г		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, July 20, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24		
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There will be 14 a motion to move item 5-B to Sixth and Seventh Order for final vote based on the 15 16 attached emergency certificate. There will 17 be a second opportunity to speak in Seventh 18 Order prior to final passage of this piece 19 of legislation. Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 20 21 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE 22 MEMBERS OF THE SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY 23 24 HELD JUNE 5, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any

25

	· ·
1	comments? If not, received and filed.
2	MS. REED: 3-B. CHECK RECEIVED IN
3	THE AMOUNT OF \$2,262.00 FROM GOODWILL AT
4	NORTH GERALD T. LANGAN APARTMENTS (COMMUNITY
5	REALTY MANAGEMENT), WHICH IS PAYMENT IN LIEU
6	OF TAXES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
8	comments? If not, received and filed.
9	MS. REED: 3-C. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
10	FOR MONTH ENDING JUNE 30, 2017.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
12	comments? If not, received and filed.
13	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
14	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
15	HELD JUNE 21, 2017.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
17	comments? If not, received and filed.
18	MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
19	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
20	HELD JUNE 21, 2017.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
22	comments? If not, received and filed.
23	MS. REED: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
24	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JUNE
25	21, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-G. AGENDA FOR THE NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD JULY 19, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. An upcoming clean up is being planned for the two playgrounds located in Nay Aug Park.

Volunteers are needed. For more information please call Brian Fallon at the park office at Weston Field at 570-348-4186. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, I would like to welcome the Ancient Order of Hibernians and Ladies Ancient Order of Hibernians to Scranton. Their state convention will be held here this weekend. It will run from July 19, through July 22.

Also, Bethel AME Church presents
Sounds of Fellowship and Gospel Jazz
Experience July 22 from 12 to 9 and that
will be on Washington Avenue.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

I'd like to start out by asking if you could consider making a motion to suspend all of the legal work for the city from Ned

Abrahamsen and his law firm, considering I believe it's a conflict of interest in consider the fact that he is suing

Councilman Gaughan. I don't think it's appropriate that he has any more dealings as long as this is going on.

And even, otherwise, as far as the sewer deal goes, there are so many questions there. I just don't think he should be allowed to do any -- I would hope you take it into consideration and make a motion. It should be done.

Now, I have to wonder, a couple of weeks ago, many times you have asked the Attorney General to come in to investigate this sewer deal, you are talking out of both sides of your mouth, other than Mr. Gaughan. Last week you had the nerve to vote for an

ordinance for Mayor Courtright to spend more money from the sewer sale, which you know may be illegal. I even mentioned that last week. That may be illegal. The Sewer Authority is not dissolved and by law July 27, 2012, Governor Corbett said, "No money should be spent from any authority into city government expenses."

None. So there is another legality, but you want ahead and voted to approve it.

So you know what, you are as guilty as he is and someone should sue you, except for Mr.

Gaughan for doing the same thing he did, breaking the state law and breaking the city code, but you don't care. You just want to do anything that Mayor Courtright wants and, Wayne, I'm surprised at you, you are the Finance Chair. I'm just shocked at that one.

MR. EVANS: Well, it's not about

Mayor Courtright it's about what I feel is

doing the right thing.

MS. FRANUS: You think it's the right thing to break the law?

MR. EVANS: Well, that's an

interpretation. Our solicitor said that it's not against the law and the Sewer Authority spent \$3.1 million on attorneys that said it's not against the law.

MS. FRANUS: Who told you that?

MR. EVANS: They did. They spent

\$3.1 million. That's what the Sewer

Authority spent.

MS. FRANUS: I know that, but I'm saying they spent it on loans and stuff for the city. The money they got from the sewer sale, which you not supposed to do. First of all, I respect what you are saying, I'm not going to repeat it, it possibly could be illegal --

MR. EVANS: Possibly.

MS. FRANUS: Well, we are going to see, aren't we? But in the mean time you're enabling him. Now, another thing, Mayor Courtright was kind enough to give me a return call. I must admit though he hasn't called Marie Schumacher back in over a year, so I called because I wanted to know why he waived the lawyer/client privilege for Attorney Abrahamsen to get the bills for the

sewer sale but yet he didn't do it for the Parking Authority sale. Now, Todd Johns, who I believe might have been his campaign manager, the lawyer for the Parking Authority stated that they were going to have special meeting in August instead of September to waive the lawyer/client privilege. I told Mayor Courtright, the Parking Authority did not hire him, the city Cf Scranton did, Mayor Courtright did, so why would the Parking Authority have to give him a waiver? Bill Courtright said, "I don't know, that's what they told me. I have to listen to the lawyer."

Isn't that convenient? I just think it's another stall tactic just to keep this thing going and going instead of keep on spending more money, even though it might be illegal, and guess what? If they have to give this money back where are they going to get it from? You know the bank is not going to give it back. Where are they going to get the money from if they have to give it -- they'll go bankrupt.

Now, do you think that this is going

1 to go to court? 2 MR. EVANS: I can't say that, I 3 don't know if it's going to go to court or 4 not. 5 MS. FRANUS: But don't you feel any kind of worry that you could be involved in 6 7 that because you have voted for things that 8 possibly could be illegal. 9 MR. EVANS: Well, I have always been 10 a proponent of paying down the debt so --11 MS. FRANUS: Pardon me? 12 MR. EVANS: I've always been a 13 proponent of paying down the debt. 14 MS. FRANUS: Even if it's illegal? 15 MR. EVANS: Again, Fay, that's your 16 interpretation. Our solicitor told us that 17 it's not illegal, the solicitors that were 18 involved in the sewer deal told the Sewer 19 Authority Board it wasn't illegal. 20 MS. FRANUS: Don't you wonder why 21 Mayor Courtright wouldn't waive the legal 22 fees for the Parking Authority considering 23 he hired --24 MR. EVANS: There is no excuse for 25 that, I'm not go to defend that. Why would

1 I ever defend that? MS. FRANUS: You understand that? 2 3 MR. EVANS: I would never defend that why he wouldn't do it. I don't know 4 5 why he didn't do this. MS. FRANUS: Why is the Parking 6 7 Authority going to waive it? 8 MR. EVANS: I have no idea. 9 MS. FRANUS: I don't either that. 10 That makes not sense, does it? 11 Well, if you parallel MR. EVANS: 12 the parking and the sewer deal you are 13 right, it doesn't make any sense. 14 Okay, and another MS. FRANUS: thing, what occurred to get the \$200,000 and 15 16 just saying -- Mayor Courtright says to Ned Abrahamsen, "Oh, go ahead and do the work. 17 18 I'll give you \$200,000. You don't need a 19 contract and you don't have to get it 20 approved by council and you don't have put 21 it out to bid. Go ahead." 22 It's crazy. Now, I feel bad for 23 Judge Moyle because she really got the 24 goings over with Carl Greco. To me it's 25 another stall tactic. I give her credit for

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recusing herself because they really put her in a tight bind, but it was really deliberate, deliberate to stall this investigation into whether it's legal or not. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Commissioner Laureen Cummings.

MS. CUMMINGS: Good evening, gentlemen.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. CUMMINGS: Laureen Cummings, Old I want to correct some misstatements Forge. which have been repeated on more than one occasion by one or more members of the Scranton City Council concerning remarks that I made which appear recently in the Specifically, the statement Scranton Times. regarding my experience when purchasing my home at the age of 25. June 14 it was quoted in the paper, our local paper, and Mr. Rogan stated that the Scranton Times reported that when I was 25 and house hunting real estate taxes didn't cross my mind when, in fact, my actual statement, which oddly enough was printed accurately in

3

4

6

5

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Scranton Times was, "When she was house hunting as a 25 year old what her neighbors paid for real estate taxes didn't cross her mind."

Now, let me repeat that, "What her neighbors paid for real estate taxes didn't cross her mind."

I have both articles here to back me Mr. Rogan continued to reiterate this misinformation regarding my statement throughout the meeting and he has done this with other statements that I supposedly made on many occasions. Too many, in fact, discussing my short -- too many to discuss in my short five-minute time frame this evening so I decided to address this at a commissioner's meeting. I stated in the meeting that I would bring the minutes from our meeting, which I did, and if need be the video, which I can, if you would like me to, to provide accuracy for him and others that continue the constant barrage of personal attacks against this commissioner on comments that I didn't make.

I would hope fewer commentary you

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can give me a call. I'm free to --

MR. ROGAN: Commissioner Cummings --

MS. CUMMINGS: If I can continue with my five minutes I would appreciate it. To the Scranton Times, contemplating what motives council members might have in their weekly personal attacks against this commissioner are not, in my opinion, an In fact, I contemplated many accusation. reasons, including fear of my male counterparts and council members in possible attention to run for commissioner. I will. however, say that council members reactions and remarks following that article were a bit much. How does that saying go, me thinks thee doth protest too much.

In conclusion, I want to make it clear that despite some disparaging remarks which were made about me, this entire matter regarding reassessment is way too important, way, way too important to involve personalities and attacks on character. I am more than happy, gentlemen, to sit down with any member of your governing body to discuss my positions on the issue of

reassessment.

In addition, I welcome all of you to attend our meetings on Wednesdays during the evening, attend the public hearings, express your opinions when these are scheduled, and I look forward to debate. I thank you for your time and I'd be happy to take any questions.

MR. ROGAN: Just a reply regarding your quote.

MS. CUMMINGS: Do you want me to stay her?

MR. ROGAN: You can stay. It's up to the council president, but I would be fine with it. Regarding your statements, I was paraphrasing what was mentioned in the Scranton Times and when house hunting in the same neighborhood looking at the difference in taxes between houses is comparing your neighbor's taxes.

 ${\tt MS. CUMMINGS: I'm sorry?}$ 

MR. ROGAN: Looking at property taxes in competing properties in the same neighborhood is comparing it with the neighbors. For instance --

1 MS. CUMMINGS: But that's not the 2 way you framed it. 3 MR. ROGAN: It's exactly how I framed it. 4 5 MS. CUMMINGS: No, it's not. I have it right here. 6 In the homes in Old 7 MR. ROGAN: 8 Forge you were looking at, the tax was 9 different on each one. It comes across 10 people's mind. I see it every day. That being said, myself or anyone up 11 12 here has not leveled a single personally attack against you. We have differed on 13 14 issues. There's a big difference on the The only personal attack that was 15 issue. 16 levied was the one you levied against myself 17 and Councilman Evans saying that our 18 comments --19 MS. CUMMINGS: It was not an attack. 20 MR. ROGAN: -- attacked you with 21 sex, which it did not. It had to do --22 MS. CUMMINGS: That wasn't an 23 attack. 24 MR. ROGAN: It had to do with the 25 issue. Well, it certainly was is an attack.

1 MR. CUMMINGS: Well, that's what the 2 paper wrote because that's sensationalizing 3 what was said, so --4 MR. ROGAN: Well, we can agree on our differences from the Scranton Times but 5 the only difference that I have with you is 6 7 on your position. It's not with you. 8 MS. CUMMINGS: That's --9 MR. ROGAN: It's not with anything 10 else. 11 MS. CUMMINGS: I'm glad to hear 12 that. MR. ROGAN: We have a difference of 13 14 opinion, actually Councilman Evans and I 15 have a difference of opinion regarding the 16 I support the vote. I think you did 17 the right thing regarding --18 MS. CUMMINGS: I saw that. 19 MR. ROGAN: -- giving it to the 20 taxpayers for a vote. 21 MS. CUMMINGS: I appreciate that 22 comment. 23 MR. ROGAN: But that being said, we 24 do have a big difference of opinion and how 25 it affects the residents. I'm looking

forward to debating the issue from now until November and the people will have the last say at the ballot box.

MS. CUMMINGS: I would ask --

MR. WECHSLER: You are okay.

MR. EVANS: You have one minute.

MS. CUMMINGS: I would ask in the article from the Scranton Times, maybe they misquoted it, but they didn't misquote it because I have your statement here, "Anyone who has purchased a property or is looking no purchase a home real estate taxes certainly have to cross your mind."

And, again, that wasn't what I had stated. I was talking about the facts, and if you go back into my commentary, which if you would like me to I can, I mean, it's very brief if you want me to go on I can tell you exactly what my wording was, I was saying I didn't look across the street at my neighbor's taxes prior to purchasing my home because that's just pitting -- I feel that that's pitting neighbor against neighbor and I don't like that. I think there is a better solution and I think you are in

23

24

25

agreement with that as well for House Bill 76, from my reading on your background, so there is another issue that we do agree on, but I would hope that in the future that this can end the Scranton Times debacle between the council and myself because I really think it's very important for us to be able to have a conversation and get along without having any kind of disparaging remarks, and I make this promise to you that as long as I'm not phone call at 9:00 after your meeting telling me about all of these commentary that you are making, I won't be back again to address it either, so I hope that we can have that comradery between us and nix this so that we can -- thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you for coming, Commissioner. Actually, we do look forward to being part of the debate to get the information out there so the citizenry can make the right decision at the ballot box on November.

MS. CUMMINGS: I look forward to it.

Thank you. Have a good evening.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Bob

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. Bob Bolus. I will say one thing I thing, I think it was very disrespectful to the commissioner to have that bell ringing while she was giving the courtesy of speaking here. We know as speakers we have to play that game, but to a public official, especially one of our commissioners, I think it was very disrespectful and I think, Mr. President, you should have stopped the bell ringing and let her speak. She was here informative for each and every one of us in here, just not council, and I think that was out of order to do and I think she actually deserved an apology. I don't think that would happen if you guys were speaking at the Commissioner's meeting and I have been there many times, and you're respected, everybody that comes to this lectern is Respected over their and not respected. play with that bell.

You know, she is talking about taxes, nobody knows more about what's going on in this city, the unfairness that goes

on, I bought the Holy Cross church to save it so the kids and everybody could stay playing, yet nobody in here fought or raised an issue why I got assessed at a half a million dollars on a church that was only worth a dollar to the city and \$2 to a judge who was buying the hall and had to be executed, I paid 35,000 to preserve our culture. Yet, nobody stood up and said anything about it, yet nobody said thanks for letting the kids play for free at my expense and that's what this is it all about. So is there a fairness in what we do in this county? Hell no.

One of the things, and I'm going to change a little the format tonight, I'm going to make this is question and answer deal.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Bolus, this is your time to comment.

MR. BOLUS: Let me finish,

Mr. Wechsler. I'm asking a question --

MR. WECHSLER: You can all the questions --

MR. BOLUS: It's your

1 responsibility --2 MR. WECHSLER: It's your comment 3 period. MR. BOLUS: Your responsibility is 4 5 to keep quiet while I'm speaking --MR. WECHSLER: No, it's not. 6 7 MR. BOLUS: And your responsibility 8 and that of the commissioners are --9 MR. WECHSLER: Go ahead, Mr. Bolus. 10 MR. BOLUS: Wait, are to answer our 11 questions. 12 MR. WECHSLER: It's not a question 13 and answer period, it's comment period. 14 MR. BOLUS: Well, I'm going to stand and I'm going to put a question to you 15 16 because it's like talking to the deer in the 17 headlights with you guys. 18 MR. WECHSLER: You can ask all of 19 questions you like. MR. BOLUS: When it came to the 20 21 Sewer Authority money that I raised you 22 people took the money and you squandered it 23 and spent it while the Sewer Authority is 24 still active. It's illegal to do what you 25 authorized to be done. Allowing the Sewer

Authority money to be spent on anything other than what the Sewer Authority's own projects are. Now, that Sewer Authority was disbanded. You people signed off and the recovery plan, you allowed disbursement of the money and you are all accountable.

Now, I raised this question before, I'm going to wait for two weeks and I talked to intelligent people that respond. They're commissioners in Florida because they want to know what's going on, they want to have a dialogue with people who come here, not a bunch of mutes to sit here and take insults. Now, my question to you, have any of you gone to have the money refunded or made our solicitor file in court to have every penny returned back to the Sewer Authority until you guys and the mayor and everybody else involved, including Dunmore, do one thing and do it legally which you have not done?

And if that answer is not forthcoming, I will assure you I will take your butts to Court and you will be held accountable and yeah, Mr. Wechsler, you will answer the questions then whether you like

it or not. That's where I'm coming from so we understand each other once and for all.

Mr. Gaughan, are we paying your legal fees?

MR. GAUGHAN: That I don't know.

MR. BOLUS: Well, I'd like to know because you made statements --

MR. GAUGHAN: You can ask Solicitor Minora. I'm not sure.

MR. BOLUS: Well, I'm asking you --

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, the complaint hasn't been filed yet so I don't know exactly.

MR. BOLUS: Well, listen, ou wanted transparency, you wanted all of this nonsense, you signed off on the recovery plan, you committed to a sale without knowing what you are selling or what you are reading and you signed off. Now you make issue about legal fees and made statements, did you do it on behalf the council? Did you do it on behalf of us? You sure as hell didn't do it on behalf of me. Are you paying your own legal fees? Because I don't believe we should be held accountable to pay

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your fees for challenging Ned Abrahamsen now when you signed off on a recovery plan and accepted whatever was going to happen, and that's everybody, okay?

When the mayor had his argument, when he had his hearing, you are the only one that spoke. Everybody else stood silent. Yet, you didn't go up and ask questions, you didn't try and get answers. That's not how you run a government. That's not how you take care of the citizens. need, and everyone else, need to be held accountable. You will return the money, you will be held accountable because you screwed You didn't seek the proper legal advice up. you should have done before you signed one single document allowing it to happen. Sewer Authority did an illegal -- and guess what, the multimillion lawyers that took all of the money, they broke the law. allowed something to happen that they do or should have known was illegal to do unless that Sewer Authority was disbanded and accountable is going right across the board.

So unless you can give me an answer,

Mr. Wechsler, tonight whether or not you
intend to pursue to recapture the money I
need simple yes of more.
MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
MR. BOLUS: That's not what I asked.
MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus,
your time is up.
MR. BOLUS: Well, Mr. Wechsler, one
thing I am going to tell you here and now
MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.
MR. BOLUS: as a public record and
as a taxpayer in this city you will be held
accountable since you don't have what it
takes to answer citizen's question.
MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.
MR. BOLUS: Don't thank you today,
you will thank me later on
MR. WECHSLER: John Foley.
MR. BOLUS: when you have to pay
with money out of your pocket
MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Bolus
MR. BOLUS: and pay for your
stupidity. Thank you.
MR. WECHSLER: John Foley.
MR. FOLEY: Good evening, gentlemen.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Little hot in there,

MR. FOLEY: I had not anticipated being here tonight for a couple of reasons, but the article in the paper that Mr. Gaughan was going to be sued by a Scranton attorney for making demands for information in connection with his responsibility as a city councilmen did catch my attention. It would seem that if it was in the course of his work in representing the city as councilmen that the city should cover all of his legal fees. would expect that if you haven't gotten it already that you seek a written opinion from your solicitor. I believe that it was in conjunction with city business, demanding of the information, that the lawyer may not have -- the case may not have any merit.

But if the city is covering it, I find it problematic if the lawyer is still doing work for the city. There may be an ethical issue here and it would seem that if the lawyer that is suing the city through council that the lawyer should recuse

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

himself from all work until it's completed.

Now, with that said, I have another issue. I'm not finished with it but hopefully within the next week I'll find time to get to it. I'm still a member of the Pennsylvania Institute of Certified Public Accountants, retired, non-practicing, but I did have an opportunity to take a look at the 2016 city financial statements, and after reviewing the financial statements I find some things disturbing in there. Let's see, in no particular order, that the disclosure on the trash refuse fee litigation is virtually silent, it may be in one item, but it that's it I find the disclosure wanton. I laid the issue out to the city administrator, business administrator, several months ago. I have drafted a letter through the city's outside auditors going through my analysis of the issue and hopefully they'll see where I'm coming from and that it has not been disclosed in the financial statements, or if it was never disclosed to the outside auditors with that means that they would

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to do something retroactively.

Now, there are other issues. long as I decided to send a letter to the outside auditors, there are material weaknesses in internal county controls that I have identified through the other work I have done. I have not seen, although, I am trying to get a copy of the auditor's management letter to see if what I have found they found, but I'm also going to put that in the letter because I believe that some of these things are problematic. example, there is one individual that is responsible for accepting information, recording the information, recording it, billing it, and collecting it. A single individual. That is a material weakness in internal accounting control. I will, of course, copy all of you in that letter.

All of operating expenses of the city may not be in the 2016 financial statements, very specifically, I followed with some interest the dialogue back and forth in the paper between the mayor, Shrive, and others as to who was responsible

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the legal feels, very specifically, the Abrahamsen fee. What I have found that those legal fees are recorded on the books of the Sewer Authority which would lead me to believe they are not recorded on the city's books, although, Shrive has suggested they are the city's responsibility and if that's the case then I know that there is potentiality that the operating expenses in the financial statements are short by at least \$200,000. For all I know, there may be other professional fees that were paid by the Sewer Authority and that are on the Sewer Authority's books but rightfully belong on the city's books. Now, I did not want to go through that today because this just a head's up I will be corresponding with the city's outside auditors. They may well have to come back.

One last thing, I truly believe that the outside auditors should meet with city council to review the financial statements in draft form before they are released. Not just the mayor, but also city council. That way if there was any questions that you have

of the outside auditors that will give you the opportunity in that setting to ask for it. I don't believe you have gotten them in the past, but I would suggest to you, you ought to demand to get it in the future. You are entitled to the information. With that, I'm going back to Moosic Lake.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN:

MR. WECHSLER: Gerard Hetman.

Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County
Commissioner's Office. To begin this
evening, we have two posters that you may
have seen around town or will be shortly for
two upcoming events and these are really
great events in their own right. They could
also lead hopefully to some bigger and
better things in the years ahead.

The first is for the revived

Northeast Pennsylvania Air Show at the

Wilkes-Barre/Scranton International Airport.

That's Saturday and Sunday, August 12th on

13th, and if you are fan of military

aviation, as I am, you will see there is

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some really neat acts out there. The

Devil's teams for the F-410 and the F-22

Raptor and the Army Golden Knights parachute team.

So please come out. It's a great It looks like two days and there is lots of neat static displays. I know there are performing acts that aren't listed on the poster. And we'll keep -- the last thing about the air show and how can we build it and grow it, my understanding of the air show business the better we make this event, which will be the first one in 17 years, you can tell my age now because I remember the last air show and the last several, but the bigger and better we make this the better chances we get to get not just these acts back, but other quality acts, including maybe some of the big jet devil teams from the Air Force and some more -- or militaries that we may see line for future air shows, so let's make it a great time.

On that not, September 19, which I think is a Tuesday, the Gildan AAA National

25

Championship game at PNC field. A lot of you will remember this from last year from when the Railroads played in this game, and I believe it was held in Memphis, I think it was the Cardinal's affiliate that hosted that game and the Railriders beat the El Paso Chihuahuas to win the national title. Hopefully the Railriders will be playing in it again this year representing nationally league, but even if they are not the game will be still be held at PNC Field. This is important. As some you may have seen these comments from some folks associated with the Railriders that the better we do with this game in terms of attendance, local participation, the better that bodes for hopefully PNC Field getting the AAA All Star game back in the future, and I remember watching the All Star game on TV, but I lot of you may have been there and seeing the young Derrick Jeter play in the Columbus Clippers in that game, so you never know who is going to be here and hopefully we can get an All Star came in the future, this would go a long way having to put an event in the

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AAA national championship, of course, hopefully make this successful for the All Star game in the future years, so two great events coming up to wrap up the summer.

Let's have a good time at both of them, we've seen them here before, hopefully we'll have a good turn out and do some good things not just now, but for the future.

A couple of other county events coming up over the summer, most of these should seem familiar. Our three-on-three basketball tournament set for Friday and Saturday, July 28th and 29th. If either of those days has inclement weather we'll just push the tournament back and we'll use Sunday, July 30, as an extra day. It's \$90 to register per team. Teams have a minimum of three players, maximum of five, and we have teams from third grade up to the adults open division, so all ages and all basketball skills are welcome in the tournament. It's held again this year and this is our sixth year in a row on the 100 and 200 blocks of Wyoming Avenue. appreciate everyone that makes that happen

from Scranton Police to the downtown residences and business community. We really get a great -- a out of feedback from people that played in and watched it that like it downtown, so we appreciate everyone that puts up with us and cooperates with us to make the event a success. To register, just call 570-963-6743, extension 1874, that's my co-worker Rick Notari, he'll be happy to take care of you.

We also have two Art in the Park days still remaining tease. These are free art activities for children and accompanying adults. They're from 10 a.m. to noon on Tuesday, July 25 at Aylesworth Park, and Tuesday, August 1, at McDade Park. Good time, music, refreshments, a great way to spend some time with children that you may be babysitting, grandchildren, etcetera, etcetera, so come out and take out our parks for that.

And, also, two family fun days remaining that we have. Those kind of are open house at the park. We have refreshments, face painting, a little bit of

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

music, it's for all ages though so Saturday, July 22, Covington Park and Saturday, August 4, at Ayelsworth Park. 11 a.m. to 2 p.m. each day for both the family fun days.

So lots of good stuff to close out the summer. Are there any questions or feedback from council? Great. Thank you, Folks.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Kara Seitzinger.

MS. SEITZINGER: Good evening, Kara Seitzinger, City of Scranton Council. resident. Scranton was not at it's finest this past week as has been well reported. We saw back and forth exchanges between the newspaper, city officials and others. saw a neighborhood group once again continue their fight to have a settlement agreement which became law back in 1990 enforced by a judge because that very power rests only in the hands of the judiciary, not the SSA, Pennsylvania American Water Company or any one individual, city official, or their various attorneys.

We saw a Common Pleas Court judge

display enormous restraint by recusing herself following an ex parte communication that a local attorney, who by his own admission initiated that communication in an 11th hour plea to postpone the inevitable.

And, finally, the most concerning we watched one of your colleagues, a lawmaker, be threatened with a lawsuit by one of the very lawyers that this body and administration have hired and authorized payments to for countless legal matters.

There is a common tread in all of these matters, each person involved has had direct dealings in the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority and that should concern each of you. Attorney Grecco and Abrahamsen's involvement in the above matters is well-known and most cases documented in some form. Attorney Abrahamsen was also the attorney who represented Keystone Landfill when they first sued the City of Scranton back in the mid-1980's and the then mayor refused to allow leachate to run through our neighborhood and the city.

We speak of transparency and each of

you has publically vowed at various times to be transparent. Their action of attempting to stifle you as law makers usurps your duty as councilmen. Elected officials are entrusted to question, scrutinize, represent and protect the public's interest. That is simply good government and it is why you sacrifice so much of your lives to be on that dais.

Association asked Councilman Gaughan to research the facts and to vote his conscience on matters relative to leachate, and more importantly, the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority, the city's very last asset. He has not failed us. Not once.

It is our plea today that you stand in solidarity with him and you support him as we do. If you do not do that, you just may be another victim of a baseless allegation or worse yet you may lose your ability to effectively represent your constituents. It is simply not right for you or for one attorney to cripple your

1

ability to legislate as you see fit, and you are entitled to do so by virtue of your election to this office. Again, we ask that you protect your rights as an elected officials and you stand in support of Councilman Gaughan. Thank you. Joan Hodowanitz.

city resident and taxpayer. Smile, gentlemen, one more week until recess. Going back to the 2016 independent audit, it was not listed in Third Order last week's agenda nor is it listed Third Order in this week's agenda. I do know that the city clerk received it on July 12, so could we get that picked up on Third Order, the listing of reports received?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I'm not sure

MS. HODOWANITZ: It's been on prior

MR. WECHSLER: The audit goes in on reports received. I don't think it has

> Okay. It's also

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not on the city's website I would like to see that posted, and I remind you that you have to put out your summary in the newspaper.

But I agree with Mr. Foley, it's very disturbing that such an important annual document, which is an indicator both to governing officials and to the citizens of the financial health or lack thereof of the city is downplayed, okay? This is something that should be discussed, that people should look forward to seeing getting released, should be able to ask questions. I am still waiting for the business administrator to answer my questions on the 2015 report, which I hand carried a letter to his office on March 18, 2016. Now, is that anyway to treat a taxpayer? Okay. they really afraid of a little old lady like The mayor won't give me an appointment, me? the only time he has ever answered a question is at a town hall meeting, I have been trying for a whole year to see him, the business administrator won't answer my questions on the 2015 audit, is it worth my

1 while to send him a list of questions on the 2016 audit? I don't think so. 2 3 But moving on, have we heard anything from the Attorney General's Office 4 5 about your request to have him look into the sewer sale transaction? 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: No, I'm going to 8 follow-up with him next week. He asked me 9 to give him a few weeks, a few weeks are up. 10 It's about three weeks since I last spoke to 11 That's what the Attorney General 12 represented. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: How about rebidding 14 the RFP for the third party administrator for the pension funds? 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: We were informed 17 tonight that is going to start again. 18 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. How about the Knowel's insurance list? 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: Nothing from them. 21 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. Again, you 22 know, I mean, what is our money, Monopoly 23 money? 24 MR. ROGAN: I believe there was a 25 memo, and I didn't read it at length, I

believe there was a memo that came from Mr.
Bulzoni regarding the insurance list.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay.

MR. ROGAN: I'll report on it under motions.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Going back to the audit, I saw in the June controller's report that Rainey & Rainey was paid \$7,817.50 in the month of June, my question is this is the third year that we have used them to help prepare for the annual audit, when is the Business Administrator's Office going to take off the training wheels? If they haven't learned how to prepare for an audit in three years you think they are going to learn the fourth year? How long are we going to extend that contract? I think, you know, we need to take a hard look at that.

And Mr. Foley's comments about the shortfalls in the audit are very valid, and he did not have time in five minutes to give you a laundry list. There was no part in that document that addressed internal controls, and he is right. Look at the litigation, the summary of litigation

expense that they published in there. There is nothing addressing the rental registration lawsuit, like it's nothing, or how about the garbage fee or any of the others that date back to 2016?

I mean, there are a lot of serious questions. The public when you address your questions to Mr. Bulzoni, as it says to do so in the document which they cut and past every year, it's ignored. They wouldn't even grace you with a phone call or an appointment. If this isn't a red flag to you I don't know what is.

Okay, rental registration. I live in the Forum which has 80 apartments, and at least 39 of those apartments the tax bill is sent not to the apartment to a different address. Only six of those are empty or the owner is deceased so the 33 that are in question as rental income properties, how do I get ahold of Mr. Moran to give them this information?

MR. EVANS: I would think you can call Mrs. Reed and we'll get a number for you.

. 

MS. HODOWANITZ: How?

MR. EVANS: Mrs. Reed and we'll get a number for you. I have his number if you want it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Every person that fails to pay their taxes or fees leaves a burden on me.

MR. EVANS: He'll be very happy to hear from you.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I've had it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Before you sit down to answer your question, I know I did see it and so this actually just came through today at 2:30 regarding the insurance review and this is from a representative at Knowel's Associates, it says, "Dave, we are working it now. We will send you a revised property schedule by the end of the week. We are also working on the umbrella and cyber quotes and get back to you when we have the numbers from the company."

And there is actually some other issues with excess workers' comp that they get into as well so they are reviewing a

number of items.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I hope that it's going to be released to the public.

MR. ROGAN: Everything we get we will certainly pass along.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Relax, there is no anti-council rhetoric tonight, but I would like to talk about this outrageous obscenity. This is nothing but preelection propaganda at the taxpayers' expense and anyone can see through it. This is disgusting. All you got -- year after year we don't get one until election time. It's -- it's -- I'd like to tear it up in pieces and throw them on the floor. That's what I think of it.

As I've said a couple of times in here I'm a deplorable dyslexic I guess and I get up here sometimes and I get so infuriated at what's going on in this city with no leadership that my notes they are backwards and sideways and upside down and inside out and it takes me couple of seconds

4

5

1

6

8

9

7

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

to look there and get my little pea brain going for what I want to say, so when I hesitate I hope you all will give me a little latitude. In fact, right now I think I have a dyslexic attack coming on just thinking of Amoroso and Bulzoni give me one. Thinking of you guys giving Lackawanna College that gives me one, but that's in the past and I don't dwell that much on it.

But yesterday reading in the paper about some double dealing hypocritical ambulance chaser wanting to sue our councilman that's just going too far. like to know where he thinks he could find a jury that would listen to him. He is trying to turn facts and truths around to suit himself. He's using that as an excuse for verbal contracts for a multi-million dollars deal. This is terrible what's going on in this city. I hear from my son that has a computer and listens, well, he reads what's going on, but I'd like to say if this suit is pursued as a taxpayer I demand that this council's -- I don't know how they -- but I demand our attorney bill be paid by this

city. These statements that were made were in defense of the city and I think we all are responsible for the consequences of them. Mr. Doherty used to have the city pay for one lawsuit after another, the city should have to pay for this if it proceeds and it's something that mayor downstairs could put an end to it because it's just like suing ourselves over all of this nonsense.

I guess the people lost and all of these attorneys that was just a God send. It's just something that come true for them, millions of dollars one after another just it just senseless where this money went and nothing except talk, talk, talk being done about it. I think -- I think Councilman Bill has more integrity in the tip of his little finger than all of these attorneys that participated in this shame against the city have in their whole bodies put together. To me, there is some people writing about retirement funds they ought to be in jail instead of what went on.

I have talked -- like I said,

professional people saying you don't have verbal contracts except maybe when you go to Judge Judy or something about an apartment.

Million dollar deal and excuses for all of the problems that involved in it is because of verbal contracts. Thank you for allowing me my few minutes up here.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I certainly hope, I know some of you that support the mayor, but I certainly hope we have a new mayor whoever runs and a new administration instead of these two hacks that have collusion with the Lackawanna College.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Lenny Srebro.

MR. SREBRO: Lenny Srebro, Scranton resident. I'd like to give Mr. Perry a picture and if you would pass it down, that's the storm that hit the neighborhood at 5:00 tonight.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, it's still -- Mr.

Srebro -- I'll explain what I'm looking at, 1 it's just where his property line runs back 2 3 and it has that big low lying area. is a drainage -- 36-inch drainage pipe that 4 5 obviously we are all well aware of and it's almost at the top of it, and that was 6 installed by, you know, a former resident of 7 8 the area just as a last ditch effort to 9 drain the water. As you can see in the 10 picture, it's not. It's not moving any 11 water and this issue that you have --12 MR. SREBRO: As a matter of fact, 13 it's pushing it back out. It's actually 14 pushing the opposite way. MR. PERRY: I remember when we were 15 16 on the scene --17 MR. SREBRO: -- when the creek fill 18 sup --19 MR. PERRY: -- and the pitch has that kind of --20 21 MR. SREBRO: Okay, I appreciate your 22 help, Mr. Perry. You know, you and 23 Mr. Gaughan just seem to me that you are the 24 only people that are interested in the 25 problem in the Keyser Valley. I never hear

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any comments from anybody else. They never ask me any questions, so kudos to you two guys.

MR. PERRY: Thank you, but to be fair we do bounce ideas off each other and we do talk about this issue, even though the might not be asking you.

MR. SREBRO: Okay. You know, just like the last gentleman that was here, I call him -- I wrote down in my notes the hippie, that's the best I can -- but I'm the same as him. I'm the exact same. You know. I have so many things to say and so many thoughts and I get confused and I don't know where the heck I'm at, and also I'll tell you what, after tonight's storm at 5:00, you know, the whole neighborhood is flooded. this camera is really about ten pictures of the manholes coming up, I'm telling you, the whole neighborhood they just did paving today, you know, blacktopping where they put in all new gas mains and it's all washed The people from the construction company, you know, I was speaking to a supervisor and one of the managers,

whatever, he was riding around. He couldn't believe the flooding problems just washed all of their pavement, their blacktopping away from -- they are going to do it all again tomorrow.

The point is, is this was a 20-minute storm. Can you imagine what I go through when it's a one or two-day rain? It's unbelievable. Mr. Mayor, if you are watching, if you want to be reelected I'm telling I can't you got a huge neighborhood of people, by the grace of God please help us. It's unbelievable what's there.

Mr. Bolus, I'm telling you, I'm as angry as him, but I don't show it. I don't understand who is in charge of right now with them paving and ripping up the roads, about roughly saying 15 square blocks. Why aren't they doing something with the storm drains right now while they are the roads ripped up and doing all of this construction and then they got a pave it after they are done, but then again it seems like the right process for the city is to pave and then rip up the roads a couple of months later and do

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

\_ .

21

22

23

24

25

some other kind of project.

So right now it just seems so smart to try and do something with these storm I'm telling you, they were all like drains. gushers, you know, just tonight I should have been home pumping out my garage and my cellar again like all of the neighbors are doing right now. I'm telling you, I rode around the neighborhoods and everybody can't believe that all of this construction is washed away. Roads are all ripped apart and this is just the 20 minute storm. Why can't something be done? All it is, you know, somebody looks into it and then it's all What did we come up with, Mr. Perry aone. for a flushing the rest of that drain, anything?

MR. PERRY: Our letter was sent out this Friday and I haven't got a response back.

MR. SREBRO: Please give me one minute. See, I'm just like the hippie. So when they are all done what roads, I would like to know what roads will be paved and when? So the construction company I see

they are almost done putting in all of the gas mains and they are just about done and leaving there and now I wonder when they are going to be back or if another contractor is going to pave the roads. I can't seem to get any solid answers from all of these supervisors and workers that are there. You know, it's all up in the air. I'm sure somebody's got to be able to know when the roads are going to be paved and if they are going to be paved or if they are going to be left like all of this patching that they have done. Thanks for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: Andrea Skill.

MS. SKILL: Good evening. Andrea
Skill, homeowner, taxpayer, resident all my
life in the City of Scranton. There have
been so many times I thought about coming
here to speak and never did. The reason why
I'm here tonight is because of the article
in the yesterday's paper of the Scranton
Times. I was totally appalled when I saw
the front page stating, "Lawyer targets
official." The law firm Abrahamsen, Conaboy
and Abrahamsen is suing Councilman Gaughan

for slander. Really?

Let me see if I understand this right. Mr. Gaughan requested the bill for the sale of the Sewer Authority.

Mr. Abrahamsen obviously got angry he had to produce the invoices of services rendered so now he wants to sue him. If Mr. Abrahamsen had produced the invoice in the beginning none of this would be happening. Obviously, Mr. Abrahamsen must be feeling guilty stow he wants to divert from the attention of all of the money he made on this deal.

It also said the other four members of council had no comment. I thought the city council was a team and not one against four. Mr. Gaughan, I want to applaud you for standing your ground for the taxpayers of this city, not just now but always.

And one more thing other than that concerning our property taxes and city and school taxes, I would like to know why homeowners are the only people that are burdened by the city and school taxes. I personally never had children and have always paid the city and school taxes. Why

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't everyone pay? The renters are usually the ones with all of the kids and they never pay any school taxes. Is this Everyone should be trusted -- I'm fair? sorry. Everyone should be treated equal and pay their fair share but then you will say how can we track down the renters to send them a bill? Maybe you can hire an outside firm to handle this. I can go on and on, but I'll stop here. I don't want to take any more of your time. Maybe, just maybe, I'll be back. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Kyle Donahue.

MR. DONAHUE: Good evening, Council members. Kyle Donahue, candidate for city council. Ned Abrahamsen's attempt to silent Bill Gaughan on this council with a threat of a frivolous lawsuit is mind boggling. This attack is by the Courtright administration and Mr. Abrahamsen against this body's legislative function of checks and balances. The concept of checks and balances is the cornerstone of our democracy. It is council's job to question the actions of the legislative branch.

Tonight I am here to call on Mayor Courtright to urge Mr. Abrahamsen to drop this outrageous lawsuit and to encourage the administration to turn over all of the information that has been requested by council members immediately, specifically the 12 million that the Authority spent on federally mandated projects. After seven months, the citizens of Scranton deserve to see how these funds were allocated. Provide the invoices. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. First tonight, since there is only one week left before your five-week vacation, I'd like to question the double pension. It was almost a month ago that Councilman Rogan said, "I look forward to reviewing the documents and to looking into if council should hold hearings based on the findings. It's possible we could use it to issue subpoenas to key people involved in the scandal."

When is that -- are we going to get a report on that.

1 MR. ROGAN: We still haven't 2 received the documents yet. I know Attorney 3 Minora has been --MS. SCHUMACHER: Who is following up 4 5 on it? MR. ROGAN: Attorney Minora has been 6 going back and forth with the state police 7 8 regarding the agreement to release the 9 documents to us, but none of us have seen 10 them yet. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Do you have an ECD 12 for when they are going to arrive? 13 MR. ROGAN: Attorney Minora? 14 Myself and the council MR. MINORA: for the Pennsylvania State Police agreed to 15 16 -- he agreed to allow us to review the 17 investigative reports subject to, you know, the --18 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: But when, yeah. 20 MR. MINORA: He then told me he 21 would be unavailable to prepare an order for 22 the Court to sign for several weeks and that 23 on his return to the office he would begin 24 that work and he and I would review it 25 before submitting to the Court for signature

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so we don't have a Court order yet. That's what's holding it up.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Eating up most of my time. Okay, 400 block of Clay, will the perpetrators be sued for the cost if they are found guilty? Yes or no? Whoever has got that committee? Who has got police and fire?

MR. PERRY: I'm not sure, but I'll get an answer for you by next week.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Now, the exit plan, I would like to ask, because it's very important, last week I asked Mr. Evans a question that is the first non-compliance with one of the -approximately 65 recommendations of PEL in the exit plan, I would seriously like next week for you people to start early or take an extra hour to read through every one of those recommendations and then tell we, the people, which ones you are going to ignore and which ones you are going to pursue because that's what we ought to judge that on, and I don't think it looks very helpful for most of them or does it look helpful for

our taxes because if that's what accomplishing those recommendations are based on the -- how they are going to effect the budget and if you are not going to stick to them and enforce them then the whole budget process is gone and it is we, the people, who are once again going to be able to reach in our pocketbooks and start paying again and I think we deserve to know before you go on your break which of those recommendations you as individual council people are going to support.

So please consider that, and it wouldn't take very long to read them through and just do like you do in Seventh Order, bing, bing, bing. I'll bring the scorecard and pin that on my wall. I think that is it extremely, extremely important.

Now, next, the paving, my little buddy and I went for a walk yesterday down a different street once again another that was paved last year and it's totally marked up, and not only is it totally marked up but we were also walking on that same street last year or the year before when they were

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

digging up make a patch and it was PAWC and they took out a beautiful piece of concrete and slapped, and I mean slapped, some madam and I said, "Wait a minute, you guys are replacing --" "Oh, we'll be back to fix it."

Not only have they not been back, I mean, it's not my property, but if the owner is happy with it, but to take out somebody's cement that somebody has paid for and then replace it with bumpy old just not even rolled sidewalk, it's terrible. And I noticed that I believe it was this week or very soon that the contract or the bids are due in on this years and I really think we need a new process. We need to get affidavits from each of the utilities before that list is put out to bid that they are not planning any utility work. I would really like somebody to take mand I'll only take a minute on this, last years list of the street that were paved, ask DPW to go out and see which of them have been dug up or are marked for being dug up because I think it's a pretty high percentage and I

don't want to see that happen again, and I appreciate your consideration on my request tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxpayer. On our lawsuit announced yesterday, we need

Mr. Abrahamsen to drop it without prejudice. He is the one that failed to come up with the records for how long and things get a little shrill sometimes for -- mostly from the audience, but also from council, but sometimes that's the only way people hear you, so please stop harassing our local watch dogs.

I just thought I'd bring this up tonight, there hasn't been any Saturday or Sunday excursions outside of the rail yard at Steamtown and I wouldn't bother with the Park Service so much as I would talk to the administration, they have an administrator. The employees aren't at liberty to discuss park business with the public. So last year we seen -- received an announcement on how much it generated economic activity and so

forth and this year there hasn't been one excursion out of the Steamtown yard and, of course, once again they're a tax exempt entity so I do love Steamtown myself, but tax exempts are increasing which brings me back to my -- one my weekly comments.

They are increasing. It's time we get to Court. There is too many and the state and the county and I had hoped that somebody was here to hear this, want to compensate us for them that would be great. I don't care if 80 percent of the town becomes tax exempt, but I can't pay for 80 percent of the town to be tax exempt, so I don't know what to do about it, but we should be exploring Federal Court because we have to bypass the parties that are responsible.

And I also agree with recent speaker about other money that was never -- we were never compensated for with the expansion of the upgrades and stuff on the sewer. They got both quite a deal and as far as I remember three councilmen voted against it and one, unfortunately, didn't even make it

against them dissolving or selling the authority at the December meeting. And, unfortunately, didn't get voted for reelection -- or a reelection run.

And this rental registration business, I didn't see -- I get a little fascinated with some thousand horsepower plus cars last Sunday and I didn't get to see the Sunday night and then I forgot the council at 2:30 Monday and I hear there were some comments after I left on rental registration and then so forth and we really have to hunt these people down and we have to make sure they are paying their trash fees. We can't afford to carry out-of-town landlords and whatever, and pay to throw is not going to work. People just won't pay it, they will throw it and we'll have a messy town.

I rode bike a lot along the old Erie line along East Mountain and above the bridge going over 307 huge piles of shingles, construction debris. I seen a guy in a pickup truck driving with king size mattress on the back, now, what was he doing

back, that was back going south and it's an excellent bike trail so riding the bicycle, and you don't have to worry about too many cars, but the point being that after that I started carrying a pencil and paper so I could record their license, but unfortunately, I have a problem here I can't do anything this summer. I haven't exercised at all.

And, don't forget, if you not happy with your candidates, Dubchef for dictator, and by the way, I'm not a hippie, I'm a Anthracite Hillbilly, dang it.

MS. JEFFERIES: Good evening,

Council. My name is Norma Jefferies, and

I'd like to say recently that I'm a longtime

resident, native Scrantonian and I'm a

taxpayer as well.

And I want begin tonight by thanking council for the opportunity to renew and to refresh my mind on the city government process. It has been a long time since I had my civic classes in high school, so over the past few months that I have been attending council meetings I have heard some

good things and I have heard some not so good things, not only from council members, but also from those citizens who take their time to investigate what concerns them and to bring it here and to voice their concerns, so I thank them as well for their time that they put into the issues that they are concerned with. If we were all on the same page with the various issues, how boring would life be? But as we listen to each other we have to again remember that we should respect each other's point of view.

So now for my concern, as I was here a few months ago and I gave my spreadsheet on these street signs, I have not seen any activity at all on the street signs. I know that it was turned down by the mayor, and if the mayor is listening tonight or if one of his staff members hears my plea, maybe I need to make a call to the Mayor of Scranton, but I recently accompanied, and I think, Mr. Evans, you gave the presentation on the small city media and the kiosks they want to put downtown and it seems great. I did look it up on the internet, and I did

look into it. It looks like it's going to be a great idea, how many smart phones do we have? I think we all have a smart phone in our purse or in our pocket, but what good is these kiosks if the people can't get there? If when they get ready to look at the street signs.

So the other day I pulled over with my smart phone on one of the streets that don't have a sign up and I thought what does it say when I go in to look on my smart phone? Fortunately, it does say what street it is even though it's not posted.

So, like I said, I just think that the kiosks are a great idea, but I just have confidence that you and the panel are going to do what needs to be done just to refresh the city. When you pass Blakely and Greenridge Street and they did all of that activity up there and they put up new street signs, and I thought they don't have be that big, but they could put a sign up for us.

And like I was saying, it wasn't just me, it's new people coming to town.

It's, you know, the University I'm sure

there is lot of students that live up that way and I'm sure that they, too, would like to see street signs and, Mr. Gaughan, you as well know that the neighborhood association has been begging for that.

So I come tonight because this time next week I'm going to be on vacation so I thought, oh, if I'm going to do anything I better get up here and say it tonight, and I'm hoping that by the time we return in the fall that there will be some kind of activity going on with the street signs and I know that you are going on vacation as well, so I wish you a great vacation as I'm planning mine to have so thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you. I have a couple of things here to catch up on. We are still going back and forth with correspondence between the city, Director Gallagher and PennDOT regarding the crosswalk that we would like installed on

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Birney Avenue in the Minooka section. now we are at the stages of getting with PennDOT to coordinate a detour and a traffic This city is not equipped to pattern. handle such detour for such a busy street and we want to coordinate the efforts with PennDOT while the paving is being done so we can get this done in one shot. It's obviously not the first crosswalk that was ever going to be installed so there has to be something in place on how we divert traffic and make it safe for the residents while this is getting done. So, again, that's still something that's going forward and Director Gallagher is confident that something will be worked out and we'll get this taken care of.

Lori, also, if we could, we made a request to Chief Graziano probably about two months ago for a speed indicator that is located on Pittston Avenue to be moved to Birney Avenue and if we do that in lieu of the crosswalk until then, that might help lower some of the speeding that's being taken care of. I know the issue with the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

speed indicator on Pittston Avenue was there to collect date and he said it was a 30-day data collecting process. I don't know if they got enough data, I don't know if they wanted to extend it for a reason, if we could follow-up with that and see if we can get that back on schedule and have it moved to the other part of city that would be greatly appreciated.

I'll also given the mayor's office a call on the follow-up on the request for the proposal for those street signs. I remember when we talked about this maybe two months ago, maybe even a little bit longer ago, that the response I got from Mayor Courtright was it was something too big for DPW to take on themselves and he wanted to put an RFP out on street signs. I wasn't given a timeframe. I'll see if I can hold him down to a timeframe of when he plans on putting that RFP together and when he plans on putting that out, and maybe if we can do that they will help us push this along a little bit.

I was born and raised in Minooka,

which is really close to South Side and actually part of South Side and I still --I'll take Prospect all the way down to River Street and, you know, every street sign is worse than the other, you know, and I have been there for 42 years so it's definitely understandable and I think all of us share some of that frustration, and as you brought up, that phone app, which is good for pedestrians but the last thing we want to do is someone drive through the street looking at their phone, you know, what street am I on so that's obviously not a viable option as far as safety goes, but we'll see if we can get this moving along for us all.

Was there anything else I had? Ms. Schumacher, I'll follow up and see if we can get an answer for you next week. It shouldn't be take. I don't want to give the opinion that the police will give, but I'll get the answer from the person who has it and pass that onto you.

And then I just want to touch on something that came up about Councilman Gaughan in the paper about that slander

20

21

22

23

24

25

That, my friends, is a slippery issue. If we allow something like this to slope. take place what does that do to the core of Now, I'm not a lawyer. our democracy? Ι don't know if there was slander which, you know, correct me if I'm wrong means that there was damages incurred, I don't know, but if he wants to go through with this, you know, that would be something for a judge to decide and be hashed out in the courts. But, you know, if it's Mr. Gaughan today, it's Mr. Rogan tomorrow. It's not the correct way to handle things. You can not, and again, it's my opinion, I'm not speaking for the body, I'm speaking for myself, Tim Perry, that this is not how we want to handle things. If there is an issue then we need to bring it into a more personal level, but, you know, to put this on a city councilman is the not the right way to go.

I mean, Councilman Gaughan and I don't see eye-to-eye on every issue. I believe you said it, if we did it would be borrowing. There is that saying that goes most of us agree with half of the things

each other do, and if you agree with 70 percent then you should vote for me. If you agree with ten out of ten then you should have your head examined. We are never going to agree on everything, but he does sit up here and he does put his heart and soul into the work and that needs to be respected. I just don't think that was the right tactic take by Mr. Abrahamsen. But, again, that's his choice to make and, you know, we'll see where this goes. That's all I have today.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of brief items. First regarding the 2016 audit, although we haven't had a chance to review the audit in detail at this point, I do want to note that the audit for the first time in a long time was on time, and regarding setting budgets, the city needs to have an audit to do a budget and that's where the city went off track for a number of years having audits that came out after budgets were even written, so having a chance to review the previous year's audit before

writing next year's budget is certainly something that will be helpful in the budgetary process.

I do agree, and I forget which speaker brought it up, but I do agree that council should have a session with the auditors to go over the summary of the audit and in particularly any findings that are in the audit to let us know exactly where things need to be tightened up. I know the administration, the Finance Chair and council generally have it, but I think all five members of council should have that chance to meet with the auditors and go through all of those documents and answer any questions that we have as well.

The double pension scandal was brought up again tonight, and I thank Attorney Minora for his work on this. I assure you I will be continuing to work on this issue for the month of August. I think Attorney Minora is getting sick of my phone calls every other day asking when are we going to receive the documents, but I know he is working diligently to get those

documents to us. The concern that I do have is what we can do with those documents when we receive them. I know there are going to be restrictions placed on how much we can or can't say regarding what's found in those reports, but I am very anxious to read them and hopefully will be something through August we will and be able to about through and provide some sort of report if we legally can when we come back into session.

One of the speakers brought up on taxes, particularly school property taxes, and this is issue that I hear all of the time from a lot of senior citizens who haven't a child in the school system in decades or people who never married or never had children, that they are paying very high school taxes and they are not receiving anything from it. And they often say, well, my neighbor rents, they don't pay property taxes, they have six children and they are not paying and I'm paying for them. something I hear every day throughout the city, and as everyone knows your school taxes are the highest portion of your tax

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bill, they always have been and,

unfortunately, the financial situation with the school district saying it looks like as the city finances are improving the school districts finances are, unfortunately, deteriorating, but there is something that can be done and this is on the state level. And I'm glad this was brought up, I haven't brought it up in a number of months, there a Senate Bill 76 which would eliminate school property taxes in the entire State of Pennsylvania and replace them with an increased income tax and increased sales tax on non-essential items. So, for instance, your food, your clothing, all of those items would still remain completely tax free in the State of Pennsylvania, but luxury items, items that aren't necessities people will be paying taxes.

The beauty of this law, which what I really love about it, it takes the burden off of senior citizens and takes the burden off of homeowners, but anyone who is going out and making purchases of non-essential items will then be paying school taxes,

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where under the current system that's not the case.

So I would encourage everyone to contact your state representative and state senators and ask them to support Senate Bill 76 and some sort of tax relief from the state budget.

Finally, reassessment was brought up again, and I have spoken at length about this issue for numerous weeks. I do want to reiterate one point though, and this is what really bothers me most about our current system, even in a perfect world if school property taxes were done away with, as I suggested, you still have a city tax and for purposes of reassessment we still have the county tax, and the county tax is really where I think the reassessment is unfair to the City of Scranton not having a reassessment is unfair to the City of There has been tremendous Scranton. building outside of the city in the last 40 years since the last assessment and that's not being taken and accounted for. It needs to be balanced out.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the other issue that really bothers me about the current system is individuals who have the means, who have the money, who have the connections, the attorneys they are lining up down at the county courthouse to appeal their taxes where the average person who has lived in their home for 10, 20, 30, 40 years in the city they don't have an attorney, they can't afford an attorney and they don't know how to file the appeal to have their taxes possibly reduce their assessment. That is one of the things that really does bother me about the current system, and I do know I think the commissioners proposed, and I think it was rescinded, increasing the fees to appeal your taxes and that's something I

I am pleased that the voters of
Lackawanna County in Scranton will have the
final say regarding reassessment. I think
there might be some court challenges whether
that does go on the ballot or not, but at
this point it does look like the voters will
have the last say and whether we agree or

certainly oppose.

\_\_

disagree the majority is going to rule and that's how it should be. So that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, I'm sorry that the clock ran out on Commissioner Cummings, I had several comments and questions for her so I hope to continue that conversation and if my schedule permits I may even show up at the next commissioner's meeting.

transaction. Since the mayor is in a giving mode this week as far as getting out information on the sewer-related transaction, so I look forward to reading that documentation. Again, I have been repeating, but quite frankly, I'm starting to feel like every single day is like groundhog day as it relates to the post-closing issues of the Sewer Authority transaction.

On June 22 the mayor held his town hall meeting. On June 29, I asked that a

22

23

24

25

letter be sent to clarify the mayor's statement and his statement printed on the Power Point presentation. That statement was simply that the money spent during the contract period was for mandated work that the Sewer Authority and that all of the work was fully documented. At that time, I thought finally after asking that question for nearly six months we are going to have the answer we are looking for. After all, that was up on the big screen for everybody to see and the mayor repeating his statement. All of the mandated work was fully documented. Well, here we are four weeks after the town hall meeting, nearly seven months after the close of the transaction, and still no documentation and I feel like we are no where. Again every day is groundhog day.

So, Mrs. Reed, if you can, send a follow-up letter to the mayor to get a status report of the fully documented mandated work that was performed during the contract period and when we might expect to see those documents.

. .

Also, on the audit, I would be remiss if I did not recognize the hard work of the Office of the Business Administrator in getting the audit completed by July 11, particularly, Rebecca Macgonal has been the primary point person on this annual project the last several years. Because of her efforts and the efforts of others we have seen significant improvements each year in the completion date of the audit. This is another example in my mind of successful public partner -- public/private partnership of city government.

And, finally, I want to talk briefly about the notification of a lawsuit against Councilman Gaughan for slander by the mayor's special council. This lawsuit is wrong and if successful it could have a dramatic and chilling effect on the very principle of free speech, especially as it relates to public officials and the fundamental right of every elected official to ask hard questions and demand accountability of others while we are representing the taxpayers and the citizens

of Scranton. So, for the record, I stand with Councilman Gaughan. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. Just a few citizen's requests. There are several quality of life issues in the 700 block of Brook Street in South Scranton so we sent that to the Licensing and Inspections

Department. I did receive several complaints from residents in the area of the 100 block of South Bromley Avenue. They are having issues with a condemned home there so we are going to also send that to the Licensing and Inspections Department.

We sent a second request for flooding issues on East Mountain in the 1300 block of Birch Street. We are still researching the signage for parks detailing rules and regulations. This request came from the East Mountain Neighborhood Association meeting that Councilman Wechsler and I attended.

Mrs. Reed and I have been trying to correct a problem on along Third Avenue with

2 3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a fence that is very dangerous. A gentleman who reached out to us over a year ago is continually hearing concerns. The land apparently is owned by the Scranton Redevelopment Authority and we are working with the SRA to try and resolve this issue.

Mrs. Jefferies, who spoke this evening about street signage, I don't understand why the signs that you have identified, which I don't think were -- I think it was maybe over 20, somewhere in the area of 20, yeah, I don't understand why in the interim they just can't simply be replaced or be put up, so I would ask that we send a letter to the DPW asking them to do that, to put those since up and to repair the ones that Ms. Jefferies has identified, because I do agree with her traveling throughout the city, especially the Hill Section, is very difficult if you are not from the area or you are not familiar with the neighborhood to find out where you are going, so hopefully they can take care of that.

As for Mr. Evans request on the \$12

million, you know, I just have to say that the fact that we have to continue to ask for this information repeatedly time and time again is appalling and disgraceful. The letters that we're sending out, I've found this over the last 3 1/2 years, they are about as worthless as the paper that are written on, and I think I have a better chance of talking to one of the mayors or getting information out one the mayors in one of the picture frames here on these walls in city council chambers, and I don't take that lightly at all.

In regard to the notice of the potential lawsuit that may be filed against me for slander by Ned Abrahamsen I just want to reiterate my belief that this lawsuit is not only frivolous, but it's clearly an attempt to bully me and to put a muzzle on me so that I stop asking questions. This is not going to work. And I want to thank Councilman Perry and Councilman Evans for standing with me tonight.

This lawsuit will not detour me from demanding transparency for the taxpayers of

this city and, quite frankly, I believe it would be a dereliction of duty if I did not question everything that comes before me as a city councilman. I am simply doing the job that I was elected by the people of this city to do. This potential lawsuit is not only an attack on my ability to speak freely at council meetings, question and scrutinize things, but it is an attack on every elected official throughout Lackawanna County who may dare question those in government or in a position of power and influence.

You know, the ironic thing about this potential lawsuit is that it cost Mr. Abrahamsen who, by the way, has been detailed that he made \$400,000 as special counsel to the city cost him nothing to file this lawsuit, but it may cost the taxpayers of Scranton a lot of money.

I'm glad Mr. Abrahamsen's invoices have finally been released for work performed on the sewer sale, but I find it extremely unfortunate and concerning that Mayor Courtright and the Sewer Authority disregarded my request and my colleagues'

request for this information for months.

I'm still reviewing the invoices, but I

anticipate I'll have further questions.

One of the questions I have based on my initial review is when was the document detail work perform performed on the sewer sale by Mr. Abrahamsen, who submitted it to the Scranton Times, generated. So I would like to know when that document was exactly generated. The data on the document says July 17. Was this work being documented as it was performed or after the fact?

Also, what date, time and place did the mayor and Mr. Shrive tell Mr. Abrahamsen to go to work, as he was quoted in the newspaper, and when was the officially hired by the mayor and Mr. Shrive as special counsel?

I continue to belive that this is bad government to have someone make \$400,000 without an actual contract detailing what work would be performed. And, finally, lawsuit or no lawsuit I will continue to stand my ground and I will not back down. Thank you.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. I also would request that Attorney Abrahamsen drop this Scranton City Council as a body has been pursuing this issue since January. We have had been writing letters, going to meetings, requesting the Auditor General, requesting the State Attorney General to look into it, and Mr. Gaughan is asking questions that we have all asked. It's all the same questions. There is really no different questions than we asked in January. The best thing for me would be to suggest that we need to release everything. This dribs and drabs that's coming out weekly and bit by bit, the best way all of the information has to be released, and as was echoed here tonight I don't believe that the suit against Mr. Gaughan is a good idea. All of us here ask the same questions, so I don't know why we weren't involved in the same suit, we asked the same questions.

As we saw tonight, we do our work up here to make sure that we are doing things properly. We had some comments tonight about how -- what we are doing up here is

23

24

25

illegal. You know, the thing is we checked with city solicitor, we checked with our own solicitor, we have done some research, there is four or five of these different deals going on in the State of Pennsylvania right Everything that we are doing right now we have been told is legal. Our job as legislators is to take the legislation as presented to us by the mayor and we either approve it or we disapprove it. particular case, we have looked at it last week, we asked questions of this transaction is using the proceeds was legal and we were I would rather save \$1.5 told that we were. million as we did last week by refinancing the bonds and go on what we have known as we were told is true. I can't make my decision saying, "I think it's illegal or I think it's wrong," we don't have an opportunity here. We only have the opportunity to do what we are told is legal and right and that's what we do here.

And we'll see how it proceeds and there are lawsuits that perhaps, I don't think so, but perhaps it wasn't legal, but

right now the money has been spent. We have authorized to spend the money. The financial institutions that we are dealing with are satisfied that we are making financial process, the Pennsylvania Economy League is satisfied that we are making financial progress, so for us not to use the proceeds and wait to be sued to me is irresponsible.

And people can come and yell and scream at me all night long if they want to and we made the right decision with this.

We are spending the money wisely, as we said we would, and I think that's our responsibility as councilmen.

But just to sum up, it's also our responsibility to ask questions and we can't be limited by the questions that we ask. As Mr. Gaughan knows better than most, he asks a lot of questions and lot of them go unanswered, but the fact is we still have to be able to ask questions. Threatening of a lawsuit I don't think is proper. We talked about the relationship that council and the administration has tried to develop, a

lawsuit suing one of our members, and as was said, we are body. If you sue one member as far as I'm concerned you are suing all of us. So I would ask Attorney Abrahamsen to drop this suit so we can get back to doing the business as we see fit by asking questions, waiting for answers most of the time, but I think we do have a responsibility to continue and ask these questions. That is all I have.

MR. PERRY: Mr. Wechsler, before we proceed I have piece that I forgot, if you don't mind?

MR. WECHSLER: Sure, go ahead.

MR. PERRY: We received a letter yesterday with 35 signatures petitioning to have a "No Through Traffic" sign on Muncy Avenue. The crux of the issue is that there is many vehicles traveling north on Greenridge Street using Muncy Avenue to avoid the traffic light at Sanderson Avenue. Many vehicles traveling on East Greenridge use Muncy to avoid the traffic light at Capouse Avenue. Many of the drivers of these vehicles drive at unsafe speeds and

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this is in the 1500 block of Muncy Avenue.

If we could have this submitted to the police department and engineering department for a feasibility study just to see if this something that can actually be The street is narrow and we have traffic trying to bypass Greenridge there is legally cars allowed to park on both sides of the street and even with legal parking trucks of the large size aren't able to make the swing, and that's just becoming a problem over there, so if we could submit this on behalf of the 35 signatures to just take a look at this and see if we get a resolution with a no through traffic. That's all I have. Thank you for letting me make that addition.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — GRANTING DEED OF EASEMENTS
AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER PARCELS OF PROPERTY
OWNED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS NOTED BELOW
IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCRANTON SEWER
AUTHORITY'S SEWER/STORMWATER COLLECTION
SYSTEM ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE EASEMENT.
(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

1	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. PERRY: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
16	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
17	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
18	ENTER INTO THE SIXTH AMENDMENT TO THE
19	FEDERAL AID BRIDGE PROJECT AGREEMENT NO.
20	041222 BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
21	PENNSYLVANIA ("DEPARTMENT") AND THE CITY OF
22	SCRANTON ("MUNICIPALITY") FOR THE PURPOSE OF
23	INCREASING CONSTRUCTION FUNDING AND UPDATING
24	STANDARD FEDERAL PROVISIONS OF THE ROCKWELL
25	AVENUE BRIDGE PROJECT.

1	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. PERRY: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
16	A RESOLUTION - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 133,
17	2017 ENTITLED "AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
18	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
19	AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH PMA
20	MANAGEMENT CORP. FOR CITY OF SCRANTON
21	SELF-INSURED WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND HEART
22	AND LUNG CLAIMS THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATION
23	FOR A PERIOD OF THREE (3) YEARS FROM APRIL
24	1, 2017 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2020" TO INCLUDE
25	EXHIBIT "C" TO THE CONTRACT.

1	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. PERRY: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
16	suspend the Rules and move Item 5-B to Sixth
17	and Seventh Orders to be considered for
18	final passage based on the attached
19	emergency certificate.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. PERRY: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 3 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 4 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 5 so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 7 8 111, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - ADOPTING AND 9 IMPLEMENTING THE ACT 47 EXIT PLAN FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON PURSUANT TO THE 10 11 FINANCIALLY DISTRESSED MUNICIPALITIES ACT; AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR OF THE CITY OF 12 SCRANTON TO ISSUE AN ORDER DIRECTING THE 13 14 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ACT 47 EXIT PLAN WHICH WILL BECOME EFFECTIVE UPON ADOPTION ATTACHED 15 HERETO AS EXHIBIT "A" IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 16 PROVISIONS OF 53 Pa. C.S.A. §11701.245, THE 17 18 MUNICIPALITIES FINANCIAL RECOVERY ACT. 19 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 20 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 21 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 22 pass reading by title. 23 MR. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 25 those in favor signify by saying aye.

	95
1	MR. PERRY: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. EVANS: Aye.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. REED: 6-B - FORMERLY 5-B -
8	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
9	112 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - GRANTING DEED OF
10	EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER PARCELS OF
11	PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS
12	NOTED BELOW IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCRANTON
13	SEWER AUTHORITY'S SEWER/STORMWATER
14	COLLECTION SYSTEM ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE
15	EASEMENT. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
16	. MR. WECHSLER: You've heard
17	reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your
18	pleasure?
19	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
20	pass reading by title.
21	MR. EVANS: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
23	those in favor signify by saying aye.
24	MR. PERRY: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. EVANS: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?
3	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
4	MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and
5	so moved.
6	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
7	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
8	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL
9	NO. 110, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - CLOSING AND
10	VACATING A PORTION OF WRIGHT COURT BETWEEN
11	LINDEN STREET AND THE FORMERLY VACATED
12	PORTION OF WRIGHT COURT.
13	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Public Works?
16	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-A.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
	11

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 2 MR. EVANS: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 5 6 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 7 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 8 adopted. 9 If anyone who would like to address 10 council on the emergency legislation you may 11 do so at this time. MS. REED: 7-B - FORMERLY 6-B - FOR 12 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 13 14 WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 112 2017 - GRANTING DEED OF EASEMENTS 15 AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER PARCELS OF PROPERTY 16 17 OWNED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS NOTED BELOW 18 IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY'S SEWER/STORMWATER COLLECTION 19 20 SYSTEM ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE EASEMENT. 21 (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Public Works? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the

	30
1	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
2	vote on Item 7-B.
3	MR. ROGAN: Second.
4	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question,
6	I'll be voting "no" on this. I know this is
7	probably routine, but I don't think feel
8	comfortable with this coming down with an
9	emergency certificate. I feel that, you
10	know, I don't see the emergency and I would
11	have preferred if it had come down earlier
12	for adoption. Thank you.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
15	MR. PERRY: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
24	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
25	adopted.

		99
1	If there is no further business,	
2	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
3	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
4	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## 

## 

. .

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER