## CITY OF SCRANTON PUBLIC HEARING

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NUMBER 78 2019, APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2020 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2020, BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2020.

## **HELD:**

Monday, November 25th, 2019

## LOCATION:

Council Chambers

Scranton City Hall

340 North Washington Avenue

Scranton, Pennsylvania

MARIA McCOOL, RPR

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 MR. PERRY: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: 2 Mr. Perry. 3 MR. PERRY: Here. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. MR. DONAHUE: 5 Here. MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 6 ATTY. DEMPSEY: 7 Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 11 MS. REED: The purpose of said 12 public hearing is to hear testimony and discuss 13 the following: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NUMBER 78 14 2019 APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD COMMENCING 15 16 ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2020 TO AND 17 INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2020, BY THE ADOPTION OF 18 THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 19 2020. 20 MR. PERRY: I just want to remind speakers that you'll have five minutes today to 21 22 make comments on the budget. As per rules of 23 Council, if you have any questions we ask that 24 you please submit them in writing in order for

them to be properly answered.

25

With that being said, I know our office already received questions in writing that will be taken care of. And I, myself tonight will be taking notes for all the speakers of questions you would have; and I'll do my best to translate them and get answers from the administration during our public caucus on December 4th. So with that said, I'll call the first speaker, Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

First off, I want to start with the garbage

fee. I know this isn't a done deal yet. But I

just want to voice my opinion about this. I

think it's outrageous. From what I understand

from what Mr. Rogan said last week that the fee

is going to be \$250 which is very good.

But it was very conveniently left out of the newspaper saying that if you have an apartment building the landlord only has to pay for one unit. In other words, if you have an apartment with six apartments in it, the landlord only pays \$250 and all the other tenants don't have to pay any garbage fee at all.

So I live in a block where six

families don't even pay their garbage fees, delinquent. And there's about 11 apartments in my block. So those 11 families won't have to pay for their garbage fee. So I would like to know how you can justify -- I'll speak about this in the regular meeting too because I want answers on this.

How can you justify saying some people have to pay and some others don't? And you know many of these families have much garbage. Another thing, the landlords, it's up to the landlord and it's up to the tenant. It's between them. But many landlords raise their tenant's rent to make up for the garbage fee that they have to pay, the City, they have in years, all the years past.

Okay, so many of these tenants have their rents raised. Are they going to get their money back? I don't think so. So there's another issue.

And then Mr. Rogan stated that how we're going to make up for this. They'll never make up for all the apartments in this City that you're losing the garbage fee money, \$250 from each family. You'll never ever make it

up.

He said they're going to make it up by making the businesses pay the garbage fee.
But the businesses don't have the City pick up their garbage. They have public -- private contractors. So they're paying the private contractors to pick up their garbage.

So why would they ever have to pay the \$250 fee for the City when the City is not going to be picking up their garbage? That is double taxation. That's double paying. I mean, somebody better straighten that one out too because that's -- I would think that's illegal.

I also think it's illegal that the people in single homes have to pay their garbage fee but 90 percent of the other people in apartments don't have to.

Now, Chief of Staff -- another thing about this budget, it's not like the budgets of years ago. You just put things -- oh, amnesty for the garbage fee. What does that mean?

I'll ask that at 6:00. Are you going to let the people that owe delinquents off of the money they owe? Or how is that going to work?

That I want an answer to, if not in motions, whatever.

And I could see hiring a company to collect the money, in other words pay the City millions of dollars and then they go and collect the fee. That makes sense because the City's going to be getting money, but amnesty, no, no, no, no, no.

Now, Chief of Staff, we hired a Mayor. We did not hire a Chief of Staff. This is a distressed city. I see no reason whatsoever for a Chief of Staff. I'm sure Mrs. Cognetti can handle being a Mayor without a Chief of Staff. And I think if you even consider this -- you can't keep on creating positions or giving people what they want just because they want it and put it on the taxpayers to pay for it.

You just can't keep doing this. So I don't know what you're going to do with this budget. And I also think that the police and firemen's contract, somebody should look at that for the budget. That should be online. But yet it isn't. Why isn't it? It's public record.

You know, they said they weren't going to go over \$400,000 in the budget last year. They went to \$800,000. So they didn't live up to their end of the contract. But we lived up to our end. They're getting what we told them we were going to do and we did.

But they're not living up to their end. What are the consequences if they don't live up to their end of the deal? Another thing in the budget, 39 percent healthcare increase the taxpayers are going to pay? The taxpayers are going to pay to increase their healthcare 39 percent, nice.

That should be looked at as well. There's so many things that should be looked at. But I'll let Marie and other people talk and I'll talk again. But please consider these -- that 250, the tenants not paying is absolutely unfair to everybody else. It shouldn't be happening.

MR. PERRY: Thank you. Our next speaker is Norma Jeffries.

MS. JEFFRIES: Good evening, Norma

Jeffries, Scranton resident. And I'm not sure

if I'm out of order here. But I'm sure you'll

let me know. I was planning on speaking at the 6:00 about KNS Engineering. And one of the things that I was reading as I looked at the letter was that they suggested that we add to the personnel staff because there's 1.5 people that are employed by the staff to put up the street signs.

So I was wondering if the budget for 2020 included personnel staff. I tried to pull it up online but my computer just wasn't acting right. So I wasn't able to look and see if that additional personnel to handle the replacement of the street signs and the traffic if that was included in the 2020 budget.

That's all I have.

MR. PERRY: Okay, so just to be clear, we'll look into and ask the administration if there's been budget arrangement for the increase of staff in order to implement the signing program.

MR. GAUGHAN: As far as I could tell there is not.

MS. JEFFRIES: I'll speak later on it then.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay.

MR. PERRY: Our next speaker is Marie Schumacher.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher, first, I'll vent a little bit. I notice one of our issues is the value of our real estate land is down 2.0 roughly, 2.2 million and the investments 9.9 million all through the boards.

And again, this body -- this City has a right to sit in on all of those meetings. And the failure of you people to not make sure that somebody is down there for every one of those hearings to see if you agree, it's going to keep going because unless there's a reassessment, a general reassessment which will take some time, but we really need to get on board with that.

Okay. Now, I'd like to know what happens to the local taxes because thank you, President Trump, the stock market has been going up and up and so we have a healthier pension fund. And there is a threshold of funding for the pension board at which time we can no longer collect a triple LST, local service tax.

So if we should reach that next

year, what is the -- what is going to take that to fill that hole? I think we need to know that. And then again, on the revenue from the permits, it just seems to me that the fees, the revenue should be coming up a whole lot more than they are now that former Mayor Courtright is not having his hand -- he's taken his hand out of the cooky jar. So I would think that we would have higher permit revenue.

Next, Chief of Staff in the Mayor's office, I would certainly take that out.

Number one, we have a strong mayor and this just -- save a few minutes for later. It would be helpful if this board as their last action this year set up a review of our Home Rule Charter. It's long overdue.

And the way it is now, it's a strong Mayor. And we should not have to need a Chief of Staff for the Mayor's office. And I think it's insulting to the incoming Mayor, especially since she's a woman. I mean, to me what that says is, oh, sorry, sweetie, you can't do it by yourself. You're going to need help. We'll get you a nice man in here who will help you. And I don't think she needs

•

^-

that. I don't think any woman who's trying her best needs that.

And what is the debt plan? Have we come up with any -- we're paying our debt I understand that. But aren't we supposed to be doing according to the Exit Plan -- what's the status of that? Oh, you can't. I'm sorry. It's a hearing you can't -- and I'm somewhat concerned.

I'd like to hear a lot more about the program to sell the delinquent trash fees and also more information on who is proposed to have amnesty out of that same list.

And then I would like to know I couldn't find it. And I must admit I read wrong -- I missed the legal notice last Wednesday, which is highly unusual for me. But I managed to do it. And then I looked this morning and I go, oh, my gosh, 5:15 tonight. I haven't even pulled it up.

So, you know, I got the little guy off to school and then I hit it a little bit and I didn't get too far. But I didn't find -- and it may be in there. But I didn't find what the other postemployment benefit trust fund,

how that is going to be funded. So I would like to know that.

And then it does make a nice statement about stormwater management programs are a threat to our budget. It shouldn't be at this point in time. I'll finish up some more later maybe. Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Thank you. That's all the names on the sign-in sheet. Is there anybody else who would like to address Council during this hearing?

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz. I just got to say this, welcome back, Tim. Where have you been?

MR. PERRY: I had no voice. I'm just starting to get it back. Thank you.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I'm glad to see you back. This is going to be a duplication of much of what I said last week and much of what's been said here. But I'll do it anyway. I want to know about the decrease in real estate land and improvement values that went down a total of 12 million dollars or 3 percent. What measures other than reassessment will reverse this trend?

On stormwater management, why don't I see any numbers in the budget? I see a reference in the narrative, no numbers in the budget for a second year in a row. So you're not going to spend any money on stormwater management in all of 2020 is what you tell me.

Refuse revenue, I'm still unclear how this is going to work. I understand it's going to -- the tax is going to be applied to each parcel of land. But if on a parcel of land you have an apartment housed with four units, how does that work? Is that a thousand dollars or 250? You need to clarify that.

The payroll preparation tax I'm still unclear on that. I was under the impression that both the school district and the City have to opt for the payroll preparation tax. Can the City do it by itself? I hope you're going to do it because I think it's the right way to go.

And what happens after we come out of distressed status? How long until we have a \$156 LST if we come out in August; isn't that changed?

MR. PERRY: We've asked that

question before. And I believe the answer is it doesn't really have any affiliation with Act 47. It has to do with the underfunded pension fund. So as long as our pension fund is deemed underfunded then we're allowed to access that, apply for that every year and apply that money towards pension funding.

MS. HODOWANITZ: This is the -MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: -- LST tax? Not the local services tax. I'm talking about the \$156 emergency service tax, okay. I didn't understand the increase in interfund transfers from 4.1 million to 9.3 million, nothing in the narrative.

Again, tell me about the non resident wage tax going from 520,000 to \$100,000? No explanation. Miscellaneous revenues, what asset are we selling under sale of assets for 2.2 million dollars? Nothing in the narrative on that.

Mayor's office again on the Chief of Staff, how much money is set aside for this optional salary? I didn't see that anywhere.

The increases in healthcare in the unions, the

narrative says because they were underbudgeted in 2019.

I want a better explanation on that how did that get underbudgeted? Information technology, I still want to know why they need quote/unquote another dedicated vehicle in a three man department. That doesn't make sense. And their pay increases, \$7,000 to the director and \$5,000 to each of its two subordinates.

That's a lot of money when the Controller is flat at \$40,000, which is much of what some of the secretaries make, your controller who's a seasoned internal watchdog, fiscal watchdog you're going to pay that position only 40 grand. I don't understand.

And finally the Bureau of Fire is going from 124 personnel to 139. Why are they increasing by 15 personnel? I didn't see anything in the narrative to explain that. Thank you. And you have copies of my questions.

MR. PERRY: Thank you very much. Is there anybody else that would like to address Council this evening? Okay, if not, I'll call this public hearing to a close. Thank you.

## $\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool, RPR

Official Court Reporter

C

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

the certifying reporter.)