		2
1	CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:	
2		
3	ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT	
4	PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT	
5		
6	WILLIAM GAUGHAN	
7	JOSEPH WECHSLER	
8		
9		
10	AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR	
11		
12	LORI REED, CITY CLERK	
13	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	MR. MCGOFF: Everyone please rise
2	for the Pledge of Allegiance.
3	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
4	MR. MCGOFF: Please stay standing
5	for a moment of silent reflection for
6	our servicemen and women throughout
7	the world and especially for all those
8	who have passed away during the week
9	including Enos Slocum, a long time
10	employee of the Department of Public
11	Works.
12	(Moment of Silent Reflection.)
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Roll
14	call, please.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Here.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
23	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to
24	nominate a person to fill the position
25	vacated by Councilman Jack Loscombe

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the remainder of his office which will expire at 12 o'clock noon on January 4th, 2016.

MR. MCGOFF: A motion has been made to fill the position vacated by Councilman Loscombe. Is there a second?

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I am voting no for the appointment of Mr. Evans. This vote is not because I believe Mr. Evans is unqualified. I think he will make a good councilman. I am voting no because the voters of Scranton elected a Democratic candidate, Jack Loscombe, to serve in that seat. The remaining 18 months of Mr. Loscombe's term should be assumed by a Democratic, not a Republican. If it was the other way around and a Republican councilman was elected and had resigned, then I would argue that a Republican should be appointed to serve the remainder of

1	the term and for those reasons I
2	cannot vote for this appointment.
3	MR. MCGOFF: This particular
4	motion is to simply place the
5	nomination on the agenda. All those
6	in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
11	MR. MCGOFF: The ayes have it and
12	so moved.
13	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to
14	nominate Wayne Evans to fill the
15	unexpired term of Councilman Jack
16	Loscombe which will expire at 12 noon
17	on January 4th, 2016.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Is there a second?
19	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
20	MR. MCGOFF: On the question.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. After you, Mr.
22	Wechsler.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Mr. McGoff, I am
24	voting for Mr. Evans for this
25	position. I know Mr. Evans for quite

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a long time. I have worked with him on several different community and neighborhood projects. We did have an impressive list of candidates that came and presented their case on Saturday. Looking over them all Mr. Evans had the best resume of what we were looking for in a council person.

During this process we received several calls for several different candidates some in favor, some against some of the people that we were talking about. Many of the calls that we were received did not take offense to Mr. Evans as a candidate but took offense to him as a Republican. myself, am a Democrat. I've been a Democrat my whole life. The only other Republican I ever supported was Brian Reap and Brian was an excellent In this case I believe councilman. that we have to rise above party politics. In this case we need to be Scrantonians and I believe at this time Mr. Evans represents the best

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

candidate to help us solve our problems in the city. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I would concur with what Councilman Wechsler had to say and this pick was not a pick of one member of council or two or three. was a consensus pick. We went through a process where we ranked candidates. We had them one through seven most of us, we went through and whittled down the list. Some of the people that I had No. 1 and 2 may have been eliminated prior to the final vote and as we kept moving down the line, we were left with a few candidates and of those remaining few Mr. Evans by far had the most impressive resume. He's very active in the community. I think he will do a great job on the City Council as many of the applicants would have but at the end of the day this decision had to be about the person, not about the party. I wasn't elected by the Democratic party or the Republican party. I was elected by

1 the people of Scranton as we all were 2 and that's what we're here to serve. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan, 4 anything else? MR. GAUGHAN: 5 No. 6 MR. MCGOFF: I, too, would like 7 to comment, not so much on the candidates but we attempted to use a 8 9 process to chose a candidate, a 10 process which eliminated politics from 11 this process and I am very 12 disappointed with the actions of party 13 officials, some elected officials, 14 some other people who attempted to 15 cajole and intimidate members of 16 council and actually threatened 17 members of council to sway their vote. 18 This was not a political process. 19 This was an appointment and people who 20 did that I believe acted unethically 21 and should be ashamed of their actions 22 in this process. Roll call, pleas. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 25

1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: No, wait. What
7	were we voting, the appointment?
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Oh, no. I'm sorry.
10	No.
11	MR. MCGOFF: You're good.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby
14	declare this motion passed and that
15	Mr. Wayne Evans has been appointed as
16	the new member of Scranton City
17	Council to fill the unexpired term of
18	Jack Loscombe.
19	I would like at this point in
20	time to take a brief recess, a minute
21	or two, so that we may contact Mr.
22	Evans and make him aware of the
23	appointment.
24	MR. ROGAN: Motion to recess.
25	MR. MCGOFF: All in favor?

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	Mr. MCGOFF: We'll take a brief
5	recess.
6	(Brief recess taken.)
7	MR. MCGOFF: When Mrs. Reed
8	returns, we'll continue.
9	I'd like to call the meeting back
10	to order.
11	MS. REED: 3RD ORDER, 3A.
12	CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR THE MONTH
13	ENDING JUNE 30, 2014.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any
15	comments? If not, received and filed.
16	MS. REED: 3B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
17	REPORT FOR THE HEARING DATE HELD
18	JULY 9, 2014.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any
20	comments? If not, received and filed.
21	MS. REED: 3C. MINUTES OF THE
22	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION
23	COMMISSION MEETINGS HELD MAY 28 AND
24	JUNE 25, 2014.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any

1	comments? If not, received and filed.
2	MS. REED: 3D. AGENDA FOR CITY
3	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON
4	JULY 23, 2014.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any
6	comments? If not, received and filed.
7	MS. REED: Just to note for 3D,
8	Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. REED: The meeting was
11	cancelled last night due to lack of a
12	quorum.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.
14	MS. REED: 3E. MINUTES OF THE
15	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
16	JUNE 25, 2014.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any
18	comments? If not, received and filed.
19	Are there any clerk's notes?
20	MS. REED: Nothing, Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Any announcements
22	from members of council?
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Just one. The
24	Office of Economic and Community
25	Development will conduct the second

public hearing to obtain citizen's views on housing and nonhousing community development needs in the City of Scranton and development of proposed activities to those in need. The meeting will take place on Wednesday, July 30th, 2014, at 6 p.m. at Scranton City Council Chambers. Those wishing to apply for assistance may obtain applications at OECD or on-line at www.scrantonpa.gov. All applications must be received by OECD by August 8th.

MR. GAUGHAN: I have two. My thoughts and prayers go out to Theresa Osborne and her family. Theresa was involved in a serious car accident this week and I wish her a quick recovery.

The second annual Arts on the Square event will once again take place around Courthouse Square in downtown Scranton this Saturday, July 26th from noon to 8 p.m. This year's free event will feature more than 100

vendors and eight live band performances and that is all I have.

MR. MCGOFF: A couple of items.

First of all, council did hold a executive meeting on Tuesday evening as was reported in the paper. We initially thought that we could meet to discuss candidates for the council position. When it was brought up that this may be a violation of the Sunshine Act, we declined doing that, but we did meet with our solicitor and the solicitor just briefly gave us some information about the Act 205 but there was no discussion of any legislation at that meeting.

Also on tonight's agenda there are two new items dealing with the LHVA. These items deal with easements from the city. They deal with city owned properties and because of the timely nature of these two pieces of legislation, if they are passed, if they are introduced this evening, we are going to look to move them from

1 6th to 7th order at our next meeting 2 so that they can -- so that there's no 3 possibility of losing the grant money 4 that's involved. 5 And lastly if depending on the 6 length of the meeting if some of you 7 would like to go over to the 8 courthouse, tonight is the showing of 9 the -- the outdoor showing of 10 Despicable Me 2. I'm told that 11 Dispicable Me 2 is the life story of 12 Mr. Rogan but I'm not sure. But it's 13 the Movie on the Square. It starts at 14 approximately 9 o'clock. There are children's activities that start at 8 15 16 o'clock and that is all I have. 17 MS. REED: 4th ORDER. CITIZENS 18 PARTICIPATION. 19 MR. MCGOFF: First speaker is 20 Bill Jackowitz. MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening, 21 22 Scranton City Council, Kathy, Amil, 23 Lori and council stenographer. First 24 of all, I'd like to congratulate Wayne 25 Evans on his selection. I didn't know

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he was a Republican but it doesn't really matter, Republican, Democrat, Independent, as long as he represents the people the way we expect him to represent us, I have no problem with Okay. I'd like to kind of put this on a different light tonight. I'm not even going to talk about city government or politics or anything. I'm going to talk about the show and the dinner that the Dante Club will be having this weekend, the Dante Club, 1916 Prospect Avenue, will be having a roast beef dinner and a comedy, a musical show Friday night, tomorrow night and Saturday night. The roast beef dinner starts at 6:30, the comedy and musical show will be starting at 8 o'clock. It's all local talent. Miller Sisters will be providing the music and from I'm being told they're very good. They're all local, born and raised in Scranton. The comedians will be local, born and raised in Scranton. The price of admission is

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$15. You can pay at the door or you can get your tickets in advance. Again, I think it would be a fun time for the citizens of Scranton and surrounding areas to come out and relax a little bit, enjoy themselves and maybe forget about politics and everything else that is going in the city and in the country. So like I said, I invite everyone to come out to Dante Club, 1916 Prospect Avenue for a roast beef dinner and entertainment. It's \$15 for the dinner and the show. So I hope to see a lot of people out It's Friday night and Saturday there. The doors open at 5:30. night. dinner starts at 6:30 and the show will start at 8 o'clock. So I hope to see everybody. I hope to see people come out, enjoy themselves, have a good time and put all of the politics and arguing and fighting behind them, having a cool adult beverage, have something good to eat, have a few laughs with the comedians, have a good

1 dinner and enjoy yourself. 2 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. 3 Jackowitz. John Drobnicki. 4 MR. DROBNICKI: Oh. I'm new to 5 this city. I bought a home here five 6 years ago --7 MR. MCGOFF: Please just state 8 your name for the record. 9 MR. DROBNICKI: John Drobnicki. 10 All right. I bought a home here five 11 years ago and I see a lot of the 12 bickering going on and I know the city 13 is distressed and I see people looking 14 for big money and raises with, you 15 know, the police, the firemen, 16 whatever. I used to make a good 17 living and I know a lot of people when 18 I grew up, they used to have packing 19 houses in the area, we had big 20 factories, we all worked overtime, we 21 were union. I worked for a Fortune 22 500 company. They've taken my income 23 from \$19 an hour down to 8.50. All 24 right. I have to pay people the wages 25 of the city what I earn today. And

23

24

25

there's a lot of people in my same, you know, category. And I bought a home here. I dumped more money in my home though I paid for it, Made my place look nice. And I'm not satisfied with the taxes I'm paying. But for people to come out and say, hey, you know, we need more money, we need bigger pensions and they want to put this demand on us taxpayers, we don't have this money anymore to give We're working for a quarter, them. less than a half what we used to make before. And if these people put this demand on, you got to tell him, hey, look it, John, you know, he used to make a good buck. He didn't mind paying this money before. But we don't have it anymore. People have got to start learning to cut back. don't want to take anybody's pay away from them, but, geez, they don't need to get anymore money, you know.

And second thing is I live on Ferdinand and Sweeney, I don't know if

25

you can address that but I would like to see a stop sign there because it's a hill where people are flying down. And it's putting cinders and things all over the homes and it's getting dangerous to take that right-hand turn on Sweeney and one of these days there's going to be an accident. Ι know it's hard to police it because the amount of police you have and everything else. But if it's possible if somebody could look at that, put a stop sign on the corner of Sweeney there. This way at least the traffic can slow down and stop and stop some of the -- maybe a possible accident in So that's all I have to say here. I don't condemn people doing anything. I'm just looking to put a light on things for everybody.

Thank you, Mr.

Right.

Doug Miller. Morgan, you're next on the list if you

would like to speak we can --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: Good evening, council. Doug Miller of Scranton. I'd just like to address a few issues here this evening. You know, first it's obviously in regards to the proposed commuter tax or Act 205 tax, as we can see, there are a quite a few people here this evening and a lot of people concerned about the potential tax. You know, as we stated previously more or less a punishment on those who had absolutely nothing to do with the fiscal mismanagement caused by failed leadership, incompetent people on councils in the past and past administrations and, you know, just the sort of repeat of what I said last week. In the past, in 2012 I did support a commuter tax that was to benefit services that we provide daily. However, today it's an awful lot has changed. circumstances are much different in terms of the pension crisis. We're

24

25

now asking people outside of the city to contribute toward a failed pension plan that we caused. We caused it to be a position it is in today, not people from outside the community. You know, it was stated in the paper today by Mr. McGoff that he doesn't represent Jane from Dickson City. He will make decisions that are in the best interest of the residents of this city. Well, you know, I definitely take issue with that statement because, you know, Jane from Dickson City and many others out there didn't cause these problems. You did, your failed leadership and the failed leadership of many others. That's the reality of the situation and now we want to put it on the backs of people like Jane from Dickson City who don't have the ability to cast a vote in this community. And, yes, it is taxation without representation, and we can talk about the fact that the state legislature approved it. Well,

24

25

They approve a lot of that's nice. laws that end up being flawed. We want to talk about taxation without representation, let's talk about the garbage fee. Who passed that? Council. That's a taxation without representation. How do we justify that fee? It's illegal. It shouldn't exist. It's to collect funds to pay the tipping fee at the landfill that we don't even pay. So what sense does that make, gentlemen. I mean, you're out of your minds. So these poor people that we have here tonight and many others that, you know, quite frankly are probably intimidated by coming forward are being punished because of your incompetent and incapable of solving your own problems. You know, we hear today the front page headline the mayor is mum on Plan B. Well, the last time I checked a little common sense will tell you, you have to have a Plan A before you have a Plan B. We don't

25

even know what Plan A is. It's not the Henry the liquidator plan because that's only suicide. Selling the Sewer Authority so rates can skyrocket. We don't even know what it's worth. It's worth billions. They want to sell it for what, 20 million I believe the discussion is. We want to sell parking garages that we don't even know what they're valued at, we're pulling figures out of the sky, 22 million and still 30 million on them? That's common sense, And we gentlemen. No, it's not. haven't had it around here for a long time and it's really sad. solution is always let's put it on the shoulders of other people. you've continued to put the screws to the people that live here and now you want to put the screws to people that commute here and earn a living. They don't have to come here. In fact. they can go anywhere else they want in Northeastern Pennsylvania but they

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

chose to come here to earn a living.

What do you want to do with them? You want to slap them right across the face and say, no, we're punishing you because we're incompetent and we're inept and we can't solve our own problems. We can't take advantage of the assets we have to generate revenue to this city so we're going to put it on you.

You know, one of the things I brought forward and it's been discussed is taking advantage of a money making opportunity we have right here in downtown Scranton and that's with the Steamtown Mall. discussion has taken place on converting that into a casino and resort. It's not a pipe dream. It's a reality making thing that can generate millions and millions of dollars into this city. Nothing is a pipe dream if you're willing to roll your sleeves up and actually do the work. And, you know, let me just say

25

a wagon can't move unless somebody is willing to get behind it and push it. And if somebody doesn't get behind it, that wagon doesn't move. Today we have the chance to get behind something and push it and make it a reality. And we can do that with the Mall at Steamtown if we actually put some creativity forth and actually take politics out of our decisionmaking for once even though I know that's probably a hard thing to But if we actually think of people for a change, we can probably turn this community around. consistently putting it on the shoulders of those who had nothing to do with it is not the answer, gentlemen. And it's really discouraging that we lack that common sense. And we have the inability to solve our own problems that we need other people to come in from out of town o show us how to run the city. And tonight I have with me as I

24

25

promised last week a petition that I'm going to present and it's already been made available in social media for those to sign and it states that we, the undersigned support Bob Bolus in spearheading the development of the Steamtown Mall in Scranton into the Steamtown Casino and Resort and we encourage all our legislators to propose legislation to create a casino and resort license for the Steamtown Mall site in an effort to save the city. This is what happens when you use creativity. And I know, Mr. Rogan, last week you stated that, you know, this was something that could come in fruition that you would be on support of it and I would just like to ask tonight if anybody on this council would be willing to be the first to sign the petition to get the ball moving.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: Is there anybody

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that would be willing to sign it tonight, gentlemen? The silence speaks volumes.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, council. You know what tonight, you know, I applaud Mr. Evans. I wish him well. But, you know, I think that we need to start facing some realities on what's happened to the pension and it's only my opinion that maybe a receiver should be named for the City of Scranton. We're way beyond the ability to recover in this city. The pension plan is going to collapse quite possibly soon from what the Scranton Times basically had to say about it. And all these fees and, you know, over 20 years -- well, Mr. Doherty's plan was first. I read that. That was at the library. That wasn't a recovery plan and nothing that really has come here has been a recovery plan. I'm not going to take

25

cheap shots at people who serve before and because I think that's counter productive to say that about people but, you know, we really need a plan here. And the commuter tax is definitely wrong. I think Mr. Gaughan got it pretty much right last week. You know, the truth of the matter is the mercantile tax, the wage tax, they have to go away. We have to tell the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that this city is bankrupt due to their mismanagement of PEL and their plans for us and, you know, all these tax increases that have hit the neighborhoods, they need to repealed to a major extent. And will that leave the budget short? Yes, it will. It's time for the council to come up with a plan to cut positions in the city further than they've been cut before. Because to be quite bluntly honest with you, we can't afford to pay our employees. We can't afford to save the city. You know, I have a

2
 3
 4

5

6 7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

subpoena going back here from 2005 that Janet Evans signed in '05. Okay? You know, maybe at that time if a number of subpoenas were served on city government in various ways, there would have been something to solve but, you know, selling the Sewer Authority and selling parking garages, that's another one time fix, then the city will be left with nothing. residents have no money to pay these taxes because we don't have a wage base that will give them the income necessary to pay it. We have senior citizens reverse mortgaging their homes, we have blight in all the neighborhoods. Somebody came up with a great idea that we should attack all rental properties and that was our That was another misguided problem. vision of our elected officials. know, we're good at blaming everybody else about the problems we have in our city and we have been for 80 plus years, a city driven by politics with

25

reality a very distant second. the truth of the matter is I don't think the city is ever going to wake up until all the employers decide to start moving out of here. I do know that there are residents leaving because I work with a couple and they're making really good money. Some of them are making up to 1,500 bucks a week and they're just tired of paying this wage tax. They're just not going to do it. They're going to take their money and they're going to And the only people that this council and this administration -well, not this administration -- this government has done is trap all the poor seniors trapped in their homes which is their major investment and we're extorting them. We're telling them, well, look it, you do without this week and this and you do without that but just make sure you pay your taxes. And some of them have told me blatantly they aren't going to pay

24

25

because they can't. But, yes, and still this council and the mayor, I assume, is going to do another round of property tax increases and what we really need to do is cut city government down in the confines of what the community can afford. it's going to be really tough because a lot of city employees, they're not making a lot of money. It's really -a lot of the city employees are really -- they're not making any money. They may perceive that they are but if you're making 30 grand, you're wasting your time. You're going to work for nothing. have an elected government in this city that should have been trying to create or recreate Scranton by creating jobs but it just hasn't happened because there's been a lack of vision but maybe this council will see SAPA for what it can and maybe they're propel that forward. But as far as everything else, look it, we've

23

24

25

got to cut taxes, we've got to get rid of the mercantile tax, we've got to do something to cut the wage tax. Look it, people just won't live where they work and then what do you think is going to happen? I mean, the seniors, who is going to come in and buy their properties? There's properties scattered throughout this whole city for sale, and nobody is looking at them. So when these properties become vacant and they're eyesores and they're vandalized, look at the projects that have come through here. Scranton Lace was on fire again. They're cutting all the scrap out of it they can to sell. Was that really a great plan? I don't know, some of the people around there want them to tear that building down now. there's a lot of people around the former North Scranton, they want that building torn down. And look at it, yeah, the front looks okay but there's no windows anywhere else. Thank you.

Morgan. Matthew Ford.

3

2

MR. FORD: Thank you, council

4

5

today. I appreciate the opportunity

members and attendees for being here

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr.

6

to speak. Matthew Ford from

7

Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. I am a commuter. I first want to address

8

something I was unaware of for today.

10

Mr. McGoff, I'm quite appalled at

11

your remarks towards Jane in Dickson

12

City. Quite frankly with that type of

13

attitude, Matt in Wilkes-Barre doesn't care about the City of Scranton. And

1415

when Matt in Wilkes-Barre is up at

16

work and he has to get a meal, he's

17

going to go to Old Forge. I got a

18

haircut in Scranton today. Well, if

19

you don't care about me, I don't care

20

about your city and I'll take my

2122

business elsewhere. And I think it's quite shortsighted and possibly that's

23

the problem is that we're so focused

24

on the now that we're not worried

25

about the future and possibly how you

guys got yourselves into this mess.

Now, the city is budgeted 4.2 million in 2002 for their pension and they now want to raise the taxes, it's going to levy five million dollars of commuter funds over the next -- each year for the next, you know, considerable future which means that you guys want the commuters to pay more than you're willing to donate yourselves to that particular pension fun and I think that's very shameful of you.

Now, the last time I was here Mr.

Gaughan raised very interesting
questions regarding this tax
especially regarding what the state
legislature is planning on doing
regarding Act 205 and basically it's
looking more and more like based on
the news reports that that bill is
going to go through and they're going
to require a raise on the city workers
as well who do live in Scranton. Now,
a couple notes to that, first, I find
it absolutely atrocious that Mr.

24

25

Gaughan was the only one who was aware of that and Mr. Rogan and Wechsler last time was here said they were unaware of that. I remind you, gentlemen, it is your job to be educated about the topics that you are deciding and it is in your job description to actually investigate those. It's not on somebody else to come and tell you what's going on with It's your job to be the these issues. authority. I also think that it's absolutely insane that we're discussing pushing this legislation through when it's going to raise five million a year and you have a 90 million budget shortfall in your pension which means it's going to take at least 20 years for this to actually help the budget and you guys are saying that, hey, we need to get this done within the next three weeks. Now, what's going to happen in the next three weeks is somehow going to magically fix this budget that it

can't be taken deliberately like you're supposed to investigate it and actually take pause to find out how the citizens of this city are going to be affected by law as well as non residents. I find it absolutely horrendous that the decision is being made and rushed and I think that you guys should all take a step back and really discuss how this plan is going to be enacted. Perhaps the problem is just this and the fact that the decision is not being made on the facts and people aren't investigating the matter. They're just doing what they're told. Mr. Amoroso has no financial involvement in this city other than getting paid. He doesn't have any interest in getting the city fixed. He's going to get paid either way. It's on you guys. He's not going to be the one to tell you what plan is going to work and what's going to fix. It's on you guys to be creative, to take initiative to come

25

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up with new ideas that are going to change. You guys have been raising taxes for years. It has not helped. There's statistical evidence of this. You guys have also raised your government spending over the past three years. What realistic steps are you taking to get the budget in control. It goes far beyond five million dollars a year that you're going to raise with this. And like I said, the only thing that you're going to do is further isolate Scranton. Scranton needs an infusion of business from outside communities that come and spend their money here which results in your citizens making more money, paying more taxes. And if you continue to impose laws upon those outside of your city limits, those people are going to have less incentive to come to your city when they have an opportunity to do business. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ford, may I ask

1 you question? 2 MR. FORD: Absolutely, sir. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Do you work in the 4 City of Scranton? 5 MR. FORD: Yes, sir. I work over on Keyser Avenue. 6 MR. MCGOFF: 7 Thank you. Kind of 8 a follow-up. Being a resident of 9 Wilkes-Barre, you are not going to be 10 subject to the Act 205 tax, correct? 11 I was unaware of that. MR. FORD: 12 That being the case, my involvement 13 today I would hope that it goes 14 farther than personal interest and 15 that I feel that community involvement 16 is the cornerstone in building a 17 strong community and I'm here to speak 18 on others' behalf as well as mine. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 20 MR. ROGAN: I would just like to 21 make a brief comment as well regarding much of what was in the newspaper 22 23 regarding the state legislature if 24 they decided to make a change. As the 25 law currently states if the City of

Scranton were to enact a 205 tax, it would only be implemented on commuters. There would not be any additional tax on the residents of In order for there to be an Scranton. additional tax on the residents of Scranton City Council would have to approve it. If the legislature were to change the law to put it in the equal provision where the .75 would have to be levied on both the city and both commuters, the simple solution is reduce your existing wage tax by .75 percent in the city and you have two wage taxes and what that would do is would keep the level of stayed for the residents of Scranton. I'll comment more on it under motions but I know a lot of people from the city who have been concerned about it have voiced that opinion, whether it would be applied to them if Senator Blake has his way and pushes another tax on Scranton residents.

MR. GAUGHAN: My only question

25

24

1	would be how you would be able to do
2	that procedurally. I would like
3	see, that's another question and that
4	opens the door for well, how would
5	you just be able to lower your own
6	wage tax? I mean
7	MR. ROGAN: We have that
8	opportunity every year.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Well, I would like
10	to see what the procedure would be for
11	that.
12	MR. ROGAN: We vote in January
13	every year
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Again, I would like
15	to see the procedure for that because
16	to me that opens up a lot of
17	questions.
18	MR. ROGAN: You voted on the tax
19	rates in January.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Okay. Thank you. I
21	Irene Clark. Thank you. Mayor
22	Lawler.
23	MS. LAWLER: Hello. And thank
24	you so much for this invitation to
25	come before you. It speaks volumes of

your leadership which tells me as an individual and as a sitting council how fair minded and how respectful you are of the commuters that might be impacted with this and also that you're trying very much to be transparent so I'm here, and I thank you for that and I know that you're leading a difficult, difficult -- Mayor Lawler.

MR. MCGOFF: Your name for the record.

MS. LAWLER: Patty Lawler, Clarks
Summit Borough.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. LAWLER: Sorry. You can't teach an old dog new tricks. I think it's because I'm in this beautiful facility, this beautiful chambers that I forgot to do that. But at any rate I want you to know it doesn't bypass me how difficult it is for you to lead during these very, very difficult times. This is no picnic for you but I feel each of you have been elected

23

24

25

and decided to run for this office at this very time because councils are going to come and go. It will be this council that is going to be asked to save the City of Scranton. It will be this council that will be remembered in history as the council that saved the City of Scranton. No one will spend the five minutes or three minutes talking about went wrong in Scranton, what didn't go wrong in Scranton bu the commuter does read papers and the press hasn't been over the year very favorable. Two things just jump out to me is for 20 plus years we have been called a distressed city, 20 plus years. After three years you say to yourself, something isn't working here. Let's go back to the big drawing. We don't want to be distress forever. I'm not going to take Hazleton as my template and Allentown. Then we look at the balloon of the pensions. After the first 100 million, I think I'd say,

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hey, wait a minute, wait a minute, Down the road we're never people. going to be able to do it. So these things bring me to the commuter tax. The commuter tax to the commuter seems punitive to them. First off, they don't understand it. They think they're the last elephant in the parade to come up with a couple bucks for Scranton. They don't see that they're directly being the reason that you need a commuter tax so they feel distant franchised all around and I don't know really when we do the commuter tax and I offer this respectfully to you how successful it will be. It's easy to always levy a tax but collecting the tax in my experience is very, very difficult. am unaware of what your tax base is in the City of Scranton. I have a feeling probably your earned income taxes is pretty weak and I have a feeling that your working on that as we speak. The repercussion of the

24

25

commuter tax, I think a lot of Scranton may be the mercantile tax, the high wage tax, the property tax, the school tax. It's driven people out of Scranton. One more tax, do I think is what we need, I don't know. Is it what I want to inflict on my commuters? Not really. 5.5 million it seems to me a stretch. I have an alternative and it sounds bazaar but it's creative. Instead of having a tax that is submitted to these commuters and again it's punitive and it's bringing a lot of negative. Scranton needs more than ever before positive, what if we do a campaign, save Scranton, not just the commuters, everyone. My commuters in the City of Clarks Summit, we do have a disparity in our wages. Of course, we have some that are very wealthy. The taxes, the taxes are paying. But I'm here for my families, new families that are starting out and they really are just making \$30,000. Fifty dollars, okay.

Let's all get along, we'll pay \$50. A 150, a little difficult for the family that is coming into Scranton to work either at the university part-time or at the hospitals or at the libraries or whatever, \$30,000 is a lot. But if we have campaign, like a capital gains campaign, it opens, it broadens it, not only is it the commuter, let them given willfully what they have to give.

Could I ask just for a couple more minutes? Thank you. Let me just say we would broaden this. We broaden it to the senior people that live outside this Scranton area. We knew what Scranton was long ago. We are devastated where Scranton is today, my generation. We remember when West Side was Hyde Park. We remember when East Market Street was the place to start going to see Christmas lights. That's what we grew up with. We didn't see slum landlords, we didn't see real estate signs all over, people

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to the urban sprawl. I welcome them. But we remember Scranton and I think if we do a capital gains fundraiser, Save Scranton, buy a sticker, give what you can, you will be surprised where a punitive action is going to be difficult. It is a suggestion. Whenever I have a complaint, I try to give a suggestion.

I just want to say in closing, why am I here. I struggled with coming but I know if I was sitting where you are and you're standing, you would be standing where I am because you love your people, you represent them well and you would be asking for the consideration for them. Many of them were afraid to come because they were afraid of repercussion at their jobs. And also I couldn't live with myself if I didn't take your invitation from the paper seriously that you wanted to hear from me, from my borough. So I thank you. other thing is I love Scranton. So I

want you to save Scranton. You will be remembered in history. I know you'll do the right thing. I thank you for the extra time. God bless the sitting council and God bless all taxpayers inside and outside the limits. Thank you for your time.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mayor
Lawler. Thank you. Joan Hodowantiz.

MS. HODOWANTIZ: Joan Hodowantiz, Scranton resident. You know, I was expecting tonight to see torches and pitch forks. So you may be a little luckier than you imagine. But I like many residents was really surprised by the stories in the paper this morning.

First of all, the mayor being mum on tax Plan B. And I'm going to quote him, "I don't know that will happen; and if it happens, if they do pass the law, I don't know exactly how it will affect us," the mayor said. "It hasn't happened yet so we went forward. We'll have to see what happens if it passes. I'm hoping it

24

25

doesn't but I'll deal with it when it happens if it happens." That's does not feel me with the sense of confidence. I've got to tell you I'm also concerned that I don't think that Mr. Amoroso had the benefit of this actuarial analysis on the pension funds when he did his study and made his recommendations. I think he even mentioned that he didn't have this information. The bottom line in my mind is I think, Mr. Gaughan, you hit the nail on the head last week when you said, "We need to see the mayor's entire plan, not the piecemeal, here's the commuter tax, here's this tax, here's that tax." We need to see him layouts the entire plan. He needs to be able to answer questions like what if. What if the state passes this new law? How are you going to handle it? Are you going to do, you know, let's just wiggle our side down .75 percent and it will be a wash. I don't know whether or not you can do that and get

21

22

23

24

25

away with it. Let's assume you can. I still want to see the entire plan for the city. I want him to address the state of the pensions, okay. This is going to be a three-year commuter tax, a 20-year commuter tax or a never ending commuter tax. I know I'm probably singing to the choir in many respects and you can't do this for me but I hope that the mayor listens to these council meetings on cable TV, if not, maybe he'll read the paper the next morning and I really wish that he would take the time to have a meeting with the general public. And since he's proposing a commuter tax, he needs to include the people from the surrounding communities. He needs to present his plan, not Mr. Amoroso's, his plan. He needs to defend it, he needs to explain it and he needs to answer the what if questions. He owes that to the residents of the city and the surrounding communities. And I understand, you know, why he's

hesitant to do that. He has been in office now for over six months. He should have an idea of what he wants to do. He has the benefit of Mr.

Amoroso's recommendations. If he cannot tell us the way forward now, maybe he should resign. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Hodowantiz. Sheila O'Brien.

MS. O'BRIEN: Hi. I'm Sheila
O'Brien. I live in Dunmore and I work
in Scranton and I oppose the commuter
tax mainly because at my job I don't
have a pension plan. One is not
provided for me. So I don't think
that I should be taxed to pay for
someone else's pension plan. Pension
plans are -- payment to pension plans
are the responsibility of the
employer, not the commuters. So
that's really all I have to say.
Thank you for the opportunity to speak
and I oppose the plan. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Miss

O'Brien. Mary Grace.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

MS. GRACE: Good evening. I want to say that I appreciate the opportunity to come here this to speak to you. I have lived in the Scranton area since 1985. I moved here from New York State and for a long time I was proud of Scranton and was proud to say that I lived in this area. I have not lived in the City of Scranton except for when I first moved to Scranton to go to Marywood back in 1985. And two times since I've lived here I have worked in Scranton and not lived in Scranton. I'm not currently working but I do feel that it is unfair for people who work in Scranton who do not live in the city limits to have to pay a tax that provides them for nothing other than to say that they work in Scranton. And I think it's important that this city be safe from the distress that it's in but the plans that have been offered to this point from my view don't seem to be

working so I really would encourage
you people on City Council and the
mayor to also come up with some kind
of plan besides continual taxation to
solve a longstanding problem. Thanks.

MR. MCGOFF: Would you just please state your name for the --

MS. GRACE: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't say my name. My name is Mary Grace.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. SPARAGLIA: Andy Sparaglia, citizen from Scranton, fellow
Scrantonians. This problem with the pensions started with -- well, I shouldn't say -- it was a little before Mayor Doherty but Mayor Doherty is the main reason why we're in trouble now. He had a buyout plan when he took office and a lot of the employees took it. And then he retired other employees to replace the employees who took the buyout. That all added costs to the pension plan.

23

24

25

The way that the pension plan is actuated and governed is crazy. We had members of City Council given a pension even though it was in violation but who cares about violations. We don't care about anything but taking care of the people who take care of us, meaning the politicians. That is why we're in trouble with the pension, some of the reasons. A lot of the reasons is you allow people to buy time. They don't work for the -- like me I had to work until I was 55 to get even a full pension but I went up to 62. But they The way the pension is don't. formulated cannot stand. It really can't. We can't afford it. It's either massive layouts with the firemen and police after the three-year contract expires or reformulate the plan. And you have no intention of reformulating the plan and I doubt you're going to get it done. As far as the commuter tax, if

24

25

I was outside the area and had to pay the tax, I would ask for representation on that board. And if they were smart, they would file with the court system to have that representation to the board. you're asking us to pay, then we want something to say on that board and I believe they should do it. And another thing with this commuter tax, it's mainly a right to work tax and I would file with the federal just like a poll tax. No one should have to pay to work and that's what this is, a right to work tax and it's happening. You're going to vote it and I have no question about it. It's going to happen whether the state the changes the law which it's probably going to happen and I don't know if you're going to reduce what happened if you tried to reduce the wage tax, whether we're often called back to court hearing after hearing after hearing. The main thing is we're living above

22

23

24

25

our means. And that's the basic thing. We're spending more than we're taking in and I don't know how you're going to change this. It's pretty tough. KOZ's does not work because it was never formulated to work. more formulated to give a gift out which they did. The only person that really did what they're supposed to do was out at the Ice Box. I don't like what happened with the 198 year lease for the old parking garage. I wasn't happy with that. But that's how these politics work in the city. We give a way a 198 year leases for a dollar a year but this is how we do it. Now there's a -- how much we got from that -- I guess it's a gas station there, a convenient store, how much we get back in taxes, I don't know. Somebody said we can get back the improvements but I don't really know because we own the property. We don't own the buildings on the property but we do own the property and that's the

24

25

way it goes. That's why the city is in trouble. That's why you're looking for other people to pay for your mistakes. You have to stand up and say, I made the mistakes. Some of you's have been on council for four years, four and a half years, others have been for seven or eight and this is what you did, nothing. All you did was whatever the mayor wanted, you We don't need bubble head said yes. councils. We need somebody who is going to fight for the people of Scranton. One man at the meeting, they were talking about the decorum. Right, decorum should be. Nobody should be up there and screamed at. believe in that. But I do believe you should fight for what you believe in. Mr. Loscombe at least fought for what he believed in. I thought he made a big mistake. I thought he should have resigned in January or before and then the council that was there appoint the guy to fill the seat but he didn't.

don't know why he did it. I think he made a big mistake when he did that.

Otherwise, the last council would have appointed the member. But that's the way it goes. Unfortunately he didn't do it. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, council. Marie Schumacher. First tonight I would like to thank OECD Solicitor Hickey for taking the time to go over the loan portfolio with me and I congratulate them on finally taking some action on many of the loans that require the action and then I would like to thank the Times for two things, No. 1, for revealing the planned secret meeting for Tuesday night. I absolutely could not believe that that happened. I just think that anybody would come and seat --

MR. MCGOFF: Excuse me. It was not a secret meeting.

MS. SCHUMACHER: It was a secret

20

21

22

23

24

25

to most people. There was no legal notice that a meeting was occurring of -- anyway I don't want to take my time. I have a lot. And also today's encouraging news that we may get a receiver if our MMO is not met as I believe the time is now to cut our losses and admit defeat. Mr. Amoroso said we're going to be somewhere between 12 and 14 million I believe it was short this year. The five million that you're planning on voting on tonight and I'll get back to that later leaves seven million dollars or seven to nine million. Where are thee seven to nine million dollars coming from? I would like to see that whole thing before you vote on anyone item in that portfolio.

Next, I'd like to know who's responsible for administering city contracts. I believe that you're adding insult to injury when you overlook shotty workmanship and then tax the people to have those same --

17 18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

that same shotty work repaired. Mountain Road is a perfect example. It was very shortly after that the road was opened that the joint between the two lanes started to deteriorate. Experts tell me it was because the temperatures probably were not high enough to properly knit those two lanes. Now -- so we paid for that and we're going to pay again hopefully because hopefully it will get fixed. It gets worse every single day. We've had incorrect repairs made on it that are just going to wash out again and it's just wrong that we have to pay twice.

Now, back to 205 that's on the agenda again tonight, I'm guessing that nobody took the time to read the article that I provided to each of you that was written by the first receiver of the City of Harrisburg because he provides very cogent reasons for not proceeding piecemeal as you are planning to do tonight and finalize

next week I'm quite certain.

I believe also that we need to revise the Home Rule Charter. I would ask that you will have the ability to put that on the ballot would do that. And one of the items that should be in that is that if we lose a council person, that the council's appointee is only good until the next election, be it primary or general and let the voters decide who is fifth council person should be.

Also another subject is trash. I have personally witnessed a car drive up to a empty lot and unload bag after bag of garbage, I would say 12 to 15 bags of garbage. Now, what percent is paid so far this year I would really like to know that. I think it's wrong. We are being abused by the current system and I would venture to guess that probably less than 70 percent of the people will be paying for a 100 percent, plus those people who want to come and dump trash from,

I assume, outside of the area or maybe commercial people but that don't want to pay it for themselves but it's not proper.

The agenda tonight, the agenda for the City Planning Commission Lori Reed told us tonight that it was cancelled. I believe this is the second consecutive month that that was cancelled for lack of a quorum. I think some investigation needs to be made into why that is happening. If people are appointed that don't want to serve, then they should resign and let some other people come or perhaps it's just that they don't want to address the SAPA issue so they're avoiding having a meetings. I don't know what it is.

And then I'll finish with I do notice that kiss another one goodbye. The Adams Plaza was \$56,000 assessment for their land and another 10,000 for the improvements is going to go over to the nonprofits and we, again, will

2

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

get to absorb those costs and all the mercantile costs that five floors would have provided. So again I hope you knot pass 205. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. DOBZYN: Good evening, council. Dave Dobzyn, resident of Scranton, all taxes paid, all fees Why are we fighting tonight? We have an opinion of mercenaries. These think tanks are mercenaries. You go to whatever think tank you want to arrive at the conclusion you need to arrive at. And that's a fact. There's very few, only a handful of think tanks that aren't mercenary. They come up with their opinion and their opinion is balk. Back during the Republican debate I witnessed Romney went ballistic with Newt Greenwich over the Heritage Foundation which Newt Greenwich was big on and Newt said, "I don't know who came up with this idea about required health insurance." And Romney woke up and he

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

snapped back and I was on the floor,
"You did." It was hilarious, it was
truer than life. You couldn't make it
up.

Now, on this commuter tax business our last several elections have been waged on money from outside sources, outside the City of Scranton. Why do people outside the City of Scranton care about who's mayor in Scranton especially when the guy that gets elected gives stuff away for a dollar a year. It befuddling. Well, there's an incentive there. So I would appreciate if the people that are here tonight and are still here go back to your local businesses and concerns and tell them, stop donating money to Scranton's reelection campaigns. It's not proper.

On Act 205 I feel it's necessary for this reason that we have 33 percent of non tax exempt properties not paying and they benefit their federal courts, their county courts,

their state courts, there's hospitals, there's schools, there's this, there's that and that next thing. And they benefit society at large. So what's the solution. Get together, take the state to the Supreme Court, the state constitution and get it overturned that they cannot require year in and year out an eight million dollar, ten million dollar hole in our budget from property taxes that benefit everybody and we turn around and get stuck holding the bag. I can't have it myself.

Now, last week I got jumped about reverse mortgages out in the hall.

And I'm not saying that elderly people should get a reverse mortgage and pour it all into taxes. You don't have to pay your taxes at all. But if there's somebody out there that's scrimping by and not putting food on the table and they do have the ability to get a reverse mortgage and pay up their taxes eventually somebody is going to

22

23

24

25

come at you after all these taxes and fees and then it's too late, your credit rating is ruined and there's a lot of trouble. Don't let your house go for a few thousand dollars worth of back taxes when you can, in fact, draw the money out and maybe even go on that vacation you always wanted to go on, who knows, pay the grandson that cuts your grass for a couple bucks for a change. We have -- are on this property now tax exempt. Intermodal transportation system, two businesses affected and one has been moved to Dunmore or is in the process of moving to Dunmore. The other one will be across the street, it's a bus company. They'll be across the street for a free government funded lunch. And by the way, we don't have any intermodal transportation. I mean, we've been hearing that for 35 years, another pipe dream.

And a house next to the county jail is going to be taken for a

parking lot. And now we have Adams
Plaza in the paper this morning. So
there's a whole city block gone off
the tax rolls. We really need to go
to federal court but that 75
hundredths might be necessary. As
wrong as it is it's at least getting
attention.

And by the way don't plan on the PUC bailing you out if the sell the sewer plant. We have 350 of various concerns selling somebody else's electric delivery in generation and it's buildup with print -- legalize print, fine print, and your electric bill if you go with these people can inflate 600 percent. How about that, isn't that wonderful. Gee, thanks, Mr. Ridge. Have a good night.

MS. RUANE: Good evening. My
name is Kathy Ruane. I lived in
Scranton. I was born and raised in
Scranton. I lived here for 35 years.
I live in Archbald now. I love
Scranton but I don't think that I

should pay for someone else's retirement. I'm asking you to put yourself into the shoes of the commuter who commute to the city everyday. That reduction in pay is going to reduce what I can put into my retirement. You have to think of the people that you're affecting. I mean, that's pretty all I have to say. Thank you for taking the time to listen to me. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Ms. Ruane. Anyone else who wishes to speak?

MR. PRECIADO: Hello. Thank you for the opportunity to come and talk like a citizen. I live in Nicholson. I don't live in Scranton, but I have a job here in Scranton. I'm against the commuter tax because I don't even have a pension plan. And, I mean, I know you we use the streets and come and drive her but I shop over here, too. I pay taxes on anything I buy, whatever they're charging, you know.

1 And so I'm against the commuter tax 2 and thank you. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Did you 4 get a name? Sir, excuse me. Sir. 5 would you just state your name for the 6 stenographer, please. 7 MR. PRECIADO: George Preciado. 8 I only make \$17,000 a year so and to 9 pay -- I don't know how tax I would 10 have to pay but I make like about 600 11 a month with the job that I have. I 12 don't know how much. I don't make 13 \$50,000. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 15 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very 16 much. 17 MR. ELLMAN: Hello, council. I'm 18 sort of frustrated and disappointed in 19 my council but our mayor support of 20 Mr. Amoroso's repugnant plan, I don't 21 know what plan B and C is going to be. 22 But I talked to a real estate man last 23 week and he figured that probably half 24 the houses in this city are 75 years 25 old or older. He said you can't

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

even -- they're getting hard to sell at any price. My house taxes have doubled in 20 years. Now you want to go 90 percent in four years and that's not going to be enough and you guys know it. You're going to have to go more than that. How are you going to expect people to live in this city triple what they were taxes like that? My insurance in \$1,600 a year on my house. Last week I spent 250 on a plumber. You forget all these things. I've got a bar bill. There's other things in life besides taxes for you guys. And here Mr. Amoroso -- if you sold the Sewer Authority, like I said, you can imagine those rates would skyrocket. It would be private and they're in there for business to make There's no way to sell it and money. have people being able to afford it. There's people now that you expect to suffer and they're worried about things. Right now they don't care about things ten or 20 years from now.

25

Look at the city right now that we live in. You know, I sat here for two or three years and told you how my neighborhood is declining and declining and declining. It's full of undesirables everywhere. There's -don't know if they're illegal. There's people just renting rooms all over North Main Avenue. There's cars parked in the sidewalk because they have nowhere to go. The place is really getting trashy. And you guys treat this Mr. Amoroso like a God. just doesn't -- he has insane rubbish is what he's trying to solve down your throats with this plan. If Frank Joyce had advocated this last year, you would have ran him out of town on a rail. You just -- the people are defenseless to do anything about it. You don't try to do anything else except raises taxes because that's the easiest thing to do. Mr. Amoroso, he didn't lift a finger to keep Hessler here. He could have been saved if you

20

21

22

23

24

25

ask me. I've known that man for 25 years. There's no reason they had to move out of here. They could have done something to keep him here. Look at this ice cream charade been going on for years beating us out of taxes. The Goodwill, year after year they tell us a bunch of blatant lies instead of giving their property up so some reputable person could have it. You know, it just goes on and on and I ask why you can't rewrite the on. Pure Charity Act. Maybe that would have stopped something like when, you know, in less than a month Lackawanna College has taken 3.7 million dollars worth of property. Mr. Volk told us he's going to grow 40 percent and he is at the taxpayers' expense and nothing is being done about any of this except you take the easiest way out, raise taxes. You're very disappointing, you know. There's got to be something, you know, there's got to be some kind of solution to these

problems besides raise taxes. How are we going to make up three points? ask you how you're going to make up one point, that 1,200,000, nobody said nothing, now it's 3.7. How are we going to make it up? You're 90 percent -- taxes wouldn't make a dent in what's going to happen in what, three more years. You're going to sit there and tell the people in three years we need another 50, 60 percent increase over 90 that's over what the county's done. You're going to have a ghost town because people cannot afford rents, they can't afford to live here no more with the way things are going. And I'm not scolding you. I told you weeks ago. I support you guys. The people out here, we need you to help us, not turn your backs on Thank you. us.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address council?

Mrs. Reed.

MS. REED: 5TH ORDER, 5A,

25

23

24

MOTIONS.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. MCGOFF: Councilman Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. McGoff. I'd like to say that we have been diligently researching Act 205. There is a lot of things that we don't know. We are in the beginning of the process and we are doing a lot of research and implementation on it and the one thing that I mentioned last week and I do believe that is true is the fact that we started to look at Act 205 has brought focus on Act 247 in the state house since these two things are kind of running simultaneously for approval, we have to consider Act 205 because it's on the table for us right now. Act 47 is still in committee. We don't know when it's going to get out of committee. For this tax to be -- if this tax, Act 205 passes there are some administrative issues that have to be done prior to it being enacted

These things have to

and collected.

24

25

happen by December the 1st. It would be unexcusable for us not to pursue this tax. The state has given this to us as an option. We have to pursue this option for the City of Scranton and the residents of Scranton. would be irresponsible for us not to pursue this. If Act 207 passes and it can work in conjunction with Act 205 and replace Act 205, we do have to talk about it when that happens but it has not happened yet. So like I said, I am voting to move it ahead tonight again. We'll do more research on it next week and we will go from there. But like I said, it is irresponsible for us not to act on this. We can't wait for the mayor. I have a responsibility as a council person. cannot have the mayor come here to council, I can't have him have a public meeting, I can't have him present his plan to the people. I can only do what I'm responsible for. And I'm working on what I'm responsible

for. And that is all I have tonight,

Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

Councilman Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes. One brief issue before -- a few comments regarding the 205 tax. We mentioned very briefly in the caucus about the issues that were going on in the Keyser Valley area because of the construction. I was notified today by a resident that because of the truck traffic taking detours through the neighborhoods instead of being on Keyser Ave where they traditionally would be that a mirror was knocked off, completely off a car because of a big rig going down a residential neighborhood. With my colleague's permission, can we please send a letter to Chief Graziano asking that this issue in that area is -extra patrols are put in that area to watch for truck traffic and I'll give you some streets, you know, after the meeting.

25

MS. REED: Of course.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Regarding the 205 tax and it's an issue, I think, we've all struggled with and looked at quite a bit over the last few weeks. What it came down for me regarding making a final decision is the 205 tax will bring in approximately five million dollars of revenue into the city. If the 205 tax is not implemented, the city will still need to find that money to fund the pension. If it is not implemented, it means that there will be more property tax increases on the residents of Scranton. We all know that the taxes are too high in the city as they are. We need to look at other options. As Councilman Wechsler mentioned and as Councilman McGoff mentioned in the newspaper, the State of Pennsylvania has given Scranton and other cities, I believe there are 40 other cities that use the 205 tax, this tool, we need to pursue It's the right thing to do for it.

21

22

23

24

25

the residents of Scranton. understand the commuters are upset. would be, too, if I lived outside the city and worked in the city. But try to put yourself in our shoes as well where the residents have endured tax increase after tax increase and at the end of the day I know much of argument is, well, the 205 goes directly to the pension which it does. But if that money wasn't going into the pension, it would be more dollars from the general funding that would be going to fund the pension which would make it even more difficult for us to do things like the service that the commuters use and the Scranton residents use. That's why I agree with Councilman Wechsler and Councilman McGoff that it would be foolish for us not to move forward with the 205 tax unless there was another viable alternative on the table that would bring in five million dollars without raising taxes on

24

25

Scranton residents. Over the last two weeks I'm sure we have all heard from residents and nonresidents regarding this tax and by and large the residents of Scranton strongly support this tax because they understand it's less tax that they will have to pay at end of the year. And as it was mentioned by Councilman McGoff in the newspaper, we were elected by the residents of Scranton to do a job. We're responsible for the residents of Scranton. That's why I will be voting to support this week and again next week the 205 tax. I know there are many issues that will be brought up regarding implementation. I know when we talked to our solicitor and we met as a council to talk about the 205 implementation a little bit, we are going to request Business Bulzoni and Attorney Jason Shrive come to our caucus next week to address some of the implementation issues and assuming all of those issues are addressed at

next week's caucus or prior, I will be voting yes to lessen the burden on Scranton's residents by implementing the 205. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Councilman Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you very much. A few comments. First. I received a call from neighbors on Oak Avenue in Minooka who complained of dangerous potholes on their street that they would like filled when possible. City council has forwarded that request to DPW. A concerned resident had contacted me regarding businesses on Main Avenue in West Scranton who are putting objects on the sidewalk making foot traffic difficult. The resident also had a concern about a couch and piles of garbage on a porch on Swetland Street. Council has forward these concerns to Chief Graziano of the Police Department.

Last week we passed legislation along the permit parking in the 900

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

25

block of Prescott Avenue. There were some questions as to what the next step was in this process. Residents from the block need to come to DPW Headquarters at 101 West Popular Street. They must bring proof that they reside in the permitted parking area, along with their owner's card for their vehicle and their driver's They are allowed two license. residential and one visitor permit per household. The cost for the residential is five dollars per permit and the two dollars for the visitor.

And finally the street sweeper is scheduled to be in West Scranton next week, July 28th through August 1st, from Washburn Street to Elm Street, including all streets and avenues.

And at this time I'd like to make a motion that we table Item 6A.

MR. MCGOFF: Is there a second?

There is no second to the motion.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you.

I again asked my colleagues to table

24

25

this legislation concerning the commuter tax. After the information I provided last week, it would be foolish to push this religious through City Council. We've heard from Senator Blake who explained in the paper as I did last week that it's likely legislation will be passed from the general assembly that would require Scranton to levy the proposed commuter tax against its own I will not vote to raise residents. the wage tax 33 percent on the residents of this city. At this point an increase in our wage tax would be crippling to our residents. stated last week, it's premature to entertain this commuter tax to fund the pensions until we know how negotiations are progressing between the mayor and the bargaining units or if they have begun. The mayor should sit down with the police and fire union and negotiate immediately. We need to know what savings can be found

before we shove a tax through City Council that will burden commuters and residents in order to fund the pension. I feel very strongly that we should not consider a tax increase in the absence of a full budget proposal. There are many moving pieces to every budget and those components should not be presented piecemeal to City Council. I still don't understand the rush. There are many unanswered questions, and I would hope my colleagues would make the right decision next week and vote no on this legislation. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. First of all, I neglected before, I had something that I was supposed to announce and I don't have the information with me. But the South Side Farmers' Market is open on Saturdays at the Scranton Iron Furnaces. People are encouraged to go there. There are a number of vendors with various products. I'm not sure

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what the hours of operation are but I'm pretty sure it's somewhere in the morning hours to early afternoon that it's open.

Two items that, first of all, I will address Act 205 and some of the comments that were made. As far as the idea of representation, I know people that have, you know, used the term, taxation without representation for Act 205. It should be noted Act 205 is not something that was enacted by the City of Scranton. It was voted on and signed by your representatives at the state level. Your state representatives, your state senator, your governor implemented this act. They felt that it offered a remedy to distressed cities, to distressed municipalities in dealing with their pension issues. As Mr. Rogan stated, we are simply using a tool that was given to us. It is being used and I believe somewhere around 40 municipalities throughout the

21

22

23

24

25

Commonwealth. This is not something unique to the city but simply a tool that we feel or people felt was a possible remedy. And, yes, I did state that I represented the city, the residents of the City of Scranton. That was not to denigrate anyone -any of the commuters. I understand the arguments the commuters may have. But I do not represent someone from Dickson City or Archbald or Wilkes-Barre. I represent the citizens of the City of Scranton and it was my responsibility as an elected official in the City of Scranton to represent what I feel are their best interests. And that was all I meant by that statement. It was not meant to denigrate in any way anyone from outside the City of Scranton.

As far as the other legislation at the state level, we can't wait for the state to act. We need to act on our own. We can't rely on the state to do what we think they will -- we

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't allow ourselves to think that
the state legislature will act in the
best interest of the City of Scranton.
Simply put, there is precedent that
they will not. And so I think it's
incumbent upon us to act on our own
behalf and not wait for proposed help
from the state.

As far as the payment of the Act 205 or an increase in the Act 05 or the EIT tax, it should be noted and it was a question that I asked of one of the speakers. Anyone who already pays the EIT tax in their own municipality that is equal to or getter than what the tax would be in Scranton does not pay the commuter tax, does not pay this increase. Okay? So there are a number of communities, outlying communities that are exempt. A number of commuters will be exempt from payment of this tax. So it is not every community that is going to be affected.

And as far as it being piecemeal,

24

25

that's a second thing I would like to address. We were presented a few weeks ago with the plan, with recommendations from Mr. Amoroso. The mayor sat at that table and said that this was his plan, that this was going to be his plan for recovery for the City of Scranton. He later went on or addressed the public via a news report or a press conference that this was his plan an wanted it to be referred to as his plan. What we were presented is a rather comprehensive idea or ideas for fiscal responsibility in the city. We cannot vote on this in its entirety. If we were to do that, that would be in my eyes totally irresponsible. This -that would give the administration carte blanche to implement any of these recommendations in any way they Each of the proposals in wanted. whether you want to call it the Amoroso plan or the administration plan, each of these must be dealt with

individually. That is our responsibility. Each aspect must be considered individually as its presented to council. What we have been given is the first step in this plan. It is the only thing that we are considering at this point. We can't look at every aspect of the plan at this time. We are simply dealing with the first step in implementing a -- if you want to call it a recovery plan for the City of Scranton. that's all. Thank you.

5B. FOR INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR A SECTION OF THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL FOR VARIOUS PROPERTIES ALONG NAY AUG

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5B be

25

1	introduced into its proper committee.
2	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
4	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
5	All those in favor signify by saying
6	aye.
7	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
10	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Ayes
11	have it and so move.
12	MS. REED: 5C FOR INTRODUCTION -
13	AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR
14	AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
15	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT
16	AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
17	SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE
18	VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR A SECTION OF THE
19	LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL
20	SITUATE IN SOUTH SCRANTON.
21	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
22	entertain a motion that Item 5C be
23	introduced into its proper committee.
24	MR. ROGAN: So move.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Second.

1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
2	All those in favor signify by saying
3	aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Ayes
8	have it and so move.
9	MS. REED: 5D FOR INTRODUCTION -
10	A RESOLUTION - RE-APPOINTING STEVEN
11	KOCHIS, 531 HICKORY STREET, SCRANTON,
12	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF
13	THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR
14	THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR. KOCHIS'
15	TERM EXPIRED ON JULY 16, 2014, AND HIS
16	NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 15,
17	2019.
18	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
19	entertain a motion that Item 5D be
20	introduced into its proper committee.
21	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
23	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
24	All those in favor signify by saying
25	aye.

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Ayes
5	have it and so move.
6	MS. REED: 6TH ORDER, 6A. READING
7	BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 36,
8	2014 - AN ORDINANCE – AN ORDINANCE TO
9	PROVIDE REVENUE FUNDING FOR
10	THE SEVERELY DISTRESSED PENSION(S) OF
11	THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY ADOPTING AND
12	IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL
13	SEVENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (.75%) OF A
14	PERCENT TAX UPON EARNED INCOME
15	RECEIVED AND NET PROFITS EARNED BY
16	NONRESIDENTS FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES
17	PERFORMED, BUSINESS CONDUCTED AND
18	INCOME EARNED WITHIN THE CITY OF
19	SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF
20	RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE
21	TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT
22	THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE
23	ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTING AND
24	ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; SAID
25	REVENUE TO BE SPECIFICALLY RESTRICTED

1	TO FUNDING OF THE SEVERELY DISTRESSED
2	PENSION(S) OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
3	UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL
4	PENSION PLAN FUNDING STANDARD AND
5	RECOVERY ACT, ACT 205 OF DECEMBER
6	18, 1984 (P.L.1005, NO. 205), AND ITS
7	AMENDMENTS, SPECIFICALLY 53 PA. C.S.A.
8	§895.101 ET SEQ. THIS TAX IS
9	EXPECTED TO GENERATE APPROXIMATELY
10	FIVE-MILLION (\$5,000,000.00) DOLLARS
11	IN ANNUAL REVENUE.
12	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading
13	by title of Item 6A. What is your
14	pleasure?
15	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6A
16	pass reading by title.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
18	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes, on the question.
20	Just to address a couple of the items
21	that were brought up by Councilman
22	Gaughan. At this time if this law is
23	enacted, there is no additional tax to
24	be levied on the citizens of Scranton.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: How do you know

1 that? 2 MR. ROGAN: As the state law 3 currently stands there is no 4 additional tax. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Right. But what if 6 the state law Act 47 is passed? 7 MR. ROGAN: We can't sit here and 8 say what if. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: I talked to Senator 10 Eichelberger, I talked to Senator Blake. They said this thing is going 11 12 to pass. So then what do we do? Do 13 we have to repeal it? This is what 14 I'm saying to you. There are many 15 unanswered questions. What is the 16 rush? What is the difference between 17 introducing this now and introducing 18 this around budget time? 19 MR. ROGAN: Well, your question 20 today is what is the rush but two 21 months ago you were yelling where is 22 the plan and chastising the mayor and 23 the Chamber of Commerce --24 MR. GAUGHAN: This isn't a plan. 25 This is a component of the plan. This

1	is a sliver of the plan.
2	MR. ROGAN: It's a very large
3	part of the plan. It's a five million
4	dollar part and if it doesn't pass, it
5	means more tax increases on the
6	residents of Scranton. A vote against
7	this tax is a vote for a five million
8	dollar tax increase on the residents
9	of Scranton.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: I strongly
11	disagree, strongly.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor
15	signify by saying aye?
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed?
19	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Ayes have it and so
21	moved.
22	MS. REED: 6B. READING BY TITLE -
23	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 37, 2014 - AN
24	ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY
25	OF SCRANTON ADOPTING THE 2009 EDITION

ı	UF THE INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY
2	MAINTENANCE CODE, REGULATING AND
3	GOVERNING THE CONDITIONS AND
4	MAINTENANCE OF ALL PROPERTY, BUILDINGS
5	AND STRUCTURES, BY PROVIDING THE
6	STANDARDS FOR SUPPLIED UTILITIES AND
7	FACILITIES AND OTHER PHYSICAL THINGS
8	AND CONDITIONS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE
9	THAT STRUCTURES ARE SAFE, SANITARY AND
10	FIT FOR OCCUPATION AND USE; AND THE
11	CONDEMNATION OF BUILDINGS AND
12	STRUCTURES UNFIT FOR HUMAN OCCUPANCY
13	AND USE, AND THE DEMOLITION OF SUCH
14	EXISTING STRUCTURES IN THE CITY OF
15	SCRANTON; PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE
16	OF PERMITS AND COLLECTION OF FEES
17	THEREFORE; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 2,
18	2000 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND ALL
19	OTHER ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF
20	ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT THEREWITH.
21	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading
22	by title of Item 6B. What is your
23	pleasure?
24	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6B
25	pass reading by title.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
2	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
3	All those in favor signify by saying
4	aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	MS. REED: 6C. READING BY TITLE -
11	FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 38, 2014 - AN
12	ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING
13	SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229611
14	ENTITLED "TEAMSTERS LOCAL #229
15	REPUBLIC PARKING EMPLOYEES HEALTHCARE
16	CONTRIBUTIONS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND
17	DISBURSEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS
18	RECEIVED UNDER THE CURRENT COLLECTIVE
19	BARGAINING AGREEMENT.
20	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading
21	by title of Item 6C. What is your
22	pleasure?
23	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6C
24	pass reading by title.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Second.

1	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
2	All those in favor signify by saying
3	aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Ayes
8	have it and so moved.
9	MS. REED: 7th ORDER. NO
10	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
11	MR. MCGOFF: If there's no
12	further business, I will entertain a
13	motion to adjourn.
14	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
15	MR. MCGOFF: This meeting is
16	adjourned.
17	(Proceedings concluded at 8:16
18	p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that

this copy is a correct transcript of the same to

the best of my ability.

Amelia Nicol, RPR Court Reporter