	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING
2	
3	
4	IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 20, 2020 AN
5	ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ACCEPTING THE CITY OF
6	SCRANTON CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021
7	PURSUANT TO SECTION 904 OF THE CITY'S HOME RULE
8	CHARTER.
9	
10	DATE: September 15th, 2020
11	
12	TIME: 5:45 p.m.
13	
14	LOCATION: Zoom
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Maria McCool, RPR
24	Official Court Reporter

_		
		2
1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
2		
3	WILLIAM GAUGHAN, PRESIDENT	
4	KYLE DONAHUE, VICE PRESIDENT	
5	MARK MCANDREW	
6	JESSICA ROTHCHILD	
7	THOMAS SCHUSTER	
8		
9		
10	LORI REED, CITY CLERK	
11	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
12	KEVIN HAYES, COUNCIL SOLICITOR	
13		
14		ļ
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	A .	

MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to call this 1 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 2 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Schuster. 4 MR. SCHUSTER: Present. MS. CARRERA: 5 Mr. McAndrew. MR. MCANDREW: Present. 6 Dr. Rothchild. 7 MS. CARRERA: 8 DR. ROTHCHILD: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 10 MR. DONAHUE: Here. 11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. Thank you. Mrs. Reed? 13 14 MS. REED: The purpose of said 15 public hearing is to hear testimony and discuss 16 the following: 17 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 20, 2020 --18 AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ACCEPTING THE CITY OF SCRANTON CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2021 19 20 PURSUANT TO SECTION 904 OF THE CITY'S HOME RULE 21 CHARTER. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, Mrs. Reed. 23 Our City Clerk, Lori Reed will now read into 24 the record comment for this public hearing on 25 the Capital budget from the following

residents:

Thomas Coyne, Norma Jeffries, Fay
Franus and Marie Schumacher. Mrs. Reed?

MS. REED: Thank you. The first comment is from Mr. Tom Coyne regarding the capital budget.

Just reviewed the 2021 budget and I see IT has a major overhaul planned.

The budget states that a replacement of the City operating system is required due to Technical Support ending in 2021.

The only Operating System that ended support I can think of was Windows 7 in 2020. Internet Explorer ends at 12/31/2021, but that is not hardware, just installing another browser.

Windows 7 ended its security patching as of **January 14**, **2020**, **but**.. Extended Security Updates (ESU) are available for a fee for continued operation and patches thru 2023.

I support upgrades and security, but it has to be done in a reasonable long term manor. A Unified master data (what people have been making as an access database since 1992.)

May sound good but having a database for all departments and service partners, gives external access to privileged information to outside sources. Service partners should not have the access to a full database.

The need is ever present to have an access controlled intranet (internal) that can be protected and secured by VPN and credentials to specific remote machines running software to connect to city resources. Intranet and internet need to be separated and non linked.

If I was running IT, I would back up financial and any critical data using a ZFS system.

15

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next comment from Mrs. Norma Jeffries.

Information Technology

Projected Costs: \$936,000. Does this cost include someone on the city payroll to oversee the project? This is a big undertaking.

Technical Support for the city's current operating system will cease at the end of 2021. Will this technical support be bought

in house? Will the city have its own IT Team that will oversee the upgrade and maintenance of the City Operating Platform?

Line Item #65 -- City Operating

Platform upgrade \$300,000. What services will

be covered in this upgrade? It is my

understanding that when an Operating Platform

is upgraded, each desktop, laptop, etc must

also be upgraded to the new operating system.

The \$936,000 seems reasonable, however, not sure what the \$300,000 referenced online item #65 covers.

Training of personnel will be included in the General Operating Budget.

Therefore, it appears that the cost associated with the training is not included in the \$936,000.

Department of Public Works,

Department of Engineering -- Bridges

Last line: Roads and sidewalks will be

included in the DPW General Operating Budget as

a maintenance item unless new construction.

Are the Street Signs and Stop Signs also

included in the DPW General Operating Budget?

The next comment is from Fay Franus.

Number one, Has the RACP Grant request been submitted for the City Hall roof/spire and (a) when will be know if received in the full amount, and (b) how will any expenses not covered by the Grant be covered?

Number two, The Serrenti Conversion seems to be moving beyond that approved by Council in 2017. Council will be giving a de facto approval to this change by approving the Capital budget and I am concerned the City is biting off more than the taxpayers can afford.

Number three, How many riding mowers are being procured in 2021?

Number four, DPW engineering department includes a no funding for Merrifield until 2023, and this is purely unacceptable.

These residents have been getting flooded for decades and need help NOW not off into the future.

Number five, Again the DPW engineering department has the word "design" in the second column of the Keyser Valley - Merrifield line item. Why?

The fourth comment submitted by Marie Schumacher.

May I say I was disappointed, very disappointed. Had I been Council I would have sent it back with a Copy of Section 904 of the Home Rule Charter and requested an Input that met the Charter.

First the Charter says it should be for five fiscal years, not three, next with the probable exception of City Hall the necessity was not clear. Estimated costs appear to be grabbed out of the air; probably the worst example was budgeting \$600,000 for Parks with only a still-to-be-delivered Contractor recommendation.

Estimated costs of operating and maintaining the facilities were not provided; just the utility costs for the Emergency Service Center concerns me. The required schedule is extremely vague.

However, given that you have accepted the hodgepodge I will share a few more specific thoughts: To Are vehicles being replaced, traded in and are the proceeds offsetting the cost of replacement?

- An asphalt Paving and small roller is requested, but I see no request for equipment to tar around pave patches; without the tar water gets between the old and new asphalt and the pothole is back.
- brush tractor and mower new or replacement? The roadside brush along East Mountain Road and Route 307 between Rt. 81 and Lynwood Avenue was not trimmed back this year as it has been in years past. Also, Land Bank vacant properties need to be maintained.
- I see two (separate line items I might add and question) for Leaf Vacuum Trucks but no Street Sweepers. Is our street sweeper inventory sufficient to keep sediment stormwater out of the stormwater drains?
- The DPW vehicle narrative states vehicles in need of replacement and fleet additions and then provides a list but fails to distinguish between which are replacement and which are additions.
- There is no estimate for implementing a vehicle GPS system in 2021 despite the fact that a Trial will be performed in the 4th Quarter of this year.

- The Flood Control section states escrow accounts will be used for funding.

Please identify the available escrow accounts and the balance in each and answer why this available funding has not been used to fix the Dewey-Jackson St issue?

- It is stated the City will continue to access funding for parks such as the pocket park. Does this mean the City plans to invest more funds into the pocket park or if the pocket park is being used as an example?

- \$600,000 a year for three successive years is a significant amount without any backup other than McLane is "doing a study." When will McLane deliver their recommendations? Based on the condition of the parks at this time, how does DPW access the parks needing to be addressed in 2021.

And that concludes the comments.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed. We will make sure that all of these questions are answered prior to final passage of the Capital Budget. And if there is no further business with the public hearing, I'll

	11
1	entertain a motion to adjourn the public
2	hearing.
3	MR. SCHUSTER: Mr. Gaughan, I wanted
4	to request a piece of information. But I don't
5	know if it's appropriate at this time that the
6	2017 Serrenti piece that was approved by
7	Council, can I get a copy of that?
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, we can do that.
9	Sure.
10	MR. SCHUSTER: Thank you.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Motion to adjourn the
12	public hearing?
13	DR. ROTHCHILD: Motion to adjourn.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. The public
15	hearing is adjourned.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

RPR

Official Court Reporter

C

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

25 the certifying reporter.)