| | 1 |
|--|---|
| | |
| SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| HELD: | |
| | |
| Thursday, December 15, 2016 | |
| | |
| LOCATION: | |
| Council Chambers | |
| Scranton City Hall | |
| 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CATHENE S. NARDOZZI. RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| The second of the second reconstruction of th | |
| | HELD: Thursday, December 15, 2016 LOCATION: Council Chambers Scranton City Hall 340 North Washington Avenue Scranton, Pennsylvania |

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT

5 PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

6 WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

8 TIM PERRY

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 Here. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: 11 Here 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There will be 14 a motion to move Item 5-B and 5-C to Sixth and Seventh Order for a final vote based on 15 16 the attached emergency certificate. 17 will be a second opportunity to speak in 18 Seventh Order prior to final passage of 19 these two pieces of legislation. I'd like to make a 20 MR. GAUGHAN: 21 motion to table Item 7-A. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Motion dies for lack 23 of a second. 24 MR. ROGAN: I'd like to make a 25 motion to take from the table File of

| 1 | Council No. 63-2016. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 3 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 4 | This ordinance pertains to the licensing and |
| 5 | regulating of contractors doing business in |
| 6 | the city. This legislation will be placed |
| 7 | in Seventh Order for a final vote. Anyone |
| 8 | who wishes to speak on this particular piece |
| 9 | of legislation may do so during Fourth |
| 10 | Order, Citizens'. All those in favor signify |
| 11 | by saying aye. |
| 12 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 14 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 16 | MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. |
| 17 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 18 | so moved. |
| 19 | Dispense with the reading of the |
| 20 | minutes. |
| 21 | MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX |
| 22 | ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE |
| 23 | HELD NOVEMBER 30, 2016. |
| 24 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 25 | If not, received and filed. |

| 1 | MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF SCRANTON |
| 3 | HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD NOVEMBER 7, 2016. |
| 4 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 5 | If not, received and filed. |
| 6 | MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE |
| 7 | SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION |
| 8 | MEETING HELD NOVEMBER 16, 2016. |
| 9 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 10 | If not, received and filed. |
| 11 | MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE |
| 12 | NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING |
| 13 | HELD NOVEMBER 16, 2016. |
| 14 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 15 | If not, received and filed. |
| 16 | MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE |
| 17 | SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING |
| 18 | HELD NOVEMBER 16, 2016. |
| 19 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 20 | If not, received and filed. |
| 21 | MS. REED: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE |
| 22 | COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD |
| 23 | NOVEMBER 16, 2016. |
| 24 | MR. WECHSLER are there any comments? |
| 25 | If not, received and filed. |

MS. REED: 3-G. AGENDA FOR THE
NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
HELD DECEMBER 14, 2016.

MR. WECHSLER are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any council
members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: I have one announcement.

Lackawanna County Commissioner declare a

Code Blue frigid weather alert. Utilizing
the forecast of the National Weather Service
in Binghamton, New York, and in consulting
with the Lackawanna County Emergency

Management Agency a Code Blue will be
declared for Lackawanna County beginning at
7 p.m. on Wednesday, December 14, and ending
at 1 p.m. on Saturday, December 7.

During the Code Blue alert, homeless individuals can access emergency shelter at following locations: The Bethel AME Church shelter at 716 North Washington Avenue, Scranton. Hours are 7 p.m. to 8 a.m. St. Anthony's Haven, 409 Olive Street, Scranton. Hours are 7:30 p.m. to 8 a.m. Community Intervention Center 445 North Sixth Avenue, Scranton. Hours are 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

1

3

5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Information on personnel health and safety during freezing weather can be found on the Lackawanna County homepage by visiting www.LackawannaCounty.org and clicking the Code Blue alert icon on the right side of the screen. The alert will also posted on the County's social media outlets the duration of the advisory period. That's all I have.

MR. ROGAN: I have two announcements. One is, I guess, kind of a follow-up to Mr. Perry's regarding the cold weather. This is a press release from Pennsylvania American Water and the headline is, "Pennsylvania American Water alerts customers to be winter ready inside and outside of the home." It goes through, again, about the cold weather precautions and making sure your pipes don't freeze. couple of suggestions, if you have pipes that are vulnerable to freezing, run a small trickle water overnight to keep the pipes from freezing. The cost of the extra water is little compared to the cost of a broken pipe. And to open cabinet doors to expose

pipes to warmer room temperatures to help
them from freezing. It says, "If your pipes
do freeze, shut off the water immediately
and do not attempt to thaw frozen pipes
unless the water is shutoff. Freezing can
also cause unseen cracks in pipe or joints."

Secondly the Lackawanna County Land Bank will be having a community outreach presentation. This will be on Tuesday, January 10, at 6:00, in the community room at Goodwill at North, the Jerry Langan apartments. The presentation will outline Lackawanna County's Land Bank history and mission and to discuss blight in your neighborhood. The presentation is free and open to the public. It will be followed by an opportunity for attendees to ask questions.

If there is anyone who would like more information on this, contact Lackawanna County Ralph Pappas at 570-963-6830 and that's extension 1350. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: We have received notice from the County of Lackawanna Transit System concerning some closures that will

24

25

occur during the Christmas holiday. The
County of Lackawanna Transit System will be
closed on Monday, December 26, in observance
of the Christmas holiday. Colts will also
be closed on Monday, January 2, in
observance of the New Year's Day holiday.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MS. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, Scranton resident and taxpayer. I just want to start off by mentioning that the downtown looks a lot better with those painted utility polls. You wouldn't think it so, but it really has enhanced the appearance. I have just hope that don't start sticking up signs on the polls anymore. You know, yard sale or eat at Joe's or whatever, but I thought they looked quite nice after all of the rust and the fading and everything else that we have seen, so just wanted to pass that on. It was pointed out to me by other people, too, that it looks nice in the downtown.

And now my favorite subject, the

2015 audit. It was posted as of December 5, which made it 188 days late. I would remind council that you do have to publish in the newspaper your summary, so hopefully that will come out before the end of the year.

With regard to the two adverse findings, the one in referenced to the Scranton Parking Authority which, again, of course, provided no audited financial statements to be including in our audit, it's not something that the city had any control over, and that's a self-solving problem because now there is no Scranton Parkin Authority so I would wouldn't lose any sleep over that adverse opinion.

But the other one is with regard to the pensions, and using a very optimistic 8 percent rate of return assumption on investments. Federal guidelines, of course, are much stricter. Mr. Bulzoni made a comment that he wasn't overly concerned about these two adverse findings. "I certainly would who the say that they are major adverse findings."

I hate to tell you, but an adverse

finding is an adverse finding. It's like being a little bit pregnant. You don't want one. As I said, the Parking Authority finding was something over which the city had no control, but we do have a control over the pension fund.

With regard to the pension fund, have we had heard anything about when the MMO will be paid?

MR. GAUGHAN: I was going to bring this up in motions, but I guess we just did receive a letter from the business administrator that we are actually going to be borrowing money from the Workers'

Compensation Trust Fund, so we are going to meet the MMO. How much we are going to be borrowing I'm not sure, that's actually a question I was going to ask tonight.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And what's the impact on the Workmens' Compensation Fund, I mean --

MR. GAUGHAN: That I don't. It doesn't say --

MR. WECHSLER: Well, they are swapping money out of Workmens' Compensation

Fund and then repaying it when the TAN comes in.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Hopefully that's not the way we want to do business in the future, I would hope. Also, I hope that early next year I'm going to see the legislation for an RFP for the third party administration for the pension fund, and not too far distant in 2017 because that was promised on March 18 by the mayor and we are still waiting and that's very important.

And then the Sewer Authority sale, if you could during Fifth Order give us a status on why this closing as been delayed if you know why and what will be the impact on the budget if this is delayed for any substantial amount of time since we have already passed the budget and there were assumptions built in that this closing would take place by the end of the year. We missed the December 13 date, so I would like something information on that.

Please encourage the city to post
the accrued budget on their website. That
would be nice so that all the citizens could

see it. And I just want to close with one thing if this is going to be the last meeting for the year. I, like a lot of other citizens, come up here and we offer a lot of criticism, and we don't often agree with everything you do, but I want to compliment you on having the initiative and the fortitude to run for office and sit up there and take the criticisms that you get from the public. We won't always agree with you, but I personally think that it takes a lot of courage to run for office and to sit up there. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. It's Christmas time so I'm not going to cause you any problems tonight. I really have a question, Monday I was at Price Rite to get some peanuts for my squirrels, a lady came over, couldn't hardly speak any English, she live in a house in South Side for two years and her water heater went out and she said she had got behind on rent so many times, the landlord come once or twice a month for

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money. He wants cash, so when the water heater went out she had several people trying to help her figure it out. They couldn't find who owned this house. went through all of this LLC and this guy and that guy. She was -- she knew what she was talking about. She said the owner doesn't know the water heater is out and they can't find some -- knowledgeable people can't find who owns the house. How do we know that this -- some of these people that have houses like with LLC's and so forth are paying their taxes? You know, on Cedar Avenue there was I think \$150,000 worth of unpaid taxes, I don't remember the gist of it and those few businesses I mentioned. There must be some way to know? She told me they're just -- it's deliberately hidden who owns her house. Mr. Evans, you'll have an idea.

MR. EVANS: Well, I mean, just because they're an LLC, I mean, there is ways to find that out. The state legislate that will tell you who the person is that's responsible for the LLC. I can't believe

that she is a tenant and never had information on who her landlord is.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, it doesn't make

-- it doesn't sense that there is just not a

number you can phone and find out who owns

that house and go on from there.

MR. WECHSLER: Well, the Assessor's Office has a list of all --

MR. ELLMAN: Well, I thought that but she -- like I said, she knew what she was talking about. She has had some people involved that couldn't find out who the landlord was. There was one name on the electrical box and it was like ten years old.

MR. EVANS: Well, who is the person that comes by every couple of weeks for the cash? That's not the landlord? That's the property manager or somebody who works for the landlord? Somebody comes by -- you mentioned somebody comes by every couple of weeks and gets a cash payment from her.

MR. ELLMAN: I felt sorry for her really. I feel sorry all of these people all of the time, you know how I am. My son

23

24

25

lives in Taylor. I go up and down North Main and through West Side right by his office, his business, the streets are torn up horribly. All of that that was just Have any of you been down there? This is senseless. It's just not right after the mayor -- I congratulate him on the paving job that's been done.

And one thing more, I don't mean this as a bragger, my dog died day before Thanksgiving, Slugo the Bassett Hound. spent \$1,300 at the vet trying to save him that week. He just couldn't do it. I came outside to take him to the doctor the day before Thanksgiving, my neighbor's cat had died he had thrown it out in the driveway next to my car. What kind of a lowlifes do something like this? I can't conceive somebody having a pet and just disregarding You know, the other day on the news they showed a dog someone tied up at the weather. I just can't understand how anybody would have an animal that you are obligated to take care of somehow or rather, not maybe go overboard. Anyway, I took it

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I buried it in the backyard. Thank you.

That's true. Thank you. You all have a

Merry Christmas if I'm not here in the

future. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council. Bob Bolus, Scranton. I think 7-A should be tabled tonight, put to the side while we figure out what's going on with the Sewer Authority. It seems this a confusion somewhere why they didn't go through with their deal. I think we should put everything on hold until we really know what's going on. There is a lot of questions here, maybe some skeletons in the closet that are jumping out that they weren't aware of, so I think before we rush to judgment let's put it on the side right now until we have all of our facts together. Because, remember, contracts were made to be broken. That's why they call them contracts, and we could run into a lot of issues.

You know, we are looking at receiving a substantial amount of money, in

the millions. What would you guys do with a million dollars a piece today? Squander it? By a new car? Not think about what you want to do? Or just let it sit there until you were sure of where you wanted it to be, put your house in order before you spent one That's what we need to do here right We need to do a forensic accounting of now. this city once and for all. No more guessing, no more playing games, know what we are doing and where we are going because we are out of assets. We are out of anymore idealogical ways that we are going to save this city when you squander the money.

We have seen the past the golf course money, we have seen squandering, squandering. Why? Because we never knew what our direction was. We never knew where we really stood, and you need that right now before you spend one penny. The mayor has no clue what he is doing it with. I don't want to pick on council but, again, none of us here are qualified to deal with 90 some million dollars and decide where it goes and how it goes without first knowing what we

2

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are talking about. Let's not put the cart before the horse this time. This is the last bite at the apple.

And let's look at the nonprofits. Let's level this playing field once and for all in the City of Scranton. Let's put a fee, as I have said time and time again, on everyone. One percent fee on our gross. Simple. We may even be able to abate our taxes by doing that, but everybody pays their fair share. You can't just keep beating the people up in this city. We give away \$5 million for parking spaces for people to park in the parking place parking garages. This was the most ludicrous thing I ever saw in my life. We have no money and then we give \$5 million away because we are worried about this guy meeting with somebody else that we sold the parking garages to? Hey, too bad. That was one of the dumbest things I ever saw in my life, and it was a major mismanagement of the funds of this city. That's what I'm talking about where we are tonight.

This guy gets away, buys the mall

for 5 1/2 million bucks and we paid \$5 million for him, plus we are fixing his parking garage up. Like, what the hell are There is no common sense here. we doina? Ι said that deal has a stench that goes for miles, yet nobody will turn around and reassess him, the assessment of \$3 million has been on that mall for God knows how many years. Yet, a new guy takes over and somebody opens their mouth here, he is getting a free ride. Why do we owe all this gratis? I'm paying back taxes of 50 grand on a church I paid \$35,000 they were going to tear down.

Now, how can I be in that kind of a debt and I'm still paying taxes on it and this guy doesn't pay anything? So let's get the equality here. Let's stop the fight and where we are going. I don't come here to insult people, I come here to educate, okay, and what I see here is we got to level this field. Let the mall pay his fair share. The County bought the Globe, we are going to have to pay to park that -- Basalyga's parking garage because the Globe never

19 20 21

15

16

17

18

23

22

24

25

bought a garage so there is no thinking what we are doing. We are just playing political football here as we have done for year after year after year. We've got to put all of that outside of the door, you know. Basalyga, all the power to him, he has got to pay his their share now because we were dumb enough to hand him \$5 million out of a bank account we don't have money in so we could compete. Who are we competing with? We are biting ourselves? That's what we are doina. We are biting each other's hands off here. We not doing nothing intelligent so let's use some common sense where we are going.

The other issue I have would be the money we are spending on legal fees.

Conaboy, Abrahamsen, that's the squandering of our assets. We are worried about the parking garage competing with the other parking garage, let's make the lawyers compete against each other. It's about time they bid for the work and we get the advantage of it instead of paying hundreds of thousands of dollars to these two firms

alone. This is what I'm talking about, no where you're spending your money because it's hurting the people in this city until we bankrupt Scranton and then where are we going?

Last off, I would like to wish all our troops a Merry Christmas, and a lot of them can't be home, but a lot of them are defending our country today, defending that flag behind us. Those that aren't defending the flag are respecting. I'd like to give them one of our trucks, load them up and take them the hell of this country because they don't belong here.

Today, right now, our trailer, the Trump trailer that's been all over the country and seen all over the world is down at Hershey today representing the City of Scranton and the people in it, and I would hope council that when the president elect does come to Scranton you forget you're Democrats, that you're Americans and you greet them to come here. I think we owe them that.

And, finally, on Christmas Day I am

1 holding my Christmas Day dinner. Everybody out here that could hear this is invited. 2 3 It's at St. Pat's Church from 1:00 to 6:00. Call my office if you need a ride or 4 5 reservation, which you don't need a reservation, but if you need a meal, and 6 7 that number is 570-346-7659. And, again, 8 thank you and Merry Christmas. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee 10 Morgan. 11 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 12 Lee Morgan. I'd just like to ask, maybe the 13 council president can answer this question, 14 does federal law require treatment of collected storm water? 15 MR. WECHSLER: The EPA controls 16 17 storm water, yes. 18 MR. MORGAN: Do they require it to be treated once it's collected? 19 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. That's what the 20 21 problem is. That's why we are under a 22 federal mandate to do this. 23 MR. MORGAN: Do you know what the 24 claims are now that are pending against the 25 Scranton Sewer Authority?

1 MR. WECHSLER: They claim actually 2 that there is an agreement with the Sewer 3 Authority. It's been settled. I don't know 4 what the (unintelligible) -- but that's why 5 they are building all these treatments plants. 6 7 MR. MORGAN: But are there any other 8 claims against the Scranton Sewer Authority? 9 MR. WECHSLER: Not that I'm aware of. 10 11 MR. MORGAN: What is the cost to 12 repair and maintain the storm water 13 collection system? Do you have an answer 14 for that question? MR. WECHSLER: No, I do not. 15 16 MR. MORGAN: Do you know the cost of 17 the equipment necessary to repair and 18 maintain the storm water collection system? 19 MR. WECHSLER: No, we do not. 20 MR. MORGAN: So this council is 21 going to enter into an agreement to sell the 22 Scranton Sewer Authority --23 MR. WECHSLER: I'm going to stop you 24 right there. It's not the council entering 25 into the agreement. The agreement is the

| 1 | Sewer Authority is entering into the |
|----|--|
| 2 | agreement with the water company. It's not |
| 3 | council is not entering into any |
| 4 | agreement. |
| 5 | MR. MORGAN: Well, you are making it |
| 6 | possible by the council voting |
| 7 | MR. WECHSLER: We are not. We're |
| 8 | not. |
| 9 | MR. MORGAN: The Sewer Authority |
| 10 | can't sell itself. |
| 11 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes, it can. The |
| 12 | Sewer Authority is making an arrangement |
| 13 | with the water company to sell itself. The |
| 14 | only thing that council is doing is |
| 15 | terminating the Sewer Authority. |
| 16 | MR. MORGAN: Well, why would you |
| 17 | terminate the Sewer Authority? Why? |
| 18 | MR. WECHSLER: So they can sell the |
| 19 | Sewer Authority, yes. |
| 20 | MR. MORGAN: But they can't do it |
| 21 | without you allowing them to do it. |
| 22 | MR. WECHSLER: We can't force them |
| 23 | to stay in operation either. |
| 24 | MR. MORGAN: This is all political. |
| 25 | This is the mayor's plan and this is the |

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council's plan.

MR. WECHSLER: It is part of the recovery plan, yes.

MR. MORGAN: So it's babble. It's silly babble because in the end what's going to happen is you don't know how much it's going to cost to repair the store water collection system. You don't know how much the collection is going to cost to keep it in repair. You have no idea what any of these costs are, and the rate was set for residential customers so that they could help to offset the cost of maintaining the storm system and by allowing the deal to go forward the money that would have went to maintain and repair the system the storm system is going to Pennsylvania American Water. It's the most flawed and silly plan there is.

And, you know, when you look at the residents living in this city, and I have been coming to these council meetings for long time, a couple of decades, and we still haven't gotten it right and there is nothing left to sell, and you are the best thing the

city could move forward and elect into public office, and your obligation is to the citizens of this city. And even if you were only elected yesterday, and some of you have been here a considerable period of time, you haven't come up with one solution to take the burden off of the residents of this city. And as you look at the city itself and you see all of the condemnations, and all of the vacant lots, and all of the deterioration of the neighborhoods, I haven't seen any massive amount of federal funds or even city funds going to the neighborhoods. I haven't even seen any city money go towards basic infrastructure repair.

We bought a pothole paving machine and it's been turned into junk. It may be gone at this point. And when this asset is gone and after it's all gone and everything is just -- well, for lack of a better word just gone, what are you going to say that the residents when they found out they are on the hook for let's say \$500 million to repair the storm water collection system

| 1 | under the city with no equipment, nothing. |
|----|---|
| 2 | No employees, just nothing. Nothing. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: That's the current state |
| 4 | of affairs so the city is going to be |
| 5 | responsible |
| 6 | MR. MORGAN: The city doesn't have |
| 7 | to sell the Sewer Authority, what needed to |
| 8 | happen was the city needed to cut it's |
| 9 | budget. |
| 10 | MR. ROGAN: You're talking about the |
| 11 | storm water, the city is currently |
| 12 | responsible for storm water. |
| 13 | MR. MORGAN: And you have |
| 14 | acknowledged at a prior meeting you don't |
| 15 | even have the equipment to do that. The |
| 16 | gentlemen came here and talked about his |
| 17 | house being washed off the foundation. |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: Right, and that's the |
| 19 | current state of affairs. That's not |
| 20 | because of the sale. |
| 21 | MR. MORGAN: Well, what's going to |
| 22 | happen in January? |
| 23 | MR. ROGAN: The same as it is now. |
| 24 | MR. MORGAN: Okay, well, nothing, |
| 25 | because the guy's house is still getting |

washed off the foundation and you still have no equipment. You are still going to sell an asset. You still have no answers to any of these questions.

MR. ROGAN: That's why --

MR. MORGAN: And you are all reaching for money, and continually keep money in the downtown with no benefit to the neighborhoods. You have residents who can't afford anymore taxes. You got no answers to any of the legitimate problems and you just like, you know, act like it's just another sunny day and it's not. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone one?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, resident of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, as a member of the building trades, did you notify them that you were going to pass that resolution tonight or bring it up at all? Did you notify the building trades to be here for it? I thought you were bringing up that deal with -- same with the people -- the amount of education they used to have -- need to have for journeyman work. Is that the resolution you are talking about

bringing it up? 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: We are bringing the 3 contractor's legislation back, yes. MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay, did you notify 4 5 the building trades that you were going to I already told them you would do it. 6 You would pass sometime when they were not 7 8 around, and I guess I knew what council 9 would do. 10 Also, I heard a funny one, I don't 11 know how true it, but if this sewer deal 12 goes through the water company is only 13 responsible for the sanitary. 14 MR. WECHSLER: No, that's not true. They are responsible for the combined sewer 15 16 system. 17 MR. SBARAGLIA: I was told it was 18 only the sanitary -- well, even the paper 19 said the sanitary and that you would be --20 the city is responsible for the water coming 21 out from the sky. Is that wrong or right. 22 MR. WECHSLER: We would be 23 responsible for the storm water, yes. 24 MR. SBARAGLIA: And I was told that 25 the water company may bill the city for that

water coming down from the sky. I don't 1 2 know how true that is. 3 MR. WECHSLER: That's not true. 4 MR. SBARAGLIA: But I would love to know that. 5 MR. ROGAN: That's not true. 6 7 MR. SBARAGLIA: I don't know, a lot 8 of people said it. A lot of people said they have like \$40 million in the fund now. 9 10 I don't know how true that is either, but 11 whatever it is I think we are going to be in a lot of trouble, you know that and I know 12 13 that and you are hoping not be here when 14 that hammer comes down on the residents of Scranton. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Sbaraglia, if I'm 17 not here I'll still be in the City of 18 Scranton. MR. SBARAGLIA: I don't know. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: There is no escape 21 for --22 MR. SBARAGLIA: I have no idea if 23 you will be here or not. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Well, I can tell you 25 100 hundred percent that I will be here.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I own two houses. 1 MR. WECHSLER: I will be here. 2 3 not going anywhere. I will either be setting here or I'll be sitting at my home, 4 5 but I'm not leaving the City of Scranton. We have been here for 108 years and we are 6 not going anywhere. 7 8 MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, my family has 9 been here since 1906. 10 MR. WECHSLER: You are not going 11 either so you are committed. 12 MR. SBARAGLIA: No, up the 13 Cathedral. I am going somewhere. I already 14 got a plot up there. 15 MR. WECHSLER: Still in the city so 16 17 MR. SBARAGLIA: Yeah, I'm not 18 leaving the city, I never said I would, but 19 a lot of you people may not be, but anyway, 20 whatever the deal is it's not very good for 21 the residents when they find out the total 22 If that is true that we are cost. 23 responsible for the water coming down from 24 the sky and the water company is not and 25 decide to bill us for it we are in double

trouble. So, you say no, I hope you are right.

MR. WECHSLER: I can tell you this, the federal government has decided that municipalities are responsible for controlling water that comes from the sky. We are responsible for controlling storm water. They are responsible.

MR. SBARAGLIA: The water coming from the sky is the water that pours into the combination system. The water company knows how much water is going out to the city because they are on computer so any other water that's coming in there is not coming from the residents it's coming from the sky. If you say they can't charge us then, I hope so. I really do.

MR. WECHSLER: Well, the city -- the municipality is going to be responsible for controlling the storm water.

MR. SBARAGLIA: It's not the controlling it, it's the charge. That's the problem. If the city can be charge for the water falling from the sky different from the sewer water and the sanitary --

MR. PERRY: No, the water company will not be charging for the water flow going into the system.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Then why should we change it? What is the idea of changing it if we are not going to be responsible for it? The water comes --

MR. WECHSLER: We are going to be responsible for the storm water.

MR. SBARAGLIA: No, the sewer system is responsible for it, not the residents of Scranton. The sewer system is responsible to put water into the system that meets the standard of the federal government.

MR. WECHSLER: The city has always been responsible for storm water. That's not changing. The city always been responsible for that.

MR. SBARAGLIA: You know, I don't really have confidence in it because, like I said before, the little article I read on it said that, and if it's true and we do get hit an additional cost other than the sewer costs, they are going to blame you and I don't blame them. Thank you.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Good evening, Council. MR. DOBRZYN: Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. Last week I heard once again about a per bag fee for trash, and I can't emphasize this enough I lived in several towns where other people's trash became my problem on a per bag basis. I had a mentally challenged lady play dump bottles off on her and cans off on her porch, they were trying to cash in up in New York State. It was up in Susquehanna County. And, by the way, she was mentally challenged, but and it was only hearsay, but I heard she cleaned her boyfriend's account out of \$11,000 so she had some kind of smarts to understand something.

The point is whatever you do in the future, forget about per bag trash. I sympathize with you, but there is only a few people coming in here every now and then yodeling, and I have already stated that maybe if they bought a regulation can and kept it at that we could let them by on half pay, but I really don't -- I really regard putting trash out in bags because I see it

every day. I will have to bring a picture in. My wife bought a nice digital camera and you wouldn't believe this one. The bags get torn apart and it just lays there week in and month and year out.

On the Sewer Authority, I talked to a person that was notched with the river he stated that that was going to be eventually a fee per paved and constructed property. In other words, if your house occupies a certain space so many square feet then you will have to pay on that. You won't have to pay on the land that is not paid, but my concern in the future would be that someone with huge parking lots would get an ungodly low fee and then the average Joe would get slapped with paying part of his bill and it is a concern.

Also, another concern on this sewer is that if the money is invested in pensions, which it has to be, there is no way out of it, but pension systems in this state they are actually deducting money out of what's left after losses or fees. It can't go on like this. We are being -- the

24

25

average taxpayer is being cleaned out. teacher's pension they deduct their fees like the commercial do you get your fees Well, big deal, you lost thousands of back? dollars on your half of the pension fund and now are going to give me a couple of hundred dollars in fees back after losing millions. I mean, this is -- and I don't see the current makeup in the federal government as really going to do anything about it. last president, the outgoing guy, was too busy being politically correct all of the time and backed up, didn't follow through with what he said he was going to do, which was to put people in jail, instead they got They got commissions and commissions. bonuses paid after the Wall Street collapse. It was just shameful and we are under pretty much the same situation, so I'm very concerned about it and we have to find a good pension administrator and whether or not they will become the victim of dishonesty and ruthlessness is another story.

And, okay, I'll just state this

2 3 Have a good night. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Thank you. 17 MR. WECHSLER: 18 MOTIONS. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: 21 22 23 24 25 Now, storm water management and sale of the

1

quick, our school system is in trouble and on the 2nd of February there is a meeting and time we start with them. Thank you. Anyone else? MR. JONES: Hi, Council. Bruce Jones, city resident and member of the pipe fighters and plumbers local in Scranton. The contractors issue, I heard there is some changes with the electrical. I think on the plumbing and mechanical testing is just as important. I believe there is a lot of safety issues this, so I hope we look at that, and I think that plumbing and mechanical testing needs to stay in there. Thank you. MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. Mr. Perry? MR. PERRY: Yeah, a couple of things. First, I'll talk a little about storm water management in the city. That's really the hottest topic we have right now.

25

Sewer Authority they are two separate issues. They kind of got intermingled and intertangled because they are kind of just happening at the same time, but that's -our responsibility for that management is here whether the Sewer Authority gets sold or not, so let's -- let me just throw some facts about some water management that I know of right now. If we want to compare ourselves or get a skeleton together of other communities about our size that are going through this right now, if we want to talk about the City of Lancaster, which already has this in effect, there is additional costs to residents there which range from about \$4 to \$10 a quarter for storm management. It's all based, as Mr. Dobrzyn, on square footage of impermeable surfaces, roofing, parking lots, things of that nature. What is really -and, you know, no one likes extra fees for anything, but what's going to come along with this is we are allowed to also charge businesses and nonprofits alike for their fee based on square footage so the more

4

1

5

7 8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property you own, the more parking lots you have, the more square footage you have, which means the more -- the bigger piece of the fee you are going to incur. So for somebody as a nonprofit, like the University of Scranton, for every building, parking lot they have they are going to be charged for that right along with somebody who has a very small home with a small square footage so they might be \$2 a quarter range, which would be 8 to 10 dollars a year, where the University of Scranton is going to pay far, far, far more than that if we base it on that model, which I'm not saying we are going to, but for myself I'm just trying to get some kind of framework on what we are in for. So that's my take on the storm water management for right now.

A couple of other things that I had noted, I was very happy to see that Lackawanna County received a million dollars grant for parks from Pennsylvania, which the West Side area is going to be a really benefactor to this. As our West Side people know, Pat, you probably know as well that

you can probably say something about

Novembrino park so I won't talk too much

about that, but that's going to be a really

nice shot in the apple for that proceeds and

for that because I know we talked about that

a few months ago and we saw the plan of it,

it looks really nice. We applied for the

grant and everything looks like it's going

to go through and we might even see splash

down park this summer if everything goes

right.

I was very critical last week of the county commissioners, and I'm still holding firm to that, but with the bad comes the good and I managed to get to the Globe Store this week and let me tell you it was fantastic. It just looks great, it has a nice flow to it. It's got a good vibe to it. Like everybody said last week, and I'm at that age right now 42, where I remember going there when I was young enough to sit on Santa's lap and get a picture but I don't really remember anything after that. It went out of existence a little bit before my time, but it's great to see that, it's great

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to have that same vibe when I go into that store again. It's just a really nice asset to the city right there.

Also, if you're like me you took notice to a lot of serious drug busts over the last year, last months, and I want to thank Lackawanna County Detectives and their cooperation with the Scranton Police Department. You can't go week-to-week without seeing a major heroine dealer busted in the City of Scranton and it shows you two things, the hard work that the county and city is putting into finding them, but it also shows that they are out there. are out there make no mistake about it and we have a lot of good people out there fighting and getting them off our streets so I want to thank both of those groups on that.

And, lastly, I want to thank our staff here and I want to thank my colleagues as the year comes in an end. We have a very hardworking staff that are really the backbone of what we do and my colleagues have been nothing but receptive and helping

13 14 15

17

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

me throughout this last year, and most of all I want to thank all of you guys, the citizens that come here and speak and give us your input and I know I'm more of an empathetic person than I was when I took this spot, and also the people that watch at It's really the citizens' participation that drives our city and what got us all here, and I just want to thank you guys and looking forward to the new year, and I'm going to save the rest of my comments for legislation.

> MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I think Councilman Perry stole my notes before the meeting.

> MR. PERRY: Again.

MR. ROGAN: The advantage of sitting in that seat, I guess. I guess most of what I'm going to say has already been said but it rings true so it deserves to be said again. On the Sewer Authority deal, I know we have talked about this for months, years, I have been a proponent of this sale before

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's even really been on our radar. I'm sure our Time's reporter can look back on articles five years ago when I advocated for doing this to help ease the burden on the residents of Scranton.

One thing that has kind of been lost in the shuffle of the Sewer Authority deal that I will give credit, the Scranton Times had a very nice chart that laid out how it would effect the average ratepayer. the terms of this deal, over the next ten years the cost of your sewer could only increase on an average of 1.9 percent a Without this deal, I believe it would be close to 4 or 5 percent, and I think we all remember, I believe it was 2006 or 2007 maybe a 30 or 40 percent increase in our sewer bills, and that type of increase for the residents of Scranton can't afford it and it really is a shock when you have bill that goes up double digits, 30, 40 percent.

So that's part of the deal that has gotten lost in the shuffle, hasn't gotten as much attention as it should and a big reason for that is because Pennsylvania American

Water is a statewide company and when they have to do infrastructure repairs they have a base of ratepayers throughout the entire state that are paying for those repairs.

With the Scranton Sewer Authority, it's just Scranton and Dunmore so you can see how, as Councilman Evans mentions all the time, it's an idea of more people paying less, instead of less people paying more, so that's part of the sewer deal I think that hasn't been mentioned enough and deserves to be repeated.

A couple of other items that were brought up by some speakers, infrastructure was brought up, and I do agree there is much more work that needs to be done on infrastructure in the city, but in the past couple of the years we have paved more streets than probably the last 30 or 40 years in the city. It doesn't mean there is lot of work that still needs to be done. I know everyone would like their street freshly paved and some of them it has been decades since they've been paved. We need to put more money into infrastructure, but

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to say that we have been lacking on that is flat out wrong. We really -- this council and the mayor have made every effort possible to put more money into infrastructure in our city.

Councilmen Perry mentioned thanking the police and some of the drug busts, I want thank them on another item, I did see, I believe it was on WNEP that our Scranton police officers have been pulling people over and handing out coupons for pizzas as a Christmas gift to some of residents of Scranton. And I actually saw one person getting pulled over and when I saw it I felt bad for them because no one likes to get pulled over and then to get a coupon like that from our police officers is a very nice thing for our officers to do and they really don't get thanked enough. They are great professionals, they do a great job keeping us safe and he they do give back to the community. The same for all of our city I think the Fire Department departments. and few weeks for a coat that they did fr the children in the city, and our city

employee deserve a lot more credit,
particularly the public safety employees who
have had a rough go of it over the last 15
years or so.

And, finally, I would like to wish everyone out there a very Merry Christmas and Happy New Year and I'm looking forward to being on council next year and representing the people again. There is no greater honor that I have than to sit in this seat and represent the people of Scranton. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I'm going to talk about the sewer transaction a little bit, too, with a little bit more focus on the future. I will tell you that in my opinion if it doesn't happen we are going to continue down the path of even higher taxes, diminished services, possibly receivership or bankruptcy, so that's our future, I think, without the sewer deal. I'm confident that the deal will happen sooner rather than later, hopefully by the end of

the year.

With that said, I'd like to share my expectations for some of the proceeds of the sewer transactions. Number one, high interest debt must be paid off. This would include 2012 and 2013 bonds that have interest rates in the range of 7.25 percent to 8.5 percent, as well as the 2006 bonds at 6.10 percent. All unacceptable amounts considering the current financial climate, but reflective of where the city was financially at that time.

Two, I would like to see the 2003 bonds restructured if a more favorable rate was available at the time of restructuring.

And, three, we need to create a capital projects fund. The amount should be agreed upon between this council and the administration. This money should include funds for economic development, capital improvements, and storm water startup costs.

Four, with the concurrence of city council we should deposit a reasonable amount, I do mean reasonable, into the severely distressed pension plans, of

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is no more impo

course, after the first three initiatives I just discussed are completed and with additional pension reforms and other protective conditions attached to any cash infusion to the plans.

To further explore our options, another thing I would like to see included in the capital projects fund is a large amount of -- a large sum of not more than \$1 million that could be used as the city's contribution to a countywide property assessment, reassessment. If the commissioners elect to move forward with the reassessment within the first six months of 2017, then the contribution will be there on the table and will be used to defray the cost of reassessment. A cost, which in my opinion, having grossly overstated by several county officials, and if they don't take some of the offer I would call on the mayor to finally step on this issue and take the county to court to force a reassessment once and for all.

There is no more important issue relative to the future of economic growth of

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city, and the county for that matter, as well as the future tax for our property owners than a property tax or property reassessment.

And, also, I would like to discuss, this has been brought up a few times recently from some of the speakers where are we are with our idea sessions, so city council idea sessions will return hopefully after the first of the year. We have developed several good ideas with our initial sessions, but we would like to expand the concept and drive even more ideas and innovation into 2017 and make Scranton the very best it can be. We will, again, be looking to tap into many of the talented Scrantonians for their ideas and support. The sessions will take a different format and in discussions with Council President Wechsler and my colleagues, I would anticipate we would have advertised work sessions and that will allow for all councilmen to participate in these sessions, if they wish. The individual community chairs will be reformed and the meetings

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will most likely take place in city hall.

The base outline of the sessions would include the subject to be discussed, for example, small business growth and initiatives, real estate development, home sales, art and culture, taxation and fees, etcetera. The format will be developed to encourage brainstorming and to discourage gripe sessions. We will have one-hour meetings which will allow for a more focus meeting. The sessions will be called six in 60 which we hope to gather numerous ideas in the first 30 minutes, and then reduce those to six doable, innovative ideas in the next 30 minutes so we end the session again with six in 60.

And, finally, of course this may be our final meeting, I'm not sure but hopefully it will be, so I'd like to wish everyone a Merry Christmas, happy holidays and very happy new year to you and yours, as well as my colleagues and for 2017 peace.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a

couple of updates on some things. First of all, the initiative that was started a few months ago to bring council to paperless meetings with the company Granicus, the training has been completed so our staff has been trained. We are looking at implementing that program towards the beginning and the middle of January, so hopefully we are up and running by the end of the new year, so that's a good thing.

Second thing, I had asked how we were going to pay the MMO several times and I asked Mr. Bulzoni when he was here at the public caucus a few weeks ago, and we did receive an e-mail from Mr. Bulzoni detailing that they are going to be taking money from the Workers' Comp Trust Fund and we are going to be using that to make the MMO payment, so we going to borrow money from that fund.

Obviously, this is not normally what it's supposed to be used for and I am a little bit miffed as to why we were not, you know, told about this beforehand. I did ask that question and I think that we were kept

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a little bit in the dark on how those funds were going to be used to pay that mandatory obligation.

Third thing, we received an e-mail -- or I received an e-mail and a phone call about a serious traffic issue that's taking place up near the Oram Street area. are getting off of Interstate 81 and traveling down Davis Street heading towards the Stauffer Industrial Park and they are missing the turn to go the Stauffer Industrial Park and by the time they realize they have missed the turn they are going to take a left on Oram Street to avoid going underneath the bridge and running their The problem is, when they go to Oram truck. Street they have to take a right on Garfield Avenue and this is causing damage to the property owner's homes.

The cops were just -- the Scranton

Police were just out there. I think a pipe
had burst. There is a lot of damage to a

few properties in that area, so the
gentlemen that had reached out to me had
suggested more signage, and we, council, is

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sending a letter to the city engineer, to PennDOT, and we are going to work with the Borough of Taylor to possibly put up more signage and take care of this very serious issue.

The gentlemen did send me pictures of the issues so I'm going to be giving those to my council -- or to my council colleagues and we will include them in the letter to PennDOT and the city engineer.

Also, we received an e-mail, and this is a recurring issue every winter about the 1500 block of South Irving Avenue, I'd like to read the e-mail that we received from this gentlemen. "Once again, this street and intersection as well as the 1400 block of South Irving Avenue is being neglected. The street is home to some elderly residents. The intersection is adjacent to a school route for pedestrians and it remains largely unmaintained by the city over several administrations and Something needs to be done once decades. and for all. An accident in this area is inevitable and school children are also

being put at risk.

The department and supervisors responsible for it's maintenance just seem to refuse to either take action or accountability for the deterioration of the this intersection to the discontent of the neighbors, and this is especially true when we have snowfall."

I have been up to that area, and that section of South Irving Avenue is a disaster so if we could send a letter to Mr. Gallagher and make him aware of that this year.

Also, received another complaint about a foreclosed property on the corner of Sumner and Farr Street. The gentlemen is complaining that the grass only gets cut once a year and nobody is shoveling the sidewalks so if we can forward that the proper department.

Also, the former lot where the Samuel Morris school was on the corner of Sumner and Farr Street, across the street from this bank-owned property their sidewalks have not been shoveled and it's

causing people who are walking to be forced out into the street. So if we could also send that to the Licensing and Inspections Department.

Also, one of the issues that we constantly receive about is faded street signs, so I would ask again if we could try at some point to come up with an inventory of these signs so we can start working on replacing them by neighborhood and section of the city.

Also, yesterday, the Greenridge
Neighborhood Association was in court
fighting for the right to get a
determination on whether or not the city and
the Scranton Sewer Authority allowed the
Keystone Sanitary Landfill to let leachate
flow through pipes underneath the city
possibly leaking into groundwater, among
other things.

The Sewer Authority attorney Paul Walker, City Solicitor Jason Shrive and the Landfill attorney Paul Overstreet argued that the neighborhood association had no standing in court. Landfill Attorney Paul

Overstreet said, "For someone to come in 26 years later and say I'd like to butt in is offensive and we cannot have this case reopened now."

Mr. Shrive, who was the city solicitor, added that reopening the case would severely prejudice the landfill, city and authority. At one point Judge Braxton asked the city, "Aren't the fathers of the city supposed to represent the city," which would be the neighborhood group, and apparently that is obviously not the case.

I think what is actually offensive is that Mayor Courtright has directed the Law Department of this city to team up with the landfill and the Sewer Authority to stifle citizens who want answers and the truth. The fact that the City of Scranton would actually side with the landfill in this case and let them do most of the talking in the courtroom is shameful. The only thing that is being severely prejudiced here is the people of Scranton's right to their own health, safety, and welfare.

Isn't our job as elected officials

to protect and to serve the public? Isn't our job as elected officials to live for the people and not off of them? Apparently, the mayor and his administration do not believe so, and it's pretty apparent that the City of Scranton is in bed with the landfill.

I hope that Judge Braxton can see through the web of conflicts and it's artful deception and rule in favor of the people of this city.

And I would like to, on a lighter note, wish everybody a Merry Christmas, and I'll save the rest of my comments for agenda items. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. In regards to the MMO, I echo what Mr. Gaughan said, the city has received authorization from the Department of Labor and Industry to transfer funds temporarily from the Workers' Compensation reserve account. This will help the city avoid a substantial penalty. It the city's intention to replace the funds in the first week of January on the closing of the tax anticipation note. As it's a general fund

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

function, an internal transfer is not necessary and, therefore, a formal legislative approval is not needed.

I look at this a little differently than Mr. Gaughan does. I think this is a move that saves the city a lot of money. don't want to continue to do this, but it is in the best effort of the city to avoid the substantial penalty that's assessed to us if we don't pay the MMO on time. The cost of this we roll up into the borrowing that we use on the TAN so I think this is making good use of the situation that we find ourselves in right now, and I do agree going forward we have find a way to budget our MMO and not constantly be struggling to get to pay it, but I do congratulate Business Administrator Bulzoni for this creative technique.

I try not to engage in some of the negative comments that are made by council speakers, but I do just want to point out two things the -- positive things that did occur this week. The Sampter's building was sold in downtown Scranton, and I believe

that will be another area of substantial development and investment in the city, and moving forward I think that will bring a whole new perspective to the Penn Avenue and Lackawanna corridor there, and I'm not sure who the buyer was, but I do -- hopefully there is an opportunity for us to work with them to improve the city.

Also, there was a major purchase of property in the Mulberry Street corridor, which is another area of we see the University of Scranton has offered development and also the properties that surround the University of Scranton, also. It's another person investing in the city.

This week I was contacted by some residents who are in need to take opportunity and use some of the Scranton Fire Department smoke detector program. The smoke detectors that the Fire Department ended up buying and installing are of the 10-year variety. They are currently out of those, but they do have some of the older two-year style. Speaking to Chief DeSarno this week, they are anticipating they should

will be able to get 2000 additional ten-year smoke detectors so that program will be returning next year. That's all I have right now.

MR. GAUGHAN: Could I just add one thing? Just on the transfer to cover the MMO, I know why it's being done, I don't necessarily disagree with it, but I would ask, and we did discuss it in caucus, if we could find out, because it doesn't have to come before council, we don't have authorize it, if we could find out how much has to be borrowed from that fund. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Also, one other item I forgot to mention that again Mr. Perry did, the Novembrino pool. I was delighted to see the grant that come through for that complex. As a lifelong Westsider, as a kid I remember walking down to that pool to go swim and for many, many years it's been dry, and one of the nice traditions in Scranton with our neighborhoods is kids getting together and going for a walk to the neighborhood pool. So I'm glad to see that the Novembrino complex will be renovated, it

will be reopened, and I think we need to do that same model on every neighborhood pool throughout the city that has been closed.

I know it's freezing cold out and nobody is thinking about the pools right now, but every child in Scranton deserves the same opportunities that myself had and my colleagues had when we were younger to utilize these pools and our parks. That's all.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
A DEED OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY GRANTING
TO SSA AND/OR PAWC THE EASEMENT, RIGHT OF
WAY AND RIGHTS AS ARE SET FORTH BELOW WITH
RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY, WHICH DEED OF
EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY SHALL BE IN THE
FORM AS ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B".
(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

| 1 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
|----|---|
| 2 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 3 | |
| | saying aye. |
| 4 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 6 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 8 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 9 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 10 | so moved. |
| 11 | MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - |
| 12 | AN ORDINANCE – TRANSFERRING BOTH PERMANENT |
| 13 | EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER PARCELS OF |
| 14 | PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS |
| 15 | NOTED BELOW IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCRANTON |
| 16 | SEWER AUTHORITY'S SEWER/STORMWATER |
| 17 | COLLECTION SYSTEM ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE |
| 18 | EASEMENT. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 19 | MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll |
| 20 | entertain a motion that Item 5-C be |
| 21 | introduced into its proper committee. |
| 22 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 23 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 24 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
| 25 | those in favor of introduction signify by |

1 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 4 MR. EVANS: Aye. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 6 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 7 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 8 so moved. 9 MR. EVANS: I make a motion to suspend the Rules to move Items 5-B and 5-C 10 11 into Sixth and Seventh Orders to be 12 considered for final passage based on the attached emergency certificate. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Second. MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 15 16 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 17 MR. EVANS: Aye. 18 19 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 20 21 MR. GAUGHAN: No. MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 22 23 so moved. 24 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 25 FORMERLY 5-B - READING BY TITLE - FILE OF

| 1 | THE COUNCIL NO. 72-2016 - AN ORDINANCE - |
|----|--|
| 2 | AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE |
| 3 | CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE A DEED OF EASEMENT |
| 4 | AND RIGHT OF WAY GRANTING TO SSA AND/OR PAWC |
| 5 | THE EASEMENT, RIGHT OF WAY AND RIGHTS AS ARE |
| 6 | SET FORTH BELOW WITH RESPECT TO THE |
| 7 | PROPERTY, WHICH DEED OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT |
| 8 | OF WAY SHALL BE IN THE FORM AS ATTACHED |
| 9 | HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B". (EMERGENCY |
| 10 | CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 11 | MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading |
| 12 | by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? |
| 13 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A |
| 14 | pass reading by title. |
| 15 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 16 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
| 17 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
| 18 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 22 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 23 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 24 | so moved. |
| 25 | MS. REED: 6-B - FORMERLY 5-C - |

| 1 | READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 73 -2016 - AN ORDINANCE - TRANSFERRING BOTH |
| 3 | PERMANENT EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER |
| 4 | PARCELS OF PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY OF |
| 5 | SCRANTON AS NOTED BELOW IN CONNECTION WITH |
| 6 | THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY'S |
| 7 | SEWER/STORMWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM ACCESS |
| 8 | AND MAINTENANCE EASEMENT. (EMERGENCY |
| 9 | CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 10 | MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading |
| 11 | by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? |
| 12 | MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B |
| 13 | pass reading by title. |
| 14 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
| 16 | those in favor signify by saying aye. |
| 17 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 20 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? |
| 21 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 22 | MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and |
| 23 | so moved. |
| 24 | Is there anyone else who would like |
| 25 | to address council on the emergency |
| | 11 |

legislation?

MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 68, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE REQUEST OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY ("SSA") TO TERMINATE ITS EXISTENCE UPON THE PAYMENT AND DISCHARGE OF ALL OUTSTANDING BONDS BY SSA AND THE ASSUMPTION OF ALL OUTSTANDING CLAIMS AGAINST SSA BY THE CITY; AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ASSUME ALL OUTSTANDING CLAIMS AGAINST SSA: AND AUTHORIZING APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO TAKE ANY AND ALL FURTHER ACTION REQUIRED TO TERMINATE THE EXISTENCE OF THE SSA. AND APPROVING THE TERMINATION CERTIFICATE SUBMITTED TO THE CITY BY SSA.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final vote on Item 7-A.

> MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I was disappointed that there was no second to table this legislation tonight, and I

21

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

asked in the beginning of the meeting and made a motion for it to be tabled for the following reasons:

I think that we should have the officials from the Sewer Authority attend a public caucus and explain to this council why the closing is being postponed. There was and still is a discussion between both parties, the Sewer Authority and the Pennsylvania American Water, on the some elements of the closing agreement so I'd like to know what those elements are, are they still being discussed. This deal should be open and transparent to the public. This, after all, is a public asset that is being sold.

It concerns me, as I mentioned last week, that the 2017 budget has been passed and the budget banks on funds from this sale from the sale proceeds. What happens to the budget if the sale continues to be postponed into the new year? Is there an alternate budget that somebody is working off of here if something happens to this deal? What happened this the sale price is lower than

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expected? What is the status of the lawsuits related to property easements?

I continue to be confused as to why we are pushing through with this deal when there seems to be some mystery to why it's being held up at this point. I also continue to question the sense in selling off the Sewer Authority when we do not know the cost of storm water management. And the notion that the city was absurd. always responsible for storm water management that is true, but I'd like to read from the 2013 report that was issued on the storm water management. And it says, "At the beginning of this study, the project team found that the city lacked the capacity and resources to properly manage storm water. While the city is ultimately responsible for implementing the components of the MS-4 permit, there have been partners involved in the process, including, and most importantly, the Scranton Sewer Authority which has lead to a fragmented system and has made it difficult for the city to remain Many of the requirements not accountable.

currently being met could be attainable through a more efficient and streamlined program.

The city and Sewer Authority should work to develop an MOU, a memorandum of understanding, in the near term to begin a develop a comprehensive storm water program. While an MOU will begin to build a comprehensive storm water program, it is not a long-term solution. The recommendation is that a more efficient and permanent solution would be to transfer the MS-4 permit from the city to the Sewer Authority."

So it is true that the city has always been responsible for the MS-4 permit, the city just never really did much of the work it was the Sewer Authority that took care of our storm water issue because they had the manpower and they had the equipment.

Now, me bringing up the fact that we did not know the cost of storm water management and that being a concern, it's not just me that has this concern. It's many people across the city, and it's also two administrative law judges for the state

Public Utilities Commission that asked that storm water management issue be resolved as a condition of the PUC's approval of the sale and they were rejected. If storm water management ends up costing us millions of dollars, will the value of the sale actually be diminished? These are all questions that can't be answered because we don't know how much storm water management will cost us.

My fear with this sale, as I stated last week, is that Scranton has become more of an asset manager and less of an advocate for the public interest. We are selling off an asset which previous generations worked hard to assemble and pay for. After the cash runs out from this deal what else is up for sale, city hall? Who knows.

To make matters worse, and again, one of the reasons that I asked for this to be tabled, this deal has been shrouded with lawsuits, leachate, and a lack of transparency. So for these reasons, I will be voting "no" on final passage of this legislation. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I'd

That's

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

receivership and bankruptcy, and if we go into receivership the first thing the trustee is going to do is tell us to sell assets, and if we think for a minute that we are going to get the value then that we are getting now you are missing the whole picture because we won't. We will probably get 25 cents on the dollar for the Sewer Authority. So I think that we have no choice but to move forward with this. I think part of the problem is, again, I totally am, again, the storm water, I have been asking repeatedly for a plan or a framework for storm water and we haven't got that. a failure on the administration, not on city council. To hold up this deal on our end

would be totally irresponsible because of

like to remind everyone that the deal is

Pennsylvania American Water Company, not

city council. The fact that you don't do

down a path of high tax increases and

diminished services, most likely

the deal it's pretty clear we will continue

between the Scranton Sewer Authority and the

8

9

10

11

6

7

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the failure of the administration not to com forward with the framework. There will be a study done, we will get the information that we want later than we wanted to, but it will happen, but I don't know if we should be as afraid of storm water as everybody portrays it. I think the unknown is what the problem is.

As Councilman Perry mentioned, you know, Lancaster is a perfect example. have it in effect now, they are doing it We have seen estimates of anywhere from 25 to 35 to 45 dollars a year per property per year, and there are benefits to storm water management. Economic benefits. There is environmental benefits to control the storm water. That's why they have that mandate in place. So we need to do our best job we can when the opportunity arises. wish we had an answer that on day one the city was going to do "X". We haven't gotten that from the city, but that is not a strong enough reason for me to hold up this legislation and certainly not hold up the sale. That's all I have for now.

MR. WECHSLER: I would just like to say I'm a strong proponent of the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority. I do believe it's the only available alternative that the city has for achieving financial stability and exiting the distressed status. However, I have been working with this particular issue for the past few months and there was a process explained to me on how this was going to work. The way it was explained to me was that the closing was going to take place and then the Sewer Authority would be disbanded.

I'm uncomfortable with the change in the process so close to the end. We are told that their are safeguards within the ordinance that it won't kick in until the closing is happening, and I do accept the opinion of our solicitor and also of the solicitor of the city. However, I'm not sure why we weren't informed of this earlier. I believe that this legislation, if that was true, could have been to us here months ago. So I am not -- I do not plan on voting for this particular ordinance at this

2
 3
 4

time, but I do want to make it understood that I do support the sale of the Sewer Authority and I do support investigating what we can do with the money and also being an active part of that, but I am voting "no" this evening because I dont' like the change in the process.

MR. ROGAN: I guess I'll make a couple more comments --

MR. EVANS: I was going to say on the process, what has changed is the legal opinion from the city solicitor, which I don't want to speak for Attorney Minora, if you can concur with that opinion if you do so I'd appreciate it. If you can do it on the record.

MR. MINORA: I received an e-mail from Attorney Shrive asking him of the import of this and it's basically a contingent ordinance. If the sale doesn't go through, the ordinance is ineffectual for any purpose, and he has requested that it be passed upon. I asked him for that so that we would have for council the solicitor's opinion on the import of this and his

opinion, as you know, was challenged in court, is more than a legal opinion. It is our law for lack of a better word. I do agree that it's contingent. It has no import until there is a sale and the sale goes through along with all of the other elements of that sale. Beyond that, you know, there are political considerations which I don't address, that's your job, but from the legal standpoint that's where we stand.

MR. ROGAN: I guess I'll make a couple more comments on this. As I mentioned before, I have been talking about this sale of the Sewer Authority for probably five years. On the logistical part regarding the legislation, you know, I understand that the word was out there that this had to be passed simultaneously with the closing, and I always question that because it does say right in the legislation that it's upon payment and discharge of all outstanding bonds by SAA and assumption of the outstanding claims against SSA by the city. So until the deal closes, the

legislation doesn't take effect. So on that end, I'm perfectly comfortable, especially after hearing from Attorney Minora and Attorney Shrive on that issue and reading it right in front of me as well.

On the core issue of the deal, as Councilman Evans mentioned, not doing this deal would only result in higher tax and higher sewer authority bills. Doing the deal, as you see this year with no tax increase in the budget, is going to result in a lesser taxes and lesser bills on your sewer. As I mentioned, the first 10 years this deal, the maximum increase on your sewer bill is 1.9 percent a year. Without the transaction taking place, that's upwards of 4 or 5 percent so there is a big savings for the ratepayers on your sewer bills and also on your tax bills.

The 2017 budget doesn't spend any of these proceeds. There are some assumptions that are made based on refinancing of debt and some moving around of some higher interest debt, but the 2017 budget is not predicated solely on taking this money in

2

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and spending it. That would be very irresponsible and I don't think any one of us on here would support that.

As Councilman Evans mentioned, the spending of the these funds in a responsible way is, in my opinion, the key to the deal. I think the vast majority of the people in the city and on this board are in support of the transaction. The question where there will much debate is how to get the most bang for the buck in a long-term manner using these funds. As I mentioned before, it needs to be a mix. I don't believe we could put all of your eggs in any one basket, but I will support using these funds in a way that best benefits the residents of the City of Scranton and keeping their taxes down and keeping the services of the City of Scranton up.

I do agree with the report that

Councilman Gaughan mentioned that the system is currently fragmented and a more efficient streamline system needs to be put in place.

I completely agree. We saw about a month or so ago when we had a caucus with residents

18

19

21

20

2223

24

25

in Keyser Valley that have been flooded because of storm water issues, and under the current system the Sewer Authority isn't going out and doing these repairs. It's the city's responsibility so even prior to this deal there is issues and they have to be addressed, and I agree there needs to be a comprehensive plan to get these items squared away, but it's not a new issue. There has been flooding throughout the city in different areas for decades and it does need to be fixed, and with this infusion of money and using some for infrastructures, specifically storm water infrastructure, would be a wise spending of those funds, along with reducing or debt and shoring up our pensions.

So for those reasons, and many others, I am in full support of this deal and this piece of legislation.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, on the question, as far as 7-A goes, I was apprehensive at first to go ahead and pass this tonight, but after -- and thank you to Attorney Minora for his counsel and going to Attorney

Shrive, it was explained to me that there is

-- there is no reason to be apprehensive
about passing this and the question was if
we dissolve the Scranton Sewer Authority
before the sale is that going to interrupt
or impact the sale in any way whatsoever,
and the answer is no. All of this is going
to do is to put the mechanism in place that
once the sale becomes official then this
ordinance will kick in and then we will
dissolve the Scranton Sewer Authority. So
that's the verbiage of 7-A in a nutshell.

There were a couple of good points brought up by Councilman Gaughan about up the issue of the closing date, it comes and gone, so obviously there is some more negotiations happening. How is that going to effect the deal? We do not know exactly what's going to happen with that, but just from experience I have nowhere near the amount of experience invested in this type of deal, but I did purchase two homes in my life and I didn't close on time. There was always something that came at, something that had to

| 1 | be signed, another piece of paper, whatever |
|----|--|
| 2 | it may be and I can't imagine the amount of |
| 3 | moving pieces in a deal like this so I don't |
| 4 | have the level of concern as Councilman |
| 5 | Gaughan does that closing date has come and |
| 6 | gone and we haven't finished the deal yet, |
| 7 | and I will go on the record being for the |
| 8 | sale of the Sewer Authority from day one. |
| 9 | So, you know, I'm not apprehensive about the |
| 10 | actual deal. So I'll be voting "yes" for |
| 11 | this. |
| 12 | MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. |
| 13 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr Perry. |
| 14 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. |
| 16 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. |
| 18 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 20 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 21 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 22 | MR. WECHSLER: No. I hereby declare |
| 23 | 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. |
| 24 | MS. REED: 7-B - FORMERLY 6-A - FOR |
| 25 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - |

| 1 | FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. |
|----|--|
| 2 | 72-2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER |
| 3 | APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE A DEED |
| 4 | OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF WAY GRANTING TO SSA |
| 5 | AND/OR PAWC THE EASEMENT, RIGHT OF WAY AND |
| 6 | RIGHTS AS ARE SET FORTH BELOW WITH RESPECT |
| 7 | TO THE PROPERTY, WHICH DEED OF EASEMENT AND |
| 8 | RIGHT OF WAY SHALL BE IN THE FORM AS |
| 9 | ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B". (EMERGENCY |
| 10 | CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 11 | MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for |
| 12 | the Committee on Rules, I recommend final |
| 13 | passage of Item 7-B. |
| 14 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 16 | Roll call, please? |
| 17 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. |
| 18 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. |
| 20 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. |
| 22 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 23 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 24 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 25 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. |

| 1 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
|----|---|
| 2 | declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully |
| 3 | adopted. |
| 4 | MS. REED: 7-C - FORMERLY 6-B - FOR |
| 5 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - |
| 6 | FOR ADOPTION – FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 73 |
| 7 | -2016 - TRANSFERRING BOTH PERMANENT |
| 8 | EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OVER PARCELS OF |
| 9 | PROPERTY OWNED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS |
| 10 | NOTED BELOW IN CONNECTION WITH THE SCRANTON |
| 11 | SEWER AUTHORITY'S SEWER/STORMWATER |
| 12 | COLLECTION SYSTEM ACCESS AND MAINTENANCE |
| 13 | EASEMENT. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). |
| 14 | MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for |
| 15 | the Committee on Rules, I recommend final |
| 16 | passage of Item 7-C. |
| 17 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 18 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 19 | Roll call, please? |
| 20 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. |
| 21 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 22 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. |
| 23 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 24 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. |
| 25 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |

23

24

25

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-D - PREVIOUSLY TABLED -FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 63-2016 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 155, 1999 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "PROVIDING FOR THE LICENSING AND REGULATING OF CONTRACTORS DOING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE GRANTING OF PERMITS AND FEES FOR SAME: PROVIDING PENALTIES AND RIGHTS OF APPEAL" BY ADDING AND DELETING DEFINITIONS, UPDATING THE DEPARTMENT NAME AND DIRECTOR'S TITLE, UPDATING APPLICATION FORMS, THE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTORS LICENSE, LICENSE FEES, ISSUANCE OF PERMITS, CONFORMANCE TO CODE, REVOCATION, SUSPENSION, DENIAL, PROHIBITED ACTS, STOP WORK, CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND TEMPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, PERMIT FEES, INSPECTIONS, FINES FOR

| | 8 |
|----|---|
| 1 | VIOLATIONS AND APPEALS PROCEDURE AND |
| 2 | DELETION OF SECTIONS 17 AND 22 IN THEIR |
| 3 | ENTIRETY. |
| 4 | MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to amend |
| 5 | Item 7-D as follows: |
| 6 | In Section 2, contractor's license, |
| 7 | paragraph A-3 remove "D", master |
| 8 | electrical." |
| 9 | In Section 2, Contractor's license, |
| 10 | paragraph b, second sentence, remove the |
| 11 | word "electrical. |
| 12 | In Section 3, application for |
| 13 | license, paragraph G, remove the entire |
| 14 | section entitled "Departments for Master |
| 15 | Electrical Contractor's License." |
| 16 | In Section 4, Persons presently |
| 17 | working as journeymen. In the second line |
| 18 | of the first paragraph remove the word |
| 19 | "electrical." |
| 20 | In Section 7, part C-3, in the |
| 21 | second line remove the words "electrical |
| 22 | inspectors." |
| 23 | In Section 7, permis, D-6, in the |
| 24 | fifth line remove the word "electrical." |
| 25 | In Section 10, work done without a |

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

permit, in the second line of first paragraph remove the word "electrical."

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: There is a motion on the floor and a second to amend Item 7-D.

On the question?

MR. ROGAN: On the question, the amendment over the course -- this item has been on our agenda for a total of five weeks through the last year. Three readings once previously where it was shot down and two weeks, once again, up until tonight we haven't heard any objection from any of the trades with the exception of the electrical trades so what this amendment does it takes the electrical issue, keeps that on the table and there is a compromise that's currently in the work between the administration and the electrical trades to try and come to an agreement that works for everyone regarding testing, and that way we could still move forward with all of the good that this legislation does and many other items without holding it up just for that one item regarding on the electrical.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: On the question, also, it may sound a little confusing but the existing ordinance that has electrical in it will stay in effect until the new ordinance is passed in January or comes back to us in January. I think we have a very good template for that ordinance when it comes back, it will be specific to electrical We can have that be a good model down the road for other ordinances relative to contractors and other trades so I think it's good way to move the legislation forward, address the concerns of the individuals involved in the electrical trades, and allow some of the good portions of this ordinance to be used toward the end of the year.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, a couple of things. First of all, in my opinion this thing has been a mess since the day we got it. You know, about a week ago we received more amendments from Mr. Hinton and I just don't think really truthfully that they know what they are doing up in that office, and I also don't think that we should piecemeal this. I think that it

2

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should be done all at once and it should be in a comprehensive so I'll be voting against it for those reasons.

MR. PERRY: On the question, I'm happy with the motions to amend that Councilman Rogan made today. When we originally got this legislation back in March, I voted "no" and that was for the motion -- or for the amendments that we made to this that we took out. Most of what we have in front of us is workable and an upgrade over our current contractor's license system, but I was just unable to pass anything that I felt was unsafe and I still think that as written the electrical portion of this ordinance is just -- it's not -- it's not where it needs to be yet so I am absolutely in favor of passing what we have in front of us, as amended, and that's going to give us time, as Councilman Evans said, to use a framework and restructure this, retool it and pass something soon that make sense for not only contractors, but provides safety, a nice blanket of safety for our residents.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Just to add one point, I did forget to mention I did support the original version of this that was defeated and it's very rare in any body of government that you see this type of compromise to finally come to a solution. It's rare to see, it's something very nice to see that there can be compromise and middle ground and that's found in many of these issues, and I think just how we on council handled this, again, it was a three-two vote initially, I suspect this will pass tonight with much more than the majority and I think it's good template on how we can work together on finding middle ground and I think we will do the same come January or sometime next year regarding the electrical component that really was the sticking point for many of my colleagues.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question,
I am in favor of removing the electrical
requirements of this and we had, as
Mr. Rogan said, we have been lead in the
right direction a recommendation from
someone with experience on what type of

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

ordinance or what city's ordinance we should follow and we do have that template now, but what I am concerned though is even though we did not hear from other -- a significant amount of people in the other trades, their work is just as important and I do not feel that as this currently exists that there is enough -- there is not enough testing for the other trades in this bill, and I do believe that as we move forward that we work with the electrical ordinance as a template and I do feel that we have an obligation to the other trades to apply the same type of requirements to that.

As we go through this and as we research this, there are several other third party testing agencies out there and I think it's incumbent upon the city to establish a process for testing for these other trades in order to make sure that all are safe so I will be voting against this this evening because I don't think it goes far enough in terms of testing for the other trades.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

| | 91 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 2 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 4 | MR. WECHSLER: Opposed? |
| 5 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 6 | MR. WECHSLER: No. As Chairperson |
| 7 | for the Committee on Rules I'm sorry. |
| 8 | The ayes have it and so moved. As |
| 9 | Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I |
| 10 | recommend a final vote of Item 7-D, as |
| 11 | amended. |
| 12 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 13 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 14 | Roll call, please? |
| 15 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. |
| 16 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. |
| 18 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 19 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. |
| 20 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 21 | MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 22 | MR. GAUGHAN: No. |
| 23 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 24 | MR. WECHSLER: No. I hereby declare |
| 25 | Item 7-D, as amended, legally and lawfully |
| | |

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

adopted.

Before we adjourn, I would like to note that because there is no further legislation in front of us that tonight will be our last council meeting of the year. Council will meet again on January 5, 2017. Please note that city hall will be closed on Monday, December 26, and on Monday, January 2, in observance of the holiday. DPW will also be closed on these same dates, and before we finish I would also like to echo Councilman Perry's comments. I'm very prejudiced, but I do believe that the staff and city council is the most dedicated and hardest working group that we have, case in point today, I guess. And I would like to thank them all for their hard work and they're very responsive to all of us. It's very difficult to have five bosses.

MR. EVANS: I'd like to echo that.

MR. WECHSLER: That's it. I would like to wish everyone a Merry Christmas and happy holidays, and if there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

| | | 93 |
|----|----------------------------------|----|
| 1 | MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. | |
| 2 | MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned. | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

J

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER