		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, November 12, 2015	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	OATHENE O NARROZZI RED OFFICIAL COURT STOCKE	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT (Not present) PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: I make a motion to
14	appoint Councilman Rogan as temporary
15	Chairperson for the Committee on Rules.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
17	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18 19	those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19 20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19 20 21	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19 20 21 22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes

FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD NOVEMBER 18, 2015.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES HELD
OCTOBER 14 AND OCTOBER 16, 2015.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. PETITION RECEIVED

FOR INSTALLATION OF NEW STREET LIGHT AT 1700

BLOCK MCDONOUGH AVENUE.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. On November 17 and 18 Pappas Pizza will be having their annual fundraiser "Pizza for Pancreatic Cancer." All you have to do is come in t Pappas on November 17 and 18 and buy pizza. Every pizza you purchase will have 50 percent of the sale's price donated on your behalf to the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: A few announcements.

Please note that next Thursday evening,
November 9, there will be two public
hearing, the first at 5:15 for Item 5-B on
tonight's agenda. Permit parking in the 800
block of East Gibson Street, and the second
at 5:30 for Item 5-C the 2016 city operating
budget.

Leaf pickup in the City of Scranton will be on November 16 and the week of December 14. Those weeks, again, November 16 and the week of December 14 paper recyclables will not be picked up, instead, leaves will be picked up and the next week will resume with the mixed recyclables.

Prior to the meeting there was an executive session held to discuss the lease of real estate.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. ROGAN: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
taxpayer and resident. I want to point out
that the Friends of the Scranton Public
Library will have another book sale in the
Library Express which is on the second floor

of the Steamtown Mall December 1 through the 6. They will be selling books, DVD's and CD's for either one or two dollars. Perfect opportunity to start buying your Christmas gifts. You can't beat that as a bargain.

I was pleased to see the article in this morning's paper of the 2016 operating budget. Except Jim Bob over there pulled a fast one on me and the edition of the working paper addition of the budget that he has a link for, unfortunately, changed dramatically this morning, so I understand, Jim Bob, you are going to fix that little error?

MR. LOCKWOOD: (Unintelligible.)

MS. HODOWANITZ: Because I spent a good deal of time working with that copy only to find out that I had the freshman edition of the budget. But speaking of the budget, I noticed that there is no mention in the narrative analysis of revenues and expenditures of the possibilities of a 2015 operating deficit, and I think people need to keep that in mind as they assess the figures they are looking at that if there is

23

24

25

a deficit that has to come out of those revenues, and I was just wondering what why we might find because, remember, you've got lots of ongoing litigation any of which could go against the city, you have got the end of year MMO for the pension funds. have got the unknown as to exactly how much money we will be getting in the monetization of the Parking Authority and the Sewer Authority. We are still waiting for the bond for the Court award and on and on and on. We have got rental registration stuck in escrow. We've got the LST tax about \$2 million short so it's going to take a lot of studying.

I was pleased to see though the reference this year in the narrative to bringing in interns from the local Universities, which I think would be a great advantage to city hall. More on that next week when I've had time to look at the correct numbers.

What is the status, if any, of the 2014 independent audit?

MR. EVANS: We expect Rainey &

Rainey to be completed soon and SB & Company should be arriving on November 23 to start their process. They are pretty far along already, but they are getting updates continually and we still expect them to have a draft late by the end of the year.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, but that's not a draft that we released yet to the public? A final copy won't be probably out until next year?

MR. EVANS: Well, it depends. I mean, I don't know exactly if that will be a draft or will be a final copy so we'll have to see.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Any idea who will be the next business administrator?

MR. EVANS: None.

MR. ROGAN: I asked that question to the mayor when I spoke with him and he said there is a search going on, but nobody has been picked as of yet.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Oh, just a reminder, too, everybody, I think the Pension Boards are meeting next Wednesday November 18 rather than the fourth Wednesday

of the month because of the Thanksgiving holiday for anybody who wants to watch that or -- well, I don't think it's broadcast on TV, but if anybody wants to attend that I think they moved that up one week.

And have we had anything feedback from the administration on the issue of pedestrian safety downtown with the crosswalks and the traffic lights?

MR. WECHSLER: There is going to be a meeting, PennDOT is going to do a meeting, I believe it's right on schedule for November 25 at 1 p.m. Details are coming up. I spoke to Chief Graziano this week and they are working on something.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm glad that you have not let that drop. That's a serious issue.

Any idea, any feedback on what ended up in our sewers a couple of weeks ago, the Greenridge goop?

MR. WECHSLER: No. All of the information that we have received to date has not changed.

MS. HODOWANITZ: But you are still

waiting for more information from DEP?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, still waiting for more. I'm not sure when we are going to get it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Also on the budget, before I forget, for the first year, although the narrative says that real estate is the primary revenue driver that's not true. It is now Act 511 local taxes. The difference is real estate will be \$33.5 million and Act 511 will be 36.8 million so real estate is no longer the driver of the budget.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. Still sad to see Mr.

McGoff isn't here, still hope everything is
going well with him as best it can be
considering the circumstances and we want
him to know we haven't forgotten about him.

We still need a good battle here once in
awhile.

Going over the potential of the business plan, we want to liquidate the Sewer Authority. Now, if the Sewer

Authority was run like an actual business it would be a profitable place to do business with. If you liquidate that, the money is going, as it always has in Scranton, just like the golf course money went, it goes down in the black hole because we don't know how to manage our assets. This Sewer Authority is liquidated. Keep in mind that the people buying it, if any of you were businessmen, if you were investing your millions of dollars to buy something what are you going to buy it for, to give it away? No, you are buying it to make a profit?

So the first thing you are going to do is look at your asset picture, you are going to get rid of the deadbeats, you're going to get rid of all of the overkill that's going on in the place, you are going to get rid of company cars from all of the supervisors that they drive back and forth because they get called out, but they don't do anything so you are going to liquidate a lot of stuff, and what are they going to do? Say, "We need to make more money."

So bingo, we don't pay a tax increase, but we get killed with a sewer increase. Bad, bad business, bad, bad decisions on the part of this city. You can run that as a Sewer Authority just somebody in this administration and these councils would get together and put your heads together and use the God given brains we were given and run it as a business we don't have to liquidate it and we don't have to put the screws to the people in this community anymore than we have been doing.

You want to liquidate the parking garages. We have the asset but we are too dumb to run them? Come on. It's bad. It's a bad philosophy. You got Amoroso. Well, let me tell you something he is a liquidator he is not an asset saver. This foresight is let me liquidate everything, the city has no tax base, but without a tax base you don't decrease the tax rate. Keep that in mind. It's simple mathematics. He is a liquidator. He is not the Messiah of business that's going to save the assets of the City of Scranton or save Scranton. When

everything is liquidated like any good auctioneer he is going to hop on his horse and ride out of town leaving us with zero, and we have proven that. We have proven we cannot manage our assets so don't jump into a budget blind sided and think you are going to save the city. Hard, hard facts, hard, hard decisions need to be made and they need to be made now. We have about screwing with everybody a long time and it's time to stop what's been going on. You guys are here to do it.

Turn around and ask the University to come in and take the place of Bulzoni when he leaves as the business administrator. Go ahead. Tell them. Here you are, guys, you teach people business, you give master's program, PhD's, take over as the business administrator of the City of Scranton in lieu of the taxes. You just saved \$90,000. They don't give us a darn thing back, they have been taken. Take over and teach us how to run it as a business. They will teach you how to run the Sewer Authority, they will teach you how to run

the parking garages, they will teach you how to run the city. That's what they do. They are a teaching institute. They are well renowned and we have given them a free ride for a long, long time so let's go get our resources back, guys. Let's get something back instead of something for nothing. So there is a plan for you. Take an opportunity. There is four of you here. Somebody go contact them and ask them if they will take over the business administration duties of this city and maybe you will wake up and see how you save money.

Look at how they are building. They are not stupid. They are building multimulti-million dollar buildings. They don't pay a dime in taxes and we give everything back. Let they show you how to think like they think and you will get somewhere for a change, okay?

The mall, let's talk about the mall.

When everybody can't wait, let's go do this

to the mall, let's do this to the mal.

Remember, it's a private enterprise now. We

bailed enough money into it. Does anybody

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know what the assessed value of the mall is today that we, the taxpayers, are getting something back out of that facility? Any of you guys have any idea?

 $\label{eq:mr.Rogan:} \text{MR. ROGAN:} \quad \text{I know it was reduced} \\ \text{drastically.}$

MR. BOLUS: Pardon?

MR. ROGAN: I know it was reduced drastically.

MR. BOLUS: Well, that's on the stupidity part of reducing it drastically because it's assessed at \$3 million. A facility worth 20 some million plus with a millions the individual is putting in it we want to give breaks. We want to give them \$750,000 and he's going millions of dollars to spend and squander. Why are we sitting here like dummies saying we don't want to assess it a \$3 million when it should be assessed at 20 or 30 million and put that money in the tax base, you'd decrease the tax rate. There is your golden goose. not going to save the city. It's could save the city in a good time when everything was hustle and bustle, yet, I got assessed by

this county for a church that I bought to save the cultural and I pay \$35,000 when I'm assessed at a half a million dollars that was never assessed in the past. Yet, I let the kids play free in the Holy Cross Hall since the day I bought it. I don't ask anybody for anything back, but you are going to tell me there is not a selective appraisal situation and evaluations in this county? You guys got to wake up, make the assessor's pay the price--

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: -- that's necessary for the people in this community and stop giving the farm away, guys, or are you really going to put the screws to the city. It's in your hands and, remember, you could override a veto. That's what it's all about. If you don't though how it operates, you better start paying attention because we have been screwed over enough. It's time to take the bull by the horns. Let's see what you can really do. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Just in regards to the budget, you know, without really having a chance to review the document it's safe to say it's along the lines of the same rhetoric we have been listening to the last two and a half years, if we are going to be following the Amoroso plan or the way I like to refer to it as the Amoroso suicide mission because that's in reality what it is.

Mr. Amoroso was brought in, he is not a dummy, he knows what he was brought in to do and that was to liquidate the city, the few remaining assets that we have left, and when we look at this budget we can see it in black and white it's the intention of this administration to liquidate the last two remaining assets that we possess, and that's the parking garages and the Sewer Authority.

And, you know, what really just frustrates me is the fact that we are going to have an administration that's going to gloat over the fact that we are only going to see taxes raise by a little over 5.7

23

24

25

percent, but what they fail to mention is a lot of that's going to be offset by the fact that we intend on selling assets, and when we talk about liquidating the parking garages, you know, the best thing that ever happened in this city was when Mrs. Evans and her colleagues on the previous counsel allowed the Parking Authority to go into a receivership. Whether you agree or not, it was best decision that was made. Mr. Rogan supported it at the time, but then since he has gone back on it and that's just the politics that we have in this community. We just have a lot of politicians in this community that go back on everything because their interests aren't for us, they are only out there for themselves and the special interests that put them in these seats.

And so we are going to sell the parking garages and go back to the same old politics as usual where we had an authority that just ran rough shot over the whole operation and they were handed a blank checkbook and did whatever they wanted. The budget that they presented to past councils

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were nothing more than just, I believe it
was what Mrs. Evans who used to call them
Mickey Mouse budgets. I mean, that's the
mentality we were dealing with. We were
dealing with incompetent people that
mismanaged that authority, and so when that
decision was made to stop the borrowing that
was the best thing they could have done, but
this administration went ahead and just
reversed that decision.

The Sewer Authority, that's nice to say we are going to sell that and make money over 20 some million, but where did we ever come up with that figure? Do we really know what it's worth? But most importantly, what's going to happen when somebody takes over the Sewer Authority? It's going to be a for-profit organization or group, and what's first thing they are going to want to Make money. Just like any other business wants to do, it's about making And how are they going to get it? By jacking up the rates. So while we want to talk and gloat about a 5 percent tax increase, we fail to mention how sewer rates

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will skyrocket and people are going to get screwed over in the parking garages when the parking rates go up because it's about making money.

So, yet again, we keep going back to the same people who have nothing left to give. They have nothing left to give. You have keep going back to the same people trying to take something from them that they don't possess, and we just keep going back to the same old failed plan, the solution is raising taxes. Now we see it on the state level where the governor and the state legislators want to raise the sales tax. mean, when is it going to end? ridiculous. But most importantly, when are the voters going to wake up? Because they let it happen time after time. I mean, when are they going to wake up and realize that the people we elect are incompetent, they are clueless, they don't live in the real word, they don't care about us because if they did we wouldn't be in the position we are in today. It's about being self-serving, protecting are own interests,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how are going to enhance our career.

You know, we have council members who wanted to put cell phone towers on their lots to enrich themselves. I mean, that's what we are dealing with in this city. People like that. Ignorant people, self-centered people, belligerent people who don't care.

We see blight all over the city and who do we blame, we blame landlords, I get a kick out of it. We blame the residents that lives in the homes. When are we going to realize that we are blaming the wrong people. Did you ever think for a moment maybe using some common sense, that maybe because you have taxed the living hell of everybody in this community that they don't have the ability to upkeep their home because they have been overtaxed to death year after year. And we look around and see blight everywhere, "For Sale" signs everywhere. Mr. Evans can attest to it, MR. Rogan can attest to it as relators. everywhere, but what are we doing about it? We are actually making the problem worse by

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doing the things we are doing here. I mean, it's just frustrating. And, you know, when allow incompetent people to make these decisions it's hard to have the optimism to think we are moving forward anywhere.

And, you know, I just don't know where we are headed here and, you know, as far as where we are going into heading into the new year, you know, we need to start using common sense and we need to start allowing people to come forward with creative ideas. And forget all of the political nonsense, we have a lot of smart, intelligent people that come up here week after week that participate for decades and we never listened to them, and maybe we should start listening to these people because it's starting to get to a point where these people on this side have a lot more common sense and knowledge than the people on this side, and that's from the administration on down. There are some good people in the government, but we have a lot of incompetent people that have no business taking these seats. And, like I said, it's

hard to have any optimism and I'll address more of the issues on the budget at the hearing next week. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Before the next speaker I just want to clear up something that the last two speakers mentioned, there is no plan to sell either the Sewer Authority or the parking garages, and neither is included in this year's budget. That is the end of the sign-ins, is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. SBARAGALIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I, too, know nobody wants to buy the Sewer Authority or the parking garages. They want a nonprofit lease of said assets but you don't realize if you give them a 40-year lease that I heard battered around that's a loss of \$16 million in revenue to the city just on the parking meters. They are bringing about \$9 1/2 in a year. Now you also got the liability of repairing those garages that could run up to 40 million in 40 years. We already have six or eight

1

6 7

8

9

5

10

12 13

11

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

million dollars damage to them now so you are talking about \$100e million of liability you are putting on the citizens of Scranton. Are you looking at that? No. You are looking for an easy fix. There is no easy fix. Anything you're doing is not a good fix.

Even leasing the Sewer Authority, is No, because they only want that a good fix? the sewer -- I guess you would call it the facilities, not the storm drains and the people don't realize you want to charge them for God's rain falling from the sky on your roof size. This is what was in their stupid plans and you think we are all idiots. Actually, maybe we are idiots because no one in their right mind would vote the way you vote. You got to be idiots because they always said what's the definition of an idiot doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results and that's where we are in Scranton.

I have never seen somebody bent on suicide like the people of Scranton. They don't have the common sense to realize what

was going on. We used to vote our councilmen by district, then we went to on large and the reason we went to on large is you have a block of voters that can vote for five different people and give them a seat on council. If you are voting by district, only the people in that district would be able to vote for that councilmen, so it went down to I guess the yellow brick road. I have never in my life would understand how yous can even profess to be Scrantonians because you are not half the Scrantonian mentality.

Now, I realize we have to pay our debts, but when you borrow for the police and firemen's court award we are talking about \$60 million in debt, near 60 million by the time you borrow the \$24 million plus all of the associated costs that goes along with it and then the interest payment depending on how many years this goes on.

How much debt do you think the people of Scranton can handle? You have no idea. You are there sitting cushioned by your 12,500 which cushions you, but a lot of

22

23

24

25

people don't have that cushion and they are going to suffer by what you do, what the city does, the school board does, and what the state is it because they don't actually One will not erase the other. mean, to give the property owners a tax break you got to take the people who rent and give to them in the back. That's all there is to it. You can't have it both ways. By reducing the property tax, like I explained before when they came before The only people benefited is the council. businesses and some of the people in the defunct cities that will have more money to grab ahold of, and that's all. Will the people actually be doing any better then? Because you not going after the root No. cause is how the school system is set up. know you have nothing to do with that other than the fact you are hoping that they do it so you can grab that extra money.

This is it what's going on in this city and you don't remember -- you never saw the city, you are not old enough to know the city, you had to be here many, many years

ago when the city was the city, not a ghost town like it is now. I remember times at Christmas you couldn't even walk down Lackawanna, Wyoming Avenue.

And as far as the safety goes, we used to have four-way stops so you can cross the street, but then the federal government gave us money and right away we needed a contract change those four-way stops. You used to able to walk across all at once all of the directions. This is how it was. Not no more.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

I'd like to remind the residents of Scranton that if they go on Facebook they can find Lee Morgan: The Alliance, and I'd like to ask people to come to that site, if they would, please.

Mr. Rogan, I'd like to ask the council a question, may I do so?

MR. ROGAN: Sure.

MR. MORGAN: I'd like to start with Mr. Wechsler and just go down the line and

	25
1	ask. Mr. Wechsler, with all due respect to
2	you, how much a year do you earn with all
3	the total income?
4	MR. WECHSLER: That's reported on
5	the statements
6	MR. MORGAN: That's not what I
7	asked, I asked
8	MR. WECHSLER: I don't think it's
9	proper, you know, to divulge that
10	information.
11	MR. MORGAN: Why? It's on the
12	statement, you just said.
13	MR. ROGAN: He doesn't
14	MR. MORGAN: How about you, Mr.
15	Rogan?
16	MR. ROGAN: What anyone earns on the
17	city counsel is not relevant to
18	MR. MORGAN: It most certainly is
19	relevant.
20	MR. ROGAN: No, it is not.
21	MR. MORGAN: Well, I would still
22	like to ask that question.
23	MR. ROGAN: There are financial
24	reports
25	MR. MORGAN: Would you like to
	II

1 answer that question, Mr. Rogan? You don't 2 have to. 3 MR. ROGAN: No. 4 MR. MORGAN: But I'd like to know 5 that. MR. ROGAN: I agree with what 6 Councilman Wechsler said. 7 8 MR. MORGAN: Would you like to 9 answer that question, Mr. Gaughan? 10 MR. GAUGHAN: What is the question 11 again, I'm sorry? 12 MR. MORGAN: I'd like to know what 13 you earn a year total in salary from council 14 and your employment and any business interests you may have. 15 16 MR. GAUGHAN: I don't have any 17 business interests, \$90 a day per diem when 18 I get called to sub, substitute teach, and 19 \$12,500 from here. That's about it. I'm 20 not rich by any means. 21 MR. MORGAN: Mr. Evans, would you 22 care to answer that question? 23 MR. EVANS: Well. we all know that 24 we all make \$12,500 a year in this position, 25 but I'd rather not answer, that is private

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

business and I'd rather not answer that.

MR. MORGAN: Well, the first thing I have here is that as residents of the City of Scranton look at their elected council, their elected council won't -- even they serve the people here and they move legislation that is hazardous to the residents of this city only one person would state what they earn and it's a very troubling thing. And I think that the council is very seriously out of touch with reality as to the income of average Scrantonians and it just goes to prove one very simple thing, that the people you have elected to represent you won't tell you what they are earning a year, but they will saddle you with taxation that's beyond your ability to pay.

Now, when we look at the budget the mayor can send a budget up, but council has a right to alter that budget and I would like the councilmen to look at Section 501 and 505, okay, of the Home Rule Charter because we have got very serious problems here and, you know, that most troubling

thing that I have is I'm sorry that I'm 56 years old and probably what needs to happen is what Thomas Jefferson talked about with Hamilton and Washington right after this country was founded because when we have in America is something along the lines of what happened with Great Britain. Great Britain at one time was the most powerful nation in the word but what it did was it crushed the citizens of America under taxation and misconduct by the crown and, well, with all due respect to the council and to elected government they served the purpose of being the crown.

And when you look at what the new governor wants to do, increase state sales tax and personal tax and the federal government taxing us out of our property and taking our pay and we have nothing to say basically, the government is determined that they are going to do whatever they want to us, they are going to hide in their ivory towers, the Court is going to arm itself by having armed guards there. It's like the whole government is in lock down, and the

sad part is that at 56 years old when revolution comes to this country I'll probably be too old to take part, but if it could come tomorrow I would welcome it because I think it's time to clean house in America because the elective process hasn't worked. And to be quite honest with you, I take a lot of the public officials we have now and I would use the laws that we have now and I'd start putting them in jail.

Anybody that's going to talk about continually stealing from the tax base over and over and over again and continually funding nonprofits, look it, the Novembrino pool project is just a boondoggle, it just speaks of the corruption this city, okay? And all of the special interests, and I'd like to say that the super voters they have come out and they have supported their candidates like they always have, backing the wrong people for election and reelection and the horse and pony show we call government in the City of Scranton is exactly that.

And like Andy said, when I was kid,

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not as old as Andy, but there were over 100,000 people here and you bumped into people and see people. Honestly, I think we are below 70,000, and when you look at what people are getting for their homes pennies on what they are really worth. And the sad part is super voters, because nobody else believes in the system, everybody has walked away from it, they won't vote, but the super voters keep just bringing the same floor flushers into office over and over and over again, and they use the power of government to oppress the people and steal from them, and I just think that the people tonight who wouldn't say what they earn should be ashamed of themselves because you are hiding what you earn from the people who elected. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. First, I would like to thank Jim Lockwood for putting the budget on the website since the city only puts it on after it is approved so I would encourage

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody to look at it and a public hearing will be in a week, come out and speak your mind.

And speaking of that, I do come bearing good news tonight, it has nothing to do with the budget, but it has everything to do with your pocketbook and that is that after years of hard work and dedication by many people the Senate majority leader has tentatively scheduled a vote on Senate Bill 76 for next week. That bill would eliminate the school property tax. It would not reduce it so that it could be raised again later, it would eliminate it. So if this is to be accomplished, however, we have to let our senator know. So I want to provide this This is Senator Blake, this is information. his phone number of his office. Call him every day if you desire. Call your friends, family and get them to call and if you have some free time you can go on the website if you have a computer and also call some of the other senators and urge them to vote in favor of Senate Bill 76. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: Now back to 2 business at hand. I guess I'll hold the DPW 3 questions until Mr. Gaughan returns, but finance, how much would it cost to fund the 4 5 pension at 50 percent level? MR. EVANS: To fund the pension at a 6 50 percent level --7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: How much would we 9 have to --MR. EVANS: Well, you mean, based on 10 11 this year for 2016? 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. 13 MR. EVANS: Probably \$6 million I 14 would imagine would be the -- I think it's \$20 million next year in 2016. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: No, I mean the 17 minimum, I don't mean the MMO, I mean to get 18 our funding up to the 50 percent level. 19 MR. EVANS: Oh, okay. I'm not sure. 20 I would imagine \$75 million that they were 21 to provide --22 MS. SCHUMACHER: The budget -- well, 23 I'll divert, but the budget does talk about 24 it's going to stabilize the pension and the 25 pension is stable now it's just stable in

the tanks down in the low 20's, I mean.

The parking ordinance, when did we change the parking ordinance to eliminate holidays as a none -- as a time you don't have to pay put money in the meters?

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm not sure.

MR. EVANS: I'm sure it's mostly due to the fact that there is nobody out enforcing it.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. Are you sure?

MR. EVANS: I would imagine.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, it doesn't say. If you look on those meters it doesn't, you know, it would allow anybody who comes and parks and doesn't know that that was or is and wants to take a \$20 risk they can not put the money in, but I think that's pretty low if you can go for quarters just to make a little money when you don't have to do that or shouldn't have to do that according to the ordinance.

And in the interest of accuracy, which I value, I will tell you that I did exaggerate the size of the holes on 307. I

went down this week with my yardstick and at the white line at the edge they go from 18 inches down to 24 inches. Now, that may not be the feet that I was talking about last week, but I got to tell you if you are right tire goes off that and goes into that you are not going to be a happy camper and your car is going to be in terrible shape, so I do hope that you contacted PennDOT on that issue. It's just between Lynwood and Snook Street and there are many, many places.

The DPW, what are we doing with our leaf vacuum equipment?

MR. GAUGHAN: Good question actually, that's what I was going to ask Mr. Reed to send correspondence to Mr. Gallagher. I'm not exactly sure to be honest with you. I have heard different accounts that it doesn't work, that they just don't want to use it, I'm not really sure to be honest with you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would like an answer to that.

MR. GAUGHAN: Sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's an asset we

have, I think we should be using it. There are people -- not everybody watches city council and I think there are a lot of people on East Mountain I know that have had their leaves or raked along the street because that's what's always happened before, the leaf vacuum came by, now if they are not going to use the leaf vacuum that's a lot of packing.

MR. GAUGHAN: I think the simple answer is for the administration to put out a press release what they are going to do.

I mean, you're right, you can't just rely on city council because not every watches this show -- or this meeting. This show. And not everybody has contacted --

MS. SCHUMACHER: It is a show. If I may, is it your intent to vote for the budget on the third of December and then leave for the year?

MR. EVANS: It all depends if we have legislation that comes down.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You don't care about hearing from the people what some of their concerns are?

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: We can talk about, yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Taxes and fees paid. Now, last week we were on the budget, but last week I heard some more about trash issues and so forth. In Massachusetts and New York and several other states, I think Connecticut have five cents per bottle deposit, and the idea is in my mind people don't care about the five cents, which most people probably wouldn't, you could actually have homeless people or people on the skids running around picking these up and making money on and we would have a lot less litter and trash lying around.

And I have a question, if we went to a per bag fee or per can fee, I would say per can is better, I can't see bags in courtways, I see that too much it and it's usually all over the place before DPW gets there but what do you do when it gets thrown -- a bag gets thrown on your lawn by -- you could find an envelope in there possibly, but more often than not you can probably

just be asked by the police, hey, did you see that particular person throwing it there? You know, so you are kind of stuck with it. I have lived in many different towns and I think at least the last two I lived in I had several severe problems with neighbors that weren't able to even pay for the bag fee, so maybe we could get say, like, a single person is living in a household maybe we could give them a cut or something only if a single person is living in the house.

And on these tax challenges with the county, I'd like to note that they can go up, also, and if you are not lawyered up they may. It's a serious problem because you could wind up actually opening up a can of worms for yourself and because you are not properly represented you could wind up paying more than less.

On these pensions, also, I'd like to remind council and everybody Wall Street, the debacle we lost \$26 million out of the police and firemen's pensions was my upstanding and would we possibly sell the

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sewer plant or something like that or interest in the sewer plant and have that money go south again? I don't trust the stock market right now, it's like 18, 17, 17 something and the lost 250 points today, somewhere around there, give or take a few. Nobody went to prison over that.

Due diligence people were fired when they asked about these crazy mortgages that people that never had the money to pay off There is an ad on television that house. now stating that the Consumer Protection Bureau in the federal government is preventing people from getting loans, well, if they don't have the money to pay for the mortgage then why should they get a loan? Out in the Poconos we had all kinds of growth and taxes went awol and more or less if they had settled for a half decent place in South Scranton or Dunmore or something like that they probably would still be there.

My sister was offered \$420,000 for a home they put up for \$175 and, well, now the realtors coming in the door, oh, the place

up the street went for 89. They didn't sell at that time and they should have because now they are never going to get their money is my point and to hand people more money and have people using that attack ad is crazy.

And, once again, I'd like to remind you with social security we are getting no raise this year for the elderly and so hopefully some day we can turn you people into lobbyists and you can start firing back to these congressmen and senators. We really need it because they are not facing what's happening on the bottom end and after awhile people are just going to be out of their house and have an empty house. Thank you and have a good night in addition to a landlord picking them up at 15 grand.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I'm still in such good rapport with you I'd like to comment on a couple of things. I spoke to a lady in the grocery store today, she told me she has had her house for sale for two years in South Side, said she got it about 30 years ago as I remember from the

family, the in-laws, and she said she's probably spent about \$100,000 in remodeling it and repairs. Two years. I said, "Why can't you sell it?"

You know when she said? You all know. Taxes. Your lousy taxes that you don't want to do nothing about have killed it. She said the most she has been offered is \$35,000 because everybody when they hear the taxes it just kills the sale. Maybe if some of those houses around Marywood had more reasonable taxes your signs wouldn't be up all over the place. Isn't that the truth?

MR. EVANS: Yeah, I agree. I agree.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, people like

Amoroso and Bulzoni and Cross that's the

caliber of people you get with no ability to

govern the city or anything else. You know,

we got an administration, and that includes

you guys, you are more interested in giving

the \$750,000 to the mall than fixing that

bridge on Rockwell that's been out a year or

two. You are more interested in getting

Lackawanna College money after all of the

property they have stolen off the taxpayers, giving them money for front on that building than you are doing something about the bridge on Parker Street. I think it's been out of commission for ten years. You haven't done nothing about these antiquated city codes, not a thing. That allows some jackass in the neighborhood ruin people's -- it just diminished a dozen houses home values because of one person because you don't do nothing. You know, I could go on and on.

Tuesday morning I saw a tractor trailer that belonged to the Pepsi Cola plant coming down Main Avenue pulling a trailer. I don't know the legalities of it in the city, but that's why we don't have no streets and --85,000 pounds towing 40 or 45 thousand pounds on a brand new street. Which reminds me, when are they are going to put the manholes covers on? You get killed. They got cones there. Well, I'm through yelling about that.

It just upsetting to me to run into somebody that can't sell a house and she

says it's really a nice house, you know, because of taxes. You ought to go over South Side complex and look for someone to replace Bulzoni and you will probably get somebody to do a better job.

And I'd like to know why the mayor, he can't be overworked, why he is getting another raise? This just doesn't make sense to people out here. And Bulzoni's job you have -- why can't you all bite the bullet once in awhile? Why just it keep going to the people out here that can't afford it. People that are hanging on to their houses by their fingertips for crying out loud. You guys haven't learned, when you mess with someone's pocketbook it makes them irritable.

You know, I have heard it several times the only reason you people, the mayor, and a bunch of these other people are in office is solely because no one votes.

Three-quarters of the city doesn't vote and that's what you rely on. I don't know how to say it without belittling someone, but you just rely on all of these people not

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

voting that are losing their houses anyway.

I have talked to them, probably some of you have talked to them or at least on the phone.

If you don't do something soon instead of letting everything these people out here say go over your heads, there is some intelligent conversations out here, there has got to be some good ideas and something to do to save the city and save people. No one wants to live here. Every time I go someplace somebody says they sure would like to go or sell their house or I don't want to hear that. I don't want to hear the mall go broke. Well, please try to save this city. And I'm not giving up on you guys. You are the only communication that we out here have to the rest of the city. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. HANLCARA: Hi. My name is Maude Hanclara, I live in South Side. I'm here it protest this raise that they want in our taxes, and I'm hoping that you gentlemen will think about it and try to at least get

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it down more, do something about it, and we are way overtaxed and I'm hanging onto my property by a thread and I don't want to lose it. I love Scranton. I love the people here, and I'd like to stay here.

And I think you people can do something about it because you are different than the type of people we have had behind this wall here before. You think about people and their property a little bit more than the average politician and I hope you would think more about stopping the raise in the property taxes. This is not only citywide it's nationwide but we just cannot afford it here, and I'm hoping you will do that for all of the elderly here, all of the working people that are struggling, because there are people struggling here and we need somebody to help us out and you are the guys that can do it, you have the vote, so please do something for the people. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Wechsler, any motions or comment?

MR. WECHSLER: Just a few this evening, Mr. Rogan. Last week we did receive the budget to start our analysis of which we are doing, but it is a very fluid document and please be assured that we are looking at ways to minimize the impact of the tax increase but the budget that we received is in flux, in fact, today we had a few pages changed already so it is in flux.

Part of the problem we have with the budget though is whatever we pass is going to have be approved by the Pennsylvania Economy League. The Pennsylvania Economy League is going to evaluate the budget in terms of the recovery plan so we do have to stay within the parameters of the recovery plan, but I also looked at the budget as a plan for what we are going to do next year and I think that's where we have to concentrate our efforts. The budget is what we plan to do and what we have to look at is doing what we say we are going to do.

We make a lot of commitments to collect certain fees and sometimes that's not done. We make a lot of commitments to do certain inspections and that doesn't get done. We make a lot of commitments to provide different services. I think what we have to make sure is the money that we bring in is we deliver on the services that were promised, and I think that's just as important as controlling the tax increase.

This is the last budget that

Mr. Bulzoni is going to prepare for the
city. I myself am going to miss

Mr. Bulzoni. I think Mr. Bulzoni has raised
the bar within our city. Different people
that I have spoken to outside of the city in
the financial communities truly respect the
work that Mr. Bulzoni has done. He has
bought credibility and respectability back
to city government.

One of the ideas that I may have, and I don't if anybody that shares this, I think we penalize ourselves when we hire an administrative person or a cabinet who is not permanent employee of the city. I think

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we penalize them when we restrict the amount of time that they can serve the city.

Mr. Bulzoni as granted an extension, he agreed to pay the wage tax, which I commend him for, and I would make that a requirement of anybody else in that position, but I think actually that the original period that a person should be allowed to work in the cabinet should be two years. That allows them to get their work started and completed it, and I also would think that perhaps another two-year waiver would also be permissible for a person of Mr. Bulzoni's That would allow this person to stature. work for the mayor for a full term because these are permanent employees. They are cabinet employees. They are people that serve at the pleasure of the mayor, and I think if they make concessions to pay the city wage tax I think for someone of high stature I think we penalize ourselves for having that be a restriction.

In terms of Mr. Gaughan and I and Mr. Rogan, also, now we have been trying to communicate with the DPW about the leaf pick

up. Mr. Gaughan and I spoke about it. The people that are calling for leaf pick up are the people that made a commitment to protect their neighborhood. These are the people that are raking their leaves, these are people that are keeping their properties neat and clean. These are the people that are paying the taxes. These are the people that deserve the service, and I believe the city should step up and make sure that we do go out and collect those leaves and make the plan known to everybody so that happens.

A lot of times we get told that we don't listen to the people at the podium.

Well, tonight I heard an excellent idea,

Mr. Bolus' ideas of having some lend us as executive would an awesome idea. If someone could lend us a financial person that could come in and assist the business administrator I would be all for that, perhaps a retired executive or someone from the University or from Marywood, we have so many good schools in the area I think lending us an executive would be an excellent idea. And that's all I have right

now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: Yes, thank you. First of all, it's very good to hear that SB-76 is going to be coming up for a vote next week. I also urge everyone that's paying attention to that to contact Senator Blake and urge him to support SB-76. This is a bill that would have a major impact on the citizens of Scranton. Our largest portion of our property tax bill is the school property tax, so please pay attention to what's going on in Harrisburg, call Senator Blake's Office and urge him to vote for SB-76.

Last, this week I went on a tour of North Scranton Junior High School on Tuesday along with City Clerk Lori Reed and Mayor Courtright. Jerry Langan from Goodwill was our tour guide and I have to say the transformation of the old school is absolutely incredible. The apartments are spacious and beautiful and the attention to detail and the sensitivity to the history of the school is amazing. The auditorium,

which will be very much a public space, is going to be a positive force in the neighborhood and in the city. It's not only a great historic space, but it will be state of the art venue for all of the future performances that will be held there.

Completion of the project is expected to be completed by the end of the year and a grand opening celebration will be held sometime in February.

I want to personally thank Jerry

Langan for his 20 years of persistence and

dedication in getting this project completed

and for delaying a much deserved retirement

to see this through.

This building over 20 years ago was within two weeks from the wrecking ball with plans to replace it with another nondescript strip mall and now to see this iconic Scranton landmark come to life once again is something that we should all take a great deal of pride in. So the next time developers, government officials and so-called experts tell you that that building is beyond repair and must be

2

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

demolished only to replaced by a shiny new concrete box in the name of progress tell them to take a drive past North Scranton Junior High School and say, hey, thanks but no thanks. We'll keep the old building.

Also, I'd like to talk briefly about the budget. The mayor's budget comes with a 5.7 percent real estate property tax. I had several questions that will hopefully get answered next week in the public hearing, but I'd also like to get up some more background information on a couple of items of items. The mayor's proposed several new positions in the budget, some are interdepartmental transfers, others may partially funded through grants and some are new hires. Ahead of the public hearing I would like each department head that is getting a new position in that department to give us a job description of that new position as well as the synopsis of what the value added is for that job to their department and to the city as a whole. Reed, if you can e-mail each department head in lieu of sending a letter to expedite the

process so the information can be received prior to next week's public hearing. Thank you. That's all I have tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I do. Thank you. First, I would just like to have Mrs. Reed if she could send correspondence to the DPW. We are getting complaints about potholes on Mill Street off Albright Avenue.

I did this week request a list of the all of the lawsuits that the city is currently engaged in.

And I would just like to say that I disagree with Councilmen Wechsler on the waiver of two years so that someone in the administration can live outside of the city. No matter how valuable someone is I believe that if you work for the city you should live in the city and you should pay the same taxes and the same fees as everyone else.

And the rest of my comments I'll hold until agenda items for tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. A number of

items I'd like to touch upon. First on the budget, I'd like to echo what my colleagues mentioned, I did receive a budget last week and there is a lot of reading and a lot of analysis to do on our end. I know we all have multiple questions on different aspects of the budget and we will be doing our due diligence within the next few weeks.

And as Councilmen Evans and a couple of the speakers mentioned, Senate Bill 76 will be coming up for a vote. As was mentioned, it is critical to call our State Senator, Senator John Blake, who in the past has not been supportive of Senate Bill 76 to get on board. This bill will eliminate the largest portion of your property taxes, which is the school property taxes, and the most frustrating thing about school property taxes the majority of them are paid by senior citizens who either do not have children in the schools or their children have long been out of schools.

Switching from a property tax base system to a more fair income sales tax base system will help the City of Scranton help

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the state as a whole and most importantly it will help homeowners keep their homes, and in particularly senior citizens that need the help the most.

If my colleagues would be in agreement, I would like council to send a letter to Senator John Blake asking that he support Senate Bill 76.

MR. EVANS: I concur.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Next. tonight we will be voting on legislation for foreclosure registration and although this is a minor piece of legislation it's something that I'm very happy that came together. The way this started was actually I received a phone call from our representative Marty Flynn, who was with a representative from Community Champions, the company that won the bid for this process and currently there are around 350 bank-owned properties within the City of Scranton and often times they are a nuisance because the banks aren't there to maintain the properties.

What this registration will do is it

will have the banks pay a small fee to had company. They have to register the property with a contact person so if a window gets broken or if the grass is high or if the snow needs to be shoveled they have somebody that they can contact to get out and do those repairs.

In addition, the city will receive 50 percent of those fees that are collected from the bank. Nobody who has a mortgage or is facing foreclosure will pay a single cent because of this program, so that will bring around -- we are estimating around 30, 35 thousand dollars a year.

Now, Councilman Evans and myself have been working on trying to get a program of small grants for individuals who would like to purchase foreclosed properties in the City of Scranton to kind of make the money come around full circle where the banks are paying to register the properties, they gave the contact information so we can keep control of the blight, and then in the end give that money back to people who want to invest in the City of Scranton.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that's something that we are looking at and we are looking to do whether it's through amending this legislation particularly or by putting line items in the budget just the apparatus of how we are going to do it we haven't figured it out yet, but the plans have come together quite well and I think it would be a great program for the City of Scranton.

Next, some comments that were made by multiple speakers over multiple weeks regarding the selling of assets. There is, as I mentioned before, there is no plan to sell the parking garages, the parking meters or the Sewer Authority. I have long advocated for selling some other assets that are under performing. That is not the route that the administration has chosen to pursue. The discussions regarding the parking is regarding leases, it is not regarding a sale, so I just want that to be very clear. And from those same people who are misinforming everyone by saying we are selling assets and they are speaking out against it, there is not even a proposal in

21

22

23

24

25

place yet so how people could be against a proposal that hasn't even been finalized really astonishes me. I know for all of us up here when a proposal is finalized we will look at it, we will analyze it and we will come up with a decision, and in that process it will be our goal to inform the public the best we could. We have at every step in the process regarding any parking transaction have asked repeatedly that there is a public hearing televised in council chambers to inform the public of any changes with the parking in the City of Scranton, and the mayor and the administration have agreed all along that that is the first thing that will happen prior to a vote. So I just wanted to clear up those couple of items, and that is all.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - ESTABLISHING PERMIT PARKING
ON THE NORTHERN (ODD) SIDE OF THE 800 BLOCK
OF EAST GIBSON STREET FROM 811 TO 819 EAST
GIBSON STREET.

MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be

introduced into its proper committee. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: So moved. MR. EVANS: Second. 3 4 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 5 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 saying aye. 7 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 11 have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -12 AN ORDINANCE - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE 13 14 EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF 15 16 JANUARY, 2016 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 17 2016 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY 18 OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2016. MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 19 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 23 MR. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I would be voting to introduce the 2016 budget tonight so that we can continue to have discussions on it over the next three weeks. I'm currently in the process of reviewing it, as are my colleagues, but at first glance I am disappointed and baffled that Mayor Courtright continues to add more unnecessary debt, additional positions and raises all while increasing the taxes almost 6percent.

And as a speaker said tonight, the city cannot keep increasing expenditures and raising taxes on back of the elderly in this city who won't even receive a cost of living adjustment in their social security, so people are suffering.

As I review the budget over the next week, I would like to ask Mrs. Reed to request the following information from the administration before they come to our public caucus next week:

First, I'd like an expenditure summary by each account. This was included last year but was not included in this year's budget.

I'd like a breakdown of how much we have saved to date from the renegotiated police and fire contracts. It's not exactly clear where the savings are reflected in the budget. Last January, Mayor Courtright said you would see an immediate savings of almost \$1 million from the renegotiated police contract and hundreds of thousands of dollars from the renegotiated fire contract. So my question, as it has been for the last few months, show me exactly where the savings are. Have we hit almost a million dollars as the mayor projected and touted.

As of September 30 of this year we have collected \$105,528.98 in civilian parking ticket fines. The civilian parking enforcement program was renegotiated in the police contract this past January. The mayor had projected that we would see \$375,000 in new revenue in 2015 from the this program. My first question is why are we not on track to hit the projected savings of \$375,000, and in 2016 the mayor has projected a savings of only \$217,500. What is the plan to hit that figure and why are

we not able to make the original projection of \$375,000?

The mayor and his budget also plans to borrow approximately \$1.5 million for repairs at the fire facilities throughout the city and the Army Reserve Center that the mayor would like to acquire which as I previously stated think is mistake. Tonight we are voting on a contract that will allow a firm to complete it's fire facilities assessment. My first question is how does the mayor know that we need an exactly \$1.5 million when the study has not been completed yet, and what is the breakdown of how the \$1.5 million will be spent?

It's been well documented that the City of Scranton has the highest rate of disability pensions in the State of Pennsylvania, which costs the taxpayers approximately \$5 million. The mayor vowed last year in February to take steps to reduce disability pensions so my first question is what has been done to fix this problem and are we going to expect reforms in 2016 which we know are sorely needed?

I would also agree with my colleague, Mr. Evans, in requesting job descriptions for every new position created in this budget. I would like to information before next Thursday before we have Mr. Bulzoni and hopefully Mayor Courtright here to discuss and present the 2016 budget.

And I will be reviewing this over the next week or two so, I'm sure I will have additional questions, but I look forward to discussing and debating these issues with my colleagues and the administration. And that is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE

AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH HIGHLAND

ASSOCIATES LTD. TO PERFORM A FIRE DEPARTMENT

FACILITIES CONDITION ASSESSMENT.

MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. WECHSLER: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question? I would just like to mention that this is sorely needed. From talking to members of the Fire Department and the chief our fire facilities are in dire need of repair, most specifically, and it's been talked about a lot, is the East Mountain Fire House where at one point in time there was actually a tree growing out of the roof, that is how bad of shape it was in.

I firmly believe that government's core job is to keep people safe and we have to invest in our public safety so I hope that this assessment will show where our weaknesses are that we need to address within the Fire Department. Anyone else?

All those in favor of introduction

1 signify by saying aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 3 MR. EVANS: Aye. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 7 8 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 9 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 10 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH COMMUNITY CHAMPIONS CORPORATION AS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT 11 12 COMPANY FOR CITY OF SCRANTON'S FORECLOSURE 13 REGISTRATION PROGRAM FOR A THREE (3) YEAR 14 PERIOD FROM THE DATE OF EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. 15 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 17 18 introduced into its proper committee. MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Second. 21 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 22 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. Rogan, on 23 the question. First of all, I'd like to 24 thank Councilman Rogan and Representative 25 Flynn for bringing this program to our

attention. As we go through the city we find there are so many properties that are neglected and are causing blight problems in the neighborhood are properties that are owned by banks. It's very difficult to track the banks down and even if they are tracked down they aren't receptive to fixing the problems, so I think this program when it's implemented will go a long way to correcting at least 400 properties that are problems in the city.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else on the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I'll also be voting in favor of this legislation. I think it is going to be a great program. Since I have been on council for the last two years I have gotten numerous calls and complaints about people who have homes that are foreclosed in their neighborhood, the grass is too high, there is snow on the sidewalks that's never shoveled, the bank owns the property, nobody cleans it up. So I think this program is going to help take care of that and I think it's going to be

NO

1 great for the city and especially for those 2 people who live in the neighborhoods. 3 MR. EVANS: Yes, on the question. Also, it's going to give License and 4 5 Inspections Department, LIPS, another tool in their tool belt to go after blight by 6 7 identifying who the owners are and contact 8 information. And again, you know, what 9 Councilman Rogan and I have been talking 10 about taking it one step further to create a 11 program to get homeowners back into the 12 house that were foreclosed by giving grant 13 money to them to do just that so a lot of 14 potential here and I'll say it's a win-win all around so I will also be voting for 15 16 this. 17 MR. ROGAN: All those in favor of 18 introduction signify by saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: 19 Aye. 20 MR. EVANS: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 23 have it and so moved. 24 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 25 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 1 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -2 3 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 214, 2015 -4 APPOINTMENT OF ANTHONY SANTOLI, 1041 PRESCOTT AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 5 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE TREE 6 COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015. MR. 7 8 SANTOLI IS BEING APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) 9 YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29, 10 2020. MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for 11 12 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 13 passage of Item 7-A. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 15 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Ro11 16 call, please? 17 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 21 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 23 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare 24 25 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

1	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
2	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO. 215, 2015 - APPOINTMENT OF
4	THOMAS J. MCLANE, 601 STAFFORD AVENUE,
5	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF
6	THE SHADE TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER
7	29, 2015. MR. MCLANE IS BEING APPOINTED TO
8	A FOUR (4) YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON
9	OCTOBER 29, 2019.
10	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for
11	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-B.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
14	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
2	RESOLUTION NO. 216, 2015 - APPOINTMENT OF
3	DAVID J. WENZEL, 7 PHILLIPS DRIVE, SCRANTON,
4	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE
5	TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015.
6	MR. WENZEL IS BEING APPOINTED TO A THREE (3)
7	YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29,
8	2018.
9	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for
10	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
11	passage of Item 7-C.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
13	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
14	call, please?
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
23	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
24	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
25	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -

	18
1	RESOLUTION NO. 217, 2015 - APPOINTMENT OF
2	ANNE MCNALLY, 1132 SAINT ANN STREET,
3	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF
4	THE SHADE TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER
5	29, 2015. MS. MCNALLY IS BEING APPOINTED TO
6	A TWO (2) YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON
7	OCTOBER 29, 2017.
8	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for
9	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-D.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
12	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
24	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION
25	RESOLUTION NO. 218, 2015 - APPOINTMENT OF

1	JOSEPH A. RICCARDO, JR., 944 MONROE AVENUE,
2	APARTMENT #1, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509
3	AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE TREE COMMISSION,
4	EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 29, 2015. MR. RICCARDO IS
5	BEING APPOINTED TO A ONE (1) YEAR TERM WHICH
6	WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 29, 2016.
7	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for
8	the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-E.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
11	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
12	call, please?
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
16	MR. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
22	I forgot to mention under motions
23	that last Friday myself and Councilman Evans
24	and Councilman Wechsler participated in a
25	Scranton trivia game against the Media,
II	

bankers and nonprofits and our team did win, and I have to give Councilman Evans and Councilman Wechsler for the doing the heavy lifting with those questions, but city council did come out on top and it was a good -- we all had a good time. MR. EVANS: Well, you did a better job rolling the dice than I did so that helped. MR. ROGAN: If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn. MR. ROGAN: This meeting is adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

·

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER