_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, June 30, 2016	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	CATHERE S. NANDOZZI, KFK - UTITOTAL COURT REFORTER	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT

5 PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

6 WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

8 TIM PERRY

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. Just a few 14 announcements before we get started. City Hall will be closed this Monday, July 4, in 15 16 observance of the holiday. DPW will not be 17 working so refuse collection and plastic 18 recycling will be delayed by one day next week. 19 20 Also, Colts buses will be closed for the holidays so there will be no bus service 21 22 on Monday, regular operating hours will 23 resume on July 5. 24 Also, in terms of business for the 25 agenda, our Item 7-B and 7-F, the amendments

are housekeeping matters to correct language in references to past ordinances and should be continued within this legislation.

Dispense with the reading of the minutes.

MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE
HELD JUNE 8, 2016.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have two. The first is that the Minooka Lion's are having a raffle to raise funds to install a gazebo in the Billy Barrett playground on Colliery Avenue in memory of Attorney Mark Walsh who passed away three years ago. This project will also commemorate the Lion's Centennial celebration. This event will occur Saturday, July 16, 2016. The drawing is at 12 noon. Tickets are \$20. There are cash prizes, first prizes is a thousand dollars, second prize is 500. There will be two third prize winners of \$250. Tickets are

available from any Lion's member or by calling Betsy Walsh, president of the Minooka Lion's Club at 570-591-4537.

Also, there is an addiction awareness rally that is being held Sunday, July 17, from 3 to 7 p.m. at the Lackawanna County Courthouse Square. It's free and open to the pubic in memory of Sammy Henahan. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. My little
Mickey Mouse watch tells me that the year is now half gone so we are now heading towards the second half of 2016, and we are about to begin the budget process. And, also, my
Mickey Mouse watch tells me that the 2000 (sic) audit is now 30 days late so could do we any kind of status from SB & Company.

MR. WECHSLER: Just what I provided last week.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I seem to recall

1 dim in my memory that there been not been a 2 future meeting that the public would attend 3 where SB & Company would discuss the audit? 4 MR. EVANS: I think we talked about 5 having a work session with them. MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes. 6 7 MR. EVANS: We probably talking it should be the third quarter this year. 8 9 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, it would 10 after the August recess though? MR. EVANS: Yes 11 12 MS. HODOWANITZ: I'll be looking 13 forward to that. 14 MR. WECHSLER: But prior to the 15 budget. 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm sorry? 17 MR. WECHSLER: Prior to the release 18 of the budget. MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes. I would like 19 20 to hear that because I'd like to hear, you 21 know, how SB approached the audit. 22 Do we know yet who the city is going 23 to choose to replace Mellon Bank as the 24 pension fund manager and trustee?

MR. WECHSLER:

No, we have not seen

25

anything.

25

1

MS. HODOWANITZ: We are still working on that. With regard to the Parking Authority lease agreement, first off, I want to compliment the Times and Mr. Lockwood. Yesterday's spread about the parking has information on, you know, comparing rates and the timeline. It's very succinct, very helpful, and tended to put things in perspective. This is an issue that's not going to die very soon, but also last week Mr. Bulzoni was kind enough to give me a copy of the Parking Authority's 2014 review and their 2015 draft compilation. If you are not familiar with audit language, an audit is the highest level of engagement that is performed on an entity's financial statements. It's extensive, it's complicated, it takes a long time, but in an audit, an independent auditor puts his reputation on the line to give a reasonable assurance that the financial statements have not been materially misstated due to fraud or error or if they have been misstated specify as to who, what, when, where and

how. The next level down is called a review, and that's apparently what the Authority did in 2014 and that provides you a limited level of insurance, and obviously they do not perform all of the auditing tests and analyses and analytics that you do in an audit, and the lowest level is called a compilation and that provides no assures and what we have with the Parking Authority is a draft of the 2015 compilation of their financial statements, and all you get in a compilation is, yeah, the format looks good, but they will not comment as to the accuracy of the contents on this format.

Now, the last years the city got an adverse opinion from it's auditors, the fact that it did not have audited, audited financial statements from the Parking Authority because the Authority is an entity of the city looks to me like you are going to have another adverse opinion in 2015 and I would be willing to bet on that. It's one of the questions I want to see addressed by SB & Company.

Also, with regard to the Parking

Authority, I still am concerned about Mr. Basalyga's arrangements. I sure hope one day we don't change the name of Scranton to Basalygaville. It seems to be embracing a lot of the city. I think it's a bad precedent. It is not an arm's length transaction. There is a flavor of favoritism here. In fact, the mayor in his press release calls him my friend and my partner, and I understand that the NDC deal would not have gone through until we sold the parking garage to him for \$1 but it stinks and I'm not going to say otherwise.

My only last comment is that I am waiting breathlessly to see how much PFM is going to charge us for their work in 2014 since we were going to get the bill in 2015, and according to my Mickey Mouse watch we are halfway through 2016 and their hourly rate is between \$225 and \$300 an hour and I don't like surprises.

MR. WECHSLER: They will not be billing us. They will not be billing us.

They are going to be paid through the proceeds of the parking. It will not be an

1 invoice to the city. MS. HODOWANITZ: It will be paid 2 3 from the proceeds, but that still comes out of the proceeds that is supposed to go to 4 5 us. MR. WECHSLER: Correct, but there 6 7 won't be an invoice for the city. 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: I don't think like 9 I don't like that. We ought to know 10 what they are taking. We really do. 11 MR. WECHSLER: And we will have that 12 information. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: All right. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Once everything is finalized we will have that information. 15 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus. 18 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. 19 I was rather surprised when I saw the 20 headlines in the paper the other day about 21 the garages not having appraisals, many 22 realtors said it should have happened. You 23 know, this isn't a done deal, there is still 24 time. Mr. Evans, I'd like to ask you as 25 Finance Chair and you are a realtor, how

1 come it didn't enter your mind to get an 2 appraisal of this. 3 MR. EVANS: Well, we weren't privy to the negotiations, that's not part of our 4 5 role, you know, of this. MS. FRANUS: No, but just being a 6 7 realtor. 8 MR. EVANS: An appraisal would have 9 been nice, it would have raised our comfort 10 level, but it would not have changed the dynamics of the deal. 11 12 MS. FRANUS: You don't know that. 13 MR. EVANS: Yes, I do, because if we 14 had an appraisal, say it came in at a million dollars and somebody put a bid in 15 16 for that \$1 million effectively what that 17 have would done it would have kill the deal 18 entirely so we could have gained a million 19 and probably lost \$27 million in the 20 process. 21 MS. FRANUS: Have you ever sold a 22 house without an appraisal? Certainly, yeah. People 23 MR. EVANS: 24 buy houses all the time without appraisals.

MS. FRANUS: It just doesn't seem

25

1 like good business practice. MR. EVANS: Well, I mean, I would 2 rather to have an appraisal, but it's not 3 something that's required in this case. 4 5 MS. FRANUS: Okay. I just want to explain something, I don't know if people 6 7 understand it, you and I are first cousins. 8 Your mom and my mom are sisters, were 9 sister, but that really concerns me that you 10 don't answer my questions, and I normally 11 wouldn't keep after you, but when anybody 12 asks a question and they don't give an answer and you want to find that answer I'm 13 14 not going to back away from this. MR. EVANS: I have your answers from 15 16 last week. 17 MS. FRANUS: You can answer the 18 question now? 19 MR. EVANS: I have your answer right 20 here. 21 MS. FRANUS: Let me ask you, did Dave Bulzoni transfer money from one 22 department to another back in December 2015? 23 24 MR. EVANS: Well, for the sake of 25 argument, I could read the entire transfer

of the money but I don't want to take up 1 2 your time. MS. FRANUS: No, I just want an 3 4 answer to that one question and I'll get 5 that from you. MR. EVANS: I have all of the 6 transfers here from -- there is transfers 7 8 from the debt service account, there was 9 transfers made from the PIB account, and transfers made from the Worker's 10 11 Compensation account. 12 MS. FRANUS: But was that 13 transferred interest one department to 14 another? MR. EVANS: Not that I can tell. 15 16 No. One department to another. 17 MS. FRANUS: But you are not? 18 MR. EVANS: Well, Fay, I can give 19 you the answer, but I know sometimes you 20 don't like my answers. MS. FRANUS: No, the answers --21 22 MR. EVANS: I'm giving you an 23 answer. 24 MS. FRANUS: I'll I asked is I don't 25 know departments, so was it transferred from

one department to another? If I knew the departments -- see, you can transfer within a department, but if you transfer from one department to the other is what I want to know.

MR. EVANS: It doesn't appear to be from one department to another. From accounts to other accounts, but not from one department to another.

MS. FRANUS: Can I have that when you ar done?

MR. EVANS: Yeah, I have it for you.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, thank you.

Another thing, I don't understand
Mr. Gaughan, Mr. Wechsler or anybody up
there, other than Pat Rogan possibly, that
believes everything they read in the paper
about the Parking Authority default. First
of all, it wasn't a default that council
did. Default means you don't pay it. They
did pay it, they just stopped paying for
awhile. Those garages were in terrible
shape long before that happened and as
council members you have access to all of
the minutes from all of the meetings back in

2010, 2011, 2012, when all of this transpired on the Scranton Parking Authority and Scopelitti. You have records to all of that. Instead of believing everything you read and what they tell you, you should look at these minutes of the caucuses, of all of the meetings and maybe you will learn the truth and you will learn what really happened back there and you will know that that was the best thing the city ever did or otherwise you would bankrupt right now. No matter what anybody says why don't you take the time to find out the truth instead of what people tell you.

Mr. Gaughan, you weren't even here then, you don't have a clue what was going on then so why don't you look into and then form you honest opinion based on facts.

MR. GAUGHAN: I did honestly.

MS. FRANUS: You looked at all of the minutes from 2010, '11 and '12?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Yeah.

MS. FRANUS: You did?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yup.

MS. FRANUS: And you still think

1 that was the right thing to do? I mean, the wrong thing to do, sorry. 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, without a doubt. MS. FRANUS: Well, I disagree 4 5 completely. Thank you very much. Mr. Evans, could I have that, 6 7 please? 8 MR. WECHSLER: Andy Sbaraglia. 9 Excuse me, Andy Sbaraglia was called. 10 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. 11 12 Mr. Evans you are Financial Chair, who is 13 going to receive all of the metered parking 14 revenue if this deal goes through? MR. EVANS: NDC. 15 16 MR. SBARAGLIA: Who is going to receive the fines? 17 NDC. 18 MR. EVANS: 19 MR. SBARAGLIA: Now, tell me, you 20 are a businessman, you are getting \$28 21 million from these people if they can borrow 22 it, seven of that million is going into the 23 garages, okay? 24 MR. EVANS: Initially, right. 25 MR. SBARAGLIA: Now, that leaves us

with \$21 million, how many millions are we going to lose over the life of this contract?

MR. EVANS: Well --

MR. SBARAGLIA: I figured it out to be \$120 million just from here. It could be more if it changed it. Now, how do you \$21 million with \$120 million? Obviously, something is wrong. It's just so bad that you think so little of the city that you would do what you are doing. To sit there and praise it knowing it's going to cost the city millions and millions of dollars in lost revenue.

MR. EVANS: So --

MR. SBARAGLIA: I guess you don't think you are going to be here, right? Are you planning to move?

MR. EVANS: No, I don't plan on moving. I've lived here all my life, I'm a fifth generation Scrantonian.

MR. SBARAGLIA: So am I.

MR. EVANS: I know you are, but the reality is do you think that the status quo is okay? You think dirty garages --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: No, but that \$3 million that you are paying eventually would have been paid off in another what, 20 vears? That comes to about \$60 million.

MR. EVANS: So in the meantime --

MR. SBARAGLIA: We are giving up \$120 million for \$60 million if, and there is so many other variables. Now, the garage, if that mall should go bankrupt again, the city took on leasing the parking spaces, they got some kind of a deal where it's ironclad that means the city would have to pay to keep that parking garage open. That's more debt. I don't know what you are thinking of. Anybody with common sense would know there is too many things wrong with this. You should get opinion from either the Auditor General or from the somebody else. The information you are getting is not the best and it's not the best for the city and it's not the best for anybody other than setting up a slave state where they can actually tell you what you should pay for your parking. Forget it.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Vince Amico.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AMICO: Good evening. I'm Vince Amico and this evening I'm representing Friends of Lackawanna and I'd just like to bring to your attention that on Monday, July 18, there will be a public meeting at Mid-Valley High School at 6:00. Monday, July 18, at 6:00 regarding Keystone Sanitary Landfill expansion. That's a meeting with the Department of Environmental Protection and it's probably going to be the last public meeting where people in a government agency have the ability to voice their opinion on how you keel about the expansion, and I would just like to say or remand you that on December of 2000 -excuse me, I'm sorry, December 22, 2014, this council voted to form a letter, and I praise you for taking the lead, I think you were the first group to do so that came out formally against the landfill, and your main concern as a council was the negative impact of the health, welfare and safety of the citizens of Scranton and surrounding communities, and that the proposed expansion of this landfill is not in the people's best

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interest.

I'm requesting, we're requesting, Friends of Lackawanna are requesting, that you send a representative to this meeting, and again, reread the letter that you wrote or come up with, you know, another letter opposing this expansion because these letters hold a tremendous amount of weight, and again, I can't tell you how much I appreciate the fact that Scranton did take the lead on this and you were the first group, I believe, that came out formally against it so I would hope you that could send a representative on this day and do so Thank you so much for your time. again. appreciate it.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Joanna Hicks-Griffin.

MS. GRIFFIN: Joanna Hicks-Griffin,
Scranton, Pennsylvania. I read the article
in the Times and I was shaken for I see that
what is going to transpire will not benefit
the city residents. It will help the
politician sooth the mess that they have put
the City of Scranton in for years and years

and years. We beg the Pledge of Allegiance and at the end it said, "And justice for all." If you vote for this plan, it will definitely not be justice for the citizens of the City of Scranton but will help the politicians to sooth the mess that they have caused our fair city.

So I come to ask you in justice to review seriously what you have before you, a lot of it is very hard to fathom but I hope that you will vote "no" at this present time so that our government which is a representative form of the government would allow the citizenry to have input into that plan. As far as I know, I have not seen anything stated in the Times about this is the place where we will be having the meetings to hear from the citizens regarding what they see as a pro or a con for this deal with NDC and Mr. Basalyga. I thank you for the opportunity and I hope that you do not vote tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton City Council, Jamie, stenographer, Lori, and Amil. I'm confused on a couple of the issues and hopefully maybe you can get me unconfused tonight. Okay, the public meeting, what is a public meeting? not a place where the public has an opportunity to ask questions and to address certain areas that are on their mind or is a public meeting a place where no one is allowed to speak? I don't understand that. To me, a public meeting is just that. The public has the opportunity to come in, address the elected official or public officials or board or whatever it is with their concerns and with their questions not be shut out. So I think we really need to revise this way of thinking that we have in Scranton where a public meeting is where only people, the elected officials talk and the public are not allowed to speak or ask any questions. I think we are doing it backwards.

My second question that I'm confused about, last week when that gentleman was speaking, I think he was an attorney, the

guy that did most of the talking, I think he was an attorney, he praised Mr. McGoff for al of the hard work and all of the time and effort that Mr. McGoff put into the plan for selling of the parking garages but yet
Mr. Wechsler told us two weeks ago that city council knew absolutely nothing about it, so I'm confused. Either Mr. McGoff didn't share any of his information with the rest of city council or what? I don't know.

MR. EVANS: Mr. McGoff's involvement--

MR. JACKOWITZ: But he went out of his way to praise Mr. McGoff for the hard work and time that Mr. McGoff put into it.

MR. EVANS: In the early stages of the monetization of the Parking Authority Mr. McGoff was involved with that when there were ten bidders and they worked their way down to finally NDC, so he was very involved with that, but obviously wasn't involved in the latter part, so that's what he is talking about.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, then -- oh, Wayne, you are a real estate guy, do you

It's

1 think the land that the Electric City garage 2 is built on is worth any money? 3 MR. EVANS: The land? Well, part of 4 the problem with the garage is it's built 5 off the Charlamont and other buildings so it 6 doesn't really -- there is not much land 7 underneath the garage itself except for the 8 part of Spruce Street. All the other parts 9 are built on top of other buildings. MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but land has 10 11 to be something, more than a dollar. MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Jackowitz. there 12 13 is no land. It's air. It's above the 14 Charlamont. 15 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, yeah, but the 16 foundation is built on something. 17 MR. WECHSLER: No, it's not. 18 built above the building. 19 MR. EVANS: There may be a small 20 section that is on the ground where you 21 enter on the Penn Avenue side by the 22 theatres, but that's about all. Everything 23 is built on top of other buildings. 24 land itself is not worth anything. Now, the 25 assessed value is a different story.

think the taxes we looked at are about \$125,000 per year will come back on the tax rolls.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah. Okay, and lastly, I don't believe that name calling -- city council is a place for name calling. I think that should be held back and should be done in private. If you, you know, believe what you are believing, even though, you know, it may be true, but city council meeting is not the place to be name calling. Like I say, pull the councilman aside or the mayor aside or whomever and you tell them what you feel, but I don't think it should be brought up at a city council meeting.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I think there are more important issues, and as far as the parking garage deal it's a done deal. It's been done a deal for 18 months now. There is no sense of even discussing it because I don't think anything has changed and I'm pretty sure it will be a five to zero vote tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Well, it definitely

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

. .

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hasn't been a done deal for 18 months. The sale of the mall really changed the deal.

As Councilman Evans mentioned, Councilman McGoff was working with the team on this project and then when he became ill I stepped in and then when Councilman Wechsler took over as president, but the first year this was being worked on that deal was absolutely nothing like what is presented today because of the changes in that.

Oh, I understand MR. JACKOWITZ: The deal has probably changed 10 or that. 15 times in the last 18 months, but the bottom line is it's has been discussed for the last 18 months with different people and the citizens have been completely shut out, completely shut off the taxpayers. Not one word can be said, we have no input whatsoever not only on the garages but anything else, all of the other bad deals that have been made by elected city councils, and I'm not just talking you, I'm talking city councils in the past, Murphy city council, DiBileo city council, Gatelli city council, Evans city council, I mean,

they all made bad deals and bad decisions with no input at all from the citizens because the citizens are always shut off, except during the Evans council. She at least gave the opportunity for citizens to

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Jackowitz, didn't you just speak? Didn't you just have input now and input the week before? I mean, we have been taking input on this issue for

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, I just spoke today, I get five minutes. I get five whole

MR. WECHSLER: And you spoke last week, so there has been an opportunity to

MR. WECHSLER: I get five whole minutes to speak. Was I afforded the opportunity to speak last week? Not at the city council meeting now, I'm talking about

MR. WECHSLER: I'm not sure if you

MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm talking about at

a public meeting. Was I given an opportunity to speak at the public meeting?

MR. WECHSLER: It was not a public meeting. All the meetings were city council meetings. The Parking Authority had a meeting and we don't control them, they decided not to accept public comment.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, if it wasn't a public meeting what was it?

MR. WECHSLER: It was a public meeting. They did take comments, but not questions.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, that's what I'm saying. What was the sense of having a public meeting if you can't any questions?

MR. EVANS: You are talking about a work sessions.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, we requested questions from the public, we have asked questions that have been sent in to us from the public, this has been going on now for almost a month about this specific deal so there has been plenty of time for people to come and submit questions or ask questions to us. Mrs. Reed is always here, we have

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

not gotten --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Why wouldn't you ---

MR. WECHSLER: Just let me ask a question, how many calls have come into the council office if you can, Lori, in regards to this parking issue?

> MS. REED: None.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Probably none because why would I come in and have you ask my questions when I'm more than capable of asking my own questions.

MR. WECHSLER: Well, you are saying that people haven't had an opportunity, now, one has called city hall. I have not received one e-mail from any other citizen, any citizen. I got one e-mail from one resident who gave me questions to ask, but we have not -- we been announcing this for almost a month and the only comments that we have received are from this meeting at this council meeting and --

MR. JACKOWITZ: That's because this is the only place where we can speak.

MR. WECHSLER: I agree.

MR. JACKOWITZ: There's a simple

24

25

solution --

MR. WECHSLER: You are asking me what a definition of a public meeting is --

MR. JACKOWITZ: There's a simple solution to this, the only place we have to speak is at a city council meeting.

 $\label{eq:MR.JACKOWITZ:} \text{And that's our job} \\$ to listen.

MR. JACKOWITZ: So why am I going to go to a meeting where I'm not going to be allowed to speak?

MR. WECHSLER: But this is where you are allowed to speak so you are speaking.

I'm not getting your argument.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm not either. I'm just trying -- like I said --

MR. WECHSLER: Like I said, there were a couple of people offering comment, we have not received one call at city hall. We have not -- I have not received one e-mail, I don't know if anyone -- I have received one e-mail, let me correct myself. I don't know if anyone else has received any so for the amount of attention that this story has been generating in the paper for the past

24

25

four days the public outcry that you are talking about I haven't seen. I have heard some complaints about it, I'm trying to address them, but I'm not hearing from the public when I'm out in public or when I'm somewhere, and I'm out a lot of places, I'm not hearing the same thing that I'm hearing from all the people tonight which is accurate, it's helped drive us to ask better questions when we are here, but I'm a little frustrated by people saying they haven't had a chance to comment when we have three meetings and we announced it, Mr. Perry -- I know Mr. Perry announced people to send him questions, and like I said, I had one person send me questions so I don't think it's accurate to say that people haven't had an opportunity to have input because they have.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, you are not going to hear from the public because the public has the opinion of the public from my perspective and from what I see from the public is they say it's a waste of time because they are not going to answer your questions.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Cara Seitzinger.

MS. SEITZINGER: Good evening, Council. Cara Seitzinger, city resident. I'm here tonight on behalf of the Greenridge Neighborhood Association and I would like everybody to know that the Greenridge Neighborhood Association is meeting on Tuesday, July 12, and that's prior to the Monday, July 18, DEP meetings so we encourage everybody to come to that meeting, and I'm going to talk about that in a second about the whole KSL issue. These documents are so voluminous they are -- there's a Right-to-Know answered, there's a record appeal answered. There are permits, there are documents, there are notes, there are Post-It notes, there are letters, it goes on and on and nobody expects everybody to sit and read them. Some of us have at length sat and read them and compared them and so if people would like to come to the Greenridge Neighborhood Association, anybody in the City of Scranton, because it affects all citizens with the sale of the Sewer

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Authority I strongly encourage you to do so and we will give you I guess the Cliff Notes summed up version.

That being said, I really had to speak and this morning I wrote down a couple of thoughts because I know your agenda is very full, specifically on the parking agreement final passage. Much has already been said and while I have independently reviewed what I have seen and heard here as well as read in the paper, and not being privy to all of documents you have been presented and whether or not it is best I must say that this legislation may somehow be righting the wrong that you did not That rests solely on the prior create. council. One former councilwoman in particular, and all of that supported her, many of who are in this forum today and have spoken again and again and now are speaking against this agreement, if you don't pay your bill it's a default. You can Goggle it, it's in Wikipedia, a default is a default.

That being said, all of those that

supported her actions, including the voters and for the latter it should be a very big wake up call that we each have a duty to be informed and to vote responsibly. The singular actio of default was a death sentence to the financial stability of our city. I agree with the sentiments of some of you that stated it is our hope that we will never have to mention the name of any elected official again, and I stand with you all on that.

I also must say that every public official, past or present, in whatever capacity they serve in government leaves behind a legacy that will be heard some day and they are the ones that have to lie on their pillow at night.

Again, I'm here, however, on behalf of the Greenridge Neighborhood Association, as I said, and the issue with the leachate line, the untreated leachate now known as Outfall No. 2 -- yes, outfall, I'm sorry, that is now being used lawfully according to the SSA, KSL Attorney Shrive and Walker, and SSA Solicitor Walker, we strongly disagree

with that position and in the absence of any documentation, because that is what we are dealing with that would justify the use of the line we stand firm in our opposition and we will now resume reviewing the other legal options afforded to us and that's the Greenridge Neighborhood Association, most likely in concert with the Friends of Lackawanna, and we are in talks to have that done.

I must stress that it is our position that we, as taxpayers, should not have to take that action but our hands are being forced by both the administration, and I understand your position as council, believe me, I know, and Attorney Minora knows that I know what you face, but it's simply just wrong. While the Greenridge Neighborhood Association is also very grateful to council for the suggestion to KSL that voluntarily close that line we firmly believe that this will not solve the issue.

A former cabinet member under the former administration once argued with me in

my capacity with the city in the Law

Department that it's easier to ask for

forgiveness than permission. That sentiment

turned my stomach then and it does today and

I am certain that that sentiment would never

stand up in a civil court proceeding.

We also believe that KSL most likely has used that line for years. We can look at page upon page of the documents and permits, etcetera, and I would certainly encourage all to question those of us who live in that neighborhood. When my parents purchased our childhood home before I was born in the early 1960's I am certain they did not know the potential harm that may befall their children and grandchildren.

In the early 80's when I played at the Scranton State School for the Deaf and smelled that odor that was pungent at time, a concerned group of neighbors lead by the late Nancy Kay Holmes stood up and said enough. The city administration cared and when Attorney Ned Abrahamsen filed that lawsuit on behalf of the Keystone Landfill and against the City of Scranton

administration the city's opposition said no. They stood in front of a judge and a subsequent settlement agreement was reached to use one dedicated line known as Outfall 1. Clearly that was enough for -- that was not enough for KSL as the documents in our possession and in yours --

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Cara.

MS. SEITZINGER: Okay.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. You know, I really appreciate everybody's opinion here, and some people I disagree with and, you know, I think the one thing that people have to realize is this council has an oversight authority and it needs to make sure that decisions made here for this city are made with the best interest of the citizens in mind.

Now, council president just talked about no calls, no interaction between the public. Well, this city has been under Act 47 for I'd like to say heading for five

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

years and we are in worse shape now than we were before and there is a chain of possession here, one council to the next council to the next council to the next council. It just doesn't end with one administration to the next.

Now, we have a mayor who ran for office and stated that as a last option he would consider monetizing these two assets. I haven't heard another plan and I haven't seen Mr. Amoroso lately either, but, you know, when you look at the residents of this city you have to ask yourself a couple of questions, what is the poverty level in this city, is it 30 percent? Is it 40 percent? And then you have to ask does the city really have legitimate government because when an authority decides to follow a plan of action like they have and answers no questions from the public and then an elected body says to you that they have no control over that Authority that's a pretty pathetic statement because the city's elected government should have condemned that action on the party of the Authority.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other thing is, this Parking Authority deal and the same with the Sewer Authority deal has no solutions for residents of this city just more debt and more utter silliness and, you know, the utter silliness here is that when you walk and look through the neighborhoods and you see the decay and the decline and you look at all of the deals that are being made whether it's the former Scranton Lace building, whether it's the North Scranton project, you know, we just have nonprofits falling out of the sky everywhere but there is no relief for the average citizen and in the end, and we can criticize some things that some councils have done, but in the end what will be left of our city?

You know, I grew up here and I guess lower Greenridge/Pinebrook, the pool I that we have in that neighborhood has been closed for a very long time, and you know we take community development money and we pass it around, but it never really seems to answer the problems that we seem to have, but the one major problem we really have here is a

1

5

4

7 8

6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

local city government that does nothing but lie to it's residents and keep borrowing money beyond the ability of those residents to satisfy that debt, and then instead of standing tall and following a course of action which would lead to a turn around in this city we keep picking false saviors who pick plans which are not conducive to a turn around in this city.

And, you know, they were talking on talk radio today, the Steve Corbett guy, and nobody called about what's going on in this city because the one thing that we have to realize is that not only here but across this country the people who do the electing don't come to the poles because they are tired of the people they elect who are bought and sold by people behind the scenes and for this deal to proceed forward is beyond ridiculous because it's not going to satisfy any of the problems we are experiencing, and we can all talk about the state of affairs of the garages at this point but there is one thing we really have to look at, how did they get there and what

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

did this elected government do about it?
Nothing.

And when you drive through this city as I watch my children leave this city, I wonder if my children aren't right when they say this city is a slum and, you know, my one son is going to Texas tomorrow, he is going to make a ton of money, just wheelbarrows of it, but, you know, he drove by Mr. Courtright's karate shop and broke the windshield in his car, and then he says, "Dad, you know, you go to these council meetings but nobody listens," and all of young people are just leaving and as the old people die there is nothing left, and what's really going on here, as the council president has noted, there is a lack of confidence in this government and there will be none because this deal with the parking garages is wrong. There is nothing right about it, I haven't heard any single council member bring up any good points in this agreement except that we are passing a debt on and stranding a ton of debt when what we should do is run those garages, and if we

can't elect people that can run them maybe they should resign.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Anyone else? Please state your name.

MS. JADICK: Roberta Jadick,
Greenridge resident. I understand that most of the conversation here tonight has been about the Parking Authority, which is a topic that's very important to us, however, to me as someone who has raised children in the Greenridge section and lived in that section for over 30 years the leachate issue is much more important. I wonder if Mr. Minora were a resident of Greenridge and not of the Hill section if perhaps several weeks ago --

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Minora has already said he cannot state an opinion so I don't think you should make illusions to him not caring because he --

MS. JADICK: I wasn't saying that he wasn't caring, I was just saying that perhaps if he lived in Greenridge he would understand our --

MR. WECHSLER: I know Mr. Minora and he cares about this city.

MS. JADICK: I'm also wondering if perhaps if any of you gentlemen lived in the Greenridge section if maybe this would be a little more important to you.

MR. WECHSLER: And I would say the same comments, we have been in the lead on this affair since the smell started. I live on East Mountain and it's as important to me as it is to you and we have all said that up here and I don't think it's fair to say that we are not caring because we don't live in your neighborhood. I don't agree with that statement at all.

MS. JADICK: And that's your privilege as it's my privilege to --

MR. WECHSLER: If you think we are not doing that because we don't live over there I can tell you that you are wrong.

MS. JADICK: All right then, I would like to see the other members of council come to our meetings. I know you have been there, Mr. Wechsler, but I'd like to see the other members come to our meetings in

Greenridge which are held on the second

Tuesday of every month and hear our concerns

because they are not about debt that's going

to be passed on which may or may not happen,

but they are about the health of our kids

and what happens if we have a big storm and

all of this stuff backs up into our cellars

what -- who going to come and help us then?

It could have been -- the problem could be

alleviated if someone would just help us so

I invite you all to come to our meetings and

to hear what we have to say. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: If I could just make one comment, I do believe that Attorney Minora is going to give us opinion and what he thinks about the whole thing next week.

MR. EVANS: He is going to give us a report.

MR. MINORA: A report.

MR. WECHSLER: But I can speak for council, everyone cares about that problem up there and it doesn't matter that none of us live there. I'm up in that area a lot, I have friends that live over there, I know

20

21

22

23

24

25

people that have small children that live over there and we are trying our best and, unfortunately, we don't control or have the ability to start the suit, that lies with the administration. We don't have that ability. That's why we suggested the voluntary shutoff. I know that that's not a solution to this problem, but our goal is to get this stopped as soon as possible and if the public would run with our idea and start contacting KSL to voluntarily stop using it because they don't have to use it. have the lagoons, they have all other options up there. The number one line works I think we need more public pressure like yourself saying here on them to stop using it, and once again, we have been trying to get that line closed. trying.

MR. ROGAN: I would just add, one of the most frustrating things about being a member of city council is we are only half of the members as city council. Even as a board if we are united on an issue, which the vast majority of issues facing the city

23

24

25

are unanimous decisions, council only has so much power and Scranton has a strong mayor form of government. I have been -- and you can look at the first four years of my tenure on council and see how, you know, the battle between the administration and the former administration and council turns out and the mayor's office has much more power that be the city council. It doesn't mean that members don't care about this issue or many other issues, it's just very frustrating not only relating to this many items that council would like to do differently than the mayor. All we can do is, you know, ask that things are done the way we would like to see them be done, but when it comes to the administration and even some things as simple as, you know, what roads get paved and what roads don't get paved, you know, a number of issues, they are not -- unfortunately, they not decided at city council and that for me is a personal frustration, and I'm sure it's the same for the other four members of the board as well.

3

4 5

7

8

6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: We are all united in our belief that it's wrong. It should be sealed and shut down. We all feel that way. chided the administration to get an opinion from the solicitor which we disagree with, but at the end of the day the mayor has the power to tell the city solicitor to march down to the courthouse and file papers in courthouse and take this issue to Court and get an answer once and for all, so that is it where the answer lies at this point, and again, with your own organizations and the hopefully that may happen and come from there as well, but that's where we are now, but don't think for a minute that we don't feel your pain and don't support you because we do in any way we can.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher, city resident. As I believe I have made clear, I'm opposed to the sweetheart deal with Mr. Basalyga and I urge you to table Agenda Item 7-A through 7-D until the following information is provided to the current owners of the city parking assets:

Number one, the discrepancies
between the June 2015 Desman report which
was commissioned by the city through PFM and
what was presented at last week's working
session of city council, most specifically,
the Desman report shows the highest net
operating surplus is achieved by the
demolition of the Electric City and Linden
garages to be replaced with surface parking

lots of approximately 200 spaces each.

The Desman report notes that the demolition of the Electric City parking garage original structure is expected to cost \$548,000 while the demolition of the expansion is expected to cost \$440,000 for a total of \$988,000, a far cry from the \$3.1 million estimate from the working session.

Number two. The promised economic development. Where are the metrics to back up this claim, specifically, what's segments of the economy will grow, when will this growth occur and by how much will the City's coffers grow by year for the 40-year life of the agreement?

We didn't just fall off the turnip

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

truck. We remember the economic development promises that would spring from the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue and the yet unopened park and non-functioning elevators in part. Oh, and let's not forget the traffic pattern in the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue, which I'm sure everyone loves. I'm sorry, mom, for the sarcasm, you told me not to do that.

Number three. The issue of one and done payment by NDC to the City of Scranton. In January of 2013, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania filed a decision to uphold the trial court's order that a nonprofit leasing property to another nonprofit denied was denied an exemption from real estate In September of 2012, the taxes. Times-Tribune published an article by David Falchack by which I now quote -- from which I now quote. "Private and public universities are nonprofit entities, but being a nonprofit doesn't immediately mean that all of the property exempt from property taxes all of the time and Mr. Doug Hill, director of the Harrisburg based

Assessor's Association of Pennsylvania said

-- that is what he said. And from Evelyn

Brody, professor of law at the Chicago

School of Law it has always been the case
that leasing property ends the tax

exemption. Often, when a charity leases to
another charity the exemptions ends for the
leased property."

With these professional opinions, I find it curious that Mr. Conaboy didn't at least negotiate a healthy payment in lieu of the taxes that would grow at the same rate the fees charged by DNC grow. Further, what I heard at last week's working session is that any future revenue sharing would not be made to the city directly, but would be in the form of grants made not by the city or its council but directly by DNC to entities chosen by them. Are you really willing to succeed this power to a third party?

Also, I have concerns that the city is not up to administering such a complicated agreement that could lead to any number of cases of expensive lawsuits, so I thank you for your consideration of this

and, Mr. Wechsler, did you get -- make a company of the letter of Ms. Maloney that you said you would make?

MR. WECHSLER: Oh, I have it in the office.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, I will see you after and I will be back with more on property tax.

MR. ROGAN: And the nonprofit issue, the reason no taxes are going to be made the city retains ownership.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It doesn't matter.

That's what I just said. That leasing ends, and especially in a 40-year lease, but we can discuss that another time. I would also like you to share tonight what the properties are included in the demolition list that's being circulated in the paper and how many there are and where they are.

MR. ROGAN: Sure, I can obtain that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxes paid, fees

paid. Okay, once again, I'm going to put

this to sleep soon, but "Pennsylvanians Hit

Hard by Plant Closures and Job Losses."

Now, I'm not silly enough to think that a presidential candidate is going to bring jobs back, Obama brought the tire jobs back and it cost the American consumer \$850,000 per job that they wouldn't have had to pay if they had never left. So, you know, keep in mind when you call your congressman and tell them that transpacific partnership is just the partnership to more poverty in this country. Transpacific povertyship. Also, one of the countries involved it's entirely possible to buy and sell human beings so we are losing our American morals.

And to start with, I have a book
here, I brought it last week, "Free Lunch.
How the wealthiest Americans enrich
themselves at government expense and stick
you with the bill." David K. Johnston. He
is an economist, retired IRS auditor. He is
also a professor at Southern University of
New York, I think, and also a tax lawyer, so
he knows how these people and that's where
we got in Scranton to where we got to where
we are right now. We just constantly got

schemes from very wealthy corporations and individuals build us a parking garage or let us build a hotel here and then the parking garage that was there wasn't any good so they said at that wasn't up to their standards so we get to spend the money on it and then the parking garages at the Connell building somebody went up there and said, "Gee, there wasn't even a crack in the concrete, what are we tearing that down for?"

Well, he was told to stay the hell out of there or he would be arrested for trespassing, so there you have it. You know, people just want to see money spent, they want to spend, put it in their pockets and here we are, we have somebody that can pirate our parking spaces off and we have to pay him now, so I don't know how that's going to work out, but I agree with Mr. Jackowitz it's a done deal.

Now, last week I heard quality of life, is there an anything that somebody doesn't want to fine somebody for? I had an acquaintance or a friend from the

1

6

7

5

8

10

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

neighborhood they took \$5,500 worth of the cars off his lawn and sold them. Next door, three years later, there's a 70's era Camero sitting on the lawn with trees growing out of it, you know. I mean, is it quality of life or is it just who can make a fast buck and walk off with your -- walk off with your money.

And info from the mayor's office, now, I hear a lot of complaints about that and that's been ongoing for years and it was very ongoing with this parking deal, was a default the smartest thing to do, I don't know, but basically the Parking Authority thumbed their nose at council. Thev thumbed their nose. They come in here with a one-page book or accounting of what their expenses are and they are asking for information, they could never get it. They are taking out on loans where there is no legislation on it, so, yeah, maybe Janet made a big mistake, maybe she is Scranton's Joan of Arc, I don't know. Every week, every other week she was in the editorial section of the paper and getting bashed.

Well, now poor Mr. Courtright is getting bashed, you know? It just goes on and on and on. I wish they'd decide what they want.

And a lot of things that are said up here about leadership, well, I want representation and, furthermore, I will make it quick, but this situation with that sewer line is a prime example of how an authority can turn around and undo something that should have never been undone and they took it on themselves and now the poor citizens have to either leave their houses or go to Court, believe me, years ago oil belches, batter casings, anything went in the trash. I worked in a lot of different garages so --

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: -- a lot of stuff buried up there that you really don't want to breath in?

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

1 Yes, I have a couple of MR. PERRY: things this afternoon. The first thing, the 2 3 leachate issue in Greenridge, you know, I'll echo your sentiments, every single one of us 4 5 up here is concerned, and I don't know if chomping at the bit is good term, but we are 6 7 eager to go to whatever capacity we have to 8 help the citizens of Greenridge, I mean, 9 that goes without saying, but what I would 10 like for the record for you guys what is the 11 address and time of the meeting on the 12th 12 because I don't know if you guys announced 13 that, that way everybody can be in the loop 14 on that. MS. SEITZINGER: 15 Do you want me to 16 give it to you? MR. PERRY: 17 Yeah. 18 MS. SEITZINGER: It's 625 Deacon 19 Street the Post 908 building 7:00, July 12. 20 We meet the second Tuesday of every month. 21 MR. PERRY: Okay, so that's at 625 Deacon Street. 22 23 MS. SEITZINGER: It's right up the 24 street from Brunetti's.

MR. PERRY:

Right up from

25

Brunetti's, we are all familiar with that and the time is 7:00?

MS. SEITZINGER: 7:00.

MR. PERRY: 7:00 July 12. So that, again, it's a very important issue not just for the citizens of Greenridge but for the whole city and yes, we are all -- on this council we are very involved in this issue and we are willing to push wherever we need to push to make sure you guys get some sort of satisfaction with that.

It's been brought to my attention that there is an issue of running stop signs and speeding at the intersection of 16th and Division Street so there will be patrolman out there very soon to do an investigation on that safety issue.

I also was contacted by a couple of people that there is a possible quality of life issue on the 1200 block of Main Avenue so there is going to be an inspector dispatched to that area of the city to do some follow up on that issue as well.

Something that came up a couple of times tonight about public hearings for

this, and we didn't have a public hearing specifically for the SPA lease agreement, but we did have three open council meetings that we accepted any and all comments and questions on it and we did our very best. know personally I even reached out to the citizens to send me questions and I would ask those on your behalf and I did, unlike Mr. Wechsler, I did get a lot of questions up until just this afternoon. I had a lot of going back and forth with Attorney Conaboy just to make sure that even right before I left work this morning that any questions that hit my inbox was forwarded on.

Anybody who had a question submitted to me got an e-mail reply back with their answer and there is a couple here that just came in I guess you would say hot off the press and I'm not going to bore you with reading all of them, but what I'm going do is some of the common ones that came in today, one of the questions was if NDC defaults or goes out of business where does the city lie? What's our responsibility?

And the answer to that is as we learned from past SPA issuing debt for the 2004, 2006 and 2007 the city is not guaranteeing these debts whatsoever. That's totally, totally on NDC. There is no repercussion, nothing coming back, the city will not be on the hook. As well as if NDC goes bankrupt, that's something else that is not going to effect the city whatsoever.

Another question is will NDC be required to respond to Right-to-Know requests like government would be? And the answer to that in short is no because they are a private organization. They are not entitled to the PA Right-to-Know laws but the city will hold three of the seven seats on the board which the city will always have access to any kind of records that we want to ascertain.

Another question I've had a common thread, in the deal with the Hilton Hotel and Connell parking will that be honored as is? And the answer to that in a word yes, it will be honored.

ABM, the company that's going to be

Mr. Rogan?

managing the on and off-street parking for NDC, how many off-street parking city facilities are they running? The answer is 30. They have 30 under their belt and under their umbrella, which I'm really comfortable with ABM coming in and making immediate changes.

Number six, the question, the monthly rent to Steamtown 300, it's a \$10,000 a monthly rent to the Marketplace for the parking spaces, is that going to increase with inflation or stay the same? The answer is it's going to stay the same, that will not go up during this term, and I think that is all of the common threads with that.

And again, not only with this deal but I accept questions and comments any time, I check my e-mail every day so if there is anything that anybody ever needs to get in touch with me, please, feel free to send me an e-mail. That's all I have today, Mr. President.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a number of issues, I'll comment more on the parking deal when it comes up for a vote, just a few things I wanted to mention. Regarding the leachate line, and I apologize I can't find the letter, it's in this stack somewhere, we did receive -- we were cc'd on a letter from the mayor to Keystone asking them to voluntarily stop using the Greenridge line and I do have a copy of it that I can provide as well.

A couple of other items, this week the back pay for the Supreme Court award was finally put to bed. Payment was -- as you know today is the last day of the month, today is the deadline. That is hopefully now something we will never have to talk about again. Following the completion of the parking deal, that is another issue we will never have to talk about again. These are two major accomplishments that had to be accomplished. We had a Court award hanging over our head and a large amount of that debt, whether you agree or disagree, or how you think we got into where we are with the parking garages this deal, I believe, will

benefit the City of Scranton.

Contrary to the Times, which write negative article after negative article regarding this deal, there are many positives that I will talk about a little more when the vote comes up.

Some comments were made, and I know Mr. Perry and Mr. Wechsler touched on this about not being able to speak, as was mentioned, every Thursday we allow citizens' participation on a vast array of topics. It could be about agenda items, non-agenda items and I know as Council President Councilman Wechsler even allows latitude on national and state issues that many times don't pertain to council but it's still your right to speak.

Regarding the work session, that was more for informational purposes to let the team that put the deal together to come in and present it to the public and council did ask that it was televised, it was televised on ECTV, it was open to the public to attend and listen, that newspaper was there as well, so that was very important for us to

mentioned, we have had -- we are always open for questions. I have had only a few. know Mr. Wechsler had a couple, Mr. Perry had a few more, a few questions from residents. The majority of your questions that I received were actually regarding the operations, the current deplorable conditions of the garages which is a chronic complaint that we received and questions about meters downtown and how they would be handled, primarily those questions came from business owners, and I did through Attorney Conaboy get those replies to those residents as well.

let the experts explain it. As Mr. Perry

A couple of other items, a few weeks ago, I think about a month ago, council passed the Young Lungs program that Councilman Gaughan championed and one thing that bothered me was many times I come into city hall I would see city employees smoking right on the front steps and we did send a letter to the mayor's office asking that city employees were asked not to smoke in front of the building, but rather to go in

the back of the building because of the appearances so I'd like to know if we can send that request again. Going into city hall today I did see some city employees smoking in front of the building once again. I would hope they we wouldn't have to enact a smoking ban in front of the building, but hopefully, you know, that could just be worked out where employees who smoke can smoke in back of the building.

And, finally, a piece of the good news, we received a letter from Neighbor Works NEPA who council and the city have been working with for a number of years and they do a lot of great work. As I mentioned, we just did a first time homebuyers program with them, and this is it, I'm just going to read an excerpt from the letter. It says, "I have exciting news to share. Next week, July 4 to 8 more than 130 volunteers will be joining Neighbor Works Northeastern, Pennsylvania, from across the northeastern portion of the United States to participate in West Scranton Neighbor Works week 2016. This

week-long event will provide free home repair and improvement services for 23 low income aging and disabled homeowners in our area."

This is a great program, it helps a lot of people within the city who want to maintain their homes but many times because of disabilities or just a lack of being able to pay really helps them out with, you know, improving the properties and just makes the neighborhoods look better in general, so I'd like to thank Neighbor Works for continuing this great program. That's all.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. A little bit of everything tonight. Councilman Rogan, Councilman Wechsler and myself attended the Secret Garden tour in the Hill Section on Saturday that benefited the Greenhouse project. It was a great tour. They plan on doing it every other year now to coincide with the off years with the Historic Hill tour so congratulations to them on a job well done.

Now, last week we had a couple of citizens' questions, yes, the clerical union contract did expire in 2015 and they were working on the terms of the existing contract until a new one is agreed upon.

There was also a citizen gave me a copy of a spreadsheet last week from Desman design, and I contacted Mr. Conaboy and he told me that that spreadsheet was only for the newer parking garage and there is a second spreadsheet for the older parking garage and I have a draft form, I wasn't allowed to present it tonight because it's still in draft form, but I'll get that to you as soon I can.

A lot of talk about default, and while I'm not going to comment directly on the reasons and rationale for the action to allow the default of the bond on the alleged receivership of the Parking Authority, I want to go over a couple of things that I view as the unintended consequences of that besides what we are here tonight for the vote on the Scranton Parking Authority issue. The city has paid approximately \$2.9

million per year in debt service payments in 2013 through 2016 and operating income of the garages has declined every year while under receivership.

The default effectively shut off the city's access to the financial markets. The city has been required to pay interest rates and premiums higher than the normal to obtain funds from the financial markets. In fact, the city has paid interest rates approximately 4 to 6 percent above prevailing market rates to sell approximately 25 million in bonds in 2013 and 2014 for unfunded debt and debt restructuring.

The city has incurred approximately \$1.25 million in annual interest additional costs to acquire these funds. The city has also paid above market rates and had to lock box real estate tax and earned income revenues in order to acquire TAN funds in 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 and 2016. No local financial institutions has provided funds to the city since the default. On \$13 million borrowed each year for the last --

for the City's TAN, the city has incurred at least an additional \$500,000 in interest costs from the TAN over prevailing rates.

So they are just some of the unintended consequences besides the fact that it brought us here today to vote on this legislation to lease the parking authority assets, so while I won't comment on it, I wasn't there at the time. Was it right? Was it wrong? You know, who knows, but the bottom line is they are some of the issues that brought us to this point, and I'll comment further on the parking legislation as we move to the agenda items.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, Just a few. First, it was mentioned that the Rockwell Avenue bridge project will be completed in early 2017. I was inundated with phone calls and e-mails about why that was and why the timeline had changed. We reached out to PennDOT and actually the bridge will be completed this year in December. They are working their every day

and they are making great process so it will be finished in December of this year so that was the wrong date.

I have an update on the paving project throughout the city, Keyser Valley and West Scranton, East Mountain, Hill section, all of these streets are complete. The only remaining streets lie in North Scranton, two in South Scranton and a few blocks in the downtown so everything should be wrapped up with the city's paving project by the end of July and they are making good process there.

I received another complaint about dangerous conditions on Third Avenue and Luzerne Street which are concerning residents. There is a damage chain linked fence above the heritage trail and residents are worried that someone could fall over and get seriously injured so we will send that again to Licensing and Inspections.

I also received a complaint from the citizen who was near 915 Euclid Avenue. The property is condemned and they have various animals, people are placing food on the

porch. They were told by the city that it will be boarded up, that still hasn't been done. They were told the traps would be set and the traps have not been set yet. There is also a pool with no cover and stagnant water in it which could foster mosquitoes which could also lead to West Nile virus which the residents, some of them elderly, are concerned about so we will be sending that to the Licensing and Inspection Department.

Also, received another request for crosswalks around Greenridge corners, a very dangerous intersection and there are many residents that are concerned about that in that area, and that's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. This week I did receive

another complaint about the 1400 block of

South Irving Avenue. This has been an

ongoing problem since I have been on

council. Nothing has happened with that as

far as I know. We also received a request

for some repairs of potholes of Roland

Avenue and we'll move forward with those requests.

As the week went on, and as Mr.

Perry said we did receive some questions, we did some follow-ups and I have a few questions here that were answered for me that I'll share now. One of the questions that I asked was will NDC be paying the \$10,000 and \$19,000 monthly rents and the answer is yes. NDC will remit rent payments to Steamtown. Neither the city or the Parking Authority will have any responsibility to make these rent payments.

Also, as part of that, if Steamtown fails to maintain either garage NDC can discontinue making the rent payments and is entitled to operate both garages and collect all revenue from both garages, so as was said at the work session NDC and ABM will continue to follow up the necessary repairs are being made, and if they are not being made to the satisfaction, their satisfaction, then the payments will be stop.

I also asked how many of the 500

spots in the mall are currently rented. As of two weeks ago there was 491 active parkers in the Steamtown, but just once, again, as you have heard, we tried to look at this arrangement as conservatively as possible and not overestimate revenues, for purposes of the agreement we are planning on having 305 parkers in the mall. Once again, it's an conservative estimate so we don't overshoot our mark.

I also asked about the new tenants,

I Luzerne Community College is moving into
the mall, we also asked if they will receive
free parking, the answer was yes. All mall
tenants employees and patrons will at all
times be entitled to free parking in the
mall.

I asked this question, if the

Marketplace fails does the city or NDC have

rights to the Electric City garage? The

answer was if the Marketplace falls or

otherwise chooses to sell either the

Marketplace garages or the NDC garage

purchaser of either garage must allow NDC to

use the entire garage, in the case of

Electric City for the 500 dedicated cases in the Casey and the Marketplace will allow for public parking. The leases for both the EC garage and Marketplace place garage will be recorded via a memo of lease and there is no right -- there is no right of first refusal to NDC to purchase the garage.

I also asked did the Marketplace sell the Electric city garage? The answer was in theory yes, but as explained NDC is entitled to use the garage for public parking purposes and any purchaser of the garage would be required to be in the garage and make over the \$15 million capital expenditure investments that they are committed to.

One concern that we did have, as was brought up by our speakers, there is going to be a loss of parking meter revenue. That will come to an end as soon as the lease agreement is signed so in August the city will no longer receive any of the parking revenues, but the lower bond payment that we are paying with because of the default, the combination of the lower bond payment and

24

25

the loss of the meter revenues mean that this deal is revenue neutral to our plan so as best as I can understand from talking to Business Administrator Bulzoni, there will not be any immediate impact of financial gain for the city because of a change in the payments or loss of the parking meter revenue so the follow up question is when is it reasonable to expect revenue to flow to the city? And, once again, as we talked about a lot of the estimates were conservative. The answer to that I received is if there is no growth, meaning no new parkers in the system and no economic development in the downtown, then the city can expect to start seeing payments around 2040, but the models continue to change until we finalize the final pricing. are answers of Mr. Conaboy. And as he said, as w all said here, hopefully that's not the likely scenario because there is more development downtown, we have already seen that and we know the Luzerne Community College has moved into the mall. There is also talk of other groups being in the mall

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so I don't foresee it staying the same or static.

In each these scenarios in any event the city can expect grant payments of about \$400,000 to per year to begin in 2040 with payments approaching up to \$600,000 over As was stated here, there will never be any direct income to the city general fund from this agreement. There will be grant money available and that grant money will not be decided to spent by NDC directly, it will be decided to be spent by the board that it's created, which people have three members on that board. This is an opportunity for this grant money to come into the city without the restrictions of financial guidelines as placed on us by federal, so all in all this will be an investment that we can use as time goes on and hopefully sooner than 2040 to make some improvements in things that we as a city right now can't do, so I will have the questions here and I will have more comments as we proceed through the meeting. you.

1	MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
3	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
4	AND ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
5	CONTRACT WITH LABELLA ASSOCIATES, P.C.
6	FORMERLY CECO ASSOCIATES TO SERVE AS CITY
7	ENGINEER FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE
8	PERIOD OF TWO (2) YEARS FROM JUNE 15, 2016
9	THROUGH MAY 31, 2018.
10	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION-
25	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF AARON W.

	·
1	WHITNEY, 200 WATRES DRIVE, SCRANTON,
2	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE
3	MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
4	(MIDAS), EFFECTIVE JUNE 24, 2016. MR.
5	WHITNEY IS BEING APPOINTED TO A TWO (2) YEAR
6	TERM THAT WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 24, 2018.
7	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
9	introduced into its proper committee.
10	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
11	MR. EVANS: Second.
12	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. PERRY: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. EVANS: Aye.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
20	ayes have it and so moved.
21	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO
22	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
23	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
24	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
25	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 37,

25

2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO CONCESSION ARRANGEMENTS WITH COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROPERTIES, SCRANTON, INC. (THE "CONCESSIONAIRE") WITH RESPECT TO METERED PARKING IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON (THE "CITY") AND THE GARAGES OWNED BY THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (THE "AUTHORITY") PURSUANT TO THE SCRANTON METERED PARKING SYSTEM CONCESSION AND SERVICES AGREEMENT (THE "METERED SYSTEM CONCESSION AGREEMENT") AND SCRANTON PARKING FACILITIES SYSTEM CONCESSION AND LEASE AGREEMENT (THE "FACILITIES CONCESSION AGREEMENT"), AND TO AUTHORIZE CERTAIN ACTIONS AND ANCILLARY AGREEMENTS CONTEMPLATED BY THE METERED SYSTEM CONCESSION AGREEMENT AND THE FACILITIES CONCESSION AGREEMENT.

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

•

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the guestion?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'll be voting in favor of this deal tonight for several reasons, the first completely reaffirms to everyone that I take every single decision and vote that I make as an elected official of this city extremely seriously. I have read over these documents in detail. I have done my due diligence by asking numerous questions and airing my concerns. I certainly am not taking the decision to lease a city asset for 40 years lightly.

As I stated two weeks ago, the decision by the previous council to let the Parking Authority default on the bond of 2012 was catastrophic and has played an enormous part in leading the city to this point. The default cut off the city from the financial markets and ruined our creditworthiness. To give you an example, while other cities and school districts were taking out tax anticipation notes with an interest rate of around 1 1/2 percent our

interest rate was almost 9 percent. That's extortion and that was a direct result of the default. The default has cost the taxpayers of this city millions of dollars.

The receivership is literally bleeding the city dry every year and as long as the receivership is in place Scranton will always be at their mercy. The only people that are going rich with the receivership end are the attorneys from New York City and other places. They are making out like bandits every year. Even by engaging in this deal tonight and shedding the receivership, which is a major benefit and in my opinion must happen, we still have a long way to go before the stain of default is behind us and it will continue to haunt the city financially for many years to come.

Now, I understand fully that many people are skeptical of this deal and they are zeroing in on the mall portion of the deal, and I understand that, but let's take a look for a minute at the big picture.

Leasing the garages will allow the city to eliminate the Parking Authority related debt

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

service which is around \$3 million a year impact on our budget.

Keep in mind that whenever the Parking Authority cannot make it's debt service payments out of it's own revenues, which is always, the city is obligated to make up the difference. This means that the city is required to annually budget and pay over \$3 million in authority-related costs. That's covering roughly 75 percent of the Authority's total annual debt service payments. If we maintain the status quo, which is what some people apparently would like us to do, these payments will increase significantly over the course of the next five to ten years causing even more stress This deal will eliminate to our budget. capital improvement obligations that are looming in excess of \$50,000 which if we took that on, which we would eventually have to, it will add one to three million dollars of new annual debt service that the city would be liable for. All of these costs by doing the deal are shifted from the city to NDC which is the concession here.

This deal provides desperately needed budget stability instead of the roller coaster ride receivership and crumbling garages, and most importantly, we will no longer guarantee parking related debt.

Now, as I said earlier some people are skeptical and I do understand that there has been a lot of misinformation out there and I would say that it didn't help at all when the mayor decided to back in early June to have a press conference and not release any details of the plan and then let it sit there in limbo for a few weeks so obviously people are going to make their own assumptions and have their own questions whether they are right or wrong.

Also, the refusal to meet with the editorial board, the mayor's refusal to meet with the Scranton Times editorial board to me doesn't make any sense. If this is the deal that the mayor claims it is, meet with anybody that you can, especially the paper and explain the deal to them. So, again, I don't understand that, I can go on about

2

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that until I'm blue in the face, but the way that the mayor handles PR and handles these different deals it makes no sense to me.

One of the concerns that I have heard over the last few weeks that this deal seems like a big giveaway to the mall. \$2.85 million that the city will be giving the mall for the repairs of the Electric City garage is a blank check. That's not entirely true. The money will be put into an account and will only be released when improvements are made and reviewed by the Then and only then will a portion of the money be released so the mall will pay 45 percent and the city will pay 55 percent. There is a good chance that we may not even spend all of the \$2.85 million. Also, the Electric City garage issue. I could go hours about this, but I'll boil it down to one thing, if city sold the garage to someone else besides the mall they would have to agree to make all of the improvements, which is millions of dollars, and to never compete with NDC. From a practical standpoint, this was never going

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to happen and on top of that the deal with NDC would have been dead and then my question to everybody is where do we go from there?

Status quo for the city at this point with all of the financial difficulties that we have had is not an option. It was either knock down the Electric city garage or work with the mall and we worked with the mall. The city has applied, keep in mind, for a \$4 million multi-modal grant from PennDOT. This money, if received, will be used for required capital improvements to the garages. As I'm told, it's been received favorably and if awarded, even if a portion of it is awarded it will result in dollar for dollar increase in the upfront proceeds to the city.

Many people have expressed concerns to me that this company will be allowed to raise parking rates as they see fit. That's not true. The city will retain veto power over key public policy considerations during the term of this lease such as rate setting and certain capital improvement projects.

Keep in mind that out of the board of seven people we will have three seats on the board. What won't happen is we will not be at the mercy of a for-profit company who might raise rates to incomprehensible levels as we have seen and as I have researched this happened in other cities across the United States in the past decade with these type of deals.

The proposed rate increases, keep in mind, also, that we have in front of us are nothing compared to what would have eventually happened had we stayed in receivership. The money has to be made up some place.

Residents need also to keep in mind that NDC's goal is not to maximize revenue for itself, it being a nonprofit. Whatever revenue is generated above NDC's management fee and cost will flow back to the city, as has been mentioned, over the term of the agreement, and that's one of the benefits of working with a nonprofit rather than a for-profit company.

Now, I have also been asked, well,

24

25

what were some of alternatives? Why not do this deal another way? Well, let's look at some of the alternatives. Status quo. things the way they are. It doesn't work. We can't do it. Dooms day if we keep it the way it is. Sale of all of the garages. Sale of the one or more individual garages. Also, another alternative was leaseback of the garages. One of the interesting ones that I actually would have preferred had we been able to do it was the Parking Authority operational debt and restructuring, so take it inhouse. Once you default on a bond we -- that was not feasible. I went back and forth with the business administrator, Mr. Conaboy and others on all of these alternatives, none of them were feasible. concession lease with a for-profit partner we have seen that fail in Chicago, Ohio State University and other places. The city in that scenarios have absolutely no control, and finally, a concession lease with a nonprofit partner, which is what we went with.

Ladies and gentlemen, this decision

1

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for me was not an easy one to make. These are difficult decisions you have to make when you are an elected official. Let me be extremely clear, there were no magical great outcomes of the situation. Out of all of the options that were looked at this was, unfortunately, the lesser of all of the evils, as I had previously mentioned.

To vote "no" on this lease transaction because you have could imagined a better deal, well, I'm sure anyone could imagine a better deal, but those deals were not realistic options. To do nothing, to maintain the status quo and have a false hope that we can somehow claw our way out of this ourselves while in receivership wit all of the different moving parts is foolish and irresponsible. These are the unfortunate consequences of the disastrous default and is a result of not having any bargaining leverage. For anyone to think in the financial position that we are in and the state of garages that the City of Scranton could walk into a room and say, "Here's the deal, we want this deal," it's not just the

case. That doesn't even make any sense.

No one was banging down doors clamoring to deal with this city after it defaulted on this debt in 2012. It's my hope that this decision will put Scranton on the right financial course, provide budget stability, ensure proper management of on and off-street parking and restore our garages and, again, is this the best deal? I don't think so. It's the only deal that we are going to be able to get in my opinion. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,
Mr. Gaughan.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I guess we'll do it at this point. I will agree, you know, similar that I didn't get elected to avoid the tough decisions facing the city. If you voted for me to kick the can down the road, drive the city into bankruptcy or receivership you voted for the wrong guy. I will say that anybody who thinks that keeping the status quo would forego increasing the parking rates I'm here to tell you that's never going to happen.

22

2324

25

Let's face it, most government officials are addicted to raising taxes, raising fees and raising parking rates. At least with the private sector involved, rates will be market driven, a new concept for all of us to pay attention to. If anyone thinks that somehow going back in time and resurrecting the Parking Authority and putting them back in charge is a good idea, let me also remind you something when it comes to parking that government doesn't do a good job. That's why we are here. If they did a great job we have a surplus not tens of millions of dollars in Scranton debt. We must also not forget with the status quo there is little money for repairs. There is little money for clean garages. There is barely money to keep the lights on and at the end of the day we still remain in receivership, so without in deal none of that changes. That's all I have for now.

MR. ROGAN: I'll make a few comments. I have long been on the record being in favor of selling the garages, not leasing selling. As Mr. Gaughan mentioned,

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

numerous options were explored and my preferred option was to sell the garages, use the proceeds to pay down debt and retain the meters in-house and use the meter revenue to pay off the remaining debt.

Unfortunately, the garages are in such deplorable condition and vacancy rates are so high that there weren't reasonable bids that came in to do the transaction that way.

A number of other routes were explored and, again, nobody was looking to purchase garages in the City of Scranton. Unfortunately, as I mentioned earlier, as with almost every major piece of legislation that the City of Scranton has overtaken in decades, the Scranton Times writes negative -- writes on the negatives. Ιt does not mention any positives, so I do want to mention a few of the positives of this transaction. And, obviously, I will say we do have a good reporter here with Mr. Lockwood, but the editorial board consistently knocks the City of Scranton and it's not just been under this council, this administration, for decades it's been going

on. And understand the newspaper is in the business of making money and negative articles seem to make more money for newspaper than positive feel good articles, but these are some just a few of the positives regarding this deal.

Number one and first and foremost, the city's debt service will be vastly reduced.

Secondly, the maintenance will no longer be paid for by the City of Scranton.

That will all be taken care of by NDC.

Economic development within the downtown, I know that much of the focus of the negativity surrounding this deal and the press has been regarding the Electric City garage and the sale of that garage to Mr. Basalyga. I, for one, have been excited about what's been going on downtown at the mall and what's been going on. I think we need to encourage development. The mall needs to be brought back to what it once was. I remember as a kid when the Steamtown Mall first opened that was the place to be. That's where everybody wanted to go. As a

kid growing up in West Side, on Friday nights we walked down Linden Street and we went into town and we would go to the mall and go to the movie theatre. It was right there. That's something that I hope that families will be able to do for generations to come with the comeback of the mall.

There is talks of further economic development in the downtown in the form of condominiums or apartment. Those projects that have taken place in the past have been extremely successful. I know the Connell building at one point in time had an extremely long wait list just to get an apartment within the downtown.

The garages currently are in deplorable condition and the city cannot afford to maintain them. This agreement will allow parkers safer, cleaner garages. That will help stir economic development. People that are going to come to the downtown to shop aren't going to want to park in a dangerous, dark, disgusting garage. They want to park in a well-lit, safe, well-built garage.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Much has been made of what the city has been giving up as far as revenue, and that is it true. There are revenues that are being given up, but on the opposite side of the coin, all of those costs also go away The costs of the enforcement of meters. The cost of maintenance, all of that goes away. The city no longer bears that burden. Meter repairs. Garages. of that is taken care of by NDC, and the most important part of this deal from my standpoint, and I know Councilman Evans mentioned it as well, this deal finally gets government out of the parking business. Government does not do a good job at running things like a business and NDC has a very good reputation, they have done these type of deals all across the country and I am excited to see the economic development that it can bring to our city and also the budgetary relief. Although, it may not be as much as we would like to see, the cost of repairs on this garages over the next 10, 20, 30 years is astronomical. They are other garages that are not bringing in the

money to even pay the bills, never mind to do the upkeep that's needed, so for all of those reasons I will be voting "yes" on this deal as well.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. In my short time here on city council this by far as been the most massive amount of information that I have received, had to go through, read through the questions and back and forth, between citizens' participation, the administration and fellow councilmen, I mean, there was just so much and there is not much more I can say that I haven't said or that my colleagues have said tonight, but I will bullet point a couple of things and just to give you an insight into how I feel and to some of the points of the deal after boiling down hundreds and hundreds of pages.

The first bullet point I have is Scranton is done subsidizing the SPA. No longer are we going to be living in fear of what that lineup is going to be at the end of the year, whether it's a loss of revenue, which we all know the SPA just was spiraling, spiraling down, and revenue was

going away as expenses were going up, so it's just how much are we going to have to kick in this year.

And the second items that we don't have to live in fear of anymore is the tens of millions of dollars of work that's going to have to be done in five years, ten years, and tomorrow on these garages. I mean, they are just, as I heard somebody say deplorable, they really are and we off the hook for millions of dollars in repairs which we will no longer have to be held over our head.

As far as the town goes and the citizens and the visitors of Scranton the first time is going have a first class parking system. We haven't had that. We don't even know what that is because we've had a city-run, government-run parking. You are going to see immediate changes with these garages. They are going to be safer, they are going to be cleaner, and to be honest they are going to be better managed. They are going to be managed like someone who wants you to go there. It's not going

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be a line item to them, it's their business, it's their livelihood, it's what they do for a living.

And this, also, is something that is not to be forgotten, this deal is also going to include monies to fix many of our firehouses that are in dire need of somewhat major repairs, some that without these repairs won't be able to stay open and we need these firehouses operational and we need our firefighters protected.

Including this money into this deal significantly lowers the interest that we would have to pay. If we were to go out and try to get a loan, a \$1.75 million loan on it's own with our credit in this environment we probably pay almost 80 percent more than what would be in this deal, and it would just be almost impossible to get that type of money by itself which would in turn not get our firehouses fixed which would in turn close firehouses. So I'm very happy to see this on here to get the much needed repairs because honestly I don't how we would do it otherwise. That's all I have on the

question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry. Just to sum up, I agree with all of the comments that my colleagues have made and I also would like to take the opportunity to thank them for all of the hard work that they have done on this. Since we got this information I know all of the members of council have been pouring through this information and calling Mr. Bulzoni, calling Mr. Conaboy, calling Mr. Shaffer, so we have done -- I believe that we have done our due diligence on this job. I would also like to thank the staff of city council office has also been working on this very hard as well. Sometimes we forget about how important they are to this team, but that's what they are, they are part of this team.

But not to repeat what's been said up here, but I'd just like to go at this a different way. The question has been asked if this is the best deal that we can get and I'd like to ask the question this way, is the best deal that a distressed city could get? The answer is yes. Is this the best

deal for a Parking Authority that's been in receivership bleeding the city dry? The answer again, yes. Is this the best deal for a mall that was recently sold at sheriff's sale? Yes. Is the best deal for garages that are in need of over \$50 million of repair? The answer once again is yes.

As Mr. Gaughan stated, we have been through different scenarios. We've had people make different suggestions to us, the overriding problem with these garages is the amount of repair that they need. No one would buy these garages and make this investment to be a free standing garage, I just don't believe that would never -- that's never going to happen.

And just to finalize, as Mr. Rogan said, I'm not sure everyone understands the significance of having NDC involved with this project. NDC has a national reputation of being a successful developer of programs. We saw their slideshow that they had on projects that they did and then we saw even in our own town they have been successful.

Once again, I mentioned this before,

we were at the Scranton Parking Authority
hearing and members and business owners that
are in the Linden Street garage were there
and saying that their business has gone
downhill since under receivership and they
have an optimist attitude about the ability
of NDC to run them, so all and all for all
reasons stated by my colleagues I will also
be voting for this yes.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can I make one additional point? I will just disagree with some of my colleagues that government doesn't do a good job in the parking business, I believe that under the right leadership and the right management it can be done and it has been done across the country. Unfortunately, because of the receivership and other things that wasn't an alternative that was feasible for the city, but I do think that if you look at other cities in a perfect world Scranton would retain ownership, it would run the garages under the right leadership and management. It has been done before in other cities across the country, but like I said, in this

1 case it was not a realistic alternative. 2 MR. EVANS: On that point I will 3 agree to disagree. Period. 4 MR. ROGAN: Councilman Evans and I don't like government involvement in much. 5 6 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 7 Roll call, please? MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. 8 9 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 13 MR. EVANS: Yes. 14 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 18 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 19 adopted. 20 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 21 THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 38, 2016 - ATTACHED 22 IS AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE 23 24 CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, 25 PENNSYLVANIA, AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING

23

24

25

ISSUANCE OF ITS GENERAL OBLIGATION NOTES, SERIES OF 2016 (THE"NOTES") IN AN AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$47,000,000 PURSUANT TO THE ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, KNOWN AS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT, WITH THE PROCEEDS, TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR AND TOWARD THE COSTS OF A PROJECT CONSISTING OF: (1) REFUNDING ALL OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING LEASE RENTAL DEBT REPRESENTED BY THE CITY'S GUARANTY OF THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA'S GUARANTEED PARKING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES OF 2004, GUARANTEED PARKING REVENUE BONDS, SERIES OF 2006 AND GUARANTEED PARKING REVENUE BONDS. SERIES OF 2007 (COLLECTIVELY, THE "REFUNDED BONDS"), (2) FUNDING RENOVATIONS TO VARIOUS FIRE STATIONS LOCATED IN THE CITY, AND (3) PAYING THE COSTS RELATED TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE NOTES; FINDING A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION TO BE IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT: DETERMINING THAT SUCH DEBT SHALL BE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT: ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR PURCHASE OF

SUCH NOTES, AND AWARDING SUCH NOTES, AND SETTING FORTH RELATED PROVISIONS; PROVIDING THAT SUCH GENERAL OBLIGATION NOTES, WHEN ISSUED. SHALL BE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT; FIXING THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM, DENOMINATIONS, NUMBERS, DATE, MATURITY DATES, YIELDS, INTEREST RATES, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, REGISTRATION PROVISIONS, PLACE OF PAYMENT OF PRINCIPAL, MATURITY AMOUNT AND INTEREST; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF SUCH NOTES AND AUTHENTICATION THEREOF: PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO PAYMENT OF DEBT SERVICE AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT IN SUPPORT THEREOF: CREATING A SINKING FUND AND A CLEARING ACCOUNT; APPOINTING AGENTS AND PROFESSIONALS; AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION OF BOND INSURANCE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT TO DO, TO TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED, REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS AND THINGS; RATIFYING PRIOR ADVERTISEMENT AND DIRECTING FURTHER ADVERTISEMENT: AUTHORIZING PAYMENT OF

23 24 25

21

22

EXPENSES; AUTHORIZING APPROVAL OF A

PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND FINAL

OFFICIAL STATEMENT; PROVIDING COVENANTS

RELATED TO CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AND

COMPLIANCE WITH PROVISIONS OF THE INTERNAL

REVENUE CODE; AND REPEALING ALL ORDINANCES

OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME

SHALL BE INCONSISTENT HEREWITH.

MR. EVANS: I make a motion to amend

Item 7-B per the following changes:

In Section 27, disclosure covenants, in the first paragraph, in the tenth line, delete "180 days" and insert: "360 days following the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, and for each subsequent fiscal year after within 270 days."

At the end of the Section 27 add a paragraph as follows:

"For the purposes of disseminating the financial and operating data, and notices of any material events, pursuant to the undertaking detailed in this Section 27, the Local Government Unit appoints, Digital Assurance Certification, LLC, as dissemination agent."

	105
1	MR. ROGAN: Second.
2	MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on
3	the floor and a second, on the question?
4	All those in favor of the motion to amend
5	signify by saying aye.
6	MR. PERRY: Aye.
7	MR. EVANS: Aye.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
11	ayes have it and so moved.
12	As Chairperson for the Committee on
13	Finance, I recommend final passage of Item
14	7-B, as amended.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
17	Roll call, please?
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
19	MR. PERRY: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
23	MR. EVANS: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 3 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 4 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 5 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -6 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 39, 2016 - EXTENDING 7 8 THE TERM OF EXISTENCE OF THE PARKING 9 AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (THE "AUTHORITY") TO FIFTY (50) 10 YEARS FROM THE DATE OF ENACTMENT OF THIS 11 12 ORDINANCE: DIRECTING THE PUBLICATION OF NOTICE OF ENACTMENT HEREOF AND OF INTENT TO 13 14 FILE A CERTIFIED COPY HEREOF, TOGETHER WITH PROOFS OF PUBLICATION OF SUCH NOTICE. WITH 15 16 THE SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH, AND 17 DIRECTING SUCH FILING, ALL PURSUANT TO THE 18 PARKING AUTHORITY LAW; AND REPEALING 19 INCONSISTENT ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS, OR PARTS THEREOF. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 22 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 23 passage of Item 7-C. 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

1 Roll call, please? 2 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. 3 MR. PERRY: Yes. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 6 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 7 MR. EVANS: Yes. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 12 declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully 13 adopted. 14 MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -15 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 40, 2016 - REPEALING 16 17 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 30, 2012 (AS 18 AMENDED) ENTITLED "IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON OPERATORS OF 19 20 PARKING SPACES AT THE RATE OF FIFTEEN 21 PERCENT (15%) UPON EACH PARKING TRANSACTION AND ESTABLISHING ANNUAL LICENSE PROCEDURES 22 AND FEES AND PROMULGATING THE RECORD KEEPING 23 24 AND REPORTING RULES AND REGULATIONS ON 25 PARKING TRANSACTIONS PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL

1	TAX ENABLING ACT 53 PA. C.S.A. 6901 ET SEQ
2	AND FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 30, 2014 WHICH
3	AMENDED FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 30, 2012.
4	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
5	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-D.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
9	Roll call, please?
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
11	MR. PERRY: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
20	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
21	adopted.
22	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
23	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
24	ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 41, 2016
25	- AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 91, 2002

1	"AN ORDINANCE AS AMENDED PROVIDING FOR THE
2	ESTABLISHMENT OF PARKING METER ZONES WITHIN
3	THE CITY OF SCRANTON; ESTABLISHING HOURS OF
4	OPERATION; PROVIDING FOR THE INSTALLATION OF
5	METERS AND PARKING METER RATES; AUTHORIZING
6	THE ENFORCEMENT OF PARKING ORDINANCES AND
7	PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS THEREOF"
8	BY AMENDING SECTION 3(A) TO REFLECT THE
9	CHANGE IN HOURLY RATES.
10	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Public Safety?
13	MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
15	final passage of Item 7-E.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
	II

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
4	declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully
5	adopted.
6	MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
8	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 42, 2016 - AMENDING
9	AND SUPPLEMENTING THE CODE OF THE CITY OF
10	SCRANTON (THE "CODE"), CHAPTER 439 VEHICLES
11	AND TRAFFIC BY ADDING AND DELETING LANGUAGE
12	IN SECTIONS 439-12, 439-25, 439-26, 439-27,
13	439-29, 439-29.1, 439-41, 439-43, 439-45,
14	439-48, 439-51, 439-52, 439-53, 439-54,
15	439-60 AND 439-61.
16	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to amend
17	Item 7-F per the following changes: Delete
18	section 1 and insert the following:
19	"Section 1. The following sections and the
20	Co-chapters 439-Vehicles and traffic are
21	hereby amended as follows:
22	"And, further, to remove all
23	bracketed references contained throughout
24	the ordinance."
25	MR. ROGAN: Second.

1	MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on
2	the floor and a second, on the question?
3	All those in favor of the motion to amend
4	Item 7-F signify by saying aye.
5	MR. PERRY: Aye.
6	MR. EVANS: Aye.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
10	ayes have it and so moved.
11	As Chairperson for the Committee on
12	Rules, I recommend final passage of Item
13	7-F, as amended.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
16	Roll call, please?
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
18	MR. PERRY: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
22	MR. EVANS: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
	II

1	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
2	declare Item 7-F, as amended, legally and
3	lawfully adopted.
4	MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
5	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
6	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 43, 2016 - AMENDING
7	AND SUPPLEMENTING THE CODE OF THE CITY OF
8	SCRANTON, CHAPTER 439 VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC,
9	BY ADDING AND DELETING LANGUAGE IN SECTION
10	439-28 ENFORCE ON-STREET PARKING
11	REGULATIONS.
12	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
13	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
14	passage of Item 7-G.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
17	Roll call, please?
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
19	MR. PERRY: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
23	MR. EVANS: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

	113
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
3	declare Item 7-G legally and lawfully
4	adopted.
5	MS. REED: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
6	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
7	RESOLUTION NO. 48, 2016 - APPOINTMENT OF
8	PAUL BERNARDI, 306 EDWARD DRIVE, CLARKS
9	SUMMIT, PENNSYLVANIA, 18411, AS A MEMBER OF
10	THE FIRE PENSION COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE JUNE
11	16, 2016. MR. BERNARDI WILL BE REPRESENTING
12	THE RETIRED FIREFIGHTERS ON THE COMMISSION
13	FOR A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE
14	ON JUNE 16, 2021.
15	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
16	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-H.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
20	Roll call, please?
21	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
22	MR. PERRY: Yes.
23	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.

N BY
N RY
ER
E
THE
Н
r
1
,

	115
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
4	MR. EVANS: Yes.
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
9	declare Item 7-I legally and lawfully
10	adopted.
11	MS. REED: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
12	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
13	RESOLUTION NO. 50, 2016 - ADOPTING AND
14	IMPLEMENTING THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
15	RELATING TO COMPLIANCE WITH CONTINUING
16	DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CITY OF
17	SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.
18	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
19	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-J.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
23	Roll call, please?
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry.
25	MR. PERRY: Yes.

F		
		116
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.	
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.	
4	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.	
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
8	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
9	declare Item 7-J legally and lawfully	
10	adopted.	
11	If there is no further business,	
12	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
13	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
14	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER