		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, November 5, 2015	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT (Not present) PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. ROGAN: Dispense with the reading
14	of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES
17	HELD OCTOBER 9 AND OCTOBER 13, 2015.
18	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
22	SEPTEMBER 23, 2015.
23	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

1	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
2	HELD SEPTEMBER 23, 2015.
3	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. REED: 3-D. AGENDA FOR THE
6	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
7	HELD OCTOBER 28, 2015.
8	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
11	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
12	HELD SEPTEMBER 23, 2015.
13	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
16	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
17	MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER 23, 2015.
18	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-G. SINGLE TAX OFFICE
21	CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT
22	2015-2014 YEAR TO DATE OCTOBER 31, 2015.
23	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	Do any council members have

announcements at this time?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. Rogan, I have one. Mary Mother of God parish of Scranton will hold it's roast beef dinner on Sunday, November 8, at Stirna's Restaurant from 12 to 4. Tickets are \$10 in advance and \$12 at the door. From personal experience this is well worth the \$10.

MR. GAUGHAN: And I have one or two, also. Just a reminder that Veteran's Day is next Wednesday, November 11, and we should remember to honor the sacrifices made by our veterans in the name of freedom and democracy for our country.

The Steamtown National Historic site is helping celebrate Veteran's Day by waiving the daily entrance fee at the downtown Scranton park. Free admission includes the visitor's Center, history museum, round house, technology museum, theatre and interpretative tours.

Also, downtown retailers are staying open until 7:00 p.m. every Thursday through Christmas starting tonight, so we encourage everyone to shop downtown this holiday.

That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: As Councilmen Gaughan mentioned, Wednesday is Veteran's Day and City Hall be closed on that day in honor of our veterans. DPW will also be off on Wednesday so refuse collection and plastic recycling will be delayed by one day next week.

An executive session was held this evening prior to the meeting to discuss a matter of litigation.

And one other announcement, this is from State Representative Marty Flynn's Office. It says, "It's that time of year again to help others by dropping off used or gently worn coats and blankets at his district office for the annual winter coat drive to benefit children and adults. The community has come through in a tremendous way the last two years and I'm sure it will continue from the generosity of neighbors helping neighbors. A warm coat or blanket can make those less fortunate survive the cold winter months.

Drop off locations are at State

Representative Marty Flynn's Office on Main Avenue in West Scranton, and they can also be dropped off at What the Fork, at the restaurant and with the mobile food truck as well.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. ROGAN: Our first speaker tonight is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Well, the first thing I have I'd like to start with, Mr. Rogan, if I could. At the last meeting you said that the city didn't file bankruptcy because the governor wouldn't support it, but my question is the city could have still done filing in Court and why didn't that take place?

MR. RGOAN: You can file anything you want with the Court --

MR. MORGAN: Absolutely.

MR. ROGAN: It doesn't mean it's going to be approved. Governor Corbett made it very clear that he would not sign off on any municipal bankruptcies, so by filing, which we could do, you are right, we would

have the negative stigma of being bankrupt without the protection that bankruptcy would afford having the governor sign off on it.

MR. MORGAN: Do you think we are bankrupt at this time?

MR. ROGAN: I think we are in very tough shape financially, but we are not bankrupt.

MR. MORGAN: How much money does this council actually believe that you can tax the residents of this city beyond the point where they are at now because, you know, Mr. Evans, I'm glad you won and I'm waiting for Mr. Perry to come on board but, you know, I had the opportunity to call WILK and ask Mr. Corbett if he will allow this council to come forward on their channel and discuss the monetization of the Scranton Sewer Authority, I had asked you last week can you tell the residents of this city how the city managed to pay its way out of the deal with the American Anglican at this time?

MR. EVANS: Well, I'm in the process of going back and researching all of that, I

actually have a meeting set up with Mr. Barrett of the Sewer Authority, so we are going to go over all of those details and more so I'll be very much informed when the time comes for any discussions. On monetization, by the way, I really don't know anything that's been going on. We vent been informed of what the process is or how far along it is or if there is any bidders on the --

MR. MORGAN: Did this council honestly believe that leveraging the Sewer Authority is going to really answer any of the problems that faces this city other than financial incompetence over an extended period of time?

MR. EVANS: Well, at this point it's conceptual, that's the problem, until we see something that's concrete it's hard to answer your question.

MR. MORGAN: But the bondholders pay for that Sewer Authority and through the incompetence of city administrations and councils you are leveraging something that you yourself acknowledge at the last council

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

meeting that a for-profit corporation is going to have make money, and my question to this council is how much did the incompetence of the prior council that agreed to this deal and then the way they paid it, how much has that effected the sewer bills that the resident of this city are paying?

How many fees should the residents of this city tolerate through the incompetence of its government, and maybe it's time for the council to send a budget down to the mayor cutting the budget by 30 Maybe it's time to do something that this council and other councils have refused to do. I mean, you know, it's great to sell off assets, but how could you explain to the residents of this city that their revenue from everything is going to people with very deep pockets that bought everything that these residents own because it's elected government was incompetent? Does anybody want to say anything on that? Nothing?

I mean, it's just, you know he, I

23

24

25

talked to somebody the other day there was a house in the city that was listed for -you're a realtor so, there was a house that was listed in this city or \$191,000, a very beautiful house, it went for 85. Houses are constantly being reduced to sell. People are just moving away, they are just walking out the front door and I'd like to ask this council, you know, your solutions to the problems we have, do you ever think they might be the problem and that maybe it's time to really, you know, I mean, I watched what you said about monetization of the Sewer Authority assets and the parking garages and the same thing with Mr. Perry, and the council here, you know, I firmly believe you are wrong. I believe just looking at the way some of this community development money has been spent over the years proves how twisted and upside down this city is. I mean, how can a city sell South Side Sports Complex in federal losses it's illegal to sell, just go ahead and sell Is that good government?

I mean, where is good government

1 I mean, you know, it's nice to talk here? 2 about spaghetti dinners and little functions 3 and, you know, let's shop downtown, but look There is not much left of this 4 around. 5 city, and it's not too late to cut the 6 budget by 30 percent and stop playing 7 political games or either file for 8 bankruptcy or receivership. Thank you. 9 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Hodowanitz. 10 MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, 11 12 Scranton resident and taxpayer. Does anyone 13 have the status of the 2014 audit? 14 MR. EVANS: My understanding is that the audit will be completed by the end of 15 16 the year. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: By that you mean 18 that it will be published, signed and ready 19 for view by the public? 20 MR. EVANS: Well, we hope so, but it 21 be -- a draft of it will be completed. 22 MS. HODWOWANITZ: Okay, this is a 23 backward way of doing budgeting. 24 MR. EVANS: Certainty. 25 MS. HODOWANITZ: The audit should be

available as one goes into the budget cycle. Be that as it may, I would hate for the city to break with tradition at this late date, but I will be waiting for it breathlessly and remind you it was due on March 31.

I sat in the caucus tonight and I got the impression that while you do not have the proposed 2016 operating budget you have seen drafts; is that correct?

MR. EVANS: Well, it's more of a working document, you know, a working document not actually a draft.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. My comment is that even as you look at a working document in a budget there is always assumptions built in unless you are going with zero based budgeting, and I don't think we are, but there are assumptions built in and some of them you have to look at, you know, is the mayor and the administration assuming that the parking garages are going to leased next year or going to monetize the Sewer Authority?

You say that you really don't have any feed back from the administration on the

status of some of these issues, yet you need some feedback from the administration or otherwise your numbers in the budget are going to have virtually no credibility. We have been down this road where there have been big ticket items in the budget that never came to pass because assumptions were made that never came through. So as we approach the actual proposed budget and the hearing he would expect you to have some very pointed questions that you asked the administration and Mr. Bulzoni, who should be the chief architect.

Speaking of Mr. Bulzoni, has he found a place yet to live in Scranton? He's had 10 1/2 months to look.

MR. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Is it safe to assume that Mr. Bulzoni will not be with us this next year?

MR. EVANS: He had a one-year waiver, that's what I will tell you, and the waiver expires at 12-31 of this year.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And is there any rumors as to who his replacement might be?

MR. EVANS: None.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I hope he has got somebody in training. Have you heard anything from the mayor and the administration on your letter with regard to the number of accidents that pedestrians have been having downtown? Anything said, any kind of response that they'll even look it as a priority?

MR. EVANS: I talked to a representative of PennDOT, I know there was an attempt to have a meeting scheduled this week, but with the police department, and I know Councilmen Wechsler, I think you wanted to be there as well, but it was postponed for various reasons, not getting all of parties together, but I think that attempt is ongoing to meet with PennDOT downtown to talk about that.

MR. WECHSLER: It definitely remains a priority. It definitely remains a priority.

MS. HODOWWANITZ: With regard to Item 3-G, the Single Tax Office funds distribution comparison report, the 2015

operating budget had \$4, 287,500 allotted for the LST and as of the end of October we have collected \$2,754,451.43. How are we going to collect the remaining \$1.5 plus million? Is that going to be though the end of the year or through the end of the first quarter of next year? What's going on with the LST?

MR. ROGAN: That would probably best for the tax collector to answer where it currently stands for the budget next year that certainly does matter.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, that's another question to be asking during the public hearing. That's another big assumption in the the budget that we've caught ourselves a hole for this year.

Just for everybody's information, I understand that the Pension Board meetings, I know the Composite Pension Board will meet on November 18 because of Thanksgiving this year so anybody who was wanting to come down and see that dog and pony show it will be on Wednesday, November 18, not the fourth Wednesday of the month. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello. I didn't vote for anyone in this election that supported reassessment plans, which didn't seem to hurt anyone anyway. You claim a third would stay the same, a third would go up, a third would go down, it there won't be any significant change why are you so intent in getting ahold of this eight or ten million dollars that county has? Why don't you give everybody in the city \$100 off, it wouldn't even take half of that. People really appreciate \$100 off their taxes after Amoroso and Bulzoni get ahold of everything.

You people you sit there and you listen, but you don't hear these people out here. I think it was three weeks ago I stood here and I said if you reassess there will be a run on the Tax Borough, now to explain what I'm mean a little better, let me hand you -- that's from last Fridays Philadelphia Daily News and it's exactly what I was talking about. They had a run -- a huge amount of people, I think it says a little -- about 30,000 oppose their

1

assessment. Almost everybody was found the same it went up except for a couple of hundred, I talked to a reporter at the paper, out of 30,000 the same thing will happen here proportionally, it's going to go up on everybody and not go down and you know it. There wouldn't be no sense in wanting to spend \$8 million if that wasn't true. You know, it didn't take you people 2 1/2 months, there is 2,200 foreclosures in this paper in August. You have forgotten about them already.

I don't know about you guys. with Mr. Gaughan's father-in-law the other night, and I told him that I was very disappointed in you. You sort of joined the flow instead of being a fighter like when you first got there, you know.

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, I would disagree with you on that.

MR. ELLMAN: That's just my opinion.

MR. GAUGHAN: I understand.

MR. ELLMAN: What's you people -- the low income and the city and the retired people, the veterans and the plain poor, the

residents up in these high rises you act
like you just want to get rid of everybody
like they did in Germany 80 years ago, that
they are just a pain in the neck because
they can't contribute anything anymore and
that's not right. What are you going to do
give everything to Lackawanna College and
Keystone Enterprises? These things I say
are I think people discuss them during the
week. You read that about the run. What I
said every lousy penny that is opposed
nobody gets it until the case is settled, do
you know that? It's right there in the
paper.

MR. EVANS: Well, we are experiencing a run here as well.

MR. ELLMAN: It says 2,500 people opposed it, that money doesn't go to the city, the county, the school board, nothing. It sits there until their case is settled. That's been going on for two years with some of these people and the same thing will happen here and then you have a bunch of fools that will appeal the appeals so they don't have to pay taxes.

MR. EVANS: Well, they will have to pay them eventually, but we are seeing that right now. We had more cases last year than the year before because the taxes and the assessments are wrong.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, reassessment is just plain stupidity.

MR. EVANS: I tend to disagree with that.

MR. ELLMAN: That's because you are not holding onto to your property by your fingertips like probably a quarter or a third of the city. You don't know what it's like -- if you ever went down Jackson Street and some of these streets where the people are -- the houses look like hell because they don't have money to work on them.

MR. EVANS: Well, they are the people that the reassessment will help.

MR. ELLMAN: I got one right on
Throop Street, it hadn't been painted since
I lived there and talked to the lady every
once in awhile, they used to have two cars
and now they have one that must be 10 years
old already. They don't have the money to

paint their house or work on it. The garage is falling in and this is a nice neighborhood around their house. Their house looks like hell. It's all over the city like this.

MR. EVANS: We are going to agree to disagree on reassessment.

MR. ELLMAN: No, you people have -you have just turned your back on a quarter
of the city. These veterans and these poor
and these low income, you have forgotten
about them. You have forgotten about these
2,200 people that have lost their homes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: The most cherished possession they had. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Before the next speaker comes up, I do want to echo what Councilman Evans mentioned regarding the run of the tax assessor, we get every week a report from the Tax Assessors Office there is hundreds of people and properties that are appealing their taxes, and I'm just looking at this week out of six full pages like this not one of them went up, they all went down, and

what's happening is many large businesses and people that own large parcels are appealing, they're hiring attorneys and they are appealing and they are seeing their taxes go down. While, as Councilman Evans mentioned, people in the areas that at one time may have been low off areas in the city are assessed at a higher amount than they should be. A reassessment would make that fair. The taxes in Lackawanna County are not fair by any stretch of the imagination and, as I mentioned before, I urge you to go on to the County's website and compare your assessment to your neighbors and you will see the disparities for yourself.

MR. EVANS: There is entire neighborhoods that are totally overassessed based on a 1970 valuation and that has to stop. You know, the City of Scranton is more impacted by a lack of reassessment than any community in the county and it's inherently unfair, and many of the people you are talking about that are the poorer of our population that own their homes are more impacted than people that are paying --

23

24

25

richer, better hopes, more expensive homes, etcetera. They are the people that we are trying to help because we have people that are paying \$6,000 in taxes living in \$350,000 homes, and we have people that are paying \$5,000 in taxes living in a \$60,000 home and that is not right and that's what reassessment will do, it will change the balance and bring equality to the assessment process. So that's why we are for it so we are going to have agree to disagree on that issue but I will never back off my viewpoint that reassessment is a a positive, positive thing for the City of Scranton and all of its residents so --

MR. ELLMAN: Can I just say one thing real quick?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, your time is it up.

MR. ELLMAN: How will you cover four or five thousand people that aren't paying their taxes for a year? That's what will happen if they oppose what the taxes are? It's right there in black and white. That's the state law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Dobrzyn.

 $\label{eq:mr.evans: I'll talk about that in motions.} \label{eq:mr.evans: I'll talk about that in motions.}$

MR. DOBRZYN: Hold that clock. Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Taxes paid and fees paid. Last week I mentioned about the pensions, and one of the things that's troubling our pensions, the way I understand stand it is it from some of the people that work with within the public safety was there was a \$26 million loss factoring in the Wall Street debacle, and I think it's time that we at least investigate before we go into anything else or hand anybody a big suitcase full of cash to investigate this and find out who was in charge, if they are still in charge and if had they are still in charge, and if they're still in charge it time that the charge be taken off of them and, I mean, \$26 million is probably more than we will ever get for monetizing the sewer plant or any such thing and here we are.

Now, my wife had a 401-K that lost about six or eight thousand dollars and it wound of replaced, but from what I'm seeing

here, and I talked to a teacher yesterday at the polls, and their pension money hasn't been replaced, so it's like public pensions have been a big piggy bank for Wall Street and it's ashame.

And, once again, I mean, you know, we used to have a sleez factor with politicians, well, with Obama and his Department of Justice it's basically a wimp factor because nobody was ever investigated for this and the people that did happen to do it like Elliott Spitzer fell down with their bad morals and it all got forgotten about in the wash.

So, I mean, and also if there are local people responsible and they are still on the Pension Board then it's time to resign. That's all there is to it. If you insisted on going to a less than reputable -- whoever is responsible, a less than reputable investment means then it's time to move on, you know. Carli Firoino hasn't had a job in 10 years because she tanked both of the companies that she was in charge, you know, and justifiably so. She is rich

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

enough, she got \$50 million off of them.

And I'd also like to point out that when we were given those double pensions, which I don't approve of trying to collect the back money, that's water over the dam. They tell you what you are going to get, you don't tell them what you are expecting, Jack Loscombe was denied a pension while others were given double.

And I'd also like to talk about objective thinking and, for instance, we had a person in here, and they were a nice person and they had youngster or two and they mentioned that they were paying \$5,000 in property taxes and \$5,000 in wage taxes, and my compliments on their household income because that's about three or four times the household income average in Scranton, but, however, that's still doesn't add up to just one child in school, so it may -- maybe you don't want to get these poor old guys too aggravated, their tickers are going to give out or something and, you know, they won't be paying their taxes. My point is is it takes several households to pay just for one

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

child to go to school and then, you know, the city maintenance and the city services.

And couple of weeks ago there was a guy here about a dog license, and I have to say \$35 is a little too high for a dog That's high. license. It's gone up like triple and quadruple, it used to be nearly ten bucks or something like that, eight if they were neutered and we just jacked it up so high that it's going to discourage people from licensing their dog or they are going to try and get in under the fence or if they have a small house dog they just won't consider it more like a cat. So thank you and have a good night. I'll be back, but don't forget think objectively. I mean, you don't want to give a tax cut to somebody that's going to cost five times more than what they are ever going to pay.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Goodd evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident,
taxpayer. I think I'll be brief tonight, I
do have a couple of housekeeping kinds of
things like do we have a DEP report yet on

what was actually measured for in the Sandone tire fire?

MR. WECHSLER: No, we do not, but we have confirmed that they were readings that were taken so we are making an effort to get it. The readings were taken, no report was issued.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's what I'm saying, they obviously measured something, they should be able to tell us.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

 $\label{eq:MS.SCHUMACHER:} \textbf{MS. SCHUMACHER:} \quad \textbf{We deserve to know}$ that.

MR. WECHSLER: I agree with you, but they did not issue a report. We are trying to get that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Second, I sent
e-mails to PennDOT on this personally, but
every time I go up 307 or go South on 307 I
just think we need more than an an e-mail
from me. Anybody who has gone up there,
there are areas where there is no white
shoulder paint because there is no shoulder.
It's a drop of like four feet, maybe even
five. I was going to actually go down there

and measure some of them today, there is many of them that are -- where the road is actually crumbling into this abyss and I value my life more than measuring those to be precise, but we need to send a letter to them on those. With winter coming up one slide and somebody is going to rip the bottom of their car.

And then on the nonprofit, we were supposed to be validating the eligibility of all of our nonprofits, another thing we haven't done yet, and I would like to extend that one step further, I cannot by any stretch of my imagination come up with how the operator or the person who is going to lease the Parking Authority garages and our meters would qualify as a nonprofit, so I hope they are the first one tested.

MR. EVANS: Well, there is a test for all of that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand there is.

MR. EVANS: And --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm saying it should be applicable to this company. That's all

3

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm saying.

Now, you know, you have taken good care of a percentage of the taxpayers, you gave the the police and firemen contracts through 2021, extended it out way past anybody on this dias' tenure, beyond the mayor's elected tenure, I think it's time that you do something for the taxpayers and that is before you approve next year's 2016 budget this taxpayer, and I think a lot of others, would like to see a five-year budget beyond the budget you are actively working Howe are you going to prove to us in black and white what's going to happen with the money that you are going to gain from the Parking Authority sale, the parking asset sale, how that's going to play out over the next many years, how long is it going to be applied only to the pension, is it going to help our operating budget or The same with the Sewer Authority, you not. know.

And the pension, you probably all read the editorial today, we think the pension is bad this year at 9.3, it only

goes up 2 million in 2016, but in doubles this year by the next year.

The LST tax is only going to be good for two more years, there are so many things that make me believe that there is no way you or the mayor or Mr. Amoroso can pull this off, and I would like proof in black and white that we can track -- that we taxpayers can track to or that you can prove to yourselves that you are honestly voting in favor of a budget that's fair to the taxpayers.

I think the time has come to ask how much is enough. I think that has -- we have reached that point and, I agree, I have watched properties go for -- in Scranton go for pitiful amounts and I know my property value is down, I would say probably everybody's is in this city. And, yes, we need a reassessment but, again, I do not believe we need an \$8 million reassessment. What we need is for you people to go to our legislators and tell them a different method of doing it so that it's market value, pure market value, and it is updated annually and

that would help a whole lot. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. REEd: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Wecshler, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Rogan. First, I'd like to congratulate everyone that was involved in the election process on Tuesday. When we take a look at this process we look at the candidates that come through and look at them as winners and losers, and definitely there is no more final count for an election candidate than 8 p.m. on Tuesday evening in November, but everyone that participates should be congratulated. The candidates that won and the candidates that loss because this is what makes the system work.

Also, the people who take time out of their day to work the polls and volunteer for their candidates, it makes the process work, and I would especially like to congratulate Mr. Evans and I would also like

to congratulate Mr. Perry, who will be joining us in January. I feel very comfortable in both of their abilities to continue the work that I think this council is doing.

We heard a lot of discussion tonight about the budget and about reassessment and every year I make this same comment about both things, the fact that city council is televised and the fact that we have -- we are the easiest people to access in terms of the budget we get a lot of the comment about how poor the budgets are and how expensive they are, but I hope everybody just appreciates the fact that the school district also has a major impact on your taxes and, fortunately, this year the county is not going to raise taxes, and we do take our fair share of risks, and rightfully so.

In terms of some of the comments about a five-year budget, I would love to do a five-year budget, unfortunately, we don't know where we are going to be in 2017, 2016 is a mystery that we are trying to work on.

Also, the budget is prepared by the

mayor, we have influence as well, we can make changes to it, and we will try to do our best, but the criticism about our budget is unfounded and the mayor makes the budget and we'll work with him and we will try to make a good budget, but the responsibility for the budget is the mayor's.

We have been talking with the county in terms of their participation and their response to the tire at Sandone. We did receive a letter from the Lackawanna County Emergency Management and I just want to read a little bit of it because it provided a lot of information that I did not have.

The letter goes to say that,

"Lackawanna County EMA staff responded to
the scene of the fire upon notification of
the incident. Shortly after the initial
fire dispatch, the initial response
including three EMA staff members as well as
two vehicles. In our initial on-site
meeting, our staff asked what support EMA
could provide in the firefighting effort
including the possibility of using the
County's mobile command vehicle, and the

acquisition of firefighting foam. While it was not possible to begin using foam at the time due to the conditions and location of the fire within the structure, EMA staff had in place on standby and partnering agencies would could provide foam and the county foam trailer which was dispatched to the scene."

They also provided the use, if necessary, of a structural engineer. They also coordinated with the American Red Cross at the scene, and Lackawanna County EMA had for the record requests to the Pennsylvania Emergency Management Association for assistance, also.

As the letter goes on, some of the things this we had spoken about since the fire happened and one thing that they mentioned is something that we also had expressed concern about, and I'll just read what they say about that. "The concerns addressed in your comments or letter would have been addressed by is city EMC if the city did not have adquate resources. The city EMC could request assistance for the county. Unfortunately, the situation at

Midtown apartments or any other unmet needs, if they existed, were not brought to the attention of the county EMA. We have included a copy of Title 35 for your review and are willing to discuss the topic further to prevent such situations from occurring again in the event of a large scale incident with the hope of filling the gap created by the lack of an emergency management coordinator for the City of Scranton."

So far we have not made any progress in filling that gap. It's something that I do want to work on going into next year finally creating a position of either someone who is already here or someone new that could become this emergency management coordinator.

In my opinion, we got very lucky at that fire. The situation could have been much worse. Our firefighters and police department, the county came in and neighboring firefighters participated and created or avoided disaster so I think going forward we have to concentrate on that.

And a few things that I do have

2
 3
 4

comments on that Mr. Gaughan will address, Mr. Gaughan and I have been working on a couple of different issues, one of them being in terms of the leaf collection for the city, Mr. Gaughan has the information on that, and that's all I have for this evening.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: Yes, thank you,
Mr. Rogan. I'm going to step back a little
bit on the reassessment again. I do
appreciate what Mr. Ellman said that he is
looking out for the interest of the senior
citizens that own properties, some of them
are poor families that own property, but the
reality is that those are the people that
reassessment will actually protect.

There hasn't been a reassessment in 45 years. Generally the people that we are speaking about are the people that never file for an appeal. They are good citizens that pay their taxes every year and think that that's their responsibility and that's their role and that's what they do. So they

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are probably paying the tax based on assessment and never asked for a reduction.

But what a reassessment does, as I mentioned before, one third goes up, one third stays the same, and one third goes It's neutral. It's tax revenue neutral which means in the first year taxes can't go up. It's based on that premise so what will happen is people that aren't paying their fair share will pay their fair share and the people that are being overcharged with the high assessment will go down, and that's what we are trying to do. We are trying to create equality for all of our citizens, plus the fact that we will create opportunities on the economic side of the stratosphere because we are not seeing any new construction in city and a lot of that has to do with the fact that we haven't had a reassessment in so long because if you build a new house in the city your taxes are through the roof. If you build new construction, a commercial building, your taxes will go through the roof because the assessment will be based on 1970 valuations.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I am a proponent for reassessment, I will always be a proponent for reassessment, I'll be glad to talk about this issues with anybody at any time, I'm sure I can't convince everybody, but I am positive in my mind that this is something that the city has to do and has to force the county to do.

Now, their \$8 million figure that they are touting as being too much I don't even know where that number comes from because there hasn't been an RFP, nobody has asked anybody what the cost actually will We spent \$2.9 million already on getting the leg work done and all of the properties in Scranton have already been measured, reviewed, all of that information is already there. Now, will some of it have to be updated, probably. However, a lot of that work is done so I would like to see what a reassessment would actually cost and I would ask the commissioners to put an RFP out there and find out what the cost really Just don't tell us it's \$8 million let's find out what the real number is and

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

then we can have a conversation on how to get this thing done.

Secondly, is as you may know the police Pension Board once again has elected to authorize increases to retirees. this could be viewed as a nice gesture on their part for retirees, it is actually a continuation of the denial of the grave condition of the pensions and the systemic problems with the Pension Boards and the rules and ordinances that guide them. We have called for changes for those rules over a year, and I personally called for a revamping of who sits on the Pension Boards allowing for Pension Boards that are more experienced in financial matters sa well as any members that will bring a new look of independence than those who are going to receive pensions in the future.

I repeatedly stated that there can be no super funding of the pensions without the changes needed at the Pension Board level and the rules that guide them or the transferring of that role to the Pennsylvania Municipal Pension Board, so any

discussion completing the monetization of the Sewer Authority to super fund the pensions to me is premature at best without those changes in place before it happens.

And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

Mr. Wechsler mentioned the leaf pick up, we did speak to the Department of Public Works director, Mr. Gallagher, and he is going to notify city council and the public when leaf pick up begins. He did say to notify residents that it would be extremely helpful to bag the leaves in paper bags instead of plastic. The recycling center will not take the plastic bags and he does not want leaves swept to the curb so please bag your leaves

There is a program that I would like to talk about for a second that our recycling consultant, Joyce Hatala, is reviewing along with members of the administration that I think could possibly

and we will notify the public when the leaf

pick up is taking place.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be beneficial for the taxpayers as well as our environment and it is called the PAYT program, "Pay as you Throw" in Pennsylvania.

To reduces waste, as well as promote recycling, many communities in Pennsylvania have implemented an incentive based program known as "Pay as you Throw" or PAYT. this program, a household's payment is based upon the amount the trash that they you produce, conflicting to avoid hire fees many households practice sustainable behavior such as composting, recycling and buying products that generate less waste. money that is collected pays for trash collection as well as the community's recycling program. The fee that is charged varies from community to community. communities collect the money up front through the purchase of garbage bags and others based the amount on the volume or weight of the garbage. This flexibility allows each community to choose the method that is best suited for them. The fee can be paid in a number of different ways such as direct payment or subscription.

Currently the PAYT program has been implemented in over 211 different communities in Pennsylvania. Across these municipalities, wide ranges of results have been found. The reduction in landfill waste is between 6 and 50 percent depending on the community. There has also been a 30 to 64 percent increase in recycling. Moreover, there has been a four-fold increase in yard waste collection. Due to the success of this program, the Department of Environmental Production is hoping to expand to include all parts of the Pennsylvania.

It would be my recommendation that the administration consider at some point an assembling a panel of experts to evaluate the feasibility of pursuing pay as you throw options for the City of Scranton. We need to find waste, protect our environment and also our taxpayers from the very high garbage fee that they currently pay.

We also received an assessment that
I found very interesting completed by Harris
Engineering of our downtown area street
lighting system. The concerns I have heard

along I'm surer with my colleagues over and over and again from business owners, developers and residents is that the lighting downtown and really throughout the whole city is not adequate and makes people feel unsafe at night. Harris Engineering identified three major areas of concern with respect to taking corrective action to better enhance lighting downtown. The three areas were:

Number one, aesthetics, deteriorating polls, inconsistent illumination levels, inconsistent styles of lighting fixtures and inconsistent lighting technology.

The second area was safety. Low lighted areas, inconsistent spacing of lighting fixtures, burnt out lamps, and deteriorating polls.

And the third, and one of the most important is cost. There is inconsistent document of pole ownership, inconsistent documentation of land lottage, and no long-term plan for the reduction of system lighting costs.

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The engineering company recommended installing effective, energy efficient streetlight. Some of the benefits of energy efficient lighting such as LED are reduced costs to the city, increased safety, improved appearance and reduced light pollution and light trespass.

And on the point of increased safety, the reports said that the effectiveness of improved lighting and reducing crime is second only to increased police presence so I though that was very important.

Following these recommendations will provide an increase in lighting levels on city streets that will improve visibility for drivers and pedestrians and provide a clean, safer and more inviting landscape for Scranton's residents and visitors, and I'm hopeful that this administration will make an effort to follow through with these recommendations. And that is all I have to this week. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. A couple of I'd like to start of by comments.

congratulating Councilmen Evans on his election as well as Mr. Perry, and especially monumental for Mr. Evans is as a Republican winning in the City of Scranton he accomplished something that hasn't been done since Brian Reap, and by most accounts Brian Reap was one of the best councilmen the City of Scranton has ever had, so congratulations to both you as well as to our city controller, Roseann Novembrino, who was reelected as well.

Some comments on items that were brought up by speakers and by other council members, the idea that Councilmen Gaughan just pitched is a great idea. I have been an advocate of a per bag garbage fee for many years. In the City of Scranton, it is completely unfair that a widow pays \$300 for a garbage fee when the family of 12 also pays \$300 for a garbage fee. There is no equity in the rates between, you know, the amount of garbage they are putting out and the fee, so anything to make that fee more fair, whether it's a higher of usage and a flat rate the way that the sewer bills are

calculated or whether it's a completely based on usage fee that would be great. It would promote increased recycling and it would be more fair.

Sticking to the recycling fee, I would like to thank the newspaper, which is something I don't do often, for their excellent article regarding the questions that were brought up by other members of the media regarding political signs on city property. They did a great job explaining that, especially with the pictures and everything that was placed in there, and on the theme of recycling it's another smart idea. It only saves a few hundred dollars a year, is what the article says, but every little bit counts.

Next, the item that was talked about the most today by Councilmen Evans and a couple of the speakers is reassessment. We did receive a reply from outgoing commissioner Jim Wansack regarding reassessment from our letter that was sent, and I want to stress this is only from Commissioner Wansack, it's not sent from the

majority commissioners. As you know,

Commissioner O'Malley, will be continuing to
to serve for another four years, so in
summary the letter says, "Dear Council, I
have received your correspondence dated

October 21, 2015, and I thank you for
contacting me regarding the idea of a
countywide property reassessment in

Lackawanna County. While I agree that
reassessment is needed in Lackawanna County
and across our Commonwealth, I do not

taxpayers. Due to the overwhelming costs and arcane rules that go with reassessment in Pennsylvania, our administration holds

the position that reassessment is not

without significant reform."

believe that funding such a reassessment by

spending the vast majority of our county

surplus is in the best interest of county

state level, particularly in how reassessments are funded and later updated

feasible without significant reform at the

It goes and to talk about some of the items that they have done since 2012 in their administration not related to

reassessment. There is no question that the way reassessment is done in the State of Pennsylvania needs to updated, but we cannot continue to sit idly by while the politicians in Harrisburg do not do their job. As we see, there hasn't been a state budget in many, many months which is having an impact on many local schools districts and nonprofits. If they can't even pass a budget how are they going to pass reforms to how properties are reassessed.

As it was mentioned, and as I mentioned earlier, every week we get a stack of papers from people who challenge their reassessments their property, and I would say the majority of them are people that are able to hire an attorney to represent them. The average citizen in the City of Scranton cannot afford to higher an attorney to challenge their tax assessment. A reassessment, as Councilmen Evans mentioned, a third of people would see the tax reduction, a third will see the same and a third will go up, but it would be fair. There are many properties within the city

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that are uneven in taxes. I know of a duplex in South Scranton that their total tax bill is less than \$1,000. That's between city, county and the school district. I know of a house right down the block from that one in similar condition, similar lot size that's paying more than double that. How is that fair? The reassessment would take care of the fairness issue, and as Councilmen Evans also mentioned it would promote development of new houses. Without having to do KOZ's and without having to do tax abatements to get redevelopment within the city.

As I mentioned, when we initially sent the letter to the county there was -- we discussed whether we would send it to the current commissioners or the new commissioners, and as we know Tuesday two new commissioners were elected, and one of them, Jerry Notarianni, has made reassessment a key part of his platform and he was the top vote getter in the election so I'm helpful that with Commissioner Notarianni coming in he could convince one

of his other colleagues to make this a priority and make it happen for Lackawanna County and the City of Scranton.

Two other items I would like to bring up, I received another letter from Isaac Tripp Elementary regarding the numerous traffic problems on Dorothy and Farr Street. Now, those streets are currently in the process of being paved, which is good long-term, it's making the problem even worse in the short-term. I know we did send a letter to Chief Graziano, we would be able to send follow-up on this? I will call him tomorrow as well to see what is going on with this study because this traffic issue needs to be straightened out in the Tripp Park section by the school.

And, finally, and probably most importantly of everything I will mention today, Wednesday, this upcoming Wednesday is Veteran's Day so I'd like give a big thank you to all our veterans in the City of Scranton and our community who fought to keep us safe and give us the freedoms that many of us expressed on election day this

week and the freedoms we have every day.

And that is it all. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ANTHONY SANTOLI,
1041 PRESCOTT AVENUE, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE
TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015.
MR. SANTOLI IS BEING APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5)
YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29,
2020.

MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. WECHSLER: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I would just like to say that I'm very happy to see the Shade Tree Commission back up and running. It had been non-operational for a long period of time. This is upon the recommendation of our city forester, Tony Santoli, and I believe that he is really one of the gifts to the City of Scranton. He offers his expertise for free. He doesn't

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask for any money, and he is very passionate about what he does and I thank him for his service.

One of the reasons that the Shade Tree Commission is being brought up again and they are appointing members, is in order for the city to be considered for Tree City USA we must have a Shade Tree Commission in place. And I'll just read for a moment what the Tree City USA program is. It provides direction, assistance, and national recognition for communities. It's the framework for a healthy, sustainable urban forestry program in municipalities across the United States and the benefits are Three of the most important substantial. benefits are, number one, reduced costs for energy, storm water management, and erosion control. Trees yield three to five times their cost in overall benefits to the city. In cuts energy consumption by 25 percent. Studies indicate that as few as three additional trees planted around each building in the United States could save \$2 billion annually in energy costs, and it

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also boosts property values across communities in the United States. Properly placed trees can increase property values from 7 to 20 percent. So, again, I'd like to thank Mr. Santoli for his recommendation and his service to the city and, again, I'm glad to see this commission up and running. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I'd like to echo what Councilmen Gaughan said, Tony Santoli is the ultimate public servant. worked with Tony for decades on tree planting projects in South Side throughout the city and he is always there for you. you call him today he will be there in an hour to look at a problem with a tree or whatever the case may be. And looking on the list, I will be honest with you, thi sis the whose who of talented citizen volunteers that are going on this Shade Tree Commission so I wish them all the best of luck and thank them for their service because there is some very, very good people and I applaud the mayor and his appointments because they are excellent. That's all I have on this.

1	MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of
2	introduction signify by saying aye.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
4	MR. EVANS: Aye.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
7	have it and so moved.
8	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
9	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF THOMAS J.
10	MCLANE, 601 STAFFORD AVENUE, SCRANTON,
11	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE
12	TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015.
13	MR. MCLANE IS BEING APPOINTED TO A FOUR (4)
14	YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29,
15	2019.
16	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. WECHSLER: So moved.
20	MR. EVANS: Second.
21	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
25	MR. EVANS: Aye.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 2 3 have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 4 RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF DAVID J. WENZEL, 5 7 PHILLIPS DRIVE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 6 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE TREE 7 8 COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015. MR. 9 WENZEL IS BEING APPOINTED TO A THREE (3) YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29, 10 11 2018. 12 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 13 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 14 introduced into its proper committee. MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 15 16 MR. EVANS: Second. 17 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 18 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. Rogan, on the 19 question, as Councilman Evans said these 20 people are very committed to this project. 21 In fact, Mayor Wenzel is leading the chair 22 of planting 150 trees in the city 23 commemorating the contributions that past 24 mayors have contributed to the city so

Mr. Wenzel is along in planting these trees

25

1 and he is walking the walk and talking the 2 talk. 3 MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of 4 introduction signify by saying aye. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 10 11 RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ANNE MCNALLY, 12 1132 SAINT ANN STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE 13 14 TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015. MS. MCNALLY IS BEING APPOINTED TO A TWO (2) 15 16 YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29, 17 2017. 18 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 19 20 introduced into its proper committee. 21 MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 22 MR. EVANS: Second. 23 MR. ROGAN: On the question? All 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

	36
1	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
2	MR. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
5	have it and so moved.
6	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
7	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF JOSEPH A.
8	RICCARDO, JR., 944 MONROE AVENUE, APARTMENT
9	#1, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A
10	MEMBER OF THE SHADE TREE COMMISSION,
11	EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015. MR. RICCARDO IS
12	BEING APPOINTED TO A ONE (1) YEAR TERM WHICH
13	WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29, 2016.
14	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
16	introduced into its proper committee.
17	MR. WECHSLER: So moved.
18	MR. EVANS: Second.
19	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
20	those in favor of introduction signify by
21	saying aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
23	MR. EVANS: Aye.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
	II

1 have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO 2 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 3 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 4 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 5 WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 212, 6 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 7 8 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 9 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH PETROLEUM TRADERS CORPORATION TO PROVIDE 80,000 GALLONS (MORE 10 OR LESS) LOW SULFUR DIESEL FUEL TO THE CITY 11 OF SCRANTON DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR 12 THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2016 THROUGH DECEMBER 13 14 31. 2016. MR. ROGAN: What is the 15 recommendation of the Chair for the 16 17 Committee on Public Works? 18 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 19 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 20 passage of Item 7-A. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 22 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Ro11 23 call, please? MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 24 25 MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 1 MR. EVANS: Yes. 2 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare 6 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 7 8 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 9 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 213, 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE 10 DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS 11 12 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF 13 14 TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL 15 PERMIT NOS. 40032, 10166 & 40123 FOR THE 16 17 MODIFICATION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNALS 18 AT PITTSTON AVENUE AND ELM STREET, MAIN AVENUE AND SCHIFF'S DRIVEWAY/JOHNSON 19 TECHNICAL INSTITUTE DRIVEWAY, AND MERIDIAN 20 21 AVENUE AND LUZERNE STREET. 22 MR. ROGAN: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chair for the 24 Committee on Public Works? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the

ĺ	T
	61
1	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
2	passage of Item 7-B.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
4	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
5	call, please?
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
15	If there is not further business,
16	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn.
18	MR. ROGAN: This meeting is
19	adjourned.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the

above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

	63
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	