| F | | |
|----|--|---|
| | | 1 |
| 1 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | HELD: | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Thursday, July 27, 2017 | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | LOCATION: | |
| 10 | Council Chambers | |
| 11 | Scranton City Hall | |
| 12 | 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| 13 | Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| 24 | ON HERE OF WARDOLLI, AND OF TOTAL COOK! KEI OKTEK | |
| 25 | | |

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There will be 14 a motion to move Item 5-B to Seventh Order for final vote based on the attached 15 16 emergency certificate. There will be a 17 second opportunity to speak in Seventh Order 18 prior to the final passage of this piece of 19 legislation. Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 20 21 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE 22 HELD AUGUST 2, 2017. 23 24 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 25 comments? If not, received and filed. Do

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. ROGAN: I have two announcements. The first one, this is a press release that was sent out from OECD and I'm going to summarize it, but in Scranton's 2016 Action Plan there was \$50,000 earmarked for a new program, which is a housing program for rental rehabilitation. Scranton's rental rehabilitation program is designed to increase this supply of long-term availability of affordable standard rentals for low income families as well as to revitalize Scranton's neighborhoods by addressing substandard rental housing conditions within the community.

The program will be provide half of the costs necessary to correct code violations. The maximum deferred payment loan is \$10,000 per unit. An additional \$2,500 per unit will be provided for lead base paint abatement that does not require a match. The deferred payment loan is an interest free forgivable loan that has no

monthly payment as long as all program requirements are met. In order to protect the city, a mortgage will be made upon the property for the length of the affordability period. The affordability period will be between 10 to 20 years depending on the dollar amount the subcity received. Anyone who is interested in this program can contact Scranton's OECD Off ce at 570-348-4216.

And the second one, this was given to us by Mr. Bolus, and I see he is here tonight, but I do want to mention it as well. Two Iwo Jima flag presentations will be held on Saturday, August 5, at the Marine Corp Attachment on Alder Street on East Mountain, and the ceremony is at 2 p.m. and a dinner will follow for a \$10 donation. I'm sure Mr. Bolus will be talking about it more when he speaks tonight. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: I have a couple of announcements. First, this Saturday, July 29, from noon until 8 p.m. the fifth annual Scranton Made Arts on the Square festival will be held downtown on the courthouse.

The festival features local artists, craft, vendors, music and food. Also, going on this weekend in downtown on July 28 to 30 is the Lackawanna County Three-on-Three basketball tournament in the 100 block and 200 blocks of Wyoming Avenue. Please be aware of possible road closures due to these events.

Also, it is a little ways off, but on Saturday, September 23, at one 11 a.m. in honor of Councilman Bob McGoff there will be a gazebo dedication taking place at Connors Park. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. On Tuesday,
August 1, is National Night Out. It will be
held at Scranton High School and it will
begin at 6:00 p.m.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATING.

MS. WECHSLER: Sherry Scramstad.

MS. SCRAMSTAD: Good evening,
gentlemen. My name is Sherry Scramstad. I
live at the Morgan Manor in Scranton. Some
of you may remember me as I have come before
you several times with no resolution as yet

to the concerns I have brought before you. Most citizens who appear here to address you are concerned about financial matters. I'm not saying that those concerns are unimportant, but I come before you to detail the elder abuse that continues to go on in this city with your assention. I'm talking about exploitation, control, and financial rape of the elderly.

In 2012 when Clover Management
testified in public hearings before this
body they fraudulently told council that
they sought a building and operating permit
and a ten-year tax abatement for a senior
apartment complex that would offer
"affordable housing" to those 55 and over of
between seven to eight hundred a month.
This body granted them all their requests.

From the time the doors opened to the Greenridge senior apartment complex on September 1, 2014, the one-bedroom apartment went for \$865 and the two-bedroom for \$985. At the expiration of each year's lease since then each rent was raised \$50 a year and those who were never informed before they

moved in were charged an extra \$15 for the convenience of living on the first floor.

Just this month a new manager at the complex told residents there would be a 3 percent raise in rents every year when almost all original residents have been told that there would not be an automatic increase at the expiration of the annual lease and when there was an increase it would be only the cost of living adjustment.

Additionally, this management corporation has sought to control the very lives of the residents that live there.

They cannot hang communally produced jigsaw shellacked and framed in the hallways. They cannot feed the birds nor can they hang wind chimes or hang wreaths on their inside doors. They had to surrender monies that the residents themselves had raised for community events and outings from a weekly bingo game which each attendee paid \$5 for and ran the game completely on their own.

The community room kitchen, which was staffed by donations from residents and used by them in the mornings for coffee and

conversations, was recently locked by the management, but the two worst offenses were ordering elderly and handicapped residents, some in wheelchairs, others with walkers, some with cerebral palsy and asthma, others with diabetes, heart conditions and on dialysis to shovel out their own cars in the more than two-foot snow fall we had this winter, all while still receiving a ten-year tax abatement from this body. You may remember Representative Haggerty's interview on WNEP regarding this matter.

The other egregious behavior by

Clover Management was to call three Scranton police officers to escort a former elderly resident off the property while she was visiting her best friend or face being arrested for trespassing because this former resident had the audacity to contact our elected officials when management demonstrated they had no intention of keeping their word to the city and the residents and so she was refused a renewal of her lease.

After checking with an attorney who

is currently running for district attorney, this former resident was told that unless the lease specifically stated that management must approve all visitors to resident's apartments there is no basis for arrest or trespassing to any visitor of a bona fide resident.

When all of this was revealed to the Better Business Bureau in Scranton there opinion was that this was not just a case of fraud but an abuse of the elderly. They revealed that this management corporation already had seven complaints against them and now there are eight.

Despite all of this, when I appeared before this council to relate all of this in the past I was ignored, not even a word was spoken to me nor even a nod of the head by any council member during the meeting. Let me warn those of you who are so arrogant as to treat those who elected you as though they are invisible and don't exist or that the concerns are so insignificant that they don't even deserve comment, that you will not last here and you will not go further in

public service, which brings me to this council's solicitor. After meeting a member of this council in another setting and discussing the plight of the elderly at the apartment complex who are being financially -- the residents of whom are being financially raped by this fraudulent corporation, the member asked solicitor to review the public testimony by Clover Management and what they had promised this city. The council member e-mailed me that Mr. Minora would e-mail me directly after his review. Mr. Minora did not review the public testimony by Clover, but instead he sent me a copy of the LERTA law under which the council granted the tax abatements and Either Mr. Minora is too dense to permits. do what was asked of him or he purposely refused to do so for what reason I can only speculate.

After being reminded by me to review the public testimony at Clover --

MR. WECHSLER: Excuse me, we do have a time limit here and I --

MS. SCRAMSTAD: Yes, I understand,

23

22

2425

| 1 | but I have to been before you three times |
|----|--|
| 2 | and you have ignored me. |
| 3 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes, but we have |
| 4 | discussed this several times |
| 5 | MS. SCRAMSTAD: Well, if you |
| 6 | MR. WECHSLER: It's not an issue |
| 7 | that we can |
| 8 | MS. SCRAMSTAD: Sir, I suggest you |
| 9 | do what Clover Management did and call the |
| 10 | Scranton Police to have me removed. |
| 11 | Otherwise, I'm going to finish my statement. |
| 12 | MR. WECHSLER: You cannot. |
| 13 | MS. SCRAMSTAD: I'm sorry. You do |
| 14 | what you need to do and I'll do what I need |
| 15 | to do. |
| 16 | MR. WECHSLER: I'm going to take a |
| 17 | recess. |
| 18 | MS. SCRAMSTAD: After being reminded |
| 19 | by me |
| 20 | MR. WECHSLER: Do we have a motion? |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: I make a motion for a |
| 22 | recess. |
| 23 | MR. WECHSLER: On the motion? All |
| 24 | those in favor? |
| 25 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| | |

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

2

MR. EVANS: Aye.

3

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

4

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

5

(Meeting in recess.)

6

MR. WECHSLER: Call the meeting back

7

have rules. There is a time limit for

8

speakers. We allowed some extra time. The

9

speaker continued to carry on and disrespect

to order. Just a comment, city council does

10

the council and our rules and I had no other

11 12

option but to ask that the police to come.

13

I hate doing it. It's an insult to the

14

citizenship here. It's an insult to this council. During every meeting that we have,

15

citizens are allowed to speak, they are

16 17

allowed to insult us, they are allowed to

18

make comments, we listen to all of it, but

19

each one of them respects the Rules of

20

Councils and each one of them leaves as

we ask of speakers. We don't put any

21

their time limit is completed. That's all

2223

restrictions on what they say, we just ask

24

them to follow the rules. So in this case

we had to call a recess and I did have to

25

1 ask the police officers to come. I had no alternative, so the meeting is resumed. 2 3 Next speaker is Rosie Davitt. MS. DAVITT: Hello, gentlemen and 4 5 ladies. I have a couple of questions 6 tonight, one of them has been answered by 7 Mr. Gaughan already and I appreciate that. 8 The second one is have we heard anything yet 9 about the questions that I had raised a 10 number of the weeks ago when the Rockwell 11 Avenue playground was opened about the fact 12 that we need to make sure that the kids are 13 Have we heard anything on what the -save? 14 investigation proceeded? MR. GAUGHAN: We have not received 15 16 anything; correct, Mrs. Reed? 17 MS. REED: No. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: No, not at this time. 19 We haven't received anything. 20 MS. DAVITT: Is there any way we can 21 bring that back to their attention? 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Sure. We can send a 23 second request. 24 MR. PERRY: I'll ask Chief Graziano 25 for a follow up --

MS. DAVITT: Thank you very much.

MR. PERRY: -- on what the study produced and I think I remember that was on the signage and just to put original in on the park right there.

MS. DAVITT: And we were hoping for a lowering of the speed limit around all the playgrounds in the area so that all of the kids and adults that go with them are safe.

MR. PERRY: I'll definitely follow-up on that for you.

MS. DAVITT: Thank you. This is going to be one of -- their is a couple of questions that will go into this, and they are going to think that I'm really against the DPW but I'm not, I worry about the monies that are spent by not having an accurate and an effective manner for picking up garbage and recycling. Is there someone who goes out and checks on these guys every once in awhile to see that they are following a specific route? Also, where does that -- how is that route decided on? Is there a computer program that's used to make that route up or is it a person that

goes ahead says, well, this is the best way to go? Is it the most effective?

MR. ROGAN: I can answer that for you because this is something that drives me as well --

MS. DAVITT: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: -- because there are routes in the city where literally one drew does half the street and the other crew does another half and they haven't been done by a computer. I have been calling for an efficiency study of the DPW for as long as I have been on city council to track the routes to make sure they are being done more efficiently. We have much better technology now than we did when these routes were set up.

MS. DAVITT: I was just going to say, when were they set up?

MR. ROGAN: An exact date, I couldn't even tell you, but it seems they do modify if there is a truck down, they will modify, you know, the routes a little bit, but I have been calling for an efficiency study. Now, we do have a study out to

determine the true costs of garbage collection in the city, which is a big component, but we need to get those routes hammered down so it's saving man hours, so it's saving gas, and it's much more efficient for the residents.

MS. DAVITT: Yes. Now, also, are they allowed overtime?

MR. ROGAN: Oh, absolut1ely.

MS. DAVITT: So who approves that overtime and for what reasons?

MR. ROGAN: Well, it would be the director of the department and sometimes there is overtime -- when there is a holiday, for instance, if Monday is a holiday and they work on a Saturday the Saturday is overtime, but as needed. If we get a big storm, there is trees down and guys get called in for overtime.

MS. DAVITT: Which is understandable.

MR. WECHSLER: There is also a supervisor out during the day. Aside from the director, there is a supervisor out during the day.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVITT: So how often does the supervisors travel with the guys themselves or do they go out in their own cars and check to make sure things are being done?

MR. WECHSLER: They kind of assign them the duties sometimes as well, so it's just like a supervisor in any place I'm sure they tag along as the day goes on.

MS. DAVITT: The reasons I'm asking these questions is in our North Scranton area, Bill will probably be able to testify to this as well, they go down Rockwell Avenue and they don't pick anything up and then they come back up and they go down Gilbert to the bottom end of Gilbert and they pick up Gilbert down lower part and then go up and make a right-hand turn and then back down on the upper end of Gilbert and half the time they don't pick anything It's -- I just -- I sat there and watched them the other the day I was off and I sat there and watched and why are they doing it like this? It just didn't make sense to me. So any information you can If I could get a copy of the route aet.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

even that would be fantastic so I could at least look at and see if they are even following the route they are supposed to follow. That would be fantastic. I thank you guys.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

Joan Hodowanitz, MS. HODOWANITZ: city resident and taxpayer. With regard to Item 5-B, the emergency certificate attached for the contract of the Mar-Paul, I understand from the caucuses this evening the rationale for this emergency certificate in that you have six of seven firehouses that need immediate maintenance attention, particularly the roofs, and there is the weather issue of getting the roofs done before the bad weather sits in. That's not My concern is I listened to my concern. Mr. Bulzoni point out the horrible state of maintenance of these firehouses and how they have been neglected for years and years and I would recommend, I'm surprised that we are not doing this, if we are I'm not aware of it, we should have a five, a ten and a 15-year capital budget plan that looks into

2

4

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ongoing maintenance issues such as this.

I'm not talking about change the washers on the sinks type deal, I'm talking about roofs are only good for so many years, and if we negligent our infra structure, not just the firehouses but this building and the Serrenti center and all of the other buildings that we have in the city all we are doing is buying into a larger bill down the road because if you don't maintain these buildings you are going to pay through the nose when you eventually get around to fixing them. And keep in mind, also, that there is a direct relationship between the caliber of services that our public service workers provide to us and the infrastructure which we give to them to provide those services, so I'm just suggesting that since I'm persona non-grata on the first and fourth floors perhaps you can work with the administration so that as go to the next budget process that we look very hard at our long-term capital budget to make sure that we don't allow our infrastructure to degrade this extent again in the future.

1 The 2016 audit is still not on the 2 website as of 4:30. Don't forget you have 3 to put your summary in the newspaper, and I'm still waiting on an answer, are we going 4 5 to continue to retain Rainey & Rainey for 6 audit preparation? 7 MR. EVANS: I would expect that to 8 be true. 9 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. With regard 10 to your request to the Attorney General, any feedback on that yet? 11 12 MR. WECHSLER: No, I have not heard 13 back. 14 MS. HODOWANITZ: How about the Knowel's insurance list? 15 16 MR. EVANS: Nothing yet. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey. 18 about the third party administrator RFP? MR. WECHSLER: Same as last week. 19 20 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey. 21 regard to rental registration, I gave you 22 some information from my building, Forum 23 Towers, but I don't see any way to contact 24 Mr. Moran yet, maybe I've just missed it, 25 but I recommend that he contact all of the

local colleges, University of Scranton,
Marywood, the medical college, they do
maintain a list of rental properties for
their students and that probably would be a
good place to start. I think the University
of Scranton is half the Hill Section by now.

And mirabile dictu. There is a sign in front of the Linden Street parking garage the elevator works so yes, yes, Virginia, there is a Santa Clause and you enjoy your recess next month.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. Just briefly,

Mr. Rogan brought up about what's going on
on August 5. This was the presentation that
we submitted. It's in honor of Sergeant

William Genaust. He is the marine sergeant
that filmed the flag raising over Mt.

Suribachi and shortly thereafter he was
killed going into a cave. Sergeant Genaust
is sill in the cave. I have been there
three times on Iwo Jima, I hope my fourth
mission there is to bring his body and two

marines home and we have been given
permission by the Emperor of Japan and the
Prime Minister and now we have the
cooperation of our president and vice
president. Couldn't ask for anybody better
to bring our people home and it's basically
called a Hero Remembered.

This article here was done by the Japanese, which I was a participant in and it came from Japan and to me with the family and I was invited to participate and I felt very proud to be a participant in it, and I bought the 70th anniversary flag that was on Iwo Jima, we were there when the flag was raised during the reunion tour. It went up for auction and I purchased it and it's being donated by me to the Marine Corp League and all members of the service on August 5.

So there will be a ceremony, everybody in the public, anybody that wants to be there can be there and there will be will dinner after that, it's a \$10 donation, and the dinner is being sponsored by Tressa's Angels.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Getting into some of the other stuff here, and we saw here, but like to see the police officers go back out on the street because I'm going to give you just a little insight of what happens, only the police chief or the mayor can order someone from the podium. Council does not have that power or authority. That's been tried and tested, and I think we should just sent the police officer back out on the street to take care of the citizens of the city. don't think that's an issue we need to do here but, unfortunately, he could be here, but, council, you cannot have him do anything else to any citizen here unless the mayor or the chief of police do it, and you can check with your solicitor. Okay? that's fact, not fiction. I know, I was part of it when it happened a long time ago.

You know, the public evaluates the performance of it's elected officials to a great extent what happens at meeting. Many citizens form their total opinions of city government on the basis of having attended just one council meeting. This is the time

to impress citizens favorably and show them that the council is capable of the doing it's job. The citizens' participation period is a time slot that is set aside on the agenda for citizens to address council on any subject that is not to be confused with a public hearing, which is formal proceedings conducted with the purpose of discussing a topic. Most city councils do have a portion of the meeting set aside for citizen discussion and comment.

The purpose of the council meeting is conduct city business. Council members are expected to be polite to citizens appearing before them. The governing body should listen actively to it's critics. Listen, to learn, and to understand, not argue, dispute or to silence their critics. It's helpful to state that you and understand how the person or a group feels. Keep in mind that anger is directed at your role not at you as an individual. Last, but not least, while remaining respectful keep a sense of humor because after the meeting is over everything else is over.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to go on a couple of issues here, Mr. Gaughan, with all due respect, I was at the meeting with the mayor. You were there, you came to the podium, you had your five minutes. down, I came up to speak, you jumped up in front of me and tried to demand more speaking time. You tried to bully the mayor. I ready in the paper your comments you won't be bullied, you won't be doing this. You got to understand something, Attorney Abrahamsen was hired by the Sewer Authority, not the mayor, but everybody here participated in that hiring when you signed the recovery plan and while you sit back now and let this go on knowing full well that an illegal activity may have taken place with the sewer Authority that has not been disbanded and cannot disperse money you have remained silent. At that meeting with the mayor there were five of you, you came up and spoke but if you used some common sense between all of us you would have each taken five minutes, that way you'd have 25 minutes to discuss the issues with the mayor and you

ignored that, yet you come here and talk.

You have legislative powers. One of the things you should have done or could are done was file a subpoena, and then

Abrahamsen would have had to sit right here and answer your questions under the oath.

You didn't take that advantage. And what I'm trying to do is enlighten you, you didn't -- Mr. Abrahamsen didn't bully you, you bullied him and now he is take the offensive when you had another alternative that had the power, not of a lawsuit, that had the power to discussion, and that's what you gain from maturity and using some common sense in here and that hasn't been exposed or expressed here.

So my suggestion to you get off the bullying, the grandstanding, it's not getting you anywhere, in fact, it's making you really look ridiculous and that's not who you should be as a councilman.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Use the power of the subpoena and do the job, and one more important thing in here, discussions do

1 happen. That's the purpose of councils 2 through the centuries have been created is 3 to have a dialogue and debate between citizens and officials and that's something 4 5 we don't do here, and if we did more of that maybe we would have got along further and 6 7 there wouldn't be the hostilities here that 8 people are being argued. Like last week --9 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 10 MR. BOLUS: -- you don't have the 11 discussion, you don't do this and do that, questions and answers are what's important. 12 13 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. 14 MR. BOLUS: Keep that in mind, Mr. Wechsler, in your next position you may not 15 16 get it. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 18 MR. BOLUS: But that's what I tried 19 telling you, with all due respect, respect 20 the people --21 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 22 MR. BOLUS: -- that come here, and 23 please don't thank me. I said in the past, 24 I don't come here to be thanked, I come here 25 to be respected and --

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: -- as a citizen and taxpayer and you just don't respect when people talk to you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: So, please, change the thank you to respect and you'll go a lot further. Ignorance is bliss, please end it.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. Lee Morgan

Well, good evening, MR. MORGAN: Council, another stellar meeting. You know, I think that if you really would have paid attention to what that lady was saying instead of ending the meeting you might have learned something and you probably could have did something for not only her but for the residents of this city and give them some idea you are working for them instead of what they really think, and I think the people at home would have really benefited from seeing the kind of politics that takes place in this city over, you know, people's lives and the way the city is degraded and citizens over an extended period of time.

And, Mr. Rogan, I don't know why you were so troubled to hear what that woman had to say. I mean, I just don't understand it at all and you are running for retention, but I think that 7-A should be tabled, okay, because if we are talking about an exit plan for Act 47 it's almost comical because I don't how you can put the money back from the sewer deal, and I don't know how you are going to give all the refuge fees or all of this other money because are you in court of in couple of different directions.

And, you know, I see where Judge
Moyle has stepped out of in case with the
Scranton Sewer Authority and Judge Minora
stepped in and I think Judge Moyle should
have stayed in, and in my own opinion I
think Judge Minora needs to step out because
he has Mr. Minora here and there is Minora
on the school board. There is just too
much. There is just too much there. I
think this case needs to be moved outside of
Lackawanna County where it can get a real,
fair hearing based on the merits of the case
and based on law and I'm not so sure it's

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to happen here.

I think it's also pretty important to realize that there seems to be a buzz in the community over House Bill 76. It's just It isn't even worthy of a terrible bill. the course. Now, from what I understand there is a meeting on Wednesday at 6:00 p.m. at Lackawanna College, but that bill is just completely useless, has no merit at all because all property tax has to end and there is just with over 500 school districts in Pennsylvania there is just a mountain of debt sitting there. It's just not going to answer any of the real problems that confront all of voters and all of the residents across the Commonwealth, because the real problem is all the pension money that all of these school districts owe. The police officers here, I mean, when you sold the Sewer authority you just threw them right out of the boat. I don't know what they are going to do with their retirement because I'm not sure they are going to collect most of it.

I mean, you know, it's time for

24

25

reality to set in, and it's nice that all of the politicians dress pretty, because you guys do have nice suits on, I got to give I mean, you couldn't answer one vou that. question that was put to you today and just shook your head no, but as far as dressing you got it down. I just think though it's really time to consider what's taking place here. The country has reached a point where it's in debt so far with so many pension plans just spewing across the Commonwealth, not just this Commonwealth, but across the nation because you can dress any politician in a cheap suit and they can pass a lot of legislation to the detriment of the people that are supposed to be helping, and I would like to see that, you know, Mr. Wechsler, you proved that tonight by ending the meeting when somebody had a problem. mean, it just going to prove that you know what, you as president have the right to extend the amount of time you can give to a speaker and for this whole council not one person objected and wanted to hear what that woman had to say. It's troubling. And you

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to represent who? That's the real troubling thing, yourself and people we can't see. The Scranton Times was asking a lot of troubling questions.

And, Mr. Gaughan, I wouldn't worry about being sued because you have a certain amount of immunity, and I'm not even sure what you are being sued for. But, you know, the troubling thing is if you worked for us and all of the bureaucrats across the Commonwealth worked for us, we are in big trouble. They just pass the budget \$2 billion short. Doesn't anybody anywhere in this Commonwealth think that we have the government we deserve? That's a great question to ask people, and you know what it doesn't matter whether one person elected you and everybody else stayed home, you had an obligation to move in the best interest of the people who reside in this state and it's just not happening. Good luck.

MR. WECHSLER: Bev Devaros.

MR. DEVAROS: Bev Devaros, Quincy

Avenue in Scranton. This is just for your

information about our county prison which is

right on North Washington, same as this, you know, up the line here and with getting books in there and getting a library, and I bring this tonight for information because even though it's a county jail it's in our city and these are our neighbors.

It came to my attention that there is no library in our county prison up here, and that was pretty astounding to me because I think almost all of the prisons in Pennsylvania have a library system inside, so I went to the prison board meeting and Judge Geroulo and the board said -- I said, "How about if we get donated books and put in the prison?"

And he said, "Yeah, that would be good."

So that's when we are been doing and thanks to the Times, Kyle Wynn, wrote an article about that very thing and oh, my goodness, the donations just poured in from churches and the Century Club, individuals, you name it. We have hundreds of really good books. They have to be paperback books to go in, so we are gathering all of these

books and now we are working on a system to distribute them in there and the prison bought some book cards and so we'll load them up with these books and volunteers will take them around, so I just think that's a good thing, and I think that it's on that.

But while I'm here, for the winter, for the snow removal, the snow on the streets, the city streets, I wish there was another way other than that grit that is put down which solves the problem immediately, I mean, you know, it makes it easy, you know, safe to drive on, but then the aftermath is more months you are sweeping up this grit off the street, and if you don't sweep it up it gets washed down into the sewer system, which is not good.

So I just wish there was another alternative and another product that could be effective but not have the side effect so I don't know what that would be, but I'm sure you could.

MR. ROGAN: I actually spoke to
PennDOT regarding that, but PennDOT doesn't
have the same issue, and the problem is

PennDOT uses 100 percent salt on their roads, the city uses a salt aggregate mix and that's why you have those pebbles, that grit, which gives cars traction. This was years ago I spoke to a former DPW director why we do things differently than PennDOT and the answer I was given was cost because the aggregate is much less expensive than the salt, but I think in the long run it probably would be safer and cheaper for not having to deal with all of that mess if we explored going to the mixture that PennDOT uses with the 100 percent salt.

MS. DEVAROS: Thank you, because even though we do have a street sweepers, machines, we all know that they don't make it around, and even if they did that's just tons of stuff that has to be taken up and then we get charged, I guess, to dump it as far as I know so thanks for looking into that.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Johns.

MR. JOHNS: Hello, everyone. I just wanted to start off by saying this is my

23 24

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

first city council.

MR. WECHSLER: You picked a good one.

MS. JOHNS: I sure did. I think it's incredibly interesting to see the dynamics of this room and the lack of compassion that I see between the council members and the community citizens. The fact there is only 13 people here shows that not that many people want to get involved, so for me as a young millinneal to come here and see this sort of friction between the city council and our residents it's disheartening and I did have some other questions about the city but I'm going to skip over them for right now.

My main reason for coming here today is because I'm doing a lot of research on the City of Scranton, particularly the history when it comes to African Americans here in this town and I feel like we have a lack of representation and right now my motive and my drive is to promote that and the amount of facts and representation that we have had in this city from like the 1880

to the present day and all of the men and women that actually sat in this council, sat in the very room in the 1800's and now, like, we are not really represented.

So I want to do a lot more working with the city, working with the school districts and letting students of color know that you can be an educated person, school is valuable and that we deserve out representation in this city. As you can see, there is only three African-American people in this entire room and we are the minority here and, like I said, I feel like we get the representation of being negative here and when there is lot of positivity and a lot of excellence that is here that is just unrepresented.

So, anyway, I would like to talk about you guys have been -- I looked at your agenda for today and you guys are working on something with the downtown plan. I didn't really find what that plan was exactly, but I do see that you guys have plans to make that city better, but I don't really see how renovating these apartments that are above

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the cost of living here is going to make the city better and what you are really doing is to bring -- to revitalize downtown because I know you guys are bringing in businesses, but businesses don't actually build a community, and when you are looking for community to me it doesn't really seem to be something that is working.

You also said that you are looking for someone that can be a staff representative of that. I have a master's degree, I have a little bit of background on businesses and I know people who would be interested in opening up businesses and things like that, so if I knew the business plan I would love to participate in that I would have recommendations of doing more community activities, specifically located downtown I know there is something happening on Saturday so I'm not saying it doesn't happen, but, I mean, as far as making this a community down there I don't think it actually is happening. I think there is people that are living down there, but I don't really think it's a community.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would like to know more about the downtown plan because I work downtown and I spend a lot of my time down there so, like I said, this plan doesn't actually do the things I have seen and even though there has been small progress since 2015 I don't think the amount of time and money you guys are spending into it is, like, showing up yet.

I think those are like the few things that I wanted to say. Yeah, I just noticed, too, this is to go back on my discussion between council and the city, it seeks like there is a lack of discussion or debate like one of the gentlemen said that's no longer in the room, and I was wondering where that aspect actually comes into play and how that actually works out, and if you guys have any suggestions for the quote/unquote millennials, the community of my age group, what would you like to see from us and what we can do because I'm really interested in making this community better not only for me but also students, kids, because I feel like the things that we

1

5

6

4

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are doing here I don't think we value education. I think that the high schools are terrible. I think the middle schools aren't that great, and I know you don't have that much money to spend on education but I really think that we can work with the colleges and get them into the school and vice versa because education is only the way that the city is going to be better in my opinion, and everything that I have learned and I have learned after I have gone away to college to do that. Unfortunately, the city hasn't done much for me, which is devastating, especially doing more research on the history and knowing about what African-Americans to make a community, and even for me to be standing here it's sad that it's not as common.

But, like I said, I really do want to participate in more in the city and get involved with the council and get involved with the mess of the community here because as you can see youth isn't even here and there is going to be a time when you guys aren't going to be here and then what? What

are the plans for us to make the city better because it just looks like it's crumbling even further.

MR. WECHSLER: Miss, if you don't mind, that's me, over here. If you don't, could you leave your contact information with Kathy.

MS. JOHNS: Absolutely, and the last thing I want to say I completed a graduate level thesis here about the history of Scranton, black history specifically in Scranton, Pennsylvania, and I really do want to give it to the city because I think that there is a lot of gentlemen particularly, but also women that contributed to this city who have been completely lost and forgotten, so I will leave my information and my research available to everybody, so thank you so much.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Is there anyone else?

MR. CATENZARO: Gentlemen, please forgive me, I didn't realize I had to sign in to speak. If it's okay could I --

MR. WECHSLER: You just have to

state your name and --

MR. CATENZARO: Is it okay to give the recording secretary my -- what I am going to say and my business card?

MR. WECHSLER: Sure. Over here?

MR. CATENZARO: Council members, ladies and gentlemen, thank you for giving me the time to speak, I'll try to and brief. I have come here tonight --

MR. WECHSLER: Excuse me, you have to state your name.

MR. CATENZARO: Oh, I'm sorry.

Michael Catenzaro from Childs, Lackawanna

County. I have come here tonight because I
have been reading that the city council
wants to the whole county reassessed. Since
I cannot vote for against any of the council
members, I would like to relay to you a few
facts with a reassessment coupled with my
personal thoughts. I have been coming to
this city, worked in the city and walked in
the city since the 1970's. Scranton
currently is less than one-third of the
county population which does make it a
minority within the county.

What is the purpose of a full county reassessment? The law states that any reassessment must be revenue neutral so that in theory it odd his any additional revenues. Any reassessment would also be subject to something called the fairness principle where as no property can be assessed any higher than any property of similar type in the same area. Any increase in the assessments will also increase the amount of school taxes a property owner pays. Since school taxes are based on the same assessed value, it thereby creates a double whammy for that property owner.

The county government itself does not need any new tax revenues and is fine with the assessment as they are as evidenced by the vote against a reassessment taken at the public heating held up in Greenfield Township this past spring.

Lackawanna County, unless anybody forgets, raised property taxes 90 percent between the Years 2003 and 2013. If you build a new house, you're probably assessed at two to four times any other property in

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that neighborhood, so how does that make property taxes unfair?

Also, if you purchase an existing property you probably have a higher assessment than the previous owner. I know the couple who built a house in Scranton and between the city, school district and the county they are paying \$12,000 a year in property taxes. That's a lot of money for the privilege of living in the city. I couldn't afford to pay these. The county currently has renege 1,100 -- a little over 1,100 properties on it's repository for nonpayment of taxes. Over 700 are in the City of Scranton. As I said, Scranton is one-third of the county population yet it has two-thirds of the properties on this repository.

I also do not believe that a lack of current assessment curtails economic activities since any large businesses that locate in the city or the county would get all sort of tax abatements. Property values in the City of Scranton in particular are depressed precisely because of the high

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property taxes, school taxes, wages taxes and mercantile taxes within the city.

Property values are also affected by whether a municipal or school district is run in an fiscally responsible manner. Have high taxes ever promoted economic activity? This city or any municipality or school district has every right to show up at an assessment hearing and argue against the reduction of the assessed property value.

The cost of a full county reassessment is around \$15 million according to the county estimates. This is approximately 10 percent of the entire county budget and 20 percent of the property taxes the county collects. Where is this money coming from? This will only result in the county raising taxes or borrow money in order to pay for a reassessment that must be revenue neutral by law. I believe this \$15 million number is accurate because the gentlemen that ran the Assessor's Office back in the 70's, when this was last seriously discussed put the cost at that time at \$5 million, so five to 15.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In regards to the county, it does have a cash surplus but this surplus must be maintained or they will lose their credit rating again and be paying \$1.5 million a year to it's creditors and penalty for loss of that rating. Also, any reassessment will also clog an already overburdened county court system with assessment appeals which then add additional expenses to the county budget.

The solution to the reassessment. Issue is to go to the state legislature and ask for a carve out for Lackawanna County so that it can start to assess on the sales price of a property. Our legislature has previously -- I got about another minute, okay? Our legislature has previously done pardons for municipalities and counties on taxing issues. This is already done in California and Florida and was adjudicated through the Court system as both constitutionally fair. This would be the fairest way to gradually raise property assessments without punishing people who have bought the property decades ago for

less than 10,000 and have spent money -their own money to maintain and improve
these properties and probably have paid more
in property taxes than they pay for the
property. This would also eliminate the \$15
million cost of the reassessment.

Finally, is there anyone on council or anyone in this county that thinks they are not paying enough in taxes? There is nothing stopping them from sending extra money to the city or county treasuries. I seen an interview a few years ago with Warren Buffet, one of the most successful financial men and investors in this country, Mr. Buffet was touting increasing income of corporate taxes because he thought people in corporations could be paying for.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, sir.
Thank you.

MR. CATENZARO: Thank you, gentlemen. I got another paragraph if you would let me finish.

MR. WECHSLER: I'm sorry.

MR. CATENZARO: Okay. Fair enough.

MR. WECHSLER: We have been through

3

2

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it --

MR. CATENZARO: Understood.

MR. WECHSLER: Five minute rule and then we grant you another minute.

MR. CATENZARO: Understood, gentlemen. Thank you for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: And you have reached the point. Thank you.

MR. UNGVARSKY: Good evening, city council. A quick look back at history, several years ago a company came to this city council looking to develop the Darian They also looked to have a LERTA before they would do anything here in the Person after person came to this citv. lectern advising you against it, but council in it's wisdom give them the LERTA. Part of the deal was that they would not raise the rent for the ten years that they were on LERTA. City council was approached by several people a year or two after they had built it and moved in and they told city council what was going on and city council in it's authority told us, "We are sorry, there is nothing we can do, we don't have

- -

any power and you will just have to put up with."

I don't know what city council can do now, they have already had the tax break for the three or four years that they have been there plus they have probably got a grant but once again city council in it's wisdom says there is nothing we can do and you just chase a woman away from this lectern. Nice going.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Try to be lenient with that lady, she just lost her temper a little bit, I could see her frustration.

The bar and sidewalk outside of the Connell property, a few weeks ago we had a discussion on street tables or sidewalk tables, and if you drive by there and look at that if there were a lot of people seated there you would have to step into the gutter or walk out in the middle of the street, I mean, their tables are all sprawled out. We need our sidewalks. That's all about smoking.

MR. EVANS: Dave, you are talking

. 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about Bar Pazzo at the Connell?

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah.

MR. EVANS: That's according to our regulations and I know that I go by there all of the time there is at least four feet between that and the curb, but maybe that night there wasn't.

MR. DOBRZYN: I'll have to take another look at it, but before the bell rings I'll try to get through stuff. Act 76 was mentioned and I have a little reading here. What began as an effort by the company, a company in Appalachia raised capital by keeping state income taxes withheld from worker's paychecks had grown to more than 27 companies in 19 states, Pennsylvania included, when this book was first published. The big banks, car makers, insurers and a host of Canadian, Chinese, European and Japanese companies all get the profit by pocketing taxes withheld from American worker's paychecks.

Now, we have a budget in the state that's not filled. About two or three years ago Joanie brought in an article from Boston

University, a study where possibly a billion dollars every year is being shortchanged to the state from sales taxes from restaurants, alone, so Act 76, maybe they are salivating how much extra they can keep in their pockets and, by the way, a Court ruling allowing industry and just about everybody else instead of this small homeowner to profit from this makes it obsolete so we need a change in theory there.

And, you know, one of the things about self-righteousness and a lot of theories on taxes, landlords pay property taxes and tenants pay income taxes towards the school. Now, I'm not saying that that's insufficient, but it's always been pointed out like somebody is getting a free ride and it's not necessarily the case as much as what you have to pay for the birds sometimes, but it hurts.

A lot of times people say, well, I shouldn't pay taxes anymore because I don't have kids in school, well, if that were the case it would be tuition and it would be considerably more expensive because there is

25

hardly a school district in this part of the state that doesn't put in excess of \$10,000 into each and every child's education, so we have to control the costs of the school district more so than the -- and the raise every year in the white shirt and tie and retirement at 55. I mean, for some of those jobs it's got to fall by the wayside and that's all there is to it. How you are going to do that, gee, I don't know. there is a lot of -- once again, on unwarranted self-righteousness we all hear all kinds of theories on health insurance, well, by the way, 49 percent of all maternity leaves are paid for by Medicaid so why should young people get a great big cut on their insurance because they are more on to the health care. Excuse me, but insurance is covering everybody for the same price and we all take care of each other. That's what insurance is about not determining who, you know -- drunken driving is a choice you make. Buying a big fancy jewelry store on wheels is a choice you make, and still you wind up paying anyway.

I pay \$900, I have a clean driving record, and I'll finish it quick, and all I have is liability, so if some joker with his jewelry tore on wheels manages to finagle the accident my way and it usually is their way. I'm still paying the 900 bucks and nothing to show for it. Thank you and have a good night.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

I'd like to start with the agenda Items 5-B,

I don't know how you could get anybody to

sign an emergency certificate because this
is not an emergency. These are conditions
that have been existing for years and years.

I can tell you about how long the Engine 10
has had light through the roof. They have
made some -- the only emergency I see is
that you people are going on five week's
vacation and you want it done before
September. I don't really call that an
emergency, I call that poor planning.

And 7-A, I really do not think you should be voting on this exit plan until you have informed us of your opinions on the initiatives that have been recommended by

23

24

25

the PEL because if they are not met, and again, Mr. Evans is against at least one of them because he wants to change the revenues by cutting out the nuisance fees which, you know, I don't oppose that, but I'm sure there are many other items in there that aren't going to happen, and I think each and every one of you on this council needs to tell us by each of -- I think I counted there are 56 or 57 initiatives whether you will support them or whether you will ignore them. There are some still missing from the last revised recovery plan that would have benefited the taxpayers but now they disappeared, but I'm against both of those being voted in tonight.

With respect to your, again, for your five-week vacation, how would we find out what is happening -- are you setting anything up so that -- or will you reconvene in any of these court cases that are being kicked around either by visiting judges or just mechanisms to push it down -- push the decisions downstream, but say the triple LST tax goes against the city, will you

reconvene?

MR. WECHSLER: You are calling it a vacation. The only thing is we are not going to meet for five weeks. We are not quitting being councilmen. I'm still going to be around. I still take calls. Our office is still open. To me, it's a --

MS. SCHUMACHER: You are taking my time.

MR. WECHSLER: No, if you ask me a question, you want to give me an answer. We are taking five meetings off. It's not vacation. We're not all going to Switzerland or anything else, we all will be available.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So I still ask how will we be informed, we have --

MR. WECHSLER: The same way you do every meeting.

MS. SCHUMACHER: We are waiting --

MR. WECHSLER: We'll put a legal notice in the paper and we'll have a meeting if we have to have one. It's the standard procedure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Will you have a

1 meeting, yes or no, if it comes down that we get the Court order on the double pension? 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: If there is legislation required yes, we will have a 4 5 meeting. We will not have a meeting if there is no legislation. 6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: So, otherwise, we 8 have to depend on the Times-Tribune. 9 thank you. MR. WECHSLER: If there is 10 11 legislation submitted to council --12 MS. SCHUMACHER: I heard you. 13 MR. WECHSLER: -- we'll have the 14 meeting. I don't know --MS. SCHUMACHER: I heard you. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: -- why you think 17 that's not the right answer. That's the 18 procedure. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Now, back on May 20 19th of 2016 you authorized the mayor and 21 other appropriate city officials to execute 22 and enter into a memorandum of understanding 23 between the City of Scranton and the 24 National Resource Network to assist in the 25 city's economic recovery and to expend the

1 sum of \$18,375 pursuant to the terms and 2 conditions of the memorandum of 3 understanding. What is has happened on this, and it's over a year? Is there any 4 5 study? Has a study been completed with recommendations? 6 MR. EVANS: Yeah, we are in the 7 8 second phase of that -- we are in the second 9 phase of that right now and recommendations 10 coming in --11 MS. SCHUMACHER: What happened with 12 the first phase? 13 MR. EVANS: Well, you didn't see 14 anything from the first phase? There was a completed study in the first phase. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Where is -- is 17 there a copy of this in council office? 18 MR. EVANS: I'll see if I can put my hands on that because I think I have 19 20 something related to that. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: And what does the 22 second phase consist of then? 23 MR. EVANS: Well, you know, a lot of 24 going on --25 MS. SCHUMACHER: No, I don't, I

1 wouldn't be asking. 2 MR. EVANS: I'll talk more about 3 that because I don't want to take your at 4 the podium. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I think there are other people that would probably be 6 7 interested, including the young lady who 8 came to us tonight and it's nice to see 9 somebody under 30 here. 10 And, again, on the Sandone Tire was 11 that -- did they ever pay for the 12 replacement of any of the fire equipment 13 that was lost? 14 MR. WECHSLER: No, I don't think the city ever billed them. The city didn't bill 15 16 them so they didn't pay. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Should we not? Dο 18 we have a policy on whether or not or --MR. WECHSLER: I don't think the 19 20 fire department invoices anybody. It's a 21 policy that they haven't implemented. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: What about the 23 street sweeping schedule? Are they off 24 schedule? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Are they off schedule?

As far as I know, they are on schedule.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Couldn't tell it's

-- well, the only thing you can tell in my
neighborhood is maybe if they were approved.

The pile that I had swept there has been -I guess that's how it got redistributed, ut,
I'm not doing it the second time. Thank
you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, just a few. First, I'd just want to start off with our first speaker today. You know, I was on the fence on how to address this. You know, that's something that we never want to do. We have Rules of Council and, trust me, the Rules of Council are not for us, they are for our citizens, they are for every speaker. A meeting that's run more orderly and more organized is a better exchange of information for everybody involved. I'm sure we all feel for this lady's plight. We have discussed this and there has been

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

e-mails back and forth, I'm not going to speak for Mr. Minora, but I know Mr. Minora has exchanged e-mails several times. The allegations that this lady was stating are criminal, they are awful, but that's what they are, they are criminal and that's the enforcement agency that would have to handle that.

You know, I don't envy what Mr. Wechsler has to do on a week-by-week basis. It's a fine line of making sure everybody gets their point across because everybody here is engaged, anybody who is at this meeting is engaged, everybody that is watching this meeting is engaged and everybody has something important to them that they want to discuss and it's Mr. Wechsler's job to make sure that everybody gets their point across and at the same time making sure that everybody gets their point across and has a fair voice. And, again, you know, Mr. Wechsler has a very difficult job and I think he does it to the absolute best of his ability.

Getting on with some business, in

regard to the memo we sent to Chief Graziano about the speed detector on Pittston Avenue we got a response back from him, and I thank him for that. The plan is still in place to have that moved to the Birney avenue location in the upcoming weeks so I'm happy to hear that.

Also, the police have been made aware and will be doing a study on the 1500 block of Muncy Avenue, if you remember we brought this up, this petition was sent to sent to our office last week about some through traffic that they were hoping to stop so the police had to have a hand and they will let us know what their findings are when they have it.

There is also the issue of in West Side some flooding issues, there has been -- Director Gallagher has been in our office and he just wanted to make us aware that he was in contact with the mayor and the water company to team up and work with a more permanent solution to that drainage issue that just keeps getting blocked, which is behind Mr. Srebro's house. I don't have any

other information on that, he just wanted to stop by our office and let us know that is something that is still going through and he is joining with I believe it's Mr. Hall from American Water Company.

We have some other business to finish up. Ms. Schumacher, you brought up the 400 block of Clay last week, the answer to that is restitution will be sought by the city for any clean up if somebody is directly convicted with that clean up. So if they were convicted of actually trashing the place and just littering than yes, that would be recouped. Anybody who was arrested up in that -- for anybody who isn't aware, the 400 block of Clay I guess they called it the midseason party or midsummer party that got out control. DPW had to clean up and there were some things that were going on.

So anybody who was convicted of other crimes in that area, whether it's public drunkenness or anything else we are going to ask the Court to as part of the sentencing program to include community service to clean up some of the

neighborhoods from that as well, and it would be my opinion that that community service would be in and around the Hill Sections areas since that's where the crimes took place.

And, Ms. Reed, as we discussed if we can send a follow-up to the police department on the Rockwell Avenue bridge opening and the traffic patterns that changed and just making everybody aware of the parks in that neighborhood and maybe we do need to do a slight speed reduction in that area, but I'm not sure that's what that study will find.

Ms. Hodowanitz, you brought up about the fire houses and, you know, is there an ongoing maintenance program. Well, anybody who has any kind of large assets, I know even in my business, in the restaurant business, we have preventative maintenance programs that go on and that's contracted out, they go out twice a year, they check off all their boxes, they make recommendations on what needs to be fixed, what's the priority, what can be held off

three months, what can wait until next year, but at least if we get a plan in place that we can budget or money accordingly.

Now, I don't know if we have something in place like that, but it should be if it's not, so that's something I am going to want to follow up with Mr. Bulzoni in the next week to see do we have a plan in place for some of our larger assets like the city building. It would definitely be beneficial, you know, a penny saved is a penny earned.

What else do we have? My paper got filled up quick tonight, guys, I'm sorry. We had a speaker, I just want to address you, you brought up some things about the downtown and some of things that we are doing here, well, the first thing, you know, one of the ideas of the economic development was the growth of businesses so we want to become a business friendly city for two reasons, businesses drive traffic to our city, which then feed off our businesses and that creates growth, or at least that's our plan; and secondly, businesses create jobs.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you ask me one of the single biggest issues facing the City of Scranton is that aren't enough good paying jobs to keep Now, there are jobs here, people grounded. but me personally in my field of restaurants I've had many different jobs I have been in for decades and not one of them was ever in the City of Scranton. I worked in Dickson City, I worked in Wilkes-Barre a lot, right now I'm out of Hazelton, but there just wasn't any time that I was there and it's, unfortunate, I would love to work in the city that I live in, but it just hasn't happened for me so I can see, you know, how that could evolve into other areas and there is not just enough good paying jobs, so that's the biggest issue we have.

To get to your point about the millennials, what I would recommend, and I highly recommend it for all millennials, and this goes for Generation X'ers or Y'ers, all baby boomers now looking to retire to get into a new field is get into school and stay in school. Schooling isn't a 12-year-proram that ends. You should go on and not only do

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you take your education seriously, but value it. Always keep learning, always take that next step because you never know what door is going to close on you and what door of education is open for you in the future.

And the next request and piece of advice I would have for the generation coming up is get involved with whatever you are passionate about, whether it's coaching or umpiring a little league, whether it's joining a neighborhood group, helping out with your church, there is many ways and that builds community. I know you used that word a couple of times from the podium is community and that's how you build a community by all getting involved in our own little piece, you know, whatever it is, even it's just umpiring bases for one summer in a little league game. Just get out and there and be a part of your community and build that community.

Are we where we want to be?

Absolutely not. Do we have a plan in place to get to where we want to be? We hope so, but in any government it's always a fluid

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

environment. Plans change, we have to adapt and, you know, we are doing the best we could, but it was great seeing you here today and, you know, I hope you come back to see us again and, you know, that's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of comments primarily on items that were brought up from the podium tonight. Mrs. Reed, could we please a send a request to DPW Director Gallagher asking for copies of the DPW garbage and recycling routes, as well as ask how those routes were formulated and when they were formed. Definitely think it's -- and I'm glad it was brought up as a reminder, it's something that definitely needs to overhauled and over time as the city changes and the population shifts it's something that needs to be looked at a few times and, you know, I'm sure we are not as efficient as many private businesses, but I know companies such as UPS and FedEX while they're in a completely different business

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from collecting garbage, they have a lage fleet of trucks driving through neighborhoods on routes that are planned out very meticulously and it's all done by computers so there is no reason why we can't do something similar in the city.

Next, a comment was made about reassessment tonight from a speaker, and although I disagree with some of what was brought up I actually do agree with the solution. I do wish in the State of Pennsylvania we had assessments done at the point of sale, we do not, and one item that was mentioned that was incorrect was if you purchase a home your assessment does not change. The only way your assessment can change currently is if you put a physical addition on your home or if you appeal your assessment, they are the only two ways. Transferring of the property does not change the tax assessment under the current system so I just wanted to correct that item as well.

Next, regarding the fire houses, we did have a caucus with Dave Bulzoni and we

spoke a little bit about the condition of the fire houses and when I talked to the guys at our fire department the biggest complaint that I hear is about the condition of the fire houses, and I did ask

Mr. Bulzoni in the caucus when the last time meaningful work was done on these fire houses and his first answer was probably around the time he was born and the second answer was around the time I was born, so 1986, which was prior to me being born, was when they did the last repairs on some of these properties and prior to that was in the 60's.

So you have had number of fire houses in the city that really have been built and left to deteriorate. Take your own home if you didn't do any basic maintenance for 30 years the cost and we have to look -- after these repairs are done, because it's a large sum of money that is being spent for these repairs, and they need to be done for the public safety or the safety of the guys that are working on our fire department, but once these repairs are

21

22

23

24

25

done they need to be maintained the same way in an individual maintains their home. I stayed, it's a large expenditure and we need to make sue are protecting that investment, but anyone who has been to any of the fire houses or talked to anyone out of the department knows that this should have been done 10, 15, 20 years ago. it was because of economic issues why it's been deferred and deferred and you get to the point where instead of doing a repair you are doing a complete redo. All of these roofs, seven out of the eight roofs need to be completely replaced. That's a very large expenditure. Again, comparing it for a regular individual if the roof on your house goes it's a very expensive proposition and it's very stressful, you know, that amount of cost. Multiply that times seven, seven properties and they're obviously much larger than single family homes so you could imagine the cost.

So we do have to make sure that we keep up on the maintenance, and as Mr. Perry mentioned, it's definitely something that we

4

5

1

6

8

9

7

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

need to make sure that not only the current mayor but any future mayors and future councils as well we need to hold their feet to the fire for budgeting for ongoing maintenance. They say a stitch in time saves nine, and it's true and it's something that we really need to make sure we apply to.

And, finally, it was nice to see a couple of new speakers here today, I forget your name, but you mentioned about younger people in the city, and you may not know this because we have been up here awhile, but myself and Mr. Gaughan are technically millinneals. I actually looked it up, I was born in 1987, so you know, there are a lot of younger people in the city that are involved and one of the biggest frustrations as a -- I still consider myself younger, I hope I am, one of being younger in the City of Scranton is brain drain, which people -young people go through our school system and they go off to college and they never come back, or they may go to college here and then move away for a job and never come

1 |

2

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2324

25

back.

And two of the biggest problems and one Mr. Perry hit on already is the lack of good family sustaining jobs within the area and that's something that is, you know, it's not just here in Scranton, that's something that's happening all throughout the rest of the country so that's one major issue, but we do have a lot of positive things that have been happening in the community for younger people as well and, you know, just going through the downtown on a weekend you can see a lot of the different festivals that are going on, arts festivals, all types of different events, which are nice, but we do have to have that go out into the neighborhoods as well, not just in the downtown. So that is all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First, a little business, I'd like make a motion to send correspondence to Senator John P. Blake requesting that he review legislation which

would enable Scranton to have the option to follow the state real estate tax laws thereby allowing the city to have the opportunity under the Municipal Claim Process and to streamline the tax sale process for the City of Scranton.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on the floor and second, on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I was glad to see the gentleman come and discuss reassessment. I wish he was still here because I actually would say to him I disagree with 95 percent of what he said, but specifically the \$15 million price tag. As the former director of the assessment office and being involved with the reassessment the last time it was in

Scranton, I believe, in my opinion, the real numbers are closer to \$7.5 million, probably half of that, because a lot of the data was already accumulated. Every single property in the Lackawanna County was inventoried, every single one, so that's a pretty big head start, it has to be updated, it's nine or ten years old, but a lot of that information is already done so these inflated numbers on reassessment sort of get into my craw a little bit.

And to the young lady that spoke as well, hopefully we'll get your contact information and talk a little bit more because I'm very involved in the downtown, I live downtown, and I think one thing you are right about is the downtown needs to be more of a community, and I think that's what evolving. What you are seeing now with the millennials, young professionals and empty nesters moving down as well all the other senior citizens and etcetera, you are starting to create a neighborhood that wasn't here before, and I think it's important to harness that energy and that

process and use it to our advantage because

I think ultimately the downtown is going to
be the answer to a lot of what we need to do
to move forward so that's very, very
important.

asked about NRN, the first part of the NRN process that plan I'll try to get that for you, the second part really is the evolution of Scranton Tomorrow, how Scranton Tomorrow is going to evolve their organization that's a lot of what's going on in the NRN process right now because they were designated as the first one to be the organization that will lead the downtown charge, but there is a lot of changes that will be made in order for that to happen.

A little while ago I asked LIPS to do a study on the 17 fees that I identified that may be eliminated or consolidated totaling approximately \$71,000, so I'd like to send another letter to Deputy Director Oleski, who I understand had been tasked with this, for a status report, and I'd also like to request that LIPS give me a report

on the fees currently being charged by the department which are required by state or federal law, and if they are required please provide the statute that mandates that fee because if I don't get the information by budget time I'm going to start eliminating fees or at least make a motion to eliminate fees in the budget so I want to make sure that if they are required by the state or federal government we don't do that, but if they don't and are not then they up for grabs because we have to do everything we can to start sending a message to the public that we are finally getting business friendly and these nuisance taxes and fees are absolutely ridiculous.

On the rental registration, I
visited he county assessment office
yesterday with James Moran, who has been
hired to assist with the rental registration
program and Nancy Krake from the B.A.'s
Office. We are there to review the
documents and field work in conjunction with
the 2007 reassessment. We all felt that the
information found, and we found the boxes,

approximately 11 boxes filled with information based on Scranton properties, we think there is value there. We think there is information there that we don't have so we are going to explore that more and I think it's going to be very positive as the rental registration process moves forward.

So I'd like to thank Andy Wallace, who is the county chief of staff, in helping me coordinating that meeting and review, as well as John Foley, who is the deputy director of the assessment office, for all his help and cooperation and continued cooperation which we expect as we move forward. And I'll keep everybody informed of that project as the project moves forward as well.

I attended a housing appeals board meeting on Monday at the request of several neighbors who were attending because of a nuisance property in the neighborhood that was slated for demolition. It went well for them and that was great, I just wanted to comment on the meeting. I thought it was well run. I thought the members of the

board all formulated fields from involved in construction or architecture, were professional, acted professionally, in my mind made sound decisions and sound recommendations that night, so that's my two cents on the Housing appeals Board.

On the exit plan, my general feeling is if the administration sent the legislation down that I feel is consistent with the recovery plan, I'll support it. If they send legislation that is inconsistent with the recovery plan, I will not. I know Marie mentioned the fees not being consistent with it, but we are talking about \$71,000 and I think that good far outweighs the bad in that scenario, again, to be more business friendly. But I said many times before beyond the plan, there must be dramatic systemic changes in the day-to-day operations of city government if we are going to thrive post Act 47.

If we don't, we don't recognize that, we are going to fail, so big dramatic changes have to be made.

And, finally, I want to acknowledge

the passing of Herb Nealon. Herb was a father of former councilwoman Sherry Nealon Williams. I had the pleasure of knowing Herb and his wife Isabella for many, many years. I was never in his company that he didn't make me smile and everyone else in the room. He was a good soul with a big heart. Herb Nealon will be missed by many and my deepest, deepest condolences to the Nealon family. That's all I have tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. A few items. First a few citizen's requests from the past week. There have been major issues and we've been getting a lot of e-mails about a food truck on Pittston Avenue and Elm Street that residents are upset about. They think that the food truck is operating illegally so the city inspector are working on that along with the chief of police.

The 300 block of Foster Street, which there is a foreclosed home, neighbors are having serious issues with bushes around the house which is impeding traffic to and

from the farmer's market so we'll send that again to Licensing and Inspections. I received several complaints about Little Oram Street, which is off Main Avenue and intersects with Powderly Court. Mrs. Reed, I would like to please request that this be put on the paving list, the next paving list, the residents tell me that this street has not been paved in 41 years and it's in terrible condition.

We received a request from a gentleman living in the 1500 block of Muncy Avenue about issues with truck traffic. I was able to speak with this gentleman on the phone this week and we did send something to the city engineer and to the Department of Public Works so we will keep him updated on that.

I received several complaints about a property in the 1300 block of Farr Street, again, bushes blocking visibility up the hill at the intersection of Farr Street coming from Main avenue and the whole property is extremely overgrown so we need to ask Licensing and Inspections if this

property is part of the foreclosure register program.

I would like in September, I know I have made this request, but I hope that we can set up a caucus with ABM and NDC for a progress update on the parking garages.

It's been I think, I believe a year and a half now, so I think we need an update on that.

Also, we are still working on rules of the park signage of Robinson Park and other parks throughout the city, still not sure if we need legislation to do this, but we are working to get that completed and hopefully we will have that ready to go in September.

Regarding the hiring of

Mr. Abrahamsen as special counsel to the

city, I would like to request that a letter

be sent to Roseann Novembrino, the city

controller, asking her for her opinion on

whether or not that position should have

been bid out. She did say in the July 6

Scranton Times article that she was going to

look into the issue so I would like that in

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

writing if possible.

Over the past few weeks there have been several comments, concerns and questions brought about the dissolution of the Scranton sewer authority, an ordinance that this council voted on back in December of 2016. Myself and Councilman Wechsler voted against it for several reasons. 0ver the past few months there have been continually been questions about whether or not the Scranton Sewer Authority should, in fact, exist. We also just voted on using \$19 million from the sale of the Sewer Authority from the proceeds to pay down I voted against that because my fear is that we may have to pay that back at some My other fear is that this whole point. thing was not done properly, and I think legitimate questions have been brought up by the public and the newspaper and by myself over the past few weeks.

I asked Solicitor Minora to look into the issue to review the effect that a Pennsylvania state statute 53 Pa. C.S.A. 4612 would have on distribution of funds to

the city following the asset sale to

Pennsylvania American Water by the Scranton

Sewer Authority. I'm not going to read

through the whole memo issued by Mr. Minora,

but there were several things that he said

that I would like to take note of.

In the memo Mr. Minora says that it should be noted here that the remaining Scranton Sewer Authority function, that is, completing the eminent domain actions, could have been taken in-house by the city and still can be at any time. I think it would have been a cleaner way to transfer the funds of the sale to the city if the Scranton Sewer authority had been terminated. My reasoning for this is a conflict between 53 Pa. C.S.A. 5607 (d) and Statute 5612.

I would like to send a letter to the mayor asking the following: Why hasn't the mayor filed a termination certificate that was passed by this council back in December of 2016 with the Office of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and shouldn't the city have assumed the easement

issues and taken it in-house as Mr. Minora has suggested?

I looked back on the ordinance that was passed in the December of 2016 dissolving or given the city the right to dissolve the Authority and I look at few sections that were a cause for concern. Section one says, "The city approves the termination certificate submitted by the Sewer Authority to terminate it's existence following the satisfaction and discharge of all Scranton Sewer Authority bonds by the Scranton Sewer authority.

Section II. The city hereby agrees to assume liability for all claims outstanding against the Scranton Sewer Authority following the discharge of all Scranton Sewer Authority bonds and all other Scranton Sewer Authority indebtedness, which I believe that has all taken place.

And, finally, Section III, council hereby authorize the mayor and other appropriate city officials to file a copy of the approved termination certificate with the Secretary of the Commonwealth and upon

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you,

MR. WECHSLER:

receipt of the approved termination certificate from the Secretary of the Commonwealth authorizes that it be filed in the Office of the Recorder of deeds of Lackawanna County.

So my basic question is why hasn't this been done yet? Now, I think many of these questions probably will be answered in the court case that's ongoing because these questions have been raised, but I would like to know why the termination certificate was not sent to the Office of Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by the mayor when this occurred because I think that all of us were under the assumption or at least I was under the assumption at that time even though I voted against this for other reasons that once we -- this council passed this legislation that the Sewer Authority Board and Sewer Authority as it used to exist would have been, in fact, been terminated. And that is all I have for this week. Thank you.

Mr. Gaughan. I do want to make a brief

24

25

comment about the events of this evening. That is something that I never, ever, ever wanted to do as a councilman, especially as council president. The question of whether we can take insults and abuse is not a question, we take it every week. All we ask is that people really keep their comments to a five-minute rule. It's courtesy. All we ask is that you can pretty much say whatever you care to say here, we don't really restrict comment at all. The person who spoke tonight on reassessment is not from the City of Scranton. Technically, we probably could not have let him speak, but we all are concerned about assessment and we want to hear people's opinions on it and that will probably happen more as we move towards the referendum, but I don't think that it's proper for someone to just disregard the Rules of Council. The rules of Council have been established, they have been voted on, they have been Rules of Council that were not changed by this council. They have been established by for years and I just think it's common courtesy

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to follow the rules. That's what I was taught as a child, follow the rules. I don't know why someone would think that the rules don't apply to them. That's part of the problem with America today, people think rules don't apply to them. It's five-minute speaking rule, we give you a courtesy of an extra minute to finish your thought, all we ask is that you follow that rule.

I didn't have any disagreement on what the speaker was saying. We have been trying to work on this problem for a couple of years. This particular person, as was said, just does not like the answers they we are providing. She probably has legitimate concerns. If it is abuse of the elderly, then take that claim to the Department of Aging, take that claim to Lackawanna County Department of Aging. City council is not the catch all or the do all for everyone's problems here. We are a focal point for people to come and get the problems out there, of course, we are. That's what we are here for. All councilmen here we take everybody's concerns that come to that

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

podium and we try to work them as best we can. This person does not like the answers that are being provided.

I'll be honest with you, I'm embarrassed that I had to call the Scranton Police Department to come here this evening. I'm embarrassed. I'm embarrassed myself that I could not get someone to understand the rules. It's a basic fact and, like I said, I was taught, my parents taught me to be a rule follower and that's what I am. For the majority of people that come to council they follow the rules. Five minutes is a long time to speak and if you are going to make a prepared statement rehearse it at home and time yourself. That's all you have to do. We do not regulate comment here for the most part. It's unfortunate. apologize. I apologize to the citizens of Scranton that I had to call a recess in the meeting and have someone stop their comment. It's just something that they should be prepared to come and speak for five minutes and be done and, once again, I apologize to the citizens of Scranton that I had to do

that.

25

1

And in terms of comments made by the council's vacation. Number one, it's not a The office is open, we are still vacation. taking calls, we are still attending meetings, we are still doing all of the things that council people do. If people think that council is only a Thursday night meeting from 6 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. they are 100 percent wrong. Every night we do something for the city. Every night we are either at a meeting, taking calls. I work a full-time job like everyone else does, the first thing I do when I get home is open my e-mail, check my e-mail. Check my e-mail on my personal account, check my e-mail on my city account and we respond to those e-mails, plus taking calls I get. Same with everyone here, it's not just me, it's not just Mr. Gaughan, it's not anybody else, we are constantly being approached for help and we really do try to help as best we can with every situation so I find it irresponsible to say that we are not going to be here and I'm really find it to not make any sense

that the process is if legislation comes down we will have the meeting and we'll always have meeting, and if someone is on vacation if we have three councilmen we will have the meeting, but I'd like the citizens of Scranton to know that we are still are serving the public even though we are, per se, on vacation. We are just not here on Thursday night and everybody will have to find something else to do.

In terms of Mr. Gaughan's questions about parking, I believe that there is going to be a general meeting of the Parking Authority that I will attend by ABM while we are on break, but if that does not happen we will have them come in in September, and I was happy to see that they made progress on the elevators because that's a huge problems for the people that live in the city.

And in terms of the comments made by Ms. Johns, I'm glad that you came here and we are here every Thursday except for the next five weeks, we'll be back in September, but I will give you a call while we are off and just speak to you and see what kind of

things you are interested in and maybe we can put you in the right direction to go in the neighborhood group or in a community group like Scranton Tomorrow or there is a lot of organizations that will be anxious to have someone, you know, of you intelligence to be a part of that and we are always waiting for new faces.

There are some segments of our community that are underserved and we talked about this, I ran for office a couple of times, lost once too many times, but the important thing is people get involved and I tell people and I have spoke to your community and lot of times and said you have to come out as a force, you have to have a group of people who have some power, that's where you have power, and you maybe you are the leader that gets that group together. That would be awesome. So thank you for coming this evening.

Just one other thing, one of the things that we have been hearing about is a problem on Route 307 by Seymour Avenue, we had a citizen send us some questions about

introduced into i

than. Director Gallagher has spoken with that person, I guess 307 is going to be repaved in the next couple of weeks and as a part of that repair they are going to be responsible for fixing the drainage part, all of the drainage on East Mountain so this is something that the city is aware of. I will contact the -- I'm not sure of his involvement in it, but our pave cut inspector is involved or the state, I'm not sure, but we will stand behind it because it is a dangerous situation. And that's all I have at this time.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
A RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH MAR-PAUL CO.,
INC. FOR GENERAL TRADES SERVICES FOR THE
CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FACILITY
IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FOR LICENSED GENERAL AND
PRIME CONTRACTORS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE
ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

Ш

3

2

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'm not opposed to this project. understand from being in the caucus and talking with Mr. Bulzoni and one of the contractors that's going to do the work that there are maintenance needs that need to be completed on some of the fire houses throughout the city. My no vote tonight is going to be procedural one. As a rule of thumb, I believe that council should have when a resolution comes before us two weeks to review that legislation. I don't feel that I had enough time to review all of the backup material. I appreciate Mr. Bulzoni and Mr. Marsenkevitch coming into the caucus, but I don't think that their exists a major emergency here. Maybe an inconvenience, but not an emergency that would allow a certificate to be attached, so from a procedural standpoint as a rule of the thumb I would prefer two weeks to review-- be able to review legislation, and

be able to ask questions and things of that nature. So, again, from a procedural standpoint I will be voting "no" to move this along in one night. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, I take a little bit of a different outlook than Mr. Gaughan does, I would rather be in charge of an emergency that I know when I can declare the emergency as in this case. I'm very familiar with the problems that are at Engine 10 and all of the other fire stations in the city, I think an emergency that will be decided by outside source will be perhaps the roof collapses or gets a hole in and have to shut down a fire station, so I'm happy approve an emergency that I know is happening than one that I can't see out into the future.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I think what was important tonight was that
Mr. Bulzoni came. If he didn't come I think
I would have probably voted "no" on this
because when we have to do this, we have an
emergency certificate, it's very, very
important that the administration has

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody come and explain their position and why we were doing it this way.

MR. ROGAN: I already mentioned that I support the project, but we talk a lot about emergency certificates. I do want to read for the public what the emergency certificate states and just to inform the public how this works. So it's an emergency certificate, it says, "This is to certify that an emergency exists requiring the immediate passage of the attached ordinance authorizing the mayor and other appropriate city officials to enter into a contract with Mar-Paul Co., for general trade services for the City of Scranton Fire Department facility improvement project for licensed general and prime contractors. This contract includes roof replacement and restoration work. The request for the approval by city council prior to the August recess is made because of the timeliness necessary needed to complete the roofing component of the project, which is part of the comprehensive bid package received."

And it is signed by the mayor and by

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city controller, and in order for city council to pass any type of legislation in one night such as in this situation, that emergency certificate I just read has to be signed by both the mayor and the city controller. So I know we mention emergency certificates every now and again, but just to explain it so the public understands what it actually is.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. We have, as we all know, many needs in our fire houses and the utmost is the roof, without the roof you don't have anything, you don't have the building, nothing, and so we need to get these done yesterday. That's when t they needed to be fixed. process took place, there was a winner awarded, the contract got signed, everything got finalized. It wasn't ready to go for us last week, it's ready to go for us this week. Our options are (a) wait until September to go through this legislation, which would be a two-week process, then other two weeks to get the assessment done and then we are talking about October we are

being done on six roofs, and which you could run into any type of -- anybody who has a construction background knows that once you start doing roofs in October you might be able to get one done, but you have to get them all done before winter.

And Option B is what this emergency certificate represents getting this done and putting in front of us today with the certificate attached so that this work can get started as soon as possible, which now we are talking about the end of August or actually middle of August when work can begin.

So, I mean, it's just the loss of property with having these fire houses go through another winter to me it's just too great. I would like to get these all done before winter and, you know, this would be the best way possible. Could it have been done otherwise? Maybe, but then we are now counting on circumstances out of our control as far as weather goes, so I'll be voting "yes" for this.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of

| | 99 |
|----|--|
| 1 | introduction signify by saying aye. |
| 2 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 6 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 7 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 8 | so moved. |
| 9 | MR. EVANS: I make a motion to |
| 10 | suspend the rules and move Item 5-B to |
| 11 | Seventh Order to be considered for final |
| 12 | passage based on the attached emergency |
| 13 | certificate. |
| 14 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
| 16 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
| 17 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 18 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 21 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 22 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 23 | so moved. |
| 24 | MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO |
| 25 | BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. |
| | |

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 111,
2017 - ADOPTING AND IMPLEMENTING THE ACT 47
EXIT PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON PURSUANT
TO THE FINANCIALLY DISTRESSED MUNICIPALITIES
ACT; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OF THE CITY
OF SCRANTON TO ISSUE AN ORDER DIRECTING THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACT 47 EXIT PLAN WHICH
WILL BECOME EFFECTIVE UPON ADOPTION ATTACHED
HERETO AS EXHIBIT "A" IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
PROVISIONS OF 53 Pa. C.S.A. §11701.245, THE
MUNICIPALITIES FINANCIAL RECOVERY ACT.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

Reading through the three-year exit plan, we have had quite a long, long time to take a look at it and I have several comments and concerns.

Number one, which I have stated here before, and this is included in the

three-year exit plan, is the amount of money that is going to be put aside for the storm water management. I have objected to this before. The plan calls for \$500,000 be put aside, I think the city administration is seriously underselling storm water management. I think more money needed to be put aside for that cost, which at this point we don't know because the study has not been completed yet, so that's one concern.

My second concern, and I mentioned this at the public hearing that took place with the Pennsylvania Economy League, is on page -- or Chapter 9, page seven, and this is where the plan calls for -- the three-year exit plan calls for the allocation of administrative responsibilities and I would just like to read a section of this recommendation. It says that, "The fundamental objective of the operation of the office of the business administration should remain the administration of the yearly budget and completion of the audit on a timely basis.

The business administrator's office

presently assumes responsibility for departmental initiatives outside of its administrative Code responsibilities. To achieve the identified financial management objectives, various city department will assume greater responsibility for direct department initiatives such as requests for proposal preparation and execution to the greatest extent as allowed under the Home Rule Charter and Administrative Code."

And I mentioned this at the public hearing, this recommendation in and of itself is very concerning to me if we look at this plan from the standpoint of the operation of the city hall over the past three and a half years. The fact that there had to be a recommendation in the three-year exit plan that department heads should do their job is extremely concerning, that the business administrator has taken on all of this responsibility, that he presently assumes responsibility for departmental initiatives outside of his Administrative Code responsibilities. I don't get it. I mentioned this a few weeks ago and I still

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't understand it.

Again, the fact that that has to be recommendation tells me one of two things:

There is either -- he hired the wrong people as department heads, number one; and number two, there is no leadership so you had to have had PEL tell the mayor that he has to have his department heads perform their duties. I don't get it. So that's one concern.

Second concern, and I brought this up before, is with the arbitration issue that's currently going to be decided soon from what I understand over the retiree age discrepancy in the code, and I'll read from the three-year exit plan in a second, but basically this may cripple the pension system even further than it already is. The city is challenging an interpretation of the adopted city ordinances that govern the required age for retirement plan participants. There are inconsistent provisions for the age of retirement eligibility for the police and firefighter These inconsistencies are pension plans.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the subject of legal action by the -- I believe by the police and fire department union seeking to remove a stated retirement age and allow retirement solely upon years The elimination of an age of service. requirement will allow for a large number of retirees in the near future. Such an increase is not factored in to the current actuarial assumptions, valuations, and annual funding requirements and may result in a large increase in the city's annual required obligation which it can ill afford. Again, this in and of itself is extremely concerning. We are talking about putting millions of dollars into a Pennsylvania system when currently there is a case before a arbiter of whether or not they are going to remove the retirement age required, so in the future we have could have this three-year exit plan, if they win in arbitration we could be looking down the pike at a large number of retirees and we're right back where we started. So, again,

Another thing that popped out to me ,

extremely concerning.

and I have spoken about this for the last three and a half year, is when you look at the numbers in this three-year exit plan, 75 percent of city expenditures are employee compensation and benefits. Over the three-year exit plan this number fluctuates but not a lot. We are still around in 2020 about 75 percent of our expenditures for employee compensation and benefits. I don't know how this is sustainable. I have been talking about this now for three and a half years, and I'll read from the three-year exit plan.

It says, "The bottom line is the restoration of the city's fiscal health cannot be achieved without controlling it's future personnel expenditures, workers' compensation, and pension benefits.

Personnel expenditures for active employees and retirees represent the majority of the city's general fund operating expenses and rise annually during the review period. The level of cash compensation and benefits is offered within the context of the city's weak tax base. The city cannot adequately

address it's structural operating deficits
without diligently managing the entire
employee compensation package it offers it's
employees so that the growth in employee
compensation and employee benefits more
closely tracks the city's revenue growth."

And I'll say it once again, I think one of the major mistakes that has been made over the last three and a half year was in 2015 with the renegotiated police and fire contracts. I don't know that -- we may have made some progress, but I don't know if we went far enough there in regards to expenditures and how much money we were actually going to save.

Another concern of mine is Chapter 6 page two. The Court may recommend that the city engage in discussions with it's collective bargaining unit to enter into a pension benefit memorandum of understanding. This memorandum should control the timing and the limit the amount of any pension benefit enhancements for at least ten years beginning in 2017. Moreover, any disputes between the bargaining units and the city

that could have material adverse impact on the funding of the pension plans as estimated or calculated by the actuary to the plans should be adjudicated or resolved prior to insignificant contributions being made from the sewer sale proceeds to the pension funds.

My concern here, and I don't know if it was possible, is that, you know, maybe this should have already been accomplished when the police and fire contracts were being negotiated, or at least should have been brought up that there should have been a pension benefit memoranda of understanding. I don't know if it's going to be possible to do that in the future but I think it might be difficult.

My last concern is Chapter 7, page two. As it was brought up tonight, the National Resource Network is developing a strategic plan that focuses on revitalizing the city's downtown. One of the recommendations within that plan, as mentioned in the three-year exit plan, is for the City of Scranton to increase its

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

capacity for economic development by designating a current or a new staff member to be the city's point person for implementation of the downtown plan. Mayor Courtright designated the Business Administrator Dave Bulzoni temporarily as the city's point person to be assisted by the deputy director of OECD. The plan says that Mayor Courtright has indicated a willingness to designate a permanent economic point person, but currently no one in the administration can assume those duties full-time. As for the full-time city economic development point person, it is anticipated that the partnership with Scranton Tomorrow will bolster the city's internal economic development capacity.

I had several concerns based on what was indicated in the plan. First of all, I think based on what's already occurred the city is not following one of the previous recommendations that I mentioned about allocation of the administrative responsibilities. Again, in the recommendation it says to take away

responsibilities from what other people in city hall should be doing away from the business administrator. Well, we are designating Mr. Bulzoni as the temporary point person for this project. Again, it does not make sense.

We have an Office of Economic and Community Development. Why is the director of OECD not heading up or being the point person for this whole project? That's something that I don't understand. And it also says here within the exit plan that challenges remain to implement economic development initiatives such as the continued lack of capacity in terms of the designated economic development point person in city government other than the city business administrator.

So I would like to know, and maybe we can send a letter, why the director of OECD is not the point person. I would assume that that would be the duties of the department head, and that's why the position is there and I would think that the job in it's very nature is supposed to be a point

2

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

person for these separate projects.

The other concern here, and I'll be very brief on this one, is I understand why to continue to develop the downtown, the allocate resources there, but I do think based on what I read in the three-year exit plan and I would have read so far from the National Resource Network, we are not doing enough to focus on the neighborhoods. downtown is developing, there is a residential community, but I think we need to put more of a focus, the Office of Economic and Community Development needs to put much more of a focus on our neighborhoods. There needs to be more of a concerted effort there. And that is all I have. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, just to get back to some history, I don't disagree with Councilman Gaughan's concerns, they are all very, very real concerns, but the decision to exit is made by PEL, not the City of Scranton. They determine if we are exiting. If we don't -- there are three options we can make. Disincorporation of

the municipality, and we don't meet the criteria for disincorporation. Existence of fiscal emergency, we don't meet the definition for fiscal emergency, so the only other option we have is to exit. Now, do I agree with some of the things in the exit plan and I that's certainly appropriate, but that at this point is our only choice because we don't have the say. It is our plan, we can put up or down, but PEL determines if the city exits Act 47. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, in regards to a few of the comments that Mr. Gaughan made, I voted for the police and fire contracts, the reason why I voted for them was to, number one, it gave us a quiet contract period while we were undergoing our efforts to be in recovery. It did result in some modifications to police contract and the fire contract that are having positive results. The amount of the compensation claims that were taking place earlier are greatly reduced. The increased contributions that both the fire and the

police are making a difference in our pension. I believe when this council and the administration came in, the funding balance of the pension was around \$39 million and right now it sits at \$58 million. That's because of increased contributions, better management of the plan and more of a focus on making sure that it performs well.

So I am concerned, as Mr. Gaughan is, with the state of the lawsuit, but in terms of voting for that contract and providing us labor peace for these three years I think were most important to make sure we get out of our distressed status.

And I'm not sure in regards to Ms.

Aebli, who is the OECD director, she is paid with federal funds so I'm not sure if actually she is allowed to do other economic development work that don't include non-federal money, I'm not sure.

But taking a different approach on this, I come from private industry where you give people responsibility that can get things done. Mr. Bulzoni has proven that he

24

25

1

is a responsible person and he is able to manage the business office as well as contribute to other projects. If it wasn't for Mr. Bulzoni, he is our main point of contact for information for council mostly on every project, and I agree with Mr. Gaughan other managers should be stepping up, but at this time right now the only guy stepping up to get this work done is Dave Bulzoni, and I count on him, and he is a wealth of knowledge and I'm happy with -- whenever I see a project that Dave is taking the lead on I'm very happy to see I have to remember where I was. the question we did. Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby

1 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 2 adopted. MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 3 4 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 178, 2017 -5 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 6 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO THE 7 8 SIXTH AMENDMENT TO THE FEDERAL AID BRIDGE 9 PROJECT AGREEMENT NO. 041222 BETWEEN THE 10 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ("DEPARTMENT") 11 AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON ("MUNICIPALITY") FOR THE PURPOSE OF INCREASING CONSTRUCTION 12 FUNDING AND UPDATING STANDARD FEDERAL 13 14 PROVISIONS OF THE ROCKWELL AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 17 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Public Works? 19 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 20 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 21 passage of Item 7-B. 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 24 Roll call, please? 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

| | 115 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 2 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. |
| 5 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 7 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. |
| 8 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 9 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
| 10 | declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully |
| 11 | adopted. |
| 12 | MS. REED: 7-C.FOR CONSIDERATION BY |
| 13 | THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION - |
| 14 | RESOLUTION NO. 179, 2017 - AMENDING |
| 15 | RESOLUTION NO. 133, 2017 ENTITLED |
| 16 | "AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE |
| 17 | CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A |
| 18 | CONTRACT WITH PMA MANAGEMENT CORP. FOR CITY |
| 19 | OF SCRANTON SELF-INSURED WORKERS' |
| 20 | COMPENSATION AND HEART AND LUNG CLAIMS THIRD |
| 21 | PARTY ADMINISTRATION FOR A PERIOD OF THREE |
| 22 | (3) YEARS FROM APRIL 1, 2017 THROUGH MARCH |
| 23 | 31, 2020" TO INCLUDE EXHIBIT "C" TO THE |
| 24 | CONTRACT. |
| 25 | MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for |
| | II |

| 1 | the Committee on Rules, I recommend final |
|----|--|
| 2 | passage of Item 7-C. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 4 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 5 | Roll call, please? |
| 6 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. |
| 7 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 8 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. |
| 9 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 10 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. |
| 11 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 12 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 13 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. |
| 14 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
| 16 | declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully |
| 17 | adopted. |
| 18 | If anyone would like to address |
| 19 | council on the emergency legislation, you |
| 20 | need to do so at this time. |
| 21 | MS. REED: 7-D - FORMERLY 5-B - FOR |
| 22 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC |
| 23 | SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 180 |
| 24 | 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER |
| 25 | APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND |
| | |

| | 117 |
|----|--|
| 1 | ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH MAR-PAUL CO., |
| 2 | INC. FOR GENERAL TRADES SERVICES FOR THE |
| 3 | CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FACILITY |
| 4 | IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FOR LICENSED GENERAL AND |
| 5 | PRIME CONTRACTORS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE |
| 6 | ATTACHED). |
| 7 | MR. WECHSLER: What is the |
| 8 | recommendation of the Chairperson for the |
| 9 | Committee on Public Safety? |
| 10 | MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the |
| 11 | Committee on Public Safety, I recommend |
| 12 | final passage of Item 7-D. |
| 13 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 14 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 15 | Roll call, please? |
| 16 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. |
| 17 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 18 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. |
| 19 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 20 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. |
| 21 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 22 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 23 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 24 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 25 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |

declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER