	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, July 19, 2012
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JANET EVANS, PRESIDENT

FRANK JOYCE, VICE-PRESIDENT

ROBERT MCGOFF

PAT ROGAN

JOHN LOSCOMBE

NANCY KRAKE, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

BOYD HUGHES, SOLICITOR (Not present.)

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
11	MR. JOYCE: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
13	MS. EVANS: Here.
14	MS. KRAKE: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
15	ASSESSOR'S REPORT, FINAL RESULTS FROM
16	APPEAL HOEARING HELD JUNE 20, 2012.
17	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
18	If not, received and filed.
19	MS. KRAKE: 3-B. CHECKS RECEIVED
20	FROM THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE IN THE AMOUNTS OF
21	\$13,924.83, \$12,649.68, AND \$400,767.62 ON
22	JULY 11, 2012.
23	MS. EVANS: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. KRAKE: 3-C. AUDIT STATUS FROM

ROBERT ROSSI & CO. AS OF JULY 12, 2012. 1 2 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 3 If not, received and filed. MS. KRAKE: 3-D. APPLICATIONS ALONG 4 WITH THE DECISIONS RENDERED BY THE ZONING 5 HEARING BOARD ON WEDNESDAY, JULY 11, 2012. 6 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 7 8 If not, received and filed. 9 MS. KRAKE: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD'S REGULAR MEETING 10 11 HELD JUNE 27, 2012. 12 MS. EVANS: Are there any comments? 13 If not, received and filed. Do we have any 14 clerk's notes this evening? MS. KRAKE: No. Mrs. Evans. 15 16 MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mrs. Krake. 17 Do we have any announcements? Mrs. Krake? 18 MS. KRAKE: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 19 20 MS. EVANS: Our first speaker is 21 Andy Sbaraglia of the. 22 MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, 23 citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, 24 your 6-A here where you want to transfer 25 from the neighborhood police patrols,

neighborhood police vehicles and so forth and so on, why are we doing this? 6-A and why is it on the agenda when you have 30 days to appeal this thing to the block grant people? You have a 30-day period to appeal this. You have it on the agenda, that was in the paper about two weeks ago. Usually you wait for the appeal to be made before this goes on the agenda.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Sbaraglia, I could follow-up with Linda Aebli again. I did communicate with her today regarding that legislation. The reason for the transfer, as many people have known, because the mayor reduced the compliment of the police force under 140 we were ineligible to use neighborhood police patrol funds for this year.

Now, Ms. Aebli did inform me that there is \$150,000 in the neighborhood police patrol set aside from other years so the city could be able to be reimbursed on January 1, 2013, for two officers. Now, that is assuming that the compliment is kept above 140 for next year, but I can follow-up

with Ms. Aebli on your question as well.

MR. SBARAGLIA: But the question is why is it on the agenda before the appeal process? That's why I want to ask. You have 30 days from when this was placed in the newspaper to write to your community development program people. You got two weeks and it's on the agenda and it's going to be passed before you even got time for public comment on it and it has to go all the way to them people not to you. That's what I said, why are we always rushing these things?

MS. EVANS: Then perhaps, Mr. Rogan, you might want to table this legislation tonight until such time as we get it cleared up.

MR. ROGAN: We could either table it or we could vote on it this week and if we have don't have anything figured out next week we can table it. Whatever everyone wants to do. Either way, I will follow-up with Linda on that.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes, because if you got 30 days to comment on something to the

people down there from block to block grants then after that 30-day period is over then you should be voting on this. You don't put the cart before the horse. This is how this -- this is why we are in trouble, all the time we are in trouble, all the way back.

We are going to be in trouble no matter what when you go through with this sort of Recovery Plan we are going to be in trouble.

When the banks told you you had to do certain things before they would okay your loan and I said, "Hey, you are going to give money to the Parking Authority, why don't you request their resignation as one of the conditions just like they do to you?"

They setup all kind of conditions, but you didn't do it. Actually, if you did it they would have took it from their sinking fund and they would have said, "We are not going to resign, we are going to use our own sinking fund," but we would have had \$1 million in the kitty that we don't have now.

I mean, you are dealing with a man that could have been in tamdy (ph) up there

1

could have worked the system. The man knows how to work the system. People really don't know how the system works. They don't want to bother with the system. They figure that the Democratic party or the Republican party or whoever is looking out for the people, but that's not true. The parties are looking out for the parties, not for the people that they are supposed to represent. That's why we got in trouble. Them first councilmen, them ten

in New York in the good days of Tamdy and he

councilmen that proceeded you, look what they did. I mean, even our parking, I got the legislation home on parking revenue for the Parking Authority from the meter revenue where they have requested that all of the meter revenue be put into a backup for the Parking Authority. That's a piece of legislation, too. That hasn't been rescinded. You got all kind of letters of credit that they okayed. That was ridiculous, idiotic, but there was no choice. They had the majority and they can pass the legislation. What it did to the

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people. They could care less about it.

They looked out for themselves. There is no way you can't tell me all them councilmen that preceded you were looking out for the peep. They were looking out for themselves some way or another.

I'm not even going to get into CECCO they got so many contracts with the city, I don't want to get into it. That's another branch of government that you should be looking into that. That's where we are. Ιf you really want to go back I can go all the way back to when this mayor took office and Jimmy left \$3 million in the account and what happened, why we don't have the money in the account? Why don't we have the money from the golf course in the account? did that money go? That was sucked up in the budget transferred from something that was totally there for the parks. Why are all of the pools closed, but Nay Aug where you have to pay to use it? Why is that?

You have got to look and actually he make demands on everybody that's in there.

If you think they are wrong get up there and

say, "You are wrong. You got to -- you should be doing this."

They may not do it, but at least it's on the agenda that you did try to do it and that's all you really can do. You cannot do anything with the authorities, we know that, but you got to attack them.

Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
homeowner and taxpayer.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. SPINDLER: Last Sunday I opened up the Times-Tribune and I got the best laugh I've had in years. Opened up the paper and saw this ad put in by the National Firefighters Union about Mayor Doherty. For anybody that didn't see it, I don't know why Andy had the camera on here and I have the side one, but for the folks that can't see it at home, it was a classic ad and it's all the truth. He is making Scranton the laughing stock of America. Chris Doherty is

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is the reason why we are in the shape we are now and all of these problems. I hope everyone saw this and pays attention when it comes to election time next year.

On June 9 I wrote a letter in the editorial to the Doherty newsletter, of course, they didn't put it in because I asked some serious questions and they don't want to know, but I will read it here, and this was before the decision today that they are going to get full pay.

"Mayor Chris Doherty is paying all the union employees minimum wage saying the city doesn't have the money to pay them Well, I have two questions. Is the more. mayor also paying his highly paid administration minimum wage, and if the city is in such bad shape where did the money come on to put on the fireworks show at Nay Aug Park on June 30? It seems like when the mayor wants to do something he comes up with the money to do it, yet he won't pay the people who keep our city safe, the money he is contractually obligated to."

This wasn't in the paper. Moving

on, I have another story about a pit bull attack. This was in the Citizens Voice today, it was also on Channel 16 news tonight. "Officer attacked by two pit bulls, shoots both dogs." Police shot two pit bulls, one fatally, outside 696 Front Street in Nanticoke Wednesday, according to police. An officer responded to a complaint of two pit bulls on the loose, was attacked by both dogs and bitten on the upper right leg. The bite was shortly after getting out of his car."

I'm not going to read the whole article, but it's just more proof how dangerous these dogs are. The one dog was killed and the other one was wounded, I don't know if it survived or not, but just time after time after time we read these terrible stories about pit bulls.

Okay, moving on. Last Saturday in the Doherty newsletter I read an article about the "U" giving the city an early contribution and the Doherty newsletter makes a big deal out it and goes on. Big deal, they give us the \$175,000 they always

give us four months early. Wow. They probably have taken more properties off the tax rolls than that \$175,000. They should be giving us a heck of a lot more than that.

And I had a question, but I see
Attorney Hughes isn't here, but I brought
this up a few weeks ago, if they don't pay
us their fair share are we legally obligated
to provide them with the services that we
do? Maybe we should just stop providing
them the services until they give us what
they should, but I don't know if that's
legally possible.

Another question, I think Councilman Loscombe brought this up last week, we haven't had a Recovery Plan since 2005 and Mayor Doherty was allowed to borrow all of those years up until this year. Now, what changed this year? I would love to ask the banks that question. Mr. McGoff, maybe when you go to these banks ask them about stuff.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.

MR. SPINDLER: Of course, you were probably sleeping as usual.

1 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I didn't 2 hear you. 3 MR. SPINDLER: I think I'm speaking 4 pretty loud and you were able to hear if you 5 were paying attention. MR. MCGOFF: If you don't care to 6 7 ask again. MR. SPINDLER: Well, how come we 8 9 haven't had a Recovery Plan since 2005 and now we haven't -- we haven't had to have a 10 11 Recovery Plan up until now? Why do we need 12 a Recovery Plan this year when we haven't 13 had one for seven years. Mayor Doherty was 14 able to borrow like crazy? Put that question to the banks. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: You should. 17 MR. SPINDLER: I'm not --18 MR. MCGOFF: It's your question. 19 MR. SPINDLER: I'm not the elected 20 official, you are. You were voted to 21 represent us, I wasn't. 22 MR. MCGOFF: I provided you with my 23 thoughts the last two weeks. 24 MR. SPINDLER: That proved a lot. I 25 got a question about the Parking Authority,

1 the July 7 deadline has come and gone, that's another question I had for Attorney 2 3 Hughes, and as far as I know Mr. Scopelliti still has his job. Attorney Hughes almost 4 5 assured us that if they didn't meet all of their defaults by then they would taken over 6 and they haven't been. 7 8 MS. EVANS: Mr. Spindler, I have 9 spoken with Attorney Hughes regarding this 10 topic and action is progressing in a timely 11 fashion. There have been quite a number of 12 matters to be reviewed, particularly the 13 numbers of agreements made among all of the 14 parties. So, you know, I can't -- I can't comment further and tell you exactly -- I 15 16 can't name names, I can't --17 MR. SPINDLER: But it's still 18 possible. MS. EVANS: -- because on the advice 19 20 of Solicitor Hughes I have been directed not 21 to do that. 22 MR. SPINDLER: Okay, but it's still 23 possibly they can be taken over? 24 MS. EVANS: The wheels are turning. 25 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you.

One last thing I have here, probably everyone is aware of it that Mulberry Street is paved from Dunkin Donuts all the way up except for this block right here, does anybody know why? Someone did tell me that they are doing work on this block, I don't know if that's true or not, but it's been like that for probably three weeks. I would think they would get the work done and get that block blacktopped. It seems very strange to me. Thank you for your time.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And Bernie Bassacco.

MS. BASSACCO: Good evening,
Council. Bernie Bassacco, Scranton
resident. I continue down with my journey
through Scranton getting more signatures
and, got an additional 200. Corruption, no
show mayor, crooks, no accountability, calls
for resignations, calls for FBI
investigations of city hall. Ten-year
audit. All nonprofits need to contribute
more. Somebody must be pocketing the money.
Where is all of the money going? No
accountability. Insane tax increase. Over

burdened school taxes. Over and over and over the same comments from hundreds of taxpayers.

the mayor, however, there is no shortage of comments for city council as well. One person wanted me to deliver a message to Mrs. Evans, I hope I get this right, the gist of the comment, she said that you are always talking about her budget, her budget, and the budget that they are now working under is actually your budget and it tell short. I think I got that comment right.

But another irate woman questioned the mayor doesn't know where he is getting the money, well, where does he think the people, especially seniors are going to come up with the money? People ask, what happened with the \$2 million from the sale of the golf course, as the other gentleman mentioned, and other money that was found from the meters. If taxes are increased the mayor will carelessly spend and waste it as in the past and then there will be a cry for more money. There is never enough money

because there is no accountability.

Some other comments people made throughout the city, too many absentee landlords, the KOZ properties were a big mistake, now they are going to become a big problem. If you live in the Greenridge and the Hill Section near Nay Aug you don't have to worry about anything.

Another person said, "There is no way I can afford more taxes," and I just caught as she was leaving for work. She said, "Witness me, 73 years old and I'm rushing off to work, I can't afford to retire."

Parents are upset because their children are leaving the area. Tax increases, if that 78 goes through, that's insane. More money for them to waste and fill up their friends' pockets. How can they expect people, and I believe this is for the city workers, how can they expect people making less than them to pay more taxes to pay for their health care. That's a big issue, because the people -- there is people working for minimum wage, as we all

know, and they got to buy their own insurance. They don't have free health care.

Oh, I approached one gentleman in his front yard, a young man and he said to me, I told him what I was doing and he said, "Oh, did you know Scranton and Lackawanna are in the top five?"

And I looked at him, I was waiting for the rest of it, and he said, "For corruption." He said, "Lackawanna County and Scranton are in the top five."

I guess he read it in a magazine or online or something. There is so many people out there that are unemployed. One woman is getting a six cent raise after eight years. She pays her on insurance and she cannot afford to be paying for city worker's insurance. Those days are over with she said. Free health care is a thing of the past, the taxpayers are broke.

Another single mother, two kids, she said she lives on a job at a little bit more than seven and a quarter and she said that's -- "I have a budget. I have to live

under it."

A man worked for \$18 an hour, he now works for \$9 an hour. He said no one was feeding him hamburgs and hot dogs down at the courthouse when he lost the job and had to look for another one. He said, "I had to adjust my budget. I had to live with what I was making. It was half of what I used to make and I had to adjust my budget. My wife and I, she had to go out and get a job, and we just have to stick within that budget."

The seven and a quarter, thank God for these people it's back to what it should be and they got the 2 percent raise, and that was temporary and we all knew they would eventually get, you know, what their contract was. But, you know what, this is a reality for people in this area, seven and a quarter an hour. It's a reality for a lot of people in the country and they just -- they live with that budget, you know, they make due.

Oh, there was a great idea this morning, and I know it won't happen because you really have to have everyone doing it,

but some man he said, "I have a great idea," he said, "You know what? I paid my taxes, and I'll pay them when I get them next year, but anything over what I am paying this year they are not going to get. "

And if everybody in the city would do that then they might get the message if they go up that 78 percent and, you know, there is lot of extra money. What's going to happen with that money? It's going to disappear, just like we said the golf money disappeared and so many other things, where is it going? Who is keeping accountability.

And just one more quick thing, I'm sure everybody saw about two weeks ago our illustrious legislators in Harrisburg have given themselves another huge pay increase and also their health benefits are more which we'll -- you know - they have \$150 per diem to buy themselves lunch and a hotel once in awhile, and if they can't afford lunch and a hotel room now and then on a \$82,000 salary then they shouldn't be running our government, and that goes for the deadbeat politicians in Washington, DC.,

too. Some say, "Oh, well, I'll give it back to charity."

Well, if they can afford to give it to charity, maybe they shouldn't be getting it in the same place. The charity is the taxpayers, they are the ones that need the money. If they can give it away to charity then they shouldn't be getting it, and I really don't know how they give themselves this luxury of a huge increase and, you know, all of these fringe benefits when people, some people can't even get food on the table or gas in their tank and I say shame on them. I don't know how they do it, sleep at night. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Before I call up the next speaker I just want to respond to the comment that directed toward me regarding the budget. I do not have a budget. The mayor each year in compliance with the Home Rule Charter sends this council his proposed budget. At that time, the council can amend the budget. Now, the mayor in his proposed budget included, for example, refinancing. The mayor now likes to say we did that, but

we did not. That was included in his budget. We increased the amount of financing because the administration asked us to do so.

As for the unfunded debt borrowing, the mayor and his administration were trying to secure that since September of 2011 and we have letters from the mayor showing that he asked for that unfunded debt borrowing to be increased up to, I forget what the dollar amount was now, but council cooperated with the administration for the first time since 2010 in developing the budget. And as a result, you know, I have said this before, obviously no good deed goes unpunished because now the mayor has time and again, "This is council's budget."

Well, frankly, that's a lie. This is a city budget and council cooperated and council will be very, very careful about any cooperation concerning a budget in the future as a result.

Our next speaker is Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, Scranton Taxpayers' Association. Good evening.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. JOYCE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Andy brought up 6-A, the reading of that title, I just want to say that I believe that's part of the audit that's outstanding and I think that \$480,000 I know it's mandated for handicapped curbs and all of that, but that's a half a million dollars and that could go a lot further towards some kind of a housing rehabilitation for some of the people who are --since of omission that the mayor has committed since he took office by eliminating the rehabilitation.

When you start reconstructing roads, that's political. Mostly that stuff is all political stuff. You do that when you are going to be running for mayor again, and also the fact that that's where all of the kickbacks are and I would be very careful when you get this, I'm sure there will be change orders and stuff that comes up and they will say, we underbid, okay? Thank you.

I also want to say that in regards

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to underbidding, when the Muni, the Muni was supposed to be bid out and it was bid out and the mayor didn't bid it out. matter of fact, he got an emergency certificate and had an auction, okay, because he didn't like the -- he didn't want to do it that way because when their offers came in at first he wasn't -- he didn't like the offers so the -- a new person got the award that was only \$200,000 more, but under an emergency certificate and that's where that money goes, that money was supposed to I looked at the minutes and that was supposed to go into the recreation fund and we would be able to have the swimming pools opened up right now if that was still there, but he blew it.

And you know what, the Scranton

Times also blew it. Now, the Scranton Times
is down there saying for those who were
screwed their pay have a free lunch on us.

Rock 107. Rock 107 is Shamrock

Communications, which is the Scranton Times.

The nerve of those people. After all of
these years. This hasn't happen overnight

this here position we are in, this happened because not only our because of our tax base, because of the mismanagement and overspending by the mayor and every budget the Scranton Times never come up and questioned anything about this stuff. You saw that on the Muni and you saw it in the sense of omission, there was no housing rehabilitation, our neighborhoods are shot, what did the Scranton Times do? Nothing.

Janet, if you were the mayor they would be on you -- on your case it would be so bad I think you would probably have a nervous breakdown, you know, that's how it is.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. QUINN: They are playing games and this is in May 2001 when the mayor ran in the primary, contributions by the Scranton Times for Mayor Doherty. Now, you people get -- you people are getting blamed by the Scranton Times, they brought you back into the picture for this here \$16 million, okay, for blowing it for the banks and stuff, you know? And, you know, it's not

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right for you people to be backed in here.

Mrs. Evans, I remember way back when you coined those words the Doherty debt and you forewarned them and nobody, nobody, they sat here, all of those reporters, I can name them, and not one of them questioned you. Maybe they did, I don't know, but I'm sure the editor didn't do a thing, knocked everything out. They let this man just go and do whatever he wanted to do for 11 years. He spent and spent and spent and mismanaged and he comes up with a Recovery Plan and the Recovery Plan he already sold -- he sold storm water pipes, we don't even own, and he finds \$3 million that he didn't know what was there, what kind of a government are we running here?

And how can they have the audacity, the Scranton Times or anybody else, to blame it on you four people? It is beyond my understanding, okay? It just burns me up when I see this. If you follow government, as I have followed it through the years, I know what's happening, I know what's going on because of the fact that all you got to

do is put the pieces together and you can see it.

I remember Jimmy Connors was mayor and he was wrapped day by day, day by day poor Jimmy, okay, and as soon as -- May, before the election, before the mayor was elected, they were contributing to Mayor Doherty his campaign.

Now, how can you honestly say that these people are getting a fair shake if the Times is paying for Doherty to be the mayor? You would have be to a fool to think you are going to get a fair shake. Thank you very much.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Our next speaker is Lisa Marino.

MS. MARINO: Good evening, Council.

May I approach?

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. MARINO: As you guys are perusing those pictures, they were taken five days after the city pool opened. They are pictures from the women's bathroom. When you get to see them all I guess they are going to pretty much speak for

themselves. It's a living disgrace. The pool was deeded to the city and not only was it deeded to the city, I never had an issue with so much as they had to have a fee to get in. They put the slides in, my daughter was young then and I thought it was wonderful, but it was a few dollars, even though I didn't agree with it I was still happy that I had a pool five blocks from my house. It's \$5 a person. It's a -- that's a disgrace literally, and it is a disgrace that I wouldn't even take a dog into that bathroom.

If you look at those pictures, those pictures were taken at 3:00 in the afternoon five days after that pool opened, and it is still in the same condition. Not all of the pictures reflect what the bathroom is now, some are a little worse, if that's humanly possible.

With that being said, I was going up to the pool to see my best friend's little boy swim. Fully clothed, as I am right now, not in this outfit, I was denied access into that pool just to sit there for possibly an

hour and a half just to watch him swim. Not to swim, not to take my sneakers off, not to put my feet in the pool, just to watch him swim, denied access in. That wasn't a problem a few years ago, but it's becoming a problem now, and as I stood there to go into the bathroom and to come back out they let a whole family in that were there for the exact same reason, and there was four of them with their little child.

I mean, I don't really have a lot to say. I'm not here to bash completely. I just think my pictures speak for themselves. I wish everybody in the gallery could see them. I mean, what can you really say? I will say this, that I have been there enough times to make these statements and they are completely true. I get to that pool at 11:30, these lifeguards don't come in until it is time for that pool to open, and I can assure you on more than 50 occasions that pool has not opened until ten after 12, quarter after 12 and that's a fact.

I mean, I don't know, I'm not that old, but I have a job, I'm there 20 minutes,

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a half an hour early. I realize these are people that are a lot younger than me, they still have responsibility. I mean, it's in the budget that they are to be paid and they are to be paid for the time that pool opens to the time their shift is over.

And another thing, for the people who allow their kids to go to the people pool and use it as a DayCare, I know that that cannot be stopped, I realize that, but when we have our lifeguards there the lifeguards need to do a better job. lifeguard is coming off the chair the other lifeguard that is there, their replacement should be facing the pool, not texting, not on the phone, not talking about the party they were at the night before. Their eye should be trained on that water because it only takes a minute for a little boy or girl to go under, and we know that a few years back that almost happened. That's pretty much what I have to say.

MS. EVANS: Do you care to add to that?

UNKNOWN SPEAKER: No.

MS. MARINO: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you very much.

Mrs. Krake, if we could send a letter,

please, to actually -- would we be able to

keep those pictures?

MS. MARINO: Yes, you can keep them.

MS. EVANS: Because if you give them to Mrs. Krake, I'd like you to send a letter with a copy to the mayor to Mr. Dougher, the Recreation Authority, and if we can maybe watch the meeting again or get the transcript because I'd like to actually quote -- use the quotes on comments that were made tonight regarding the condition of the pools -- or the pool house, the tardiness of the lifeguards and their occasional inattention to the swimming population. All these problems need to be addressed immediately, not a month from now when the season is over. Thank you.

Our next speaker is a Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

MS. EVANS: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County Department of Community

Relations. First I would just note this evening's showing of Rocky, this evening's scheduled showing of the movie Rocky as part of the drive-in downtown outdoor movie series on courthouse square, has been postponed due to possible inclement weather. The makeup date will be Thursday, August 9, at 9 p.m., also on courthouse square.

Again, this evening's showing of Rocky on courthouse square as part of the drive-in downtown outdoor movie series has been postponed until Thursday, August 9, at 9 p.m. due to possibly inclement weather this evening. On that same note, we are happy to announce that ET is the winning movie in the online poll to determine the fifth and now not final movie in the drive-in downtown movie series, so next Thursday, July 26, ET will be shown at 9 p.m. on courthouse square. Bring your blankets, your lawn chairs and certainly enjoy the movie.

Keeping with courthouse square theme, our noon concert series, the next installment will be tomorrow, Friday

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

afternoon, July 20, with Jim Cohen and Friends starting at noon on the Linden Street side of courthouse square. Then Saturday evening the next installment in the Saturday evening concert series will be Polka night featuring Joe Stanke and the Cadets with Mike Magden from 6:00 p.m. to 8t p.m., also on the courthouse square, as part of the Saturday evening concert series.

Over the last few weeks we have talked about several of the events going on during the week of August 3 through 5 in downtown Scranton, including the 3 on 3 basketball tournament, First Friday, and also the Jazz Festival, upcoming Jazz Festival, which I mentioned last week. Keeping with those events, we have two other events that our department has since been made aware of, which we would like to mention. The first is the annual Ramadan Tent and Turkish Cultural Exhibitions, taking place on Friday evening, August 3, on courthouse square, at the intersection of North Washington Avenue and Linden Street. The celebration will consist of a concert

with the group Wind of Anatolia. Also, other crafts, calligraphy, arts and crafts cultural events will take place from 5 p.m. to 10 p.m. RSVP for the events if possible by e-mailing CALVEYC@LackawannaCounty.org or by calling Chris Calvey at 570-963-6590, extension 106. That's 570-963-6590, extension 106.

And also that same weekend taking place Sunday, August 5, at 5:30 p.m., actor and comedian Elon Gold will perform at the Scranton Cultural Center. At that same event there will be a celebration of Jewish ethnic foods available. I don't have any contact information for this. There was none on the release we were given, but I will n certainly follow-up on it next week and make the public aware of the best way to get information regarding the event, but it will be taking place the same weekend as the events that I previously mentioned in just a few weeks.

I'll pass on some notes from our
Parks and Recreation Department of
Lackawanna County. The Lackawanna County

Commissioners annual Junior Golf Tournament will take place on August 10, 11 and 12 at the Scranton Municipal Golf Course. There is no entrance fee, however, there is a green feet which is payable to the Scranton Municipal Golf Course, 1099 Golf Club Road, Mt. Cobb, PA, 18436. Information and registration can be taken care of by contacting the Parks and Recreation Department at 570-689-2686. That's 570-689-2686.

Also, the Lackawanna County Parks and Recreation Department will host a cheerleading clinic, All Star Cheer Academy, Friday and Saturday, August 17 and 18, for girls ages 6 to 14. There is a limit of 45 girls in the clinic. Further information can be obtained by contacting 963-6764. That's 570-963-6764.

And last, but not least, Live Nation and the Toyota Pavillion at Montage Mountain in partnership with the Lackawanna County Arts and Cultural Department is seeking sculptures to display at their first annual Peach Music festival presented by the Allman

the Toyota Pavillion and the Sno Cove Water Park. Ten artists will be selected to have their sculptures on display for a temporary exhibit on the festival grounds. Artists can get more information by contacting Tyler Williamson from Live Nation at TYLERWILLIAMSON@LIVENATION.COM, or you can visit the festival's website at WWW.THEPEACHMUSICFESTIVAL.COM. That's all we have for this evening.

Brothers band to be held August 10 and 12 at

MS. EVANS: Mr. Hetman, I just have one question, regarding all of the wonderful special events that are conducted at courthouse square, who is responsible for the cleanup afterwards?

MR. HETMAN: We can certainly get you a breakdown of that, Mrs. Evans. If you let me work on that tomorrow I will certainly contact you with the complete breakdown. I'm not sure if it's the participants or county agencies, but we can certainly get that for you and will contact you as soon as possible with the accurate and complete information on those events.

Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: 2 No problem. 3 MS. EVANS: Our next speaker is Doug Miller. 4 5 MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council. Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd just like to 6 7 begin tonight with a few questions, two of 8 them are issues we have had in the past. 9 I'll start off with an issue we have been 10 having the last item of weeks and that's 11 dealing with the fire works up at Nay Aug. 12 It's a question we have been asking, we just 13 can't seem to get a straight answer. We 14 keep saying, you know, we believe this, we believe that, to be honest with you at this 15 16 point I'm not concerned about what we 17 believe, you know, I want fact. So I'll ask 18 again tonight, two weeks later, do we know 19 how much the Nay Aug Park fireworks show 20 cost us and how was this paid for? 21 MR. ROGAN: We still haven't 22 received a response from the administration. 23 MR. MILLER: And how long do we 24 expect them to answer a simple question as 25 to how much fireworks cost? I don't

MS. EVANS:

Thank you.

1

understand how that's such a difficult thing to ask.

MR. ROGAN: Judging by their track record, they probably won't.

MR. MILLER: Oh, believe me, I'm not surprised. It's just amazing, it really is, that we can't get an answer to a simple question as to how much fireworks cost.

It's just, you know, banging your head against the wall. The second question is, it's a concern of a resident that I spoke to earlier in the week, regarding the electric city sign, there was a question as to how much this cost to operate the lights, the electric city sign, and how we are paying for this. That's something I think we would like to get an answer to as well.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Miller, actually we probably spoke to the same person, I will put that request in tonight as well.

MR. MILLER: Very good. And finally an issue that was raised last week that I had failed to respond to when I came up to the podium regarding Chief Davis and his use of city vehicles for personal use while on

the clock, taking noncity employees out to lunch and discuss future campaigns. I think there needs to some sort of punishment. I know, Councilman Loscombe, you were going to look into this matter and try to resolve it. It was totally inappropriate. I think the vehicle should be taken away from him and I think we need to get right down to the bottle of this.

Moving on, you know, I thought

Mr. Quinn made a lot of good points tonight
regarding, you know, what's been going on
here. I am pleased to hear the city
employees will be paid in full tomorrow due
to some tax revenue that we has come in over
the past few weeks, but obviously there is
still concerns going forward as to how we
make payroll two weeks from now and beyond
that. This is an issue that we have to deal
with, of course, because of the mayor's
incompetence and it's truly unfortunate.

But, you know, it's kind of -- I
find it quite comical that we have the
Scranton Times downtown, Rock 107, owned by
the Times Shamrock, downtown giving out free

lunches to all the city employees. For ten years they sat back and not one time did they ever hold the mayor accountable for his actions, and yet they are downtown as if they are doing us a favor by handing out lunches. I just think it's totally a complete joke. And, you know, you have a campaign finance report right here from 2001, I appreciate Mr. Quinn letting me bring it up, that he came prepared tonight, and it's in here, the contributions from the Scranton Times. So, of course, they are going to protect their master and they are not going to say a word. You know, they are just as guilty as he is, I believe, it's my opinion.

Moving onto the Recovery Plan, as I have stated on numerous occasions from this podium, I don't believe we should consider a plan with a 78 percent tax increase, and I know council won't allow that to happen.

Obviously, it's a burden on the taxpayers who I believe have suffered enough already. We need realistic solutions and, you know, this council has those solutions, but

unfortunately time and time again they are ignored, they have fallen on deaf ears and I think it's time the mayor turns his hearing aid up a couple of notches and takes your concerns into consideration because you have offered quite a bit, and I think if he, you know, took the time to actually sit down and take a look at them he would see that they truly are in the best interest both today and, you know, later on down the road.

But I still am to this day am completely puzzled as to why the banks need to see a Recovery Plan as it hasn't been an issue for seven years and all of a sudden now it is. You know, we heard last week comments that no bank is going to talk to the city. Well, why? It's very simple. I don't understand why it's so complex.

And then we have a business administrator upstairs who does business by sending out e-mails. I mean, I have never heard anything so comical in my life.

That's not way you do business professionally. What is that a complete joke? This isn't a circus. The

1

professional thing to do is pick up the phone and call the bank or better yet go in person and lay out your plan. You don't send out e-mails. I mean, what is that? Ι don't understand where we are going here. You know, I just think, again, it's a case of Mr. McGowan maybe being a little overwhelmed. I truly don't believe he is even competent enough to be in that position and he is also just as much to blame as well.

But it does raise serious questions as we have talked about collusion going on, you know, in part by DCED and PEL and the banks. Why are they refusing to sit down with the city and discuss some sort of a loan? As I said, it's never been an issue before and I don't understand. I just can't understand why it's an issue now. no sense as all. The city has assured that it would -- it will pay back any loan taken out, and I just think that it's, you know, like I said, I think there is some serious questions that need to be raised, and like I said, it's a just doesn't make a whole lot

25

of sense.

Rut just in conclusion here, you know, we are the laughing stock of the nation, we made national news, you know, the mayor has come forward and he has pointed the finger at everybody but himself. You know, we have people that come up here each week and they talk about bankruptcy.

Bankruptcy is not the solution. I think we need to get that out of our head. We need realistic solutions that address the issues.

It's not bankruptcy.

We need to move forward with a forensic audit, and I want to commend council for raising that issue and suggesting that we make it part of a Recovery Plan because until we have a forensic audit done that's going to take a look at ten years worth of spending and that accounts for every dime that's been spent, we are never really going to get a full understanding or full picture as to where we truly are financially. We need to account for every pencil and every eraser.

And, like I said, I commend you for

raising that issue and making -- trying to make that part of the Recovery Plan because that's something that should have been done a long time ago and as far as the mayor's finger pointing goes, you know, when a forensic audit is done and we see the truth audits don't lie and the truth will come out. Thank you. Our next speaker --

MR. JOYCE: Mrs. Krake.

MS. EVANS: Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: That's okay. Mrs.

Krake, if we could please resend

Mr. Miller's request on how much the

fireworks cost it would be greatly

appreciated, and the electric city sign, I'm

not 100 percent sure how much it cost per

month, but from what I have heard in the

past it's somewhere in the five to 1,000

dollar range and, of course, the city does

pay for that.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave
Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes paid,
fees paid. Okay, I have a message for the
Times' readers and outside communities, I

don't agree with the publication, especially the editorial department, and they are constantly denying and misrepresenting the facts. Many outside readers feel a 100 percent tax increase is warranted. I have been talking to people on a political basis on the phone for a political organization this last several months and Scranton comes up very often. They feel this as their taxes are higher.

And I'd like to point out that many people will be faced with 10, 15, 30 percent of their income if retired and just because your taxes go up don't mean that your boss gives you a raise. It's really crazy what some upper income communities would pay in taxes as compared to us, and don't forget that we put up with a lot of problems to live here, too, that don't exist in the (unintelligible.)

Now, the 113th district, which is Mr. Murphy's especially brings our average median income up to 44-K from 30 to 34-K, somewhere like that, that takes like grants away and everything in West Side and

takings. Our city administration relies on outside campaign monies. Why? Could it be contracts to the people within their communities, and a little suggestion there maybe they should just give that money to the Scranton deficit fund. Do us a little

favor for a change.

Providence. I mean, I wouldn't exactly call

colleges and so forth and, you know, to date

now it's 300 parking spaces. 120 extra to

the Hilton for free and it's given for tax

bill. Outsourcing lowers all our income tax

free for American society and we pay the

Providence a stylish upscale community.

activities with nonprofits such as the

receive promises of these economic

The revenue share returned, I mean, \$3.1 million was returned, we still have rolling brownouts on fire departments. Tax exempts are loaded on us by a lot of these representatives and state senators.

Freebies for everyone. Don't worry, the cash cows will pay.

Further, wage tax delays. Five months for turnover to cash strapped

communities. How much of a budget hole thanks to state assembly and our governor corporate, I mean, Corbett. No recovery until all the cards are on the table for this year.

And I have an answer for Chris Kelly why they cannot answer my friend Marie Schumacher's questions as council because the mayor doesn't tell them anything that's accurate anyway, so they would just be spreading around rumors if they said anything about it.

And I'd also like once again, and this is the last time I'll say it, it's about the fireworks and the Nay Aug Park Authority please resign. If you can't announce them in the paper don't send me the bill. Thank you very much, Park Authority. Just please find somewhere to go.

And now, our Sewer Authority is faced with a lot of money and that is federal regulations and it has to be done a certain way. Well, we have a bore hole in Old Forge that poisons the river completely from the old mines. If they didn't have

that bore hole it would flood our basements even up as far as West Side and so forth.

So, you know, nobody wanted to do anything for Scranton years ago why do they want to tell us and give us all their opinions now.

And the golden parrot Enbridge company, corporation from Canada, they have a tar sand, we have been hearing a lot about tar sand, they have a leaky pipe for ten years that blew up, made the Kalamazoo River in Michigan unusable for two years and it's still polluted all hell. And this audit with Bain Capital they are still outsourcing jobs. That's pretty important so thanks a lot and have a good night and don't forget, bawk, bawk, bawk.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. ROSKY: Good evening, Council.

Mary Ann Rosky, homeowner and city taxpayer and trying to be proud to live in this city, but not going so well. Anyway, I do have to comment, which I don't like to go as low as some people at the Times do, but I will have to attack Chris Kelly once again and, you

know, now we are slouching, mumbling, angry, nimrods. That's what we are called according to Chris Kelly in Sunday's paper. So we will take that, okay, because not everybody is as classy as a Sir Kelly. He should be here. He is a coward, and I would like to have him come forth and call names instead of hiding behind the newspaper, so the quote was, "Speakers are now angry, slouching, mumbling nimrods."

That's what he called us. Okay. So the way I see is his behavior with the paper is questionable. He has First Amendment Rights as well, but it's questionable. And, also, small minds, small words, that kind of thing, so you kind of know where these words are coming from and his low blows also show the mentality, and so we can just leave him at that, although, I want to say that my dog is not worthy of the newspaper for Chris Kelly to urinate on or to crap on.

I will never give them a penny. I will never -- I don't even read the paper unless it's given to me about what's going on with Chris Kelly or this city because

everything is a lie and I don't want to read about it and when I read it on Sunday I was very aggravated.

Okay, onto -- where am I going with this now? Okay, we have -- I said it before, the police officers, thank God they will be getting their full pay, but it's funny how it's like lost and found with this city. You know, when they come begging for money and they don't get it right on that time or they do get it, I should say, then they find money, so all of a sudden before the mayor's hearing July 24 on the contempt charge all of a sudden \$2 million so we have enough to pay, there is enough to pay our city workers this time, okay. Lost and found.

He is -- I just -- that SPA, give them a million dollars and they find -- what did they find, \$3 million I believe it was that they had somewhere and to me it's very collusional that had they found it.

And also the policemen and the firemen, I believe that they will not get their pay retroactive, that is my opinion,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and they will never see that pay. They will fight and fight, they will never, ever see that pay, just as our a few officers that have gone to Iraq, I believe, and returned back in 2006 they had never received their pay and they were police officers from this city. What does that tell you about the mayor? Of course he has no respect for the city, he has no respect for this council because you are not "yes, sir" people, you are not pocket players, and he has no respect for his country, the troops from this city that worked in this city fought for our country and got no back pay, no pay from him. So these poor police and firemen, I hate to bring it down on them, but they are not going to be paid it's my opinion. They won't get retroactive pay.

I do not want a 78 percent tax increases, neither do a lot of other taxpayers. We don't want our garbage fee raised. I don't think it should be at \$189 or \$178, as it is a year, and what we are paying for this city we get nothing. We are getting nothing. All we can count on is our

police and our firemen because you know what they didn't stand in line to get a free lunch, as they should have, because they don't have time. They didn't get a lunch hour. They can't say, "Well, we are going to go down for a half our, let's all meet down there for lunch," they don't get that time. If they can grab a sandwich on the run or they have to brown bag it that's what they do.

So I hope that that continues that they will continue to get their pay and I don't believe that they will because \$2 million, if I'm not mistaken is two payrolls, so where does it go after that? Will it be lost and found again? And I would think that this should go up right next to Mayor Connors' picture once Doherty leaves office. This is what belongs up there because he has a made a joke of this city for ten years, and he was given a chance time after time and time again.

Excuse me, can I have one more second, Mr. Joyce, I wanted to ask you a question?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

out figures -- not throwing out, but you gave great figures on what revenues were taken in by the University, Allied, CMC and

MS. ROSKY: When you were throwing

a few other in expenses.

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. ROSKY: Now, the University with them taking in \$227 million can they not, can DCED inform them that they are to pay more to the city because they are getting our police and firemen and they are not -- they should not be getting any of our help whatsoever.

MR. JOYCE: By state law DCED can't require them to pay anything to the city.

MS. ROSKY: Can anybody require them to pay more?

MR. ROGAN: No.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Not at this time.

MR. JOYCE: No, because of their nonprofit status.

MS. ROSKY: Well, can -- well, how can we get them to help? We are giving them our services -- I'm sorry, we are giving

1	them our services, I don't know, and the
2	fireworks I would like to know once again
3	how much they cost the city up at Nay Aug.
4	Thank you.
5	MR. JOYCE: Thank you. Does anyone
6	else wish to address council?
7	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jackie.
8	MR. LOSCOMBE: Chrissy.
9	MR. SLEDENZSKI: What's cooking,
10	Jack?
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Nice hat.
12	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Jack, up a little
13	higher, Jack? Up a little bit?
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yeah.
15	MR. SLEDENZSKI: Hey, Jack, you know
16	what next week is, don't you? Next Monday.
17	THE COURT: What's Monday?
18	MR. SLEAZES: (Unintelligible.) Next
19	Monday. I wish him good luck. Chris, come
20	home safe, buddy. Behave. Be careful out
21	there, will you.
22	MR. JOYCE: Does anyone else wish to
23	address council?
24	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
25	Council. Marie Schumacher.
	11

plan then, no?

MR. JOYCE: It should be in agreement with the revised Recovery Plan, yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Now, there has been a lot of talk from the solicitor, who is not here again tonight, and where is the solicitor and where has he been, does anybody --

MR. ROGAN: I did receive a phone call from Attorney Hughes on Friday, I got a voice mail, I tried calling him back to actually discuss some of the Parking Authority issues and he did say that he would be out of town.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. It just was custom in the past when the solicitor was absent there was somebody instead if something came up. But there has been -- he brought it up initially about the restricted assets of the Scranton Parking Authority and then, Pat, you have talked about it, it's been in the paper and it was on page 25 of the city's 2010 audit, it was in the audit of the Scranton Parking Authority, their

2010 budget -- or their 2010 audit came up and it was posted like about a year ago and so I don't understand why nobody knew that so I would like to ask, how many of you have actually read the audit? Anybody willing to --

MR. MCGOFF: I looked at it, but I'm not as astute with numbers as you are, so I probably would not, you know, be aware of --

MR. ROGAN: I would say we try to -I think pretty much all of us read over
everything we get, but every week there is a
stack this thick.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, but, I mean, we make this big thing about not getting the audit and how important it is and I would certainly think that each council member would make take the time to read it and if there were questions ask those questions and get the answers. That's why it's important. I mean, otherwise, it's sort of -- anyway. Enough said on that.

Next, since the -- well, on April 26, I'll do this one first, Mr. Ferrario stood at this podium and asked questions

about the parking -- the paid parking tax
that was going to be voted on that night and
then the 24th of May Mr. Boccardo was here
and threatening to sue, do we know what
response has been given to both of those
gentlemen regarding the issues that they
raised? I guess that means the answer is,
no, we don't know? Has anybody --

MR. ROGAN: I would just state again I voted against it because of those issues being raised and not having the information at the time, but I haven't received anything, I don't believe anyone else has either.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I just wonder did anybody get a list, I noted in the paper that the mayor said those bills had gone out, do we have a list of the recipients of those bills?

MR. JOYCE: I don't have a list of all of the recipients, but I could certainly ask for one.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would like to see that. Thank you. Now, since the Parking Authority term of existence expires in 2016

will their shortfall be included in the 2013 to 2016 operating budget of the city and then determination made in 2016 what will happen if they will be reauthorized or what will happen to the Parking Authority?

That's why I was hoping that Attorney Hughes would be here this evening because I know he is up on all of that and I don't know the ramifications with respect to debt, what happens, but I do know that the term of existence expires at 50 years, and according to their audit report they are last authorized in 1966, so it will be 2016.

MS. EVANS: As we all know the city is responsible for the debt of the Scranton Parking Authority.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yep.

MS. EVANS: So the responsible thing to do is to include in the operating budget payments that we need to be made. However, at this point that cannot be determined because there can be changes at the Scranton sewer Authority that will effect --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Not sewer Authority, Parking Authority.

MS. EVANS: I'm sorry, the Parking Authority that will effect that dollar amount.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But the worst case would be covering their forecasted shortfalls that will require the city to step in, so I would think the prudent thing would do to take the worst case and hope for something else.

And then a recent Sunday Times' article noted what the taxpayers have been telling the school board, and not getting too much of a concerned response, but when you meet with them have you discussed anything or have they discussed with you on the impact of their contributions to their pension fund going from roughly \$6 1/2 million a year this year to \$19 million in five years and how they plan to cover that without --

MS. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I just -- you know, I thought the whole purpose of the three of the bodies to whom we pay taxes was to sort of level out so we didn't have these massive

-- I mean, covering that is going to be very difficult and, you know, you people are going to be raising taxes and who knows what the county is going to do and I hope that you are facing those issues that the well is tapped.

MS. EVANS: The last meeting that was conducted, I believe, was primarily to discuss a countywide sales tax and it also involved representatives from neighboring counties, so that perhaps a joint effort among Lackawanna County, Monroe and Luzerne County would be made to lobby the legislators for approval of a sales tax.

MS. SCHUMACHER: For -- you mean, for the elimination of the school property tax or just for added revenue, if I may indulge the time?

MS. EVANS: I don't know that it was for the elimination of the school tax, no.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Because otherwise,
I would, I mean, I would strongly urge you
to not go ahead. I believe it was Senator
Blake who had that wonderful brainstorm that
I believe provided all of the revenue that

1 we collected in the city, and it was my 2 understanding if the paper was correct that 3 the only -- the only way they could impose that tax is if he they had a distressed city 4 5 within the county limits, and so the county was getting a big cut and we were getting 6 7 something like 14 percent, which would be a 8 drop in the bucket, so I would certainly be 9 against that and for the school property tax elimination. 10 MS. EVANS: Well, actually, the 11 12 figures presented by the county to the city 13 and all in attendance show that the city 14 would receive \$5.4 million. MS. SCHUMACHER: And do you have the 15 16 number of that bill, do you recollect that? 17 MS. EVANS: From the sales tax. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: From the 1 percent 19 sale tax increase, so that was Senator Blake's bill? 20 21 MS. EVANS: I don't -- I would 22 assume so. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Was that for 24 a single year? 25 MS. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MR. JOYCE: Before the next speaker, Mrs. Krake, could we please send a request out to the Treasury Department to ask for a list of all of the recipients of parking tax bills in accordance wit Mrs. Schumacher's request? Thanks.

MR. BECK: Good evening, Council.

Wayne Beck, city taxpayer. I wasn't going to speak tonight, but a couple of the comments were made by Mrs. Schumacher, I also went back and looked at the audit from the Parking Authority, I think ending in December 31st of 2010 I believe it was, and it did show that there was three point some million dollars in a restricted investment account, and it appears to me that that money has to be maintained -- you have to maintain at least an amount sufficient to cover one-year's bond repayments.

MR. JOYCE: That is correct.

MR. BECK: I think that -- that's

correct?

, |

MR. BECK: If that is correct and it wasn't -- the SPA Didn't have the money to pay the last bond issue when they came here for the million dollars, couldn't they have used that money, which they eventually I think did and replaced it, but I think rather than come here and create a panic could that money have been used at that time voluntarily to repay that, the bond payment that was due at that time?

That's correct.

MR. JOYCE:

MS. EVANS: Well, they did use the reserve fund to make payment and then the reserve fund had to be replenished, and if it were not, according to one of the agreements that Solicitor Hughes has reviewed, if the city -- well, the city is responsible for the debt, so if the reserve fund had not been replenished then the city would have been sued immediately for those funds, and the city then did not have the available funding to make payment then it would be made through a court-ordered tax increase.

MR. BECK: But if they had used that money and then come to the city to look for it they would have not been immediately determined to be in default of the bond issue, it would have made the deadline for the bond payment and then the city would have to reimburse it or it would continue to be held in the fund?

MS. EVANS: I don't know the answer to that question. I would have to ask Solicitor Hughes, but I am thinking that it could be the case of whenever you have dipped into the reserve fund and it's not replenished that's considered a default. You are in default by using the reserve fund to make payment.

MR. BECK: So it's just a matter of who you pay and when. The last question is, is it still in the Recovery Plan, I haven't had a chance to look at the revised Recovery Plan, for the sale of the parking meters to the SPA and if it is if -- even if the city were to pledge the future revenues from the meters as repayment, the whole idea behind them would be to get the \$6 1/2 million now

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

immediately, where is the money coming from
if they can't meet the million dollar bond
payment?

MS. EVANS: Well, they would have to -- and, yes, the answer is it is still included in the mayor's Recovery Plan, and even as we speak tonight and council has been trying to work with the administration to produce a realistic plan, it's still It had been listed previously under 2014 mandates, but since it was discovered that the storm water conveyance system could no longer be used as a source of revenue and that, incidentally, had been plugged into 2012, that created a \$5 million hole so now they had moved the sale or the lease of the parking meters from 2014 mandates right into 2012 to cover that hole.

Now, as you said, the Parking

Authority has no money to purchase or lease these meters. They would have to obtain financing to do so and in order for that to occur the city, once again, would have to pledge it's full faith and credit, it's taxing powers, in other words, to guarantee

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that loan. Now, that's not going to happen because I'm quite sure that no one on this council is agreeable. In fact, if we go back in time to when all of this discussion of the sale of meters began, I think maybe in September of 2011, council is on record in opposition time and again to that sale.

Now, what makes even -- well, I should say in addition, what appears to make equally less sense or lacks sense, I should say, is the fact that if the city is responsible for their debt, the city is going to lose an annual revenue stream of parking meters and now we have the Parking Authority trying to borrow let's say \$7 million, and perhaps you could tell us, if you are borrowing \$7 million you are probably going to be repaying almost double that in principal and interest through the years, that will add to the debt of the Parking Authority and ultimately the City of Scranton and no parking meter program is going to be able to bridge the gap of an already \$100,000 -- or \$100 million in debt with, you know, the additional whatever this would be, you know, I'm assuming maybe between six and seven million in principal that would be borrowed, plus interest over the term of the loan. So it's -- it really is an irrational suggestion.

MR. BECK: Is there any kind of a worst case scenario built into these figures that we are talking about because evidently we don't have a bond rating, the city doesn't have a good bond rating, so the cots of the bonds are going to be on the high side.

MS. EVANS: Yes, they will.

MS. BECK: Are the figures that are being used are they rational? I haven't seen those either.

MS. EVANS: Now, for which situation?

MR. BECK: For any borrowing, whether it be the Parking Authority that didn't have the payment or the city that can't make payroll.

MS. EVANS: When we had Brian

Koscelansky in and Ryan McGowan for a public caucus that concerned the \$9.85 million

unfunded debt borrowing and the refinancing 1 2 for the city. Instead of that being let's 3 say approximately \$16 million, the legislation was for up to \$26 million and 4 that would be because of all of the fees and 5 interest costs involved, and I believe, I 6 7 don't know if anyone else remembers this, I 8 believe they listed the interest rate at 9 10.5 percent. 10 MR. JOYCE: You are correct. believe that's what it was. 11 12 MS. EVANS: And so, yes, they are 13 high interest rates. 14 MR. BECK: Thank you. MS. EVANS: 15 Is there anyone else who 16 cares to address council? 17 MR. KRAKE: 5-A. MOTIONS. 18 MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, do you have 19 any comments or motions tonight? 20 MR. MCGOFF: Please. First of all, 21 I did say to someone I would find out what 22 the cost of the fireworks was and I must 23 admit that I neglected to do that. I will 24 make a call and hopefully have that 25 information for next week's meeting.

Second of all, somebody mentioned something about Chief Davis again. I did speak with Chief Davis and, in fact, he was at lunch at the Birney Plaza in Moosic. He said that he uses the city vehicle because he is on-call during the day, actually on-call at all hours, and as far as what his conversation was about, I don't know and I don't know how anyone else would know unless they are there with him conversing or spoke to the person that he was having lunch with. So if that's a violation of some kind I would think that it's somewhat of a rather minor infraction.

Secondly, a mention was made that the business administrator only uses e-mails to converse. That's a rather gross -- grossly misconstrued what the business administrator does. Mr. McGowan has been working diligently with the lending community since the beginning of the year to try and arrange financing and, you know, has done due diligence in his job.

I have been involved in at least two conference calls with him with the banking

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community and I know that I have been at a number of meetings with the banking community, so to characterize him as only using e-mails and that's the way he is does business would be a gross injustice to him.

Also, again, I don't want to be an apologist for the University of Scranton, but we seem to criticize the University of Scranton no matter what they do. provided the city with payment in lieu of taxes before, you know, they came to the city and made that payment before they usually make the payment because we were in a cash shortage and they are one of the few tax exempts in the city that actually does provide payment in lieu of taxes.

Again, we may quibble about the amount, but to criticize them for, you know, making a payment I think is somewhat I think we should, you know, ludicrous. thank them for making the payment early and, you know, again, hope that perhaps in the future they will make a larger payment in lieu of taxes.

Another item that we have -- as far

as the sales tax is concerned, I did miss a meeting at the Commissioner's Office concerning the sales tax and I had a copy of the legislation with me last week and I must have taken it out of my bag, I do not have it to answer the questions to what the proposed distribution was of the funding, but I believe there is another meeting scheduled for this coming Monday and I believe it's also concerning the sales tax. I do plan to attend, I know Mrs. Krake was at the last meeting and if there is anything to report on that, anything I will be more than happy to do that next Thursday at the meeting.

Another thing that had come up was the rental registration, it came up last week and we talked about the fact that there has been some confusion as to what position was supposed to be funded or provided for doing the rental registration program. In the legislation we provided for a rental registration coordinator, in the budget I believe we provided for a rental registration assistant, one was going to be

determined by us, whether it was a professional service or how we were going to do it, and I believe the intent of the rental registration assistant was to be a union position, one of the housing inspectors or someone in that office.

I would like at this point, if we could, to give some clarification to that and perhaps request of the Human Resources

Department to put it out to -- I don't know whether it's bid or if that's the proper term, for the rental registration assistant so that this position could be filled and if we could perhaps provide a brief job description for that position so that it can be put out to the union for bid. I don't know whether we want to discuss the job description now or whether it's something that --

MR. ROGAN: I thought we did that at one point.

MR. MCGOFF: We talked about -- I don't think that we ever actually provided a job description for it. I know we talked about -- I think we talked about a job

description for the rental registration coordinator, but that we never came to a conclusion on it and it was never actually put out to bid. That would be outside of the union.

MS. EVANS: I don't think though it's within our scope of authority to write the job descriptions. That would be the job of the HR Office.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, I know in the past when we talked about this that we had talked about what we wanted that position to do or, you know, to be.

MS. EVANS: Coordinate. Because the position appears in the budget, has been in the budget.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. EVANS: It wasn't placed into the budget by council, and there had been, I thought, an employee who held that position and then resigned.

MR. MCGOFF: I have a recollection of someone that was doing that and then they went back to doing whatever their normal duties were.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. EVANS: That maybe the person who resigned, departed and then --

MR. MCGOFF: But I guess then what, maybe what I'm asking is, should we go to HR and ask that that be, you know, immediately put out to bid? And my thoughts were that as a union position that basically some of the things that we need to do is what we have talked about before is compile a data base, mail correspondence and record payments. You know, kind of the three basic things to do and then perhaps it may be late in the year to actually get a coordinator, but perhaps we can start thinking about something for the beginning of 2013 so that it can be done or if anyone thinks that we can get that done for 2012 then I'd be more than happy to do that.

MS. EVANS: I think they really, in my opinion anyway, this should have been done a long time ago.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, it should have.

MS. EVANS: But since it hasn't then
I think it needs to be done as soon as
possible so that person is prepared in 2013

to get this show on the road properly.

MR. ROGAN: I believe the legislation might have to be amended as well because I know there was a date for payment for this year and I don't think the city could ask the people to pay a bill they never received.

MS. EVANS: Right.

MR. ROGAN: So I think that will have to be done, too. And also one of the other things I brought up last week that was given to everyone was the Shenandoah one strike you're out law that I would like to look into as well for drug dealers.

MR. JOYCE: Right. To add to

Mr. Rogan's comments, there was a -- there

were also penalties for nonpayment as well

so that would have to be amended. And I

agree that this job position sudden be

filled, but what I'm thinking if it's

already in the budget, and I know it's been

in the budgets for the past few years,

wouldn't there be a job description on file

in the HR Office already?

MS. KRAKE: Just as my experience

with being past president of the clerical union, there should be someone in this job right now because they are paying being paid for that line item in the budget, and for someone to be in this job the director should have composed a job description in conjunction with the Human Resources Office and agreed to by the clerical union and the person should be doing that work, but if someone is not being directed to do that then it goes back on the department head, and I think council has given so many directions as to do that work it should be quite obvious how to complete that.

MS. EVANS: Um-hum.

MR. MCGOFF: Again, I'll try and -I will speak with Human Resources and with
LIPS to see if we can get this implemented
as soon as possible, or at least that one
position and then we can discuss the others.

And lastly, just to comment about
the budget that Mrs. Evans mentioned
earlier, I do remember that when the budget
for 2012 was presented and approved everyone
seemed to be happy to claim credit for the

15 16 17

18

20

19

21

22

2324

25

now all of a sudden nobody wants to have ownership of it. I think that's a little bit strange. And also council was never

2012 budget, in fact, we were praising, you

know, people for constructing the budget and

asked to include the unfunded debt in the budget. As a matter of fact, I believe

that -- I believe that council was advised

by PEL and DCED and the business

administrator that the unfunded debt should not be used as revenue in the budget, so

when we did include it that was our decision

to put that in the budget.

MS. EVANS: Mr. McGoff, did you have any conversations with those individuals back in November and December over the unfunded debt?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. EVANS: And the -- well, that's quite contradictory to the conversations had between other council members and those individuals. In fact, it's the exact opposite. And actually, you know, you did not do any work on the budget, whereas, there were council members who were and they

1 were in touch with Mr. McGowan daily and with Mr. Cross on numerous occasions. 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Um-hum, and they advised council to not include it in the 4 5 budget --MS. EVANS: No, they did not. 6 MR. MCGOFF: -- as unfunded debt. 7 8 Well, in speaking recently with those 9 persons that --10 MS. EVANS: Oh, recently --11 MR. MCGOFF: -- is what they --12 MS. EVANS: Recently that's their 13 memory and that's very convenient. 14 MR. MCGOFF: And notes that they 15 have, yes. 16 MS. EVANS: Well, you know, the last 17 think I'll say on that, and then I'm going 18 to drop it is, that's a city budget and I'm 19 not saying that we didn't work on it. 20 have often times stated that, yes, we did, 21 but in cooperation with the administration 22 for the first time, so if you want to point 23 the finger of blame, point it at the people 24 who are now denying having worked on that 25 issue, and in so doing what's going to

happen is that this council will not repeat that action for a 2013 budget.

If we are going to be held accountable for joint drafting and joint efforts, well, if we are going to be held accountable in the future we will be accountable for solely our own work.

And I'm sorry, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Not a problem.

MS. EVANS: Comments and motions?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. A few comments and then a few motions as well.

First, I believe it was mentioned by a few speakers, I guess we just should just discuss it now before we get to the voting portion of the meeting, Item 6-A, would everyone prefer to vote on that this week or table it? As I said, I will be in touch with Linda tomorrow. Is there a consensus on what everyone would like to do on that?

MS. EVANS: I think since you are the chair of the committee that you make the decision on that.

MR. ROGAN: I have no problem voting on it this week, if we don't have the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

answers next week then we can table it if that's acceptable to everyone.

MS. EVANS: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Next, and since it's been going on for a long time, the last two years actually, the concept of the mayor's budget versus council's budget, and although I did submit suggestions to the budget I wasn't in contact with Mr. McGowan as much as Mr. Joyce was, but I was copied on a lot of those e-mails, and I do remember seeing, sometimes a few times daily, e-mails going back between the two of them on different Now, I'd have to go back and pull e-mails from almost a year ago now, but I do know that it's not a sole budget done by the city council. The mayor's budget was sent down and that was basically used as a platform and the items that council did not like were taken out and others were put in, and regarding the unfunded debt I remember very clearly sitting down with the mayor when he asked me to either support the unfunded debt or to do the parking meters and I told him straight up that I think, as

we all did, the parking meters were absolutely off the table, and I'll address that a little bit later on as well, and I said I would go along with the unfunded debt only if the assurance was given that this was the end of the line for borrowing, the last borrowing, and the mayor did give the assurance and I did vote for it.

But now the mayor is saying, well, this was council's idea. It wasn't. You know, the mayor was all for it as well and he basically said we have two options, which one? He didn't say he opposed it, but now that, you know, we have -- things have happened, payless -- almost payless paydays for employees, minimum wage, backed up health care and other bills, now the mayor all of a sudden it's council's budget. It's not council's budget, it's not the mayor's budget, it's the city's budget.

And with the Recovery Plan I have a feeling the same thing will happen. If council amends the mayor's Recovery Plan or however it plays out, I don't know how it's going to play out, I have a feeling if it

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

works the mayor is going to say, "Well, this is mine and I did that."

If it doesn't work, it was council's. When, in fact, at the end of the day it's not going to be either or. agree, as I stated last week, I understand, and I think we all do as a council, as individuals, that not every one of us is going to see everything we want in there and I think we all have principal things if they are not included or if things are included would cast a "no" vote, I think one of them, as was mentioned before, was the parking meters, but it's going to have to be a collaboration between council the mayor. That's what I have been advocating for months now that the mayor comes and we all sit down and discuss it. I know a letter was sent last week and Mr. Joyce mentioned it before the meeting, no reply again from the mayor, so we have to see how the mayor chooses to proceed.

A couple more issues that were brought up. I am glad to see that the city employees will be receiving full payroll

this pay period. I'm sure it comes as a relief to the city employees that have mortgages and bills to pay. As I stated, until the crisis is resolved I will not be cashing my check. Hopefully it will be resolved soon and the city employees will get not only full pay, but the back that they were owed from the pay period that didn't materialize.

Next, I want to bring it up, and this has been an ongoing issue with the Scranton Parking Authority, and there was an article in the paper today actually, and there are two things I wanted to mention.

One was a quote that was in there that was mine that was off a little bit of what I -- and Mr. Lockwood and I were going back and forth and I was in the car and it was a long conversation, but it said, "Mr. Rogan claims it is "absolutely untrue" that, if council did not guarantee the SPA debt the inevitable result would be a lawsuit and a tax increase."

I was speaking of the tax increase not necessarily the lawsuit. It would have

gone up to the Court, and the bottom line is it at the end of the day is it the city have to pay if they don't have money on-hand to pay? Absolutely. No one is denying that.

Do I want to see it placed into receivership and competent management? Absolutely. I think we are all on the same page with that, but we have a different route to get there.

This is the part that really concerns me, and as I stated last week when I read off the numbers in the SPA reserve fund and, as I stated, the balance as of December 31, 2010, was \$3,232,145. On June 30, 2012, that increased to \$4,344,087, so that's an increase of approximately \$1.1 million, which is actually more money than the city had to pay to bail them out.

In the article today when

Mr. O'Brien was asked by the Scranton Times
how that reserve fund increased by a million
dollars when they came to the city crying
poverty saying they can't afford to pay
their bills, his response was, "There could
be a lot of explanations, but I assume it's
growth investments and fund transfers."

Now, growth investments would assume approximately a 33 percent increase over two years, which I think anyone who understands the markets rarely happens, and if it does it's like you are involved in a Ponzi scheme, you are just at the beginning of it before the bottom falls out, so I highly doubt in less than two years a million dollars could have been made on a \$3 million investment.

The other item that it could be is funds transfer, which is the one I think is more likely. Now, if, as Mr. O'Brien states, there is a minimum that needs to be kept on-hand, I would assume that the minimum would be the lower amount, the \$3.2 million or they would have been in violation all along by not having the higher amount in the account.

So if they had the \$3.2 million in the account all along that was needed to keep the reserve fund intact, why then increase it by \$1.1 million when you can't pay your bills, when you are coming to the city taxpayer to ask us to pay your bill?

So either -- and I'll also mention,
I know it was in the audit, there was not
one mention of a reserve fund in I would say
probably an hour and half long caucus that
we had with numerous questions with Mr.
Scopelliti and Mr. Kelly and other members,
and I think part of that is because
Mr. Kelly wouldn't let Mr. Scopelliti answer
any of Attorney Hughes' questions.

Now, I am happy that he finally resigned, that was absolutely a conflict, I'm glad that they have a new solicitor, Mr. O'Brien, haven't had any conversations with him as of yet, hopefully it turns out to be better than the previous solicitor, but the question has to be answered of why was \$1.1 million put into that fund or if the investments made \$1.1 million why wasn't that taken out of the fund to pay the debt instead of coming to the city?

MS. EVANS: Well, if I can just jump in, I would like to know where the \$1.1 million came from.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: Because you have a huge

gap there, you know, between December 31, 1 2010, and June 30, 2012. So what happened 2 3 between January 1, 2011, and May 2012? in addition to that, something that occurred 4 5 to me as you were speaking was that during that time period they took another loan out, 6 which you will remember we did not guarantee 7 8 through I believe Landmark Bank. I can't 9 remember the dollar amount on that. MR. JOYCE: I think it was \$2.95 10 million. 11 12 MS. EVANS: And, you know, there have been numerous attempts made to attached 13 14 that, in fact --MR. ROGAN: Try to get the city to 15 16 back it. 17 MS. EVANS: Right. That was 18 attached to a TAN. I remember. 19 MR. ROGAN: 20 MS. EVANS: In 2012 and that fell 21 through then because we are not going to 22 guarantee that debt. Now, the more you peel 23 the layers back here on this onion, if you 24 will, the more questions you have. The more 25 pieces aren't fitting together.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely. And, you know, I know that the Scranton Times keeps stating that, you know, I am advocating for default, it's not default as to say that we are not paying our bills, there is no accountability there. We can't let the current management of the Parking Authority stay there another day. That's why I opposed it.

Also, we still to this day have not received the answers from the council members and our solicitor for Mr. Scopelliti at the caucus. Now, you would think somebody who came to us begging for money and who very likely will be coming back begging again for money, and I'll tell you right now I'm not voting for it again if Mr. Scopelliti and the same crew is in charge, you would think they would be a little more willing to provide us with the information that we need, and it's -- exactly, the budget was never sent as well.

MS. EVANS: Remember, we voted that down.

MR. ROGAN: Absolutely, and the

questions Attorney Hughes had and all of us, and I remember very clearly when I asked Mr. Scopelliti one question I said, "How do you expect us to make this vote without the proper information?"

And all he could say was, "Mr. Rogan, I understand."

He doesn't understand because if that was the case he would have called each one of us or called our clerk the next day and provided the information, but that's not the case.

Obviously, there is an extra million dollars floating around that the Parking Authority now has and the city does not.

So that being said, I would like to make a motion requesting that the Scranton Parking Authority repays the City of Scranton \$1,035,948.50.

MS. EVANS: I second that. We have a motion on the floor and a second, on the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I think I just stated pretty clearly the point of the motion. There is obviously, as I said, an

extra million dollars floating around of city taxpayer dollars that the Parking Authority now has and no explanation where it went. And, also, the legislation in the past wasn't even implemented legally because that legislation called for a wire transfer from the city to the bank when, in fact. It went from the city to the bank back to a trust fund. So it wasn't even implemented by the letter of the law. So that's why I'm making this motion.

MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Since we don't know what the source of this supposed million dollars --

MR. ROGAN: It's not a supposed million dollars, it's in their own letter.

MR. MCGOFF: Since we don't know the source, do we know whether they can make that payment back to the city? Do we know if they are allowed, if that is money that can be used to repay the city?

MS. EVANS: Maybe if you want to amend the motion to say whatever funds are

contained, whatever monies are contained in the reserve fund above and beyond those required by law or contract to be in that fund shall be returned to the city.

MR. ROGAN: And that's fine. The bottom line is they were either -- if the minimum for the reserve fund is \$3.2 million

MR. MCGOFF: I understand.

MR. ROGAN: They either have extra money now for it or they were in violation all along of being a million dollars short so they are coming to us looking for the money.

MS. EVANS: Because they may have wanted to make payment to Landmark.

MR. ROGAN: And that may be very well true because they know the city didn't back that debt so they placed money into the reserve fund to pay debt that isn't backed by the city, using our money to pay the city backed debt, and it's just a giant scam of fact of the Parking Authority. It's a scam and the taxpayers were had for a million dollars.

MS. EVANS: Would someone -- well. 1 would you like to amend the motion? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Could I amend my own 4 motion? 5 MS. EVANS: Well, perhaps someone else will make a motion to amend. 6 MR. LOSCOMBE: 7 I would make a motion 8 to amend Mr. Rogan's motion according to 9 what Mrs. Evans has added that. MS. EVANS: Do we have a second? 10 MR. JOYCE: Second. 11 12 MS. EVANS: Just to repeat that, 13 that the Scranton Parking Authority would 14 return to the City of Scranton any moneys in its reserve account above and beyond what is 15 16 required to be maintained in the reserve 17 fund in accordance with contracts. 18 MR. ROGAN: So it would read, "I 19 would like to make a motion requesting the 20 Scranton Parking Authority to repay the City 21 of Scranton \$1,035,948.50 or any excess funds in the DSRF reserve fund what is 22 23 required to be kept -- above what is 24 required to be kept by contract." 25 MS. EVANS: All those in favor

The ayes

1

signify by saying aye.

2

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

3

MR. ROGAN:

Aye.

4

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

5

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

6

MS. EVANS: Aye.

7

have it and so moved. So now it goes back

Opposed?

8

to the original motion. Anyone else on the

9

question?

10

MR. MCGOFF: I would just like to

11

have more information about the amount of

12

funding before I would vote yes to do this.

13

MR. JOYCE: From what I recall from

14

speaking to Solicitor Hughes in regard to

15

the DSRF, debt service reserve fund, it's

16

required that a full year's worth of bond

17

payments are to be in that fund. Now, I'm

18

not exactly sure off the top of my head what

19

the full year amount of the SPA bond

20

payments are, I would have to see their

21

budget to actually know that off the top of

22

my head, it may be somewhere in the 3 to 4

23

million dollar range, I assume, but I have

24

no problem with voting "yes" for this

25

because we are asking for anything in

1 addition of what's required to be in there to be returned back to the city. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: And I would just say again if the amount is \$4.3 million that 4 5 means they broke the contracts for all of this time by only had 3.2 million in there. 6 7 MR. JOYCE: Unless their bond payments were lower in 2010 when the last 8 9 audit was done. MR. ROGAN: We will see what comes 10 11 back to the city, but hopefully the 12 taxpayers will get some of that million 13 dollars back. 14 MS. EVANS: I think Solicitor Hughes has said that the total bond payments grow 15 16 each year going forward, at least in this 17 time period. 18 MR. ROGAN: You would think they would reduce? 19 20 MS. EVANS: That's right, but it's 21 out to about for the 35 million that's out to about 2034, so we are still relatively at 22 23 the beginning of this because it was 24 approved in 2007, and the years he was 25 citing, I believe, those that we are

currently looking at in the revised Recovery Plan. Okay. On the motion, all those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. JOYCE: Aye.

MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed?

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MS. EVANS: The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you I'm very happy that I finally had a motion pass in these past two weeks. Next, as was mentioned by myself and some speakers last week, and it turned into a little bit of a heated meeting about overtime, I did -- Mrs. Krake did provide me with overtime numbers for the past year and I was reviewing them by the department. You know, I do think it's important that city council be provided overtime numbers not only by department, but my employee.

So I would like to make a motion requesting itemized departmental overtime by employee for all of 2011 and 2012 from the

1	heads of all city departments, and I have
2	all of the city departments listed from the
3	website.
4	MR. JOYCE: Second.
5	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
6	those in favor signify by saying aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
8	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
10	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
11	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
12	have it and so moved.
13	MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And I would
14	also like to make a motion requesting that
15	all departments heads submit monthly
16	itemized department overtime records by
17	employee for all city departments to city
18	council.
19	MS. EVANS: We have a motion on the
20	floor, is there a second?
21	MR. JOYCE: Second.
22	MS. EVANS: On the question?
23	MR. MCGOFF: Is this moving forward?
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes. The first motion
25	was for the past.

MR. MCGOFF: This is for the future. 1 2 MR. ROGAN: This is monthly for the 3 future, that way we can keep a tab on where the overtime is going. And the street 4 5 sweeper was an issue that was brought up last week, if we had it in front of us on a 6 7 monthly basis we could monitor how often 8 it's been used. You know, we can see if it 9 was called out every Saturday for the last six months or if it has not. 10 So I 11 definitely think it would be a good tool to 12 keep council more informed and the public 13 more informed. 14 MS. EVANS: All those in favor 15 signify by saying aye. 16 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 19 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 20 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 21 have it and so moved. 22 Thank you, and just a MR. ROGAN: 23 few more comments on other issues that were 24 brought up, the idea of a sale's tax was 25 brought up, I do oppose this idea.

think it will hurt business in the area. For instance, two and a half years ago I purchased a car from Burne Pontiac at the time right in the city. If there was a 1 percent sales tax identified on that vehicle you can bet I would be driving a couple of counties away to purchase it from another dealer because a big purchase like a car, I think it was around \$18,000 for my used car, that's \$180, the 1 percent right there, so it would be worth the \$40 in gas to save the city extra money. So I do worry about that especially with the big ticket purchases.

MR. MCGOFF: You may want to look into that because I asked about that as well and they said in Philly or Allegheny county it's a sales use tax and no matter where you bought the car once it was registered, if you registered it in Lackawanna County that's where you would pay the sales tax of 1 percent. So you may want to --

MR. ROGAN: So you would be taxed no matter where you purchased it. Okay.

MR. MCGOFF: So you may want to --

MR. ROGAN: Yeah, And this is the

same type of issue as when the smoking ban was brought up, and whether you opposed or supported the smoking ban, obviously it hurt the local businesses because you can drive to another community to go to a bar and smoke or if is not imposed that way you can drive to another community to purchase big ticket items such as a vehicle or even furniture could be a couple of thousand dollars there could be a savings there, so that's something.

And the rental registration, as we discussed and, Mr. McGoff, you know, if you want to work together on that or all of us, however you want to do it, I would really like to see that one-strike law for the drug dealers included with that. I mentioned it last week, I had a lot of positive support from the community and I know the leaders in other communities, Shenandoah was the one recently in the news, they have been -- from speaking with them they have been inundated with calls of support from the people, so it's definitely something I think they could tighten up the law even a little bit more.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, the sale of the parking meters, and Mrs. Evans spoke to it and I agree with the discussion you had, and basically what would happen if the parking meters are sold to the Parking Authority is The city would agree to sell the meters to the Authority. The Authority would then go out and get borrowing, six to seven million dollars, which would then be backed by the city, so if the Parking Authority defaulted on that debt not only would the city not own have the parking meters any more, we would owe the \$6 million that we received from the meters plus the interest. And with the track record of this Parking Authority there would be absolutely no oversight for city council.

Selling the parking meters is probably the dumbest -- especially in this manner. If it was sold to say a private company that was going to say, "Here, here is \$20 million and then we are done with it," even though I still wouldn't support that, that would make a lot more sense than basically selling them to yourself to borrow

money that you will have to pay but you lose your asset. It's absolutely ridiculous to go down that route of selling these meters to the Authority.

That and the tax increase, the 78 percent, there is absolutely no way these items are going to pass with city council. We disagree on some issues, but there is some things that are just common sense and one of them was the sale of the meters basically to yourself with borrowed money, and it absolutely wouldn't work. It would be a train wreck if we sold the parking meters to the Authority.

So that is all I have for now, and I will comment on agenda items when they come up.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Councilman Loscombe, do you have any --

MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Evans, I apologize,
I do have one more thing. Just some
citizens' requests. The two of them were
1505 Washburn Street, I know that Chief
Duffy, and I know he is leaving but one of
the things he has been pushing is advocating

against junk and couches and things of that nature being on porches, because it's a fire hazard. I did receive information that at 1505 Washburn Street there is junk on the porch, they can be a fire hazard, and actually 1506 Washburn Street there has been a dumpster, I didn't get a chance to drive by, on the side of the home or in the road for three months, which seems to be an excessive amount of time. I know in the downtown if a dumpster is placed at the meter parking they have to pay the full rate of what the meters would have generated.

So, Mrs. Krake, could we please send those to Licensing and Inspections as well as the police department. And I do believe, I apologize to the residents, I do have in my pile of paperwork some more requests and I will get them. Thank you.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. And, now,
Councilman Loscombe, do you have any motions
or comments?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Believe it or not, I'm going to pass this evening.

MS. EVANS: Okay. And, Councilman

Joyce, do you comments or motions?

MR. JOYCE: Yes. Over the past week I have been working on the revised Recovery Plan. Late Tuesday afternoon business administrator, Ryan McGowan, sent me a Recovery Plan worksheet with various mandates. I have reviewed the worksheet for the revised Recovery Plan in its entirety and posed some initial questions to our business administrator, so progress is being made.

As other council members have alluded to, this is not my plan and everyone is not going to get everything that they want, but I would like to see participation of other council members in drafting the Recovery Plan. I know that from speaking to Councilwoman Evans that she spoke to the Mayor Doherty a number of times and offered some suggestions for the plan. What I would like to see is other council members offer their input.

I know initially from looking over the plan and just from, you know, some of the comments that were made here tonight,

one sticking point may be the sale of the parking meters to the Scranton Parking Authority, as I think we all agree. From previous discussions at council meetings, I know that no one was in favor of this. So with this in mind, I would like all council members to really take a long look at the worksheet that our BA Ryan McGowan has sent to us and offer realistic suggestions for amendments.

And, you know, when I say realistic solutions or suggestions for amendments, it would be best if, you know, don't provide just a suggestion without a figure that accompanies it, do a study or something that has been discussed fully with Mayor doherty because, obviously, he has to agree to some of these things as well.

With this in mind, I would ask that council members provide me with their suggestions by next Tuesday, July 24.

Last week I reported that we had some troubling news from our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, meaning that the parking tax bills were not sent out.

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

_

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The parking tax is a new tax that's an integral part of the 2012 operating budget as amended. As per PEL's recommendation \$500,000 in new revenue was budgeted for this tax. I would like to report that I did receive an e-mail from Ryan McGowan, our business administrator, over the past week and he informed me that parking tax bills have now been sent out. Though I'm appreciative that parking tax bills were finally sent out, I'm still upset that it has taken this long. This is something that should have been done already and with the delay in sending out parking tax bills I'm still hoping that the \$500,000 in revenue that was budgeted, as suggested by PEL and the administration, despite the delay is fully realized.

While we are on the topic of revenue to report, tax collector, Bill Courtright, has submitted a check to the city which was received yesterday. The check was in the amount of \$253,477.16. This amount consisted of \$15,477,36 in delinquent real estate taxes and \$237,988 in current 2012

real estate taxes.

Another source of revenue that the city realized over the past week was \$175,000 contribution from the University of Scranton, which was a PILOT, payment in lieu of taxes. While I would like to thank the University of Scranton for their contribution, the \$175,000 contribution is just a small part of their budget and I would encourage the mayor to consider asking more from the University.

As I reported last week, the
University of Scranton's total revenue for
the 2010 tax year was \$227,883,304 while
they had \$185,038, 781 in expenses.
\$175,000 is merely a small part of their
expenses when looking at how much money the
University is bringing in on an annual
basis.

Also, in addition to contributing more to the city and hoping that other local colleges and universities will contribute to the city this year, such as Marywood, who took in \$93,226,4111 in total revenue in 2010, Lackawanna County College, who took in

_ :

\$21,697,146 in revenue and in 2010 Johnson College who took in \$9.037,101 in revenue in 2010.

I'm also hoping that other nonprofits, such as Allied Services, who took in \$37,833,662 in total revenue would contribute, and the former CMC Hospital, now Geisinger, who took in \$164,189,392 in revenue would contribute to the city.

It behooves the mayor to immediately approach these other nonprofits and seek assistance since we are in such a financial crisis.

MS. EVANS: If I could interrupt one second?

MR. JOYCE: Yes.

MS. EVANS: I believe, you know, as Mr. McGoff said, we are all grateful that the University made it's payment for contribution to the city early this year, but I would give credit for that to Councilman Joyce whose discussion of the nonprofits and their tax returns during last week's meeting coincided remarkably with the early payment by the University of Scranton.

In addition, although, I did not have access to the 990 tax returns of the seven largest nonprofits, I computed on the basis that in 2010 the University of Scranton, for example, their budget would have been \$250,000. Now, \$175,000 represents I believe .0007 percent of that budget. So, you know, that is a miniscule amount to be contributing to a city that's teetering on the verge of the bankruptcy.

And, you know, the same principal is going to apply to each the other six, most of whom have contributed nothing, other than calling themselves local economic engines, but financially have contributed nothing to the city. I know that we are working on a formula for nonprofit payments in lieu of taxes to the City of Scranton to be placed in the city's Recovery Plan. I'm sorry, Mr. Joyce.

MR. JOYCE: That's okay. While we are on the subject of audits, well, we were on the subject of audits before, we were speaking about the SPA, Scranton City Council has yet to receive an audit status

report from our business administrator, Ryan McGowan, as requested five weeks ago. As of last week, according to some correspondence from Rossi & Rossi, there are a number of outstanding items that still need resolution before the 2011 audit can be completed and subsequent exit conference can be held, and perhaps at that exit conference, when it is held, the question could be brought up about the SPA debt service reserve fund and how it grew and perhaps we could see what it was in 2011 and if that million dollars was there then.

But anyhow, according to the previous audit status report that was submitted by BA Ryan McGowan, there were a number of open items that were to be completed by now and an exit conference was projected to be held in mid-July.

Obviously, this isn't going to occur since mid-July has essentially passed.

Accordingly, Mrs. Krake, can you please contact Mr. McGowan and ask him for a status report for the 2011 audit.

And I do have some citizens'

requests. The first deals with Kane Street in Minooka, residents have voiced their concern to Scranton City Council that there are huge potholes on Kane Street that need to be filled. Residents fear that the situation is causing some dangerous circumstances since motorists are veering in the opposite lane to avoid the potholes.

Mr. Krake, can you please contact

Director Dougher and just ask him to handle
this situation the best way that he sees
fit.

And North Scranton residents have informed council's office of various problems occurring on 1209 Providence Road, which is a home owned by an absent landlord who refuses to do anything about the property. Residents are concerned that the property has become a haven for illegal activity and may not be able to code up.

Mrs. Krake, with this in mind, can you please contact Director Seitzinger and acting chief Graziano and ask him to look into the situation and handle it in the best way they see fit.

And just a reminder from the citizens' participation portion of the meeting, if we can resend Mr. Miller's request for how much the fireworks show cost the city, and also requests a list of recipients of parking tax bills according to Ms. Schumacher's request. And that's all I have for tonight.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good evening. On tonight's agenda in Fifth Order are the contracts for Scranton police and firefighters. Current contacts will expire on December 31, 2014. The agreements council will introduce shortly will extend and modify the current contracts through 2017 thereby providing stability in the City of Scranton and its public safety employees and safety and security to all city residents.

In addition, they will save Scranton taxpayers at least \$15 million from Court awards. Among the provisions included in both contracts is a change to health care. Currently police and firefighter union members do not contribute any monies to

their health care. The agreements will require police and firefighters to contribute 3 percent of the base salary on a pretax basis of a second year employee. The second year base salary is 100 percent of the contract salary rate after one year of training.

According to Pennsylvania Economy
League estimates, the second year base
salary for police is \$59,495 and for fire
\$64,133 in 2013 it will rise through 2017 to
\$65,461 and \$70,564 respectively. The
combined police and fire health care
contributions will result in annual health
care expenditure reductions of an estimated
\$435,751 in 2013, which increases to
\$476,331 in 2016. In 2017, the health care
contribution rate will increase to 3.5
percent, thereby, increasing estimated
health care expenditures reductions to
\$565,444.

Also, the agreements cap new bargaining unit members longevity payments at 5 percent of base salary upon reaching 20 years of service. Payments remain unchanged

for current bargaining unit members.

In addition, both agreements provide an annual base salary increase of 1.75 percent on January 1 of each year from 2015 through 2017, and contain bring back and no future layoff provisions for police and fire personnel who are laid off in 2011. The no future layoff clause in each contract will retain the same number of police and fire through 2017 for the safety and protection of the people of Scranton.

Unfortunately, Mayor Doherty
wouldn't negotiate and settle public safety
contracts throughout the last ten years
which, as we all know, ultimately lead to
the Supreme Court decision in favor of the
Scranton police and fire at a cost of over
\$30 million. Mayor Doherty himself
estimated the cost during one of our phone
discussions at somewhere between 32 to 34
million. It wasn't until the mayor was
slapped with this multi-million dollar loss
that he agreed to negotiate with public
safety unions in good faith.

Now, in 2012 city council helped to

hammer out an agreement between the city and it's police and firefighters that saves the taxpayers a minimum of \$15 million and institutes increased employee health care contributions. I wish to thank the mediators, particularly Mr. Jack McNulty, Mayor Doherty, the negotiation teams of the Scranton police and fire departments and, of course, Councilman Loscombe and Joyce who joined me, and Mr. Loscombe who later substituted for me during these contract negotiations.

Next, Solicitor Hughes and I have been working to secure funding for the 2012 budget shortfall since the administration made it clear several weeks ago that they would no longer do so. We have a few interested organizations, but I cannot name then or comment further at this time on the advice of our council solicitor. I will report to the public as soon as I have solid news.

In the mean time, however, I have been working on a revised Recovery Plan in cooperation with the mayor. Council hopes

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to have it wrapped up by the August 15 deadline in the event that we could not secure funding from the outside source.

Additionally, the mayor's proposed 78 percent tax increase will be dramatically reduced. As was discussed previously, the biggest sticking point regarding the revised Recovery Plan is the lease or sale of the city-owned parking meters and, you know, it goes without saying the proposed tax increases. Now, the sale or lease continue to be included in the mayor's Recovery Plan, although, all council members are on record in opposition to the lease or sale of the The SPA would need to borrow over meters. \$6 million in order to lease or purchase the meters from the city. That borrowing would require the guarantee of the city in the form of the full taxing powers of the city. The city would also lose an annual permanent revenue source while the Parking Authority would increase it's debt to approximately, well, \$113 million. Since the SPA already cannot meet it's financial obligations parking will have -- or, excuse me, parking

meter revenue will never bridge that gap,
particularly, since the SPA will have
increased it's debt by borrowing to lease or
purchase the parking meters.

It is quite simply the Doherty administration's irrational attempt to fill their \$5 million hole. Additional tax hikes to fill this hole are also out of the question.

When the administration proposes a realistic solution we should have a Recovery Plan to present to the public in DCED.

I will also submit citizens'
requests for a ban on tractor trailer
traffic on Grove street, repair of concrete
which is jutting into the air next to the
sewer cover at the corner of Prescott Avenue
and Mulberry Street and replacement of the
street sign for Fig Street.

And just one final note, I keep rethinking what our first speaker this evening, Mr. Sbaraglia, had said regarding the Scranton Parking Authority that we should have insisted on the resignation of Mr. Scopelliti or I don't know whether you

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also meant the board of directors, and though that's certainly something we all would have liked to have done and it sounds wonderful, it's the ideal in this situation, I think we are all realistic enough to know that that wouldn't have happened because Scranton Parking Authority has basically thumbed its nose at Scranton City Council for many years. And as I pointed out to Mr. Rogan earlier, they don't even have a budget currently. City council voted down their 2012 budget and Mr. Scopelliti nor the board of directors ever submitted a budget for council's reconsideration. So I believe that the Parking Authority will better answer to higher financial powers than it will to Scranton City Council and they won't be able to refuse nor will the city. that's it.

MS. KRAKE: 5-B. AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE
CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN
LOCAL UNION NO. 60 OF THE INTERNATIONAL
ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS AND THE CITY OF

SCRANTON FOR THE CALENDAR YEARS JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017.

MR. LOSCOMBE: I would like to make a motion to amend Item 5-B by deleting the following:

"AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN
LOCAL UNION NO. 60 OF THE INTERNATION
ASSOCIATION OF FIREFIGHTERS AND THE CITY OF
SCRANTON FOR THE CALENDAR YEARS JANUARY 1,
2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2017.

WHEREAS, the City of Scranton,
through its proper officials and agents, has
heretofore negotiated a Collective
Bargaining Agreement with the City of
Scranton Fire Department; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that said Agreement be approved by the Council of the City of Scranton and executed by the Mayor of the City of Scranton.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY
THE COUNCIL OF TE CITY OF SCRANTON as
follows:

1. That the said Collective
Bargaining Agreement referred to above, a
copy of which is attached and made a part
hereof as though fully set forth, is hereby
approved and ratified by the Council of the
City of Scranton.

- 2. That the Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Scranton the Collective Bargaining Agreement referred to above, a copy of which is attached as aforesaid and made a part hereof as though fully set forth. The City Clerk is hereby and herewith authorized and directed to attest to the execution of this contract on behalf of the City of Scranton.
- 3. The Contract, as fully executed, shall be dated as of its date of execution which shall be effective retroactive to January 1, 2008, and expires by its terms on December 31, 2017."

And inserting: "Ratifying AND
APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND
BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND
FIREFIGHTERS LOCAL UNION NO. 60 OF THE

INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIREFIGHTERS (IAFF).

WHEREAS, the Firefighters Local
Union No. 60 of the International
Association of Firefighters (IAFF), has
filed numerous grievances against the City
of Scranton for alleged violations of the
Collective Bargaining Agreement between the
IAFF and the City of Scranton; and

WHEREAS, in an effort to resolve
these grievances and other potential
grievances and litigation facing the City of
Scranton with regard to the IAFF labor
matters, the City of Scranton and the IAFF
have entered into a Settlement Agreement;
and

WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the terms of this

Settlement Agreement include a requirement
that said agreement is ratified by Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON that the

Settlement Agreement by and between the City

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Scranton and the Firefighters Local Union NO. 60 of the International Association of Firefighters is hereby ratified and approved.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. LOSCOMBE:

MS. EVANS: On the question?

Just on the question,

I think maybe just to clarify some of the language, but, you know, Mrs. Evans explained basically how we got there and I do commend the ladies and gentlemen of our police and fire departments after ten years of labor unrest and a Supreme Court ruling that could have been significant to sit down, and I believe it was at Mrs. Evans' request that we all got together and worked something out, these ladies and gentlemen are residents and taxpayers in this city they have been fighting a fight for over ten years and it looks like it continues right But, you know, I really applaud them now. for making the concession that they have made as residents and employees of the city and showing their service, the service that they provided everyone on a daily basis.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, and just one

addition, people who question the

significant increases and stuff like that,

specifically on the fire department end,

previously the firefighters worked what they

call a 42-hour workweek, there were four

shifts, now they are working 54-hour work

shift in three shifts, so that's where there

is a significant difference in pay, too,

because there is additional hours in a

workweek on the fire department end of it,

so just to clarify that a little bit.

MS. EVANS: And I just wanted to add

the reason for the amendment was that I had

requested our office to draft the

legislation, and the reason was that we

wanted to have these contracts included in a

revised Recovery Plan, and since we are

trying to put one together according to the

deadline presented by the state for its

purchase, if you want to call it that, of a

Recovery Plan at \$2.25 million, we needed to

get this moving along and, as I said, I

directed the office to draft the legislation

based on previous contract legislation found

in council's office.

However, today the legislation actually arrived from Attorney Paul Kelly and the city's legal department and so after conferring with the unions and the legal department, it was decided that because the content of both pieces of legislation remains the same, specifically, the contract contained therein remains the same, council would amend its wording of the legislation to substitute the wording or the language of the legislation presented by Attorney Paul Kelly to council today.

So it actually has no effect on the contract itself, it's just a change in the way in which the legislation is headed, by that I mean the heading provided to it, and the whereas paragraphs contained in the first two pages.

Is there anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

	126
1	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
2	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	At this time I'll entertain a motion
5	that Item 5-B, as amended, be introduced
6	into its proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. JOYCE: Second.
9	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
15	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
16	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. KRAKE: 5-C. AUTHORIZING THE
19	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE
20	CITY OF SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
21	COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN E.B.
22	JERMYN LODGE NO. 2 OF THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF
23	POLICE AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE
24	CALENDAR YEARS JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER
25	31, 2017.

MR. LOSCOMBE: At this time I would to make a motion to amend Item 5-C by deleting the following:

"AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT BETWEEN E.B.
JERMYN LODGE NO. 2 OF THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF
POLICE AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE
CALENDAR YEARS JANUARY 1, 2008, TO DECEMBER
31, 2017.

WHEREAS, the City of Scranton,
through its proper officials and agents, has
heretofore negotiated a Collective
Bargaining Agreement with the City of
Scranton Police Department; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that said
Agreement by approved by the Council of the
City of Scranton and executed by the Mayor
of the City of Scranton.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY
THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON as
follows:

That the said Collective
 Bargaining Agreement referred to above, a

copy of which is attached and made a part hereof as though fully set forth, is hereby approved and ratified by the Council of the City of Scranton.

- 2. That the Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized to execute on behalf of the City of Scranton the Collective Bargaining Agreement referred to above, a copy of which is attached as aforesaid and made part hereof as though fully set forth. The City Clerk is hereby and herewith authorized and directed to attest to the execution of this contract on behalf of the city of Scranton.
- 3. The Contract, as fully executed, shall be dated as of its date of execution which shall be effective retroactive to January 1, 2008, and expires by its term on December 31, 2017."

And inserting: "RATIFYING AND
APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BY AND
BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND E.B. JERMYN
LODGE NO. 2. OF THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF
POLICE.

WHEREAS, the E.B. Jermyn Lodge No. 2

of the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP), has filed numerous grievances against the City of Scranton for alleged violations of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the FOP and the City of Scranton; and

WHEREAS, in an effort to resolved these grievances and other potential grievances and litigation facing the City of Scranton with regard to the FOP labor matters, the City of Scranton and the FOP have entered into a Settlement Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the terms of this

Settlement Agreement include a requirement
that said Agreement is ratified by Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY
THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON that the
Settlement Agreement by and between the City
of Scranton and E.B. Jermyn Lodge No. 2 of
the Fraternal Order of Police is hereby
ratified and approved."

MR. ROGAN: Second.

	130
1	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
2	those in favor of the motion signify by
3	saying aye.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
7	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
8	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
9	have it and so moved.
10	At this time I'll entertain a motion
11	that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper
12	committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. JOYCE: Second.
15	MS. EVANS: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. JOYCE: Aye.
22	MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
23	have it and so moved.
24	MS. KRAKE: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
25	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 48,

2012 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83, 2009, FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 40, 2010 AND FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 53, 2011 ENTITLED. "AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY TRANSFERRING \$480,320.84 FROM THE NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL, 10-96, NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL, 11-96, AND NEIGHBORHOOD POLICE PATROL VEHICLES, 11-96.2 TO PROJECT 12-04 RECONSTRUCTION OF ROADS AND HANDICAP CURB CUTS.

MS. EVANS: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. JOYCE: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes. If any other

23

22

24

25

1

council members have any questions at all regarding this legislation or any residents, please either e-mail me or give me a call and get it to me and I will be in touch with Linda on this legislation. As I stated earlier, the reason for the transfer out of the neighborhood police patrol money is because it can't be used because the mayor didn't have a compliment of police. is money set aside for next year, hopefully with these contracts in place we will be able to use federal money for police neighborhood police patrols next year. will be a big help in low to moderate income neighborhoods in Scranton.

MS. EVANS: And as long as they are able to have Ms. Aebli determine why council would have passed the legislation prior to the deadline for the public comment period.

> MR. ROGAN: Absolutely.

MS. EVANS: Thank you. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

> MR. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

23

21

22

24

25

1 MR. JOYCE: Aye. 2 MS. EVANS: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 3 have it and so moved. 4 MS. KRAKE: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 5 FINANCE FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6 7 44, 2012 -8 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 9 CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT AND DISBURSE GRANT FUNDS IN THE 10 11 AMOUNT OF \$5,000.00 FROM SANOFI PASTEUR U S PHILANTHROPY DIVISION FOR THE CITY OF 12 SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR 13 14 SCRANTON POLICE SPECIAL OPERATIONS GROUP. MS. EVANS: What is the 15 recommendation of the Chair for the 16 17 Committee on Finance? 18 MR. JOYCE: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 19 20 passage of Item 7-A. 21 MR. ROGAN: Second. 22 MS. EVANS: On the question? 23 MR. JOYCE: Yes. I would like to 24 just thank Sanofi Pasteur for their 25 contribution.

	134
1	MS. EVANS: Roll call, please?
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
9	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
11	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
13	MS. KRAKE: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
14	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
15	FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 45,
16	2012 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
17	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND
18	EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION AND IF
19	SUCCESSFUL A GRANT AGREEMENT AND ACCEPT THE
20	FUNDS RELATED THERETO THROUGH THE OFFICE
21	OF ATTORNEY GENERAL COMMUNITY DRUG ABUSE
22	PREVENTION GRANT PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF
23	\$1,000.00.
24	MS. EVANS: What is the
25	recommendation of the Chair for the

	135
1	Committee on Community Development?
2	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Community Development, I
4	recommend final passage of Item 7-B.
5	MR. JOYCE: Second.
6	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
7	call, please?
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
15	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
17	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
18	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
19	MS. KRAKE: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY FOR
21	ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 46, 2012 -
22	AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 33, 2012
23	ENTITLED
24	"ESTABLISHING A NO PARKING ZONE IN THE 900
25	BLOCK OF NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE (S.R. 3023)

	130
1	ON THE WESTERN MOST SIDE OF SAID STREET
2	PURSUANT TO THE HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT
3	APPLICATION OF THE COMMONWEALTH MEDICAL
4	COLLEGE FROM SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET
5	1000 TO SR 3023 SEGMENT 0090 OFFSET 1219 FOR
6	A DISTANCE OF TWO HUNDRED NINETEEN (219)
7	FEET" TO CORRECT THE INCORRECTLY IDENTIFIED
8	SEGMENT NUMBERS OF SR 3023.
9	MS. EVANS: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chair for the
11	Committee on Public Safety?
12	MR. LOSCOMBE: As Chairperson for
13	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
14	final passage of Item 7-B.
15	MR. JOYCE: Second.
16	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
17	call, please?
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: Yes.

	137
1	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
2	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
3	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
4	MS. KRAKE: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
5	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS FOR
6	ADOPTION -FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 47, 2012 -
7	PROVIDING FOR THE CONFIRMATION AND
8	DEDICATION OF MCCARTHY STREET AS A PUBLIC
9	STREET IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
10	PENNSYLVANIA.
11	MS. EVANS: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chair for the
13	Committee on Public Works?
14	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
15	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-D.
17	MR. JOYCE: Second.
18	MS. EVANS: On the question? Roll
19	call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
	ll

1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
2	MR. JOYCE: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
4	MS. EVANS: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. KRAKE: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION
8	-RESOLUTION NO. 27, 2012 - APPOINTMENT OF
9	JAMES SCANLON, 929 RICHMONT STREET,
10	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER
11	OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL
12	FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. SCANLON'S CURRENT
13	TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 12, 2012 AND HIS NEW
14	TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12, 2017.
15	MS. EVANS: As Chair for the
16	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-E.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MS. EVANS: On the question?
20	MR. ROGAN: Has anyone received a
21	resume? I looked long and hard through the
22	backup and I didn't see any.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: I didn't see any.
24	MR. ROGAN: Okay.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Was a request sent to

	139
1	both of the individuals for 7-E and 7-F?
2	MS. KRAKE: Yes.
3	MS. EVANS: I believe so, I saw
4	them.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes, we had sent that.
6	MR. MCGOFF: When was it sent and
7	what was the date they were asked to respond
8	by?
9	MR. JOYCE: I know that the date
10	they were asked to respond was by today,
11	July 19, and I'm not sure, Mrs. Krake, do
12	you know exactly when those requests were
13	sent?
14	MS. KRAKE: Friday.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Friday.
16	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
17	question? Roll call, please?
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: No.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: No.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
23	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
25	MR. JOYCE: No.

MS. EVANS: No. I hereby declare Item 7-E is not legally and lawfully

MS. KRAKE: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 28, 2012 - APPOINTMENT OF ELMER J. NALEVANKO, 1000 RICHMONT STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509, AS A MEMBER OF THE ETHICS COMMISSION FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. NALEVANKO'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRES ON JULY 12, 2012 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 12, 2017.

MS. EVANS: As Chair for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-F.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MS. EVANS: On the question?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, just maybe an explanation, my questions for the prior piece of legislation were to determine if, in fact, these gentlemen had ample time to reply, and I believe it's a simple request that council has made in the past and it seems as though, you know, sufficient time

	141
1	was given for them to provide a simple
2	resume.
3	MS. EVANS: Anyone else on the
4	question? Roll call, please?
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
6	MR. MCGOFF: No.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: No.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: No.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Joyce.
12	MR. JOYCE: No.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mrs. Evans.
14	MS. EVANS: No. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-F is not legally and lawfully
16	adopted.
17	If there is no further business,
18	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
19	MR. JOYCE: Motion to adjourn.
20	MS. EVANS: This meeting is
21	adjourned.
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER