		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, July 6, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	04THENE 0 NABB0771 BBD 05510144 004BT 5550555	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		
ı		

	2
1 2	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:
3	JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT
4 5	PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present) WAYNE EVANS
6	WILLIAM GAUGHAN
7	TIM PERRY (Not present)
8	LORI REED, CITY CLERK
9 10	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
11	AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25	

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. Mr. Rogan. Mr. Evans. 5 MR. EVANS: Here. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Here. Mr. Perry was 11 delayed in returning home and Mr. Rogan has 12 an illness. That's the reason for their 13 absences this evening. 14 MR. EVANS: I make a motion to appoint Councilman Gaughan as temporary 15 16 chairperson for the Committee on Community 17 Development. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 19 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. EVANS: So moved. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 25 those in favor signify by saying aye.

The

1

MR. EVANS: Aye.

2

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

3

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

4

ayes have it and so moved.

5

MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a

6

motion to table Item 7-E.

7

MR. EVANS: Second.

8

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

9

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

10

I am making this motion tonight, we have

MR. EVANS:

11

several questions that we would like

12

answered about this legislation so we intend

13

on inviting Mr. Moran, the owner of the

14

company, to a caucus next week to answer our

15

questions.

16

people know I have been a proponent of this

On the question, as many

17

legislation and getting a third party to get

18 19

involved with the rental registration since

20

I have been on city council and probably

21

before that, so I think we should have

22

questions on that, technical questions more

23

than anything else on how the program will

24

run with Mr. Moran involved with it and

25

hopefully we will get that taken care of

next week and get it back on track. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, it's really just 3 a matter of fine tuning some of the information that we would like and so next 4 week we will have Mr. Moran in to answer our 5 questions and be better prepared. All those 6 7 in favor of introduction signify by saying 8 aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. 13 Dispense with the reading of the 14 minutes. MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. AGENDA 15 FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO 16 17 BE HELD JULY 12, 2017. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 19 20 3 - B . SINGLE TAX OFFICE MS. REED: 21 CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT 22 2016-2017 YEAR TO DATE JUNE 30, 2017. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 24 comments? If not, received and filed. 25 MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD JULY 19, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time? A public hearing will be scheduled for next Thursday, July 13, to hear testimony regarding the closing and vacating a portion of Wright Court. The hearing had be held at 5:45 prior to the council meeting.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

First I'd to thank the Scranton Times, Jim
Lockwood and Chris Kelly, for their
excellent reporting on the sewer deal with
the Pennsylvania American Water. I have a
couple of questions since last week that I
have been thinking about, one of them was,
like, this contract that Ned Abrahamsen got,
basically Jason Shrive today said that there
is no contract so how is this possible there
was no contract for Ned Abrahamsen who got

\$200,000 in ten months. Didn't come in front of council for approval because there was no contract. It never went out for bid which it was supposed to do, and Mayor Courtright and Jason Shrive said the money he got paid was reasonable because all of the work he did. How would they know that because there was no -- there is nothing in writing anywhere of what Ned Abrahamsen did with the \$200,000? Are you aware of any money of any letter or anything in writing saying what he was to do or what he got paid for?

MR. WECHSLER: No.

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MS. FRANUS: None of you are?

Another thing, they also said that Jason

Shrive said the Sewer Authority paid him,

well, I believe they had \$38 million maybe

put aside, the Sewer Authority, but the city

hired Ned Abrahamsen, special counsel to the

city. That would be like me having a

contractor come to my house and doing all of

this work and then tell my neighbor to pay

for it or somebody else. Come on. The

1 person that hires the person pays for the 2 work. 3 MR. EVANS: Fay, my concern is, and you are right about that, that the Sewer 4 Authority paid him, however, he was paid out 5 of the proceeds of the Sewer Authority 6 transaction which is our -- the city's 7 8 money. 9 MS. FRANUS: See, I didn't know 10 I was told that it was \$38 million 11 that they had put aside not the sewer's, so 12 it was from the sale? 13 MR. EVANS: Well, I'm assuming it 14 was done at closing, you know, he was paid at closing. If that was the case, he was 15 16 paid out of the sewer proceeds so that's 17 something we will have to look into now. 18 MS. FRANUS: See, I didn't know 19 that. MR. EVANS: Well, I'm not 100 20 21 percent sure, I'm just making an assumption, 22 but that's something we will have to look 23 into. 24 MS. FRANUS: Well, that's good to 25 know because that's even more understanding

because if that's the case that's the city's money and the Sewer Authority --

MR. EVANS: That's my point.

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: That's my point exactly.

MS. FRANUS: Mine, too, so that even makes it worse. So my point is say Billy Courtright had something done at his house, do you think he would hire someone for \$200,000 and not have a contract and just say, "Oh, you do great work. Boy, that's a lot of -- good work. How much do you think it cost? \$200,000? No problem, you did lot of work and it's good."

See this piece of paper? Say it's a contract, without a contract anything a person does if they go to Court they get their money reimbursed or anything, without this piece of paper, go home, you have no legal right. So all of this work that was done, in my estimation, was illegal. So where do you guys go from here? I challenge Mayor Courtright and the Sewer Authority to get the Auditor General to come in. Now,

hide and they already have an auditor doing their books, it's not the same. The Auditor General said you work backwards and start from the beginning and find out all of this financing. If they don't hide something, what are they afraid of? They just need to come forward and have the Auditor General to satisfy all of the people in the City of Scranton because people in the city aren't stupid. They are not dumb.

So election day is coming and I think Mr. Courtright is going to pay for it dearly. I mean, if he wants to save himself get the Auditor General in here. Prove -- I will the first one to apologize to him if they prove there was nothing wrong with the sale. I would be the first to apologize. I'd gladly apologize, but I don't think that's the case.

And how about the mayor paying off debts from the sewer sale without the Sewer Authority being dissolved? Isn't that completely illegal?

MR. EVANS: We asked Attorney Minora that question as well and you want to answer

-- Attorney Minora, you want to answer that now. Well, we'll do it in motions. I dont want to take your time. Go ahead.

MS. FRANUS: Would you ask the Auditor General, I don't think the Sewer Authority or the City of Scranton mayor has to ask the Auditor General to come in and investigate that.

MR. EVANS: We have. Oh, that part of it?

MS. FRANUS: No, that part of it. I don't think he needs the Sewer Authority's permission. I was told that it's Statute 5612 of the state law and he does not have to have an approval so that would be very important to get him in here to check the fact that the mayor paid some of these debts with that sewer money before the Sewer Authority is dissolved.

And as far as what Jason Shrive says, just because he is a lawyer and he says it doesn't mean it's true. There is no contract. Everything they did was illegal and I hope you gentlemen do something about it. Thank you very much.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Before the next 3 speaker comes up, Solicitor Minora, would 4 you actually touch on the information that 5 you gave us about the sewer proceeds? MR. MINORA: I'm sorry? 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: The sewer proceeds. 8 MR. MINORA: The proceeds or the --9 MR. WECHSLER: Using the money of 10 the sewer --11 MR. EVANS: You answered the 12 question in an e-mail to Bill. 13 MR. MINORA: I'm sorry? 14 MR. EVANS: The answer you gave to Bill. 15 16 MR. MINORA: Oh, okay, regarding the 17 Abrahamsen --18 MR. EVANS: Yes. MR. MINORA: I did look into it and 19 20 there was apparently no written contract nor 21 was there a letter of engagement. First 22 thing I looked at was to determine whether 23 or not one was required under our Rules of 24 Professional Conduct and written contracts 25 for legal services are only required in

contingent fee cases. That being said, it somewhat goes without saying a written contract or a letter of the engagement is a much better practice and clearly would have answered many of the legitimate questions that are being raised here. All that being said, oral contracts for legal services are enforceable and occur all the time. That doesn't mean that that was the best practice in this situation and clearly it was not.

So, again, as it was explained to me by Attorney Shrive, the Abrahamsen firm was hired for a flat fee of \$200,000 that was to be paid by the Scranton Sewer Authority.

There is it no legal prohibition from one party paying for the attorney of another party, and I use the example of your auto insurance company if you are in an accident will hire a lawyer to defend you and that's a similar situation, although, there is obviously some differences, but the point being that there is no legal prohibition from one party paying for the attorney of another.

As to the Administrative Code,

are going to exceed \$19,400 in a year, the administration's position and opinion is that so long as the professional fee is not being paid by the city the Administration Code is not applicable. That's their argument and, obviously, there's a counter-argument to that and it can be argued that because the net payment by the Sewer Authority to the city was reduced by \$200,000 the city did, in fact, pay that amount and that they would have been -- have not complied with the Administrative Code.

clearly it requires a bidding if legal fees

So those are the two counter-balancing arguments on either side of that. I looked for case law that would clear this up and there is none that I could find that was even close or from a legal, you know, theory standpoint something we could use as applicable. There were situations in the past where attorneys did work where council refused to pass on a contract that was sent down by the administration, that lawyer was paid and the theory of quantam meruit so the question of

whether or not that \$200,000 was recoverable is highly questionable from a legal standpoint or sensitive whether or not it would be successful, so I'm not sure that would be there, would be anything fruitful to come of that in and of itself.

So I think that's as clear as an analysis as I can make of all of the different problems with this and the conclusions I know are somewhat unsatisfactory, but it's because of the nature of the whole thing that there is just no real clear answers.

MR. WECHSLER: Also, did you -- you sent us an e-mail regarding the Municipalities Act and spending of the proceeds.

MR. MINORA: Right. That was another issue that was raised last week and Councilman Gaughan asked me to address it, let's see if I can I might be able to remember the code section off the top of my head. The issue that was raised was the city -- the Sewer Authority had no authority to -- the Sewer Authority, I'm sorry, had no

authority to transfer over the money to the city because the Sewer Authority had not terminated it's existence, and that's patently wrong. The Sewer Authority has the ability under the Municipal Authorities Act to acquire a property, sell a property, lease property to and from themselves for themselves or to someone else and all of that is covered in 56 Pa. C.S.A. 607 sub (d) sub(4) and it allows them to do all of those things.

As I understood the agreement with the Sewer Authority, the Sewer Authority sold their assets to Pennsylvania American Water and agreed to transfer the money to the city for the city's agreement to accept responsibility for the -- to follow on things that the Sewer Authority might have to do in the future. So that's clearly an enforceable contract and they had a right to do it without termination ever being an issue.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

You know, I've respectfully have listened to Attorney Minora's opinions today, and I haven't had a chance to go to the law library and read those laws myself, but I think in the end he said something that I think every Scrantonian should listen to because the city is responsible for everything the Scranton Sewer Authority does in the end. So if it costs \$200 million to get all of these easements, the city is going to pay for it, okay? And like the Scranton Times says, we don't know what kind of deal this was, but it's my opinion it's the worst possible deal that ever has been made in this city.

And, you know, Mr. Evans you talked about how the Sewer Authority was the city's asset, no, the city belongs to the residents and as the residents spoke to council and as residents spoke to the Scranton Sewer Authority about not selling this asset off, they did it anyway, and if you spend a little time you would find out, not just yourself but the whole council and the whole city government, that the city is in worse

financial shape right now than it ever was before, okay? And you can put new curtains on the windows and pretend that everything is good, but it's not good, and when council tries to get the county commissioners to do a reassessment, well, it's pretty simple what's going on here the city is desperate for money. The residents have no more to give. There is just nothing there, and when the Scranton School district, if it so happens, goes into receivership the city is not going to be far behind it.

You know, and the remarkable thing is the University of Scranton took over the South Side Sports Complex, okay, and I won't hash out that it was illegal to sell it under Section 70, but, you know, they are bragging about how they are sending the lights somewhere else to be used by different communities.

Everything that this city government has done over a long period of time has been a waste of taxpayers' money, whether it's the parking garages and a host of other things because what has the benefit been to

the residents of this city? And we continually have grant money going to the wrong people. We are going to keep putting grant money into the downtown, we are going to keep giving nonprofits grant money, but the neighborhoods are falling apart. It's come to such a pathetic way in this city that I'm not even sure that the city has repaired potholes this year, and if they have there is an awful lot of them that really need to be looked at.

And, you know, I don't know if you can get blood out of a stone but you are doing a pretty remarkable job of doing that and it's just amazing that the people in this city that live here don't have enough common sense to realize that the people they have elected over a very long period of time have done a terrible job. Well, it's beyond terrible and I think that the greatest gifts Scrantonians could give themselves is finding a third candidate and run them out and see if we can turn this city around.

You know, Mr. Mulligan wants to be mayor of Scranton. I don't have any

animosity against the man, but he is a trained attorney. He couldn't have moved to block the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority? I mean, just see it for what it really is. Everybody wants to come save us, but really citizens concerned against -- about taxes blocked the sale initially when Mr. Connors was in office, and when you read that deal, Pennsylvania American Anglican, it was a joke. All of this stuff that the city has done over the course of 20 years has been a joke because the city is not in better shape it's no worse shape and the

I mean, you know, government should lift people up and empower them, but not in our city and not in a lot of cities across this country because the political parties keep their finger on you and keep pushing you down and keep stealing your wages and keep jacking your property tax up to the point where you can't pay it and cause extreme despair and don't have a solution for one problem that we face.

residents are poorer.

And, you know, when council asks

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

solicitor a question you know what I'd like to see? I'd like to see the council bring something into a Court of law and listen to a judge tell them the way it is because, look it, lawyers practice law, judges interpret it and you know something, there is case law. I didn't get a chance to read it all, but I'll tell you this, there was nothing right about any of the deals that have been done here and I see this city rolling over sideways in a couple of years because all of the money will be gone and there is still the pension debt, the storm water collection system and all of the other host of problems this city has and none of them have been solved.

MR. WECHSLER: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. It's obviously been quite awhile since I have been here and, obviously, not much has changed. I do want to just begin by congratulating Councilman Gaughan on his incredible turnout in the primary, you should be very proud of that and considering the fact that you had better

turnout than the mayor I think that speaks volumes so you should be very proud of that I commend you moving forward.

You know, Mr. Wechsler, I think the residents of this city determined your fate and your track record speaks volumes, I mean, your actions on this council over a short period of time have been quite disturbing and put the city in the position it's in today and you weren't alone in the game, a lot of people were a part of the process.

You know, we obviously talk about this sewer deal and, you know, it's my opinion that had basically the final nail in the coffin for this city. A lot of smoke and mirrors, no transparency, no answers to questions, but what really disturbs me is that we had a council that set here the entire time and never asked any real questions. And, you know, it all comes back to the council president and that falls on Mr. Wechsler's shoulders, hence, another reason why he won't be returning in six months, but you never asked questions. You

just sat there with your rubber stamps.

Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

Yes, Mr. Courtright, whatever you say. And yet the residents of this city continue to get screwed over time and time again, just one beating after another.

A lot of questions tonight have been brought up. Now we are discussing whether or not we might have had a crime take place. Was money spent illegally. That's the real serious question we have now, gentlemen, and is it going to take somebody to come forward and petition the residents of this city to come forward to get together as a community and take this and put it in front of a judge?

You know, the question I have to the residents of this city tonight is are they ever going to stand up and get a backbone and hold you people accountable? I mean, it's ridiculous, you know, and that's why we look to see the people we elect. I mean, it's just -- it's beyond comical. I mean, truthfully anybody that voted to have this gentlemen, Mr. Rogan return, quite frankly,

should go check into Scranton Counseling tomorrow morning because they are out of their mind, and anybody that supported any of these candidates, whether past, present, or whatever, I mean, it's absolutely ludicrous because we have elected one clown after another with empty suits, and maybe the best suit that some of you people could wear is one with stripes going across it. Call it what you will. I mean, we have had just one corrupt politician after another in this community.

And, you know, Washington got a real wake up call in the last election by electing Donald Trump, and now you have Washington and most of the country scattering around trying to figure out how they are going to outdo him because he is not a politician and he doesn't play games, he is not part of this little good ole' boy club. Donald Trump, that mentality that he has, that's something that actually Scranton needs a little dosage of. It needed it about 30 years ago to send a little message to the good ole' boy club here that the

Democrats and all of the elite that have run this city for a long time they need to get the hell out of here, but based off of our election process and the little amount of people that come out to vote I don't know if that's ever going to happen because people don't participate, they have given up. can understand why, but at the same time, you know, we have lot of people out there that want to try to save this city, but sitting back isn't going to solve it. We need people to get involved and question you and hold you accountable and that's why you get away with the lot of the things you do, the smoke and mirrors, you play your little games.

We have a news media that, unfortunately, doesn't always report the truth and people just sit back like the sheep that they are and they don't ask questions, they believe what they see on TV, the 30 second sound bits on the news, they believe the things you say, which is beyond scary. I mean, just take a look where we are. Look at the children in the city that

are living on free reduced lunch in the Scranton School District. We have kids that once again now for the 10th or 12th consecutive summer now are going to swelter in the people because we are stupid people that can't even operate or maintain a swimming pool in the community, but don't worry, we have a splash park coming so that's going to solve our problems. You should be proud of that, that's a hell of an accomplishment.

But it's just ridiculous. A ghost town down here in the downtown, neighborhoods that are just in total decay, seniors that are starving. I mean, I deal with them every day that they are deathly afraid they are going to lose their social security and their Medicaid and so many other things and they are tied of being overtaxed by your people, and then they ask theirselves one question, what do they have to show for it? Nothing. Nothing. They worked their entire lives. They paid and paid and paid and then look around and look where we are in this city. It's truly sad

As you

and it's just silly when we have PEL coming in talking about a three-year exit plan from distressed status. Give it a couple of years and this city will be in bankruptcy because it essentially is bankrupt and it will be following the same path the Scranton School District. It's just more make believe silliness that people are falling for. I just hope people wake up in this next election. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council.

know, I'm a man of few words and I really don't have much to say tonight, but I have a question, why in the world do the people Scranton need a reassessment? Our taxes have gone up the past six or seven years like 100, 150 percent if I'm not mistaken from what I'm told, and I listen to some scare tactics, they said that Mrs. Cummings is using a scare tactic, it's listening to people who are paying 2, 2 1/2 percent more that is utter nonsense and anybody that's stupid enough to pay 2 1/2 percent more than their neighbor needs their head examined.

This is, I know you are familiar with, you go down to the building across that used to be the gas company, I don't know what you call it, it's a reassessment form. It's next to impossible for us to do it. It takes a learned person, and if I'm not mistaken I believe Marie did it one herself but it's very -- you got to be exact, very exact.

I think we need to listen more to Mrs. Cummings than Jerry, and I have a charge account at Jerry's and I hate to get him mad at me, just who knows how much this reassessment will cost? Especially if Mr. Courtright gets some of his eight or nine hundred dollar an hour lawyers involved and Mr. Amoroso gets his law firm it will cost of tens of millions of dollars.

And, secondly, I forgot when it was but I think during the winter I was mad about all of these people on Cedar Avenue that don't pay taxes and there was an article in the paper how great Cedar Avenue looks, and as I remember there was two, three hundred dollars in taxes due from the

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people that they were naming like the hospital down there.

In today's, it says that liens are possible. When I was up here I said, "Why can't we put liens on them?"

See, you people don't listen to us. You don't listen to these people. It's very possible. I don't know if anybody up there had any interest in reading this article, it's got the exact law here that says you can put liens on property passed in '14, and I didn't bring my glasses, Act 93 of 2014 for the Municipal Claim Tax Lien Act. Why isn't it being used? Why aren't the friends of the neighbors around the mall being charged with it instead of seeing the five or six thousand dollars -- I mean, thousand homes in foreclosure continuously. This place is just a nightmare to live in I'm telling you.

Well, I wasn't even going to come tonight, I had some other plans. Yesterday Ms. Rosie went out and bought a dog. It doesn't seem to like me. It doesn't have a tail and it doesn't have a nose, a little

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Got a big head. A little bulldog. puppy. I decided to -- I decided to get away from it because we weren't very adaptable to each other. I was looking at the pet store, pets cost more than our house taxes, do you Isn't that unbelievable how realize? expensive they have gotten. I know years ago when she bought the basset hound it was like \$400 or something now they are in the thousands. Thank you all for letting me up Maybe next week I'll be in better here. mood with the dog and I won't bother you people.

MR. WECHSLER: Rosie Davitt.

MS. DAVITT: Good evening,
gentlemen. I came tonight to ask two
questions. The first one is have you heard
anything about my question a couple of weeks
ago?

MR. GAUGHAN: We have not received anything yet. Actually, when I saw you outside I asked Mrs. Reed, but we haven't gotten any correspondence from the city engineer or the DPW director, but we are expecting something soon.

MS. DAVIT: Thank you. Also, I was looking at thee meeting, the agenda tonight, thank you, Bill, for letting me now how to get to that, and I'm concerned and I know that you guys are going to have the hearing next week but I know that I will not be able to get here next week, I'm concerned because when I look at the picture of the overview of the court, Wright Court and stuff there is house there that's actually a nice house. My question about this is who asked for this vacation of the Wright Court and is there someone still living in that home that will effected by this?

MR. GAUGHAN: We can find out. One of the things within the legislation it says the request to vacate the roadway had been received. It's not in the backup, I believe it usually is so, Mrs. Reed, maybe if we can find out from Mr. Pocius who requested the roadway be vacated and then we can find out if anybody is living in that property.

MS. DAVITT: Is there a reason that a vacation of a city street would be requested other than just that it's not

used?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, usually there is a little bit more explanation in the backup. It does say in the sixth whereas clause that, "The city finds that there are no longer exists a need for a public right of passage in this right-of-way and the public is no longer benefited by such a use."

So I guess the thing we should find out is who requested it to be vacated.

MR. EVANS: It appears the part of it was already previously abandoned so we have to find out -- generally speaking, it's an adjacent property looking to add land to their property so I'm sure we will find out in the backup who that person is and why, what the rationale is.

MS. DAVITT: That's all I have. Thank you.

- MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you so much.
- MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?
- Joan Hodowanitz, MS. HODOWANITZ: Scranton resident and taxpayer. I arrived late due to an appointment in Wilkes-Barre so if I say something that someone has asked

1

2

20 21

22

23 24

25

before just have patience with me, and some of these I have asked last week but I want to repeat them since I haven't gotten an answer and you only have three more sessions before you take your August recess, so I don't want these to fall through the cracks, but the first one is I noticed on the counter in the City Clerk's Office the PEL exit plan with changes. I guess the changes were based on public input. I didn't have a chance to review it, were there any notable changes made to the exit plan?

MR. EVANS: There is some comments about reassessment that I asked for. There are also the section about defined contribution plans for non-union employees in there, a couple of other changes, but not a lot but there has been some. Yeah.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Am I correct in assuming that the PEL supports reassessment.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Speaking of the status of something, June 30 has come and gone, what I did not see on the Clerk's desk or counter was the 2016 independent audit,

1	has it been released yet?
2	MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Evans can answer
3	that.
4	MR. EVANS: The draft audit was
5	received on June 30 and a completed audit is
6	expected July 12.
7	MS. HODOWANITZ: It will be?
8	July 1.
9	MS. HODOWANITZ: Available to the
10	public.
11	MR. EVANS: It should be. That
12	should be final.
13	MS. HODOWANITZ: And if you could
14	encourage the administration to post it on
15	the website.
16	MR. WECHSLER: I think it will be
17	available it's going to received July 12,
18	I'm not sure it will available right on the
19	12th.
20	MR. EVANS: Shortly thereafter.
21	MS. HODOWANITZ: I would hope it's
22	before the end of July so that we can have
23	an opportunity to discuss it.
24	MR. EVANS: Oh, I would think so.
25	MS. HODOWANITZ: Have you had any

1 response or any contact with the Attorney General? 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Just what we had last I did speak to someone from his 4 week. 5 office and he was going to get back to me within two weeks. 6 7 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, so they are 8 still looking at the issue. 9 MR. WECHSLER: They are looking at 10 Since it's not a criminal matter they 11 are trying to find the best way to handle 12 it. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: And an oldie by 14 goldie, the Knowel's insurance list, is that ready for public review? 15 16 MR. EVANS: I mean, my discussions 17 with Mr. Bulzoni are that we expect every 18 quarter to have a revised -- and that 19 quarter should be over June 30, so I'll ask 20 him again about where that is. 21 MS. HODOWANITZ: Because I remember 22 when you passed this on the last week of 23 March, because it went out on April 1, you 24 know, insurance, that a comment was made, 25 and I can check in the council minutes, that

the list would be scrubbed since there was 1 obviously some glaring errors and that the 2 3 corrected list would be made available for 4 public review and I'm still waiting on that list. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: And I believe that to 6 7 add the Serrenti Center to it as well. 8 MR. EVANS: That's why --9 MS. HODOWANITZ: That was in the 10 process of being acquired. 11 MR. EVANS: We agree that we would 12 do quarterly updates, Knowel's would. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: Do I have to do a 14 Right-to-Know to see a copy of it? MR. EVANS: No. No, I think we'll 15 16 get it soon. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: Because little 18 things like \$783,000 insurance on a zoo. 19 MR. EVANS: Yep. MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm not sure about 20 21 that one. Okay, about how about the RFP for 22 the third party administrator for the 23 pension funds? 24 MR. WECHSLER: No update. 25 MS. HODOWANITZ: No update. That's

2 established from the sewer proceeds that 3 would eventually go to the pension funds? MR. EVANS: Well, that's all part of 4 5 the same thing. Third party administrator has to be hired before the trust is --6 I hope those 7 MS. HODOWANITZ: 8 proceeds are collecting interest. 9 MR. EVANS: I would hope so. 10 MS. HODOWANITZ: I hope so. Okay, 11 and the lawsuit on the tax cap for the city 12 I'm not so much interested in the status of 13 the lawsuit because it would have hit the 14 papers if there were any change there, but has the city said anything about a Plan B in 15 16 case they lose their case other than 17 appealing? 18 MR. WECHSLER: Plan B's aren't the 19 city's strong suit. Really I don't believe 20 that they have a contingency if they lose 21 the suit. MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I think, you 22 23 know, if they lose it they are looking at 24 probably a tax hike next year, a heavy one. 25 MR. WECHSLER: But there would be an

1

not good, okay, and we have a trust

appeal so --

MR. HODOWANITZ: Okay. And finally on reassessment, I know the council is strongly in favor of it, has the council considered either before or after your August recess participating or hosting a public meeting for debate purposes?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, I think I addressed this last week, we're actually the format that we have we are going to have comments pro and con, I believe.

MS. HODOWANITZ: But you don't have a schedule worked out yet?

MR. WECHSLER: Not yet, because we did request some information from the county in terms of the costs so when we get back it will be August, the referendum is in November so --

MS. HODOWANITZ: So probably after the August break?

MR. WECHSLER: I would think probably not in August, I don't think, because when we come back we will have a full agenda when we come back so I would imagine probably sometime in September.

MS. HODOWANITZ: My only request is as soon as you nail down dates and locations please get it publicized in the paper so that --

MR. WECHSLER: The location would be here. That's my intention.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Taxes paid, fees paid. Somebody was wearing a nice suit tonight on this side and had I supported Trump, which I didn't, I would be looking for a rock to hide under at this point, all he seems to do is hate just about American institution. He has got a great insurance policy by the way, it's called Mike Pence.

Just like George W. Bush had Dick Cheney, who the hell would want him as president.

Once again, city business, I would like to see a change of accounts payable due at winter's end be moved up a bit because you are paying heating bills, you are paying possible taxes of other types, discrepancies in what was deducted and so forth, it's a little much to come up with a \$300 trash fee

or getting under the fence with the 270, and as far as the current ordinance it's time to start enforcing it. It's time to develop a plan where people who constantly have five bags of the trash sitting out in front of their house or in back of their house blatant with trash and recyclables mixed together it's time they start to get told that the status quo is not good enough. study much and our studies get their start and they are -- they have their direction before they are ever completed. This is what we are going to do, we are going to change everything around and somehow it's going to be better than what we had before.

Rental registration, Attorney Hickey stated lack thereof creates holes in the trash fees collection and others are forced to pay more. There could be duplexes, triple rentals and so forth and if they are paying one trash they or hardly paying anything at all, which is also what I suspect. Shame, shame, shame. We need to get that and it's not that there wasn't an effort done but it just seems like we never

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seem to get with it and get our -- get these people rounded up and tell them what they need to pay. I mean, \$18,000 or \$17,000 with the trash fees that are never paid with people owning multiple dwellings is not acceptable, especially when you are after the average Joe to pay the bill every year.

And, you know, I was going up by Wyoming Avenue the other day and another sign appeared for a tax exempt on a building that was previously owned by a glass They did windshields and other company. things and it's time. It's way past time getting the Federal Court and getting an interpretation of what threshold is acceptable before the state has to compensate because of their constitution and the county has to come up with some kind of compensation, also. We are at 35 percent and if it gets keep growing, I mean, I don't want to pay for half of the city not paying, it's already too much and some of these institutions aren't necessarily as much of a benefit as they would like to think so. guess I hold a grudge over the Henry Amoroso

donation to start with. All I can think he was about was obfuscating the cause of why taxes are so high to begin with. You can't expect the unions to back off, they are never going to back off, people have lost tens of thousands of dollars in the school district in one afternoon with hybrid pension plan.

And don't forget, if you don't like your candidate Dubcheck for dictator. We are still there available. I can straighten things out in no time. Thank you. Have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. TOMLINSON: Hi. Heather

Tomlinson. I was reading through the minutes here, the agenda, and have a question, the 7-B, "Authorizing the mayor to execute an amendment to the intergovernmental cooperation for the purpose of implementation of a multi-municipal comprehensive plan."

Am I correct in thinking that this is actually SAPA?

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. TOMLINSON: And then I'm happy with "C". The other question I have, the other thing I have is actually just a comment. She was asking about the public meeting that you guys will hopefully have in September, I encourage you to have it at a time later than Mr. Courtright had his town hall meeting because there were a lot of people that I talked to who wanted to be able to get there but couldn't get to the meeting at 5:30 because they were still at work. So maybe have it at a later time so more people can actually attend.

MR. WECHSLER: Our meeting will be scheduled around council hours so it would be either at 5:30 or 6:00 because we still have to get our other city business done.

MS. TOMLINSON: Right, yeah, because speaking to other people there have been a lot of times where they want to come to the city council meetings or other things that are happening and they just can't make it because of work.

MR. WECHSLER: Hopefully we are not the only ones doing it, we are only

proposing this because of our desire to see the reassessment done, but I'm sure there will be other debates probably more than city council. We are only doing it for our express interest of what we would like to see done. So it's really going to be part of council meetings, not going to be a like a town hall setting.

MS. TOMLINSON: All right. That's it really. Thank you very much.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Evans, you're lead off.

MR. EVANS: Wow. I'm not used to that. Amazing. I'll keep it short then. Since we are only two days removed from the Fourth of July, I thought I would talk about that as well as the July 3 celebration on courthouse square. I have attended that for as long as it's been downtown and it's not only a funny event it's beautiful and it's also inspiring. State Rep Flynn, Marty

Flynn, posted a quote on social media a few days ago about -- from Abraham Lincoln I thought it was appropriate. Lincoln said, "I see a man proud the place in which he lives. I'd like see a man so that his place would be proud of him."

So I would hope that we all strive to live in a place where we live would be proud of us, but more importantly, I would like to see a man proud of the place in which he lives. Events like Monday's celebration in downtown Scranton only serve to reinforce how proud I am of this place I live. The people, the history, the architecture, and days like Monday evening with the patriotism all on full display for all of us to see as thousands gathered to celebrate our independence.

No, Scranton is not perfect, not far from it, but like our founding fathers who attempted to form a more perfect union we are work in progress, but that won't stop me from being proud of where I live or working hard every single day to make it better.

So I'm going to reject that half

glass full outlook, those that take solace in negativity and continue to do that, that's okay, but maybe some others who have chosen a different, but my final comment for all Scrantonians this evening is it's okay to be proud of who you are and it's equally okay to be proud of the city that you call home. That's all I have tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a few items. First, the street sweeper will be in the East Mountain area July 10 to July 14. Wintermantle Avenue to Mulkey Avenue, East Mountain Road to Cherry Street and all streets in-between.

I would like to request that the administration add Elmhurst Boulevard to future city paving lists. I received quite a few requests and pictures from residents in that area and it is a road that is in very bad condition.

I did receive a complaint about a property in the 300 block of Foster Street this has been foreclosed, unoccupied and

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

condemned for the past three years. The neighbors have been concerned as of late. The property used to be taken care of, it's now becoming severely overgrown, bushes are severely overgrown, the sidewalks are in rough shape. The neighbors did try to call a bank who owns it to no avail, so we are requesting that LIPS take a further look into that.

We received a letter back in May from residents in the 900 block of Clearview They're having major issues at the Street. bottom of their hill. I was on the phone with a gentleman who lives in that block this afternoon, they are getting backed up sewer water in their basement after every thunderstorm due to blocked sewer lines. I've had different conversations with Pennsylvania American Water, formally the Scranton Sewer Authority. They did send council a letter, they sent it to the DPW and the administration. I would like to reach out again to the city engineer to see if he can take a look at this issue and if there is any way that we can get some relief

to these people. It's very important to them.

I would like, Mrs. Reed, if you could send a letter to the administration asking for an update on the closure of the tree house at Nay Aug Park. I think it's very disappointing and I think it's an absolute shame that the tree house continues to be closed. It's one of the main attractions to Nay Aug Park and I know there is many people that are disappointed that that continues to be closed and probably will remain so until the end of the summer.

We did receive great news, as was reported in the newspaper, regarding the Scranton Iron Furnaces attracting an international iron casting conference to Lackawanna County. The City of Scranton beat out Boston, Massachusetts and Bethlehem to have this conference in Lackawanna County. It will be held May 28 to June 2 of next year, 2018. It's estimated to bring nearly 1,000 people to the City of Scranton. I just wanted to say a great job to all of those who were involved in the annual Arts

on Fire Festival. That festival a actually one of the reasons that this conference is coming to the City of Scranton and to Lackawanna County. So I would urge the administration and anybody involved in city government to promote this over the next year to make sure that we get as many people out as possible. And that's all I have this peek week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you

Mr. Gaughan. Very few items here to report
this week. A few weeks ago we did send a
request to former Sewer Authority, Scranton
Sewer Authority director Gene Barrett to
come to city council to answer a few
questions. Last Friday Mr. Barrett
corresponded with us declining our offer so
he will not be attending council to answer
any questions.

Other comments, I'll save for during legislation.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — CLOSING AND VACATING A
PORTION OF WRIGHT COURT BETWEEN LINDEN
STREET AND THE FORMERLY VACATED PORTION OF

WRIGHT COURT. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. EVANS: So moved. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 6 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 7 A11 8 those in favor of introduction signify by 9 saying aye. 10 MR. EVANS: Aye. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF AJA E. WENTUM, 15 711 HARRISON AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 16 17 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE HUMAN RELATIONS 18 COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE JUNE 23, 2017. MR. WENTUM WILL BE REPLACING SUSAN BLUM CONNORS 19 20 WHO RESIGNED ON JUNE 12, 2017. MR. WENTUM 21 WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF SUSAN BLUM CONNORS WHICH IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON 22 23 AUGUST 24, 2018. 24 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be

introduced into its proper committee. 1 2 MR. EVANS: So moved. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 4 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I just wanted to thank Mrs. Connors for her 6 7 service on the board. I'd also like to 8 welcome Mr. Wentum to the board. I think he 9 is going to be a great addition. As I was 10 reading though the backup I was really 11 impressed with his letter of the interest, 12 so I wish him the best of luck. 13 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 14 introduction signify by saying aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 15 16 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 18 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 19 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 20 21 106, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE 22 23 CURRENTLY OWNED BY SCANLON, INC. T/D/B/A AS DUNMORE BREW HOUSE, 1400 WHEELER AVENUE, 24 25 DUNMORE, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA,

A11

The

1 LICENSE NO. R-1172 TO NEPALI KITCHEN, LLC 2 FOR USE AT 732 PROSPECT AVENUE, SCRANTON, 3 LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED 4 BY THE PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 5 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 6 MR. EVANS: I move that Item 6-A 7 8 pass reading by title. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 10 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? those in favor signify by saying aye. 11 12 MR. EVANS: Aye. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -16 17 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 107, 2017 - AN 18 ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY 19 20 JOAN HUDAK T/D/B/A SEVEN SISTERS TAVERN, 814 21 SUSQUEHANNA AVENUE, OLYPHANT, LACKAWANNA 22 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA, LICENSE NO. R-3527 TO 23 CFM BEER BRICK, LLC FOR USE AT 337 WEST 24 MARKET STREET, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA 25 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE

PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 3 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? MR. EVANS: I move that Item 6-B 4 5 pass reading by title. MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -13 14 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 108, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL 15 16 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, 17 PENNSYLVANIA, SETTING FORTH ITS INTENT TO ISSUE ONE OR MORE SERIES OF GENERAL 18 OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE CITY IN THE 19 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED 20 21 FORTY-ONE MILLION DOLLARS (\$41,000,000) PURSUANT TO THE ACT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY 22 23 OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, KNOWN 24 AS THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT UNIT DEBT ACT, 53 PA.C.S., CHAPTERS 80-82, AS AMENDED AND 25

24

25

SUPPLEMENTED (THE "ACT"); FINDING THAT A PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION IS IN THE BEST FINANCIAL INTERESTS OF THE CITY: DETERMINING THAT SUCH BONDS SHALL EVIDENCE NONELECTORAL DEBT OF THE CITY; SPECIFYING THAT SUCH INDEBTEDNESS IS TO BE INCURRED TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR A CERTAIN PROJECT OF THE CITY WHICH CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) CURRENTLY REFUNDING THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; AND (2) PAYING THE COSTS AND EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS: SETTING FORTH THE REASONABLE ESTIMATED REMAINING USEFUL LIVES OF THE CAPITAL PROJECTS THAT ARE TO BE REFINANCED BY THE BONDS: ACCEPTING A PROPOSAL FOR THE PURCHASE OF SUCH BONDS AT PRIVATE SALE BY NEGOTIATION; PROVIDING THAT SUCH BONDS, WHEN ISSUED, SHALL CONSTITUTE GENERAL OBLIGATIONS OF THE CITY; FIXING THE DENOMINATIONS, DATED DATE, INTEREST PAYMENT DATES, MATURITY DATES, INTEREST RATES AND REDEMPTION PROVISIONS (IF APPLICABLE) AND PLACE OF PAYMENT OF THE PRINCIPAL OF AND INTEREST ON SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO CONTRACT

24

25

WITH THE PAYING AGENT FOR ITS SERVICES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BONDS: SETTING FORTH THE SUBSTANTIAL FORM OF THE BONDS EVIDENCING THE DEBT: AUTHORIZING EXECUTION AND ATTESTATION OF SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING COVENANTS RELATED TO DEBT SERVICE APPLICABLE TO SUCH BONDS TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT AND PLEDGING THE FULL FAITH, CREDIT AND TAXING POWER OF THE CITY IN SUPPORT THEREOF; CREATING ONE OR MORE SINKING FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH SUCH BONDS, TO THE EXTENT REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DESIGNATING THE PAYING AGENT TO BE THE SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY; PROVIDING A COVENANT TO INSURE PROMPT AND FULL PAYMENT FOR SUCH BONDS WHEN DUE: SETTING FORTH REGISTRATION AND TRANSFER PROVISIONS WITH RESPECT TO SUCH BONDS; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATIONS OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE INVESTMENT OF PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS AND THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003;

23

24

25

AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DO. TO TAKE AND TO PERFORM CERTAIN SPECIFIED, REQUIRED, NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE ACTS TO EFFECT THE ISSUANCE OF THE BONDS, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE PREPARATION OF A DEBT STATEMENT AND BORROWING BASE CERTIFICATE, AND THE FILING OF SPECIFIED DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, ALL AS REQUIRED BY THE ACT; DECLARING THAT THE DEBT TO BE EVIDENCED BY SUCH BONDS, TOGETHER WITH ALL OTHER INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY, WILL NOT BE IN EXCESS OF ANY APPLICABLE LIMITATION IMPOSED BY THE ACT: AUTHORIZING PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO DELIVER THE BONDS UPON THE APPROVAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT; SETTING FORTH CERTAIN COVENANTS PRECLUDING THE CITY FROM TAKING ACTIONS WHICH WOULD CAUSE THE BONDS TO BECOME "ARBITRAGE BONDS" OR "PRIVATE ACTIVITY BONDS," AS THOSE TERMS ARE USED IN THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), AND APPLICABLE REGULATIONS PROMULGATED THEREUNDER: AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF BOND INSURANCE

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(IF APPLICABLE) AND SETTING FORTH THE PROVISIONS, IF ANY, REQUIRED TO BE INCLUDED BY THE BOND INSURER; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE AND COVENANTING TO COMPLY WITH THE PROVISIONS THEREOF; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENTS NAMED THEREIN IN CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003, IF NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE; APPROVING THE PREPARATION, USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF A PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT AND AN OFFICIAL STATEMENT BY THE PURCHASER IN CONNECTION WITH THE MARKETING OF THE BONDS: SETTING FORTH THE CITY'S INTENT TO PROVIDE FUNDS TO REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS, SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE ESCROW AGREEMENTS BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE ESCROW AGENT NAMED THEREIN IN

24

25

24

25

CONNECTION WITH THE REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS. SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF ONE OR MORE INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS BY SPECIFIED OFFICERS OF THE CITY (IF APPLICABLE) AND THE PURCHASE OF CERTAIN U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATIONS OR ANY OTHER SECURITIES OR INVESTMENTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE INVESTMENT OF MONEYS WHICH WILL BE USED TO REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION PENSION FUNDING BONDS. SERIES C OF 2003 AND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING FEDERALLY TAXABLE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES D OF 2003; PROVIDING WHEN THIS ORDINANCE SHALL BECOME EFFECTIVE: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS AND THE TAKING OF ALL OTHER REQUIRED ACTION: PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY OF PROVISIONS; PROVIDING FOR THE REPEALING OF ALL ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES INSOFAR AS THE SAME SHALL BE

2 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 3 by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 4 MR. EVANS I move that Item 6-C pass 5 reading by title. MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -13 14 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 109, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 15 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO REALLOCATE A 16 17 PORTION OF THE PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY TO REFUND AND 18 REFINANCE THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL 19 OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES B OF 2003; AND 20 21 REFUND THE CITY'S OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, SERIES C AND D OF 2003. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 24 by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure? 25 MR. EVANS: I move that Item 6-D

INCONSISTENT HEREWITH.

1

	60
1	pass reading by title.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Second.
3	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
4	those in favor signify by saying aye.
5	MR. EVANS: Aye.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved.
9	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
10	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
11	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 172, 2017-
12	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
13	CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A SPECIAL
14	ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO ANOTHER TIME
15	RESTAURANT, LLC D/B/A AV RESTAURANT TO
16	OPERATE OUTDOOR SEATING IN FRONT OF ITS
17	RESTAURANT AT 320-322 PENN AVENUE, SCRANTON,
18	PENNSYLVANIA.
19	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
20	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-A.
22	MR. EVANS: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	Roll call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
	II

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 2 MR. EVANS: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 6 7 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 8 adopted. 9 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 10 THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR 11 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 173, 2017 -12 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL 13 14 COOPERATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF IMPLEMENTATION OF A MULTI-MUNICIPAL 15 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON 16 17 AND THE ADJACENT MUNICIPALITIES OF THE 18 BOROUGHS OF CLARKS GREEN, CLARKS SUMMIT, DALTON, DUNMORE AND THE TOWNSHIPS OF 19 20 GLENBURN, NEWTON, SOUTH ABINGTON, WAVERLY, 21 AND WEST ABINGTON. 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Community Development? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairperson for the Committee on Community

Development, I recommend final passage of

Item 7-B.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I just wanted to briefly say -- send out a big thank you to all of those people involved in SAPA and the whole process, all of the communities that have been working so diligently over the past three years. The City of Scranton adopted -- one of the first things that we did in 2014 was adopt the SAPA plan as our comprehensive plan. also like to thank Mary Liz Donato from Lackawanna County Department of Planning and Economic Development for all of the work that she has done. I think this is a great example of what happens when two governments work together and the region works together for the betterment of the region. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Yes, on the question.

As many people know I have been a supporter of SAPA for almost a decade I'm very happy

21

22

23

24

25

to finally see this resolution passed this evening. Also, the accompanying legislation which is going to allow for a grant and participating in a grant that's going to finally get the City of Scranton to move off the present zoning code, which was established in 1994, which I was involved with, I was one of the authors of that so I know how long it's been, I actually had black hair when I did that code, but it's a cost effective way to do it. There is also the wider approach with multiple communities involved. It's going to allow for a more comprehensive zoning code. It's going to better for all the municipalities involved so I'm looking forward to that as well.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

1	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
2	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO. 174, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
4	COUNTY OF LACKAWANNA TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR
5	A GRANT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS
6	A PARTICIPANT IN THE SCRANTON-ABINGTONS
7	PLANNING ASSOCIATION.
8	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Finance?
11	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-C.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
16	Roll call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
23	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
24	adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION

BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR 1 2 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 175. 2017-3 AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 4 SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT 5 OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") APPLICATION 6 FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR THE 7 8 INSTALLATION OF THE SOLAR POWERED TWO SIDED 9 RECTANGULAR RAPID FLASHING BEACON SYSTEM. NEW CROSSWALK AND SIGNING. THE LACKAWANNA 10 HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY (LHVA) PLANS TO 11 HAVE THESE INSTALLED AS PART OF THEIR SAFETY 12 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT ALONG BROADWAY STREET 13 14 AND LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL INTERSECTION. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 17 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Public Works? 19 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 20 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 21 passage of Item 7-D. 22 MR. EVANS: Second. 23 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 24 Roll call, please? 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

_	
	66
1	MR. EVANS: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
6	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
7	adopted.
8	MS. REED: 7-E has been tabled.
9	MR. WECHSLER: If there is no
10	further business, I'll entertain a motion to
11	adjourn.
12	MR. EVANS: Motion to adjourn.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ability.

. .

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER