		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, December 8, 2016	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	DATHERE S. NARDOZZI, KIK - DITICIAL COURT REFURTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and 1 moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There is a 14 motion in Sixth Order to move up 6-A, B, D and E and to Seventh Order for final 15 16 passage. If you wish speak on these pieces 17 of legislation, please do so during 18 citizens' participation in Fourth Order. 19 Number 6-C will be at the request of 20 the Scranton Sewer Authority to terminate 21 it's existence will not be moved into 22 position for final vote this evening. I make a motion to Table 23 MR. ROGAN: 24 5-B at the request of the Law Department for 25 further explanation and confirmation that an

	4
1	assignee of the city's interest in that
2	property as necessary in connection with the
3	Sewer Authority transaction.
4	MR. EVANS: Second.
5	MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on
6	floor and a second, on the question? All
7	those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MR. PERRY: Aye.
9	MR. EVANS: Aye.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
13	ayes have it and so moved.
14	Dispense with the reading of the
15	minutes.
16	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
17	SINGLE TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED
18	COMPARISON REPORT 2015-2016 YEAR TO DATE
19	NOVEMBER 30, 2016.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
21	comments? If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE BOARD
23	OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD
24	DECEMBER 14, 2016.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any

comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. CHECK RECEIVED IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000.00 FROM COVENANT
PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH, WHICH IS PAYMENT IN
LIEU OF TAXES.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one announcement. Lackawanna Commissioners have declared Code Blue, a frigid weather alert. Based on current cold weather information, Lackawanna County Commissioners are declaring a Code Blue frigid weather alert. Utilizing forecasts from the National Weather Service, and consulting with Lackawanna County Emergency Management Agency a Code Blue will be declared for Lackawanna County beginning at 11 p.m. on Wednesday, December 7, and ending at noon on Sunday, December 11.

During a Code Blue alert, homeless individuals can access an emergency shelter at the following locations: Bethel AME

Church shelter, 716 North Washington Avenue, Scranton. Their hours are 7 p.m. to 8 a.m. St. Anthony's Haven, 409 Olive Street, Scranton. Hours 7:30 p.m. to 8 p.m., and Community Intervention Center, 445 North Sixth Avenue, in Scranton. Their hours are 8 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Information on personal health and safety during freezing weather can be found on the Lackawanna County homepage by visiting www.LackawannaCounty.org and clicking on the Code Blue alert icon on the right side of the screen. The alert will also be posted on the County's social media outlets for the duration of the advise period.

MR. GAUGHAN: And I have one. Just to remind people of the event Splashing with Compassion, the Friends of Shannon McDonough Polar Plunge, which will be held January 28 at Montage Mountain. It is free to attend. \$35 donation to plunge. You can register from 9:00 a.m. to 11 a.m. The plunge takes place from 11 to 3 p.m. You can preregister online the Plunge by January 20 and you

receive a free hooded sweatshirt. There is a lot of other things going on, also, that day up on the mountain. You can visit www.friendsofshannonmcdonough.com to find out more, and all proceeds benefit young individuals battling cancer in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

First of all, I'd like to say when you

moving these things to Seventh Order, A, B,

C and D is that? 6-A, B, C and D you said?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MS. FRANUS: I absolutely do not think it's right that you make the people speak on this in their time to speak. It should be a separate couple of minutes at least to address those because they have already have, like Marie and Joan, already have things to say and the dont' have enough time to say what they have to say as it is. Now, they have to address things that you just switch to Seventh Order? That's not

right. It's not just that, it's on the website, so maybe people who have wanted to come next week to discuss this but now they can't, so the way you whip things into Seventh Order and don't allow the people to talk on them is not right at all.

Now, as far as the garbage fee it's \$300, but in the budget for the landfill costs if you take all of the people that pay the garbage fee and divide it that's another \$66 so basically the people are really paying \$366 a year, not \$300. What about the landfill, the recyclable grant. When you take things to the recyclable place the city gets money for that, where does that money go? Where does it go? Anybody know?

 $\label{eq:mr.eq} \text{MR. EVANS:} \quad \text{I'm sure it goes into}$ the general fund.

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: I'm sure it goes in the general fund.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, so why isn't that money going towards lowering the garbage fee?

MR. EVANS: Fay, we have talked

1 about this. We are --2 MS. FRANUS: We did not talk about 3 the money for the recyclables going to the 4 garbage. 5 MR. EVANS: It's all part of the same equation. We have to find out the true 6 7 cost of collection of refuse and disposal. 8 Let me ask you a question, when they raised 9 the fee to \$300 did you think that was 10 irresponsible? 11 MS. FRANUS: When they raised it to 12 \$189? Well, up to \$300. 13 MR. EVANS: The 14 last time it was increased. MS. FRANUS: Do I think it was 15 16 right? 17 MR. EVANS: Yeah. 18 MS. FRANUS: No, I don't. I don't either. I 19 MR. EVANS: 20 thought it was irresponsible, and the reason 21 I thought it was irresponsible was because 22 the true cost of collection was not part of 23 that equation so it's equally responsible to 24 lower the rate until we find out exactly 25 what the cost is.

MS. FRANUS: They're not lowering 1 the rate, they're keeping it at \$300. 2 3 MR. EVANS: Right, but we want to lower rate, if we can find out the true 4 5 cost. MS. FRANUS: 2018. 6 MR. EVANS: 2018 what? 7 8 MS. FRANUS: That's when you might 9 lower it, not 2017. 10 MR. EVANS: Well, yeah, most likely. 11 MS. FRANUS: Last summer you wanted 12 to lower it this year. 13 MR. EVANS: Yeah, I certainly did. 14 We all did. MS. FRANUS: Nothing was done about 15 16 it, was it? 17 MR. EVANS: We tried. 18 MS. FRANUS: You know why, because 19 you are not raising taxes so you have to 20 make up the money someplace, and you said 21 that the reason there is no taxes is dah, dah, dah, the reason there is no taxes 22 23 is because Billy Courtright is running for 24 mayor. That's why there is no taxes. 25 you wait and see next year what the taxes

are.

MR. EVANS: We'll see.

MS. FRANUS: A lot. And you'll have a lot of reasons for it, too. Excuses. So people that want to vote or Billy Courtright don't think he is a hero for not raising the taxes. There is a method to his madness.

Another thing, Mr. Wechsler, a couple of weeks ago I nearly jumped through my television set when you Marie Schumacher said that council members lie. You jumped down her throat and that said that she doesn't have a right to say that. Yes, she does. There is many times when the council members have lied, and if you don't like it that's too bad. For you to sit there and say you don't lie --

MR. WECHSLER: Well, I'll disagree with you, also. No, we don't lie.

MS. FRANUS: Yes, you do. You things to us knowing that it's not true. We have to prove otherwise.

MR. WECHSLER: I disagree. I disagree.

MS. FRANUS: Well, we have proof

1

otherwise. We know that you are not telling us stuff when you say you don't know, that's a lie because you don't know certain things. You just don't want us to know. Same thing with the garbage thing. We have been at -it will be two years in March. No answers. You don't want us to know certain things so when we ask you say, "We don't know," but you really do and sometimes you do say things deliberately to improvise, but you can't sit there and talk to Marie Schumacher that way. Just the way you answered her. MR. WECHSLER: Anyone that accuses

me of being a liar I will say I am not a If you say it, I'll say it to you, I am not a liar. Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: Well, I don't agree

That's fine.

MS. FRANUS: And you're not the only

MR. WECHSLER: I don't think any of

MS. FRANUS: Well, sure. Naturally you don't. Birds of a feather fly together.

1	MR. WECHSLER: These are good birds
2	that I fly with.
3	MS. FRANUS: Sure, they are, but
4	they lie, but the thing is if you don't like
5	it, too bad. You don't talk to people who
6	live in the city that way.
7	MR. WECHSLER: I don't think anyone
8	should call anyone a lie.
9	MS. FRANUS: If they lie?
10	MR. WECHSLER: I think it's rude to
11	call someone a liar. My mother taught me
12	not to call anybody a liar.
13	MS. FRANUS: How about they lie?
14	What do you call them, a fibber?
15	MR. WECHSLER: No, I don't call
16	anybody a liar.
17	MS. FRANUS: If they lie to you,
18	what do you call them?
19	MR. WECHSLER: I
20	MS. FRANUS: What do you think of
21	them?
22	MR. WECHSLER: If someone a liar
23	they know they are a liar, but I don't think
24	you should call them that.
25	MS. FRANUS: Period.

MR. WECHSLER: I don't think you 1 should call anyone a liar that's not a liar. 2 3 MS. FRANUS: That's what you think though. We think you are a liar. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Well, that's -- I'm 5 not going to argue back and forth, but I 6 think it's rude to call someone a liar. 7 8 MS. FRANUS: Not if they're a liar. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. 10 MS. FRANUS: If the shoe fits. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz. MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, 12 13 city resident and taxpayer. Today is 14 December 8, do you know where your 2015 audit is? 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, we have it. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: You have it? Is it final? 18 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 19 20 MS. HODOWANITZ: When will it be 21 released to the general public? 22 MR. WECHSLER: I believe, we are 23 talking to Mr. Bulzoni, he just got it on 24 Monday, I believe, so we are going to have 25 an exit conference probably after the first

1 of the year. 2 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. It was 3 finalized on Monday. 4 MR. WECHSLER: I believe so. 5 MS. HODOWANITZ: Why can't it be released to the public. 6 MR. WECHSLER: We haven't had the 7 8 exit discussion yet. 9 MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I'm a little 10 confused because if you are not going to 11 change the document, is there a chance it 12 might chang? MR. WECHSLER: 13 No. 14 MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, then it should be released to the public, among 15 16 other things it gives us a background with 17 which to assess or evaluate the 20717 18 operating budget, but hopefully Monday, it 19 will be my Christmas present. 20 Speaking of the 2017 operating 21 budget, Marie last week pointed out that 22 there is a significant discrepancy in the 23 calculation of expenditures, looking at the 24 total summary sheet for expenditures by

department it was \$108 million, the same as

25

24

25

revenues. When looking at expenditures by individual accounts, it was over \$120. anyone gone back to the BA's Office and asked for clarification? That is a significant discrepancy. Marie brought this up last week. I know you are going to be passing the budget tonight, but it sends shivers up and down my spine when, you know, we don't pay attention to -- we are not talking about a 1 percent variance, we are talking something very significant here. Now, I think it might be something as simple as counting the TAN twice, plus maybe or one or two other accounts, but the point is, you know, I mean, you have got -- this is the third year his office has been preparing and operational budget. His people should be much more experienced. In addition to that, a lot of the number crunching that they have to do is done in parallel with preparing the data for the audit. You have Rainey & Rainey to help in that regard, so I don't know what's going on, but I can't believe you can pass the budget tonight that tomorrow morning you aren't kicking down

some doors saying what happened to this \$12 million of expenditures. That's a big discrepancy.

Are we any closer to paying off the MMO budget target for the end of the year?

MR. WECHSLER: I spoke to Mr.

Bulzoni this week, yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Good. Just for your information, I received this magazine from the University of the Scranton, I had mentioned about trying to get their finance and accounting majors down here as interns, you should be aware that US News and World Report ranked the top 25 schools in the country in accounting, the University of Scranton is number 20 in the world -- in the country. So, I mean, they have an excellent accounting program. We really should look into getting their interns down here.

I went to the special meeting of the Parking Authority on Monday and I was very pleased to find out that they are going to enact a snow emergency off-street parking program in which they will change people only \$5 to park in their garages. There was

only one catch, the program doesn't begin until January 1 so let us all say a prayer that we don't get any major snowfalls before January 1.

And, finally, I saw the story in yesterday's newspaper about the county commissioners meeting and their plan to increase the fee for appealing an assessment, I hope that the administration and if not the administration you as a council will speak out on behalf the residents of this city. I think that's highway robbery. I don't think that any citizen should be intimidated to file more if appeal of an assessment that should be the taxpayer'S right.

MR. PERRY: Ms. Hodowanitz, I'm outraged and I will be addressing that in Fifth Order.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Thank you. I hope you have a united front on that with the administration. I just think that's intolerable. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Matt Anderson. I think Matt was at the other hearing. Ron

Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Ron Ellman. Hello,
Council. You probably think I came to
irritate you all after I gave you a pardon
for a couple of weeks. I have really
come -- I want to ask why we need so many
apartments downtown. There is so many empty
ones, these people get grants and loans and
forgiveness and everything else, where are
they going to park. Now Rinaldi wants all
those apartments over there? I'm not
against having apartments, but what do we
need them for?

I tell you what was told to me one reason -- let me tell you a quick story first that leads up to it. I told Marie this last week this lady came up to me in the Price Rite a couple of weeks ago, about two months ago, she said she had -- I'll make this real kick, she said had a little duplex in West Side, one bedroom, they went and borrowed \$10,000 to remodel the house. They put in two new bathrooms and two kitchens and carpets and so forth, she rented it to some seniors that lived there

25

for a few years and the man died, the woman moved off, she said it was vacant for almost two years, she couldn't rent it because it was a single bedroom. Finally, Catholic Services come over, they told her they had a nice family, a little three year old or something, a husband and a wife, none of them could speak English. She said the day they moved it she knew they made a mistake. The place was -- she said there had to be 15 people living there. There was cars and the people, the neighbors fought with her and phoned the police, and this went on for almost a year and she got a lawyer. finally got them out of there. The day they were moving she had asked Catholic Services to do something and that he said there is nothing they could do. She said the day they were moving they were so menacing she got scared and left the house. When she came home she looked and went in to see what She said they had destroyed the They tore everything in the kitchen and the bathroom up with like a sledgehammer and tore the doors, the walls, pulled the --

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just tore the house up. She said she was crying.

She went next door to get some coffee or tea, and she didn't have any water so she went down to the basement, it was flooded. They had cut all the pipes. She said there was no way you could fix a house. It was just beyond fixing so it was foreclosed on.

All right, where am I going with all of this? How many people know that Catholic Services received \$90 million a year to resettle people. \$90 million. That's why cities like little Massachusetts and cities all around the country are infested with people that can't contribute nothing to them that just take. Every time one of these families gets an apartment an American is not getting it. Every time they get an Access card or medical card or welfare, it's an American that's not getting it and to me, somewhere along the line that American has most likely paid some taxes and done something to help the country. I'm not being anti-anything, I'm just being

pro-American. If there is anybody you all know that I am an advocate for the poor but not to bring in all of these families that were never assimilated with us and never contribute a thing to the city. They don't have shots, your children, I don't have any children in school, your kids, you young people, you are in school with children that have never had any kind of shots and vaccinations and the school can't force them. The country is just going to pot right now. Things need to be changed.

Anyway, I was told that's one way to fill up all of these apartments downtown. I certainly hope it's not. Thank you. I almost beat you.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. On 7-H, you know,
considering the past history of this city
and the incompetence literally in
squandering or wasting money, I use the golf
course as a prime example, that was sold,
the interest was to be used for parks and
recreation. You had \$3 million went down

the drain and we never took care of our parks and we got any money. You are going to receive a substantial amount of money and where do they want to put it? Miscellaneous revenues, sale of assets account. That's like giving marijuana to an addict because the money you will never see, you will never be able to account for it. It's going to go in the blank hole in the City of Scranton.

My recommendation would be that we set it up in sort of a receivership where there is a check and balance between this council, the administration, and the Controller's Office. We don't have anymore time to waste playing stupid games because there are no more assets left, but what we have done here we have done some pretty stupid things and we continue to do it.

You know, I hate to come in and criticize but having been born and raised in this city and seeing what goes on here. It just never ends. You see the Globe sold. Basalyga gets the parking garage. When the people go to work at the Globe they are going to pay Basalyga to park in his parking

24

25

garage at the Globe. What a hell of a deal. We turned around, we give Basalyga \$5 million for parking. For who? Why are we paying \$5 million to him for people to park in his garage for whose benefit? I don't get a benefit. People here don't get a benefit. People watching out there aren't getting a benefit. It was a deal I can't even put my arms around and say there was even any type of comprehensive intelligence in it. You should have bought the mall yourselves and maybe made Scranton profitable. Yet, you turn around and do that and you give money to pay -- fix the parking garage. Where are the benefits? There are none. I think what you need to do and council could do is request a reassessment of the mall. It's assessed at \$3 million and it's never changed. reassess it. You just dumped 5 million bucks into it, so ask for a reassessment, which is a fair to the people in this community that we get something back instead of keep taking from the people and strip them of what they have and very little is

left for all us.

You know, you have to just pay attention, and I don't see it here, but you can't do deals like you are doing. They just stink. I don't have any other term for it. The deal with him, the mall, the parking garages, I said from day one I would rather stand by the Sewer Authority or the landfill rather than standby that deal from the stench from it. That's why everybody looked at this city and they turned their nose at it and said, "What the hell are they doing there?"

And it's history as usual so I don't see where it went. Now, we got a new president coming here, but before I get into that I was at a commissioners meeting in Florida on a development we are doing. I was very impressed by the commissioners there. You know, before they had their meeting they requested a pastor from any denomination to come home and do the prayer before the meeting starts. I think that would be something great for our community to do. Instead of council initiating the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prayer, have a pastor come here from whatever denomination it is and give their representation. I want council to look at that because it was very, very impressive to see somebody come in here and talk about our religion for a change instead of all of the other nonsense going on and no matter what denomination it's from.

We are going to get a new president, and I can't be happier to see what happens. I couldn't be prouder to say we were a major part in what he did. I represented Pennsylvania, more importantly, I represented Scranton locally, nationally, and internationally and worldwide. I have a reporter with me right now from Japan that's been with me for two days. I had him from Paris, from Dubai, from all over the world. England, London, Sweden, Norway have all been here in Scranton with me riding in one of the trucks that we did for Trump because they were impressed that somebody had the foresight to take on the opposition the way we did it, but what it did it represented Scranton. We put a light on the city

22

23

24

25

worldwide and we have to take a good hard look now because the world is looking at us not just locally, and I was very happy to have been able to done that and represent our city and the people in it. You know, I think what you need to do is look at this parking garage deal. It's a nonprofit. Look at putting a fee on them. We got to get some money back here. The other part is, I brought it up in the past, when it snows are we going to make their parking spaces available our personnel plowing the roads so they can park their cars there or are they going to compensate us for opening those meters up so they can park cars there and collect their revenue, and I think we need to look at this. We have to look at making money for the city, just not squandering it and taking it out of the taxpayers pockets.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: And I have one more thing, I am going to have my dinner, Joe, Christmas Day as I have for the last 20 some years. I may not be able to get to the next

meeting, but as we know everybody in the community that doesn't want to spend

Christmas alone are welcome to the dinner, it's at St. Pat's Church, it will start at 12 and go to 6:00. It is free and it's open to anybody who doesn't want to be home alone on Christmas. They can contact my office if they want to make a reservation for a meal or somebody to differ or pick up. And if I don't get to see you Merry Christmas.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank, Bob. Would you leave that information with Kathy and we'll announce that again.

MR. BOLUS: Yeah, I can give her a phone number.

MR. WECHSLER: We should be here two more times and we'll announce it. Thanks again, Bob. Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. My voice is a little impaired tonight. I come in through the back door on 7-H. I agree that that cannot stand as it is because what you are doing is -- it reminds me of Pontius Pilot washing his hands. You are giving total authority

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the administration. That cannot stand unless it is stated along with it that every transfer out of that account needs to come before city council for a vote. Otherwise, you people are off the hook. You made a lot of comments about the dispersion of that money and now you want to give it all away and that's wrong.

As a matter of fact, as I said. I think this is a fairytale budget and everybody lives happily ever after hopefully. The general fund budget revenues on real estate states, "The city anticipates a repayment of the high coupon series bonds of 2012 and 2013. A refunding -- " and then it goes onto say others may be included. However, if you take just those four, you start out with the balance, and I have to start with 2014 since we don't have a 2015 audit, which we should have had, were promised before the 30th of September I The outstanding balance at that might add. time was twenty-one million, nine thousand, twenty-five dollars. If you take just at face value because, again, we don't have the

24

25

audit so we don't have the actuals, but just what the budget contained to pay those four payments for '15 and '16 it totals another \$7.5 million. If you take this away from the \$21 million that was still outstanding at that point in time, you come up with \$13,484,263. However, if you look at what you are paying in your budget on those four for this year it's doesn't total \$13.5 million, it totals \$4,000. How can you set your real estate fee or taxes on a budget that claims to be paying these without having the revenue in there. That's It is absolutely ludicrous and ludicrous. this budget should not be passed tonight until you get answers.

And then moving onto a few other things, Joan mentioned tonight the meeting on the Parking Authority, I would like to know if we have a definition of a snow emergency because that deal that was made on the offer is no good unless the cars are gone from the street from curb to curb, and if for the snow emergency it's up to the city police to give the tickets and have

them towed so, again, it's back on us and I would like to know what a definition of a snow emergency is.

MR. GAUGHAN: I can get that for you next week, I have it some place. It addresses that in the ordinance and I did, like I had mentioned, I met with the mayor about two years ago.

MR. WECHSLER: Actually, I discussed it with the ABM to set up this program so I'll mention it later on.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The other thing I did learn that the money that goes back to that wonderful nonprofit, the gentleman who negotiated this, whatever his name was, March, Marsh, one of those things, \$161,000 a year. Not a bad job if you can get it.

Also, last week I thought -- I said that I thought the real estate tax revenue was fictitious because we are not going to meet this years and yet we are setting the same and I asked if somebody would get the residential property assessments for this time of the year and last year, did anybody do that? No?

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would be willing to bet that assessment, actual assessments have gone down so even if you get the same collection rate, 89 percent I think --

MR. EVANS: No doubt.

MS. SCHUMACHER: --Mr. Bulzoni said he was shooting for, but what about One Call System's agreement that was authorized last May to identify all of facilities, we did that, okay. I assume since nobody bothered with those other ones they wouldn't have bothered getting an answer to that other one anyway so have a Merry Christmas.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MR. MORGAN: Hello, Council. This evening, think I'd like to ask the people here and at home to seriously consider calling or writing their federal and state representatives because I think it's imperative for the residents of this city and hopefully the outlying communities to cut the cash flow in this city through grants, okay, because in my opinion vast

23

24

25

amounts of money has been wasted over decades and I just think with all of the incompetence that we have experienced in our government that it's just so painful to watch other Pennsylvanians or federal taxpayers allowing their tax revenue to be wasted in this city over a projected period of time, and this evening I'd like to make a comment about the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority, which really I find to be -well, I'd say humorous because and tragic at the same time. You know, Fay came up and said that the councilmen lied to the residents of this city, that may be a little strong, I think that the councils we have elected over a very long period of time didn't have the ability, intellectually didn't have the capacity to be councilmen and to understand the things that were taking place around them at most times, and I think that the Scranton Sewer Authority really illustrates that because the Scranton Sewer Authority was a combined system and the city turned around and sold it and now we are talking about a runoff tax or

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

authority, it's absolutely ridiculous, and even the PUC and all of the forms of government up over the top of this one still didn't figure it out and it's just troubling. It just goes to the root of the problem we have in America, and Donald Trump is talking about draining a swamp. Wow, look it, he really got his hands full because the revenue to maintain the storm system, the City of Scranton just gave the Pennsylvania American Water Company because it was already built into the rates. not complex. Every resident in this city should understand that and for our council not to know that, and for the city solicitors not to know that, and the people in elective government on every single level not to know that doesn't make the people liars, it makes them incompetent and it's very troubling.

And when you take a look at our city going back for almost a -- well, over a quarter of a century basically this city hasn't straightened itself out for one reason. It's not just political corruption,

25

but it's people who aren't capable of doing their job because they just don't have the ability. Anybody who can't understand what's happened to this city, you know, for the decades that I have come here, and people used to laugh about people going to council, they weren't the people who were wasting their time, the people at home were the people that were wasting their time because, you know, a comment was made about turning the downtown into residential development, well, there is a reason for It's not a commercial club, you can't do business in this city, and to be blunt, they aren't doing much business across this country either and finally the American people have woke up and they have elected a man that's a businessman and made him president. You can see things starting to change. Just from the couple weeks since he has been elected companies deciding they are not relocating, they are going to stand fast, people that are talking about investing in America. Nothing really has been done by Donald Trump other than him

being elected, but the American people have finally woken up, but you know what I'd really like to see happen is I'd like to see our government be able to function and come in and investigate this council and all oft deals they have done for the last 25 years, and I don't think incompetence is a reason not to put people in jail because I think that people belong there because the terrible harm that our elected government has done to us. I feel really sorry for you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm surprised that people don't realize we always pass the budget before we get an audit, that way people don't know what's in it. I'm glad you are doing that. It makes a lot of sense. I have before me some of the costs related to this parking monetization like they call it. You know, that Burkham, Ingersoll and Mundy received \$1,463,512.46 for handling some of the costs of this deal, and there is a lot more in it.

The only person that really made out on these are the lawyers. Here's one here, Jason and Shriber, Esquire, they received \$1,460,512.46. I think they were part of the other people though, Ingersoll Rand. I believe that was just another, you know how they break everything down and that was done, but some of the bills come in over a million, a million dollars there.

Let's see, the Sewer Authority that guy got his money but he had three different things, and we go down to sort of the last page here, U & B Bank, for some reason they were a holding company that was going to handle some of the bonds, I think the 2004 or 2006 bond or something like that and they are in there for \$5,269,822.00. Here is another one for the U & B, nine million, eight thousand -- eight-hundred thousand, six-hundred and sixty-five, four-hundred and sixty-three dollars. That's \$9,885,000.

Landmark Bank, this is the odd one for me, \$3,468,556. Is that the money we paid them for not -- the Parking Authority not being able to pay them? Is that the

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money we have for that bank at that time?

MR. EVANS: I don't think so.

MR. SBARAGLIA: When we are giving them money when we didn't have to, but obviously you had to because you wanted to go through with this deal. Now, this Basaglya here, \$4,162,716 for leasing parking space. While in the meantime we are making plans to tear down some of the spots in the garage because there is too much space. You realize that the liability you put the city into besides what you call the stranded loan for 30 million? If we can get through this deal we would have been in way better shape than with this deal. know how you could have done this deal, as you say are you all honest people, but anybody looking at this would have doubts. No one sells a garages for multi-million dollars for a dollar because somebody got (unintelligible.) I assume Mr. Pocius being the city engineer said that garage was only worth a dollar. Would you say that? say that?

MR. WECHSLER: No, Mr. Pocius did

not say that.

2

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: But who did?

MR. WECHSLER: Burke-Hartman.

MR. SBARAGLIA: And he is an

engineer?

MR. WECHSLER: They used a parking professional to do a study of the garage.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Why was it declared only worth a one dollar, being the first half of that garage was the same as the one you were renting in the mall? You know, you can't really tell the people that they are Everyone realized the reason that stupid. this was done and not for the good of the city but the good of certain individuals in the city like anything else.

I mean, of all of the money ever spent, thousands and million of dollars plus the fact is we are going to lose still millions of dollars not being able to collect on the parking meters, revenue from the parking meters, fines, and the standard lease charged to people for the garage for parking spaces. Well, I'll get off this.

There is no sense really getting into a lot

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of this because you know it, I don't have to tell you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. Once again, we are at that time of the year and on the budget I'd like to remind everybody out there in TV land that through the tax exempts at 35 percent we are in one hell of They don't a quandary year in and year out. pay PILOTS. The PILOTS are a fraction of what they would basically owe, and I intend with the vacation coming up to try to get down to the school board because, once again, I want to see if I can influence the city government and the school board to take the state to court, to take them to task, that it's an excessive burden, that our state constitutions excessively burdens urban centers, and especially ours, there is no way around it. 20 percent deficit is looming at the Scranton School Board right now and that's just a serious as what we are doing here.

Last week, and somebody spoke tonight about refugees or indigent people

1

5 6

4

8

7

10

9

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and welfare situations and Section 8 and so forth, well, a lot of this is federal policy once again and we seem to get saddled with it so I'm not against people coming in, I don't care what race they are, but therein again we can't constantly assist people out of our pockets and our tax basis not working.

And I see the sale of the Sewer Authority, 7-H, I have a question, does anybody know the last time we were fined \$2 million because American Anglican failed to comply with their requirement and I wondered, once again, if we are on the hook? Does anybody know that or are we off the hook and it's an American -- PA American Water or one of their subsidiaries because American Anglican is just another subsidiary of PA American Water. And it's ashame that we are going to be paying rates will be consistently increased and then we get fined for. They are the ones supposed to be turning the profit and it's not right, so I certainly hope that if they don't comply with their required sewage output that we

24

25

are not the ones that get hit with the bill, and a lot of the reason that this is being done is to allegedly shore up of the pension and beware because a lot of the optimism was spoken tonight about the state in Washington, of affairs in Washington, but I hear things like repealing Don Frank and it was a weak, tepid solution to the repeal of Glass Spiegel and I would hate to see millions upon millions of dollars taken by Wall Street again and tinkled away because we can't afford it any further, and granted your sewer bill is going to probably going to increase significantly over the next ten years, so it's just watch out for the Wall Street sharks because they -- if they can start selling stocks that are corrected, I wouldn't even bother with the Dow right now. It's going up every day. Well, what happens if it gets a 20 percent correction? means that I'm worth \$800,000 instead of a million, so we really better find out and make sure that that money is invested soundly or just pay off the bills and pay taxes towards our pension. Thank you and

have good night. That might be the better idea.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I do. As I stated before, the article that came out today about the ordinance that's on the floor before the County Commissioners, you know, I was absolutely outraged. I had time to read the article, I didn't have too much to time to prepare because I did work today, but I did make some notes and I would like to just give my take on the situation.

During the last Lackawanna County
Commissioner's meeting, they introduced an
ordinance that would publicize the names of
the people seeking a fair shake in regards
to their property assessment, and if that
wasn't enough, they also intend to almost
triple the appeals fee from \$35 to \$100.
All right? So what does this all mean?
What is the end game of this legislation?

In my opinion, it's to shame and price out Lackawanna residents who feel that their property value has been incorrectly calculated. In other words, they are going to try to deter residents from filing an appeal. That's just my opinion, but what did some of the county officials have to say about this legislation? I'm going to refer from the article from today's paper.

Commissioner Cummings asked for the ordinance to provide more accountability for the people who repeatedly appeal their assessment. Her reasoning is that it places more tax burden on the residents, she was quoted saying. She also was quoted saying, fair is fair. I don't think that's -- I don't think that's fair at all actually. Fair would be a countywide reassessment that would level the playing field once and for all.

Commissioner O'Malley was quoted in the same article saying, "We have so many more appeals today than we ever did."

So, again, if Commissioner O'Malley is in favor of this ordinance, is his end

game to lessen the appeals? Again, ignoring the root cause of why these people are actually filing for tax relief.

John Foley, the deputy director of appraisals, what's his take on the ordinance? He was quoted as saying, "I'm hoping that with this new fee schedule it will make people think twice about coming in."

And what does that tell you? County Commissioner Chief of Staff Andy Wallace's statement, "We are not discouraging people from filing appeals, it's a way for the county to attempt to recover some of the costs, not a way at all to dissuade someone from coming in to file an appeal."

Okay. Goes against everything else that was said so far. Mr. O'Malley recently said his perspective on reassessment will depend on what Mr. Wallace's ongoing research into this subject finds. Now, Mr. O'Malley and I agree on many issues, but we definitely fall on separate sides of the fence on this one.

Let me help a little bit with

Mr. Wallace's ongoing research. Number one, we have so many people seeking appeals that you are currently now trying to put legislation in the books that instead of addressing the problem, or the root cause of it, you would rather just turn up the heat the applicants and hope that the problem goes away.

Number two, Commissioner Notarianni ran a campaign on backing countywide reassessment in a resounding win on election day. The county officials, they would be wise to listen to the people and if you have any doubts just see the results of the last presidential elections.

And, number three, 1968. That was the last time we had a county reassessment, 48 years. Do you know how many things changed in the last 48 years. Some things changed so much they are not even going to be remembered anymore, but something that hasn't changed was the assessed value of the homes. It's time. It's time right now to get this resolution that they are -- the ordinance that they are tying to pass, it's

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

just not fair and we need a reassessment now more than ever. I'll even quote

Commissioner Cummings because I believe she is right, and the only thing she is right about is that fair is fair, and it's a countywide reassessment that's fair, not that ordinance.

And, finally, we had a tragic accident on the highway this week that we lost two young women to and it breaks my heart. To say that I knew them wouldn't be truthful, but my wife had a common friend with these two girls and it's just devastating, and as we all know by now the root of the problem was they were on the phones while they are driving. It just can't be said, some of the older generation we kind of realize that, but the new kids coming up with that technology in their hand that's all they know. Running a restaurant, I see little kids now they are entertained at the restaurant with the smart phones so they don't cry or whine while they are waiting for their food, so it's just something that's in their hand almost since

they are able to walk and they just don't know enough to put it down. One more life is just too many to lose to texting and to Facebook, to Internet, to phones while we are driving. Driving is for driving alone and I can't say that enough so be careful out there and let's teach out youth and our adults not to just be distracted while driving. It's not worth it. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.

Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of brief comments. Following up on what Mr. Perry mentioned and I know talked to Councilman Evans about it, he is going to address it as well, regarding the article in the paper on the assessment appeals, just to add onto what was already said, which I completely agree with, one of the most frustrating things for me about the current appeal process in Lackawanna County, particularly for the residents of Scranton, the only people that are appealing their taxes are those that either know how to appeal it or could afford to pay for an attorney to

appeal their taxes, so the average person who is out there does not have that -- does not have access to appeal their taxes. They either don't know what the process is, don't know about the proper filings or can't afford to pay for an attorney to do it for them. The taxes in Lackawanna County, the assessments are not fair.

Just this week I was I was at two real estate sales and I was showing a house on East Mountain that the taxes were \$9,600 a year. That was \$800 a month in taxes. That's more than my mortgage payment, probably more than the mortgage payment of most of the people watching have made, and for that person, whoever winds up buying that property, for them to appeal it would be now a longer, more cumbersome process where the neighbor -- the neighboring properties may be paying much less.

The solution is that there has to be a countywide reassessment. I know this board unanimously believes that and the people who are really hurt are the senior citizens and the working families in the

city that can't afford for an attorney to go down and do the appeal, can't afford the fee to file the appeal. So the tax assessments do need to be looked at in the city and the county -- conflicting Commissioner

Notarianni is on the right side of this issue, I know he had have been advocating for it since before he was elected and I'm hopeful that he can convince one of his colleagues to go along with this reassessment to bring some much needed relief to the residents of Scranton, many of them their assessments on their property are high and they don't even know it or they don't even know it or they

Secondly, a couple of the comments just on the budget, just a little anecdote, I was at the grocery store this weekend and I ran into a woman, a senior citizen in West Side who was very happy to hear that taxes wouldn't be going up for the city this year and also for the county. The school district, unfortunately, might be another story, I know there has been much in the paper regarding the school district, but I'm

. .

hopeful that the school district will come up with some sort of a solution that will be taxpayer friendly and if there was no tax increase by all three taxing bodies for the residents of Scranton that would truly be a great Christmas gift to the taxpayers and it's long overdue.

As I mentioned, this budget is a huge step in the right direction, not increasing taxes, and moving forward we need to see the millage rates, the taxes go down on the residents of Scranton to get more people moving in here, to help the seniors and to get our county growing again in the city.

Finally, on the Sewer Authority

deal, I belive we all received notification
in the mail from Pennsylvania American

Water, it was a postcard regarding if you on
automatic payments with Pennsylvania

American Water, you want to continue that
for the sewer bill, there is another form
that needs to be filled out so I just want
to remind residents of this, and one thing
with the sewer bill that I think will make

things a lot easier is that currently your sewer bill, as you know, you pay every other month. From my upstanding, it will now be paid on a monthly basis, so I think for most people, I know for myself it's much easier to pay 30 or 40 dollars a month than to pay 70 or 80 every other. Just for budgeting purposes, I think that makes it -- will make it a little bit easier, but those notices did go out. And that's all I have for now. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. A comment briefly first on the budget, I'm in general agreement with the budget. Is it perfect?

Of course not. Rarely in government do things operate perfectly, but I feel it's solid and I feel as though it's realistic.

While I understand that certain assumptions were made about the completion of the sewer transaction. These assumptions were relative to paying off high interest debt, and this is something that we all support universally so I don't think that's

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a problem whatsoever, but while I'm disappointed, and maybe it's not right to be disappointed that we had reduced -- did reduce taxes, and I know Councilman Rogan and I talk about all the time, or even had an opportunity to reduce the trash fee somewhat, I think we fully expect the study that will be done will finally prove out the actual cost sometime in 2017 in plenty of time for the 2018 budget discussions so at that point we can talk about a reduction, we can talk about a per bag fee, and talk about a hiring plan, we can even talk about privatization, but we have to have that conversation and we have to find a way to get the costs in line with the fee.

Of course, there are some that want a reduction in the refuse fees today, as you heard tonight, that is simply not realistic without knowing the true cost of collection. As much as it was irresponsible to, as I mentioned before, to raise the fee to \$300 without knowing the true cost, it is equally irresponsible to lower the cost without knowing -- lower the fee without knowing the

true cost.

And another matter in the budget I was reviewing, and I think there is an opportunity because of the potential for a better real estate climate in 2017, for a possible modest reduction in the real estate transfer tax. I think the combination of a zero percent tax increase and improving the real estate market and reduction in the real estate transfer can lend itself to achieving or surpassing the same revenue benchmark currently established within the budget. I know I say that it many times, but more paying less is actually an achievable goal.

So in the coming weeks, I will gather additional information on the real estate market to make my case for a reduction in the real estate transfer tax and look at it again when we have taxing legislation in January.

As far as the County's announcement yesterday about the new ordinance, I am going to repeat everything that my colleagues said because, quite frankly, you can't say it enough. I, too, read with

10

11

12

7

8

9

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great interest the article in today's Scranton Times. "Lackawanna County Targets Assessment Changes." Outside of my long held position on favoring reassessment, I don't generally make it a policy to openly criticize other elected officials because I feel we all have challenges. We should be working together in many cases to benefit However, I have to the same taxpayers. offer my opinion on an ordinance that the commissioners introduced last night. ordinance that would require, as we heard before, all appeals, including names, addresses and hearings dates, be posted online and increase the fees for challenging property value assessments which determine real estate tax payments.

The proposal calls for an increase in the application fee for assessment appeal for residential properties 35 to 100 dollars. Yes, tripling the current fee. Yet, one county commissioner stated that we are not discouraging/-G people from filing appeals. One of the commissioners stated, hey, fair is fair, and a second commissioner

2

3

5

4

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stated, "We have so many more appeals today than we did last year."

But by raising the fee and threatening people that file an appeal that you will put their names and addresses as well as their hearing dates online on the county website you are, in fact, trying to suppress the right of every single property owner to file an appeal of their assessment. Is this public information? Yes, it is. And if done for the right reasons, like increasing transparency, I would probably support it, but I don't believe that's the To infer that property owners filing assessment appeals are doing something wrong, that they are somehow trying to cheat the system and should be exposed for that, is absurd.

Also, the argument that putting the names online of those filing appeals will lead to more taxing bodies to send representatives with hearings carries less weight in my opinion since all taxing bodies are notified of all of the assessment appeals and always have the right and

ability to send a representation to protect their taxing bodies' interest.

So let's talk about what isn't fair.

Maybe a county government that refuses to do a reassessment in 48 years and counting.

What also isn't fair is an ordinance that punishes property owners because of a system that they refuse to change. So finally let's talk about what is fair. A reassessment for all properties in Lackawanna County, because at the end of the day the only true purpose of a countywide reassessment is tax fairness for all property owners. That's all I have on that. Thank you very much.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just two brief items. First, I had a chance to make it down to the Globe Store this past weekend with my family, and I have to commend and thank our county commissioners and any city officials and all of those involved with putting that event together. It was an absolutely amazing success. I saw so many families out together shopping and

enjoying our downtown, and really it was a show case for our small businesses and that was great to see and I hope they continue to do that in the future.

The second thing, I continue to get calls about the issues with leaf pickup, and more importantly the miscommunication this year, and I have been looking and had been looking at what other cities do in regards to the leaf collection, so two examples stuck out to me because they cities are similarly populated as Scranton. One of them was Somerville, Massachusetts, and they send out a calendar each year that details pickup for trash, recyclables, leaves, and they do a neighborhood cleanup at the same time every year.

Also, the City of Reading, they have a really good collection process that I think we should take a look at. They collect leaves curbside pick up from October 17 through December 2, and the ending date is subject to change if the leaves should fall later than expected. Also, the way they construct their website and get the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information out to the public is very well done so I do think that we should take a look at that and then break it down by neighborhood.

So it's pretty clear to me after looking at just two cities and what they do that we can do a better job in informing the public what goes on and how we operate, whether it's through a yearly calendar, text messaging system, robocall system, other cities do this so why can't the City of Scranton? I believe it can be done with some leadership, cooperation and vision so I do plan on sitting down again with Mayor Courtright and our DPW director to present these ideas and hopefully by next year we have a schedule that we stick to every year so that people can become accustom to it and these issues don't continue to pop up and. And I'll save the rest for agenda items. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. I believe that since all of us are in agreement on the disapproval of the new county ordinance, I would accept a

motion from someone for us to write a letter 1 2 stating our disapproval. 3 MR. PERRY: Yeah, I'll make that motion. 4 I'll second it. 5 MR. EVANS: MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: I actually haven't had 8 a chance to -- I'm in general agreement with 9 everybody, but just for the record I haven't had a chance to read the ordinance or I 10 missed the article in the paper so I've got 11 to get caught up on exactly what went on, 12 13 but based on what everybody is saying, I'm 14 in general agreement with those comments. MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor 15 16 signify by saying aye. 17 MR. PERRY: Aye. 18 MR. EVANS: Aye. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 22 So, Mrs. Reed, ayes have it and so moved. 23 we'll get together and prepare a letter that 24 all of the councilmen can read and send out. 25 MS. REED: Yes, sir.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Echoing some of the other comments that we had tonight, Mr. Evans and I were down at the Globe Store, the tree lighting on Friday night, and you should hear Mr. Evans sing Rudolph the Red Nose Reindeer, very interesting to hear that, but from what I understand on Friday evening there was over 10,0000 went through the doors at the Globe Just tremendous effort by the local community getting that off the ground. From the comments that I understand from the vendors there, many vendors sold a lot of their products and also laid the groundwork for future events and I think it was just a tremendous, tremendous success.

Last evening I attended a meeting held by the University of Scranton to discuss their plans for the South Side Complex. They had a meeting I guess earlier in the year, but some of the closest neighbors were unable to attend so they had another session last evening to try to alleviate some of the concerns that those neighbors had in regard to lighting, noise

23

24

25

I thought the University did a and parking. fine job on the presentation. The neighbors still have some concerns, but hopefully at the zoning board the zoning board will be able to conditionally or somehow work those concerns out because this is a tremendous project for the city. There is over -- an investment from the University about \$14.5 million and the improvements to that site, the opportunity to bring NCAA tournaments to Scranton, it will just be an another plus for the city. Also, the fact that it is at the end or on the Heritage Trail is another opportunity to make Scranton more of a walking city, so I'd like to congratulate the University for their outreach to the neighbors, and I'd also like to thank the neighbors for coming out and offering their concerns, and like I said, from speaking to the University I think they should be able to come up with something to work that out.

This past week I have had several calls there has been some drilling trucks on East Mountain and researching that the Pennsylvania American Water Company is

planning on putting in a new water line out of Lake Scranton into South Side. I spoke to them today and they are trying to find the softest spot on the East Mountain. East Mountain is one big rock so I'm not sure where they are going to find a soft spot, but if anyone has concerns about the drilling trucks that's what they are doing in East Mountain is looking the best way to put a new water line into South Side.

Echoing, once again, some of the comments Mr. Evans made in terms of looking at reducing the taxes perhaps, this is why it's so important for us to get out of our distressed status. We are getting closer every day to getting out of distressed status and hopefully as we move forward with the budget as presented and also as we see some -- hopefully some of the revenue from the Sewer Authority deal, we are already seeing some benefits from the savings in We are seeing benefits from overtime. reduction in workmen's comp. We are seeing benefits from increased contributions to the pension funds to the city. The city, the

administration, council, the unions, we all are working towards getting us out of distressed and hopefully by the end of this year we will see that and it's a testament not only to the effort that all of the participants have made to get us out of distressed status. And that is all I have this evening.

MS. REED: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE

- FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 66, 2016 - AN

ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A

RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY

BROOKSIDE EATERY AND PUB, LLC LICENSE NO.

R-17472 TO DUNNE MANNING STORES, LLC FOR USE

AT 300 MEADOW AVENUE, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA

COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE

PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD.

MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A

pass reading by title.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All

those in favor signify by saying aye. 1 MR. PERRY: Aye. 2 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 MR. EVANS: Aye. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 6 The 7 ayes have it and so moved. 8 MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE-9 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 67, 2016 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 10 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE A DEED 11 OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY GRANTING TO SSA 12 AND/OR PAWC THE EASEMENT, RIGHT OF WAY AND 13 14 RIGHTS AS ARE SET FORTH BELOW WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY. WHICH DEED OF EASEMENT AND 15 RIGHT OF WAY SHALL BE IN THE FORM AS 16 17 ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B". 18 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 19 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 20 MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to amend 21 Item 6-B per the following: In Exhibit B in 22 the section entitled "Quickness" in the 23 third line, and after the words "forever 24 and" insert, "Exclusive blanket." 25 In the same section in the tenth

line after the words, "As more fully," insert "prescribed and and." In the same section in the 16th line after the words "plot plan", insert "The sewer facilities. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the levy and all other equipment and/or improvements associated with the levy facilities located in or around the property are neither owner operated by or are the responsibility of the grantee and shall be expressly deemed to be not part of the sewer facilities."

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: Is there a question on the amendment? This amendment is being made at the request of the Law Department to include this additional language in the exhibit.

All those in favor of the motion to amend Item 6-B signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and

so moved.

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B, as amended, pass reading by title.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 68, 2016 - AN
ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON
TO APPROVE THE REQUEST OF THE SCRANTON SEWER
AUTHORITY ("SSA") TO TERMINATE ITS EXISTENCE
UPON THE PAYMENT AND DISCHARGE OF ALL
OUTSTANDING BONDS BY SSA AND THE ASSUMPTION
OF ALL OUTSTANDING CLAIMS AGAINST SSA BY THE
CITY; AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO
ASSUME ALL OUTSTANDING CLAIMS AGAINST SSA;
AND AUTHORIZING APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO TAKE ANY AND ALL FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED

1 TO TERMINATE THE EXISTENCE OF THE SSA, AND APPROVING THE TERMINATION CERTIFICATE 2 SUBMITTED TO THE CITY BY SSA. 3 4 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 5 by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 6 7 pass reading by title. 8 MR. EVANS: Second. 9 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 0n 10 the question, this particular ordinance 11 right now will move to Seventh Order and 12 depending on when the sale of the Sewer 13 Authority is completed, we will have a final 14 vote on this. The current expectation date is December 13. That would allow us to have 15 16 a final approval by Thursday next week. 17 the sale isn't completed by then, then we 18 will have to adjust our schedule for the 19 final vote on this item. 20 All those in favor signify by saying 21 aye. 22 MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

1 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 2 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 3 so moved. MS. REED: 6-D. READING BY TITLE -4 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 69, 2016 - AN 5 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6 21, 2011, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE 7 8 COUNCIL NO. 243, 2003 ENTITLED "ADOPTING A 9 COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON REGARDING 10 11 EMPLOYMENT, HOUSING, REAL ESTATE AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR 12 REDRESS OF VIOLATIONS" IN ORDER TO BE 13 14 CERTIFIED AS SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO THE FAIR HOUSING ACT, AS AMENDED IN 1988, 42 15 U.S.C. §3601. ET SEQ., AND TO BE COMPLIANT 16 17 WITH RECOMMENDED BEST PRACTICES FROM THE 18 PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION 19 ("PHRC"). MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 20 21 by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure? 22 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D 23 pass reading by title. 24 MR. EVANS: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11

2 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 3 MR. EVANS: Aye. 4 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 6 The 7 ayes have it and so moved. 8 MS. REED: 6-E. READING BY TITLE -9 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 71, 2016 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF TAX 10 AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES OF 11 12 2017 IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT 13 TO EXCEED \$12,750,000.00; PROVIDING FOR THE 14 DATE, INTEREST RATE, MATURITY DATE, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS. PAYMENT AND PLACE OF 15 16 PAYMENT IN RESPECT OF THE NOTES; ACCEPTING 17 THE PROPOSAL ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B" 18 FROM THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION NAMED THEREIN 19 FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE NOTES; NAMING A 20 SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY/PAYING AGENT; AUTHORIZING THE PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY 21 22 TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER THE NOTES AND CERTAIN 23 OTHER DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATES IN 24 CONNECTION THEREWITH; AUTHORIZING AND 25 DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, CERTIFICATION AND

those in favor signify by saying aye.

1

FILING OF THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS WITH THE
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA; SETTING FORTH A FORM OF THE
NOTES.
MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
by title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure?
MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E
pass reading by title.
MR. EVANS: Second.
MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
those in favor signify by saying aye.
MR. PERRY: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. EVANS: Aye.
MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
ayes have it and so moved.
MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
suspend the rules and move Item 6-A, 6-B,
6-D and 6-E to Seventh Order for final
passage.
MR. WECHSLER: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Council suspend the
Rules and place Items 6-A, 6-B, 6-D and 6-E

into Seventh Order for final passage. 1 2 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. PERRY: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor, signify by saying aye. 5 MR. PERRY: Aye. 6 MR. EVANS: Aye. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? MR. GAUGHAN: 10 No. 11 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 12 so moved. MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 13 7 - A . F0R 14 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 65, 15 2016 - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES 16 17 OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD 18 COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2017 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2017 BY THE 19 ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING 20 21 BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2017. 22 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 23 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 24 Committee on Finance? MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 25

Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I will be voting "no" tonight on final passage of this year's budget. While I am pleased, like everybody else, that we don't have a tax increase, I truly don't believe this budget tells the full story. We have in the City of Scranton systemic problems that we continue to try to fix with one-time sales or infusions of cash. Historically, our city government has always done this without addressing these real long-term systemic problems. We simply do not operate a government that our aging tax base can afford.

I am concerned, as I have explained over the last few months, that the mayor is leaving the city vulnerable with no safety net or contingency of the 2017 budget if there is a glitch with the sale of the Sewer Authority. What if the proceeds come in lower than expected or at different timeline

than the city expects? We are essentially counting on and spending funds that we don't have in hand yet, which to me is concerning.

What if there are continuing issues as it relates to the lawsuit over the Sewer Authority easement agreements with the property owners? What funds have we earmarked in the event that there are fines imposed by EPA for violations of the Chesapeake Bay Act as the city still have the combined sewer system and the sale of the Sewer Authority in many respects appears to be imminent.

A major concern of mine is that we do not know the cost of storm water management. We do not know what that would be. The study may not be done until well after the sale of the Authority, and my question is and has been where was the foresight to have this information in hand before you sell off the Authority? What impact will this storm water management authority have on our budget? What is our plan on day one to manage storm water once the sale is complete? And keep in mind, the

issues that we have been dealing with over the last few months with flooding all over the city, blocked storm drains, and as I mentioned last week, we had issues managing the leaf collection program.

We have built in zero contingencies for issues related to the Sewer Authority deal and the storm water issues. Denying these hard realities is a possible recipe for disaster. It's no better than trying to recoup gambling by doubling down on the next bet.

I'm also concerned, as I have explained about the raises and additional positions included in this budget in the past budgets. When the mayor should be holding the line on expenses, he has increased them. We need to look no further than non-departmental and departmental expenditures which are going to increase over 30 percent above planned projections. Personnel expenditures continue to rise. Health insurance costs are very concerning and are going up over \$2 million.

The mayor has also talked about and

touted game-changing pension reform. He announced this last March, and recently in the state of the city address, said that the city's pension funds would be run by a nationally recognized third party administrator who will ensure that the pension funds are professionally managed and all regular and disability pensions are only paid according to the strict application of our laws.

The bottom line is we have not yet hired a third party administrator, and my question has been and still is why is this taking so long? These reforms should be in place before we put a dime of the ratepayers' money into an inherently flawed and broken pension system.

We also continue to project revenue from the Licensing and Inspections

Department in which we have historically found ourselves with a shortfall and, yet, we want to hire more people and give out raises when in my estimation the department has been grossly mismanaged over the past few years. For example, take a look at some

4

1

6

7

5

9

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

of the lawsuits that have been filed and we had to pay out -- and the taxpayers had to pay a hundred thousand dollars for a Court award to deal with the Linden Street halfway house, and you also have employee issues in that office, among other things.

So in all reality, I do not see that the progressive innovative and responsible planning needed in this budget and, therefore, I cannot vote for it. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I will be voting "yes" for the budget, but I do agree with Councilman Gaughan in the respect that, and I said it over and over again, do we need systemic changes in city hall? Absolutely. I will beat that drum forever because I know the culture of this building and what has to change, but voting "no" on the budget doesn't change any of that. the linkage of the storm water and the sewer deal to the budget is a bit of a stretch for me because the sewer deal actually allows the storm water management plan to actually move forward. We need the funds -- we would be irresponsible if we didn't take some of

that money from the sewer transaction and create a fund specifically for storm water management, and that's how we do it. If we don't do that, then it's got not go ing to happen. The status quo is the Sewer Authority taking care of storm water, doing us a favor by doing that for all intensive purposes, but at some time there is no such thing as a free ride. We are going to have to have a plan, we're going to have to have a mechanism in place, and a strategy in place.

Now, I wanted the framework for storm water on day one, as Councilman Gaughan did, absolutely, and that's a failure of the administration for not having that, but it doesn't affect my decision on this budget so I'll be voting "yes".

MR. WECHSLER: My colleague brought up a lot of what if's, and I agree with those what if's, but I do know the one reality, the reality is that if we had to cover all of the possible contingencies that were mentioned, we would have to raise taxes to a point where it truly would be the end

we approved the tax increase we all were committed to not raising taxes again. We can't afford to raise taxes in this city. The contingencies, as described, are real, are a real threat to us and it's up to us to be responsible to address those. We have no indication right now that the Sewer Authority deal is going to fail. There is no indication of that right now to me. There is no concrete evidence that the dollar values are going to be significantly lower than as they have been presented.

of the city. As we sat here last year and

Right now this what we have been presented and we do have to get through 2017. There is no alternative to this budget right now. If we all vote "no" I don't know what we do, and I do understand the concerns. We have all talked about storm water management and how important it's going to be going forward, but I think right now we have to work with what we have been presented, and when I use the term "work" this budget should be used every day in city government, not just made today and

put in the drawer. I do believe Mr. Bulzoni has presented this year as a working document, especially with the fact that the majority of the money will be coming in from the sale of the Sewer Authority, but I do want to say that I agree with the contingency problem that Mr. Gaughan has brought up, but as stewards of the city it's up to us to be responsible to address those. I will be voting "yes" for the budget this evening.

MR. ROGAN: Just a couple of brief comments, I have pretty much spoken about the budget every week since it's been given to us. For me, too, the most important items are, number one, is it realistic and is it feasible, and I have full confidence in Mr. Bulzoni and I know the Pennsylvania Economy League signed off as well that this budget is a good working budget for the City of Scranton for next year.

Secondly, the point that many of those who are watching care about much more is the tax -- the lack of the tax increase in this budget. I believe it was about six

weeks ago, eight weeks ago, when talking about the budget I stated I wouldn't vote for any budget this year that contained a tax increase. The days of tax increases in the City of Scranton are over, and they have to be over if we are going to do the things we need to do to attract new homeowners in the city, attract new businesses into the city and to continue with many of the programs, and many of them are small, others are larger, but some of the programs that this council has spearheaded to try get them the business community more involved with the city and to get people moving into the city.

Again, this year you are starting to see the result of many of those small items that we have put a lot of time and effort into coming into fruition. There is certainly much more work that needs to be done as far as the budgetary items go and as the city in general, but I do believe that this budget is a very large step in the right direction.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question,

the operating budget, and this year especially, it was just there is so many moving pieces and I think we all see the same issue issues with, as Councilman Gaughan said, there is lot of what if's. There is lot of, like I said, moving pieces, and with the sewer sale coming at the same time as the end of the year which the budget is due, the bottom line is we needed an operating budget, we absolutely needed one.

As Councilman Wechsler said, there is no indication that the sewer deal is not going to go through and it's not going to go through as stated, so with that said we obviously know that the biggest issue right now is our storm water management as we are going into 2017. That needs to be addressed. I believe it will be addressed. Would I like to see something down on paper as of right now? Absolutely, but we are going through exactly how we have to go through this. We have the deal set, ready to go hopefully on the 13th. We have our budget right now, which as Councilman Rogan said, I believe it's feasible and which has

1	no taxes which is hugely positive. I can't
2	tell you how positive that is after year
3	after year of increases, so with that said,
4	I'll also be voting "yes" on the budget.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
7	MR. PERRY: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
9	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
11	MR. EVANS: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
16	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
17	adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
19	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
20	RESOLUTION NO. 90, 2016 - ACCEPTING A ONE
21	HUNDRED (\$100.00) DOLLAR DONATION FROM MR.
22	AND MRS. RICHARD YOUNG PRESENTED TO THE CITY
23	OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THE K-9
24	UNIT.
25	MR. WECHSLER: What is the

	0-
1	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Finance?
3	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-B.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
8	Roll call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
10	MR. PERRY: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
14	MR. EVANS: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
19	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
20	adopted.
21	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -
23	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 91, 2016 -
24	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25	CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO

ENTER INTO A LOAN TO GRANT AGREEMENT AND
MAKE A LOAN/GRANT FROM THE COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT COMMERCIAL
INDUSTRIAL REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT
NO. 150.38 IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED
\$35,000.00 TO DIVERSIFIED DISASTER RECOVERY
SERVICES, INC. TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE
PROJECT.

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'd just like to thank the mayor, Linda Aebli and Tom Preambo for their good work over the last, I'd say last three or four months that we have seen a number of these community loans to grant come down, and as was mentioned in the past, this was a block of money that was locked up and sitting in an account that wouldn't be spent for over a decade, and now we are

1 using it to attract some businesses into the 2 city, which we desperately need. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please? 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 14 declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 15 16 MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 17 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES- FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 92, 2016 - APPOINTMENT OF 18 BURT SCHWARTZ, 430 COLFAX AVENUE, SCRANTON, 19 PENNSYLVANIA, 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD 20 21 OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 16, 2016. MR. SCHWARTZ 22 23 WILL BE REPLACING JONATHON KRIEGER WHO 24 RESIGNED EFFECTIVE AUGUST 5, 2016. MR. SCHWARTZ WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. 25

	87
1	KRIEGER WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON
2	FEBRUARY 5, 2020.
3	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
4	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-D.
6	MR. ROGAN: Second.
7	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
8	Roll call, please?
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
10	MR. PERRY: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
14	MR. EVANS: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
19	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
20	adopted.
21	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
23	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 93, 2016 -
24	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A

1	MASTER EQUIPMENT LEASE AGREEMENT LEASE NO.
2	5981 BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND BANK
3	CAPITAL SERVICES, LLC D/B/A F.N.B COMMERCIAL
4	LEASING FOR THE LEASE OF A 2017 KME PUMPER
5	TRUCK FOR THE SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
6	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
7	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Public Safety?
9	MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
11	final passage of Item 7-E.
12	MR. ROGAN: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
14	Roll call, please?
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
18	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
20	MR. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
25	declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully

1 adopted. MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 2 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -3 4 RESOLUTION NO. 94, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 5 6 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH 7 HIGHLAND ASSOCIATES LTD. FOR PROFESSIONAL 8 ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR 9 CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FACILITIES 10 RENOVATIONS. 11 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 12 13 passage of Item 7-F. 14 MR. ROGAN: Second. MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 15 16 Roll call, please? 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 18 MR. PERRY: Yes. 19 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 20 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 22 MR. EVANS: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 23 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
2	declare Item 7-F legally and lawfully
3	adopted.
4	MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
5	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
6	RESOLUTION NO. 95, 2016 - ACCEPTING A
7	DONATION OF FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00)
8	DOLLARS FROM GEISINGER HEALTH SYSTEM
9	PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO COVER
10	THE COST OF FIREWORKS AT NAY AUG PARK.
11	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Finance.
14	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-G.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
19	Roll call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
21	MR. PERRY: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
25	MR. EVANS: Yes.

	9
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
5	declare Item 7-G legally and lawfully
6	adopted.
7	MS. REED: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
8	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
9	RESOLUTION NO. 96, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE
10	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
11	TO ACCEPT REVENUE FROM THE SCRANTON SEWER
12	AUTHORITY TRANSACTION TO BE DEPOSITED INTO
13	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUES, SALE OF ASSETS
14	ACCOUNT.
15	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Finance?
18	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
19	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
20	passage of Item 7-H.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
23	Solicitor Minora, a comment was made this
24	evening, I interpret this as just receiving
25	the money, it has nothing to do with

	92
1	expenditure.
2	MR. MINORA: Right.
3	MR. WECHSLER: I don't believe
4	that it has to do with the
5	MR. MINORA: It simply goes into an
6	account.
7	MR. WECHSLER: And it's just the
8	account that has to be created for the money
9	to come into from the sale once it
10	transpires.
11	MR. MINORA: Exactly.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
15	MR. PERRY: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
24	declare Item 7-H legally and lawfully
25	adopted.

1	MS. REED: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
2	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS- FOR ADOPTION
3	- RESOLUTION NO. 97, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE
4	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
5	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN ASSIGNMENT OF
6	EASEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON
7	("ASSIGNOR") AND THE SEWER AUTHORITY OF THE
8	CITY OF SCRANTON ("ASSIGNEE") WHICH
9	EASEMENTS CONSTITUTE PART OF ASSIGNEE'S
10	SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM.
11	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Public Works?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Public Works, I recommend that
16	we take a final vote on Item 7-I.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
19	Roll call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
21	MR. PERRY: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
25	MR. EVANS: Yes.

	34
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
5	declare Item 7-I legally and lawfully
6	adopted.
7	MS. REED: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
8	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
9	- RESOLUTION NO. 98, 2016 (AS AMENDED)
10	AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT
11	OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON
12	("APPLICANT") TO SIGN THE APPLICANT'S
13	AUTHORIZATION FOR AGENT ("AECOM") TO APPLY
14	FOR A HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT ON BEHALF OF
15	THE CITY.
16	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Public Works?
19	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-I.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	Roll call, please?
25	MR. GAUGHAN: 7-J. Sorry.

	93
1	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
2	Roll call, please?
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
4	MR. PERRY: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
8	MR. EVANS: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
13	declare Item 7-J legally and lawfully
14	adopted.
15	MS. REED: 7-K. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
16	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
17	- RESOLUTION NO. 99, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE
18	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
19	TO EXECUTE THE CO-APPLICANT AGREEMENT BY AND
20	BETWEEN PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES ("LANDOWNER")
21	AND CITY OF SCRANTON ("LOCAL GOVERNMENT")
22	FOR A HIGHWAY OCCUPANCY PERMIT FOR ENCLOSED
23	SURFACE STORM WATER FACILITIES.
24	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
25	recommendation of the Chairperson for the

	96
1	Committee on Public Works?
2	MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
3	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-K.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
7	Roll call, please?
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
9	MR. PERRY: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
13	MR. EVANS: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
18	declare Item 7-K legally and lawfully
19	adopted.
20	MS. REED: 7-L - PREVIOUSLY 6-A -
21	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
22	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 66,
23	2016 - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A
24	RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE CURRENTLY OWNED BY
25	BROOKSIDE EATERY AND PUB, LLC LICENSE NO.
	11

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

R-17472 TO DUNNE MANNING STORES, LLC FOR USE
AT 300 MEADOW AVENUE, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA
COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE
PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-L.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 0nthe question, this evening we did have a hearing, a presentation was made, this application, this is a transfer of the license actually it was explained to us as the first step in the process for this to This is a proposal to take happen. advantage of the new marketing that convenient stores that Governor Wolf passed and signed this year. This actually would set up a beer six-pack store at the current convenient market that's on Meadow Avenue. Just so everyone is aware, like I said, this is just the initial step, there will be a zoning hearing for this item so this is a little ways off before it's finally completed. I do think it's a good project.

1	I think it's an opportunity for the business
2	to take advantage of the new requirements
3	that have been passed in the state. Roll
4	call, please?
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
6	MR. PERRY: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
10	MR. EVANS: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
15	declare Item 7-L legally and lawfully
16	adopted.
17	MS. REED: 7-M - PREVIOUSLY 6-B -
18	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
19	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL
20	NO. 67, 2016 - AS AMENDED - AUTHORIZING THE
21	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
22	TO EXECUTE A DEED OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF
23	WAY GRANTING TO SSA AND/OR PAWC THE
24	EASEMENT, RIGHT OF WAY AND RIGHTS AS ARE SET
25	FORTH BELOW WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY,

	99
1	WHICH DEED OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY
2	SHALL BE IN THE FORM AS ATTACHED HERETO AS
3	EXHIBIT "B".
4	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Public Works?
7	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Public Works, I recommend we
9	take a final vote on Item 7-M, as amended.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
12	Roll call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
14	MR. PERRY: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: No.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
23	declare Item 7-M, as amended, legally and
24	lawfully adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-N - PREVIOUSLY 6-D -

FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 69, 2016 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 21, 2011, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 243, 2003 ENTITLED "ADOPTING A COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION ORDINANCE FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON REGARDING EMPLOYMENT, HOUSING, REAL ESTATE AND PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS INCLUDING PROCEDURES FOR REDRESS OF VIOLATIONS" IN ORDER TO BE CERTIFIED AS SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO THE FAIR HOUSING ACT, AS AMENDED IN 1988, 42 U.S.C. §3601. ET SEQ., AND TO BE COMPLIANT WITH RECOMMENDED BEST PRACTICES FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION ("PHRC").

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Community Development?

MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the Committee on Community Development, I recommend final passage of Item 7-N.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

24

25

1 Roll call, please? 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 3 MR. PERRY: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 11 I hereby 12 declare Item 7-N legally and lawfully 13 adopted. 14 MS. REED: 7-0 - PREVIOUSLY 6-E -FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON 15 FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL 16 17 NO. 71, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF 18 TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES OF 2017 IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT 19 NOT TO EXCEED \$12,750,000.00; PROVIDING FOR 20 21 THE DATE, INTEREST RATE, MATURITY DATE, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, PAYMENT AND PLACE OF 22 23 PAYMENT IN RESPECT OF THE NOTES; ACCEPTING 24 THE PROPOSAL ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B"

FROM THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION NAMED THEREIN

25

1	FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE NOTES; NAMING A
2	SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY/PAYING AGENT;
3	AUTHORIZING THE PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY
4	TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER THE NOTES AND CERTAIN
5	OTHER DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATES IN
6	CONNECTION THEREWITH; AUTHORIZING AND
7	DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, CERTIFICATION AND
8	FILING OF THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS WITH THE
9	DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC
	DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF
10	
11	PENNSYLVANIA; SETTING FORTH A FORM OF THE
12	NOTES.
13	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Finance?
16	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-0.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

		103
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
7	declare Item 7-0 legally and lawfully	
8	adopted.	
9	If there is no further business,	
10	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
11	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
12	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER