-		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, June 29, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	ONTHERE OF MANDOLLI, AND OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR MONTH ENDING MAY 31,
17	2017.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
21	RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD JUNE 6,
22	2017.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
24	comments? If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD JUNE 28, 2017. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 3 comments? If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-D. CHECK RECEIVED IN 4 THE AMOUNT OF \$61,797.13 FROM THE SCRANTON 5 HOUSING AUTHORITY, WHICH IS PAYMENT IN LIEU 6 OF TAXES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 9 comments? If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE 10 SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE 11 12 AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING HELD MAY 18, 13 2017. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 15 16 MS. REED: 3-F. SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA 17 HEALTH AND WELFARE AUTHORITY'S COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED 18 DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' 19 20 REPORT. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 22 23 MS. REED: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE 24 SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION 25 MEETING HELD MAY 17, 2017.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
2	
	comments? If not, received and filed.
3	MS. REED: 3-H. MINUTES OF THE
4	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
5	HELD MAY 17, 2017.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
7	comments? If not, received and filed.
8	MS. REED: 3-I. MINUTES OF THE
9	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
10	HELD MAY 17, 2017.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
12	comments? If not, received and filed.
13	MS. REED: 3-J. MINUTES OF THE
14	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD MAY 17,
15	2017.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
17	comments? If not, received and filed.
18	MS. REED: 3-K. AGENDA FOR THE
19	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
20	HELD JUNE 21, 2017.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
22	comments? If not, received and filed.
23	MS. REED: 3-L. MINUTES OF THE
24	SOLID WASTE ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR
25	NOVEMBER 29, 2016, JANUARY 31, 2017,

FEBRUARY 28, 2017, MARCH 28, 2017 AND APRIL 25, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: I have one. The Fellows
Park Sunday concert series will continue on
July 2. The Frost will be playing. This
will begin at 5 p.m. until 7 p.m. On July 4
the Fortunes will be playing. Again, these
concerts are from 5 to 7 p.m. at Fellows
Park in West Side. It's a free community
summer series. Bring chairs or a blanket
and they will be held rain or shine. Thank
you.

MR. WECHSLER: This evening an executive session was held regarding pending litigation.

Next Tuesday, July 4, City Hall will be closed in observance of the holiday. DPW crews will not be working that day. Refuse and blue recycling will be one day behind next week.

The television recycling program has

ended as of June 26. DPW crews are still working on the remaining TVs that were on the list that were called into the dispatch office. To date, over 700 televisions have been collected and I would like to thank Director Gallagher and the DPW crews for all of their efforts to making this a successful program.

Next Thursday, July 6, council will hold a work session with the Business

Administrator to discuss the issuance and refunding of the city's general obligation bonds which is Item 5-B on tonight's agenda. A work session will be held in the Governor's Room commencing at 4:30 p.m.

Also, 5-B and 5-C, a public hearing will be scheduled for next Thursday, July 6, to hear testimony from each liquor transfers. They will be held at 5:30 and 5:45 p.m. prior to the council meeting.

Downtown Scranton business

celebrates independence week July 1 through

July 7. Locally owned businesses in

downtown Scranton will take over Scranton

Tomorrow's Instagram account in celebration

22

23

24

25

of independence week. Scranton Tomorrow will give downtown businesses it's Instagram login to post pictures and promote businesses. The takeover takes off on July 1 with posts from businesses located on North Washington Avenue, Wyoming Avenue and Penn Avenue. Wednesday, July 5, will feature businesses from Adams Avenue and Linden Street. Thursday, July 6, will feature businesses on Lackawanna Avenue, Spruce Street and Center Street. Independence week is a national annual campaign celebrating July 4 to engage local businesses and citizens in celebrating entrepreneurial spirit and the freedom the local businesses embody. Independence week is also an occasion to recognize small businesses contributions to the community and to affirm citizen's roles in shaping their community's future.

For more information contact Leslie Collins at Leslie@Scrantontomorrow.org or you can call at 570-963-1579. We all encourage and celebrate our independent business owners of the city. Please take

the opportunity to support them during this week.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

> MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. Are you

gentlemen familiar with the Section 66-194, the City Administration Code? I just handed that to you. Are you familiar with it? you know it's about -- I'll read it. "Professional Services Contracts. The City of Scranton will publically advertise for professional services when the payment for such is expected to exceed the threshold of \$19,400 in one calendar year. Professional services shall include any profession or occupation which requires the issuance of a license to practice that profession or occupation within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. All contracts must be reviewed and approved by the city solicitor and sign by the mayor and the control or their designated substitutes and attested to by the city clerk."

Now, here's my question, are these

25

professional services, do you realize that anything over \$19,400 must come in front of council for approval? Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: Well, then why did the mayor and Attorney Shrive hire Attorney Abrahamsen without bringing this matter before the Court? He received \$20,000 a month for ten months. Why didn't this not come in front of city council?

MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know. That's a good question.

MS. FRANUS: Has anybody ever asked the mayor this?

MR. GAUGHAN: I have asked for several documents and after reading the newspaper apparently there is no documentation so I'm not sure. I mean, I still have additional questions about that, but I don't know to be honest with you.

MS. FRANUS: Well, in my opinion, these are only my opinions, but I think they will bear truth in time. I think this is the mayor and Attorney Shrive just wanted to

24

25

try and hide the facts here and scrutinize and hide all of this stuff. I mean, sneak it through so to me it's very illegal. would you consider contacting the Attorney General on this, make him aware of this illegal activity by Ned Abrahamsen and their I mean, I know you have, but I law firm? think this is an illegal matter. He did not come -- he got paid. He was paid this money illegally and nothing was done. What do you intend to do about it? Any of you intend to do anything about this? If not, then I would say you are complicit. I mean, how can you sit there knowing something is done illegally, didn't come in front of council for approval against the city code and you are not going to do anything about it?

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, to be honest with you I don't know if it was, in fact, illegal. I mean, I planned on asking our solicitor, Mr. Minora, how they were able to do that and I don't know. That's why I will be asking that question, but I don't know that it was illegal.

MS. FRANUS: Well, it wasn't right.

If nothing else, he should be brought in here, the mayor should be brought in here, Jason Shrive to be brought in here to answer these questions in front of the people. I mean, that's our money and he did everything behind closed doors. He didn't even -- he wasn't even aware that the city paid him. He thought the Sewer Authority paid him. I mean, he is out of touch with reality this mayor.

And the sewer money, the deals that I read about in the paper today that paying down the debt, from my understanding I believe that the mayor has already paid some of these debts down from the sewer sale.

Well, I thought he wasn't able to do that.

Isn't there a statute or something that says that that can't be done until the Sewer Authority is completely absolved? And the Sewer Authority is not absolved and yet some of these debts were paid down? How is that possible? Another in my opinion illegal happening between the mayor and the sewer deal with the lawyers and everything else that he deals with in the city. So I'd like

1 to see you make a motion though about the Attorney General. Could you ask, Bill? 2 3 Could you please ask --MR. GAUGHAN: I plan on asking in 4 motions when it gets to my turn. 5 MS. FRANUS: Can I ask Attorney 6 Minora? 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: I guess you would have 9 to ask Councilman Wechsler. 10 MS. FRANUS: Could you tell me how 11 you understand it when the mayor and 12 Attorney Shrive did not come in front of 13 city council for something that the city 14 code said they must for something over \$19,400 and they did not? 15 16 MR. MINORA: Accepting everything 17 you say as correct, and I don't know that is 18 it --19 MS. FRANUS: Well, I read in the 20 code in the city. 21 MR. MINORA: I'm talking about the contract itself, accepting what you said is 22 23 correct, which I don't know to be true or 24 not true, then a contract like that probably 25 should have come to council. It's an

open-ended contract. It doesn't say it's a \$150,000. It says \$20,000 a month. It might be a contract for one month. It might be a contract for two. In this case it's a contract for 12, but as contracted it was for one month only. It was a month-to-month so that's a \$20,000 contract so I'm not sure what you are saying is correct that should have come before council because it ended up to be more than the \$94,000 (sic).

MS. FRANUS: It's not 19,000 for a year --

MR. MINORA: Let me finish, it's really a question in the contracting at the beginning of the contract what is the value of the contract? It's \$20,000. It may turn out to be more, but it's a \$20,000 contract, and I think that's what the solicitor and the mayor are going to say, and I'm not sure that -- I haven't looked to see whether that's correct or not correct, but I think it is.

MS. FRANUS: Even if were correct it's still over \$19,400 and that's for one whole year and he got \$200,000 for ten

1 months. 2 MR. WECHSLER: I think the best 3 thing for us would be to --4 MS. FRANUS: Pardon me? 5 MR. WECHSLER: The best thing for us would be to have Solicitor Minora contact 6 7 Solicitor Boyles to find out because 8 Abrahamsen does have a professional services 9 contract with the city for the labor. He does have a professional services contract 10 11 with the city for labor that has been 12 approved by council. MS. FRANUS: But this was for the 13 14 sewer deal. I'm only referring about the sewer deal. 15 MR. WECHSLER: Correct. But he does 16 17 have a professional services contract for 18 other issues. 19 MS. FRANUS: But am I wrong in 20 saying --21 MR. WECHSLER: No. 22 MS. FRANUS: -- separate contract for 23 the sewer deal --24 MR. WECHSLER: Correct. 25 MS. FRANUS: -- for \$200,000?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, it may also have 1 2 something to do with the fact he was paid by 3 the Sewer Authority not by the city. MS. FRANUS: He was paid by the 4 5 city? MR. WECHSLER: Well, that's still 6 7 under question. 8 MR. EVANS: That's the question. 9 MS. FRANUS: I'm sorry, he was hired 10 by the city, I don't know who paid him. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Right. He was hired 12 by the city, but he may have been paid by 13 the Sewer Authority so I don't know if that 14 has something to do with it. MR. FRANUS: But he was the city 15 16 lawyer hired by the city then I think he 17 would have to the go by the City 18 Administrative Code. 19 MR. WECHSLER: I don't disagree with 20 you, but we will have Solicitor Minora --21 MS. FRANUS: Maybe you can get that 22 answer, too. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 24 MS. FRANUS: Thank you much. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Les

Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler. City resident and
homeowner and taxpayer. Councilmen Perry, I
was here two weeks ago and thanked you for
getting that hole filled up on Dorothy
Street and you said, oh, you didn't do
anything, you just got in touch with the
people, at least you took the initiative to
get in touch with the people. I have been
coming to these meetings for many, many
years and asked for things to be done, no
council people took the initiative like you
do so I think you do deserve credit.

MR. PERRY: Well, thank you, but I'll tell you I work with these guys all the time, not just on Thursday evenings but through e-mails, through talking, and there is a lot of activity going on by everybody here, especially the people in our office every week. So it's a definitely not a one-man band by any means, but I'll take the compliments when I get them because they are not often, so thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: I have another

problem down -- it's not directly the same area, down by the ball field, at the end of Elizabeth Street it's a dead end that runs into the field, there is a drainage ditch, at the end of that drainage ditch there is another storm drain, there is no lid on the storm drain. There is like a metal rim that goes around it, but there is like a 15-inch -- approximately a 15-inch opening. Some young child could fall into that.

MR. PERRY: I mean, I'm familiar with Elizabeth Street but not that end of it. Do you know was it ever there? Has it been missing?

MR. SPINDLER: Oh, yeah, it's been there a long time I just noticed recently that there is no --

MR. PERRY: Missing.

MR. SPINDLER: -- there is no cover.

I think that's a real safety hazard. I

don't know who would have to be contacted

with that?

MR. PERRY: We'll put that in a motion for you.

MR. SPINDLER: A young child could

1

3

5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easily fall down there.

Okay, next, I watched the news last Thursday night after the town hall meeting, saw some highlights and, Councilman Gaughan, you were totally out of control. I mean, you set a bad example for council people in this city. You were told to leave, you didn't leave until some larger gentleman started heading towards you and you blame the mayor for everything. Whatever the mayor said that night you wouldn't have been happy with. You said he played politics appointing people to the Sewer Authority, every mayor appoints people to the Sewer Authority. Where were you when Chris Doherty was appointing his friends to the Sewer Authority? Where were you when Chris Doherty was putting all his friends and relatives into the Sewer Authority? He put more people in the Sewer Authority for jobs that they didn't exist than any other mayor ever did. Where were you? No where to be found, but every little thing this mayor does you find fault with it. You are a hypocrite.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay, in the paper today it said some of the money is being used to pay down some of the debt. I think we are in much better shape right now than we were four years ago. Our bond rating is better than the Doherty administration's bond rating ever was and we are paying down some of the debt. I don't know if the sale was good or not, but I was one that was totally against receivership, if the Sewer Authority wasn't sold we would be under receivership, I don't want my taxes raised 125 percent. receiver can come in and raise taxes as much as they want, council and the mayor couldn't That's not what I would want do anything. and I don't think a lot of people in this city would want that either.

Next thing, this has nothing to do with city business, but kudos to Governor Wolf for signing into law tougher laws against animal cruelty. I have been complaining about that for years that animal cruelty laws are not nearly as strict as they could be, people get a slap on the wrist, now they are going to do jail time.

I think that's a great law and kudos to the mayor -- to the governor, I'm sorry, not the mayor.

Lastly, I brought this subject up a few months ago about Princeton University using their nonprofit status and I asked council to look into it and I've still yet to hear anything, that's one thing I mean about things that I bring up that might be good for the city and council doesn't look into it. I think that's a real serious thing. If we could get the University to contribute more than they are I think that would be great. Why isn't council looking into this? President Wechsler, can you answer that?

MR. WECHSLER: We haven't reviewed it. It's a --

MR. SPINDLER: What are you waiting for?

MR. WECHSLER: It's an issue of the county, the school board and the city. It's an issue that's combined with everyone.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, Princeton
University lost a lawsuit, I don't know why

1	this council or the law department can't
2	look into it. Can't you get in the touch
3	with the law department and have them look
4	into it?
5	MR. WECHSLER: We have a pretty full
6	plate with other matters.
7	MR. SPINDLER: You don't think
8	that's important?
9	MR. WECHSLER: I do think it's
10	important
11	MR. SPINDLER: to get the
12	nonprofits to contribute more?
13	MR. WECHSLER: but have you seen
14	our agenda of what we have to accomplish?
15	MR. SPINDLER: I think this is
16	probably more important than anything on the
17	agenda tonight.
18	MR. WECHSLER: I disagree.
19	MR. SPINDLER: If the University
20	could contribute more than the what 100 and
21	some thousand they contribute every year,
22	which is nothing, that's important. It
23	seems like you don't want to take the burden
24	off of the taxpayers. Maybe that's why you
25	weren't reelected. Thank you for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus. 1 MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. 2 3 Bob Bolus. Just briefly, I'd like to say a remembrance to L.A. Tyrone from WILK that 4 5 passed away and I think we owed him a lot 6 because he always treated each and every one 7 of us fairly whenever we went on his talk 8 show, he will be sorely missed. 9 Getting down to business tonight, 10 has the Sewer Authority been dissolved and all bonds paid? 11 12 MR. WECHSLER: Nο MR. BOLUS: Pardon? 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: No. MR. BOLUS: Okay, so the Sewer 15 16 Authority is still in existence? 17 MR. WECHSLER: The Sewer Authority 18 is in existence to close outstanding business. 19 20 MR. BOLUS: Okay, back in, let's see 21 here, December 1 meeting of 2016, an 22 Ordinance No. 68, city council authorizing 23 the City of Scranton to approve the request 24 of Scranton Sewer Authority to terminate 25 it's existence upon the payment and the

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discharge of all outstanding bonds by

Scranton Sewer Authority. Have all of bonds
been paid?

MR. WECHSLER: That I don't know.

Okay. Well, let me tell MR. BOLUS: you what the problem is here if that's not been the case and they have not fully complied with the act or out of existence filing they can't have given the City of Scranton or Dunmore a dime, not even a penny, because if they did it would be illegal under No. 5712, money of the Authority that can only be used by the Authority under that ordinance and I'll read it to you: "Prohibition. Prohibition. Money of the Authority may not be used for any grant, loan or expenditures for any purpose other than the service or project directly related to the mission or purpose of the Authority as set forth in the articles of incorporation or in the resolution ordinance established in the order -- "excuse me, "The Authority under Section 5603 relating to method of incorporation."

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In other words, what this tells us the money can only be used for the Sewer Authority and Sewer Authority projects only. What's happened here is the city and everybody under ordinance 68 filed certificate for nonexistence they would pay all of their bonds and clear up their mess. They can't give a dime if there is not an out of existence filed and bonds not paid off. This is something council should have known if they paid attention when approving the December 1 of '16 meeting under what I already read, the Rules of Council. you have done and what everybody has done, Mr. Gaughan, I'm going to lay this on you because when we had the meeting with the Sewer Authority where you blasted at the mayor and told to sit down and then come back blasting again, very unprofessional and you called it a circus. My friend, you were the circus ring leader there, okay? weren't there representing us as a Councilman as you kept barking out, you were there representing yourself as a private citizen because in order to represent

yourself as a councilman you needed two our people there to make a forum to come there and say anything.

Mr. Evans made statements, there were more questions than answers, I didn't see anybody else get up there and speak. We, the public, did and if you had taken time, you bitch about transparency, and read the asset purchase agreement as I have maybe you wouldn't be yelling so much you want transparency because you should have all read this agreement prior to signing a sale agreement of the Sewer Authority assets to the gas and water. You put the cart before the horse, now you're yelling you want transparency. You can't ask for something you didn't comply with.

Now, the big issue is, and your solicitor is sitting right here, have you taken the money illegally from the sale because under the ordinance the Sewer Authority had to be dissolved before they could give you one dime. And if you didn't do that, gentlemen, I strongly suggest each and every one of you, including the mayor

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and all of the high-priced lawyers that represented us for millions of dollars better seek counsel because I believe you have done something illegal by accepting that money, and had no right to get it.

And I'm going to give you one more other thing here, and I want to read this to you for a second. The Auditor General of the Commonwealth shall have the right to examine the books, accounts and records of any Authority. He doesn't need your He doesn't need to be asked permission. This is black and white his job. Right now there is the potential of a criminal crime that happened, but it must be done by the Auditor General first and then he can pass it on if he finds something was This is a very serious issue in this city because you got money you are not entitled to and if that's the case the Auditor General, black and white right here, that's his responsibility, and I'm forwarding the letter to him to come in area on behalf the citizens of the City of Scranton to investigate as the auditor

General the taking of money that we believe 1 2 under your own statements right now stating 3 that the Sewer Authority has not been disbanded you have taken illegal money, 4 5 gentlemen. MR. WECHSLER: 6 Thank you. 7 MR. BOLUS: So I caution you, 8 Mr. Gaughan, when you want to come out, all 9 due respect --10 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 11 MR. BOLUS: -- get your ducks in a 12 row before go representing us and barking out. And, Mr. Wechsler, you would have 13 14 learned this in the past if you weren't so arrogant in shutting people up. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 17 MR. BOLUS: Because the most 18 important thing you will learn in life, sir --19 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Bolus --20 21 MR. BOLUS: -- respect is earned and 22 not commanded. You work for us. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. 24 MR. BOLUS: We sure as hell don't 25 work for you.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
2	MR. BOLUS: And that's why you got
3	kicked out of this seat because you didn't
4	respect the people.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
6	MR. BOLUS: Don't ever thank me,
7	because I never want thank you from somebody
8	
9	MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Bolus, thank you.
10	MR. BOLUS: who was totally
11	incompetent to sit in that seat.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
13	MR. BOLUS: Because I just read what
14	you did wrong as the president, you put this
15	whole city in jeopardy, big mouth. Pay
16	attention when you are speaking.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus,
18	but you should take some advice that you
19	just gave Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. BOLUS: Pay attention when
21	people speak to you
22	MR. WECHSLER: Bill Jackowitz.
23	MR. BOLUS: and maybe you'll learn
24	something, so far you haven't.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,

Scranton City Council. Good evening, Kathy. Good evening, Council Stenographer, Lori and Amil and Mark back there on the camera, good evening to all you of. Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton resident. So far \$43 million from the Sewer Authority sale from the way I understand it is being used to pay down debt; is that correct?

MR. WECHSLER: I don't know the exact number that you are using, but, yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, 43 million.

Now, after that debt is paid down we are still going to owe 103 million. We are still \$103 million in debt after we pay this \$43 million. Where are we going to get the rest of the money from considering we sold our assets or released all of our assets?

Where are we going to get \$103 million from, does anybody have any thoughts on that idea or has anybody looked into the matter?

MR. WECHSLER: The idea is that the interest rates are low so we will be making payments against that debt.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that,

1 but how long is it going to take to pay down \$103 million? 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: I would imagine --4 MR. JACKOWITZ: When you don't have 5 any revenue coming in from -- -MR. WECHSLER: But that's quite 6 7 long-term debt. 8 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, I mean, you 9 know, we have been suffering. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Every city has debt there are no cities that --11 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, yeah, but they 13 don't have \$103 million. 14 MR. WECHSLER: I don't know about that. I disagree with you on that. 15 16 MR. JACKOWITZ: I don't know too 17 many cities that -- and I have lived in a 18 lot of cities --19 MR. WECHSLER: Cities have more debt 20 than you think. 21 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, the town hall 22 meeting basically went the way I said it was 23 going to go, the mayor was sitting up on the 24 stage by himself with his bottle of water 25 and he was asking the -- and answering the

questions, the problem was he didn't have very many answers, and the second thing is he made a big mistake when he went to the audience and started asking the people in the audience the answers to the questions.

Big mistake. He should never have done that. We didn't elect those attorneys who were sitting in the audience, we elected Mayor Bill Courtright and he was the one who was sitting up there and drinking his water and he is the one who should have been answering all of the questions.

Okay, Abrahamsen's deal, what do you get for a \$50,000 campaign contribution?

You get there is no letter of the engagement detailing the scope of the work to be performed by the firm, no any formal contract available for review. There is no specific documentation of what services

Abrahamsen provided while being paid a flat fee of \$20,000 a month. The rate which adds up to \$200,000 over 10 months was calculated by Courtright and Shrive who believed it to be a reasonable amount for the work in the entirety Shrive said. That's what you get

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for making a \$50,000 campaign contribution to the mayor's campaign.

Again, going back to the town mall meeting, I'm sorry to say there really wasn't very much to be proud of. I mean, the mayor sat up there and he just didn't have the answers. I mean, it was his idea to have the town mall meeting, he was the one who suggested having it, you would think he would have at least came in prepared because he had to have known a lot of the tough questions and he was asked a lot of tough questions by a lot of people, I think there were 19 speakers and he was asked a lot of tough questions, but he didn't have the answers and he is the mayor. He is the grand chief of the City of Scranton, as the grand Chief is supposed to be on top of everything. I mean, he didn't even know who hired Abrahamsen. I mean, he didn't know anything at all about the closing deals on the Sewer Authority. He just didn't know anything. I mean, I hate to say that, but it was kind of an embarrassment as a citizen to sit there and watch my mayor struggle

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that way because he just didn't have it. He was not on his game that day. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello. Council. Hard act to follow after tonight's here. me of the old days a little bit. I really feel embarrassed of this council. You are not the council that was elected for the people of this city. You are letting us down by leaps and bounds. I just find it unconscionable that all five of you went ahead and gave this \$3 million grant to this contemptible school that has taken millions of dollars off our tax roll forever to repair a building that they took off the tax rolls three years ago. What is your thinking? How can you do this to the people of this city?

Like, last week I said everywhere you look there is money needed. There is the kids swimming, the swimming pools themselves, the streets, curbs, every place in this city there is a -- you could have put \$3 million dollars to use, you give it to this lousy contemptible school, like I

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, that has just raped the city year after year after year of taxable property.

Then you have their employee, and that's all Mr. Amoroso is, he is an employee of that school, look what he has done to the city, even got his own law firm involved.

I guess all of these people had to get their -- enrich their retirement funding and he had to get in on the bandwagon. has happened here the people of this city were swindled. That's the word, swindled. Nobody is going to argue with me about the use of that word? That's what happened to us in this deal, but it's done and over with, we are not going to get any money back, nothing is going to be done about it, it's just ashame what you have done to the people of this city. Amoroso should have been run out of here the day he came in because he had no business being appointed and taking over the city for the University -- for Lackawanna College with their plan to sell everything and raise taxes and all that's happened.

And Bulzoni, you know my feeling on

him, he is a complete waste. You can't find anything for the people of this city that he has done one thing, not one thing for the people of this city. All he does is run around here and make commitments that he is not even supposed to.

I keep hearing the word on Fox News this week about collusion. What do you call Mr. Amoroso and the relationship with Mr. Bulzoni to get the \$3 million grant? If that's not collusion I don't know what it is. You have had these people lead you guys around the nose. They have destroyed this city. I just -- it's just unbelievable what's going on and nobody seems to care.

I watched last week the meeting on television, I couldn't get down there, I found you just hypocritical. You let all of this happen to the people of this city then have you a big show at the last minute against the mayor. The mayor just seems to be a, I don't know -- I like Bill, I have known him a long, long time, but he is not mayor material. He might be some day, but I don't find him mayor material and when you

1

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see in the paper who can contributes and who supports him you can see those people don't want change. We have to have change around here. I won't see it. Some of these people out here that come to the meetings won't see it, but you have forgotten about the young people of this city. Like my two boys they don't want to live here.

Let me say something real quick on another vein, two weeks ago Roseann found three dead squirrels and a dead skunk in my backyard that somebody had evidently put out some poison, one of my neighbors. somebody could be so low life to poison -to do this is it's just inconceivable. lady behind me puts out little bunch of peanuts. For 12, 15 years I put out a little box of -- summer, winter, in the rain and snow I put out a pound of peanuts every day and there is only like four or five squirrels come, we are not overridden, and somebody poisoned them. You know, people like this just belong -- they should rot in hell. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, 1 2 Scranton resident and taxpayer. Tomorrow is 3 June 30, do you know where your 2016 4 independent audit is? 5 MR. EVANS: Well, we were told on Monday that it's supposed to be here so 6 7 we'll find out tomorrow. 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I will come slumming tomorrow and I'll be looking in 9 10 nooks and crannies. Hopefully it will be 11 here. Has there been any more information 12 from the Attorney General about your request 13 for him to come down and look at the sewer 14 sale? MR. WECHSLER: I will make some of 15 16 mention it in comments. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: How about the 18 infamous, notorious Knowel's insurance list that needs to be amended for the last three 19 20 months? Remember? 21 MR. WECHSLER: No update on that 22 either. 23 MS. HODOWANITZ: Say again? 24 MR. WECHSLER: No update on that. 25 MS. HODOWANITZ: Oh, good, God.

Let's hope they are not paying to insure the Scranton Public Library for the seventh year.

I wasn't able to attend the Pension Board meetings on May 17, I had a scheduled conflict, but, fortunately, all of their minutes were provided in this week's backup and it was very interesting reading because it all focused on the RFP for the third party administrator and the fact that the original RFP did not detail the duties of the third party administrator. Do we have any status on when that is going to be rebid so we can take a look at what they are asking for?

MR. WECHSLER: They are still evaluating the best way to get it back out there.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Still contemplating their navels, are we?

MR. WECHSLER: No, it's so important they are trying to get it right.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey. Well, there are other cities and communities that have that function, I mean, it's like one

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ringy dingy, two ringy dingies.

Okay, interesting article in the paper yesterday, ruling could impact tax case against city. An unrelated case Court says Home Rule Charters don't supercede state limits. So what I want to know is the event a lawsuit goes against the city with regard to this cap on taxes, does the city have a Plan B?

MR. WECHSLER: I would guess not at this time.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Has anyone tried to quantify how bad it would be if the lawsuit goes against the city? I mean, how much money are we looking at taking a hit on?

MR. ROGAN: I have looked into the numbers a little bit and it would be a disaster and, unfortunately, real estate owners would be the ones that would be hurt the most.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I think it will be wise for the administration to be looking at a Plan B. It may not come to pass, but one would want to be ready.

Scranton School District, on June 26

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Scranton School District gets adult supervision. They are now on the Department of Education's financial watch list. bothers me is the fact that we tend to say -- PEL is saying that, you know, our financial health is improving yet you cannot separate Scranton School District out from the city's overall financial health. Another thing we need to have discussion on is what's going to happen with things like our credit rating and everything else. I don't think that the outside world says, okay, there is Scranton, the school district is not something we have to worry about, there needs to be some discussion on that because that's going to impact the entire city and taxes, and taxes. You know, just because we have three taxing authorities doesn't mean that, you know, we have three checking accounts. It all comes out of one

And I was very impressed to see all of the minutes of the solid waste advisory committee. Does anybody know when the next meeting is, I think the last one was May 30?

pot for me.

They do some good work.

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm not sure.

find that out in the future council meetings if that could be publicized somehow. I understand they meet at the Electric Life building, Scranton Life building or whatever that is across from the courthouse, but some very interesting things came out and I see some of the panel members and board members Gene Barrett, Bernie McGurl, some really smart people and Joyce Hatala, so I would be interested in observing their meetings and seeing what they have to say. A lot of it hinges on storm water management, by the way, they may be ahead of the power curve.

And reassessment, I was at a county meeting yesterday, and that was an exciting experience, but what bothered me was this morning's editorial, "New low in political cowardess."

Well, I agree with Times-Tribune in favoring the assessment, I can't understand why our discussion of this issue has to get down to loaded emotional language. We are

going to need in the near future a lot of discussions and debates on this issue so we are going to need facts, not epithets, not accusations, you know, not calling this one a political coward and making those kinds of accusations and I hope you as city council will support and propose some of these information discussions because you have called for reassessment. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.
Who has the Parks and Recreation Department?

MR. GAUGHAN: That would fall under the Public Works so that would be me.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have a complaint, I attended an event at Nay Aug Park on Saturday night, nobody here last week mentioned it. I don't think most people knew. It was totally embarrassing. We had Jim Sturr, who travels the United States, well-known, and the turnout was pathetic and the people that I know that were there heard about it word of mouth because they did some research on it. And, plus, at the end, of

25

course, it did start to rain and that chased a lot of people away or maybe just at least to their cars, but I believe that is the one where Geisinger has their -- pays for the fireworks. It was a beautiful fireworks display. Very few people got to see it. Why don't we hear about that? I look at the front page, the home page of the city and, my goodness, all of the west side events are in there. I mean, is that, you know, because the mayor lives there and the rest of us don't count? I mean, seriously. are those events that we are -- the taxpayers are paying for not on the website? Don't know, I'll find MR. GAUGHAN:

MR. GAUGHAN: Don't know, I'll find out. I know in the past they used to promote it pretty heavily.

MR. EVANS: Was that one of the Wednesday series or that was separate?

MS. SCHUMACHER: That was separate.

And there is nothing about Wednesdays
either. I don't know --

 $\label{eq:MR.EVANS:} \textbf{I} \ \mbox{attended several of}$  those concerts.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It's pathetic is

1	what it is. Nothing short of it. Why do we
2	waste the money?
3	MR. EVANS: I agree.
4	MS. SCHUMACHER: Somebody tell me
5	5-G, I didn't bring up my agenda.
6	MR. EVANS: 5-G, SAPA.
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: Does the next item
8	"H" will that fully cover the comprehensive
9	plan that you are asking for there or
10	MR. EVANS: No.
11	MS. SCHUMACHER: are we going to
12	have kick anything in?
13	MR. EVANS: Yeah, we are going to
14	kick in about one-third.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: I think it's
16	\$19,000
17	MS. SCHUMACHER: That's the next
18	one.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, that's our
20	match.
21	MR. EVANS: That's authorizing, you
22	know, the continued cooperation agreement.
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Where does that
24	appear in our budget for 2017?
25	MR. EVANS: That's probably going to

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

come out of professional services probably already in there. So, yeah, it will be one-third will be anticipated.

MS. SCHUMACHER: When we get the budget this year could we get a list of the backup instead of just a big chunk of money for professional services, that would be helpful?

> MR. EVANS: We can ask.

Now, again, Joan MS. SCHUMACHER: brought up the article that was in the paper yesterday, and yesterday -- also, yesterday, I should say at the County Commissioners' meeting when the Chief of Staff gave his presentation he talked about a decision that had been challenged by one of the minority commissioners in the past and, again, this is the critical one, the Court upheld the County's authority to change it's predetermined ratio and, in addition, stated that the limitation upon millage in the Home Rule Charter is preempted by state statute and is, therefore, invalid. Now, that's two.

I don't know what our attorneys do

they don't check case law, but which brings me -- speaking of our lawyers, our lawyer on this case is apparently Kevin Conaboy, special counsel representing the city in this tax lawsuit, how much is he getting paid? How much have we paid out so far? I know there has been a couple of hearings.

MR. EVANS: I'll check that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Maybe you could find that out.

MR. WECHSLER: I believe he works for the Abrahamsen firm so I believe he is being paid under the Abrahamsen firm contract.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, what you believe, I would like that verified and I would like --

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I hear you. I hear you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- to know what the contract is and the whole thing. Then I'd like to go back to the Scranton Parking Authority, I have been reading the report that was done due diligence on the sale of or leasing of those assets, and I'm going to

20

21

22

23

24

25

read -- maybe next week because it's too long to keep you, but let me just read you this so you can think about it and I'll come back on what my point is next week. There is an article that Mr. Lockwood wrote awhile "A firm owned by Marketplace at Steamtown, owner John Basalyga, will receive \$348,000 a year for decades in monthly rent by the nonprofit firm to which Scranton wants to lease parking garages. The rent to Steamtown 300, LLC, will help pay for capital projects and maintenance costs taken on by Mr. Basalyga's firm associated with the parking spaces that the Nonprofit National Development Counsel will lease and It's among the many complex details manage. in the stacks of paperwork that make up Mayor Bill Courtright's parking monetization plan.

This rent is on top of the \$4.5 million upfront payment that the city will pay to Steamtown 300 for use of those 500 spaces at the mall and for Steamtown 300 to no longer undercut the city garages in the monthly parking market. The city will also

1 sell the Electric City garage for \$1 to 2 Steamtown 300 owned by John Basalyga and pay 3 \$2.85 towards it's \$5.1 million renovation of that garage. Mr. Basalyga's firm will 4 5 lease the Electric city garage back to --" MR. WECHSLER: I don't want to rude, 6 Otherwise -but I have to stop you. 7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: That's fine. 9 MR. WECHSLER: -- everybody else 10 would want extra time. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, everybody 12 else seems to have extra time tonight, but that's okay. 13 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: You had your five minutes and then another minute. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll be back next 17 week talking about the Electric City and the 18 agreement. Thank you. 19 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee 20 Morgan. 21 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 22 Lee Morgan, not that it matters that much. 23 You know, there is a lot of things that I 24 have on my mind today, but I just hope that 25 the republican party puts somebody up that

can run because, you know, somebody called me today and they weren't very nice to Mr. Stange because they didn't think he was going to bring somebody on board because of his relationship with -- allegedly with Mr. Rogan, that you were his best man so I guess you must be good friends. I find that extremely troubling because this city really needs a change in direction.

And, you know, if the comments that Mr. Bolus made tonight about Statute 5612 are correct then you have committed a crime. It's a terrible thing, and the lack of knowledge about the things that are taking place in our government, and I very rarely see you publically ask your solicitor even one question, and I believe that when the PEL talks about where the city is financially I find it comical because I'm of the opinion that the city is going to lose the tax case and the city is going to lose the double pensions case. I remember the double pensions because I was here and I watched the discussions taking place around them, and then unless I'm wrong, I mean, the

city turned around and refilled all of those positions so the city didn't save anything, and to be bluntly honest we have had one incompetent council after another here and you are just another one and it's really pathetic that, you know, people have to live like this in the city, and I believe that the county commissioners are right when they say that the reassessment should go back to the public to let them decide what should take place because I don't think there should be increases in taxes either.

I think what needs to take place is this city needs to cut it's budget, and if it needs to lay people off or do whatever it needs to do that's what needs to take place. If the city needs to change a designation from a Class 2-A city to a three city, let them do so, because you know something, it's time for the city to realize one thing we have had incompetent leadership for a long time and that may not change but the amount of income that people have in the city is changing. It's becoming less and less all

of the time. Our standard of living in this country is dropping like a rock. The people sent a very strong message to Washington and I think the Democrats and the Republicans in power understand that, you know, the American people are just at the end of the rope and when you look what the governor and our state legislature have done to us look at the pension debts and all of the silliness they have created. Just ridiculous. I mean, I don't see how anybody that we have elected could actually say they represent us with all of the deals.

You know, I'm not interested if an elected official can smirk in my face as he is stealing my pay out of my paycheck every week, I'm not interested in that, I'm interested in how well our government is being maintained, and to be honest with you it's not. People are afraid of their government. They are not participating in government, they are in fear of it. And, you know, they've reached the point where like our forefathers reached a point where they just weren't in fear of their

government anymore and they fought the biggest and the most powerful country in the world and freed us from them.

So you know what you have to ask yourself, how long will the American people allow their assets to be sold, their standard of the living to be diminished and the political shenanigans that take place across this country. It's amazing. It's just thoroughly and completely amazing how -- you know, as a child I grew up here, I never thought the city would look the way it does now and, you know, now we got a mayor talking about building a splash park and the city is bankrupt. There is no other way around it.

And, you know, when you talk about

-- initially there were discussions about

the Sewer Authority being monetized to meet

pension requirements, and now it turns out,

well, no, we didn't want to go into

receivership, but, you know, the people who

don't think that in the end when all of the

buildings and all of the smoke clears the

state is going to march in here and they are

going to do whatever they have to do, and with all of our assets gone and with everything gone what we have we accomplished? Nothing except making people in this city poorer and poorer and more disgusted with their government, and when you look at the amount of the people that go to the polls every day in this city look at yourselves because you are the one that makes them determine whether they are going to yote or not. Think about it.

MR. UNGVARSKY: City council, I'm

Tom Ungvarsky. At your last meeting a

councilman asked for a resolution -- sorry,

he made a motion to call in an applicant for

the Sewer Authority. He wanted him to come

and make a statement about his

qualifications. The motion died for lack of

a second. Apparently no council member

wanted to ask any questions or find out

anything about this person. It seems like

it's the pattern that this council follows.

You ask no questions, you don't investigate

so you have your backside covered.

I noticed that at the mayor's

meeting, the town mall meeting, that only one council member asked any pertinent questions. Where were the other four?

Aren't you interested? What happened here in this city? I doubt it, but I hope this next council that comes in will take more of an interest in the City of Scranton.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and once again off
the elevator for free parking authority
paying out the wazu, personally I think
until they fix that elevator it should be
free just like it is at the mall because
nobody pays there anyway. They agreed not
to compete, but, okay.

Once again, with our trash bill, you hear a lot of complaints and so forth, well, for my trash I don't think \$300 is terrible, however, we have too many bills due at the end of the winter. We have winter heating bills, I have taxes, my own taxes, federal or state taxes or something like that, we always deduct in excess from our paychecks so the point being that it's just too many things hitting you in the spring and it's

too hard to take advantage of that discount even, which was nice to be able to do that. And, once again, on the trash bill I talked to a newbie in my neighborhood owned by an out-of-town landlord probably given it away telling people that my driveway is public parking and he said, "I'm not going to bother to recycle."

Okay. You know, not going to bother to recycle, how about a hotline that we could call if you find out that someone is paying their \$300 fee because I'm sick and tired of hearing about it. On the pay to throw, once again, I expect that people are not going to pay they are just going to throw. They are going to throw it wherever they can get away with it and then you have that final easy chair that just falls apart and you want to get rid of it what are you going to have to pay to get rid of that? So there is some things to think about.

We have too many single-minded studies in this town. We arrive at one conclusion, that conclusion is before we ever even conduct the study. It's derived

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conclusion. It's a pigeon hole, and might have asked this last week but I didn't and it was mainly on the sewer, you know, a couple of years ago I started talking to a guy down the street who used to stop at the store together and buy lotto tickets and things and it struck me that he liked to buy a few too many and he owed a \$700 back in his sewer bill, \$700 back sewer bill, and they were threatening to shut his water off and I'm wondering if a large portion of that escrow money isn't sitting in unpaid sewer fees and is the water company going to participate in helping us collect it because it's turn off the water if you are not paying your sewer bill. And another question I had, and I

with the idea in mind of coming up with a

And another question I had, and I probably could get it out of -- I hope he shows up again, Mr. Foley, why was the federal upgrade worthless because if we weren't paying for that, I doubt if we are going to get paid for that in the future and it's just a shame that 10 or 12 million dollars is poured into that and it's not --

it's not just money up in smoke.

As far as the pensions, my advice is don't promise any tax breaks. We may not have increases, I hope not, but the fire and police have to be paid and that's all there is to it and currently the best idea is to pay up Wall Street and not make anymore obligations to Wall Street because they are basically sharks. They are loan sharks and that's all there is to it.

So thank you and have a good night and don't forget if you are truly unhappy with your candidates Dubcheff for dictator. Tyranny any equality for everyone. Thank you. And, by the way, that's with my left hand; right, Pat?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: I have a couple of things today. The very first thing I want to do is as a city councilman I just want to give my big heartfelt condolences to Richard Johnson's family, he passed away last week. I was personally in shock when I found out

the news. It's very sad to lose somebody who was willing to put themselves out there as the way someone puts themselves out, and not only that that person was here to just make everybody's life better. It's a loss not only to his immediate and distant family but also to the whole community so I was very saddened by the sad news and all of my love to his family in t his very, very dire time.

Secondly, to address Mr. Spindler's question on Elizabeth Street, the drain situation, Mrs. Reed, if we could send something down to DPW just to check out that situation. I'm not really familiar with that end of the Elizabeth Street, but it sounds like something a little bit smaller than manhole size storm drain that's missing grate that was once there and it was taken off for cleaning, it wasn't put back, I'm not sure.

Third, this is something that I know Councilman Gaughan and myself have made a couple of requests for and that is for the safety of the residents in the Minooka

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

section of the city, particularly
Birney Avenue in the 29, 27, 2800 block area
where it shifts it's a racetrack. I have
lived there my whole life, I know it to be
so. I know we sent some correspondence out
to Chief Graziano in the past about some
things, some solutions, and he has responded
back to us.

One thing I would like to send to Chief Graziano and Mr. Pocius on behalf of myself and Councilman Gaughan is a request for a crosswalk somewhere in the 2900 block of Birney Avenue. There is McDonough Street and Burke Street. They both flank a section of the city that lead immediately down to a little league and a softball park and on the other end of that street is the Barrett Park and it's the only field and park in this area of the city so they are going to be crossing that street to get there, there is just no two ways about it and there is no safe way to cross that street. This is the street that I brought up last month that doesn't have a stop sign or streetlight for about two miles. There is a light at Cherry

Street and Cedar and that street runs right to Birney Avenue and doesn't have another stoppage for traffic until Davis Street and it is just -- it's very dangerous to put it mildly, and there is a number of accidents. I don't need a traffic study done there because I know how many accidents, it would definitely qualify for something.

So I'm hoping that since there is ballfields and parks very close there that would qualify us for some kind of crosswalk in that part of the city in the 2900 block of Birney Avenue.

And, lastly, not like, as

Mr. Wechsler said, our agenda and the work

that we have in the city is very heavy right

now, there is a lot going on, but I did

touch on something that was near to my heart

and that's the reassessment that's been in

the news lately. It appears that the

reassessment for Lackawanna County, which in

my opinion is long overdue, is going to be

put on the ballot in November for the

residents and citizens of Lackawanna County

to vote for. That wouldn't have been my

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first choice on how to do that, but with that said it's done. I do have -- I do have an issue with that that I hope to help alleviate and address. The problem I have with that is there is four months of lead time to the election and I'm afraid of misinformation leading up to that time to maybe deter people from voting yes on this proposition that's going to be on the ballot, and the reason is because we have no -- we have no concrete proof on what's it's going to cost, all we have is what we paid for a partial assessment that ended in 2009, and now there is that -- well, I don't think I could substantiate it, I think it was kind of just basically pulled out of the air.

So if they start -- you know, if numbers start surfacing on what the reassessment is going to cost that's going to scare people away from voting for this and RFP's aren't going to be given out for this until after the election once it's voted on, if it's voted on for and that's kind of after the fact.

So if the council doesn't have any objection to this, I would like to send a request to the County Commissioners asking for them to submit RFP's for assessment and then release the results of that before the election so we have some kind of concrete proof on what this is going to cost us. I think that is going to be one of the biggest issues on a yes or no vote for the citizens and I think they are going to need that information ahead of time, not wait until after the fact. So, again, if there is no objection to that I would like to make that request for the county.

And I'm going to reserve all other comments for agenda items. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just two items I'm going to talk about. Over the last -- since we didn't meet last week, over the last two weeks there have been a number of developments on the double pension issue. First to talk a little bit about an action

that I personally have taken, as you probably read in the newspaper I did file a lawsuit against the Pension Board for the double pension issues and this came out of many reasons but, first of all, frustration and the lack of answers and the lack of progress on this issue. To be extremely clear, the lawsuit and in addition the second issue I'm going to talk about, which is subpoenas, do not target the recipients of the double pensions in any way. I think we all agree that many of the people who received the double pensions were offered something they believed was valid.

We will see what the state police investigation shows as to how that actually transpired, but the reason for filing the lawsuit was two-fold, one out of frustration for the lack of the answers; and, secondly, if this lawsuit is successful, unlike many of the other items that have been explored, this will actually put money back into the pension fund without it coming from the taxpayers. The pension fund and the city have errors and omissions insurances that we

pay quite a bit of money for and because this appears to be an error or omission and not criminal from consulting with various attorneys they have advised me that it's a very strong case and there is a very good likelihood of being successful.

If it is successful, any proceeds will be deposited directly into pension funds and that's more money that won't have be put in by the taxpayers. As I mentioned in the paper, just to reiterate, I personally will not gain anything whether the suit wins or losses, all the proceeds will go into the pension matching fund. So, again, as I mentioned I am doing that as a private citizen, but I will continue to give updates as that progresses as much as possible.

Secondly, also, regarding the double pension scandal, I had some very good news yesterday thanks to Attorney Minora's hard work the state police agreed to turn over their investigation on this issue to city council. I'm go to turn it over to Attorney Minora to address the public on that a

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little bit and then I'll have some more comments.

MR. MINORA: Yes. We subpoenaed records and the state police through their solicitor moved to quash the subpoena based on an act, the acronym which is CHRIA, which is Criminal History Records and Investigative Act and it keeps all of those documents privileged and they are not to be distributed except to other law enforcement agencies for the purpose of law enforcement that's the CHRIA, what the CHRIA Act is for. It has a limited, very limited release ability for the state police to release any information in situations of hardship without going into that. Counsel for the state police, Attorney Runkus, and I discussed that and I explained to him the purpose that we wanted to use this for and he was agreeable to submitting an order to the Court releasing the investigative report but with limitations. He is going to officially draft the order and then he and I will review it and try and accomplish both of our purposes, his to comply with CHRIA

and ours to determine the facts behind the investigation that may not be available to other people.

Now, that being said, the actual investigative report and some of the material in there will not be allowed to be made public. It will remain CHRIA material, but the information may be used by us to craft legislation that would prevent a double pension problem as occurred in the past.

I can't tell you the outcome of the drafting of the order yet, it hasn't begun, but I will apprise council as we go on that.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney
Minora, and thank you for all your hard work
on this. I know this has been something we
are been working on for over, geez, well
over a year at this point since this scandal
broke.

MR. MINORA: Can I add one more thing?

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. MINORA: The issue of whether or not we have the right to issue subpoenas was

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

never addressed not even by counsel for the state police. They relied exclusively on CHRIA so that issue still is out there.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MR. MINORA: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: As I mentioned, since this scandal broke I have devoted much of the majority of my efforts of being on council into this issue and really there is two main items that I would like to have addressed: Number one, which, unfortunately, because of the some of the limitations with these documents will not be addressed is informing the public on the who, what, why and when this transpired. Unfortunately, because of those limitations it seems that much of that won't be able to be released. I am going to continue to work with Attorney Minora following up in agreement with the state police on how much can be released to the public. It was my goal to have as much available as we can. Ι would like to be able to turn it all over, but we do have to stay within the laws that Attorney Minora just mentioned.

But, secondly, and the second goal and really the more important goal is to prevent something like this from ever happening again. In review of these documents and crafting legislation if needed, following the review of these reports to put controls in place to prevent something like this from ever happening again.

So I am very encouraged over the last few weeks the actions that have transpired and I'm looking forward to diving into it and reading these reports. More to come on that as well in the coming weeks.

Secondly, regarding reassessment,

Councilman Perry hit on this and I'm going
to talk a little bit more, as everyone who
watches the meetings here tends to know I am
a staunch supporter of reassessment because
I believe the residents of Scranton are
overtaxed compared to those outside of the
city. Although some of my colleagues may
disagree, most of them support the
reassessment they may disagree with me, I'm
actually happy that the commissioners did

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

put this on the ballot. I may be in the minority in wanting a reassessment, I may be the majority, but we will find out in November.

One concern I do have, and the Scranton Times hit on this in today's paper, is that there is a lot of misinformation about reassessment out there, particularly by Commissioner Cummings, which I know myself and Commissioner Evans -- or Councilman Evans have rebutted much of that over the last few weeks, but we will continue to educate the public as much as we can and I do like the idea that one of the speakers mentioned of having some sort of session, an informative session of how a reassessment would impact the residents of Scranton, and I think, you know, educating the public is going to very important on this because there is so much misinformation out there.

In my review of some of the current assessments and disparities in the city, I think a reassessment will be a huge game changer for the city. It would bring tax

relief to many, and more importantly it would bring tax fairness to all, that it's not fair that there are people paying a thousand dollars in taxes and there is others paying 4,000 for houses that are similar sizes and similar neighborhoods.

 $\label{eq:interpolation} I'll \mbox{ save my other comments for } \\ \mbox{agenda items.} \mbox{ Thank you.}$ 

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, my condolences as well to the Johnson family. I didn't know Mr. Johnson, but I certainly respected him for entering the political arena as well as all he has done for the community.

As far as last week's town hall meeting with the mayor, for the record, all of us attended the meeting last week. I did appreciate the fact that the mayor took the time to face the music, as the saying goes. I thought the Power Point presentation was well developed, although, it would have been more appreciated and appropriate if it was presented in January rather than June.

I still feel there is a disconnect between mayor and the city council when it comes to the sewer deal. I understand the need for the deal, I supported it, but it has been a lack of transparency and a lack of information post-closing that continues to be the problem and the town hall meeting sadly did nothing to change that perception.

At the meeting, the mayor defended the in-house audit by the Sewer Authority versus an independent audit by the Auditor General. In my opinion, that is not defensible position. Only a true independent audit from an outside third party will be satisfactory.

Additionally, if I remember correctly, the Power Point presentation referenced approximately \$12 million that is not accounted for because the deal took; longer than expected and that the money was spent on mandated items and work that was fully documented. Since we have been asking for that information for over six months, it was quite a revelation that the information, according to the mayor, was fully

documented. So I would like to send a letter to the mayor to clarify his statement and ask that he provide that documentation as soon as possible.

And on the reassessment, the decision by the county commissioners to put the issue of reassessment on the ballot in November is at it's core a copout.

Commissioner Notarianni was politely right when he said, "There is too much misinformation circulating about reassessment for it to be successful at a ballot box."

In my opinion, the commissioners that voted for the referendum not only know that, they are counting on that. With that said, I know reassessment is the right thing to do for Scranton and for all of Lackawanna County. Tax equity should always be the primary objective of any elected official. If given the proper information, I truly feel that the voters will look past the wrong information they have consistently been provided over the last few months.

I'll continue to voice be a voice

for the reassessment every chance I get and for it's passage in November, and if this referendum doesn't pass, well, ironically we will have a do-over in two years, it's called an election. That's all I have for now.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a few comments. First, as my colleagues did, I'd like to express my condolences to the family of Richard Johnson who passed away unexpectedly recently. Mr. Johnson was a candidate for Scranton City Council who I got to know personally on the campaign trail. He was a gentleman. He cared about the City of Scranton. He leaves behind a wife and three children and he will be sorely missed.

I had mentioned this in the past and I just want to bring it up again, we had a caucus tonight with the city solicitor regarding a clerical contract and I really appreciated the city solicitor coming in and giving us some background and some pertinent

vote for final passage. I would hope when someone from the administration comes in to discuss legislation that is important, that is on our agenda for final passage that we would hold those caucuses out in council chambers with the television cameras running.

information on something we are going to

Next week, we are having a work session in the Governor's Room regarding the money that we are going to be spending from the sale of the Sewer Authority. Again, I would like to request that we hold this in council chambers so that people can see what's going on for no other reason that I really do believe that the reason that the cameras are here is so that people can say their government in action and can see what's going on and understand the issues. Often times, it's very difficult to explain everybody in great detail, that's why when someone from the administration comes I do appreciate that they would explain everything but I do think there is an added benefit from having the television cameras

here, again, so that people can see their government and their legislative branch in action. So I would hope that next week we would have that in here, rather than in the Governor's Room.

Just a few citizen's concerns I
would like to speak about for a moment, we
have been dealing with a few issues in the
2600 of Stafford Avenue so we have been
working with the city planner and the DPW on
that. We did submit a request for the 100
block of West Mountain Road a storm drain is
blocked. Neighbors in that area would also
like additional lighting for the road, it's
very dangerous at night.

I received several concerns, in fact, a woman was here months ago about the Greenridge senior apartments off of Dickson Avenue. They received a tax abatement from the City of Scranton, Clover Management was the corporation back in 2012, I have spoken to several residents who live in these apartment buildings they have many concerns, it's concerning me greatly so I have been in contact with our solicitor, Mr. Minora, on

what kind of the actions these people can take so we will continue to investigate and explore that.

Just an update on the street
lighting, this project is nearly complete.
As of June 19, the report we received there
were 40 fixtures left to retrofit throughout
the city. The company that was do the
project is still progressing through
completion of addressing outages that arose
during construction. Most of the outages
that have been reported were due to blown
fuses and they are working diligently to
correct these issues. I think overall this
project has been extremely successful and
I'd like to thank the administration and
city council, my colleagues, for being a
part of that.

I have also received several concerns from residents regarding a Service Line Warranties of American company sending out letters to residents in the city offering water service line covering and use the city's logo and contact information.

Specifically, elderly residents are

2

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerned that this may be a scam and they don't understand why this company is using the city's logo.

I would like to provide some clarification on this. The city did actually enter into a contract with this company to partner with them a few years Basically, the deal was that the ago. company could use the city's logo and information as long as the city gets part of the profit. If you already have coverage on your Pennsylvania American Water or another company you don't need to entertain the letter, you don't need to respond to it, you can simply throw it away, but I do understand the confusion unless some people think it would be a scam, I don't think it was explained why well enough by the city.

Again, I'd like to make a request that the city put the demolition and condemnation list on the city's website if possible and update them regularly.

Regarding the town hall meeting, and I'll just spend a minute on this because I people are getting sick of hearing me talk

25

about the Sewer Authority deal, but I would agree with what Mr. Evans said, there is definitely a disconnect between the mayor and city council. And for those of you who attended the town hall meeting, you know, I was the first person to get up and speak and when I was standing at the podium -- or before I was standing at the podium it was explained by the moderator that the mayor would have five minutes to respond to each question from the audience. I was under the assumption that four speakers there was no time limit on how many questions you could ask, but understanding that you should be respectful and keep your comments and your questions so that everybody has a chance, there was only two hours to speak. Once I got into my questions, I was cut off, told that my five minutes were up and then I was, you know, getting screamed at by the moderator and told to sit down. Ι eventually did sit down and an elderly gentlemen got up and yielded his five minutes to me so I got back up. I got screamed at again.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It didn't play that way on television, but I would like to say that, you know, my passion here is -- you know, this isn't about me, it's not about Mayor Courtright, this is about getting the answers for the ratepayers. This is about protecting the ratepayers. That's why I'm so passionate about this whole thing and if we look at it, as Mr. Evans had pointed out, the mayor and his administration and the Sewer Authority Board have created this mess, this misinformation, this confusion. This could have been taken care of months ago of and then we have a town hall meeting after the fact that I believe was poorly run.

So there remains many additional questions, one of them, and it was brought up by a speaker before, and I'd like to ask Solicitor Minora to research the following: How did the city hire Ned Abrahamsen without a contract to work on the Scranton sewer Authority deal as special counsel. I have several concerns here based on the information revealed in the Scranton Times

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

article this past week.

I'd like know specifically how did the mayor and Jason Shrive when he was city solicitor come up with the \$200,000 figure to pay Mr. Abrahamsen. In the newspaper they explained that they calculated it to be a reasonable amount for the work it entailed. I'd like to know hod did they calculate that? Was it based on percentage, what work did it entail? We received invoices, but we didn't receive any backup for those invoices. It was just one line saying \$20,000 a month. Was it based on at all on an hourly rate? And how would they know at that point what work was going to be required?

You know, my concern here is that they negotiated on behalf of the city and just threw out a number of \$200,000 and felt that that was the good deal. How did they arrive at this figure? I'm still confused and having hard time understanding this whole set up.

I'd also like to know was there a letter from Mr. Abrahamsen, any

correspondence detailing what exactly he would be doing, a letter from the city saying that he was hired between the city and the Sewer Authority, and is there any documentation at all other than the invoices with nothing on them, as I mentioned, that we received? So I would like to ask Solicitor Minora if this was done properly, did it have to come before city council, because I do think there is a great deal of confusion on that.

As Mr. Evans said, at the town hall meeting the mayor explained they have a full accounting of how the \$12 million was spent. I would agree with Councilman Evans that I like to request again that detailed documentation and specifically what mandated work was performed. We have been asking for this for six months, I don't understand the hesitation to give us that information.

Also, the mayor, after I was cut off, said that he would be willing to meet with me to answer any additional questions which I do have. I would like to invite him to a public caucus in council chambers so

that the public could attend if he would be willing so that we could clear all of this up once and for all.

I continue to believe, as Mr. Evans had mentioned, that an outside agency, the Auditor General, the Attorney General, needs to examine this deal very closely to ensure that every penny was spent properly, legal and ethical guidelines were followed, and this deal was done with the best interest of the ratepayers in mind. And that's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr.

Gaughan. In regards to the request that we sent to the Attorney General, we did receive correspondence this week, it has been forwarded to another division within the Attorney General's office. I spoke to Tom Donahue from the Attorney's office today who just wanted a little clarification as to what we were actually seeking them to do. He wanted to make sure that our letter did not represent any indication or expectation or desire to have an investigate it from a criminal standpoint. You know, as we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submitted the request we made it specifically to say that we did not anticipate that there was criminality involved with this transaction.

He is going to review with this in mind on looking at it from a non-criminal standpoint, perhaps a consumer protection standpoint and see what the Attorney General's Office can do with this. He did mention to me though that the Consumer Advocate which is part of the Attorney General's Office did protest the sale of the Sewer Authority at the PUC hearing and their protest was that the water company was paying too much for the Sewer Authority that was there protest, so in light of all the questions that we hear that the city didn't get what they were expecting, the Consumer Advocate thought the water company paid too much, so it's interesting that they are on the record for that and we'll see where this travels.

I also said to Mr. Donahue we still would prefer the Auditor General coming in, we still think that is the best way to go at

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

it. From listening to what one our speakers said tonight, if the Auditor General has the authority to step in without being asked I would ask him tonight to do it tomorrow morning. If this is something the Auditor has a right to do, once again, I would request him to do it tomorrow morning because we went through all of the questions on council asked for the past few months, we went through the town hall meeting, and still tonight we are still asking the same questions, so if the Auditor General does have the ability to come in and audit that authority without a request from us or from Dunmore or from anywhere else, I would ask them to do that immediately if you could.

In terms of the reassessment, the decision to have the item placed on the ballot in November is progress, slight progress, but it is progress. I do believe the fact that council has kept this in the forefront was a factor in the commissioners deciding to put this on the ballot.

I would offer Scranton City Council in the fall to be a focal point and location

for sides pro and con to come and represent themselves at city council. You know, we do have the forum here. I would seek to see that maybe we would have a specific caucus for the pro side or negative side, but I would encourage people to come out and use our podium because Scranton will be the one that will benefit the most if reassessment is completed.

I did have a couple of calls this week in terms of gutters that are clogged on East Mountain on Birch Street and on Birney Avenue and I have also had a few calls about South Webster Avenue that there was a mud situation there that needs some special help from DPW.

In regards to the work session that we are going to have next week, this was something that was started, Mr. McGoff started this a few years ago, the work session format in the Governor's Room from speaking to my other colleagues the majority of people prefer that the work sessions be held in the Governor's Room. Our guests that come here prefer to use that as the

place they would like to come. The work sessions are open to the public. The information that is generated there has been shared with anyone and, once again, as I repeated since I'm on city council and I've been council president the information is important to get the answers and we have been able to do that.

This evening we had our caucus with Solicitor Boyles in our chambers, that's because those questions were specific questions asked by city council members. We submitted the questions in advance to her so she could be prepared and I thought she did a very professional job this evening on presenting those answers, answered all of our questions that we had submitted, got us the information and we can do an intelligent vote this evening.

Once again, the caucuses are open.

Anyone that wants to come to a caucus is welcome to come. Mr. Lockwood or some other representative from the Times is there every week. Once again, I believe that the purpose of the caucuses is to get

information for council members that we can 1 2 use for our information and they are public 3 and Mr. Lockwood is available to get that information out. That's all I have. 4 MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -5 AN ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A 6 RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY 7 8 SCANLON, INC. T/D/B/A AS DUNMORE BREW HOUSE, 9 1400 WHEELER AVENUE, DUNMORE, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, LICENSE NO. R-1172 TO 10 NEPALI KITCHEN, LLC FOR USE AT 732 PROSPECT 11 12 AVENUE, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA 13 14 LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 15 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 19 MR. EVANS: Second. 20 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 21 those in favor of introduction signify by 22 saying aye. 23 MR. PERRY: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. EVANS: Aye.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 3 ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A 5 RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY 6 7 JOAN HUDAK T/D/B/A SEVEN SISTERS TAVERN, 814 8 SUSQUEHANNA AVENUE, OLYPHANT, LACKAWANNA 9 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, LICENSE NO. R-3527 TO CFM BEER BRICK, LLC FOR USE AT 337 WEST 10 11 MARKET STREET, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE PENNSYLVANIA 12 LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 15 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 16 introduced into its proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. EVANS: Second. 19 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. GAUGHAN:

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, SETTING FORTH ITS INTENT TO ISSUE ONE OR MORE SERIES OF GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED FORTY-ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$41,000,000) PURSUANT TO THE ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, KNOWN AS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT, 53 PA.C.S., CHAPTERS 80-82, AS AMENDED AND SUPPLEMENTED (THE "ACT"); FINDING THAT A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION IS IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE CITY; DETERMINING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL EVIDENCE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE CITY; SPECIFYING THAT SUCH INDEBTEDNESS IS TO BE INCURRED TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR A CERTAIN PROJECT OF THE CITY WHICH CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) CURRENTLY REFUNDING THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; AND (2) PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES

24

25

OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE REASONABLE ESTIMATED REMAINING USEFUL LIVES OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE REFINANCED BY THE BONDS: ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR THE PURCHASE OF SUCH BONDS AT PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION; PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL CONSTITUTE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS OF THE CITY; FIXING THE DENOMINATIONS, DATED DATE, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, MATURITY DATES, INTEREST RATES AND REDEMPTION PROVISIONS (IF APPLICABLE) AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO CONTRACT WITH THE PAYING AGENT FOR ITS SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM OF THE BONDS EVIDENCING THE DEBT; AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND ATTESTATION OF SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO DEBT SERVICE APPLICABLE TO SUCH BONDS TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE CITY IN SUPPORT THEREOF: CREATING ONE OR MORE SINKING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH BONDS, TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT;

24

25

DESIGNATING THE PAYING AGENT TO BE THE SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY: PROVIDING A COVENANT TO INSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT FOR SUCH BONDS WHEN DUE: SETTING FORTH REGISTRATION AND TRANSFER PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATIONS OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE INVESTMENT OF PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS AND THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS. SERIES B OF 2003: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DO, TO TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED, REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS TO EFFECT THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE, AND THE FILING OF SPECIFIED DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ALL AS REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DECLARING THAT THE DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY SUCH BONDS, TOGETHER

24

25

WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY. WILL NOT BE IN EXCESS OF ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY THE ACT: AUTHORIZING PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DELIVER THE BONDS UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS PRECLUDING THE CITY FROM TAKING ACTIONS WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE BONDS TO BECOME "ARBITRAGE BONDS" OR "PRIVATE ACTIVITY BONDS," AS THOSE TERMS ARE USED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986. AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER: AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF BOND INSURANCE (IF APPLICABLE) AND SETTING FORTH THE PROVISIONS, IF ANY, REQUIRED TO BE INCLUDED BY THE BOND INSURER; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE AND COVENANTING TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS THEREOF; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENTS NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003, IF

24

25

NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE; APPROVING THE PREPARATION, USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT BY THE PURCHASER IN CONNECTION WITH THE MARKETING OF THE BONDS; SETTING FORTH THE CITY'S INTENT TO PROVIDE FUNDS TO REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENT NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATIONS OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE

25

INVESTMENT OF MONEYS WHICH WILL BE USED TO REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS. SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED ACTION; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEALING OF ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME SHALL BE INCONSISTENT HEREWITH.

MR. WECHSLER: Could you repeat that? At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. EVANS: Aye. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 5 ayes have it and so moved. 6 MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -7 AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 8 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO 9 REALLOCATE A PORTION OF THE PROCEEDS FROM 10 THE SALE OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY TO REFUND AND REFINANCE THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING 11 12 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; AND REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL 13 OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES C AND D OF 2003. 14 15 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. EVANS: 19 Second. 20 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 21 those in favor of introduction signify by 22 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. EVANS: Aye.

	97
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
3	ayes have it and so moved.
4	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
5	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
6	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A
7	SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO ANOTHER TIME
8	RESTAURANT, LLC D/B/A AV RESTAURANT TO
9	OPERATE OUTDOOR SEATING IN FRONT OF ITS
10	RESTAURANT AT 320-322 PENN AVENUE, SCRANTON,
11	PENNSYLVANIA.
12	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
14	introduced into its proper committee.
15	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION —
A RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO
EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE
INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF A
MULTI-MUNICIPAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BY THE
CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE ADJACENT
MUNICIPALITIES OF THE BOROUGHS OF CLARKS
GREEN, CLARKS SUMMIT, DALTON, DUNMORE AND
THE TOWNSHIPS OF GLENBURN, NEWTON, SOUTH
ABINGTON, WAVERLY, AND WEST ABINGTON.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

This legislation is simply extending one of the amendments in this intergovernmental cooperation agreement to review and amend the zoning and other land use ordinances that would have ended on November 20, 2017, so by us voting on this, this is going to extend it for the two-year review period, an

1	additional two years expiring on November
2	20, 2019.
3	MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of
4	introduction signify by saying aye.
5	MR. PERRY: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. EVANS: Aye.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
9	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
10	ayes have it and so moved.
11	MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
12	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY OF
13	LACKAWANNA TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR A GRANT
14	ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS A
15	PARTICIPANT IN THE SCRANTON-ABINGTONS
16	PLANNING ASSOCIATION.
17	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
18	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
19	introduced into its proper committee.
20	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
21	MR. EVANS: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
23	those in favor of introduction signify by
24	saying aye.
25	MR. PERRY: Aye.

	100
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
5	ayes have it and so moved.
6	MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
7	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE
8	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF
9	SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA
10	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT")
11	APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR
12	THE INSTALLATION OF THE SOLAR POWERED TWO
13	SIDED RECTANGULAR RAPID FLASHING BEACON
14	SYSTEM, NEW CROSSWALK AND SIGNING. THE
15	LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY (LHVA)
16	PLANS TO HAVE THESE INSTALLED AS PART OF
17	THEIR SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ALONG
18	BROADWAY STREET AND LACKAWANNA RIVER
19	HERITAGE TRAIL INTERSECTION.
20	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
21	entertain a motion that Item 5-I be
22	introduced into its proper committee.
23	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
24	MR. EVANS: Second.
25	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All

1 those in favor of introduction signify by 2 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 3 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 7 The 8 ayes have it and so moved. 9 MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 10 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 11 AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH JAMES MORAN 12 BY AND THROUGH EXCEPTIONAL PAYMENTS D/B/A 13 14 RENTALORDINANCE.COM TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING. INSPECTIONS AND PERMITS WITH 15 THE CITY OF SCRANTON RENTAL REGISTRATION 16 17 DATABASE COMPILATION AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 18 FOR A THREE (3) YEAR PERIOD FROM THE DATE OF 19 EXECUTION WITH AN OPTION FOR A ONE (1) YEAR RENEWAL EXTENSION. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-J be 23 introduced into its proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 25 MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I think what we should do here is bring this company in for additional explanation. The legislation was pretty thorough but I do have some concerns, the biggest one is if we do contract with this company, and this is my understanding of the legislation, I could be wrong, but landlords will have to be pay an additional fee on top of the fee they already have to pay to the city to register their property, and I believe it's a \$8 fee. Well, while minimal, I mean, I think we are doing enough damage to people who have property in the city already, so that's a concern of my mine.

The other concern is that within the legislation it says that the this company will use different databases to create their own database to go through with the compilation and management program, so the obvious question is why can't the city just do this and what databases will this company be using. I did not see that in the legislation. So I think there needs to be

further clarification for this, and if possible I would like to invite this company in to a caucus so that they can explain it further. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Also on the question, two responses and an answer on the first one, I reviewed -- and there was only two bidders. I reviewed both of these bids extensively, the additional fee does not apply to landlords who register. This is to seek out those are not who are not doing -- not registering their units.

And, secondly, there are a couple of different things that I did like about this bid versus the other bid, one was the cost. The other firm which actually does our vacant property registration, foreclosure registration, but their fee was I believe \$25 per unit for registration, so the cost at that point almost makes rental registration, you know, not even worth it because of the cost of issuance but this firm does seem pretty reputable. They seem to do a good job with their proposal and I do think -- I certainly don't have a problem

with having, you know, some additional items answered.

But on the second point Mr. Gaughan brought up, on the database I think the city has proven itself time and time again inept in being able to put together a competent list of rental properties within the city. We saw it just last time the city did send out notices to scores of people who didn't even have multi-units so I have stated since day one that that needs to be done by a private firm so I'm hoping that once and for all this will be addressed.

MR. EVANS: Yes, on the question, I would assume that after the three-year period, or actually every year, that that list belongs to the city it doesn't belong to the entity that's developing it, and I think you are right the \$8 or the \$12 fee that are additional, these are all on new registrants that haven't registered so far this year that have ignored us I think pretty much for the last 25 years, so these are people that haven't paid and haven't registered their properties as of yet.

But I do agree, it's good to get some of these things flushed out with the participants and see what his plans are and see how he is going to handle it with some of the time frames he is doing, but as far as the legislation it's long overdue. I have asking for this for quite a long time so I'm happy that we are at this point where we're finally moving ahead and getting the information we finally need to make this program work properly.

MR. GAUGHAN: And I just want to add one thing, the other concern I have, and I hope this will be fleshed out, it says that the administrator will work with city employees assigned to the rental registration program to create greater efficiencies and better uniformity in managing the program. You know, I understand that, but my concern here is that that should really be the job of the director and I wonder if this is a management problem. There is several things in here, and again, this needs to be fleshed out further, but there are several things in

here that I think the city could do on their own and they don't need a third party to come in and do it, but again, we'll have these conversations over the next week or so. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES 
FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 104,

2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR

AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO

ACCEPT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON

THIS QUITCLAIM DEED CONVEYING TITLE TO THE

CITY OF SCRANTON, THE FORMER CSM SAMUEL P.

SERRENTI U.S. ARMY RESERVE CENTER (USARC)

LOCATED AT 1801 PINE STREET, SCRANTON,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA 18510 AS

MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED

HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, just on the question. Very briefly, because we have discussed this over the last three weeks or so, I will be voting "no" as I voted "no" on other similar pieces of legislation in the past. It still has not been determined, in my opinion, whether or not the city has the fiscal resources to maintain a building and a property like this. I also am concerned about future maintenance on the building, there has not been an analysis as far as I'm concerned on how much this will cost in the future and, again, you know, I understand the Police Department's desire to do this, I think it is actually a good idea if, you know, we didn't have the budget problems and the issues that we have maintaining the

properties, the equipment and the facilities that we already have, so all be voting "no". Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I'm going to continue to press for the sale of the Ash Street firehouse and once that's sold to put that money in a special account to pay the recurring costs of this building for the next decade at least, so that's something we need to continue to work on as well.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. I did have follow-up conversation with Mayor Courtright and that is indeed the plan to use the assets from the sale of the Ash Street Firehouse and put it into a sole account for the ongoing upkeep and use of the this facility.

MR. EVANS: Good.

MR. ROGAN: Not to belabor the point, but I do want to point out that the cost of acquiring and maintaining this building is less than building a pole barn structure just to maintain the equipment we currently have housed in it. I would also

	100
1	note that after reviewing the property and
2	going through a tour with some of my
3	colleagues it was in much better condition
4	than many of us thought it was going to be,
5	so I think it would be a good asset
6	requirement for the city.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I do believe
8	the responsible thing to do right now is
9	take care of our current equipment to make
10	sure that it's protected. We have a lot of
11	value there and taking possession of this
12	site will allow us to protect that
13	equipment.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Don't we have to yes.
17	Sorry.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
21	MR. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby

1 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 2 adopted. 3 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 4 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 105, 2017 5 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE 6 CODE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON CHAPTER 439 7 8 VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC, ARTICLE VI: 9 RESIDENTIAL PARKING PERMIT PROGRAM, BY MAKING CHANGES AND ADDITIONS TO SECTIONS 10 11 439-68. PERMIT APPLICATION, SECTION 439-69. 12 RESPONSIBILITY OF PERMIT HOLDER, SECTION 13 439 - 70. DUTIES OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FEE, 14 AND SECTION 439-71. VIOLATIONS AND PENALTIES, IN ORDER TO BRING THE CODE UP TO 15 16 DATE. 17 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 19 Committee on Public Safety? 20 MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Public Safety, I recommend 22 final passage of Item 7-B. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to be voting against this legislation as well for a few reasons. The biggest one is there is a \$5 fee for contractors who are working in areas of the city that have permit parking. A \$5 fee I don't think is too much, too burdensome, but I do think that it does not make much sense to charge the contractor a \$5 fee for working in an area where there is permit parking. What I think should occur is when the contractor comes to pull a permit and he explains or puts in the documentation that he is working in an area where there is permit parking they should just give him the permit if he is going to be there -- if he is going to be there temporarily.

The other thing is he then has to go down to the police department from what I understand to pull that permit so I just think this kind of a bureaucratic issue, it's complicated, it's involved and I think it's unnecessary. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I'm also be voting "no" for pretty much the same reasons. I can agree that it's -- especially for \$5 that

me.

effort than it's worth to accomplish. The goal of being allowing contractors to park in permit zones when they are working in a permit zone, such as the Hill Section, the simple solution to me is to have them put a copy of the permit on the dash of the vehicle outside of the house they are working on. I don't like the idea of giving a temporary permit where they can go wherever they want, but, you know, just simply putting a copy of that permit in

their dash in front of the house they are

working on seems like a very simple fix for

it's so much of a hassle and it's more

MR. EVANS: On the question, while I agree with my colleagues, I have to say that this is partially because some contractors were getting tickets because they were just parked in front of a house and they shouldn't have gotten a ticket because their name was on the side of the truck. Common sense would dictate that they are working there, but common sense doesn't always prevail.

The chief originally wanted a \$15 fee and I discussed it with him and got it down do a \$5 fee. As much as I hate fees, I support this and got a reduction in actually what it would be. Also, I think that what we are talking about doing makes sense as far as what Councilman Gaughan and Rogan said, but I dont' think we are there yet so maybe we can get there at some point where we can be more efficient and have it included as part of the permit process, but like I say we are not there yet so we just have to go along with this for the time being.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I believe it's something that's going to have to be monitored and reevaluated for it's effectiveness and as we talk about fees and their effectiveness I think this is something that is a classic see if there is a need here or a better way to do it.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, this fix is one of the deeds at hand, but it might create a hassle on the other end, but it's certainly not asking that once we get in shape it and

1 live with we can go ahead and adjust and 2 make better as needed. So I'll be voting "yes" for this. 3 4 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please? 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 8 MR. ROGAN: No. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 10 MR. EVANS: Yes. 11 Mr. Gaughan. MS. CARRERA: 12 MR. GAUGHAN: No. Mr. Wechsler. 13 MS. CARRERA: 14 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 15 16 adopted. MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 17 18 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 167, 2017 - A 19 20 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 21 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH KUHARCHIK 22 CONSTRUCTION FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON 23 24 TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPLACEMENT AT NORTH MAIN 25 AVENUE AND PARKER STREET.

	115
1	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Public Works?
4	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-C.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
9	Roll call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
11	MR. PERRY: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
20	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
21	adopted.
22	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
23	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
24	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 168, 2017 - A
25	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

	116
1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH WITMER PUBLIC
3	SAFETY GROUP, INC. D/B/A/ OFFICER STORE FOR
4	2017 AMMUNITION FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON
5	POLICE DEPARTMENT.
6	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Public Safety?
9	MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
11	final passage of Item 7-D.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
14	Roll call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
20	MR. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
25	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
	II

adopted.

MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION RESOLUTION NO. 169, 2017 - A RESOLUTION APPOINTMENT OF GOPAL B. PATEL, 939 QUINCY
AVENUE, SCRANTON, PA 18510 AS A MEMBER OF
THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY
EFFECTIVE JUNE 7, 2017. MR. PATEL WILL BE
REPLACING PATRICK J. HUGHES WHO RESIGNED MAY
15, 2017. MR. PATEL WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED
TERM OF PATRICK J. HUGHES, WHOSE TERM IS
SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JANUARY 4, 2022.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-E.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'm going to have to vote against this appointment tonight, this is nothing personal against Mr. Patel, I'm sure he is a gentleman and has the best intentions, but last week I made a motion to invite Mr. Patel in to a public caucus and ask him questions about the Scranton Sewer

Authority, the transaction between the Sewer Authority and Pennsylvania American Water, and most importantly whether or not he would support inviting the Auditor General in to audit the transaction.

Mr. Patel, who attended his first meeting abstained from all of the votes which I disagree with, I don't think that's acceptable, and then he did not -- obviously did not vote on the Auditor General coming in, which I think is unacceptable and I don't think it's in the best interest of the ratepayers or the taxpayers of Scranton and Dunmore. So, again, this is nothing personal against Mr. Patel, but I do think we should have invited him in because of all of the things going on over the past six months with the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

1 MR. EVANS: Yes. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 5 I hereby declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully 6 7 adopted. MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION 8 9 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -10 RESOLUTION NO. 170, 2017 - A RESOLUTION -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 11 OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE 12 AND ENTER INTO A COLLECTIVE BARGAINING 13 14 AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF SCRANTON CLERICAL WORKERS AND LOCAL LODGE NO. 2462 15 AFFILIATED WITH DISTRICT 1 OF THE 16 17 INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS AND 18 AEROSPACE WORKERS, AFL-CIO IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF A 19 20 MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH AN 21 EFFECTIVE DATE OF JANUARY 1, 2016 AND RATIFIED BY THE MEMBERSHIP. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 24

passage of Item 7-F.

25

MR. ROGAN:

Second.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, as far

as this being a good four-year contract I would agree it holds the line on pay increases and a great certification process for housings inspectors which is long overdue. It also creates job descriptions where none existed before, so from that perspective it's probably a good contract, but to veer off on a philosophical tangent for a moment, sometimes contracts are not about what happens within that four-year window of the contract it's beyond that. The fact that there is no language that addressed an optional defined contribution pension plan for existing employees or language that required all new hires to be part of a defined contribution plan or a 401-K plan simply means that this important conversation has now been postponed for four more years.

It is vital that every contract that the city negotiates provides a framework that goes beyond the contract period to

control legacy costs such as pensions. As we begin to exit Act 47, I fear we have missed this opportunity. However, we learned tonight that in our caucus this evening that the Class 2A city designation as reared it's ugly head again is silent on defined contribution pension plans.

Therefore, as written, we may not be able to offer a 401-K type of plan to city employees currently employed.

So I would ask Attorney Minora, and I would now get off the subject here, but while we are on, if Attorney Minora could review the section of Class A Code with the intent of how to go about fixing this, so we can go ahead and start looking forward.

I discussed this last week at the PEL meeting, they had a public meeting about getting 401-K plans for the non-union employees, so I think that's something we need to look at it and make it happen sooner than later. I'll probably vote for this only because it's not in here in the language because they couldn't put this in the language, but I would prefer if we knew

this before we could have did something about it and maybe, you know, had this taken care of well before final ratification of this contract.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I will be voting for this contract tonight. I would like to thank the clerical employees for agreeing to take no raise for the first two years of this contract. I don't agree with everything in here, but I believe that overall it's a fair contract for both the city and the employees and one that's falls within the Pennsylvania Economy League's expenditures limits.

MR. ROGAN: Echoing some of the items my colleagues mentioned, I would like to commend the city and the negotiating team for negotiating this contract, especially the fact, as Councilman Gaughan mentioned, it's actually no pain increase for three years. There is a bonus in the third year, but these employees are going to go two years without a pay increase, a small bonus in year three and a small raise in year four. So that does mirror what many in the

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

private sector have been experiencing as well.

I wish the city were in a much better financial position where raises could be afforded to be given out every year, but my position on pay increases has been pretty well-known over the years so I will support this contract.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. In any kind of contract negotiations you know it was handled correctly when both sides walk away without everything they wanted, and I'm going to say that that is one of the cases here. It is city friendly and there is also some things that will definitely help out our clerical union employees and that goes to both parties that handled the negotiations. To Councilman Rogan's point, the salaries are well under control and dare I say under private standards as far as the cost of living increases, but then on the other side to Mr. Evans' point there were pensions and health care that remain.

So, like I said, both parties didn't

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get everything they wanted, but it was enough for both teams to leave the table happy.

MR. WECHSLER: I think the important think here to take note of is that this contract was negotiated. Both parties sat down at the table, discussed their ideas, shared ideas, it took awhile to get it completed, but I think it's a testament to the commitment of the administration and of our unionized employees that they can come to a financial agreement that keeps them working, keeps them paid, but also does not become a burden to the taxpayers. We were able to avoid any arbitration and I think it's representative of a new attitude that exists in the city between management and our work force that they want to make Scranton succeed financially and I do congratulate both parties for doing that.

Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	125
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
7	declare Item 7-F legally and lawfully
8	adopted.
9	MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
10	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
11	RESOLUTION NO. 171, 2017 - A RESOLUTION -
12	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
13	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
14	SERVICE AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN MEDTRAK
15	SERVICES, LLC, A MISSOURI LIMITED LIABILITY
16	COMPANY ("MEDTRAK") AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
17	("CLIENT") TO PROVIDE PHARMACY BENEFIT
18	MANAGEMENT SERVICES.
19	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
20	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-G.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	Roll call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

	126
1	MR. PERRY: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
5	MR. EVANS: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
9	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
10	declare Item 7-G legally and lawfully
11	adopted.
12	Before we adjourn, I would like to
13	remind everyone that next Thursday council
14	will hold a work session with the business
15	administrator to discuss the issues
16	underlying the city's general obligation
17	bond. That work session will held in the
18	Governor's Room commencing at 4:30 p.m.
19	If there is no further business,
20	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
21	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.
23	
24	

## 

## 

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER