_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, June 25, 2015	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	on the own the	

	(Diados of Allaniana, maritad and
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. Mr.
7	Evans.
8	MR. EVANS: Here.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the minutes
13	reflect that Mr. Rogan did contact council
14	and said that there was a distinct
15	possibility that he would not be here this
16	evening.
17	Dispense with the reading of the
18	minutes.
19	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
20	ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE
21	HELD JUNE 3, 2015.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
25	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD

1 MAY 27, 2015. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-C. AGENDA FOR CITY 4 5 PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD JUNE 24, 2015. 6 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 7 8 If not, received and filed. Any Clerk's 9 notes? MS. REED: Nothing, Mr. McGoff. 10 11 MR. MCGOFF: Let the minutes reflect 12 that Attorney Minora was not able to be here 13 this evening, but he sent Attorney 14 Colbassani in his absence. Just a couple of quick notes, next 15 16 week's meeting has been rescheduled 17 Wednesday, July 1, at 6:30. There will be a 18 caucus at 6:00 as usual. Also, there will 19 be garbage pickup on Friday, July 3. DPW, 20 however, will be off on Monday, July 6, and 21 refuse collection will be delayed a day 22 during that week, okay? 23 Any other announcements from 24 council? MR. GAUGHAN: I have one. As part 25

24

25

of the Scranton Notre Dame Club's God's Country Notre Dame initiative the club is organizing the fundraiser for the American Legion in Minooka. The Minooka legion needs funds to repair the flag pole in the Minooka Cemetery and help with operational expenses for their club. The event is called "Lift Your Spirits and Raise the Flag Happy Hour." It will occur tomorrow, Friday, June 26, at the Minooka Legion on Birney Avenue from 6 It's \$15 to get into the door. to 8 p.m. There is a DJ, beer, wine, soda, food, 50/50 raffles and a chance to win two tickets to the Notre Dame Texas game. And that is all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. I want to point out the Lackawanna County Historical Society will conduct an tour of five historic houses in the Hill Section on Sunday, June 28, from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. There will be a free

trolley rides from site to site. We will also tour the estate at the University of Scranton and view restoration work at Covington Presbyterian Church. If you are interested in this tour, please call the historical society for tickets at 570-344-3841.

I also would like to point out that the City Controller's monthly reports are now available on the city's website so if you would like to track the city's monthly expenditures and the status of it's funds you can view that on-line, and the May report is already on-line.

Now, with regard to the State

Auditor General's press conference yesterday morning, I was an able to attend. I was surprised to see very few citizens, although, there were a lot of press and lot of city employees there, the AG said he found the situation astounding. I found it bizarre. Apparently, the non-uniform pension fund has been deleted by something like \$3 million over the last 12 years.

Now, as of January 1, 2013, that

funds funding ratio was only 23 percent.

God knows what it is today. I understand that the attorney -- the Auditor General's Office cannot subpoena people to give statements, cannot bring charges, really has no criminal investigative powers, however, it was clear to me from listening to the AG that these double pensions were not authorized, were not proper.

I also understand that the state police and the FBI are continuing their investigations, but here's the question, are these pensioners going to continue to receive these checks in the future?

Apparently so. I listened this afternoon when Jimmy Connors called Steve Corbett on WILK and he said he is going to put those checks in the drawer until someone tells him what to do with them. I watched WNEP news tonight and watched Mayor Courtright explain that he thought the solution was to dissolve the Municipal Pension Boards and let the state handle the pensions.

Now, I don't -- I'm not a lawyer, so
I don't know where they are -- we are going

I have a sense of right and wrong and in my opinion it is wrong for them to take that money, to take future payments and even to hold onto what they were already paid. It just boggles the mind. I would love to -- I want to see the mayor if he appears here on July 19, he is coming on July 19, the mayor?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?

MR. HODOWANITZ: Is the mayor still scheduled to be here on July 9?

MR. MCGOFF: I have not received a confirmation on that.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, well, one of these days I would like to hear him take a stand on whether he thinks this money should be repaid and I would like, if you would, during your motions if you would say what your opinion is because I think we need to bring pressure on the leadership of this city and on those pensioners to do the right thing.

You know, we are certainly in a drain with regard to these pensions and if we just allow this to continue, God help us

1	all. That's all I have. Thank you.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Fay Franus.
3	MS. FRANUS: Hi, Fay Franus. I want
4	to address this pension, well, I'd like to
5	ask each one of you if you feel that these
6	double pensions should be stopped
7	immediately? You, Mr. Wechsler?
8	MR. WECHSLER: I'll address that
9	during motions.
10	MS. FRANUS: I want to know for
11	myself?
12	MR. WECHSLER: I'm addressing it
13	during motions.
14	MS. FRANUS: You can't answer me?
15	MR. WECHSLER: I'll say it again,
16	I'm addressing it during motions.
17	MS. FRANUS: Mr. McGoff, you want to
18	answer me?
19	MR. MCGOFF: That's a difficult
20	answer. I don't know that we can stop them
21	immediately.
22	MS. FRANUS: Well, do you feel it
23	should be?
24	MR. MCGOFF: It's just not a simple
25	process to stop that immediately.

1 MS. FRANUS: I know that. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Which is why the 3 hearings are being held to see that. Do I 4 think that the double pensions should be stopped, yes. 5 MS. FRANUS: Considering council 6 7 never approved it. 8 MR. MCGOFF: I don't know when we 9 may be able to do that. 10 MS. FRANUS: Mr. Gaughan? 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I think common 12 sense would tell you that it should be 13 stopped. It wasn't right in the first place 14 and what's right is right and that's not 15 right. 16 MS. FRANUS: Wayne. MR. EVANS: 17 Well, morally I think 18 they should be stopped certainly, but 19 legally we don't know where it's going to 20 lead us yet. 21 MS. FRANUS: I was just told, I 22 don't know, that because city council, not 23 this city council but the previous from way 24 back, didn't approve this, so I just thought 25 this council could have an emergency

resolution or an amendment to stop these pensions because since it was never approved by council I would think you would have the power to stop it without going through the Court's or anything else. Have you ever looked into that? Could you look into that?

MR. MCGOFF: And, again, Ms. Franus, that's the problem is that we don't -- the hearings are being held to determine what can be done as far as these pensions are concerned, what legally can be done or what rightfully should be done.

MS. FRANUS: But you can't ask, you as a council president, and council body can't ask a lawyer if you have a right to --because maybe you have the right to do this and if you do you can maybe stop it. Just because you are not asking, maybe you could be doing it and you don't know it. That's all I'm saying, so I think you should ask since council --

MR. MCGOFF: I think that if that were the possible we would have done that.

Our solicitor has been involved in this process as has been the pension solicitor as

well, so if we had the power to stop them immediately I'm sure that it would have been done.

MS. FRANUS: I also hope there is going to be a federal grand jury inquiry into this so this way they have subpoena power. I mean, I really hope that will happen because maybe people won't want to lie under oath for this chance of the perjury.

Now, another matter. Mr. McGoff, last week you said that you didn't want to answer Marie Schumacher's questions, you felt there were too many, but from what I have been watching all these past months and months citizens and Marie and everybody else come to this podium with questions week after week after week, month after month, and every time it's, well, we'll check into it, I don't know the answer yet. There has never been any answers, I could list ten questions, so I could see where Marie had to finally get three pages because nobody has ever answered the questions for months and for you to say that they weren't city

related, they were, they were about the budget and pensions and it's all city related. Marie wouldn't ask questions that didn't have city matters, you know this, but, for a council president to tell a citizen they don't have time to answer questions that pertain to the city I nearly jumped through my television set.

MR. MCGOFF: I'll answer you, I may have been too harsh when I said that. I think that we have answered questions in the past, you can ask Mrs. Schumacher, but --

MS. FRANUS: But apparently the ones she asked didn't get answers.

MR. MCGOFF: I --

MS. FRANUS: Go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: That we have provided answers, you know, to the questions in the past. I was merely trying to point out that there are some things that we cannot answer, that we do not have the ability to answer, and maybe I went too far in the statements that I made, but just this evening another citizen had a number of questions and we gave a response to those questions, so I

think that we have been doing our best to respond to the needs of the citizens.

MS. FRANUS: I, myself, have seen -I'll give you an example, the question about
the garbage fee, how many times I have
asked, how many times has Marie asked, how
much money has the garbage fee brought in
versus how much money is spent to pay for
the work that money is supposed to be paid
for, that has still yet to be answered.
That's a perfect example and that should
have been answered a long time ago. And,
still, it only makes people wonder that you
don't want the truth to be told.

MR. MCGOFF: You are right in that case.

MS. FRANUS: That's just one question. I'm sure the questions that Marie asked were asked before, so that's all.

Thank you ery much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Franus.

Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Just in regards to
the pensions and, you know, the idea that we

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are circling down the drain, it's my opinion that we already went down the drain, went down the drain a long time ago, unfortunately. You know, truthfully I really find this whole thing to be just quite comical to be honest. I could recall, I believe it was six years ago, at a council debate that I actually happened to be a candidate at that time and that was actually an issue that was brought up during that debate, the pension crises at the time, it certainly hadn't gotten to the point where it is right now, a lot of things have been exposed since then, but I can recall Mr. Morgan actually who was the only one seated up there who actually discussed that issue being the, I guess you can call it, the gorilla in the closet and at the time I don't think we really understood the seriousness of that issue at that time and

And it's just it's really discouraging as yet again just another obstacle that the city has to overcome and

now here we are today wondering where we go

from here.

25

we just can't seem to move forward at all on anything. You know, we think we are solving one problem and then another one comes forward and, you know, we just keep taking steps back and I don't know where we go from here honestly because in order to turn the city around we need leadership and we don't have it, we lack it. We've lacked it for a long time from previous councils and administrations and that's where it all starts. It starts at the top with the mayor and then it trickles on down, and when you don't have those pieces in place you are not going to succeed you are just going to continue to kill off a city that at this point is just ready to die off once and for all and, you know, it may sound harsh at this point, but that's the reality of where we are. I'm not going to come forward and paint a rosy picture here and deceive people into thinking that we are actually moving somewhere that we are not. There is no common sense, no transparency, there is many questions that I have and, you know, five minutes just won't be enough time to ask

those questions.

And, you know, as far as individuals not knowing that they were receiving the double pension I find that hard to believe that someone wouldn't know that. I think that's one of the first things you do is you determine when you are going to receive your first check and what you are going to get so there is a lot of different things that come into play and that's just one variable. There is many other ones, but I just don't think we have the people seated in these positions that are capable enough of solving these problems.

Mr. Courtright, believe it or not, for the first time I may think he has a little bit of sense in the comments he made today as far as the state having to come in and intervene here as far as these pensions are concerned because we certainly can't tackle this problem. I mean, we can't even handle our miniscule problems in community let alone deal with this issue. This is something that is just way above the heads of the people we have seated in these

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

positions because just not capable of doing it, no leadership. Not everyone, but the majority.

You know, as far as questions being

answered, you know, I feel for Ms. Schumacher and a lot of other people that come up here and dedicated residents that come forward with many questions that have a lot of validity to them but, you know, the bottom line is at the end of the day it's hard to get answers from someone when they don't possess them and we have dealt with them for a long time. It's just not this council majority or the past councils, it's just gone on and on and on for many years and I think maybe at this point it's come to the point where we have to just come forward with many Right-to-Know requests and get our answers that way and if that doesn't work then maybe we just need to start taking a walk down to the courthouse and get our answers that way. I mean, it's sad that it's gotten to that point where we have a government that just doesn't believe the interests of the residents of this community

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is important enough.

And, you know, as far as bringing in the mayor and bringing in Mr. Amoroso a few weeks and discussing where we are, I just What's the don't see the point anymore. point? We have gotten to the point in this community now where we are just a laughing stock and it's really sad to say that. don't like saying these things, but this is the truth, this is where we are. And, you know, when I talk to people and they -- they know I'm from Scranton they laugh. People on the outsiders. I mean, we are a mockery in this area, in this region, nationally, we have already been embarrassed. We've been taken to that level, and we haven't done anything to get ourselves away from that and that's what is really ultimately discouraging.

You know, a lot of cities face many challenges, but they overcome them at some point and we haven't done any of that. We are not even close, and that's the problem. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. It's my opinion, let me start off by saying it's my opinion that what's left of city and it's present state isn't salvageable and I think -- I think the state government needs to come here and remove, you know, nothing personal against the council or the mayor, but the council and the mayor needs to be removed by the state government, and the reason for that is in my opinion we don't have a legitimate government here and he haven't for a long time.

You know, when you talk to Mr. Cross and he says that the city could have filed bankruptcy in 2012 and the city didn't do anything to protect the interest of the people here whose government was this? And when you come to a government and you try to interact with your governments, which is a right of all Americans to interact with their government, that's what our founding fathers believed in, and your government ignores you, you don't really have a government. What you have elected are

people who are so arrogant and disrespectful to the people they elected that they do whatever they want and so when you look at a city that's been in distress for 23 years, obviously, somebody forget who they worked for.

And when you just look at our downtown and all of the millions and millions and hundreds of millions of dollars that were spent there, you know, I'm wondering where the state and the federal government are to come in and ask for somebody to show where all of that money went, and when a city government has such a lack of respect for federal funds that when the South Side Sports Complex was built under Section 70 funds, it was illegally to ever sell them, and yet the city government did sell them.

So you have to ask yourself, and the people at home, you know, no disrespect to voters, but to be honest it's my opinion the super voters have held this mess up because they have continually gone to the ballot in many instances and voted for the people that

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in my opinion they were told were the right people to vote for because there numbers were so small, and the rest of the people they have no dog in this fight. Go up and hit doors some day and see the response you get from people and it doesn't, you know, I can't say so much the Republicans had too much to do this with because they don't exist because long ago there was a mayor that we had in the city that allegedly killed the Republican party right off with jobs and patronage. So where did we go We have a government that can't answer us or won't answer us, we have a city that's been in financial ruin for decades, and we have a state and federal government that's pumped money in here with no oversight in my opinion so where do we go?

We have got a fleeing population base, as a matter of fact, look it, I went to look at properties this week because I'm thinking about buying another property and I looked at some in the city and the realtor told me, "You are out of your mind. Nobody wants to be in this city, you shouldn't even

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

being looking here."

You can get anything you want here for free, 40, 50 grand, some less than that. People are desperate to get out of here, and you have to ask yourself if our government doesn't want to protect the financial interest of its residents what's our solution? We have election after election after election and in many instances the people that get elected use the city's municipal unions to do so because these are the people that go out and put up signs and get supporters and do a lot of the ground work and the ordinary people on the streets they are walking away from the whole system and the downtown that we pump so much money into it's almost obscene probably has the highest occupancy rate that I can remember, and to be real honest with you, what are the solutions to our problem? We need a legitimate government and the pension, look, we need to give that to the state because somebody should have overseen that for a long, long time ago, but then the state has its own problems because they can't fund

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

their pension debt.

And you look at the federal government and SSI and SSD out of money in 2016 and social security getting ready to roll over, so when the average voter goes out, and I blame this on the Democrats and the Republicans because there is no other party in control, and all our jobs are in other countries and we worried about the rich, and to be honest with you, I grew up in the 60's. I remember when there was a strong and vibrant middle class that just doesn't exist anymore and the people who are getting pensions from the state or the federal government they feel entitled to them, but the people that are paying them have no money, they can't even keep their properties up. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie

Quinn, Scranton. Hill Neighborhood

Association. Yesterday we started a

fundraiser in the Hill neighborhood, we sent

out letters to 504 members, 30 business,

20

21

22

23

24

25

asking them to consider giving \$10 if they could afford it for free swimming for Hill section kids, and we said just Hill section kids. Last year we are able to raise enough to be able after we took care of everybody to take outside of the boundaries and take care of different families and individuals so all money was gone, then Allied Services donated \$10,000 and the same criteria is being used for low to moderate income, you know, you had to show that you either got a free or reduced lunch or you had to have an Access card or federal income tax to show you are a low income. Low income is a euphemism for being poor. Years ago you used to say you are a poor family, there is no doubt about it, you know? And we are hoping that we will be able to raise enough money so we can get our kids, our low income kids, to be able to swim for the rest of the year at no cost, okay?

Now, somebody approached me
yesterday and they said that someone was
asked up at Nay Aug yesterday about the day
before they said about swimming they were

25

not charging money for swimming if you are 17 and under so I sent an e-mail to Sean McAndrew. Chairman of the Recreation Authority, and he sent back and said no. So I called Weston Field, and Weston Field said that, yes, they can go in free, and I said what criteria are using? The only criteria is -- for the \$5,000 that Allied donated is they are a resident of the city. So that means that if somebody's family is making 50,000 or a million dollars a year they can go down and get a free pass. I don't think that that was Allied's intent, you know, so that bothers me, you know, and then I was asked about a UDAG I wrote letters and to mayor and what not and I was passed over like a freight train passes a bum, you know, and I see in today's agenda here you are introducing so you could appropriate money trough the UDAG for Connell Park to finish repairs and that's really good because to see them to be able to swim up there, and I'm sorry that Novembrino pool was put on the back burner. But, however, it says the repairs currently being done for the 2000

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(sic) swim season or until all funds are exhausted.

Now, last week I spoke about UDAG funds and I said there a lot of money in there and Mr. Rogan said \$10,000, okay, and so I e-mailed him and he says he misspoke, okay? In other words, he said that actually for repairs it's going to cost for Connell park \$2,487.27. That will leave a balance in the UDAG of \$108,442.87. That's a lot of nickles and what are they going to do with What is the mayor going to do with it? That's where we are having a fundraiser because I know that nothing is going to come our way. I would like to see all of the kids in the city, and I think you can do it for \$10,000 of the UDAG money to swim free.

However, I think what you should do with that UDAG money is dismantle the Recreation Authority and set this money to pay for chemicals maybe for the next five years or so. What good is the Recreation Authority? We can use our own staff, you know, to attend and take the money and even afford the Christmas lights. They don't

24

25

even have a regular audit that would -- how they control that money. They could be using a cigar box, you know, holding it in their pocket. You don't know, nobody knows, okay? So, I mean, they're really not doing any good, okay? And, you know, what they are doing is 12 and under, that's a big joke, and Mr. McAndrew goes on and on and on and so these UDAG funds I know we are not going to get anything for swimming, but however they should be used in the right manner so I hope that council when these UDAG funds do come down that, you know, they consider either accepting or not accepting and not just go ahead and approve it because the mayor sends it down as approved. not saying they will do this, but when somebody tells me that there is no money in there and sidetracks me as I have been sidetracked many times in the last month and there is \$108,000 something is amiss someplace. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie

Schumacher. First I would like to state that I do hope and pray that the mall has not been procured by a nonprofit. I think that would really be the nail in the coffin of this city if that happened, so I'm very anxious to hear to the successful bidder is, as I assume everybody is.

And do we have now the revised list of streets to be paved from the liquid fuels money?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, we do.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Could that be made available?

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm not sure? I'm not sure if it's finalized yet, but I will check on that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Now, a couple of weeks ago I asked about the -- I noted what the fire -- how high the fire department overtime is and that certainly is not compliant with what we expected from the MOU so not wanting to bother you all I did a Right-to-Know and found the number of firefighters who have retired and it is approaching the significant mark. There are

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two, unfortunately, I grabbed the wrong folder when I came out tonight, I brought the overtime one instead of the one, but I think it's five already and two more in this pay period, so why are we not replacing these firefighters, I would assume that's one of the reasons for the overtime that is my assumption and why are we not hiring firefighters, anybody know?

MR. WECHSLER: Marie, I'm going to discuss that during motions.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. I think that needs to be corrected quickly. 5-B what is being done, I know a significant investment was undoubtedly made in that Novembrino pool complex and what is being done to protect that investment? I mean. it's -- too many times I think government let's things go and deteriorate to the point where at the point where they could be saved if a proper and minimal investment was used to keep them until such a time as it can be declared not repairable and then go and do whatever they want with it, and I don't think that's a good use of the public

monies, so is something being done to preserve those two pools now so that -- nothing is being done, they are just being left to deteriorate?

MR. MCGOFF: As far as I know, Ms. Schumacher, there is no plan to deal with the Novembrino complex. There was a plan for redoing it and the funding on that fell through, and I have not heard any other of anything to update the status of that pool.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, would that be a DPW question, if I called somebody at DPW would they know if they are at least putting water, I guess put water in the pool so that it doesn't crack or something, I don't know, I don't have a pool, but --

MR. MCGOFF: I don't think that they would have the answers for you either. As I said, I don't think there is any type of a plan for dealing with that complex.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's ashame when our tax dollars make significant investments like that they are allowed to deteriorate.

Central High School is, of course, the classic of that. When you read, which I

did, I was living out of town and when I read the description of how terrible Central High School was, and then at one of my reunions went to the tour of the Lackawanna College what a difference. You know, the marble is only, these frescos there are only eight in the country and how great this model is and perfect acoustics in the auditorium and I don't think we have the money, we don't have the debt, I know we don't have the money, but I don't even think we should be increasing debt to continue doing things that deteriorate investments that have already been made.

And then tonight during the caucus there was a discussion on vacant properties and stuff and I would like to ask you folks, I brought this up countless times, the properties on East Mountain that were bought --

MR. MCGOFF: Continue.

MS. SCHUMACHER: May I finish?

Thank you. That were bought for a dollar -or \$100 to date which is the \$100 that you
have to put down under the Pittsburgh plan

23

24

25

to procure the properties to bring it before council to get the appraisals to make that an offer, they were accepted, I think it's probably about \$80,000 now in these five years since -- and it was May, it was May of 2010 that they were approved, not only has the sale price not been paid and the deeds transferred, obviously, because they are still in the Wintermantle estate, but you are not collecting taxes on them. I mean, how can we afford a city in the terrible condition we are, how can we afford to let that kind of revenue go by the wayside. You approved the sale, I think you should get what the legislators and get that corrected in statute and I would also finish by saying I hope that you are all considering passing a resolution unanimously tonight stating a position of this city council since it's been proven there is no ordinance for the double pensions, that the double part of the portion of the pension goes away immediately. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm not going to bring up the pension plan because you are right it is complicated, you have the Supreme Court rulings and you also have judge rulings on Pocius and Hazzouri, that went through the Courts, too, so really there is a lot of ramifications with these pensions, but it's also obvious that this government is not functioning, that's the bad point. That brought out the

shortcomings.

I always said that council should have a full-time member somewhere in that board, maybe the president should be a full-time job with two auxiliary people to help him, but not full-time, part-time and if the salary \$40,000 a year it wouldn't cost the city anything because with the three salaries combined it brings us almost to 40,000 and then when we have somebody to blame, somebody to put the finger at because he is paid to have answers on everything that come before us considering the rules of the city. You are a legislative branch.

You should know all of the laws or any ramification with the laws that come to you before council, but being you are not a full-time I would say you use the same axiom that I used to use a kid, ask me no questions I'll tell you no lies, and this is what happens with council.

This is bad. We got to reformulate council so we have somebody to look at and say you're supposed to have the answer. We are paying you to have the answers and this should be done and one step to improving the city. If nothing else, to improve your relationship between the citizens and council. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council, I'm Tom Ungvarsky. About 21 years ago Scranton was declared a distressed city. At that time, I believe PEL was appointed as our watchdog. As far as I know their job was to look over our budgets and make recommendations and in general approve of our expenditures. Can anyone on council

tell me why PEL didn't catch the double pavement? I mean, isn't that their duty to Lackawanna County over the things that this council passes, the expenditures we make, does anyone know?

MR. MCGOFF: I'll say yes and no to that, Mr. Ungvarsky. I'm not sure that they are supposed to look over every item when it comes through the -- they are supposed to administer the recovery plan and I don't know why they would oversee the pension payments. I'm not sure.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Well, wouldn't they look at the budget from year to the next and see what we're contributing and especially now that we are down to what 20 percent in the pension fund? Wouldn't they realize that there is a reason that we've fallen from 75 percent?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: I mean, if he are going to be advising the City of Scranton why can't they come up with things like this? I believe you see them on Mondays; is that correct?

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Can you find out how this slipped through the cracks?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Okay. I don't know what the recommendation will be, but they would overlook this, they'd advise Scranton to go into the lawsuits against the police and firemen that cost us a pile of money and they just walk away and say, oh, that's up to you, Scranton, we are sorry, but they go and collect their fees from the state. I think Scranton should do something to overturn our PEL. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,
Mr. Ungvarsky.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Once again call
your congressman, call your senator,

1-202-234-3121, find out who they voted and
tell them at the very least if you don't
want to change parties then tell them that
you are going to primary them as best you
can. And the President, 1-202-456-1111 for

the White House and also tell them that you don't plan to vote for the status quo, they have been random person for two years with the Democrats already and they are the very person that negotiated the Transpacific trade pack.

Also, there is a VA issue involved and certain congressmen feel it would conservative by stripping the Veteran's Administration. I never served in the military. I have may draft card and the draft was active and I wasn't called up, and in retrospect maybe the fact that I have all of my arms and all of my legs and I don't need their support is an asset as opposed people wanted to go to war and then they want to forget what you suffered.

On the mall, I think the parties

last week decided that when they were going
to get ahead of it with subsidies and loans
and sub-loans and so forth that they just
decided to put in a low bid and if they got
it they got it and if they didn't they
didn't, and that's an asset. Please, no
more sub-loans, no more grants, you want to

be a private business, private industry, free market then let them swim on their own and let them come up with the way. We have already taken -- if we consider all of the money that has been lost with pensions and loans and going on and on and on, we would probably have a paid set of bills.

Once again, I'd like to mention there is no responsible way to sell or monetize the sewer plant. It's going to be a corporation, in many other states and probably in and definitely in Pennsylvania, the sure rates skyrocket. It's an essential service, you cannot live in your house without sewer -- your water will be shut off, if it goes to a private corporation and they want to get greedy then you are just going to have your water shut down, your house can be condemned, and you are out on the street so really consider that.

And I'd like to see an analysis here of where we went wrong with all of these sub-loans and whatever. It just keeps going on and on and on and we never sit down and analyze everything and all of a sudden we

3

1

4

5

6

8

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a new recovery plan and it's so silly. It's ridiculous. Nothing -- it gets right back to spending a lot of money and handing very wealthy people a lot more money, and they make promises and 10 or 15 years later they say, oh, okay we are wrong, it just didn't work out and we are sorry, how about some more, and it's really ridiculous.

We have 18 million kids in this country on food -- on free lunch and reduced lunch and they are not in school right now and they are probably going hungry and, you know, it's millions upon millions and billions of dollars just going to businessmen that think they have a right to this -- to every penny they ever earned and every tax dollar we ever pay. I mean, they have ten years of tax exemptions with the mall, and as soon as they started paying taxes it emptied out. Most of the malls across the valley all have problems because of trade packs. People don't have money and there is other ways to buy things, granted, but the last time I bought on-line or over the phone order I got three incorrect

orders, and then I had to pay the shipping back so where was the advantage to that in addition to listening to my wife because it was her stuff that I was buying.

And, okay, I'll make it quick, but last week some property owners on East Mountain, I don't want insight anybody, but if you got a free set of steps to begin with, that was a really nice job up there on East Mountain Road, we don't need to be fixing other people's properties. How about fixing mine, too, you know? I'm not asking for nothing, but they shouldn't get anything either. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. Tuesday's presentation by
Auditor General Eugene Pasquale was
certainly an eye opener. His findings
indicate that there was a tremendous failure
of the entire city government in regards to
how these pensions were issued. He also

acknowledged that the Auditor General's

Office failed in not finding these

discrepancies earlier. I also think the

fund manager had some responsibility in

this, also.

I believe that the state and the city both felt that an over performing stock market would provide funding for these increases. The miscalculations and contributions in funds performance have lead to the pension crisis across America, but what do we do now? The findings of the Auditor General should be followed yo by the state police, Attorney General and the FBI, if necessary. The abuse of authority should not go unpunished.

As to the pensioners, I think it will be difficult to retrieve monies that have been already been paid, but future payments must be evaluated to establish a new pension amount that is fair to both the fund and to the pensioners.

The report also indicated that prior city councils/-S did not do enough to prevent this pension abuse. This current

council has taken a more active role in this matter. Council has begun reviewing the pension legislation. We've started to take a look at the makeup of the board to improve fund management. We have also gone on record requesting that payments be delayed until the fund is healthy. We also have gone on record supporting pension reform in Harrisburg. We also have passed legislation that requires uniform bargaining units to contribute more to their pensions.

Realistically, we all realize that increased contributions or slower withdrawals are required to bring the pension back to health. Future pension plans must be at least some type of hybrid plan that will ease the crises as time goes on, and before the meeting tonight we were given a letter written by Mayor Courtright suggesting that the Scranton pension plans join the state plan. At first look I think that this is a very good idea. As was mentioned, it would bring professional management to the pension funds and it will also relieve some of the pressure of the

people that are serving on the boards that are also going to be pension recipients, so at first look I think that's a very good idea.

On some other matters, I was surprised that the Mall at Steamtown actually garnished a \$5.2 million bid. When we sat here last Thursday night Mr. Boscov discussed his bid, his starting bid was around \$700,000 so hopefully the person who or people who purchased the mall will continue to make use of it as a retail and also as perhaps an office or residential community. I agree with several speakers tonight, I hope it does not become owned by a nonprofit.

On some other issues, I spoke to

John Pocius earlier this week concerning the engineering study that is being done for the paving of the roads that are going to be funded under the PIB program. Mr. Pocius told me that he has been in contact with the engineering firm and he will get back to me with his update later on this week.

Also, Mr. Gaughan and I have also

been working to try and relieve a problem up on East Mountain with the home that is severely a detriment to the neighborhood.

We have been dealing with Mr. Hinton and that and he is making progress on getting that problem corrected.

As Ms. Schumacher brought up, there has been an increase in overtime over the past month. The problem with picking numbers like and just saying that the overtime is up, it really doesn't give a full picture on what the situation is. It is true that overtime from 2015 is up over 2014, but we are also dealing with a situation where in May of 2014 we had 128 firemen on staff. Currently, this year we only had 118 so the work is being covered by overtime so actually there is a savings to the city of around \$131,000 because the extra men aren't on right now.

Last week I did speak to Mayor

Courtright and I also am becoming concerned about not hiring new firemen. Currently, right now, we are getting very low on staff. To the best of my knowledge the soonest we

could add any officers would be the next class is in September and if we don't hire anybody by then I'm not sure when the following classes.

If we are fortunate enough to receive the SAFER grant that would allow us I think to hire 11 new firemen and that class is large enough that it can be just a class for Scranton firemen, so it is something that I believe and it's something that I hope the mayor is beginning to realize that we have to get these officers, fire officers on hand.

And in terms of the police

department, it's the same type of a

situation, the overtime has increased from

2015 to 2014, but that is because there is

currently 13 officers off on heart and lung

related issues. From speaking to the chief,

I am also concerned that some of the

programs that we put in place and waiting

for their effectiveness, one of the things

that he did tell me was that through June

17, 2014, it was 722 shifts off by patrol

officers. For the same period this year,

17

18

16

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

ending June 17, there has only been 373 days off, so the sick time policy that we have instituted seems to be paying off. So I am going to sit down and speak some more with the Chief and Rebecca McMullen to do some more analyzing of these numbers and I think what we have to do is look for the payroll for the police and fire more as an overall picture than just an overtime picture. There is a budget number that we have for what it could cost us for the year for these services, and my intention is to monitor this on a quarterly basis to make sure that we are on target for what we had planned, and that's all I have tonight, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans?

Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, some takeaways from the press conference from the Auditor General. First of all, I have a lot of respect for the Auditor General for continuing to press for pension reform when many in Harrisburg are burying their heads in the sand and ignoring this problem. However, the AG attempted to

make a correlation between the double pensions and the current status of our pension plans. While it certainly was a contributing factor, it by no means was the sole reason for where we are today. Our issues is systemic and cultural and we need to make changes far more dramatic than was proposed by the Auditor General.

Pennsylvania laws for municipal pensions are very much intertwined with state law and until state government stops talking about pension reform and finally acts we cannot see a clear path to pension solvency.

On today's announcement by the mayor, I am fully supportive of Mayor Courtright's plan to turn the city's pension plans over the to state. While this is an action that should have taken place months ago, I am in total agreement. We are now going to find once and for all where the pensions board and the unions stand on this issue. Will they vote for the status quo or are they ready to embrace the changes necessary to start the long process of

bringing solvency to Scranton's pension plans?

Last week's discussion about the mall at Steamtown and the sale at auction yesterday had me feeling a little nostalgic about our downtown. I couldn't help but wonder if the city and school district had a competitive mercantile tax or no mercantile tax at all over the last 30 plus years what would our business community look like today? How many more businesses would open in Scranton inside of outside of the city? How many that left would have stayed? Would the loss of tax revenue be more than offset by an increase in commerce and jobs?

The same goes for the wage tax
raised years ago to a level that still
chases our middle class from our city. If
it were at least 1 percent less would we
have been more competitive for those young
families that are left or started over in
the suburbs? Can we attract a new
generation and retain those that have stayed
out of loyalty and love for our city?

And now with recent increases of 58

percent and 19 percent of the property tax we are depressing our real estate market, lowering housing values and losing more valuable property owners hurting young families and senior citizens the most and with little hope of reassessment from the County we need to find other ways to be is tax bearance.

We are a so far past the point of diminishing returns with tax increases that any increase in taxes and fees will actually in my opinion net less revenue in future years we somehow have to change course, take a new direction and reinvent this government and how it operates completely. We must find new ways to bore our way out of the distressed -- our distressed status.

To that end, we need to search out new ideas, we need to do a better job of listening and, of course, that includes on Thursday nights. I am proposing that we, as a city council, take the lead and beginning to have a series of idea sessions with our stakeholders. For example, we can share ideas with realtors and others to discuss

housing; the small business community to discuss ways to be more business friendly; the downtown merchants and property owners to find ways to support their growth; neighborhood groups to discuss their important issues; the financial community to get their input and ideas on our financial struggles; the creative class on how to continue to grow the arts community, and whoever else we may think may bring new, bold, fresh ideas to the table, but be forewarned those gatherings are not intended to be gripe sessions, they must be idea sessions.

I will be talking to my colleagues to see, first of all, if they are interested in pursuing this proposal; and secondly, how can we do this within the confines of city council, sometimes facing because of the Sunshine Act requirements and other restrictions, but I feel strongly that we have smart, energized, dedicated, loyal residents and business owners and it's time for all of us involved in city government to take a step back, to listen, to learn, and

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to share ideas and then become the government our taxpayers and our citizens deserve. That's all I have for now. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. attend the press conference with the Auditor General and the mayor about the pension, the double pension deal, and I think that a lot of it was things that we are already knew. The whole double pension deal was a complete and utter debacle, that went we know, and we can know that it cost us a lot of money, but the other thing it cost us that I think is even more damaging is the trust and faith of the public in our government, and I think that on top of all the other recommendations that the Auditor General gave us that I think we should follow I think we also have to work towards restoring that truth in that faith in our government because it's eroded. Everywhere I go I hear about it from the citizens of Scranton. So they want to be able to trust their government and have faith in it and I think that's the other

part of this whole deal that we have to really look at as we move forward.

As for Councilman Evans' idea I think it's a great idea, I think there are many people in this city who have new, bold and fresh ideas. There is a creative class in here, the University of Scranton,

Marywood, Lackawanna, and I think that we should sit down with them and I am excited to sit down with Councilman Evans and my colleagues and discuss that idea further.

A few months ago was mentioned that Comcast would be moving from their location in Steamtown Mall. We did receive a letter from Liz Sterner from Comcast, effective August 14 Comcast will move from the mall to the new Xfinity store at 1128 Commerce Boulevard in Dickson City so I think it's important that people know that.

Also, customers who wish to pay the bill may visit the new Xfinity store or use a third party payment location, and there are a few in Scranton and one in Dunmore.

Quick and Easy Quik Mart 220 South Seventh Avenue you can pay your bill there. Weiss

Market in South Side Shopping Center,
Riccardo's Market in Dunmore on Wheeler
Avenue and the Giant Food store in the
Greenridge Plaza, so if you don't want to
take the ride up to Dickson City there are
other places that you can pay your bill.

I did receive a citizen request about 1414 Fig Street. They are asking if DPW can fix the curb and gutter in front of their house. The water runoff is causing major problems so we will send correspondence to DPW Director Gallagher on that. Also, trying to take care of a problem at Lavelle Court and O'Hara Street in South Side with overgrown hedges.

Mr. Wechsler mentioned the East

Mountain Road steps, we did check with the

Business Administrator to see if he could

use liquid fuels money on that. The

response from the state was that it would be

ineligible so we are looking at other ways

of funding that, and I think Mr. Dobrzyn

brought it up, I do see your point, but from

what I'm told the people on East Mountain

Road were not involved in that proces and

they put cinderblock steps in and maybe a few months, a year or two afterwards they started to erode, so that's the issue up there so they are saying that they really don't think that they should have to pay for the improvement.

I received a request for a "Watch Children" sign at the intersection of Grant Street and Eagen Avenue in Minooka. DPW took care of this rather quickly so I thank them for that.

June 29 to July 3 the street sweeper is scheduled to be in the Minooka section from Saginaw Street to Vipond Avenue.

I did read in the newspaper and we saw on the news about the Villa Maria in West Side. I am glad to see that they are up and running. Joe Machako, it should be noted, I think, that he has been in business for over 30 years and he has done many benefits free of charge and many different things for the public and for the community, so I'm glad to see that his business is up and running again in this community.

I participated in a meeting this

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

week along with other city officials to discuss the Local Safe Roads Community Program. The City of Scranton had been selected to participate in this program based on our ranking according to the list developed by PennDOT that ranks all municipalities in Pennsylvania based on crashes, injuries, and population. discussed various high crash locations throughout the city. An engineer from the Pennsylvania Local Technical Assistance Program will eventually write a report that will provide several recommendations on how to improve safety at three locations in the The great thing about this program is citv. that it's at absolutely no cost to the city. And the report when it is developed can be used in the future to obtain funds through various grant applications.

I did resend a letter, we had our office resend a letter, regarding the police and fire contracts and two specific questions that I had asked back when this debate was going on in January and February were do the health care benefits for the

spouse of a fireman or policeman continue until the spouse reaches the age of 65 or do the spousal benefits expire when the firefighter or policeman reaches 65?

And secondly, and most importantly, has an actuarial study been done of the retirement incentive included in he firefighter and police department memorandum of understanding and the effect it would have on the pension? I think that's particularly important, because as we heard at the press conference, one of the major mistakes that was made back in 2002 was an actuarial study had not been done until after the people had retired. So I think it's important that we pay close attention to this and I'll be waiting for a response from the administration.

Also, I am going to ask that we send some kind of correspondence to PEL or maybe at the meeting my colleagues can ask and find out what the implications would be on the budget should the city lose this lawsuit for the rental registration. That's a serious concern and how we would fill that

void in the budget because we are approaching budget season for 2016 so very important. And that is al I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. A number of things. First of all, Ms. Hodowanitz gave me a notice of the Eighth Annual Historical Hill House Tour which will be conducted June 28 from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. Tickets purchased in advance are \$25, day of tour \$30. To purchase tickets call 570-344-2841, or you can stop by the Kaplin House at 232 Monroe Avenue for tickets. It's, obviously, a tour of many of the architectural and historic sites in the Hill Section. It's a free trolley ride to all of the sites, so if you are interested please take advantage of the situation.

Secondly, last week I mentioned the McCarthy family, tonight I again would like to congratulate Joe McCarthy on being a member of the college world series champions. The University of Virginia last night they defeated Vanderfield and Joe McCarthy was a significant member of that

team and that championship so congratulations to Joe and the McCarthy family.

Just a couple of things also, on the PEL meeting of this week we mentioned a caucus, during the PEL meeting there was a discussion of payroll tax which is part of the recovery plan, the imposition of the payroll tax. Payroll tax would a neutral, revenue neutral tax, and the eliminate the mercantile taxes I believe, is that --

MR. EVANS: Yeah.

MR. MCGOFF: And it is being looked into. It's a much more equitable type of tax and hopefully we can get that in place in time for at least 2016.

We also talked about the citywide light program for an LED switchover. It is hoped that the savings from putting in the LED bulbs would actually pay for, you know, the switch over so that hopefully will be taken place throughout the city. It was initially intended just for the downtown, but it may take place, you know, on a further basis throughout the city.

We did meet with Rainey & Rainey who are the budget prep company that was hired. They will begin their work on July 1 in preparing the budget preparation. They said that they would provide updates to city council on their work. There is a meeting next week with SB & Company and we will inquire as to whether they will be willing to provide updates once the budget moves to -- moves forward and to actual --I'm saying the budget, actual preparation of the audit. excuse me.

The question was asked last week about PFM & Company and how they would be paid, as we had mentioned in the past PFM the reason that there were no invoices for PFM for 2014 or 2015 they will be paid out of the proceeds of the work that they do, and at this time the primary work is the parking monetization so their payment will be part of that and will not come out of the general fund so will be no invoices to see until that monetization is completed.

As far as the Auditor General and his report as was I think Mr. Gaughan said,

20

21

22

23

24

25

already know. It seemed a bit repetitive of the investigation that was done by the Scranton Times and so the report as far as the monies were concerned was actually, you know, nothing new to the city. What I found interesting was there was -- there were no criminal actions included in this report. They didn't really -- all they did was assign some culpability to city councils in the past for not approving the double pensions. I don't know, you know, what happened at those meetings or whether they ignored it or whether it was never presented, we have no culpability in it, but I found it strange that it seemingly just glossed over, you know, who should have noticed this, including their own office which I found interesting.

it seemingly was nothing that we did not

I think there were something like five or seven audits done by the Auditor General in that period and they did not pick up on the double pension so when you ask why was this not noticed, it was missed by an awful lot of people and people who should

have possibly noticed this in their work.

The pension plan is something that is the albatross around the City's head right now and when you say, you know, why didn't people know that this was happening, well, PEL did warn the city that it was happening, but there were things that we could not avoid. The biggest problem was disability pensions. We are paying more in disability pensions than we are in retirement pensions and that is something that's draining the pension fund.

Hopefully, some of the things that were done in the new contract with the police and fire will hopefully take care of, you know, some of those issues, but we are looking at, you know, a very serious problem and we have been warned of it, we knew of it, it's a problem as to how to fix it.

Where do you get the money to fix
the problem, and certainly we are not
ignoring it. It is the every day problem,
it is something that is being addressed on a
daily basis by the administration and
overseen by council to a some extent and

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hopefully we can, you know, resolve that problem in the near future.

I'm wondering if perhaps a letter of support from city council for the mayor's request to have the pension plan moved to the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System whether that would be worthwhile, I don't know if other members of council would agree

MR. GAUGHAN: I would agree with I also think maybe we should add or that. in a separate letter ask for an update on what we are doing regarding this disability pensions and the pension ordinances. know that's something that we have discussed with our their solicitor and I know they are talking about it, but, look, I think this -you just made a great point. That's so important. It is draining the funds from our pensions, so before we try to dump any money into the pension or give it to the state let's make sure that we on our own end that we do things to firm up and tighten up these ordinances so maybe we conclude that in another letter, but I agree with you.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Do we all agree with 2 that? 3 MR. EVANS: Absolutely. Yeah, because I think this is something that's 4 5 long overdue and I think we should done something quite awhile ago, so I'm perfectly 6 fine with sending a letter basically saying 7 8 everything you said. 9 MR. MCGOFF: And, Mr. Wechsler? 10 MR. WECHSLER: I agree. I think 11 it's a step that has to be taken just to 12 remove the city employees out of pension 13 fund. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. And, Mrs. Reed, we will possibly send letters to the 15 Auditor General. 16 17 MR. EVANS: Well, the mayor's letter 18 was to the Pension Board, so I think we can 19 send our letter to the Pennsylvania Board as 20 well. MR. MCGOFF: Yeah, there were a 21 22 bunch of people that were cc'd on this, 23 maybe we best do the same. 24 MR. EVANS: Yeah. 25 MR. MCGOFF: But, yes, I think that

it is necessary for council to support this idea, and you are right it is long overdue.

And as far as getting council members to meet with different stakeholders in the community, I think that's a great idea. I was at a meeting today which a business that is looking to possibly locate into the City of Scranton and what it came down to at the end the talk was that what we need, what we need is a plan. I think that we need a very distinct business plan for the City of Scranton rather than just piecemealing and hoping that we will get new businesses and, you know, we need to do something ourselves to go out and encourage people to come to the City of Scranton.

We need -- we need all of the stakeholders to get together somehow and develop a sound business plan for the City of Scranton that we can use moving forward. I think that there is progress being made, I know I'm the optimist many times, I think that there is a lot of work being done in many ways to help the City of Scranton, and I hope that by the time we are finished that

24

25

there is a discernable process made in dealing with the problems that the City of Scranton has.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 35, 2013, AN ORDINANCE, AS AMENDED ENTITLED "AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO DISBURSE THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$30,000.00) FROM THE ACCOUNT INTO WHICH REPAYMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS (UDAG) ARE DEPOSITED (UDAG REPAYMENT ACCOUNT) FOR THE CONNELL PARK AND NOVEMBRINO SWIMMING POOLS TO BE OPENED IN TIME FOR THE 2013 SWIM SEASON" TO ALLOW THE REMAINING FUNDS IN THE ACCOUNT TO BE USED FOR REPAIRS DONE TO THE CONNELL PARK SWIMMING POOL IN 2014 AND THE REPAIRS CURRENTLY BEING DONE FOR THE 2015 SWIM SEASON OR UNTIL ALL FUNDS ARE EXHAUSTED.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. WECHSLER: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

\_

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? One of the things that was brought up by -- that Ms. Schumacher brought up was if we are transferring funds from the Novembrino Complex to Connell Park which is a necessary thing to do in order to get that pool ready for the swim season, which we are already into, but I think we should also get into what the plans are, if are there any plans, for the Novembrino Complex.

I know, as I said, when Mrs.

Schumacher was speaking there were some plans for a splash park and a very nice outdoor, you know, complex there, but the funding fell through. I would like to know if that plan is still viable, and if they are still pursuing something to do with the Novembrino Complex.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
2	RESOLUTION APPOINTMENT OF DONALD JOSEPH
3	WALSH, 1006 DERBY AVENUE, SCRANTON,
4	PENNSYLVANIA 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE
5	HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD EFFECTIVE JUNE
6	16, 2015. MR. WALSH WILL BE REPLACING MARIO
7	BEVILACQUA WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE IN
8	NOVEMBER OF 2014. MR. WALSH WILL FULFILL
9	THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. BEVILACQUA WHOSE
10	TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24,
11	2018.
12	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
14	introduced into its proper committee.
15	MR. WECHSLER: So moved.
16	MR. EVANS: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
21	MR. EVANS: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -

1	A RESOLUTION APPOINTMENT OF KATHLEEN
2	GALLAGHER, 946 NORTH GARFIELD AVENUE,
3	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER
4	OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION
5	AUTHORITY. MS. GALLAGHER WILL BE REPLACING
6	ANTHONY MARINUCCI WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE
7	APRIL 7, 2015 AND WHOSE TERM WAS SCHEDULED
8	TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 17, 2015. MS. GALLAGHER'S
9	TERM WILL BE EFFECTIVE JUNE 18, 2015 AND
10	WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 18, 2020.
11	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
13	introduced into its proper committee.
14	MR. WECHSLER: So moved.
15	MR. EVANS: Second.
16	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? I
17	should have asked that in the prior one,
18	have we received resumes?
19	MS. REED: Yes, Mr. McGoff, for both
20	of these.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much.
22	All those in favor of introduction
23	signify by saying aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
25	MR. EVANS: Aye.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 3 ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 5 104 2015 - AN ORDINANCE - ESTABLISHING A 6 7 LOADING/UNLOADING ZONE ALONG THE WESTERLY 8 CURBLINE OF THE 300 BLOCK OAKFORD COURT 9 BETWEEN LINDEN STREET AND MULBERRY STREET 10 FOR A LENGTH OF THIRTY (30) FEET FROM THE NORTHERLY EDGE OF THE EXISTING CONCRETE 11 SIDEWALK AT THE REAR ENTRANCE OF THE LINDEN 12 STREET APARTMENTS NORTH TOWARDS MULBERRY 13 14 STREET. 15 MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by 16 title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 17 MR. EVANS: Mr. Chairman, I move 18 that Item 6-A pass reading by title. MR. WECHSLER: Second. 19 20 A11 MS. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 23 MR. EVANS: Aye. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 156, 2015 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE GRANT MANAGER AND OTHER CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, FOR A PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GREEN LIGHT GO PROGRAM (ROUND 1) GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$6,150.00 TO BE USED FOR ASSET MANAGEMENT OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS LOCATED IN "CRITICAL CORRIDORS" TO TRACK EQUIPMENT FAILURES AND EVALUATE SYSTEM RELIABILITY TO IMPROVE SAFETY AND MOBILITY BY REDUCING CONGESTION AND IMPROVING EFFICIENCY OF EXISTING TRAFFIC LIGHTS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND IF THE GRANT APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE THE GRANT FUNDS.

MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Public Works?

MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, this legislation and this grant I hope we get it. One of the concerns that I think you brought it up, Mr. McGoff, months ago, and we have all heard concerns from residents, is the problems with the lights and how you might sit at a light for five or so minutes and it's very frustrating for many citizens, so this grant if we get it will help towards fixing that problem and fixing some congestion problems throughout the city.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Roll call, please.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: What is the

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 157, 2015 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE GRANT MANAGER AND OTHER CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, FOR A PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION GREEN LIGHT GO PROGRAM (ROUND 1) GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,825.00 TO BE USED FOR ASSET MANAGEMENT OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS LOCATED IN "DESIGNATED CORRIDORS" TO TRACK EQUIPMENT FAILURES AND EVALUATE SYSTEM RELIABILITY TO IMPROVE SAFETY AND MOBILITY BY REDUCING CONGESTION AND IMPROVING EFFICIENCY OF EXISTING TRAFFIC LIGHTS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND IF THE GRANT APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE THE GRANT FUNDS.

	14
1	recommendation of the Chair for the
2	Committee on Public Works?
3	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-B.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
7	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
18	Prior to adjournment, I would like to thank
19	Attorney Colbassani for his presence this
20	evening, and if there is no further
21	business, I'll entertain a motion to
22	adjourn.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn.
24	MR. MCGOFF: This meeting is
25	adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

	76
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	