ı		
		2
1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
2		
3	WILLIAM GAUGHAN, PRESIDENT	
4	KYLE DONAHUE, VICE PRESIDENT	
5	PATRICK ROGAN	
6	MARK MCANDREW	
7	JESSICA ROTHCHILD	
8		
9	LORI REED, CITY CLERK	
10	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
11	KEVIN HAYES, COUNCIL SOLICITOR	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	<u> </u>
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
6	MR. ROGAN: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
8	MR. MCANDREW: Present.
9	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild.
10	DR. ROTHCHILD: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
12	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Here. Mrs. Reed,
15	please dispense with the reading of the
16	minutes.
17	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. CHECK
18	RECEIVED FROM COMCAST IN THE AMOUNT OF
19	\$257,172.59 FOR QUARTERLY FRANCHISE FEE.
20	3-B. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM
21	THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY DATED
22	FEBRUARY 5, 2020 REGARDING THE FINAL FLOOD
23	HAZARD DETERMINATION FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
24	3-C. CORRESPONDENCE SENT TO MR.
25	JOHN BASALYGA, MARKETPLACE AT STEAMTOWN DATED

FEBRUARY 12, 2020 REGARDING THE ELECTRIC CITY GARAGE.

- 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD FEBRUARY 26, 2020.
- 3-E. MINUTES OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD MEETING HELD JANUARY 9, 2020.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Are there any comments on any of the Third Order items?

If not, received and filed. Do any Council members have any announcements at this time?

MR. MCANDREW: I'd like to say something. I'd like everybody to know that up in the balcony here we have the Boy Scouts from St. Ann's over in West Side for their Communication Badge tonight.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Anyone else? I have three announcements. First, as you could hopefully see, a new timing device has been installed at the podium. I had mentioned this over the last few months, actually back into last year it was a goal of mine and I think of Council's to increase transparency in terms of public participation

at our meetings.

So speakers will continue to have five minutes to address Council. Public participation as all of you know is a very important part of our meeting.

The green light at the podium will indicate the start of your five minutes, then the yellow light will display and blink continuously when two minutes of time remain. At one minute of time remaining the yellow light will then stay fixed and the red light will indicate that the five minute time limit has been reached.

This will provide speakers an opportunity to finish their statements and thoughts without the interruption of the bell. And, you know, since I've been on Council, one of the things that has kind of irked me is the fact that someone would be in the middle of a thought and then you would get the bell. And it would often times throw people off.

If you look at other City Councils, other public chambers throughout the State of Pennsylvania and the country, courtrooms throughout the country, they all have timers

like that. So I thought that that was important. And I think it's a sign that Council is continuing to invest in these chambers in transparency in public participation.

So once you see the yellow solid light, you will know that you are nearing the five minute time limit. And, of course, there is a timer there -- a timer below the Council dais where you'll be able to see exactly how much time you have left.

One thing I also wanted to remind everyone of is if you ask Council a question and you would like an answer right then and there and we can provide you with that answer, that will be part of your five minutes. If you would like to continue to speak, of course, that's your right and you would like Council to respond in Fifth Order, please just let us know that so that we can accommodate that request.

The other thing I wanted to mention in terms of investing in the chambers is

Mrs. Reed has been in contact with the gentleman that is in charge of the sound system in chambers. I know I've mentioned this

before.

But one of the issues in these chambers is that when there is a public meeting here and there's people that are presenting, it's often times difficult to hear if you are out in the audience. It's often times difficult to hear Council members speak when you're up at the podium.

And I think one of the reasons is because the speakers are behind you and not in front where they should be. So that is being looked at and hopefully will be addressed within the next few months.

The second announcement I have, I have been communicating with the City's Business Administrator, Patrick Sheridan regarding the city wage tax. And as it has been past practice as the former Business Administrator paid the wage tax during his employment with the City, Mr. Sheridan will honor that commitment as well.

Also I wanted to make note that next Tuesday, February 25th at 5:45 p.m., Council will meet in an executive session with Stevens and Lee to discuss a matter of litigation.

Mrs. Reed?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS PARTICIPATION.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. The first speaker tonight is Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
City resident and taxpayer. This afternoon I
happened to go to a hearing at the Hilton
Conference Center with a number of state
legislatures and the topic was local government
and taxation. Kyle was there.

And Mayor Cognetti gave testimony as did County Commissioner Domenick. There were one or two representatives from the School Board. But their testimony was written. They did not give oral testimony.

I was kind of disappointed in the fact that this was not better advertised. We should have seen a lot more public officials and citizens attending. But hopefully any time that happens again we'll be able to advertise it better.

So just in hearing Mayor Cognetti's comments, she talked briefly about unfunded mandates like stormwater management. So we

shall see where that will end up.

With regard to the audit, are you going to have that in the newspaper, the summary of revenues and expenditures? Is that coming up?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, that's required.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. And have you set a time for public caucus with Rainey and Rainey and members of the administration?

MR. GAUGHAN: Mrs. Reed, as far as I know we have not heard from Rainey and Rainey yet? No, we have not heard.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yeah, don't pay them until they answer. That ought to get their attention. I recall I mentioned last week that February 28th is the deadline for the filing of objectives to the tripling of the LST tax. Has anyone considered a Plan B if that is not approved? That will be a major hole in the budget.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, I don't know that there would be a Plan B to be honest with you because that would really devastate our budget. I'm not sure where else you would get that revenue from to be honest with you.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Last week also
Marie Schumacher was asking some questions.
And some of the -- one of the comments she made
was that initiatives from last year were
getting dropped out of sight. And one of them
was what is the status of the renovations to
City Hall?

Has that been put on a back burner?

Or is that being worked on and has any kind of decision been made?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, so we -- I had Mrs. Reed reach out to our City's grant writer because it was my understanding that the City had applied for a grant from the state. I believe it was a RACP grant. I don't think we received it the first round. What we're trying to find out is if we were going to reapply for that.

So we haven't heard yet -- back yet on exactly on what the deal with that is. But we will -- when we do I will update the public.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I hope it doesn't drag out too long. I read in the paper that the county is suing Mr. Basalyga about the leaks in the Electric City Garage that affected

the Government Center, which brings to mind the issue have we committed him to a caucus for updates to repairs to that garage? And if so, are you going to invite the Commissioners?

MR. GAUGHAN: We did not schedule a caucus. We sent a letter to Mr. Basalyga asking him for, you know, several pieces of information within a 30-day period.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. And this morning I attended the opening of the bids for Ethics Board Solicitor. They did receive three bids and the Law Department is working on that. But my question to you is, you should have received in writing from the Business Administrator the adjustments to the political contribution limits. Have you received that yet?

MR. GAUGHAN: We have not received that yet. When we do, I will make that a part of the Third Order.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. But don't let that drag out too long because it's overdue.

MR. GAUGHAN: Um hum.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. The next speaker is Patricia Nestor.

MS. NESTOR: Patricia Nestor, taxpayer. Thank you for looking into the grants for the pool on Penn Ridge. I watched the meeting last week on YouTube. So I know you're doing a good job because that needs attention.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes. We're working on it. And I have some meetings set up. Very excited to find a solution for that.

MS. NESTOR: I saw you're looking into to find a way to have college students stay in Scranton after graduation. And one of my tenants just graduated from Marywood. And he was looking for a job in his profession that he went to school for. And he couldn't find one.

So he just took a regular full-time job. If he found a job out of the area I would have lost him as a tenant. But he couldn't find anything in his field. But the problem with the students is cost of living.

The rent is high in Scranton. And I know for students they are not renting

apartments. They are renting rooms in a house. And I know someone who is a landlord and when I was looking to rent a three bedroom, he's like get three students. You could charge them 800 a piece and they pay out of their student loans.

They don't care. You know, they're not thinking of the future where they're going to spend 15 years paying back that money with interest. So when they graduate, they need to find affordable housing. They are not getting loan money anymore plus they have the loans coming in.

And if they don't get the great job and just get a regular job, they can't afford what they were paying in college. So the rent is what's going to keep people because my tenant, I inherited my house. And my mom was a nice old lady and only charged him 400 a month including utilities.

So that's what he's being charged because he has loans and he can't afford anymore. So I'm not making any money off of him. This is my act of charity. So that's something. And I saw on Facebook today that

the Mayor is looking for submission ideas for a new flag for Scranton. I'm just saying we don't need a new flag. I like the old one. I didn't authorize it. That's it. Have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: All right. Thank you very much. Have a nice night. The next speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I guess that we should thank the Lord that we have two such distinguished commissioners like we're blessed with at this time that are so concerned about the dilemma 24 years into the future that they want us to suffer the pain of it now.

You know, it's something to stand right here and know some of these people out there watching this are on the verge of losing the most cherished thing in the world, their homes. I don't know -- and I imagine some of you encounter them over a period of time like I do at the store all the time.

It's a great sadness what's happening in this City. 11 percent tax increase, that's unnecessary at this time. I

know when this happened that the schools -it's just almost in a total utter collapse, our
school system. And it's not being addressed
properly. Miss Finan was sent up here to do
something about it. She just caved in. She
joined them. I guess she can't lick them, join
them.

I had a lady last week I was at Price Rite. She was complaining about school lunches. She told me they are very, very expensive. She said, "I get a voucher." I said, "If you get a voucher, what are you complaining about?" "It's the amount of money, she said, that the school lunches are."

I said, "You get food stamps because I see your green card. And you get vouchers. People like me are paying for part of this somehow. I said, what are you complaining about? I said, why don't you take your food stamps to the school board and tell them you want to pay for your kid's lunch with them?"

You know, she had a fit. She said, "You're taking food of out of my children's mouth that way." I said, "Well, you're taking money out of my pocket your way." You know,

there's no winning with some people.

You know, Jessica, nobody has addressed these phoney nonprofits since Miss Evans attempted. Maybe they need another lady to try to get something done about all of these phoneys. You know, don't go to Lackawanna College because they told her to go to hell. They weren't giving a dime.

There's a couple hundred properties have taken futurewise millions off our tax rolls forever. Not a thing's being done about it. Real quick, when I was walking my dog last week at Nay Aug, a man told me -- I don't know exactly what I'm getting at but he said there's a plan for the City's electric bills for the schools and so forth.

And he said the lights at Nay Aug are on that plan for the parking lot. And I don't think that's right. The park is supposed to be closed at night. Why should we pay for the lights even if it's on the school plan he tried to get over with me. And one other thing about -- in all of these years that I've talked to people I've had a dozen I know complain about Geisinger's insurance is so poor.

They said they send you places that they can't get to. I thought maybe something can be done about that. I like your clock.

It's a good idea, yeah. Whose was it?

MR. GAUGHAN: We've been talking about it for a year so it was --

MR. ELLMAN: Lee Morgan told me it was his idea. I wish I thought of it. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: You could take credit for it. Thank you. Next speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council,
Lee Morgan. The first thing I have here is
there is some discussion going on about the
flag for the City. And really, we don't need
to solve that problem. We really need to solve
a problem of overtaxation in the City and
cutting the budget.

Now, somebody came here last week and asked how do you cut the budget by 30 percent? Well, that's a very simple thing to do. You take it. You open it. You look at it. You see where every line item, every penny in that line item is spent and you make cuts.

The City's problem is it's overtaxed its people for so long that it thinks it has a right to just keep taking forever. And like the City said, there's no limit to all the money we can take because we're a home rule community. That's how arrogant our government is. It really is.

And that's why we threw the British out of this country because they thought they could tax us the same way. But this City government is just totally beyond belief because it takes grant money and wastes it like the City pools for one.

But you know the most amazing thing is that the City collects a franchise fee.

They raised \$250,000. But the question from that should be, why isn't that money used to fund the City's access channels?

You know, maybe in Fifth Order you could answer that question. And when we talk about stormwater and paying for it, it was funded. It was part of the Scranton Sewer Authority. There was a part of that -- money was collected to maintain the storm basins and to take care of that problem.

So maybe you could answer that question in Fifth Order. And while we're at that, right? Maybe you could tell the City residents where the 500 parking spaces are they paid for under the previous administration in Fifth Order.

Because, you know, in my opinion, a lot of money was stolen in this City through the government, the renovations of a couple buildings down on Lackawanna Avenue, 25 million dollars gone. Where? Okay? And you have to ask yourself, well, why would the state government do that?

Well, because everybody is in on the take. And the only people that aren't in on the take are the people in the neighborhoods because the political parties themselves, the Democrats and Republicans, they're inherently corrupt.

They're only interested in taking care of the party. And that's the problem here because we forgotten how to take care of the residents. When somebody gets up and they talk about the school district, the children in the school district are receiving meals. And, you

∥ k

know, that's a good thing.

But somebody's got to ask why are these people living in poverty? Why? And why does the City itself look like it does? Why are we putting apartments in buildings in the downtown because there is no real use for them. So we're taking all the people we can out of the neighborhoods.

And we're not investing any money in the neighborhoods. Where are the curbs and the sidewalks? Where is the investment in the neighborhoods? You know, you got children in this community that grow up and die of heroin overdoses. But they had no playground programs.

And the little bit they had came through a nonprofit because they are used as tools to win elections. And when you take a look at the Scranton School District, let's be honest with ourselves. We elect people. We have some people on this Council. And we have somebody in the Mayor's office. They could have solved those problems over there. No, they didn't do that. They ran out of there.

Now, I put my name in for one of those seats because I was going to show them what you do with a law book and an ink pen.

And I'm a truck driver. The sad part is, we elect people that have no ability to lead. And it's not going to change until the people get tired of it.

We're selling senior citizens' homes for pennies. And we're telling people come here. But when you look at what the Chamber of Commerce is doing, everybody can come here and live for ten years for free.

I mean, come here, invest a little bit of money and don't pay anything while we bleed the residents completely dry and everybody puts their suits on. And you know the other thing, I think the Mayor should come here and speak at the end of the meeting, not come into the meeting, say a couple things and leave.

Because you know what we need in this community? Answers and tax cuts. And we don't need the City government funding a court battle to continue to tax us over the top of the tax caps because you think that you are

entitled to tax us as much as you want.

That is not the way it should be.

The Constitution isn't something we should live by. It's something that our government should live by. And when people learn that and stand up for themselves, this country will change.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. There is no one else listed on the sign-in sheet. Would anyone else from the public like to speak?

MS. JEFFRIES: Good evening, Norma

Jeffries, City resident. And I won't say that
I wasn't planning on talking tonight, but I

wasn't. But I did get a response to some of my

questions from last week. So I just felt that

not only myself but other City residents should

also know that when they park downtown like Mr.

Donahue had said, that time travels with you

with the -- per license plate.

So as you move from parking meter to parking meter as long as you're under your two hours, it seems that you're not going to get a ticket. So I just hope that that's not the case because if I get a ticket, I will put it on one of your desks, you know, with the ticket. So I do appreciate those responses.

The other questions that I had -- and I have to digest the information that I got back before I speak about it. But it was about the K and S Company and the agreement and thank you for that information whoever gave that to me.

But I do have to digest that because, you know, just in scanning it, it just raises more questions for me. So I will be speaking about that at a later date. But as far as the parking meters are concerned, I did have a chance to -- this wonderful color document that was passed out by the parking -- I always get their initials mixed up the NDS or whatever their company name is.

And it's a very colorful document.

But I didn't know if anyone really digested it.

And I don't know if we could digest it because once I start reading something and there's an error, it loses credibility with me.

So on this -- well, there's no page numbers for one thing. And I think that's just very inefficient for someone to put out a document with no page numbers. So the thing on here on the page that is new on-street kiosk

system, this particular page there's two errors that I think are on this page.

So I don't know if any of you guys -- anyone -- I'm sorry, Jessica, for saying guys. But if any of you up there read this and if you saw the same errors that I saw -- I don't know. But to me, you start to lose credibility because right away I think then if there's -- those words are wrong, I could pick up the words.

But I can't pick up the numbers. So if they are putting numbers in there we have no idea if the numbers that they are quoting in their presentation is really -- are really valid. So, you know, I looked at it and I thought I did a lot of presentations in my career and my boss would have had a fit for, number one, if I put a presentation out with no page numbers.

And then, number two, if I didn't put the correct information out, you know, I wouldn't have lasted too long in my career to have retired from my career of presentations.

So I say that because I just think you need to look at those numbers. I don't know if the

numbers are right or not. I hope you saw the same errors that I saw.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, I -- Mrs.

Jeffries, I believe they are just grammatical errors. But I'm going to have Mrs. Reed forward them to Dave Trevisani from NDC and ask him to address those and double-check the snapshot of the budget that was put in to make sure those numbers are correct.

MS. JEFFRIES: Any way that we can confirm that the numbers that they're using in their presentation are correct -- any of those numbers weren't transposed?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, I will ask him to confirm that those are, in fact, the correct numbers.

MS. JEFFRIES: Okay, because they will be back I think if I made a note in my folder here, they'll be back for another presentation quarterly they are supposed to present to us.

MR. GAUGHAN: Quarterly. We don't have a defined date yet; do we, Mrs. Reed?

They usually contact us to let us know. But, yeah, they will be back quarterly.

MS. JEFFRIES: Okay. That was the main thing I just wanted to let everyone know that you can travel about the City as long as you are within your timeframe of -- that follows your license plate, not the other.

Okay? Thank you. Have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Thank you very much. Would anyone else like to address Council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. On those
speakers, if you've ever been out to a rock and
roll concert or anything, the band is always
behind the speakers. And the speakers are
pointed at the audience. So obviously you are
having problems with microphones and feedback
and all of that stuff, maybe the columns -mount them on the columns or something.

Once again, our 30 percent cut. We have minimum manning for police and fire. I never wanted a job myself that I always had to be on the job, you know? If you're a fireman and you're sitting around and maybe watching a race or -- a car race or a football game or something on a Sunday and a big fire gets

started, guess what? If you had three or four beers, you're probably drunk on the job then, you know, if you're showing up for work intoxicated.

So a lot of those people -- public responders, our first responders they're owned by their jobs. And, you know, furthermore, we have 36 percent tax exempt and many justifiably so. But the point being that the state does not compensate for that. And I keep emphasizing that.

There is a recent article in the Times this week, 20 million dollars less for the same demographics as another city school system. So I would contend that a lot of our problems aren't that -- lots of money is being wasted. Yes, we've had some problems. But everybody has problems.

And we lost in court with public employees, you know, with minimum manning.

How do you cut 30 percent out of the budget when every last dime is spent and a large portion of it on wages and so forth?

And once again, now I had a question last week. And I think I verified it with the

Electric City and the former Mellon building.

And they were also turned down by the City

zoning for their project with the Electric City

because the apartments are basically like

bedroom, you know, you'd be lucky if you could

have a hot plate in there and fit a bed inside

with the size with a small refrigerator or

something.

So we don't need any more. So it's time to head that off at the pass. What's done is done. They may get their tax exemption for those buildings. But it's time to repeal the type of legislation that went through here in the last year where somebody can buy up a building and put a couple of bucks into it and have a free ride. We just can't afford it.

And a lot people are right. These old grannies and gramps and stuff, they don't have the money to shell out to compensate for reduced taxes for some big landlord agency or land holding agency.

And once again on the public works -- Department of Public Works, I would love to see what it costs to have a crew show up at everybody's front door keeping in mind

1

6 7

5

9 10

8

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

that that could all be divided equally. how much money does it cost before any trash is removed to just have -- keeping in mind that they have to come every week to see if you have trash to be removed whether it's one bag or four bags.

And at least see if this -- what it costs because I think a lot of people would change their attitude if they realized that most of the money if not all from that \$300 or 270, whatever it is, is actually -- is actually -- what it costs to show up at their door.

And it must be very expensive for trucks and maintenance and insurance and la-di-da. Thank you. Have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Have a nice night. Would anyone else like to address Council?

MR. COYNE: Tom Coyne. Members of Council, first of all, thank you for getting back to me on the tipping fees. I appreciate I'm glad that it came back in a really timely manner. I do appreciate when I get a response quickly to a question. I think that **|**|

is a wonderful thing.

Not from your wheel house, but I wanted to put forward in travelling to a photo shoot this weekend as a matter of fact, went across the Philadelphia area. And right now in the Philadelphia area it's either 8 or 11 separate schools are putting in for -- trying to put in an emergency declaration with the State of Pennsylvania.

The reason why is because of lead and asbestos contamination. The teachers have been protesting it and some of the schools have been closed down there. But what they have put forward is they are trying to get together and push forward to the State of Pennsylvania to put an emergency declaration in because if an emergency declaration is put in and accepted by the state, that allows the school district themselves to tap into the state's Rainy Day Fund and take care of all of the situations immediately.

So if the City of Philadelphia has these set -- it's either 8 or 11 schools with the same situation up here of asbestos and lead, someone should be communicating or school

board I have to wait until the beginning of next month to talk to them, but someone should get the ball rolling to go. We're in the same situation too. And if you can get that Rainy Day Fund opened up so we can get immediate funding from the state under an emergency resolution.

It is silly to wait on it and spin and try and figure out how to get funding and how to take care of it when if you could get together as a group of teachers, Philadelphia's issue may help to solve our issue as well.

That's it. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much. Would anyone else like to address Council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

I have been looking at our numbers and I don't like what I see, especially for the future. So I would like to know what is our plan if the 511 appeal is not successful?

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, you know, to be honest with you and this is just my opinion. I don't know that there is another plan at this point because if you lose the Act 511 case, I just don't know how you make up that revenue.

So, I

1 I don't know where else the revenue 2 comes from. It's such a large portion of our 3 budget. MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand that. 4 5 MR. GAUGHAN: So I guess the --MS. SCHUMACHER: But we haven't been 6 7 providing for it in the event either. 8 mean, but that's the history. But now we have 9 an opportunity when they go to court to see if 10 at least -- get a triple LST tax. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: I mean, you know, 12 you're cutting off your nose to spite your 13 face. If the City loses the Act 511 case, the 14 money's got to come from someplace. That's the 15 honest answer. 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: I know where it 17 comes from, trust me. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Um hum. But I don't know 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: 20 what we're doing about looking -- for instance, 21 did Mary Dempsey leave a report? Did she get 22 the information she asked for when you had the 23 caucus here on the 4th of December regarding 24 what she thought were outrageous medical costs? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Councilman Donahue can

answer that. 1 MR. DONAHUE: I'm actually getting 2 3 into that now. I'm setting up a meeting with 4 the City Solicitor to go through all of our 5 healthcare expenditures because I have to set up a meeting with the --6 MS. SCHUMACHER: You personally? 7 8 MR. DONAHUE: I am, yes. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: And what experience 10 do you have in that? In what? 11 MR. DONAHUE: Health 12 insurance? I have been in contact with Mary 13 Dempsey about --14 MS. SCHUMACHER: You have? 15 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. Yes, and also 16 other insurance brokers in the region. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Have we considered 18 hiring her and I don't know --19 MR. GAUGHAN: Well, here's the --20 and not to interrupt you but we don't have an 21 HR Director yet. They are soliciting for an HR 22 Director. That would be the job of the HR 23 Director. That would be the job of the legal 24 department for the City. 25 It wouldn't be Council's job to go

and mine through all types of healthcare information. I think what Councilman Donahue is referring to is that he's trying to go through and get more information on the healthcare costs so he can update Council and the public.

And that is something that was left off from former Councilwoman Dempsey who had raised that question during that caucus.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And we have a lot of other loose ends as I brought up before. Do we know yet whether we're going to go for a payroll tax or are we going to stick with the --

MR. GAUGHAN: No, we haven't gotten a definitive answer from the administration.

And right now I don't think it looks too good because the school district has made it pretty clear that they're not going to be in favor of it for a number of different reasons.

I believe there was an article in the newspaper that Dr. Finan from the school district did not think that it was a good idea. They were concerned about, you know, not having enough money.

MR. DONAHUE: So the school district will come up short one quarter. So I know Senator Blake is working on trying to find money from the state -- I'll get into that then in Fifth Order on payroll.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. But there are other things. This building, you know what year -- what month was it last year that this building was in terrible disrepair and nothing's been done to it? Would this building even pass if somebody from the fourth floor came through?

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, our options are limited. I don't know where else we would go or where else the City government will go. I think when we had this conversation months and months ago, the thought process then was for the City to apply for a RACP grant through the state which I don't believe we received the funding the first round.

I mentioned before you came tonight that Mrs. Reed is in contact with our grant writer and some other officials in City Hall to get an update on whether or not the City's applying for the second round of the RACP grant

money.

Obviously we would not have the ability or funds to do a full rehab with just City dollars. We would need help from the state.

MS. SCHUMACHER: What about stormwater? When are we going to get back to making a decision on stormwater?

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, we wouldn't be able to make a decision until we get a report from the company that was hired by the City I think a year or two ago. We're waiting for Mr. King the City planner who is going to have a conversation with the Mayor and then was going to -- agreed to come to a caucus and give us a full update.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And when do we expect that?

MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know. We haven't heard from Mr. King yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Can you follow up and maybe get a -- speaking of that, Mr. King is really wearing three hats at the present time. When are we going to advertise -- the City going to advertise for the vacancies that

1 are around like the zoning officer? 2 MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know. 3 would be a function of the administration. 4 don't know. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: You don't care to ask the administration? 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: No, it's not that we 8 don't care to ask. It's that I'm assuming that 9 they're -- where they just put out for the HR 10 Director. They put out I believe for the fire 11 chief. I'm assuming -- I don't know if it went 12 out yet to be honest with you. We can find 13 that out. But I'm assuming if there is an open 14 position they'll advertise for it. 15 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okav. My time is 16 Thank you. up. 17 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Have a 18 nice night. Would anyone else like to address 19 Council? 20 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. 21 MOTIONS. 22 Councilman Rogan, any MR. GAUGHAN: 23 comments or motions? 24 MR. ROGAN: Just one brief comment. 25 I wasn't planning on bringing this up today but

it was brought up a couple times from the podium, and I actually had a few people text me about it as well.

The idea of redoing the City flag which I do agree the City flag probably isn't the most appealing that could be out there.

But frankly, I think it's a waste of time and a waste of money to be dealing with that at this point in time, especially that there is so many City vehicles that have the City logo on there and the cost of changing them out would be -- again, it wouldn't be a huge number.

But it's money down the drain.

There's bigger things the City needs to be worrying about, a litany of them were mentioned at the meeting tonight. But one that's really concerning me is we're sitting here we're in the middle of February, and we still haven't gotten a proposal from the administration on what their plan is for the garbage fee.

We've heard that they're not going to pursue the idea that former Mayor Evans wanted to pursue for the \$250. We still don't have legislation on the books for a garbage fee.

It hasn't been introduced and those bills have to go out shortly. So if everyone agrees, I would like to send a letter to the administration asking for a status update on where those bills stand and what the plan is. People are asking me if the discount is going to continue. Obviously, I hope it does.

Personally I was partial to the \$250 in the tax system that Mayor Evans proposed.

Obviously, that's not going to happen this year or -- we're in the middle of February. It's just too late. But I would like to see that implemented in future years.

I think it's a more fair way to do
the process and it would give everyone a break.

Again, we're sitting here in the middle of
February. There is still no resolution on
this.

You know, the City logo issue to me is a waste of time to discuss it. So that's my comments on that. And that is all for tonight. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Councilman McAndrew, any motions or comments?

MR. MCANDREW: Yes. I would just

like to congratulate a couple people tonight. So I would first like to congratulate West Scranton's Boys Basketball Coach Jackie Lyons on his 500th win. Coach Lyons has been a devoted coach, mentor for our kids on and off the court.

Secondly, I would like to congratulate West Intermediate boys 8th grade basketball team for their division championship. That's all I have.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Dr. Rothchild, any motions or comments?

DR. ROTHCHILD: No, nothing at this time. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Councilman Donahue, any motion or comments?

MR. DONAHUE: Just to respond to

Miss Schumacher's question about payroll prep.

I know that Senator Blake is looking at finding
money to sort of bridge the gap because there
would be a quarter gap especially in the school
district.

You know, we're also looking into ways to bridge our gap that we would have -- that the City would have. And we're also

looking at, you know, if we pass it in -- if we can pass it in July, could we make it effective January 1st? I know that's one of the issues with the school district is that you'd sort of be collecting -- if you were to make it effective July 1st, you would be collecting two taxes in one year.

So I know we're looking at those issues and we're working through them. I go to our PEL meetings every day or every week and that's one thing that's brought up. And we also, you know, we communicated with our shared services. And that's also something we've brought up there too. And that's all I have.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Just a few items. First, I mentioned this at the top of the meeting and I would just like to mention it again. I did have a conversation or -- and received an e-mail from Pat Sheridan who is the Business Administrator. And he stated that in response to our conversation if tradition has been that the Business Manager pays the city wage tax even though it's not owed I will honor that commitment.

Second, I want to provide an update

that Council received from the Moretti Law Firm who is doing the gas card audit. I'd like to read into the record the e-mail received Thursday, February 13th from Arthur Moretti, the CPA who is doing the gas card audit.

Dear Mrs. Reed, I just want to give you an update on where I am with the gas card audit for all activity from April, 2016 through March, 2019. After receiving additional information on Fire Chief DeSarno, I had to revise my report with additional relevant findings.

On February 7th, 2020, I provided the administration with my revised report on Fire Chief DeSarno's self-audit. With regard to the final report, I am still receiving additional information from the City which will extend my review period and estimated date of final report.

I will provide you an anticipated date once I receive the remaining information and meet with the administrative staff for a final review. To reiterate, we are still on budget with the proposed costs that I provided. And our fees will not exceed the estimate.

I hope this information addresses your needs. If you have any questions, need any additional information or like to discuss in person, please do not hesitate to contact me, Arthur Moretti.

Mrs. Reed, the only thing I would ask is that you reach out to Mr. Moretti and let him know that when the report and the audit is finalized I would appreciate and request that he come in to a public caucus and present his findings to Council, similar to when we had the audit exit conference with Kohanski and Company.

The second thing I wanted to mention in terms of the Sign Program, as most people are aware the City engaged with a firm -- and I know Mrs. Jeffries had brought it up numerous times to analyze our Sign Program to come up with a method to replace the signs, a database.

We did reach out to Mr. Gallagher to give us an update on that. I would like to read through that for a moment. The overall assessment of our traffic signs concluded that the reflectivity of the signage was not optimal with many signs needing replacement such as

stop signs, one way signs, and the City DPW has been working on these issues.

Mr. Gallagher wanted to note that this program is not just a street Sign Program but a traffic signage assessment and replacement program. So it's not just the street signs. It's also stop signs and everything else.

As I've noted in the past and he noted to us, it is a two-man crew that is responsible for our Sign Program. So they're replacing, even making the signs as applicable. It is taking them a little time. They are working methodically throughout the City.

A side note that he did want mentioned to Council was that the bucket truck needed repair. So he's waiting for temperatures to increase before sending someone up in a bucket truck as needed for street sign replacing. But long and short of it is the program is under way. It is working. And so far I think it's been a success.

One of the things I believe that you had asked, Mrs. Jeffries, was about the grant that the City was supposed to receive. And,

Mrs. Reed, if we can find out from Maggie Perry from the police department if the City received the multimodal grant that is mentioned in the proposal with K and S Engineering.

Just to kind of piggyback on what Councilman Rogan said, I had asked Solicitor Hayes who has a meeting with Solicitor Eskra each week before the Council meetings to make them aware that, you know, it was my concern that we have not received the legislation for enacting the garbage fee.

Solicitor Hayes, did you get any indication from Solicitor Eskra on when we would be receiving that legislation?

ATTY. HAYES: Councilman, the City
Solicitor reported to me that the resolution -or that was passed last year by Council had
language which indicated that the \$300 garbage
fee would continue -- would be for the year
2019 and continue thereafter.

And because of that language, they didn't think it was necessary to have additional legislation unless they were amending that -- the schedule and the fee.

They do not intend on doing so.

l

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay.

ATTY. HAYES: My understanding is they are not going to be sending us legislation because the \$300 garbage fee is going to continue with the same discount schedule.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Good. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: If I could just jump in, one followup, I guess. Since Attorney Eskra has been City Solicitor all of these years, my question would be why the past five, ten years as long as I've been on Council have we done it annually when it's had that language? So --

ATTY. HAYES: My understanding is when she reviewed -- she reviewed the language of the resolution, she just didn't feel it was necessary because it has the -- it says will continue thereafter unless amended.

So because they are not doing an amendment, I don't think that they felt it was necessary. But I don't know why they did it in the past.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: And the other thing I want to mention too about lowering the garbage

fee from 300 to 250, great idea in concept.

But the honest answer here is that the previous administration, first of all, didn't present Council with any proposal.

And from what I understand it was a half-cocked idea to begin with because as I mention sitting here at that table December 4th in front of PEL, in front of the previous Mayor and the previous Business Administrator, there was a ton of holes in that proposal.

One of which was if you rolled the garbage fee into the real estate tax bill, there would be people, for example, who if they had a garage -- just a garage on their property on a single parcel, they would be getting a garbage bill for a piece of land in which they did not produce any garbage.

There was other concerns that we had that were never addressed in terms of businesses in the City already paying for a private hauler also now having to pay \$250 garbage fee. So in theory, it's great to lower the garbage fee. But the proposal has to be complete. And it has to be presented to Council that way. So unfortunately, that will

not happen this year.

Another thing I wanted to ask,

Mrs. Reed, if you could find out from the
administration if they have any intention of
installing GPS across the City vehicle fleet
and where did we exactly leave off with this
from the prior administration?

When the whole gas card fiasco was, you know, came to light in the newspaper and came to our attention, I know that City officials met with Verizon back in September of last year. And they were at that time seriously discussing equipping every City vehicle or the City vehicle fleet with GPS, which in my -- I had brought that idea up because, quite frankly, I think you could avoid a lot of this back and forth if you just do what every other or most other private companies do equip the vehicles with GPS, not to track employees necessarily; but you can become much more efficient in terms of gas usage and everything else.

So if you could, Mrs. Reed, find out where they left off with that and if that's still a viable alternative. Miss Schumacher

brought up the civilian parking enforcement.

There is a line item in the budget that details where you could find how much money was generated from the civilian parking enforcement.

Revenue through the end of
September, 2019 was \$102,225. And that was
budgeted last year at \$100,000. We also sent
Miss Schumacher the policy that Scranton Police
Department has that Chief Graziano had given to
us in regards to reimbursable overtime.

As I mentioned, the City Hall rehab project, we had inquired with the administration regarding where we are at in the grant process. Someone else brought up -- I think Mr. Morgan brought up, you know, the ECTV and the public access channels. They get their funding through the Comcast franchise fee.

We get a check I think quarterly from Comcast and almost all of that money goes to funding our public access channel. And that is all I have.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND

ENTER INTO A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACT
WITH UFBERG & ASSOCIATES, LLP FOR LABOR COUNSEL
FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON.

MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. DONAHUE: So moved.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I just want to mention two things. So in reviewing this legislation I had raised two issues within the past few days with Solicitor Hayes who had raised it with the administration.

The first issue was back in 2018 when the City had reupped -- or put out an RFP for legal services and the firm Abrahamsen, Conaboy and Abrahamsen had received -- or was awarded that proposal. I had made an issue out of the fact that there was an Attachment E provided in the bid documentation.

And that was an attachment called or noted as disclosures by current contractors.

And basically what that was at that time was that the contractor or the firm would provide

the names and titles of all individuals within the company or the firm and any campaign contributions that they gave not only to City officials but also to any officials in the state.

So there was an issue back then with it not being filled out correctly. Eventually it was filled out correctly. This time around, I noticed that the attachment was not included in the bid documentation. So I had asked Solicitor Hayes to raise the issue with the administration which he did and the Ufberg Law Firm is going to provide us that information prior to final passage next week, which we will include in Third Order on our agenda.

The second issue that I had raised was former Councilwoman Dempsey is an attorney with the Ufberg Law Firm. In the Home Rule Charter, Section 307 it states no Council person shall hold any other compensated City office or employment during the term for which he is elected to Council and no former Council person shall hold any compensated appointed City office or employment, nor shall he act as paid consultant to the City until one year

after the expiration of the term for which he was elected to Council. So Solicitor Hayes is researching this issue, correct?

ATTY. HAYES: That's correct.

MR. GAUGHAN: So we should have, you know, final determination on that next week.

Again, you know, just doing our due diligence, this Council, making sure that that is not an issue. And that's all I have on 5-B. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. MCANDREW: Aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION —
A RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY
OF SCRANTON TO ENTER INTO A LOAN TO GRANT
AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN/GRANT FROM THE CITY
OF SCRANTON'S BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY LOAN TO
GRANT PROGRAM, PROJECT NO. 150.46 IN AN AMOUNT
NOT TO EXCEED \$70,000.00 TO FANCY PARSLEY, LLC

TO ASSIST AN ELIGIBLE PROJECT. 1 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll 2 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. DONAHUE: So moved. 5 DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? A11 8 those in favor of introduction signify by 9 saying aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. MCANDREW: Aye. 12 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 13 DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. 14 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The 15 ayes have it and so moved. 16 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. No 17 business at this time. 18 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 19 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 17, 20 21 2020 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON 22 TO ENTER INTO A LOAN AGREEMENT AND MAKE A LOAN 23 24 FROM THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 25 ADMINISTRATION-REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM, PROJECT

1	NUMBER 009.500.5, IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED
2	THIRTY THOUSAND AND 00/00 DOLLARS (\$30,000.00)
3	TO RITZ HOSPITALITY, LLC TO ASSIST IN AN
4	ELIGIBLE PROJECT.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Community Development?
8	DR. ROTHCHILD: As Chairperson for
9	the Committee on Community Development, I
10	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Is there a second?
12	MR. MCANDREW: Second.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
14	call, please.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
18	MR. MCANDREW: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild.
20	DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
22	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -2 3 RESOLUTION NO. 18, 2020 - APPROVING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 147(f) OF THE INTERNAL 4 REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED, A PLAN 5 OF FINANCING OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH 6 AND WELFARE AUTHORITY AND DECLARING THAT IT IS 7 8 DESIRABLE FOR THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF 9 THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE 10 AUTHORITY TO UNDERTAKE A PROJECT FOR HOWARD 11 12 GARDNER MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCE CHARTER SCHOOL. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: What is the 14 recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the 17 Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage 18 of Item 7-B. 19 MR. DONAHUE: Second. MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? 20 Ro11 21 call, please. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 23 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.

MR. MCANDREW:

Yes.

25

1 MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild. DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes. 2 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 4 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare 6 7 Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 8 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 9 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -10 RESOLUTION NO. 19, 2020 - AUTHORIZING 11 APPOINTMENT OF STEPHANIE L. BRESSLER, PH.D, 12 1402 EAST GIBSON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 13 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF ETHICS, EFFECTIVE JANUARY 29, 2020. DR. BRESSLER WILL 14 BE REPLACING JOAN HODOWANITZ WHO RESIGNED 15 16 EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 25, 2019. DR. BRESSLER WILL 17 FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF JOAN HODOWANITZ 18 WHICH IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE AUGUST 31, 2022. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 20 Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage 21 of Item 7-C. 22 MR. DONAHUE: Second. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Ro11 24 call, please. 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

	57
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
3	MR. MCANDREW: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild.
5	DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
7	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
10	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
11	If there is no further business,
12	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
13	MR. DONAHUE: Motion to adjourn.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: This meeting's
15	adjourned. Thank you. Have a nice night.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

_

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

25 the certifying reporter.)