	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, JUNE 14, 2012
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	CATHENE 3. NARDUZZI, RFR - UFFICIAL COURT REPURTER

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here. Dispense with the
14	reading of the minutes, please.
15	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, HEARING DATES MAY 30,
17	AND JUNE 27 OF 2012.
18	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CITY OF SCRANTON
21	2012 CASH FLOWS, GENERAL FUND UPDATED MAY
22	17, 2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. CHECKS RECEIVED

FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE ON JUNE 7, 2012

ARE AS FOLLOWS: DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE

TAXES, CHECK FOR \$20,405.85, LST

DISTRIBUTION, CHECK FOR \$319,449.58, EARNED

INCOME TAX DISTRIBUTION, CHECK FOR

\$231,904.88, REAL ESTATE DISTRIBUTION

\$43,061.75, BUSINESS PRIVILEGE DISTRIBUTION,

CHECK FOR \$114,767.77.

MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do we have any clerk's notes this evening, Mrs. Krake?

MS. KRAKE: No, Mrs. Evans.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. MCGOFF: Just one. The Scranton Tomorrow drive-in downtown movies series will be starting. There are four dates or five dates set for movies set on June 21, the Muppets; June 23, for all of the Notre Dame fans out there, Rudy; July 12, Mama Mia; and July 19 Rocky, with the fifth movie on July 26, that one was has not yet been announced. Last year it met with -- the nights that they were able to actually show

the movies met with great success. They unfortunately ran into some inclement weather last year, but great idea. Go downtown, it's on courthouse square, see a movie, grab something to eat in a local restaurant. Great event.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Just a comment, I wanted to mention this before public participation just because it's so important to the city, I am sure everyone else on the council has received this letter, it's from Blue Cross of Northeastern, Pennsylvania, and I'm not going to read the whole thing, but I'll read the most important part.

"Please accept this writing as notice of the Blue Cross of Northeastern's PA intent to terminate the administrative service agreements between First Priority Life Insurance and the City of Scranton.

Under and pursuant to Article 9, subparagraph D, the agreement will be terminated effective ten days from the date hereof unless the city cures the default."

From the e-mails and from speaking

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

. .

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Mr. McGowan, the city owes over \$2 million to Blue Cross. I have heard, not officially, but I have heard that this has been extended to the end of the month.

MS. EVANS: Yes, that was -- I learned that today as well.

That's what I heard as MR. ROGAN: well today, and tonight the only thing on the agenda is the money for the Parking Authority. Personally, I believe that the most important issue facing the city right now is making sure our employees, and especially our retires, have health care coverage. I know in the past the first TAN that the city received using -- and, Mr. Joyce kept us updated every week, they made an agreement with the bank that all of the real estate revenue that came in was placed into a lockbox where the money couldn't be moved and had to be paid right back to the bank. I would hope that the administration would consider another tax anticipation note to pay at least -- just the to pay Blue Cross, what is owed to our employees and retirees and continue to have health care

and for Dunmore Oil so we can keep our police cars on the street and our fire trucks as well.

MS. EVANS: If I could interject, the fuel bill has been paid and, in addition, I do agree with what you are saying and I am going to comment on the pursuit of the TAN under motions tonight.

MR. ROGAN: I think everyone would be in agreement that we'll do everything we can to make sure that the employees have health care and to make sure everyone is getting paid. I'll talk about it more under motions, but I just wanted to mention this before citizen participation because it's such an important issue. And that is all I have.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes. There will be a benefit in honor of our late patrolman canine Grizzly at 2028 Pittston Avenue from 6 to 11 p.m. on June 30. And tickets are \$10 for adult, \$5 per child. All donation are greatly appreciated and all proceeds will be donated to the Scranton Police

Department canine unit.

And just to repeat until the time of the function something I brought up in the past several weeks, there will be a fundraiser "Give Jim Lance a Second Chance," Jim was in a terrible motorcycle accident and while he was recuperating in the hospital his wife passed away, and they leave behind a daughter.

This fundraiser will be July 14, from 5 to 10 p.m. at Holy Rosary Center on West Market Street, and if you have any questions or want to make any donation you can call 575-6752. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Any more announcements?
The public caucus with business
administrator Ryan McGowan and Mayor Doherty
scheduled for this evening was cancelled.
Mr. McGowan had a previous family commitment
and the mayor failed to respond. However,
Mr. McGowan contacted Solicitor Hughes on
June 12 to explain his absence and to assure
him and city council that the City of
Scranton has available funds to remit the
SPA bond payment.

Flag Day is celebrated today across our nation. This holiday commemorates the adoption of the 13 stripes and 13 stars flag of the second constitutional Congress on June 14, 1777. Over a century later, President Woodrow Wilson established June 14 as Flag Day in 1916 and Congress passed legislation in 1949 asking the president to issue an annual proclamation calling for an observance of the holiday. During this national flag week, we are encouraged to proudly to fly our American flags.

On behalf of Scranton City Council,
I would like to wish all the gentlemen in
our community a very happy and blessed
Father's Day and to Councilman Loscombe and
McGoff and to my husband and son, enjoy your
special day and may it bring you are all
that you are so deserving of, and that's it.

MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MS. EVANS: Our first speaker tonight is Andy Sbaraglia.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guess Billy turned over to the city last week \$660,518.08, that was a total of all of them figures that you read off that come out to that amount that Billy gave the city.

Now, the business administrator said there is money to pay the Scranton Parking Authority. True, there is if we don't pay the other bills, but that's the quirks. Yous got to learn to play hard ball. You just don't see it, I know we could be sued, but if you give them this money, I have been telling you for awhile, you should request they all resign as a stipulation for that money. The banks tell you that. They have told you if you want the loan you got to give us all the tax money. That's a stick they hit you on the head with. Now you got to hit the stick on the head in the Parking Authority. To give them money will say that you love them. You think they are doing a terrific job and they are doing a terrific job.

The board owns the Parking

Authority. The board put us where we are

with the Parking Authority. No one else.

That's the board. Why are you condoning their actions if you pass this? True, you could be sued, but the bank put stipulations on everything. It won't give you the \$16 million in the paper, I don't know why they keep pushing it, you know, it's like 28 million with that 9.8 million that we had from one deal and the 16 million from the other deal, why they keep printing 16 million is beyond me when you know it's 20 some million. I don't know why they do that. The paper is great for that. Only half truths and that's not right. People got a right to know what's happening within the city.

And you just cannot condone incompetence. There is no way you can condone it. True, we can't do much to do it unless you put the pressure on it, and the only way you can put the pressure on them is with this loan. Without the loan, they got to go. With the loan someone else could be take over their spots. We may lose at the end anyway, but at least you are not condoning their actions.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I watched members of council that voted for a lot of this garbage get pensions from the city. They weren't entitled to pensions from the city, but where we are today is because of their voting record.

You look at the mayor, sell the golf course. That money is gone. The South Side Complex I guess it just went through, that's gone, and then you have the \$198 -- that 198 year lease for the old DPW site for a \$1 a year, I guess they are putting it up. I don't know how much money we got for that We are supposed to get building permits on that land over there that they building -- I think they are building the station there for -- they were talking about Turkey Hill, I don't know if that's going through or somewhere else, but there is a garage -- there is a gas station going in there of some form anyway.

Now, we were told we can't get real estate on it because we leased it for a buck a year, we own that land, but we are supposed to get the tax on the improvements. Did anybody ever check to see if we got some

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxes on that improvement over there?

That's a must, too. You cannot let anything slip by anymore. We are in trouble. We are in a deep, deep hole. sinking into the mine voids. There is no question about it, and there is no way out. You can opt for Chapter 11, Chapter 9 or whatever the chapter, you don't want to go into default, but believe me we are close to default. We do not have the money to pay our bills and we don't have money to throw away. That's for certain. I mean, priority has to be made. If you think the parking garage is a terrific priority by all means go with it, but you should get something for that burden we are going to put on the taxpayers because something else ain't being paid.

You should ask for their resignation as a condition. That you should have done a long time ago. To give them a blank check for something they did is -- well, you got to complete disregard for the taxpayers of Scranton. Them people should have resigned already. They should have at least had that

gumption to resign, knowing that what they did and if they don't have enough gumption to do that then you should make them do that. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council. Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident and member of the
Taxpayers' Association, proud founder of the
Legion of Doom with the help of the Scranton
Times-Tribune, Chris Kelly, and also
co-chairperson for the Kids Swim Free.
Looks like all the pools are going to be
closed this year with the exception of the
Nay Aug Park, but you are going to have to
spend \$4 to spend up there. What ashame.

But anyway, "Just Do it. You know,
I don't care anymore." Those are direct
quotes from our mayor. "Restore the Pride".
Those words right there restore a lot of
pride in me. Just do it and I don't care
anymore.

Well, in my opinion the mayor has not cared for 15 years. Four years as a

city councilman and 11 years as the mayor of this city, okay? Now, he says, "You think I want to raise taxes 78 percent?"

Yes, Mr. Mayor. Yes, Mr. Doherty. Yes, I do believe you wanted to raise the You raised them 26 percent with the help of your rubber stampers, the Doherty three, McGoff, Fanucci and Gatelli. You got Mr. McGoff to change his vote and he voted not to -- on your veto, not to override your veto, so Mr. McGoff voted for Mayor Doherty's budget and Mayor Doherty's budget called for closing of the fire stations and laying off firefighters and police officers. So, last week Mr. McGoff tried to spin that he did not vote for laying off firefighters and police officers, he most certainly did with his vote.

I see fire stations are still closed and from what I understand the Mulberry station was closed again today. So, you know, here we are. How can the Parking Authority get to be -- if this is true how did the Parking Authority get to be \$100 million in debt? I see Boris is here today,

maybe he can investigate that and find out and let the citizens of Scranton know how, how the Parking Authority in Scranton Pennsylvania is possibly \$100 million in debt. Think about that. They've got what, four garages and they are \$100 million in debt? I think an investigation needs to be done and I would like to see the Scranton Times-Tribune assist and also WNEP TV and WBRE.

The time has come. We can no longer continue on the road we are on. This is disastrous. You know, it shouldn't be "City in Crisis," the article is titled, it should be "City in Ruins" because that's where we are at. We are not in crisis anymore, we are in ruins. So I would like to see them change that. It should be "The City is in ruins. The City of Scranton is ruined."

And it's all because -- well, it's not all because of Mayor Doherty, he had a lot of help, but it actually didn't start with Mayor Doherty, it actually started in my opinion with Mayor Peters, but I can go all the way back to Mayor Hanlon if you want

me to. The city has been in distress and in ruin for a long, long, long time and now it all is coming, the melting pot, and all melted right into the pot and here we are.

You know, \$100,000 in revenue, \$200,000 in revenue, I'm sorry, but that's not going to do it. That is not going to get the City of Scranton -- that's not going to help, you know. Now, I see the mayor was quoted again in today's paper saying, well, he is only to get a couple of hundred thousands dollars from the tax exempts.

Baloney. He can get millions if he really wanted to, the problem is he doesn't want to. Like he said today very appropriately, "I don't care anymore."

Well, he never cared. You know, we lost the South Side Complex now for good.

Where is our softball field that he promised us when he sold that? Can anybody tell me where it's at? Maybe the Times-Tribune can look into that, too, and investigate what happened to Mayor Doherty's softball field. I don't see it. The University of Scranton is going to have a real nice field. It's

25

1

going to be beautiful. You know what, and they are probably going to pave the roads because if they have NCAA tournaments they are going to pave the roads. The rest of the city, no, we ain't going to get the roads paved. If we do, a few of them. anyway, can someone during motions, someone want to answer my question how did the Parking Authority be allowed to go \$100 million in debt? I don't understand that. I have asked a lot of people. A lot of people from outside of this area in different states and different cities and they laugh. They say, "A hundred million dollars?"

So this is a city in ruins not a city in crises. I can't wait to read tomorrow's article.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie, Scranton Taxpayers' Association.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: This \$16 million really befalls me because of the fact that \$9 million -- over \$9 million is going to be

paid for funding the pensions in 2011 funds. Wow. That's a lot of money and, you know, basically what the mayor's plan and PEL it's academic because of the fact that they want to raise the taxes 78 percent.

If you recall just recently you saw in the paper page after pages of people in the city under the treasurer's sale that were going to lose their home. Now, if you raise the taxes over the coming years you are just paying the Times more money to publish more homes in there because, you know, I strongly support the supermajority against this here PEL and the mayor's Recovery Plan.

You know, the mayor, you know, he wasn't prepared to be the mayor, and I said that before and now we are going to be -- the debt service throughout years, expenditures through the years, we are not going to be able to make it. We are not going to be able to make it. He wasn't prepared and the problem was that for ten years he was left, as I said, with unbridled spending. Scranton Times sat by. The

Scranton Times are financial contributors to the mayor's campaign. That's a fact.

Thousands of dollars went into his campaign.

It's the only newspaper -- well, daily newspaper in the City of Scranton. Where were the investigative reporters for the default of the Scranton Parking Authority, the problems with everything else that went on? Nobody, nobody ever questioned any of this money being spent. The only one that questioned it through the years was

Mrs. Evans and, of course, she was criticized and every time they would write

another caricature of her, you know.

It's really disturbing and it just the only way I can see it, and I'm not a what's it, a CPA or anything like that, but is bankruptcy. I cannot see us taxing people when we know how many people out there cannot pay the taxes, now declining population, what else do we have in the city? But, you know, and to see John Blake, you know, he is putting his two cents in, well, he should be saying, "I'm going to try to get you some money. I'm going to get you

some money," you know, instead he runs to the Scranton Times and gives them a speech and they print it, you know, it's the same old story, you know.

But I would say, you know, that the Scranton Times, you know, this might be a spin that's a little bit differently, and funny but the fact is that I think that they are responsible for a lot of the debt, you know. And, you know, I would think that they would backup -- they should back up their corporation, you know, and anything that to the bankers if they have so much faith in Mr. Doherty if they have a bank that they will back their corporation, their building, the one up in Waverly that they built, to pay off any loans. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

 ${\tt MR.\ LOSCOMBE:\ Thank\ you.}$ 

MS. EVANS: Kevin Young.

MR. YOUNG: Kevin Young, Scranton resident. Recently May 17 my wife and I refinanced our house. Upon closing of our house, we learned that the title insurance

company found us in default for a 2011 garbage fee and default for the first half of 2012 garbage fee. May 17, 2012, that fee isn't even due until the 31st of May. The mortgage company -- we told the mortgage company we paid this tax, this garbage fee, so they said would look into it.

In the mean time, we are married, we just got married in May, and we joined all our accounts as one. Our checking account is different now and the -- what do you call it, the mortgage company informs us that Scranton has told them there is no record of us paying this and that they will have to keep the money because they paid it. They won't refund us what we paid on our closing fee for the garbage fee of 2011 and the garbage fee of 2012.

So I called the tax office today and I talked to the Treasurer's Office. I was informed that the company who was collecting this tax -- this garbage fee in 2011 has left the city and no longer is doing it and they have no records of any of us Scranton residents who have paid the 2011 garbage

fee, that why I am now considered in default by the title search company. They can't get or produce a receipt of payment, even though we have our checks that we wrote out to the city and cashed and, let me tell you, on May 24 our check for \$89 was cashed by the city even though May 17 or previously they felt that was still we in default that payment wasn't due until the 31st.

My question to you, Mrs. President, is if I did not ask for a full explanation of every fee that I had to pay on the closing my house I would not have known that I was assessed \$268 in my closing fee to cover Scranton's garbage fee that I was claimed in default of. This is our treasury department for the city who told me, the clerk told me is complete chaos, it's a mess. This isn't going to get resolved any time soon. We can't get a garbage fee taken care of, how are we supposed to get multi-millions and millions of dollars taken care of it?

How many residents in this city has closed or sold their house or bought a house

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or refinanced a house and is not aware that they may be paying a fee again. That they were found to be in default? This is ridiculous. People need to know these problems. This is the Treasurer's Office of the City of Scranton and we have this problem?

I'm a life -- I was born and raised in Scranton. I work for the Scranton Post Office, we are fighting to keep that office open right now, okay? I came back and I'm living in Scranton now for over a year. have been in Scranton a year. They have already changed, made a street one-way, the mayor has made a street off of Davis Street one-way, I talked to Mr. Rogan about this last year, council tells me that was illegal, there has to be an ordinance passed to change the direction of a street, that was a year ago I brought it to council's attention. That street still is one-way, an illegal one-way. I watched so many people get a ticket for an illegal one-way street that the mayor instructed traffic to make without passing the ordinance through

council.

You know what that did? That now created everybody going and turning into Penny's Credit Union and the Waffle House parking lot. That made be even a bigger safety issue because now the people who are turning into Main Source to go shopping are right directly at the people in the same turning lane to turn into the credit union parking lot to get through the neighborhood.

Do you realize that street being closed puts ten minutes on all of the people who live in that neighborhood? Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Young, if you would leave, please, your name, address and telephone number with Ms. Carrera, we can look into the garbage fee situation for you and contact the company, NRS, who is currently handling delinquent refuse fees and hopefully, you know, we can get this straightened out for you, we will certainly do our best, so if you can provide that to information, please.

Our next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello. Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. ELLMAN: Mrs. Janet, it was

Mr. Young?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ELLMAN: You remember a couple of years ago I complained I paid my taxes twice. I got copies of the checks that went through the bank, there is nothing on the records from the city, then I went when they were at the other office. We lost \$2,400 someplace, you know. Good luck to you trying to collect anything out of this place.

Oh, God, I tell you. You know, I implore you five people up there, we put you up there and your allegiance is to us, not Mr. Scopelliti and that bunch of fools that have been just absolutely messed up one thing after another in this city. We are going to get sued, our taxes are going to double, pay off the debts with this million dollars and forget that bunch down there at the Scranton Parking Authority. That's just throwing away a million dollars. It's a lost cause.

You know, like I said, everybody knows it's coming because of the mismanagement from Chris Doherty and the graph and corruption that's going on it's never going to stop. It's just, I don't know.

Next year I'm not paying the taxes on my house. I'm going to put it up for sale at the end of the year and if it hasn't sold I'm gone, you know? I cannot afford --there is no way I am going to pay \$2,500 taxes. I just don't have it, you know? They have gone up. When I bought my house 20 years ago it was \$740. You know, Mr. Doherty taxes are \$8,300 or \$8,400, I'd like to see him write it the day his taxes are doubled like everyone else's because I don't believe -- I think it will end up like the \$19 building permit for Austin Burke.

Mr. Rogan, you are so bent on going to Harrisburg, go to Harrisburg and get somebody's ear to do something about the nonprofits. That's the cause of everything. That's the cause of why our taxes are a third more because of a bunch of the guys

like over at the University of Scranton, ten years ago today is when the dorm opened. What's religious about that dorm? It's made them a fortune. What's religious about that lousy sign welcoming people? That building paid -- that sign cost the taxpayers' \$100 week and it was \$5,000 of taxes lost to put a sign up.

You know, I saw in the paper last week 25 years ago Mr. Wenzel, Mayor Wenzel asked -- did any of you see this? He asked the tax exempt people in town to make a -- if they could help make some payments 25 years ago and we were in debt then for \$1,200,000. You know, they didn't give nothing then and they won't do nothing now. You need to get something done and get a program like they have in Wyoming County. There is no sense in us losing everything in the city.

Last week I said Marywood took the second \$200,000 house off the tax rolls this year. This week the Polish National Catholic Church bought a house so that's gone, and yesterday of all things that

Lackawanna Neighbors, which is absolutely nothing, it's just as phoney as they come, they bought a house.

This man just runs a real estate business, and I was reminded there's not one thing -- I was talking to a guy at the grocery store today, he said he wasn't seen one activity at the high school. They are supposed to do this and that and everything else. That building shouldn't have been taken away and put on the tax rolls ten years ago. That's what's wrong, because nothing is ever done for the taxpayers.

But, like I said, I will put my house up for sale, if it sells good and if doesn't I am not paying taxes next year. That's just -- I am not going to -- you know, I'm not going to just sacrifice everything for a bunch of incompetents that run the city, the trouble is that Chris Doherty and Mr. Scopelliti and the rest of those spendthrifts they have never had to give up anything, they always say the taxpayers got to give it up. Well, I'm not giving it up. You people need me more than

I need the city. You need a whole bunch of me's. Thousands of me's are gone from here. You know, you got to get them back somehow. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd just like to begin this evening by briefly reiterating my thoughts on the Scranton Parking Authority.

As I did state last week, I do believe at this time it's in the city's best interest to transfer the necessary funding from the contingency to the Bank of New York Mellon Bank Trust Company for payment of the SPA's June 1 bond payment. This is Item 6-A on this evening's agenda for those who are looking to be informed.

However, I will once again state that my thoughts on the SPA haven't changed nor do I believe that this is a back peddle move by council as the Scranton Times and others in the community may want to portray it as. The reality of the situation is very simple. The SPA has been mismanaged for ten years and it has incompetent leadership.

This is an authority that according to a 2001 audit was \$8 million in debt, and this didn't include the interest. We now learn to this point in the 2010 audit that the authority is nearly \$100 million in long-term debt.

As I have stated in the past, my hope is that ultimately the Scranton Parking Authority will fail, and I do believe it will. When this does occur, we can then begin the process of selling or leasing our parking garages, therefore, taking those matters into our hands, which I believe we should have done a long time ago.

Moving onto the Recovery Plan, for several weeks now we have had some discussion on the mayor's proposed Recovery Plan and we have debated whether or not it's in the city's best interest moving forward. As a concerned citizen tonight, I'm looking out for Scrantonians in the city, it is my opinion that that plan is not in the best interest of the residents of this city. As we take a look at some of the highlights of the mayor's plan, he calls for a 78 percent

tax increase over the next three years. I find that be to totally unrealistic to expect the taxpayers of this city to take a tax increase like that on. He also proposes an increase in the garbage fee by \$22 over the next two years. This is a fee that I have said in the past I find this to be taxation without representation. I have never supported the garbage fee, I believe that's why we pay our taxes and that's one of the services that should be provided along with public safety.

For the last ten years the only solutions brought forward by this administration have been borrowing and increases in fees placing heavy burdens on the residents of this city. This just seems to be, as the mayor was quoted in today's paper, the "Just Do It" mentality that we have had to deal with for the last ten years.

Last week we were told by Councilman McGoff that it was "irresponsible" for us to reject an invitation to meet with DCED in Harrisburg to discuss the mayor's Recovery

Plan, but I think we need to take a step back and remember this is an entity, that along with PEL for the last ten years -- for the last 19 years, I should say, has caused more financial problems and headaches for our city. I believe that this so-called mediation session is nothing more than a ploy to get council and the mayor to sit down and for DCED to just jam this mayor's Recovery Plan down our throats.

If we want to talk about irresponsible, let's take a look at the last ten years worth of irresponsibility. Let's take a look at the fiscal mismanagement. Well, Councilman McGoff stated that council majority needs to come up with it's own plan. Well, they have. We have offered many solutions, but they have been ignored, and at the same time I find it amusing how I haven't heard anything from Councilman McGoff regarding solutions for the Recovery Plan, yet we want to play the finger pointing game. What else is new.

Let's look at the borrowing and the spending, the tax increases, no bid

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contracts, the millions of dollars we have squandered in legal fees to individuals such as Carl Greco, and we go on and on and on here tonight, but that's irresponsible behavior, but we seem to want to just sweep that away.

I do agree we need a Recovery Plan in this city. However, we need a plan that is a joint effort between the council and mayor. Unfortunately, the mayor sees things another way and that's his way. He has failed to include many of council's proposals such as an enforcement of a 15 percent parking tax on private and nonprofit parking lots and garages throughout the city. He has also failed to include an alternative parking meter program, such as the StreetSmart program, which we have been discussing for several months now that at this point I believe we could have generated thousands of dollars and perhaps we wouldn't be standing here tonight talking about the SPA.

He has also failed to aggressively pursue payments in lieu of taxes, or PILOTS

as you like to call them, from nonprofits throughout the city.

The mayor has also failed to address the Supreme Court ruling in his proposed Recovery Plan dealing with the unions. How can we in good faith adopt a Recovery Plan, an adequate Recovery Plan, without this vital information. I find that be irresponsible to do so without that.

It's time for the administration to come forward publically and explain its plan for recovery and not place all of this on council's shoulders. This financial nightmare has been caused by this administration and this administration along with his past rubber stamp councils. It's time they come forward and they're held accountable once and for all. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, just as a point of information, it is correct that the city has guaranteed the Parking Authority bonds close to \$100 million.

However, it's not total principal. What it

is \$51,931, 38 -- \$51,931,381 of principal and \$47,975,646 in interest for a total of \$99,906,027, so it is correct to put -- to combine the interest and the principal because that would be the total amount that's guaranteed until maturity.

However, if any of the parking garages were sold and all those bonds paid down then the interest would not be paid.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: So that that would reduce the city's liability, but the actual principal is \$51 million. The actual interest to maturity is like \$48 million, combined value is \$100 million so it is correct to say \$100 million.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much,
Solicitor Hughes, and I hope that
information is helpful to I believe it was
Mr. Jackowitz who asked the question -- or
it was Mr. Sbaraglia regarding the SPA and
the \$100 million in long-term debt.

MR. HUGHES: I was looking at my file, I thought I had something for this current payment of \$1,039,000, that around

MR. SPINDLER:

sorry.

That's okay, Mrs.

\$300,000 was principal and \$800,000 is interest, so you can see what happens with the amount of the interest.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. HUGHES: And, you know, what's going on now is that very little of the principal is being paid down on the bond. It's mostly interest. I couldn't find it, but I know I did have a figure in there and it's stuck in my mind as to what of the current payment how much is principal and how much is interest. I believe from my memory that that's like \$300,000 principal and \$800,000 in interest.

MS. EVANS: And I believe that would make sense since the bond, the most recent bond issue, I believe, it was incurred in 2007 and it's probably for a period of 25 years I'm guessing and so, of course, we are all aware that in the initial years the interest payments far exceed the principal.

MS. EVANS: And, Mr. Spindler, I'm

MR. HUGHES: Yes.

Evans. Les Spindler, city resident and home owner and taxpayer. Mayor Doherty didn't respond about the caucus. Boy, that's a real shocker. I hope nobody really expected him to show up here tonight. In ten and a half years he showed up here three times, twice was to beg for money and the other time was to put his rubber stamper, Mr. McGoff, up there, so you will never see him in this chamber again.

Lately, reading the Doherty
newsletter if you want to believe everything
that you read in there it paints a pretty
bleak picture, just gloom and doom. They
make like the city is on it's death bed.
Well, payless paydays, no fuel to put in our
emergency vehicles. They said we used to
use scare tactics coming to these meetings,
well, I think it's the Doherty newsletter
that's using scare tactics now. We are
really not as bad as they make it out to be.

As Councilman Joyce said last week, we received over \$600,000 from the tax office. At the end of this month we will be receiving more tax money from Berkheimer,

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so, I mean, we are not rolling in money, but it's not as bad as the Doherty newsletter makes it out to be.

There was a story on Channel 16 news the other night and it was also in the paper, up in Jessup there is an office park and Austin Burke was blowing his own horn about two buildings they are putting up there, putting up two buildings, but they have nobody to go in there, so they are putting up two empty buildings. We have a perfectly beautiful building in downtown Scranton here, the Southern Union building, which I think is almost empty, I think there is one company in there, I'm not sure about That's a beautiful building. that. doesn't Austin Burke market that building to different companies instead of putting two empty buildings up without having anybody ready to move in. I think it's the most ridiculous thing I ever heard of and Austin Burke is doing a terrible job.

The Southern Union building is perfect for somebody to move in, it has off the street parking and it's just a brand new

building and nobody has ever been in there except one or two people.

Moving on, the story on Tuesday's

Doherty newsletter, "No settlement in police
suit."

I spoke about this years ago about two city residents that were pulled over and the city is being sued for assault and battery, false imprisonment and intentional infliction of emotional distress, and I will mention the names since it was in the paper anyway, Edward Newcomb and JennnyLynn Magistro. These people were treated so terribly and, you know, this city can't afford to lose anymore money. This lawsuit will be lost. The judge has given 60 days to make a settlement. I hope the Newcombes don't make a settlement because Chief Duffy was mainly responsible for this.

He was Sergeant Duffy at the time and he goes onto say, "Given the circumstances of this case, if went back in time we do the exact same thing."

Well, that's just proves what an incompetent police chief he is because these

people are illegally strip searched,
embarrassed, you cannot be strip searched I

know for a fact if you are not arrested.

These people were never arrested, and it

says they were illegally imprisoned. Strip

searched illegally.

The city, we have \$30 million in the firefighters, we are going to owe money for this, I don't know when it's going to end, but it just shows more incompetence of this whole administration.

Lastly, I have a question,

Mr. Loscombe we got the SAFER grant and I

did hear on the news that the firefighters

were supposed to be hired back within a few

days, do you know if anybody was hired back

yet?

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll be making some comments on that in motions, if you would allow me.

MR. SPINDLER: Because I know the Main Avenue firehouse that's closed many days and it's closed in right in our back yard.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll address that.

MR. SPINDLER: We got that money, so I'll be interested in listening to what you have to say. Thank you for your time, and I just want to wish all the fathers Happy Father's Day. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Carl Kupchunas.

MR. KUPCHUNAS: Good evening. My name is Carl Kupchunas, I'm a lifelong resident of lower Greenridge. First of all, I'd like to express my deepest condolences to Mrs. Evans on your recent loss of your mother.

One of the reasons I'm here this evening is to invite everyone here and everyone watching to our annual block party. The Meriweather of God Parish, formerly Holy Rosary Parish, will be conducting our annual block party next weekend, June 21, 22 and 23 at our parish on West Market Street by Coal Muffler. The block party is between 6 and 11 p.m. on Thursday and Friday, and between 5 and 11 p.m. on Saturday.

The block party will include the following live entertainment: On Thursday

the "Wannabees" will be featured; on Friday
"Picture Perfect" which is one of the best
bands in the Scranton area will be featured;
and on Saturday Jim Cerminaro will be
between 5 and 7 p.m.; later "Sweet Pepper
and the Longhots" will take the stage
between 7 and 11 p.m. This year's
entertainment should be enjoyable for
everyone.

We have a variety of games for adults and children, such as the Big 6, the Money Wheel, Over/Under and Irish poker for the adults, as well as our annual raffle we can win a lot of money.

For the children we have plush animals, lollipop tree and the kids corner, which will include spray painting and many more games and fun. New this year in our children's games will be the wiggle wire. We can't forget about our annual diaper derby which we run Friday, June 22, at 7 p.m. all children up to three years of age are warmly invited to run for the roses. Ribbons and prizes will be awarded at the medal stand.

Other features of our block party are the Treasurer Cove, Dining Around,
Baskets Galore, Book Nook, an ice cream truck bingo and many more. Also, water balloons will be back this year where you can launch water balloons at your friends.

We also have some of the best food around. In addition to usual burgers, hotdogs, fries, pizza, potato pancakes and other block party food, and beverages. We will be feature at St. Joseph's stand homemade Ausuki, which is a Lithuanian cookie, a Lithuanian deep fried cookies, and they are delicious. Also, a stand for our neighbors and friends at Shilo Baptist Church will feature the best chicken and ribs you've ever had.

If you miss our block party, you'll miss the best food and entertainment of the summer season. As you can see, our block party has something for everyone. We hope to see you there next weekend rain or shine and sincerely thank everyone who comes.

In other matter, in last month's sewer bill it said their rates will be going

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up in the next bill. American Water and PPL just got a rate increase to be approved by the Public Utility Commission. according to the Scranton's Times' biased newspaper, the Doherty Gazette, the Honorable Mayor Doherty has now proposed a local sales tax? More and more businesses are leaving Scranton in record numbers, Verrastro Beer Distributors is a perfect example right there. If this tax gets passed, the businesses in Scranton will be nonexistent. I strongly oppose this newly proposed tax and encourage them to go after some of the supposed nonprofits, such as the University, which has had a free ride long enough.

It was proven by several speakers at last week's council meeting the many ways that the University of Scranton is making a large, profit not a nonprofit in parking fees along. I encourage council to keep up the good work and stay their course on bringing the city back to financial responsibility.

Also, I hope the citizens of

Scranton will finally see what harm Doherty is doing to the city. The mayoral election really is not that far off. Let the people of Scranton voices be heard in the primary by casting a vote for change in the administration and start the city back to financial responsibility before it's too late and Scranton becomes a ghost town.

Thank you your time this evening and I hope everyone has a great summer and Happy Father's Day to all of the fathers out there.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Gary Lewis.

MR. LEWIS: Good evening. My name is Gary Lewis, I'm a resident of downtown, I'm also a consultant specializing in distressed debt. I'm joined tonight by Mark Schwartz, an attorney who specializes in Chapter 9 bankruptcy as well as Paul Burton, who is a reporter for the bond buyer.

I'm here tonight to talk about the sorry state of Scranton city's finances.

There are have been a lot of discussion

these days about the revised Recovery Plan for the city, the mayor's plan which includes new revenue sources and one-time revenue items and substantial tax increases has been roundly rejected by council. Both parties, however, seem to agree that a revised plan is key to obtaining the financing the city needs to make it through 2012.

I see many problems with the Recovery Plan offered by the mayor. I do not agree with the massive tax hikes, the one-time revenue items, and the addition of the commuter tax, which requires state intervention. Beyond those concerns, though, I also question the numbers put forth by the administration.

The city's historical budgets are ripe with inflated revenue items. For example, the 2012 budget includes approximately \$2.7 million for licenses and permits. This amount is in line with prior year budgets, however, we have historically collected approximately 70 percent of the budgeted amount.

It's the same story for departmental earnings. Budgeted is \$1.8 million, but with a historical collection rate of only 45 percent.

The city also fails to realize about 61 percent of the budget revenue for the landfill and refuse fees line item which is also slated to increase under the revised Recovery Plan, and the city has never collected more than 55 percent much the budgeted amount for fines, forfeitures and violations. Altogether, these line items account for nearly \$10.8 in revenue, but in 2012 the city will be lucky to see \$7.5 for these items, a shortfall in excess of \$3 million.

I'm concerned that the amusement tax is yet another fake revenue item designed to balance a budget on paper, but not in practice. Simply creating new revenue stream either from rental registration, amusement taxes or some other source, does not mean the city will be able to collect that money in a timely effective and efficient manner.

By rejecting the administration's Recovery Plan, you have put yourself in a position of needing to come up with your own. I sincerely hope that as you tackle this project you do so with an eye on the actual recovery. Please do not create a plan which is as meaningless, useless and baseless as our existing Recovery Plan, the proposed Recovery Plan and our prior year budgets. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Mark Schwartz.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Council.

My name is Mark Schwartz, and I basically
want to make you an offer. For better or
worst, I represent a body very much like
yours called the Council of the City of
Harrisburg. It's been nine months since we
have been fighting with DCED, which did
nothing for Harrisburg. It's been nine
months since we attempted to put Harrisburg
into bankruptcy and were opposed by a
governor and opposed by a receiver who then
turned around and agreed that bankruptcy was

the best place for the City of Harrisburg to serve basic fundamental interests and see the employees and fire were paid as opposed to special interests.

And it's been nine months since receiver who basically appointed somebody who I and council opposed, it came to light only he quit because of the corruption that was attendant to the process.

some reading with respect to your situation and in some ways it's similar to Harrisburg's, in some ways it's dissimilar to Harrisburg. I mean, Harrisburg's plight was really brought on by \$300 million worth of bond issues for an incinerator that I just see from the background as somebody who used to be an investment banker as well as a bond lawyer as a Ponzi scheme. I don't see that here. You have an awful lot of debt. There are questions about where proceeds may have gone, but, I think it's a somewhat different situation.

The thing that bothers me is the fact that, and the reason that I came up

13 14

10

11

12

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from Philadelphia, and I'm happy that you have allowed me to testify, is that you're engaged in a pivotal point at this point, okay? Whether you make the payment on the bond or not is immaterial, you are in default and you have been in default with respect to some other matters involving continuous disclosure requirements with respect to outstanding debt and that's something that Harrisburg has had a problem with for a long time, too. But let's just focus on the fact that, you know, in using the debt service reserve payment or in not having made the payment you are in default, okay?

It is delusional for you to think
that you are going to be able to finance
your way out of this, okay? You are not
going to be able to do tax anticipation
notes, okay? I have had this experience
with the City of Philadelphia when I did
investment banking, you get to a point where
the cash is so tight and it's so encumbered
that you can't go forward, okay?

The other thing, and this is truly

1

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not your fault, I used to be very active in state politics and although I was a Democrat I conferred with Bob Casey, the governor, on a bunch of things, but the one thing that I have to give him a tremendous amount of credit for was the fact that he tried to convene a special session of the legislature to deal with the local government taxes.

There are in excess of 20 governments like yours in the Distressed Municipalities Program. You have been there for 20 years. That alone is testimony to the fact that that plan is a failure, okay? The answer and the reason the governor didn't have any money for Harrisburg and doesn't have any money for you, he has plenty of money for consultants and lawyers, however, okay, but he doesn't have any money for you because he doesn't have the appetite to bite the bullet. The reason he opposed Harrisburg's bankruptcy was because if Harrisburg were allowed to have filed it would have had the company of 20 other municipalities.

So you are at a critical point here.

You are dealing with DCED, I have dealt with them, I'm happy -- I have called our solicitor, I have called your city clerk, I'll leave my card, I'm happy to talk to you and give you the benefit of what our experience has been.

But I want you to know something, that you are at a critical point, both you and the mayor, because if you go down this point where there is a receiver involved and not only are you going to -- you are not going to have any more resources, the city -- the state is not going to give you any money, okay, so you are not getting anything for the privilege of being in this Distressed Municipalities Program, you have already learned that, you are not going to get anything, and once you have a receiver you are going to find that you have no powers to do anything.

The one thing that the receiver can't require the council and the Mayor of Harrisburg to do is to levy taxes, okay?

That's going to be it. So I just caution you as you get into this program, the

23

24

25

reason, you know, bankruptcy -- and I'm reading it about up here, there is a lot of misunderstanding about bankruptcy and it's understood because there have been very few municipal bankruptcies. I mean, if I'm on the authority of it because of Harrisburg that shows you scary the whole process is. There just hadn't been anything, but what the reason we did that -- and I'll just finish, if I may, is that it's very different than corporate or individual bankruptcy. Judges don't levy taxes. What happens is you get a stay of execution from Blue Cross or from the fuel companies or for other special interests that are interested in getting paid ahead of police and fire and you would work out your own plan. There is no state, the bankruptcy judge can't levy taxes, she can't impose a plan on you, so it really gets down to this question of whether you want to be the master of your own fate or to go through what Harrisburg was gone through.

So for whatever it's worth, nine months of in some respects my beating my

head against the wall, but representing a courageous group of the people. I represent the council, I represent the city controller, I represent the city treasurer, they to some degree are as fractured as you are with the mayor, but, you know, there is a role for everybody and if my experience can be of any help to you I'll be happy to meet with you and or your solicitor.

Thanks.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Our next speaker is Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Department of Community Relations. First,

I'd just like to announce that our summer

concert series, which I have detailed in the

previous weeks, is well underway on

courthouse square. Tomorrow the featured

act is a harmonic play and musician Drew

Kelly staring at 12:000 noon in Courthouse

Square in downtown Scranton. I actually saw

Mr. Kelly perform as part of a concert this

last weekend in the Tripp House and fantastic, great young talent and Lackawanna County resident. If you are in downtown tomorrow afternoon at 12:00 feel free to stop over and enjoy the weather, I hear it's going to be good, and that will be a great event and showcase our downtown, and hope to see you there.

Second, I provided some details this week regarding the upcoming Lackawanna County municipal equipment auction to Mrs. Krake and also Mr. Rogan, which we have had spoken about that previously, I also e-mailed details to the mayor's office just to make sure everyone had the proper information and the appropriate departments of the city government.

To give you some or insight on the date for the Lackawanna County municipal equipment auction, it will be Saturday,

August 18, at 10:00 a.m. at the Lackawanna

County DPW building in Jessup, which is a next to the County's Center for Public

Safety, the 911 Center. Items that can be auctioned off include vehicles such as cars

and trucks, as well as the equipment that including bulldozer, tractors, riding mowers, trailers, any type of large maintenance equipment, backhoes, snow plows, anything of that nature. Participants are asked to have all items at the sale location one day before the sale and to have all titles ready for the day of the sale.

Participants will also need to have items photo ready no later than three weeks prior to the auction date and also provided information about each item including mileage, condition, working ability, and any other related issues.

A representative will also need to be present from the municipality on the day of the auction to answer any questions of the items up for auction. The county auctioneer will provide a notary public at the site for transfer of sale as well as provide website photos and publication advertising, auctioneers, clerks and a cashier.

For more information, contact David
Petinatto, Lackawanna County Deputy Director

of purchasing, and I can get Mr. Petinatto's information, you have it here, and that was e-mailed and he can contact you further and can get in touch with him.

And last, but not least, I would like to remind everyone that this Saturday is the Lackawanna County Heritage Valley's Bike tour from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. at Mellow Park in Peckville, the bike trial and the bike tour. There is a also a picnic, food, fun, entertainment, vendors, and a variety of the other events going on, and again, this is event that stretches through several parts of the county, we would like to see it grow and expand and hopefully everyone comes out and has a good time.

That's all I have for this evening.

If there is any questions, feel free to contact me and thank you.

MS. EVANS: Robert Fountain.

MR. FOUNTAIN: Good evening,
everyone. My name is Robert Fountain, I
represent my company, IDM Consulting
Services, and what I do is consult small
groups and large groups for their group

health benefits. Normally, when someone comes and makes a presentation to a prospect or a client and tells them ways they can reduce costs of health insurance it's usually to sell another company or to change their plan of insurance, change their carrier, maybe do something radical that maybe unions won't approve of or maybe that the group or the owner of the company won't approve it.

But I'm really here today to ask her permission to council to do research for the city to see if there a different way of managing the existing plan that the city has now for its employees. This would not involve changing the carriers that you currently have, which I believe is Blue Cross, as was mentioned earlier. The benefits for the employees and knowing that there are collective bargaining agreements, of course, this would not change the benefits for the employees.

What I do, I have been doing this for the last 17 years, I used to work for a rather large managing underwriter from

Philadelphia up until 2004, and since then I have been doing this on my own. I have municipal clients, although, they are small, not the size of the city, the city here, but the average savings with all my clients has been between 12 on 16 percent doing this strategy. Again, it's not changing the carrier or the benefits, so we can rule that out entirely.

So I'm here to really ask permission to get some demographic information from the city to see if these numbers would work, and I know it's only a small part of the money that's up there in the Recovery Plan that you all after, but every little bit helps, and 16 percent or 10 percent of your health budget would be several million dollars that may be the city could use, and I am willing to do that at no charge to the city.

I don't know if an RFP would be needed for that, but it's just doing the research I'm willing to do and I'm at your disposal, so if somebody would contact me in the future I would be happy to do that for you.

MS. EVANS: If you would leave your 1 2 name and phone number. 3 MR. FOUNTAIN: Yes. I would. Thank 4 you. MS. EVANS: 5 Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council? 6 Good evening, Council. 7 MR. DOBRZYN: 8 Dave Dobrzyn. 9 MS. EVANS: Good evening. 10 MR. DOBRZYN: Resident of Scranton, 11 taxes paid. I would like to welcome you 12 back, and sympathize for you with the 13 sympathy for losing your mother. 14 Now, one of the reasons I come up here is preaching to the choir, I trust in 15 16 you people to do always working the best 17 interest and I was very interested in what 18 Mr. Schwartz had to say because personally I 19 believe if we declare bankruptcy we are just 20 advocating our -- advocating our position and throwing it in the hands of someone else 21 22 and you would lose your authority to deal with a lot of these situations. 23 24 And a lot of the things I say are 25 actually for people out in TV land, and I

2

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

would like to remind everybody out in TV land and newspaper the 2011 audit is past due; right?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. DOBRZYN: Okay, and one of the most damaging things I heard about the Parking Authority the last two weeks, and I watched twice the caucus, and on 6-A, is that the counsel instructed them not to talk to city council and that's shameful. shameful, and it's obvious that a lot of the freebies 300 spaces here, 100 spaces there, we are building these parking garages without any customers. They are not -there was no plan to have a paying customers and it's shameful. We probably could get promises from a governor or something and then he leaves office and the next guy he leaves office and the next guy sales what do you want me to do?

And I'd also like to talk about privatizing. I'd like to be careful there with the Sewer Authority and the DPW and I think we need a lot more research before we ever really go into that because if anything

I trust corporations less than the government, a whole lot less. So, I mean, what they might charge us down the road could be ten times more than what we actually pay extra in taxes, provided that it's done responsibile.

And I also like to note out there for TV land that we had a five-month withholding that hasn't been turned over, probably just starting to be turned over, of wage taxes. And I would also like them to note that although wages are down and unemployment is rampant, we are still collecting more than what we have in two years, so a lot more people had jobs and they made a lot more money, and I'm scratching my head as to how much money was actually lost between 2000 and 2008 or '9 when somebody left office and Mr. Courtright took over.

And I don't see any point in the Recovery Plan that doesn't cover these Court awards and it's totally necessary for us to know what the firemen and police award is going to cost before we ever come up with

the Recovery Plan, so what's the secret? I mean, I would say no to the Recovery Plan until we know what the Court awards are because we just can't do it. What are we going to do, find out after authorizing the Recovery Plan and all of these tax increases that we are going to owe again some more, and we could have another 20 percent or 30 percent tax increase.

And I also I have to get in touch with somebody from the Hill Section, they were before the Taxpayers' a couple of years ago, and he lead the taxpayers to understand that nonprofits could be box zoned, and we need to do that as soon as possible. other words, once they start drifting outside the zone, they pay taxes on the whole enchilada, buildings and all, and we cannot go on with 35 percent and have it turn into 40 and 45 percent, 50 percent. That's impossible. So it just can't be done and we have to stand our ground and say no more and that's it and then if the state wants to come in and compensate us for it grand, but then they have to put their sign

on the dotted line.

And I'll just make it quick, we have a fast and furious investigation in Congress which was passing firearms to Mexican drug cartels and they got loose and they don't know where the guns got to, like, thousands of assault rifles and something like that, and some how when Eric Colder was being grilled about this, he put a stop to this, and it started with the previous administration and the Florida voting came up again, well, now, Mr. Scott is kicking World War II veterans off the list and decorated veterans and old people and so forth --

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: He has the golden parrot again. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. ANCHERANI: Good evening.

Nelson Ancherani, resident and taxpayer,

coming up and exercising my Fifth Amendment

Rights.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. ANCHERANI: Just in answer
to Bill Jackowitz when you said the Parking
Authority is 100 million in debt, could this
be in part because they borrowed \$35 million
in 2008, \$59 million going towards interest?
This comes out to a \$94 million payback.
That's utterly ridiculous over interest, but
94 million, so could that be part of it?

DCED, who backed the city all the way to the Supreme Court, left the city taxpayers out in the cold. It basically told the mayor and the taxpayers of this city there is a bus leaving in five minutes, be under it. DCED threw the mayor and the city taxpayers under the bus. Now Allen Walker, secretary of the state DCED, told us, the mayor and city taxpayers, "There is going to be no manna from heaven. It's up to the community to solve the fiscal problems with the resources it has."

DCED wants council to step up to the plate and pass a Recovery Plan. Most of this council was here only approximately two and a half years. DCED and PEL have been

cares to ad

near 20 years. As I said, most of this council was here only two and a half years, the mayor was been here ten and a half years. Again, DCED and PEL have been here 20 years and now the sky is falling.

It seems the main issue here is forgotten. Council, well, most of this council did not put us 300 million plus in long-term debt, we all know who did. Where was DCED and PEL when we went into that debt? And don't forget, when Connors left office he left us a surplus, so ten and a half years ago we had a surplus for this administration.

We, the speakers, the Legion of Doom, started by Bill Jackowitz, will inform. It's up to you all to decide who did this, the 300 million plus. Good luck because it's being forced on you to fix it. Dammed if you do, dammed if you don't. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

1 MS. KRAKE: FIFTH ORDER. 5 - A . MOTIONS. 2 3 MR. LOSCOMBE: Excuse me, if I may make a request of my colleagues, would it be 4 5 possible to let Mrs. Evans speak first since she hasn't been here in awhile, I think she 6 has some information. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Well, thank you for 9 giving me that unique opportunity, Councilman Loscombe. 10 11 Good evening. 12 MR. MCGOFF: You used to speak 13 first. 14 MS. EVANS: Yes, I did, prior to 2010 that was my seat. Good evening. 15 16 begin, I wish to apologize to the good 17 people of Scranton for my absence from city 18 council meetings during the last four weeks. 19 As you know, my sweet, dear mother, Marion 20 Tomko, passed away last week. Long ago I 21 made promises to her which I fulfilled 22 throughout the last month of her life. 23 I wish to express my deepest 24 gratitude to all who sent cards, e-mails, 25 mass cards, floral arrangements, plants and

food to my family and me during this most difficult time. Your generosity and sincere concern are a great comfort and will see us through our tremendous loss and grief.

Although, absent from these meetings, I was aware of the significant issues facing our city and remained in contact with our council solicitor, whom I sincerely thank for his continual, diligent work and assistance.

Tonight, I would like to address these issues and my decisions with the good citizens of Scranton.

First, the financial mismanagement of the Scranton Parking Authority is clear. The taxpayers are on the hook for roughly 100 million in debt. The Authority's annual expenditures exceed their revenues. The Authority is not an asset, rather it is an all albatross. Thus, the city council sent the Parking Authority a message: We do not endorse business as usually. Council's goals are to ensure that professional management assumes the operations of the SPA and sells or leases parking garages to pay

down the SPA debt.

Council's refusal to approve the SPA bond payment two weeks ago gained the full attention of the trustees and bond insurers. As a result, they were alerted for the first time of the financial mismanagement of the SPA, the dire financial straights of the City of Scranton, the guarantor of the bond payment, the significant conflict of interest of Attorney Paul Kelly who serves as solicitor to both the SPA and the City of Scranton, and the absence of the 2012 budget.

There is now an event of default.

If the city fails to make the bond payment, the trustee can bring a lawsuit for mandamus relief to compel the city to satisfy it's obligations by seizing tax revenues immediately or even seeking a Court-ordered tax increase to provide for payments.

Consequently, the council can now reverse course and make the bond payment in order to protect the taxpayers. I don't want Scranton residents taxed to pay for the gross mismanagement and egregious politics

of the Scranton Parking Authority.

Nevertheless, my goal is firm, professional management of the authority by either the trustee or bond insurer Radian ASAP.

Second, despite Mayor Doherty's frequent references to a council budget, he must be reminded of the accurate terminology, the 2012 operating budget of the City of Scranton. In response to calls for cooperation, the mayor, his administration and city council jointly drafted the city budget. In his November 15 proposed budget, the mayor included \$5 million in refinancing. At his request and at the business administrator's recommendation for an additional 1.4 million, city council agreed to increase the dollar figure to 6.4 million.

The mayor and business administrator also insisted on unfunded debt borrowing to pay 2011 administration bills, but this occurred after November 15, so it was not included in their proposed budget. Since the mayor's proposed budget could not be

amended, city council in a spirit of cooperation agreed to include both the adjusted figure for refinancing and unfunded debt borrowing within its own amendments.

Business administrator Ryan McGowan has recently stated that the administration wished to keep the unfunded borrowing and the budget separate, but this isn't what happened at all. The administration presented an imbalanced proposed budget on November 15 and made a request afterwards for unfunded borrowing to pay bills. Ladies and gentlemen, should I then refer to the budget as the mayor's? I prefer accuracy and I hope Mayor Doherty will do so as well.

Third, Mayor Doherty submitted

legislation to the Office of City Council

for a revised Recovery Plan on May 24, 2012,

which largely reflected his own plans and

those of the Pennsylvania Economy League.

Despite numerous meetings and negotiations

with council members, a May 14, 2012,

written correspondence from council that

again outlined it's requirements for the

revised Recovery Plan.

Although, verbally agreeing to these requirements, Mayor Doherty produced a document that omitted many of them. He now asks what is council's plan is. Mayor Doherty knows council's plan very well. PEL and DCED know council's plan. They don't agree and are attempting to bully city council into approval of their poorly conceived plan which will financially crush taxpayers.

rollowing six months of negotiations, in which I participated in good faith, I have learned that Mayor Doherty doesn't want council's input, rather he only need it's ruber stamp. He has chosen a incredulous path since Mayor Doherty is fully aware that this council rubber stamps no legislation. His plan is borrowing and raising taxes over 100 percent for city and authority debts and payment of the Supreme Court award to public safety unions. Take it or leave.

He has obstructed nearly every new revenue generator that council has presented since 2010. He has guarded his municipal

authorities to the financial detriment of the taxpayers because those authorities are his sacred cows. The Scranton Parking Authority alone has the City of Scranton on the verge of Chapter 9 bankruptcy.

City council members wish to mitigate tax increases by first implementing and exhausting all sources of revenue possible and negotiating a settlement with police and fire to decrease the Supreme Court award and provide stability to the city, its people, and its public safety employees.

Council will not approve the revised Recovery Plan as submitted and council will not consider placing it on it's agenda for this and other reasons as this time.

I know defer to Attorney Boyd Hughes for his comments on the revised Recovery Plan process. Attorney Hughes?

MR. HUGHES: Yes. Thank you, Madam President. After last week I reviewed the Municipalities Financial Recovery Act to determine if the legislation can be put on council's agenda. It is my opinion in

reviewing the Act, the mandatory procedures as required by law have not been complied with and until they are complied with any submission of this plan on council's agenda would violate the Recovery Act.

So, therefore, it's my opinion until the requirements are met and the Act is properly complied with, that the mayor's -- that any the PEL, DCED and mayor Recovery Plan has not met the procedural requirements of the law and cannot be put on council's agenda.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Attorney
Hughes. Now, if the mayors wants a revised
Recovery Plan let him fully incorporate
council's recommendations into his plan and
sign off on it.

Further, the majority of city
council chose not to meet in Harrisburg with
DCED and a state appointed mediator to
discuss the revised Recovery Plan. At my
direction, Solicitor Hughes informed Mayor
Doherty of our decision a day after the
mayor invited council members to attend the
mediation session.

DCED, PEL, and the mayor have been closely allied for over 12 years and all three are fully responsible for the dire financial straights of our city. Mayor Doherty just admitted in the newspaper that DCED used Scranton taxpayers as guinea pigs for their Act 47 legal battle.

\$30 million Supreme Court award to Scranton police and fire. PEL has a demonstrated record of 20 years of the failed leadership in Scranton and the mayor exercised unbridled borrowing and spending throughout three terms in office resulting in historic debt while PEL and DCED provided their blessings.

Scranton City Council is fighting for fairness and protecting you, the people of Scranton. As the late president John Fitzgerald Kennedy stated, "You cannot negotiate with people who say what's mine is mine and what's yours is negotiable."

Fourth, while our city continues to burn financially, Mayor Doherty has filed to pursue Court ordered -- or, excuse me, Court

approved and council approved unfunded debt borrowing which is guaranteed by a Court order tax increase. He laments that he cannot pay bills for health insurance and fuel for public safety vehicles, among others. At the same time, however, it seems he has continuously paid overtime to the DPW and the city's contribution to the Everhart Museum, and payments to CECCO, and payments for salt, although, certainly that leaves us wondering since we had such a mild winter and so little need of salt, among many other expenses.

Now, the time to prioritize is long overdue. The mayor must cut expenses to fund essentials and he must communicate with banks, both local and nonlocal to immediately secure unfunded debt borrowing that is guaranteed by a Court ordered tax increase.

In addition, he should consider seeking a TAN-B in the amount of approximately \$5 million strictly allocated for the funding of the city's daily operations and offer a monthly portion of

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the earned income tax revenue as payment for the TAN from July through November 2012.

This is short-term borrowing that does not require a revised Recovery Plan since it would be guaranteed through a monthly portion of the earned income tax revenue.

2011 monthly revenue data from the Single Tax Office support the ability of the city to make payment.

Fifth, Mayor Doherty announced that he may close all neighborhood swimming pools in summer with the exception of the Nay Aug Park where a \$4 swimming fee is charged and received by the Scranton Recreation Authority. This comes as no surprise since Mayor Doherty has a demonstrated record of pool closings. For example, the Capouse Avenue Pool was closed in 2010 and 2011 and the Novembrino pools were closed in 2011. It was city council that attempted to open the Novembrino pools using RE-RE money last summer, but the mayor thwarted council's effort to the detriment of the West Scranton taxpayers.

Clearly, he has been heading in this

direction prior to the city's current financial crisis, but he is going to try to blame city council.

At te same time, DPW Director Mark

Dougher assures residents that the Fourth of

July fireworks display will occur as

scheduled. Because numerous similar events

are conducted throughout Lackawanna County

for the enjoyment of all, I question the

mayor's priorities.

Since he intends to fund a fireworks' display, might the funds better be used to open a pool in a neighborhood where children lack swimming fees and transportation to Nay Aug Park? At the end of the day, however, the decision belongs to the mayor.

Sixth, the 2012 budget was approved by four council persons and later four council persons overrode the mayor's veto of the budget in order for it to be become law. In this budget, council provided funding in the professional services account in the city council office in order to compensate Attorney Hughes his additional work. In

accordance with the city's established procedure for paying bills, Attorney Hughes provided an itemized invoice detailing the scope of his work on a particular issue. At council's direction, this invoice was entered on a voucher and submitted to the appropriate department for approval and payment.

Each time Attorney Hughes did additional work for council, an itemized bill was to be submitted in order to allow for complete transparency. The city controller would not approve payment of the voucher from the professional services account from which the funding for the additional work was allocated. The tabled legislation, which would transfer money from the professional services account into Attorney Hughes' salary, is not an additional expense for the city, it is only the transfer of the fund from one account to another within the same department.

This council fully intends to revisit this legislation as soon as possible. We need Attorney Hughes. His

unparalleled professionalism and knowledge are steering us through this financial crisis. He stands with city council in protecting the residents and taxpayers of this city.

Next, all nonemergency city-owned vehicles should be returned to the city immediately in order to be sold or auctioned, beginning with Mayor Doherty's vehicle.

And finally, I do have citizens' request this evening. I do apologize, I have fallen behind on my e-mails, however, I fully intend throughout the forthcoming week to attend to those e-mails and submit them to the proper department heads as well as the mayor, and that's it.

MR. HUGHES: Madam President, if I could take one minute, it's really not council's function and my function on behalf of the council to call Brian Kozelanski, who is the bond counsel for the City of Scranton on the bond issues, he is also bond counsel for the Parking Authority, it should be up to the administration and up to Solicitor

Kelly, however, based on what you said tonight I will call Brian tomorrow and see if he can bifurcate or separate out the proposed bond issue, the 9.85 million and see if they can place that immediately since that's not based on the Recovery Plan at all and, you know, I'll give him a call first thing in the morning and see if we can get at least that separated from the 16.6 million bond issue and see if the city can get funding on that.

I'm surprised that within the last two weeks the administration hasn't done anything on that to see if this can be floated. I don't see why it couldn't be floated as guaranteed, there is a Court order to raise real estate taxes, council has passed the legislation, everything is in place for that. It was combined with another portion that is not included by Court order for an increase in taxes so, that you know, I think it needs to be separated out, let the two issues go on their own.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake,

if we can please notify the mayor's office tomorrow morning of council's interest in the administration seeking TAN-B, and our proposal to fund repayment of the TAN-B and we are hoping or rather we are urging the mayor to contact local and nonlocal banks to make this happen. Thank you. Mr. McGoff, do you have any motions or comments this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. Thank you. First of all, I reminded to thank you for the reminder that today was Flag Day. I'm just hoping that whoever stole my flag and my flag pole from my house is proudly displaying it today since I did not have the opportunity to do so. It just disappeared one night. I don't know how, but it did.

Just a couple of brief comments. I guess, first of all, on the unfunded debt, this has been tireless pursued by the business administrator since January. The only bank or institution that was even interested in it backed away from it. I don't know where -- if council has an idea for a bank to, you know, for someone to

1

4

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pursue for this I'm sure that Mr. McGowan and the administration would be more than happy to pursue it, but I just don't know. After the original SPA vote, the one institution that was interested backed away and I don't if there any are any options Perhaps there are, and the same available. thing with the pursuing the TAN. We had an opportunity to float a TAN and that was rejected, and I know that there were reasons why we rejected it and was rejected, and again, I don't know what banks are willing to pursue a TAN. It seems as though there are no local banks that are willing to do that.

Again, if council has an idea for where to go to get that financing. Again, I am sure that the administration and I'm sure Ryan McGowan will be more than happy to take that lead and pursue it.

As far as the Recovery Plan is concerned, no matter what the -- whatever plan is put forward it's going to need to be approved through DCED, and my thought was that an opportunity to sit down with DCED,

them.

22 23

20

21

24

25

with the administration, would produce -not -- to me mediation suggests a compromise and not somebody forcing something down your My motion to place the mayor's plan throat. on the agenda was just an attempt to get discussion started. We need to pursue something.

To state that the administration and PEL know what council wants in the Recovery Plan, and I'm not sure that that's true, if it's the list of the required revisions we have never spoken about those. At the request of Mr. Joyce those were not to be made public. Is that what it is that we are assuming the administration and PEL know that are requests for the Recovery Plan?

MS. EVANS: Yes, that was given to

MR. MCGOFF: I understand, but I think there is some things in there that need to be discussed rather than just made as requirements for a plan.

There has been talk of, you know, moving towards bankruptcy and Chapter 9. I'm not sure there are a lot of

21

22

23

24

25

misconceptions about it that -- a couple of things that at least I'm aware of, first of all, we are in a cash flow crisis, we need Going to Chapter 9 doesn't provide revenue. Chapter 9 doesn't pay the bills. It may stop payment on some things, but it doesn't pay the bills. It doesn't solve the revenue problems that we have. And the other thing it may abrogate all of the union contracts. I don't know that we want to --I don't know that we want to do that, you know, we have worked long and hard to get contracts for the firemen and policemen and the DPW and all and now we are going to dismiss those. And, yes, it may eliminate the Court awards. You know, maybe that is a good thing for the city, I don't know that it's a good thing for the people that have fought for ten years to get that award and are expecting that award.

I just don't see it at this point in time as being an option. Right now we have control over where the city is going. We may need to work together a little bit more to exercise that control, but I don't want

to turn -- I don't want to turn the City of Scranton over to a receiver or anyone else. We were elected with the responsibility to take care of the City of Scranton, as was the mayor, and I think that, you know, we need to take that responsibility seriously and not even consider, you know, going forward with a bankruptcy, at least I don't think we should, an I'll just save some comments on the Parking Authority for Sixth Order, and thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Rogan, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. We must have read each others mind on the idea of a second TAN today, and I'm glad you agree as well to what I mentioned before the meeting started, and going back what Mr. McGoff said that the banks wouldn't be interest in a second tax anticipation note for the city, in that situation there really is no risk to the bank. When the first TAN was setup, money coming into the Single Tax Office was placed into a lockbox, turned right over to the pay short-term borrowing. Do it the

same way. There would be absolutely no risk for the bank. They know what money is coming in. We have a great tax collector who is collecting the money and turning it over and it worked perfectly last time, there weren't any stumbling blocks between the mayor and the council and the tax office and --

MS. EVANS: Actually, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I don't believe

Mr. Courtright is collecting that any more, that would be Berkheimer, but the same principle applies that you discussed.

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, it could be done through the Single Tax Office for the property taxes or through the wage tax, either one could be --

MS. EVANS: The wage tax appears to have the strongest revenue flow from now through November, stronger than the real estate taxes, and that's why we are be able to designate a portion of that monthly to the repayment of the TAN and still retain funds that can be used for daily operations.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. Whatever

The

There are

Whatever

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bottom line is, and I have talked to numerous city employees who are extremely concerned about their health care. said it before and I'll say it again, payless paydays would be bad, but the loss of the health care for employees and retirees would be catastrophic. employees and retirees that have surgeries scheduled, pregnancies, emergencies come up, it is our obligation to provide health care to these employees and retirees. we have to do to pay the bill for the Blue Cross we have to do. Personally, I believe instead of transferring the fund from the contingency for the bailout of the Parking Authority, that legislation should be shot down this week, alternative legislation transferring over \$1 million to Blue Cross should be

option is more appealing to the banks I

in or whether it be the earned income.

think would be agreeable to everyone whether

it be, you know, the property tax that comes

introduced, that will be a partial payment

of approximately half of what is owed to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Blue Cross for our employees' health care.

I think when you look at the two issues which one is more important right now, health care for hundreds of people who have been promised it by the city or bailing out an authority that has been completely incompetent, we still have not been provided the information we requested from Mr. Scopelliti, I think the choice is very clear.

Moving on to the Parking Authority and the authorities in general, I spoke to a couple of residents who many residents actually who were very upset about expense accounts for the authority members. have Mrs. Krake send two Right-to-Know requests, one to the Parking Authority, which is the one I'm most interested in now, and also one to the Sewer Authority, that that was brought up as well, asking for all expenditures and receipts made from any expense accounts since 2001. I think it is very important for council to know and for the taxpayers to know what we are paying for. How many stake dinners did we pay for

for authority members at meetings? That's what I want to know? I hope the answer is zero, I have a feeling it's not though. Hopefully they will comply and getting these back to us sooner than the time required by law and in an effort of good faith and cooperation, but it will be interesting to see those figures when they come in and I will be sure to report those.

Next, I have a few questions that came from residents, some from myself, still regarding the Parking Authority. And,
Mrs. Krake, I have these written out, I'll give these to you at the end of the meeting.
Solicitor Kelly claims there is no conflict of interest in being solicitor for the city and the SPA. Of all people involved he should be most aware of the status of the both the city and the Parking Authority.
How can he remotely be concerned of what is being proposed?

The second question is did Attorney
Kelly take part in the loan granted by
Landmark to the SPA without going through
council knowing that the city would have

eventually be responsible anyways for the 1 2 debt? Next, excuse me, I lost my train of 3 thought. 4 5 MS. EVANS: We are not responsible for the Landmark at this time. 6 MR. ROGAN: Okay, we'll scratch that 7 8 one then, which is --9 MS. EVANS: It was never, because as 10 you said, it never came before council then, 11 it never received the guarantee of the city, 12 no full faith and credit was pledged, so 13 they are out there on their own on that one. 14 MR. ROGAN: And with them having that one loan on their own and the rest 15 16 backed by the city, they are going to pay 17 their obligations. They know with all of 18 the other debt the city is here to pick up 19 the tab, at least they think so. 20 MS. EVANS: And that could well have 21 happened and that's why they don't have the 22 money. 23 MR. ROGAN: And that's the next 24 question would be, what payments have been 25 made on that debt and did that cause

payments not to be made on the city-backed debt? I think that may be very possible.

Next, the budget, the so-called budget that was provided by Mr. Scopelliti to council, as Attorney Hughes brought out, that it was nearly off by \$2 million. My question is why wasn't Attorney Hughes that had to discover that and not Attorney Kelly? Attorney Kelly is the city solicitor and the solicitor for the Parking Authority. He should have intimate knowledge of both sides of the transaction and firmly understand what's going on.

Next, there was an article in the

November 2000 edition -- 2010 edition of the

Scranton Times, the headline that read,

"Scranton Parking Authority passed their

budget, withholds details."

It goes onto state that at Attorney
Kelly's advice Mr. Scopelliti would not say
anything about the 2011 budget revenues.

Expenses or debt. Melissa Melewsky, a media
law consultant for the PA Newspaper
Association, claims the secrecy could be a
violation of the Sunshine Law and calls the

refusal to provide answers problematic and a little outrageous. Anyone at the SPA should realize that Attorney Kelly has not been doing them a service or the people of this city.

Additionally, when Attorney Hughes was asked questions to Mr. Scopelliti,
Attorney Kelly interrupted numerous times stating that it was a legal question, don't answer it. Well, if it was a legal question, Attorney Kelly, the legal counsel should have answered it. Mr. Kelly needs to make a choice. He needs to either become city solicitor or solicitor for the Parking Authority. You cannot be on both sides ends of a transaction. Even to somebody who is not an attorney, it's a blatant conflict.

So I have these requests written up, I will give those to you and we'll see if we get answers.

Next, a few comments on things that were in the paper, some things that were mentioned from the podium tonight. I was disturbed when I read the comment the mayor made in the paper, "I don't care."

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Doherty of running the city and running it into the ground has finally caught up to him and he came to a realization that it was his doing. I have incorrectly stated at council meetings that the mayor has run the city through budgets for almost ten years. That was incorrect. When Mayor Connors was mayor, Mayor Doherty was Finance Chair. Ιt was council's budget under Mayor Doherty that were enacted into law, so he was writing budgets for the city before he was even mayor, and today he is trying to blame city council, PEL, and DCED. And I agree on some of those points, the previous city councils enabled him and the mayor who now is blaming DCED for many of these problems,

Maybe the 15 years that Mayor

DCED didn't force the city to fight the city unions, the mayor made that choice. Chris Doherty chose it. His council chose it, three members that voted for it, only Mr. Reap and Mr. DiBileo had the foresight

he walked hand and hand with them through

The mayor is

this whole Act 47 process.

using them as a scapegoat now.

to vote against that plan. If they had their way we would be in much better shape today.

The idea of a 1 percent sales tax for Lackawanna County is ludicrous. If you are going to purchase something such as a car, which is a very expensive purchase, where are you going to purchase it, in Lackawanna County or you are going to drive to Wyoming County, drive down to Luzerne County and purchase it? It makes sense to me. For that much money I'm going to take the drive.

It would destroy business in this county, not only in the city but in the county as well, so I am glad that that was shot down. I don't think that's a viable solution.

It's the same thing we went through with the smoking ban when it was citywide, you know, whether you support it or oppose it the fact is it hurt the businesses because when you could drive five minutes away, ten minutes away, you could easily move to a bar in another town. The same

thing with this, you go make your purchases in Wyoming County or Luzerne County. They will have more jobs there and we won't.

Finally, and this really surprises me, I say shouldn't surprise me, Mrs. Evans mentioned that the mayor is planning on still spending money on fireworks this year. If he is truly concerned about the financial state of this city, we need to focus on health care for city employees, pay for the city employees, gas for the vehicles. That's the nuts and bolts of the city. A firework display is nice if you have money. If you don't have money for it, nix it. They have many other fireworks displays, as Mrs. Evans mentioned, across the county.

Stop using -- normally I support cleaning the streets with the street sweepers, stop running the street sweepers seven days a week and paying overtime. Many people have seen the street sweepers out on Saturdays. How much are we paying in overtime for the DPW for street sweeping? Yes, the streets need to be cleaned, but it's not the top priority right now. The

top priority for this administration is starting tomorrow morning should be insuring that the health care for the city employees is paid, whether that be through an additional TAN, whether it be through a transfer from the contingency funds if the bailout for the Parking authority is shot down, however it's done it has to get done. You can't leave hundreds of families in the cold without health coverage. And I will comment more on other issues.

I do have a few citizens' requests.

There is quite a few properties in the Hill

Section that have blight issues, Mrs. Krake,

I'll give this to you, please send this to

both Chief Duffy and to LIPS, and that is it

for now. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any comments or motions.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you. First, I would like to make two motions. Pursuant to the Administrative Code, Article II, Section 6-4, I'd like to make a motion to reappoint Kathy Carrera, executive assistant, in the

	99
1	city council office.
2	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
3	floor
4	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: There is a second. On
6	the question? All those in favor signify by
7	saying aye.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
11	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
12	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
13	have it and so moved.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd also like to make
15	a motion pursuant to the Administrative
16	Code, Article II, Section 6-4, a motion to
17	reappoint Jamie Marciano, confidential
18	secretary, in the city council office.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Second.
20	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
21	those in favor signify by saying aye.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
23	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
24	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
25	MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I just have, you know, a couple of quick comments from some of the speakers here. We had some very interesting speakers, Mr. Schwartz brought some information to the table, like I said, we are open to anything here. We want to save our city. But again, we have to make some hard decisions along the road and what's going to be in the best interest of everyone, so I do appreciate your being here tonight.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you for having me.

MR. LOSCOMBE: And, you know,

Mr. Sbaraglia spoke first and, you know,

like Mr. Sbaraglia I don't condone

incompetence, I don't think the board here

condones incompetence, in fact, I have

already asked for resignations of the

director of the board from the Parking

Authority. I believe everyone here knows

how we feel about the Parking Authority and

the condition of it. We are not bailing

them out if we approve this, we are just giving them enough more slack to hang themselves without hanging the taxpayers on the hook.

MS. EVANS: If I could, I agree with you, Mr. Loscombe, if anything this isn't a bailout of the SPA, this is bailout for the taxpayers of Scranton because we don't want you saddled with the debt for their mismanagement, and that's what's going to happen here. Because in the meantime the city won't have the money to make that payment and then it's going to be charged to you and that's what we want to stop.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, it's charged to the taxpayers anyways because of the mismanagement. That's what the vote is, to give them a million dollars of taxpayers' money. Correct?

MS. EVANS: The money is available now. What we can do if we do not make the payment, the Court will order a tax increase on the people to make that payment and very likely successive payments.

MR. ROGAN: If the money isn't

transferred now until it's placed in receivership, if they did go to the Courts to petition the city to pay, if it wasn't paid for, you would use it for other bills, the city could just write a check. I would have no problem paying the -- we are going to have to pay the bill eventually, everyone understands that. I don't want to give them a dime until it's placed in receivership. I think that's at least four of us up here that's what we want.

MS. EVANS: Yes, it is what he want, but because of all of the hard work of Attorney Hughes and his discussions with the attorney for Radian and an additional attorney for another bond issuer, there are now these requirements that have been set on the Parking Authority and they have a 30-day period in which to comply, and frankly, I don't see how that will be possible, particularly in terms of their budget. How will they now produce a balanced budget?

MR. ROGAN: I agree with that as well.

MS. EVANS: And be approved by this

ı

council?

MR. ROGAN: I do agree with that. I understand the track record of Mr.

Scopelliti and the authorities, they are snakes. You don't want to give them an inch. When it's placed into receivership, they can come to us for the money I would have no problem transferring it, but under the current leadership of Scopelliti, Renda, the same crew that's been there, I'm just -- I apologize, Mr. Loscombe, we'll debate this more later.

 $$\operatorname{MR}$.$  LOSCOMBE: No, we are discussing this.

MS. EVANS: I understand what are you saying, but according to the agreements, the legal agreements, they have the right to do this immediately, and regardless of whether they take over the garages or not they have the right in the agreement to immediately seek mandamus relief and, of course, a judge is going to rule in their favor because they are due the payment, and just as in the case of the unfunded debt borrowing, we are going to see yet another

tax increase put on the people that I think we should be working to avoid.

MR. ROGAN: But if the money is never spent there wouldn't be a tax increase. The money would still be in contingency. If it wasn't transferred today, if it was shot down today and the money was kept in contingency, if they went to petition the Courts the city could cut a check that day. There would not be a tax increase.

MS. EVANS: Actually, we don't know that the money will be in contingency. Right now I believe the city has \$1,174,000s cash as of today, according to the BA, so you can take that with a grain of salt, but postponement doesn't insure that the money is there. What's going --

MR. ROGAN: It can be -- the money in contingency can be transferred out without council's approval. Now the business administrator could use it to pay other bills and it's if it's health care for the employees I wouldn't have a problem with that, you know, that bill would absolutely

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need paid.

MS. EVANS: I agree that health care is very important, but I would rather at this juncture take care of the taxpayers of the city. Mr. Loscombe?

MR. HUGHES: Madam Chairwoman, if I could just to clear up one thing. Right now there is an event of default on behalf of the City and the Scranton Parking Authority, it's an event of default. As of Saturday if the money is not paid there will be a default, the City of Scranton will be in default. I do not know on all the other bond issues what happens if the City of Scranton goes into default, if that will default other bond issues. That's -- I don't have time to read every bond that the city is, you know, has. If the money is paid it will cure that event of default so now the event of default is gone, there is no more default. It's still kind of like a black eye in the investment community to an extent, but it's been corrected. no more default.

However, as of today there is 23

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

days and counting that the Parking Authority is going to be in default and Radian Insurance is going to come in and get a receiver appointed. So that clock is ticking and there is no way that that's going to be cured in my opinion, with the five events of default that they have to cure, it's been set forth by the trustee and by Radian Insurance, so that at least from it was discussed before from a financial standpoint as to the 9.85 million maybe pursue a TAN, that now no one can say that the city has defaulted on it's obligation with the Scranton Parking Authority. will be -- the event of default will be cured. That's just the difference in it.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. I'm sorry, Mr. Loscombe.

MR. ROGAN: Sorry.

MR. LOSCOMBE: There is something we are discussing. I mean, I personally, you know, after reviewing everything I feel that, you know, I have to go with the best of the two evils, not the worst that will end up ultimately hurting the taxpayers a

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little bit harder because I believe our solicitor has worked with the bond counsel and, you know, they are pretty much coming to work with the city and with the counsel and our solicitor on, you know, a plan afterwards which is going to be beneficial to everyone, and I just feel that that little bit of extra time is going to be, you know, well warranted.

And Mr. Jackowitz, you know, he questioned how did it get to this point? Ιt got to this point over many, many years, as you stated, of lack of accountability, wheeling and dealing, unstopped borrowing and stuff like that. This is where the services our solicitor came into play. discovered two years ago that they were supposed to have a budget approved by city Up until this point they never had and in spite of their budgets and our work on their budgets and also our recommendations to them they have ignored us for the past two plus years. They have only gotten themselves deeper, but it has gone unchecked for all of these years and now,

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, it's come home to roost because we were able to get into it a little bit more.

And this isn't the only authority or the only, you know, city component that's headed that way, so as we stated before, this is only a warning shot for all of them because we all know the borrowing unchecked throughout the city, throughout the authorities, beyond comparison and everybody is going to be held accountable sooner or later and we are doing it step by step and we are doing it transparently, and everyone is going to know what's going on here, something that hasn't been done for years. It's your tax dollars and we are trying not to waste anymore of them. There has to be accountability from everybody that's borrowed through this city with our backing and that's the route we are going. So that, Mr. Jackowitz, I hope, you know, that answered your question a little bit.

Next, I had a friend of mine, Bill Griffiths, call me regarding the Main Avenue bridge. I know we have had a bunch of tractor trailers, it seems to be epidemic

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

getting stuck under that bridge, and he came up with some signage suggestions and stuff like that, which I'll pass onto our city clerk, and perhaps we can get it to public works and do something about it because what ends up trucks get backed up and going all those tight side streets up by Tripp's school and everything and it's a real mess, so hopefully we can work on that.

And just, Mr. Spindler, and I guess he left, I told him during motions I would discuss the SAFER grant. He wanted to know about that. Well, what I found out today is very disturbing, extremely disturbing for I think Mr. McGoff mentioned evervone. rejection, I found out today that the mayor has authorized the Deputy Chief to reject \$3.1 million of the SAFER grant. that's a slap in the face to our senator who worked hard for it and that's a slap in the face to all of the taxpayer here to turn back money that was awarded to this city to protect you because he does not want to put the amount of firefighters back to open and adequately man all of these stations.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what I understand is some of his concerns are two years down the road if we don't have the money he is going to have to pay unemployment, well, two years down the road 47 men will be eligible to retire so there won't be unemployment costs. mean, there is lot that could go on in two years. We could have reapplied in two years, but I have never heard of anybody handing back part of their lottery winnings, especially when it comes to your public safety. I would hope Mr. Casey has something to say about this because he stuck his neck out, but when your fire stations continue to be closed you know why, because it's not going to change the situation that we have right now.

I was at fire on Mulberry Street
last week, I took my wife to work at 6:00 in
the morning, I was the first one at the fire
there down Mulberry Street, nobody was
around, the place was rolling. It was a
re-ignition of a fire we had previously.
The chief car pulled up, he can't do
anything. Next company that pulled up was

the truck company. The next company pulled up was rescue. None of them companies have the water capability to dump on that fire.

As I stated before, it's like a symphony ballet, there is a certain way that vehicles have to be lined up and respond.

It took -- the next company because, Engine 4 right here, headquarters downtown was closed. The next company to respond was Engine 2 all the way out at the end of South Side. That was the first water on scene. The next company to respond was Engine 10 up on East Mountain, and it can't come over the Harrison Avenue bridge, they had to come all the way down.

There is no though or planning of the safety of the people in this city, but I am appalled when I heard this today that they are going to give money back because he doesn't want to fulfill his obligations basically, and you could bend it and twist it anyway, but that's exactly what it is.

It's putting your safety last as typical.

I can't understand it. I would like somebody to explain that to me, but it's a

slap in the face for everybody here who pays their tax dollars who finally are given something to help this city out and it was returned. I'm disappointed. And that's all I have to say tonight. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you, Councilman Loscombe. Councilman Joyce, do you have any comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Scranton City
Council has received an audit update from
Rossi & Rossi regarding some outstanding
items. To provide a brief background for
everyone, an audit is a successive
inspection of the city's financial
transactions and is designed to determine if
they were recorded accurately and in
accordance with generally accepted
accounting procedures. An audit reviews a
municipality's financial control procedures
to determine if the municipality receives,
accounts for and dispenses funds properly.

An audit basically serves two principal purposes. First, an audit will identify problems based on a thorough review of a municipality's financial control

procedures and make recommendations that the municipality can use to improve its operations.

Secondly, an independent audit provides valuable information to community residents. It assures residents that public funds are being well-managed by a municipal government or it alerts them to problems such as poor bookkeeping or mismanagement of funds that need to be fixed.

Rossi & Rossi's correspondents indicate that there are 12 overdue items and eight memos as of June 5, 2012. A few weeks ago our BA, Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, indicated in an audit status report that the audit would be completed in July of this year. In the audit status report, he indicated that many of the open items would have been completed by June 1. After reading Rossi & Rossi's correspondence, it would appear that the city may be further behind than originally thought.

With this in mind, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. McGowan and ask him

to provide Scranton City Council with another audit status report based on Rossi & Rossi's most recent correspondence.

To report, our tax collector, Bill Courtright, has submitted a number of checks to the city totaling \$729,589.83. A breakdown of the checks sent to the city is as follows: LST, Local Services

Tax\$319,449.58; earned income tax, \$231,904.

88; and business privilege tax \$114,787.77; real estate tax, \$43,061.75, and the delinquent real estate tax \$20,405.85.

As one can see, real estate tax revenue was sent to the city where in prior months real estate tax revenue was sent directly to a lockbox to pay off the city's tax anticipation note which is a now totally paid off for this year.

Scranton City Council has received a report of funds collected and distributed from the Single Tax Office for the year as of 5-31-12 in comparison to 5-31-11. From this time period this year in comparison with last year, the tax office collected \$10,253,291,65 in real estate tax revenue in

comparison to \$9,527,900.55 for an increase in collection of \$26,195.

\$385,855.33 delinquent tax revenue in comparison to \$375,357.33 for an increase in collection of \$10,498.

\$749,077.44 in local service tax revenue in comparison to \$766,067.93 for a decrease in collection of \$17,530.19.

\$1,527,493.54 in business privilege tax and mercantile revenue in comparison to \$1,304,982.13 or an increase in the collection of \$22,511.41.

And to further elaborate on Mr. Jackowitz's question, and I'll defer to Solicitor Hughes, I believe that there were three bond issues taken out that amount to the \$100 million in principal and interest; correct?

MR. HUGHES: I don't know if it's -I believe it's two. That was one of the
things that we requested in my letter of
October 27 to the Parking Authority to
furnish us all of that documentation which
they never did. I believe there is two bond
payments and there is the payment -- there

1 is a payment that was due in March, this one in June, one in September and one in 2 3 December. So I think that the payments are 4 like every three months on two different 5 bond issues. MR. JOYCE: Okay. 6 MR. HUGHES: 7 This bond issue payment 8 that's due now and in December that's 9 insured by Radian. The one that's due in 10 September and March that's insured by National. 11 12 MR. JOYCE: Okay. I believe they 13 were taken out in 2003 and 2007; correct? 14 MR. HUGHES: I have no idea. MR. JOYCE: Okay. That's it. 15 16 save the rest of my comments for the vote. 17 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Mrs. Krake? 18 MS. KRAKE: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 19 SIXTH ORDER. 6 - A . READING BY TITLE 20 21 - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2012 -AN ORDINANCE 22 - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "THE GENERAL CITY 23 24 OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 25 \$1,035,948.50 FROM ACCOUNT NO.

1	01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
2	OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT
3	NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
4	EXPENSES OPERATING TSF DEBT SERVICE -
5	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE
6	DIRECT FUNDING BY WIRE TRANSFER TO BANK OF
7	NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY, N.A., TRUSTEE, FOR
8	THE PAYMENT OF THE SCRANTON PARKING
9	AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE JUNE 1, 2012.
10	MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. MCGOFF: I move that Item 6-A
13	pass reading by title.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
18	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
19	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
20	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?
21	MR. ROGAN: No.
22	MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so
23	moved.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. President, I'd
25	like to make a motion to move 6-A into

1 Seventh Order for final reading. 2 MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the 3 floor, do we have a second? 4 MR. LOSCOMBE: Second. MS. EVANS: We have a second. 5 0n the question? 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: I make that motion with 8 the intent that I believe, as Attorney 9 Hughes said, the payment is due by Saturday and not moving it into Seventh Order would 10 11 not allow us to pay that until the following week and then it would be default. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: I would just like to 14 request if it's moved into Seventh Order that the public is given a chance to comment 15 16 before final vote. 17 MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the 18 question? All those in favor signify by 19 saying aye. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 21 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 22 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 23 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? 24 MR. ROGAN: No. 25 MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so

What is the recommendation of the 1 moved. 2 Chair for the Committee on Finance? 3 MR. ROGAN: Could --4 MS. EVANS: Oh, I'm sorry. 5 Mrs. Krake, I jumped ahead of you. 6 culpa. 7 MR. ROGAN: Are we going to allow 8 the public to comment before we move 9 forward. MS. EVANS: But would we do that 10 11 prior to your reading? 12 MS. KRAKE: No. 13 MS. EVANS: Okay, then if you would 14 read 7-A. MR. HUGHES: The motion has to be 15 16 introduced first, you have to have it on the 17 floor before you can comment. 18 MS. EVANS: Thank you. MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 19 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2012 20 21 -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 22 56, 2011, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2012" BY TRANSFERRING 23 24 \$1,035,948.50 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 25 01.401.12090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL

OPERATING EXPENSES-CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 01.401.15319.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL EXPENSES OPERATING TSF DEBT SERVICE — SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY) TO PROVIDE DIRECT FUNDING BY WIRE TRANSFER TO BANK OF NEW YORK TRUST COMPANY, N.A., TRUSTEE, FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY DEBT PAYMENT DUE JUNE 1, 2012.

MS. EVANS: What is the recommendation of the Committee for the Chair on Finance?

MR. JOYCE: As Chair for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. MCGOFF: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question? Prior to on the question, if there are any members of the audience who would like to briefly comment, I think, Ms. Carrera, if we can give them a two-minute time limit.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
Fellow Scrantonians, I have only one
question, why didn't you send a formal
letter to the Parking Authority requesting
them to resign? That's the only question I

had. That was simple for you to do. You are condoning their incompetence. I don't care what you call it, you can call it whatever you want, it's a bailout. It's a bailout. Whatever reasons it's still a bailout. That's the bottom line. You did not request them to resign, so you are endorsing their incompetence. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. The only response I can give to that is we certainly could have requested their resignations, but I think we are all reasonable and rational enough to recognize that that would never occur. We have been ignored for years. They are appointed by the mayor, they are carrying out his agenda, we would have been mocked.

MR. LOSCOMBE: We have rejected the appointments and they are still there.

MR. ROGAN: That's why we should shut off the funds.

MS. EVANS: Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you. I agree with the resignation and I'm not going to condemn you people it's, like I said,

it's -- you are stuck in the middle here, but anybody from this day on if they don't want to produce an audit, they don't want to cooperate, they don't want to talk to council, well, then start mocking them and you have my full support. Ask them, please resign in the interest of humanity of the taxpayer. Thank you, have a good night.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. LEWIS: Good evening. I would just like to again reiterate my opposition to this million dollar transfer. As Mr. Hughes has stated, it does not actually cure the default, all it simply does is we fill the reserve fund. I don't think it's the appropriate thing to do.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton. The supermajority still has
my confidence and, Mr. Hughes, I have my
confidence in you. I understand you're
caught between a rock and a hard spot and I
understand that I'm speaking to the choir
right now because I understand that you've
already have your minds made up. I disagree

1 with you, I think you should let the Parking 2 Authority sink because they never took any 3 swimming lessons, they have an incompetent leader down there Mr. Scopelliti, they have 4 5 an incompetent board all appointed by Mr. Doherty and they sunk this city. 6 And I understand, I really do, I 7 don't like it, I'll tell you right to your 8 9 face I don't think like it, but I understand 10 it, and I really wish you wouldn't do it, 11 but I know you are going to do it so God bless you. 12 13 MS. EVANS: Thank you. 14 MR. SCHWARTZ: Again --15 MS. EVANS: Actually, is it Mr. Schwartz? 16 17 MR. SCHWARTZ: Um-hum. 18 MS. EVANS: I think we should keep 19 the public comment period to residents of 20 Scranton. 21 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's fine, I just wanted to concur with your solicitor and add 22 23 one other point, but that's fine. 24 MS. EVANS: Thank you. Is there 25 anyone else?

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't know if I should go over there and speak as a member of the public or a citizen, but I just want to clear up one thing, and I fully understand what the public wants and council to request the board to resign, and I think as it was aptly put they wouldn't do that. However, by this action and the action the council took two weeks ago that what has happened is that this board is going to be encapsulated when the insurance company comes in and they get a receiver appointed and they take charge of the Parking Authority, so I believe the letter would be superfluous, that the actions the council has taken brought this to light to the insurance company, it got their attention. They had to idea that this Parking Authority was in the financial

MR. HUGHES: Madam president, I

As I have previously stated, the revenues for this year are anticipated at \$3 million, their bond payments required under the bond indentures are \$3.6 million. If they didn't have any operating expenses, not

shambles it is.

a dime for insurance, no payroll, nothing, the City of Scranton is responsible for \$600,000.

The bonding company is going to come in, they are going to take it over, they are going to have a receiver appointed, they are going to make sure all of the revenues go to pay the bond. And as a result, as I said two weeks ago, this is a train wreck going to happen. It's going to happen -- if it didn't happen in June it was going to happen in September, if it didn't happen in September, and I didn't see how it could because I asked Mr. Scopelliti directly, "There is a bond payment coming up for a million dollars on September 15, that's three and a half months from now, how are going to pay it?"

And he had no idea. So that it was going to happen in September and if didn't happen in September it was definitely going to happen in December with another million dollar bond payment, so that by the actions that council took two weeks ago that what happened is that it brought to light, you

know, the financial incompetence of the Parking Authority and put it the light of --showed the insurance company, the bonding company, what the situation is, which resulted in the default letters going out last week by both the trustee and by the bonding company -- or by the insurance company.

So that, as I said before, it's 23 days and counting and there is no way they are going to cure those five defaults within 23 days, so there is going to be a new game in town within the next month.

MS. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Are we on the question?

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council? Now we are on the question.

MR. MCGOFF: I just once again reiterate my support for this is based on the fact that I believe that by paying this debt it gives us a greater opportunity to move forward on the unfunded debt, on the possibility of a TAN-B, that type of, you know, further borrowing. The failure to

have paid this I think would have negated any possibility of us getting a TAN, of us getting unfunded debt, any further type of borrowing, and so while it may not be the best of the situations, I believe that at least gives us a chance to move forward.

MR. ROGAN: I would just state what I have stated since day one, when this was first in front of city council for introduction the first time around it was introduced, I believe either four to one or three to one that voted against it. The first reading I voted against it, the second reading, both times I was the only person that voted against it. To my delight after the caucus with Mr. Scopelliti and members of the board, it was shot down three to one. I finally felt a victory that Mr. Loscombe and Mr. Joyce saw the incompetence right in front of them, and I think we all did.

My question is what has changed with the Authority other than a minor pay cut for Mr. Scopelliti in this period of time? Bob Scopelliti is still in charge, the board is still intact, there hasn't been any changes.

Additionally, council still has not been provided with the accurate figures that Mr. Scopelliti promised. That day he sat there after being drilled by every member -- most members of the council and Attorney Hughes, and I asked him one question, "How can council make an informed decision without having accurate numbers?"

And again, all Mr. Scopelliti could say was, "I understand, Mr. Rogan, we will get them to you. I understand that, I understand, I understand."

That's all he kept saying. That was three weeks ago. These numbers have still not been provided to city council. There are so many questions regarding the Parking Authority, expense accounts, excessive spending, excessive borrowing. There is so many questions that surround it that council doesn't know everything that's going on there, I don't think any one of us could say we have a firm grasp on the situation of the Parking Authority, and it's not our fault. It's because the Authority has blocked council from receiving any information. I

had to file a Right-to-Know request to find out what expenditures were made out of their expense account.

Like I said before, I look at the Parking Authority as a giant snake, you have to cut the head off the snake. The head is Mr. Scopelliti and the board, and until they are gone and it is placed to receivership I will not support giving them a dime of taxpayers' money, so I will be voting "no" for the sixth time on this legislation.

MS. EVANS: And the only thing I want to add very quickly is I can't look out into in audience and I can't think of all of the people I represent and all of the people I have met over these nine years and say to them, "Hey, another tax increase."

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans --

MS. EVANS: They are looking at right now at 78 percent. They are going to be looking at more for the Supreme Court awards. They are looking at unfunded debt borrowing, tax increase. Maybe we ought to just build it up to 200 percent and pay for all of the cronies through everybody's

pockets. That money is there now. That's current tax collections. I'm talking about increasing taxes here. So everybody understands that loud and clear, increasing taxes, and I'm not going to let it happen to the people of this city.

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, you are the only one talking about increasing taxes.

The city has to pay the money regardless, we all understand that. If the money is in an account whether it be today, Saturday, three months from now, if the money is not spent there will be no tax increase.

Once it's placed in receivership I would have absolutely no problem voting in favor to pay this bill. No problem at all once the incompetent leadership is gone.

MS. EVANS: Well, then --

MR. ROGAN: I hope it works out eventually --

MS. EVANS: And I agree with the idea we absolutely do, but I'm wondering if maybe you'd do better to speak with the attorneys than our attorney, because they have been in constant contact and this is

1 what has to happen to make everything else 2 that we want to happen occur. 3 MR. ROGAN: The cost is the same 4 whether it's -- the cost is same. 5 MS. EVANS: Mr. Rogan, I think we 6 have said enough now. We can agree to 7 disagree. 8 MR. ROGAN: I want to keep 9 discussing this issue because I think it's 10 misinforming the public saying this is a 11 vote on a tax increase. It's absolutely 12 not. This is a bailout for the Parking 13 Authority. 14 MS. EVANS: Or you can -- or you can consider it a bailout for the Parking 15 16 Authority or you can consider it a bailout 17 for the taxpayers of the city. 18 MR. ROGAN: It's the taxpayers' 19 money. 20 MS. EVANS: End of story. 21 MR. ROGAN: It's the taxpayers' 22 money. 23 MS. EVANS: Roll call, please? 24 MR. ROGAN: It's a million dollars of taxpayers' money. 25

_	
	132
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
2	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: No.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
8	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
10	MS. EVANS: Yes. I now declare Item
11	7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
12	If there is no further business,
13	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
14	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
15	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
16	adjourned.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## 

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER