is going everywhere except to them basically and you have to ask yourself what would lead the people to have so little faith in their elected government that they don't even participate in elections, and I guess if you were to take a look at the performance of this council and even our government in general it's not hard to understand why.

I'm just wondering, you know, how much pain can a city endure because I don't want to reach into a part of supposition here in-between Mr. Mulligan or Mr.

Courtright because to me I'm not sure either one of these gentlemen are leaders, and I don't think that one of them has any clue how to turn this city around and I don't think that any of the five council members do either because we have just played politics in this city for so long that we

1

6 7

5

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think it's a carnival sideshow and the reality of it is all is being debated in the courts, only that isn't so much of a carnival side show, maybe the Court of Common Pleas may be to a small decree, but the upper Court when they reached that is going to have to stand on case law and it's not going to be pretty, and I really appreciate the people that have challenged the city in Court and I can tell you that you are going to win. Everything that's coming and that's gone into Court up to this point you will win. You may not win it at the Court of Common Pleas but on appeal you will depending on the competency of your attorney and his argument.

And, you know, the sad part is that this council just voted for so many things that were detrimental to the residents of this city and they aren't going to yield any kind of dividends to the residents because if this America Water sale is overturned by the Court I don't know where all of that money is coming from, okay, to pay all of that money back to the Authority.

that.

And then you can't, after the Authority is dissolved, move it out of there and spend it wherever you want because it has to go into the storm water collection system somewhere. The Scranton times has presented that argument, so I just don't understand how the council, first of all, introduced the legislation to devest the residents of their asset of the Scranton Sewer Authority and how the council didn't understand what the law was and what the ramifications of the law were, and I wouldn't try to hide behind your council because you have a larger obligation than

And I just think that if the residents are silly enough to retain any of the city's councilmen in the next election they are out of their mind, but then they have been out of their mind for a long time and I think it's time to ask why none of the city pools that have been closed for years have been fixed yet, and where is the investment in the neighborhoods? Do the residents in this city have any right to any

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

investment in their neighborhoods?

And, you know, the last thing that I would like to see challenged in court is I would like to the people that had their homes condemned here to go into court and challenge the city on the condemnations and bring the condemnation book into the courthouse and take the good hard look at the reasons why their homes were condemned and the homes that aren't even listed in the book that were condemned and question the whole thing and let's see if the city has pockets deep enough to pay all of the money that it's going to end up losing because it's ultimately going to come out of the residents and these are the councilmen that ran publically to represent you and they have done just a terrible job. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Good evening, council.

It's unusual I'm not prepared. Many years

ago my father told me people forget all of

the good things that you do and remember

that one regrettable mistake. I don't

remember, I done something stupid and he

24

25

told me -- called me a fool and he said one of the worst things in the world is a fool. Last week, Mr. Bolus stood before this council and this whole city and the speakers and made a spectacle of himself that was completely unnecessary about bunch of unsubstantiated nonsense complaining about a double hospitalization, but one of his complaints was the \$110 an hour Mr. Mulligan received from the Sewer Authority for I think he worked six, seven years, I don't remember, but if you compare that to Mr. Courtright's friend Abrahamsen they got \$850 an hour for crying out loud, \$850 an hour and look at what happened, look at results the product of that \$850 an hour job they did. If they tried to be make a mess worse than they got they couldn't have done any worse. The city would be years -- your grandchildren would try to overcome what happened with the Sewer Authority deal, There have been a lot smarter believe me. people than me. My friend in Little Rock that sells stocks and bonds he told me that -- he said this could go on for

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people's lifetimes it was such a mess.

Mr. Bolus might reside in Scranton, but he is definitely not a taxpayer. owes the City of Scranton, Dunmore and Throop over \$300,000. I have been saying for the last couple of weeks you owe such great sums of money like all of these property owners around the mall and downtown there is dozens of them, all of these people are on Cedar Avenue that were the bright star in our mayor's eye they shouldn't be allowed to have a vote in city politics until they do something -- make some token payments or something. Why should these people out here and me, like I have said, pay our taxes and somebody owes -- there is quite a few of them owe more than 50,000, 100,000. Why does it just keep dragging on year after year and nothing be done? reason nothing done these are the supporters of our mayor. I guess he just relishes people like this. Well, I have some -- let me remind the mayor and all of this unscrupulous administration and these no taxpaying supporters of his that the FBI

people are still over there on Blueberry
Hill in Duryea very much alive. That's
their black Chevy Tahoe that goes downtown
and doesn't put money in the parking meters.
I know, I saw the car last year by the
annex, all of these guys in their black
suits and the sunglasses and I looked at
them and they looked at me, I don't know
which one of us was funnier on the street.

All that I can see to come out of this election is it's going to be evil and costly if Mr. Mulligan doesn't get in and it's completely unknown if he does get in, but my property values have sunk something terrible because of this administration allowing my neighborhood to completely just go to pot. The zone opened and the most undesirable bunch of human dung humanly possible is hanging around Main and Market. Nobody would want them in their neighborhood. Nothing is done about them. My house values are probably \$20,000 less than they should be. Thank you very much.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

	_
1	Marie Schumacher. Starting off, is it
2	accurate that you are keeping the building
3	the lot at Wyoming and Linden on the
4	table?
5	MR. WECHSLER: It's off the table
6	for right now.
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: It is off the
8	table?
9	MR. WECHSLER: It's on I'm sorry,
10	it's on the table. It's staying
11	MR. WECHSLER: And it's going to
12	state there?
13	MR. WECHSLER: It's staying where it
14	is.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. What is the
16	status of meeting with DEP? Has anybody met
17	with DEP?
18	MR. WECHSLER: I met with them this
19	week. I met with them this week.
20	MS. SCHUMACHER: And there is no
21	conclusion?
22	MR. WECHSLER: No, go ahead, I'll
23	mention it.
24	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. What about
25	the Parking Authority or the parking
	$\Pi$

garages, I notice in today's legal notices there were requests for proposals and going to Denman who did the affordability study for the leasing, for upgrades and modifications, it is my understanding that we pay for that in the parking garages, we pay for any maintenance?

MR. EVANS: No, they are doing that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Pardon?

MR. EVANS: ABM is doing that with NDC. In fact, they are in the process now of changing out all of the ticket machines and the gates at every garage and they are paying for that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So we have no responsibility for any modifications to those garages? I thought we did?

MR. EVANS: There is some things in
-- yeah, there is a couple of things written
in there. We have a grant that we have that
could be used for some of those repairs.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But how many of what was in the legal notice today did you see it?

MR. EVANS: No, I didn't see it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, I'd like to know if there is an estimate of what that is going to be in the budget this year. And, also, Mr. Wechsler, when is that meeting? We're almost through another month.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I just got an e-mail earlier this week that the day is being firmed up so I will know something by next week, we're just trying to get everybody on the same page. I responded that I was able to attend and there is some people that they are still to waiting to hear from so I'll have a better answer next week. But there is a tentative date, but I don't want to give out the tentative date.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, that's okay.

Okay, SAPA that included a lot on storm

water and regionalization, could we get

Mr. King in here to make a presentation to

us and update on what SAPA is doing with

respect to storm water?

MR. WECHSLER: I would think we are going to be quite busy between now and the end of the year getting the budget so it's something that is probably going to have the

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

county come in with Mr. King, but I don't see that happening between now and budget time.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's unfortunate.

MR. WECHSLER: I think the budget is our main priority.

MS. SCHUMACHER: We're less than five weeks away; right?

MR. WECHSLER: And speaking of the budget, as you know, I track the property sales and it was interesting, and I hope that in his budget we will have -- we will go to taxation or millage based on the total assessment, there were two properties in yesterday's -- I believe it was yesterday's notices, total for both was \$16,000 and one was 34 percent higher than the other property because of the variations in the land and the improvements. And, again, we are the only municipality in the entire county that splits. Every place I have ever been when they did tax land differently it was to induce people to develop it and so it was worth doing but, you know, "A" nobody really wants to develop very much here and

"B" there is not a lot of it out there, so I 1 would like a reason if it's not in the 2 3 budget why we are staying with the split. MR. EVANS: I'll get a reason for 4 5 you because I have a discussed that previously with the administration so I'll 6 7 definitely get that. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: They -- what? 9 MR. EVANS: I'll get the reason for 10 you because I'm a proponent of doing exactly that and I was told it could be more 11 difficult than we thought so I will find out 12 13 what the actual reasons are. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: And have we waived -- has the city waived the fees for the work 15 16 being done at Mr. Basalyga's establishment? 17 I go there every week and I have yet to see 18 a building permit posted. 19 MR. EVANS: I'm not aware of any 20 fees he paid. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: I stopped in the 22 office and I asked if they had them there 23 and it was like no. So have they --24 MR. WECHSLER: I'm not sure. 25 fact, earlier on this year work was stopped

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because there were no permits and they had to get them so I don't know -- I know in the spring or whenever, earlier this year, the work was stopped and they had to get permits I know that that happened.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I guess it's a good place to stop. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SEITZINGER: Good evening,

Council. Kara Seitzinger, City of Scranton resident, member of the Greenridge Neighborhood Association. I just want to say we had a fabulous weekend in Greenridge. I don't know, I didn't get to the festival until like 3:00, my daughter came in from college, I had to get her to the airport, but we personally, the Neighborhood Association last we got involved we didn't do it for profit. We did it because it was their first annual festival and we wanted to be a presence there, so this year we wanted something different to give the other business owners to attract them to come and maybe even invest in the city or in Greenridge itself so he had a farmer who

just became a orchardist who made an apple cider and it was unbelievable the amount of money he made and he took that profit home.

We also last evening had many, many people from the city, different parts of the city, a lot from Greenridge, come out to the meet the candidate night and I appreciate very much the various neighborhood presidents that came, the various residents and the candidates who are running for office and it was a good night and I think it was a healthy exchange of ideas and informative to everyone, but I don't think that we should talk about politics at a council meeting, so that's all I'm going to say about that.

And then Tuesday came and I just -I real briefly want to talk about Tuesday.
I think that you all could agree that I have been here and I have talked both here at the Scranton Sewer Authority town hall about Glenn Street, about leachate, about questions that we had about the Sewer Authority deal. The Greenridge Neighborhood Association in the past four years was

revived after Jim Dempsey died. We have tried to help and cultivate a good exchange of ideas and to help our neighbors and we partnered with the business association and Greenridge Little League to have an Easter egg hunt, the Fall Fest, the Christmas event and some people are saying that they didn't know about contamination, and I'm going to tell you that we did know about contamination.

What we did know is that a neighbor, young mother, brought her children to the park on September 23. She sent me texts, which she was standing on the top of the mound of debris, it was pipes. I personally showed them to Chief Graziano, he and Denny Gallagher went there and they looked at it.

I'm going to take you back a month
to August when another member of the
Greenridge Neighborhood Association came to
my house on a Sunday morning and told me
that leachate ponds were being built on
Glenn Street. I didn't have the answers and
that's why I asked it both here and at the
Sewer Authority town hall, because we didn't

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know it was being built at the time.

From that point, I took several photos, I had looked at it, we don't know what was dumped there. What we do know is that Sewer Authority employee came to us and told us that they personally on that site with gloves going through contaminated land. What we did is we handed it over to the DPW and to the police chief. Mark Seitzinger is not the mayor of the City of Scranton. think he made that clear. I'm not the mayor of the City of Scranton. Jim Mulligan is not the mayor of City of Scranton. the former neighborhood association that lead us into the leachate fight, we have spent money and time and tirelessly we are out there every day trying to make our city better.

September 23 when we discovered the dumping, September 24 we all went up there and we cleaned that park, we didn't go near the dumping because that was to be removed by the Sewer Authority we were told on the 23rd. We went out and cut the bushes, did the grass because as we have always said

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

good neighbors if you have a vacant lot clean it up, it's help blight.

So I just wanted to just put it on the record. We are not going to take the wrap for this. We absolutely won't. the city administration dropped the ball that is not on the Greenridge Neighborhood Association and I just would hope that you gentlemen would look into it because we welcome the park. We absolutely welcome it. We are grateful for the money. We were a little bit confused at the fact that there was a press conference when we are holding in our account, the Greenridge Neighborhood account, a \$7,500 grant for that park from the Lackawanna County commissioners and yet we weren't told about the press conference, and yet I received a phone call from a Greenridge mother in my office around 11:30 saying, "Hey, come on out to Crowley Park, there's a big announcement."

I asked her how she knew and she told me a friend that I work with works for Mayor Courtright and texted me, will I get in trouble if I give her name? I said no,

and I will not give her name, but it's a member of his cabinet. So that being said, again, I just -- we really are not out to hurt anybody, we are out to help people and it takes a lot of time and lot of effort that I certainly don't have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes, just a couple of brief items. The Arcadis study was brought up, I have haven't had a recent update on that so if we can get something out to Mr. Bulzoni and see if we have one or more recent updates that we can share next time it comes up, which I agree.

There were two issues that we were working on last week, both we are waiting for a resolution on, one was the Merrifield situation, and the other one was the drain grate that is on top of Elizabeth Street in West Side by the Tripp Park area. And, lastly, normally, I try not to get caught up with he said/she said type of discussions

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but this one seemed too serious and I just didn't want to let it go, Mr. Ellman, I think you actually touched on it today and that was some of the speaker's claims last week about Mr. Mulligan.

I don't agree that it was the right forum to bring that up. It's definitely not. This is about city business. He has every right to defend himself if he wishes, but he almost shouldn't have to, but it's hard to defend yourself against something that's already been said. Attorney Minora, if you don't mind, I would just like your help because Mr. Bolus last week said some things and, you know, threw out some legal codes and, you know, basically said Mr. Mulligan did something illegal. I can't say one way or the other, so if you could just kind of help work with me with that I'll get with you after and we'll just see because I don't want this to go on any further than it has to because I see it go back and forth and, equally, if nothing illegal was done then everyone needs to know that as well. It should not go on week

after week because I can see this bubbling up and I don't want it to.

MR. MINORA: Sure.

MR. PERRY: So normally I don't want to get involved, like you said, Mr. Ellman, I'm not sure, but it definitely wasn't the right area for this, but if this is something that's not going to be addressed and put in bed there is something that's going to go on and there is no need to, not right now, not in this forum. And that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just two items tonight. The first is, and we discussed this a little bit in our caucus, the property downtown that has been talked about quite a bit, the city possibly may be acquiring is still going to remain tabled, as Councilman Wechsler mentioned, and I am still looking into a way of putting that property on the market to be developed with the idea of the park being the last resort. As I mentioned, I would really like to see

that land remain on the tax rolls and it is a prime piece of downtown real estate that hopefully can be developed, so that is something I'm continuing to work on, as I know Councilman Wechsler is working on the DEP issues regarding that plot of land.

Memorial Park that was talked about the last couple of weeks, we did receive correspondence, two pieces of correspondence on this actually, the first one was from Pat Ahern just giving some additional information about the project and I will read that letter and then I will talk a little bit more been the actions that the city, OECD has taken on this as well, so this was actually sent to the mayor's office and they asked if city council was copied.

So it says, "The Veterans Committee has been diligently working with Tom McLain, our project architect, to get the best design possible for the best cost, we have made great progress. We have a great desire, as you saw in our presentation. The design, as it stands now, will be estimated

to cost in the \$450,000 range. McLain Associates is working on a detailed cost and spreadsheet for the plan. We already have the land and the first \$100,000 to complete Phase I so the total amount to finish the project is around \$350,000. We could do this in additional phases.

After Phase I, the park is ready for visitors to enjoy the landscaping. Each additional phase will add an educational element to the park. We can add benches, flag poles, pedestal leading station with black granite plaques to educate the public. We could have them monitored by security cameras. We could add these one or more at a time. While adding these items --" and this is in bold -- "the park will continue to be open. Many items in the phase depend upon how much money is committed to spend.

All of these additional items we refer to as the amenities of the park and that would make it a true Veterans Memorial Park. We appreciate your time and effort in helping us complete this long, overdue recognition of our brave men and women from

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton who sacrificed their lives in defense of freedom."

Again, that was from Pat Ahern, who was at our meeting a few weeks ago.

That was sent I believe it was October 10. Also, the same day, correspondence was drafted from the OECD Office to HUD. As Linda Aebli mentioned at our forum we had, there are a number of regulations that there possibly need to be some exceptions made to it in order to fund this project. I'm not going to read through this entire letter because it is about 10 pages long, but it does go through and asks for that exemption. The letter from OECD goes on and mentions that it was not in the five-year consolidated plan, as Ms. Aebli mentioned at our meeting, and it says in good faith she agreed on council's request to contact the HUD field office to discuss and determine if there is something that our office can do to assist with this activity.

Ms. Aebli does feel that this item is not eligible, but it is going to HUD for a review, so we will await to hear back from

1

6

7

5

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

HUD on this item and hopefully it is he will begin and it can be funded. If not, I think we should certainly be looking for other ways of funding this project so it does sound like it would be not only a great park for the public to enjoy but something that is educational as well. That is all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you, I'll be brief, I promise. First of all, for the record, this councilman found out about the plans for Crowley Park when I read the news story in the Scranton Times. That's the first time I heard anything about it. Also, it is my understanding that Mr. Chuck Volpe will go to Court next week to challenge the County's reassessment ballot question so good luck to Mr. Volpe on that. meantime, I'm going to continue to talk about reassessment, but tonight I'm going to talk about not the facts or the figures or how much it's going to cost or not going to cost you, I want to make appeal the voters

23

24

25

about this issue. Election Day is less than four weeks away and the two days of informational sessions are over. So here we are at the crossroads of our decision making process, and I want voters to know that the despite a complicated ballot question crafted to drive a "no" vote the question of should the county do a reassessment really isn't that complicated. If you vote "yes" for reassessment, you are voting for tax equity on every property and for every property owner in Lackawanna County. can't get much simpler than that. If you vote "no", you are voting for the status quo, which is a broken system of tax inequity. It is a system that for decades favored the rich and the connected while the not so rich, the senior citizens and the less connected, just continue to do what they have always done, not complain and pay what they thought was there fair share, never appealing an assessment that hadn't changed in over 50 years.

I think we all know what the right thing is, but by crafting this question and

placing that it on the ballot there are those that are trying to divide the voters in an attempt to avoid doing what is clearly their responsibility, so when it comes time to vote on reassessment don't vote your own self-interest. Don't vote out of fear of the unknown, and don't vote out of fear for change because sometimes you just have to do what you think is the right thing to do so I'm asking you on Election Day, less than four weeks ago away, to vote "yes" for reassessment. That's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans. Just to touch on the meeting that was held yesterday, there was a meeting with Business Administrator Bulzoni, George Kelly from the County and representatives from DEP, Leslie Collins from Scranton Tomorrow, Mrs. Reed, and Denny Gallagher, and myself attended the meeting. The meeting basically was to get an understanding of the County's role in establishing remediation efforts at the Wyoming Avenue site. What we have learned is that there is really to forms of

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remediation, one would be to remediate the property for residential use and the other remediation would be anything else, including the green space and earth building.

The County has taken on the responsibility of working with an engineering firm who is in contact with the Environmental Protection Agency and they are determining what type of remediation has to be done at that site. At the end of that study, we will have a number that will say remediation for a green space is "X" dollars and remediation for a commercial site is "Y" dollars. Somewhere in-between, we have will those numbers so we can make a final determination on to whether to proceed on a green space for that area, or has been suggested, do we keep considering it for commercial property.

The feedback I got from the meeting was that the state -- the state itself was more invested in returning these brown fields to green space. There is a demand for green space in the area, but for us to

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_ .

25

do our due diligence, as Councilman Rogan has asked us to do, we are going to proceed to make sure that we make a true determination on the cost of the medication that would make the decision for that property.

While we were at that meeting, I also discussed the PPL project on West Mountain, there has been some questions about that. After some conversations, what we found out is that the County Conservation District has been really responsible for monitoring and the plans. Director Gallagher was on site, he has seen the system of retention ponds that have been developed on the West Mountain according to It's a quite sophisticated labyrinth him. of retention ponds to keep the water on that mountain so we will be in contact with the County Conservation District to see what input they have, but the feeling right now that the PPL is proceeding with that project in accordance with the county and federal standards.

Yesterday we received a contract

22

23

24

25

that's going to be awarded to LaBella Company, they are going to do an analysis on the material that was found out on Crowley Park. They are going to work on that for a fee of \$1,950 and they are going to review with different stakeholders to gather information on how the material got there, who put it there, what kind of material it is and they will make a determination on whether -- if it's hazardous or not then it will be dealt with in a manner to correct the condition that it's in, so that is something that should give everyone ease, hopefully it's not contaminated material, hopefully it's just clean fill that was used and the project can move on.

Just one more announcement. I'm not a good reader of the legal notices as Mr. Lockwood is and Ms. Schumacher is, but I just want to read this. People that interested in attending Sewer Authority meetings, the notice was posted that the remaining regular meetings of the Board of the Scranton Sewer Authority of Scranton for calendar year 2017 they have moved them from

Fridays. Currently right now they are going to be held on the third Thursday of each month that shall be held as follows:

Thursday, October 19, Thursday,
November 16, and Thursday, December 14, at 4
p.m. in the Governor's Room. So if you are
interested in attending Sewer Authority
meetings they are now going to be the third
Thursday at 4 p.m. in the Governor's Room.
That's all I have.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF REV. REBECCA
A. BARNES, 801 WHEELER AVENUE, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE
HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE
OCTOBER 3, 2017. REV. REBECCA A. BARNES
WILL BE REPLACING ROBERT JOHNS WHO RESIGNED
ON SEPTEMBER 7, 2017. REV. BARNES WILL FILL
THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF ROBERT JOHNS WHICH IS
SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON AUGUST 24, 2018.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? I have become quite good friends with Reverend Barnes is an asset to our community and she will be an asset to this committee.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION — A RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN TO GRANT AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN/GRANT FROM THE CITY OF SCRANTON BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY LOAN/GRANT PROGRAM FOR PROJECT NO.150.41 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$25,000.00 TO THE BHUTANESE CULTURAL FOUNDATION OF SCRANTON ASSOCIATION (BCFSA) TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 9 MR. EVANS: I abstain. 10 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 11 so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 13 14 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT FOR THE 15 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BY AND 16 BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON ("CITY"), NDC, 17 18 ABM AND TEAMSTERS LOCAL 229 ("THE UNION") IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET 19 20 FORTH IN THE AGREEMENT WITH AN EFFECTIVE 21 DATE OF JANUARY 1, 2017, AND RATIFIED BY THE MEMBERSHIP. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. 6 MR. PERRY: Aye. 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. 11 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO. BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 12 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 13 F0R 14 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 197, 15 2017- AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 16 17 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 18 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH MCCARTHY TIRE SERVICE TO PROVIDE NEW TIRES FOR CITY OWNED 19 20 VEHICLES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2018 21 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2018. 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Public Works? 25 MR. PERRY: As temporary Chair for

1 the Committee on Public Works, I recommend 2 final passage of Item 7-A. 3 MR. ROGAN: Second. 4 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? Roll call, please? 5 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 7 MR. PERRY: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 9 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 11 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 14 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 15 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 16 17 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR 18 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 198, 2017 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 19 20 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 21 CONTRACT WITH DENAPLES TOWING, INC. TO PROVIDE TOWING SERVICES FOR CITY OWNED 22 23 VEHICLES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2018 24 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2018. 25 MR. WECHSLER: What is the

1	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Public Works?
3	MR. PERRY: As temporary Chair for
4	the Committee on Public Works, I recommend
5	final passage of Item 7-B.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
8	Roll call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
10	MR. PERRY: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
14	MR. EVANS: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
17	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
18	adopted.
19	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR
21	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 199, 2017 -
22	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
23	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
24	CONTRACT WITH THE GOODYEAR TIRE AND RUBBER
25	COMPANY TO PROVIDE RETREAD TIRES FOR CITY

	49
1	OWNED VEHICLES FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1,
2	2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2018.
3	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Public Works?
6	MR. PERRY: As temporary Chair for
7	the Committee on Public Works, I recommend
8	final passage of Item 7-C.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
11	Roll call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
13	MR. PERRY: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
20	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
21	adopted.
22	If there is no further business,
23	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
24	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER