COUNCIL MEMBERS: PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT TIMOTHY PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN KYLE DONAHUE LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

	· ·
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	
4	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
6	MR. PERRY: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
8	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
10	MR. EVANS: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Here. Please dispense
15	with the reading of the minutes.
16	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER, 3-A.
17	SINGLE TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED
18	COMPARISON REPORT 2018-2019 YEAR TO DATE APRIL
19	30, 2019.
20	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
23	REGULAR MEETING OF SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT
24	AUTHORITY HELD APRIL 3, 2019.
25	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD MAY 22, 2019.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any Council

members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have two announcements. I'll make them fairly quickly. The Scranton Police Department and the FOP Auxiliary will hold their fourth annual breakfast fundraiser on May 17th from 6:30 a.m. until noon at the Trolley located at Hilton Scranton Hotel.

The fundraiser supports the police department's team for Pancreatic Cancer Awareness and PurpleStride event. It's a casual breakfast buffet, which includes made to order omelet and wide assortment of food for everyone. The price is \$10 a person and covers all of your food and beverages.

Tickets are available at Police
Headquarters or by calling 570-348-4130.
Tickets will also be available at the door the morning of the event.

- '

And secondly, this year Armed Forces

Day is observed on Saturday, May 18th. A

parade honoring our military has been planned

for 11 a.m. that morning. Military vehicles

and equipment will be featured as well as

marching bands and members of our Veteran's

Organization.

The parade begins at the Gino Merli Veteran's Center and travels up Mulberry Street turning onto North Washington to Lackawanna Avenue and ending at the Intermodal Center just past the Market Place at Steamtown. I encourage everyone to note May 18th on their calendar and attend the parade to support, honor our military who sacrificed everything for our freedom.

It's a very important event. I believe this -- you know, Mr. Bolus isn't here to speak on. But this is something that he holds near and dear to his heart and he attends every one. And, you know, just make your best effort to get out there and support the people who protect us. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: I just have one announcement. I would like to welcome to the

City of Scranton a cousin of mine, Margaret 1 Holmes who is in from Ballina County Mayo. 2 3 And interesting enough, Ballina is the sister city to the City of Scranton. So I would like 4 5 to welcome Margaret and hope she enjoys her Thank you. 6 stay. MR. ROGAN: 7 Two announcements, next 8 Monday, May 13th, at 5:15 p.m., the Executive 9 Director of Scranton Tomorrow will join us in caucus where she will provide Council with a 10 11 quarterly update. And last but not least, this Sunday, May 12th is Mother's Day. We wish all 12 13 mothers a very happy Mother's Day, especially 14 my mom Debbie and my wife Amanda. Thank you. MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. 15 16 PARTICIPATION. 17 (The following speakers offered 18 public comment as follows: 19 Joan Hodowanitz spoke on city business. 20 21 Bill Jackowitz spoke on matters of 22 general concern. 23 Dave Dobrzyn spoke on matters of 24 general concern. 25 Marie Schumacher spoke on city

6 CITIZENS

business.

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Perry, any motions or comments?

MR. PERRY: Last week I made a request for a speed indicator on the 3200 block of Pittston after talking to a couple, you know, as everybody is when there is speeding in front of their house, you know, very cautious ladies about, you know, the amount of traffic going there and how fast it's going.

And we made the request to Chief
Graziano to have the speed indicator put on the
list to move to that block of Pittston Avenue
and he said he will. It will be in the next
round of moves. When it moves, it usually
typically stays at a spot for two to three
months so they can get comprehensive data for
what's happening on that street.

And then it moves to another part of the city. So that is on the list to move to the 3200 block of Pittston. There have been massive parking issues on the streets

immediately surrounding the -- what is the
University Sports Complex or I still call it
the South Side Sports Complex, which is
actually in West Side, go figure, only in
Scranton.

But, yes, and I've been talking to some really concerned neighbors where there is just -- not only is there no parking for the residents during certain events that are there, there is just no room for emergency traffic. And there is actually some, you know, buses trying to turn around in their little sidewalk to go back instead of doing what they should do, go all the way up, make the turn and go down the other way which is the proper route.

And I know this is something that myself, Chief Graziano, and Officer Mitchell had been working back and forth trying to get some answers to and what's the best possible solution. I know they talked about one side of the street parking and enforcing that. And there has just been a lot of things going on.

Communication has been good with the police in the neighborhood. But I don't think they are really up-to-date on the latest. And

I would like to get us updated as well because
I know as of right now, it is in that season
and there is no change implemented. So if we
can send something out to Chief Graziano.

I believe Officer Mitchell has moved onto a different assignment. It's now Officer Butler I think that handles that type of work. So he has picked up the workload of Officer Mitchell. And he's well-informed of what's going on. And Officer Mitchell has said he'll still step in because this is the project that he's been working on to make sure it gets done to satisfaction.

So if we can -- Council can get a copy of what their plan is so that way we can kind of get this moving, get it implemented as soon as possible because it's a growing situation there that was kind of sprung on the residents when it was, you know, an unfortunate byproduct of a great situation of that.

And, you know, I don't want any hurt feelings. I don't want any inconvenience to residents from something that was supposed to be a positive in their neighborhood. Something that I forgot to mention last week that I will

talk about, the inlet in the Merrifield area. I think this came up about the stormwater. Lenny who comes in a lot -- Mr. Srebro, he comes in a lot and we talk often about a situation where he has flooding. He kind of lives in the lower area in the Keyser Valley.

So when the storm water can't handle all the water that comes from different points of that area, it kind of ponds in this, like, dirt alley behind his house. And if it rains enough it kind of backs up. And to alleviate the problem, one of the neighbors found maps from the 60s that has an unused inlet that could possibly be -- if found -- could be used to be divert water.

This has been brought to the City's attention in October. Mr. Srebro wanted to know where we are with that process. I did get some updates from our engineer, Mr. Pocius.

And he is aware of the issue. He says it's not going to be -- it's not a quick fix. The very first thing they have to do is actually find the pipe.

They have been going back and forth on the maps to see exactly where it is to start

digging. Obviously they need permits to dig.

And they have to know what's there before they start actually going down. They don't know how far it's even down.

Once they get there, they have to see if it's viable. Is it crushed? Is it --can it be used? And then if it looks good, actually can it be tapped into to be used again. He doesn't think it's going to be an immediate fix.

But it is going to help. And it's just a matter of getting there and finding it.

John would have gotten to it sooner but they are still dealing with a lot of the issues that we talked about from some of the storm damage that we had.

But it is on the list and Mr. Besco who is the gentleman who brought the plan to our engineer have been working back and forth on the phone about this situation. And he is in the loop. And Lenny hasn't been here in a couple weeks. So I wasn't able to explain to him exactly where they are.

Because I don't know if any -Mr. Srebro and Mr. Besco talk if they're

neighbors or what. But I just wanted to announce that so the word could get back to him or if he's watching that that's where we are with the project.

There was a complaint about Dorothy
Street and that missing curb. Director
Gallagher was quick to get back to us. Thank
you. He described it's not a missing curb.
It's called what he calls a drop-down curb.
And they are in two different spots. And he
did take note. He was on site. He saw there
was standing water.

And it stands because of the slope of the ground. And he's not sure what can be done other than maybe putting blacktop curb in to prevent it from actually ponding in that area. He said that might be risky. But it's going to take another look at it. So we might need to get our engineer out to take a look to see if that's the best fix to stop that standing pooling water in that area.

I'll be honest, I'm not really

familiar with it. I know Dorothy Street. And
I know the park. But the actual two spots he's
talking about I'm not sure of. But Mr.

Gallagher was onsite. And I'll follow up with him and see if there is anything we can do going forward about that standing water.

There was a good point brought up about Northeast Revenue. Mrs. Reed, if we can send out something to Northeast Revenue and copy Mr. Beck or vice versa just as long as it gets in both of those hands, it really doesn't matter to me.

About the credit bureau, are they being notified if there are delinquencies?

Technically if Northeast has this account and it goes delinquent, they should. So that's another tool that we should be utilizing. And if it's not being utilized, I would like a detailed explanation of why. Are we not doing it because -- just no, we're not doing it isn't going to suffice.

And finally, I could have did this during announcements but I missed it. This is going to be on May 15th, Lodge number two of the Fraternal Order of Police and Scranton Police Department will be conducting their annual Police Memorial Ceremony in front of Scranton Police Headquarters on South

Washington Avenue. The ceremony begins at noon. Immediately following a luncheon will be provided. They will be honoring all Scranton Police Officers who have made the ultimate sacrifice having been killed in the line of duty.

We respectfully request your presence as a guest. And like I said, there will be refreshments. If you need any more information you contact 570-348-4130 or e-mail KFLYNN at Scranton Pa dot gov by Thursday and to inform your decision if you could make the event.

It's great. I know -- I see a lot of people that are here now that go there.

It's fantastic. They also honor 911 victims.

And it's just -- it's a really nice day. And, you know, if you could make it. It's one of those tricky things where if you're off in the daytime where you could make, take a run down.

It's something worth seeing. It's very moving.

That's all I have for today. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Donahue, any motions or comments?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes, thank you. I

would like to just begin by briefly touching on the issue of reassessment. Since Commissioner and his running mate have begun airing a TV ad that is not only misleading, it's simply just factually incorrect.

The ad includes two citations. One citation references a survey conducted by the city of Wilkes-Barre that was presented at a discussion on the reassessment last year between city and county officials. Since only Councilman Evans and Councilman Rogan were present at that discussion, I'll let them touch on how ridiculous that survey actually is if they see fit.

But the other citation in the ad is the most egregious. The ad cites a story in the Philadelphia Inquirer titled Tax Bill Set To Increase Again For Homeowners, which states that 75 percent of residential properties in Philadelphia increased by 40 percent following the latest yearly reassessment.

I'm sure that sounds absolutely terrifying to taxpayers across the city. But it simply isn't true. Comparing reassessment in Philadelphia to reassessment elsewhere in

Pennsylvania is like comparing apples and oranges. And the Inquirer article that is cited says as much. The article states unlike other counties in the state, Philadelphia is not required to change tax rates when assessments increase to make the changes revenue neutral.

Every other county in Pennsylvania besides Philadelphia has to readjust tax rates to make reassessment revenue neutral. The point of performing a reassessment is to ensure a fair and equitable tax system. But I guess Mr. O'Malley is more interested in misleading voters for his own personal gain while protecting the select few who are not paying their fair share than he is in making sure our tax system is fair and equitable for each and every taxpayer in Lackawanna County.

And now just to follow up briefly on request for information I sent to the Administration regarding PSN Realty last week. I would like to thank Mr. Hinton for responding to my request. I just received these when I got here tonight. So I have to have time to go through them all. But just these are just the

files on both 616 Cedar and also 620 and 622. But there is also more just like this in the back of every PSN property.

I will go over those in the next week. I do have to agree with Councilman Gaughan's comment last week that constant issues facing Licensing and Inspection, garbage fee collection, and so on are just downright embarrassing.

And as a Councilman it's hard to feel like we're just -- like we're not just up here just constantly spinning our wheels while getting absolutely nowhere. We constantly request information. Periodically we receive a response. Sometimes we don't.

Like Miss Schumacher brought up before, I made a request six weeks ago for a copy of the invoices for the refurbishment of the Showmobile and I still haven't received them.

About a month ago I made a request for maintenance plan for City Hall. I still haven't received that. And Mrs. O'Malley is absolutely correct when she stated last week and I believe also in a letter to editor this

week that the systematic issues in city
government require leadership from the Mayor.
He is the administrator of city government.
But at the moment, I fear the Mayor is
distracted by other issues and not adequately
addressing dysfunction within city government.

So the question then becomes what can this Council do to take the lead and begin to take action to address these issues? Before we can act, we need adequate information from the Administration to make the correct decisions.

What have we been doing? What's been successful? What hasn't been successful? Since we don't always receive information we request, we must explore alternatives. Article 3 Section 312 of the Administrative Code states that Council may make investigations into the affairs of the city and the conduct of any department, office, or agency in aid of its legislative powers and functions and may issue subpoenas for these purposes.

So, Mr. Minora, would you be able to provide Council with a written breakdown of the process for Council to actually issue subpoenas

and if there are any limitations on our subpoena powers?

ATTY. MINORA: I will. And I've addressed this one in the past. But I will be glad to talk to you about it --

MR. DONAHUE: Okay. So I think that's something that we really need to explore to get the information is just start issuing subpoenas, make people come here and answer questions because right now we're just out of options. And that's all I have tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: A few, thank you. I'm going to expand a little bit on PSN Realty again. Correspondence was sent from City Council in reference to enforcing the laws currently existing under the City's Rental Registration Ordinance on properties owned by PSN Realty.

Specifically Section 373-10, which describes a rental escrow program that allows the City to collect rents at rental properties that have not paid their taxes, garbage fees, or any other liens and put that money into an

2 3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

escrow account until those taxes and fees are paid.

As I discussed last week after a cursory review of all of PSN properties, approximately seven of those properties appear to be occupied by tenants. Of those seven, which are mostly multifamily buildings, there may be as many as 14 units being rented by PSN.

So if you took an average of \$600 per month, that would be equal \$100,800 per year being received by an out-of-town company who doesn't pay their taxes or refuse fees. I'd also like to say that if a thorough investigation was done by the City, we could very well find that same scenario is repeated over and over again and most likely apply to a substantial number of additional rental properties citywide.

In fact, we're probably leaving hundreds of thousands of dollars on the table while absentee landlords are not paying their taxes and fees to the City but are still collecting rent each and every month.

For many of these landlords, it's business as usual. And quite honestly that's a

sad testament to what has been a clear systemic breakdown within City Hall for decade and decades.

The Rental Registration Ordinance was drafted by this City Council, not only to answer this issue -- and there is never one solution by the way. There are many solutions. But this is one that is addressing this particular problem.

We have given the Administration this tool, one that we think could be very effective. Why they haven't used it, we really don't know. But for now, we have made our position quite clear and known. And now we just sit back and wait to see if they choose to act.

On the trash issue very briefly,

I'll be meeting with the Business

Administration over the next week to talk about some ideas I have on trash and the 2020 budget and how they relate. So I'll be reporting back to my colleagues on that.

I'm also going to touch on reassessment. I'm going to further elaborate on Councilman Donahue's comments on the

reassessments. And while some of my comments may be repetitive to what he said, I feel it's that important. My views on reassessment have been clearly established over my time on City Council.

I have been upfront as I could be on the subject. I'm in favor of a countywide reassessment as a City Council. And as a former Director the Assessment Office, I know firsthand that the citizens of Scranton and the City itself will benefit greatly from a reassessment that addresses tax fairness for everyone.

With that said, I've always
hesitated to delve into political side of
government during my time on City Council. But
when I see the level of distortion of an issue
as important as reassessment from various
political campaigns, I felt compelled to
respond and set the record straight from my
perspective on several issues.

First of all, as Councilman Donahue noted, some campaigns regularly have referred to a recent reassessment in Philadelphia city and county where 75 percent of residential

property owners had their taxes increased by 40 percent.

What has not been properly disclosed is that the following information that was included in the same Philadelphia newspaper article that was used as the basis for that argument. The article goes on to state the following:

Unlike other counties in the state,
Philadelphia is not required to change tax
rates when assessments increase to make changes
revenue neutral. That means every increase in
assessment is a tax rate in Philadelphia. In
other words, every county in the state outside
of Philadelphia including Lackawanna County
would have to adjust their millage rates
downward to coincide with increases in the
assessed valuation.

So there would be no increase in taxes. Clearly that is not the kind of fact that certain campaigns want you to know, especially when the information is taken directly from the same newspaper article.

Secondly, the following was also stated as part of the continuing misinformation

campaign on reassessment. And I quote, "In a survey done by the city of Wilkes-Barre, 100 percent of residential property taxes would have increased under a countywide reassessment. And Wilkes-Barre opted out of the reassessment."

Wow. Are you kidding me? The source for this information was attributed to a 2018 presentation to Lackawanna County Commissioners from Ted Wampole of the city of Wilkes-Barre who is supposedly the Chief of Staff.

Well, first of all, I attended that presentation with Councilman Rogan and other members of the City Administration. And it wasn't even a presentation. It was a meeting requested by this City Council to discuss reassessment with the Commissioners. But as it turned out, it was more like an attempted blindside by some of the county by bringing Mr. Wampole into a meeting to discuss Wilkes-Barre and Luzerne County reassessment, neither of which at the time had any relevance at all to what was happening in Lackawanna County.

So, first of all -- and you may not know this, but Wilkes-Barre most likely opted out of reassessment because unlike most cities, Wilkes-Barre does their own property tax reassessments and has their own assessment office.

So my viewpoint, that is probably one of the main reasons they opted out. Plus, it's highly likely there was lack of political will by the current or the existing group of leaders in charge at the time to pursue a reassessment.

Secondly, Mr. Wampole's brief
presentation at the meeting I attended
consisted of him cherry-picking approximately a
dozen properties to show that each of those
properties would have increased under the
Luzerne County reassessment if they stayed in.

That is the 100 percent reference in the sound bite I described earlier. Now think about it. A hand-picked dozen or so properties out of thousands and thousands of properties in the city of Wilkes-Barre were the basis for a comment made by Mr. Wampole and then used by a campaign.

So let me read that again. In a survey done by the city of Wilkes-Barre, 100 percent of property taxes would have increased under a countywide reassessment. They sampled 12 properties. That's their survey. So the city contingent in attendance at that meeting quickly dismissed this logic as faulty and without merit and quickly moved on from that discussion. It wasn't even worth discussing.

But apparently some at that meeting that day saved that same faulty logic for use in their 2019 political campaign. So you have to ask yourself, are they really serious about reassessment? No way. A topic as important as reassessment should not be the subject of incorrect and beyond misleading information.

But as the saying goes, never let the truth get in the way of a good story or a good campaign sound bite. And with all of that said, at the end of the day it's totally unnecessary. The decision to do a countywide reassessment is currently in the hands of the courts.

And the courts will ultimately decide and make that decision, not the sitting

commissioners and most likely not even future commissioners but the courts.

And I fully expect based on all of the caselaw available for review that anybody wants to look at that a reassessment will be ordered. So again, I apologize for delving into the world of politics from the dais, but sometimes when statements are made that are so egregious you simply have no choice.

And finally as my custom, every day is your chance to make a city a little better. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First thing I'd like to mention, good news, we finally completed our internship application. We talked last week with Julie Cohen from the University of Scranton. So City Council will be partnering with the University of Scranton and then eventually with any other university or college in the area to create an internship program.

So I just want to read very briefly what the function of this internship program

will look like. The internship within the office of Scranton City Council -- or the office of City Clerk will provide direct access to the function of the legislative body of the city. You will have the opportunity to work closely with the City Clerk, her staff and with the Council persons and their solicitor as well as interaction with city administration offices and the general public.

The intern will be under the general supervision of the City Clerk and her executive assistant. The intern will produce a two to three page memo by the end of the internship that addresses one of three issues, city/university collaboration, student specific concerns or ideas, or addresses a city problem or opportunity.

And there is more to this document.

And I'll ask that this gets placed in third order when all the other Council people have a chance to look at it. I passed this out tonight. I would like to thank Mrs. Reed for her work on this document and Julie Cohen, the Study Body President Matt Cofflin at the University of Scranton.

And I think this is a really great opportunity to work with the University of Scranton and to get people in that might have new ideas. And one of the things we mentioned in the caucus was anyone who has ever been involved in an internship, some of them are really not that good. And, you know, you're asked to file paperwork or, you know, do these mundane things.

This is going to be different. The student that applies for this and gets the position will have to do a Capstone Project through the University. And it's really in depth. I think it's going to be beneficial for the City of Scranton and for City Council. So I look forward to that.

Mrs. Hodowanitz and Mrs. Schumacher brought up the city's MS4 stormwater permit application. We did receive from Mr. Bulzoni the permit application. And I'm assuming it was submitted May 4th. Let me see. They attached the MS application form with the corrections and additions along with exhibits and attachments. The application was due May 4th.

The City would have to issue a check in the amount of \$2,500 to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It was stated in the e-mails that I have here that the City is not required at this time to advertise and accept written comments on the actual MS4 application. They will be required to advertise and accept written comments on their Pollutant Reduction Plan, not the entire application.

So they will advertise that when the Pollutant Reduction Plan is created by the engineer so they do not have anything right now to advertise. So we'll find out if we can make a copy of this or put it in third order for everyone to look at because it was submitted on May 4th. So hopefully that answers everybody's questions.

The next thing I just want to read into the record here, a letter that was sent to Sean Shamany from Northeastern Revenue Services, LLC. This letter was sent April 26th. And this is just a followup to the caucus that we had. Councilman Donahue and myself were requesting the following information from NRS:

The amount of net profit that has been collected from the contracts with the City of Scranton from 2011, 2012, and 2014, those three separate contracts and what was the net profit. And a breakdown of interest and fees for each of the three categories of collection, refuse, tax, and rental. So hopefully we will receive that information.

Another letter I would like to read into the record from April 30th, 2019 -- and this is a request on my behalf. I requested a copy of the letter from the insurance carrier denying coverage in the Theodore Brunelle case that was recently settled for \$250,000. It was reported in the newspaper that the city's insurance carrier denied coverage. So the City would be responsible for that money.

I also asked for copies of any and all invoices submitted by Attorney Michael O'Brien or his law firm Oliver Price and Rhodes for payment related to the Theodore Brunelle case. I piggybacked on what Councilman Donahue asked for. The only reason I asked for these invoices is basically to see if we had to pay -- what we exactly had to pay for and what

we were responsible for.

I also asked for a copy of any reservation of a rights letter and/or a denial letter issued by the insurance company as part of another case involving Alexander Brunell versus the City of Scranton, case number 15 CV 960.

I did ask that a response be provided by today May 6th. We did not yet receive that response. And I would like to ask Attorney Minora if he would know off the top of his head would a letter from an insurance carrier denying coverage, would that be a document that would be public in your opinion in the case that was settled?

ATTY. MINORA: In a case that was settled? I guess I would need to know a few more facts. Is this the City's carrier you're talking about?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. This was the -in the case here when we had -- we're going to
have to pay out \$250,000. Apparently the
insurance carrier denied average.

ATTY. MINORA: It should be public.

MR. GAUGHAN: Should be. Okay. So

again, I would appreciate a response from the Law Department. And I agree with Councilman Donahue about using our subpoena power. Now, I would not like to go down that avenue because I don't, you know, I can't foresee it's necessary every time we request a piece of information that you have to issue a subpoena.

But at this point if we're not going to get any response from city departments over a certain amount of time, I do think then it's serious enough that we should start issuing subpoenas. And if people are not going to respond to this Council and it's going to be a joke to them and they're just going to ignore us, then I do think we should issue subpoenas.

Again, I don't take that lightly. I don't want to -- I don't think this Council should have to do that. I would like to keep a good rapport with this administration and the Mayor. But again, we were elected by the people of the city. And they have questions -- and we have questions and we would like answers. And we would like the information.

Also, on the Ethics Board, this has been like, you know, watching a leaky faucet

- '

over the last couple months. It's getting a bit ridiculous at this point. But we finally did get a letter from the Mayor if I have a second to pull it out here.

As you know, the Mayor gets two appointments to the Ethics Board. He appointed one of his appointments would be Pastor Marcelo Castro. So we're waiting for another appointment. And then, you know, finally we can move on and start the Ethics Board.

Also there was a letter sent April

1st regarding what the City's Building

Maintenance Plan was. City Hall was brought up

tonight and the potential restoration on that.

Mrs. Reed informed me earlier today that Mr.

Bulzoni would be providing some sort of

response. So we look forward to that.

Also I've asked now for several weeks about \$130,000 variance that was found in audit documents. We did hear from the Business Administrator that he forward the request to the auditor and he's awaiting response.

Finally, a woman had stopped us in the hall before the meeting tonight. And I

just want to show a picture. This is something that we've now been fighting for the last year on behalf of these people. They live at 1452 West Locust Street. And, you know, I have to give the City credit in one respect. They did try to correct the problem.

There was crews sent out, the DPW to fill this hole. But at this point, I'm going make a motion and a second. I do think that we need to contact DEP on their behalf because as you could see by this photo, there is a gentleman standing in the hole.

So I do think that we need help on this issue -- these people need help because someone is going to get hurt here. And there are other photos that I would like to submit as part of this letter.

So at this time, I would like to make a motion that Scranton City Council send a letter to the Department of Environmental Protection regarding a public safety emergency that exists at 1452 West Locust Street with a large hole that has opened up, continues to open up in front of this address.

COUNCILPERSON: Second.

1 MR. ROGAN: On the question. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 3 If we can copy the Department of Public Works on this as well. As I mentioned, I'm not 4 5 exactly sure what was done. But they did go out and they did fill it. But it continues to 6 get bigger and bigger. So before somebody gets 7 8 hurt, I do think that we need the DEP out there 9 whoever is in charge, Mrs. Reed, if you can find out Mine Subside Division of the DEP. 10 11 MR. EVANS: That was my comment to get the DEP involved again even though they did 12 13 look at it once before. And if I may suggest 14 at least put a metal plate over that hole temporarily to avoid any further potential 15 16 catastrophe for that matter. 17 MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? All those 18 in favor signify by say aye. 19 ALL MEMBERS: Aye. 20 MR. ROGAN: Opposed? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. ROGAN: The ayes have it and so 23 ordered. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Also to follow up on

what Miss Schumacher had brought up earlier

25

about the list of items that have appeared in the recovery plan, I think I was mistaken. I believe that we're supposed to exit Act 47 in 2020 because the three year exit plan occurred 2017.

Now I have asked before and when we brought PEL in for a list of -- all right, you have all of these recommendations. What has been completed? What is partially complete? What's not been completed? And we have not received that.

So I would like to ask if -- and we usually do this every summer to get an update. And I think it's particularly important now based on the fact that next we're potentially exiting Act 47. So sometime in the next month or two if we can schedule a caucus with the Administration and with the Pennsylvania Economy League to go through these items and to find what has been completed and what has not been completed.

One example would be the Debt

Management Policy. I asked about that last

year. And as far as I know that has not been
instituted yet. That would actually be

legislation that would have to come forward from the Administration that we would have to vote on.

So I would appreciate if we could schedule that caucus as we did in the last two or three years. And I think that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a brief comment on reassessment. I know my colleagues -- and again, I feel the same way as Councilman Evans. I tend not to talk about politics from these meetings. But when misinformation is being spread by candidates of both parties, I think it's important that is something that needs to be addressed.

Councilman Donahue and Evans spoke regarding Commissioner O'Malley's ad. And I was at that meeting with Councilman Evans. And the meeting was requested by City Council to talk with the Commissioners about reassessment.

And when we got there from the first minute of that meeting until the very end, it was a farce. It was a foregone conclusion.

There were not open minds on the parts of some of the commissioners, particularly by

Commissioner Cummings. And following that meeting I know myself, Councilman Evans and others were critical of Commissioners Cummings' stance.

And it's not that, you know, we disagree with her position which we certainly do. It's the fact that it was constant misinformation at that meeting. And even last week I saw something from her campaign as well, tax bills to increase again for homeowners in Philadelphia as they release new assessments.

So I think that's the same ad that you guys cited, the same article. So I see that Commissioner Cummings is running pretty much the same campaign as some of the Democrats are. So it's certainly not a partisan issue this misinformation that's being put out there. And it has to stop. It's okay to be for something or against something, but debate the issue on the merits.

The fact that this misinformation keeps going out -- and again, when we had the meeting with the county, from the first second we walked in, it was about trying to spin it on how reassessment is bad. And people could

certainly have an opinion one way or the other.

But using articles from another county that

have a completely different policy than every

other county in the state certainly isn't the

way to do that.

So I just wanted to point out that it's certainly not one candidate that is pushing that. It's unfortunately by a Democrat and a Republican that seemed to be teamed up.

That is all.

 $\mbox{MS. REED: } \mbox{ 5-B, no business at this} \\ \mbox{time.}$

 $\mbox{SIXTH ORDER.} \quad \mbox{6-A, no business at} \\ \mbox{this time.}$

SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 7-A FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY

DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO.

114, 2019 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND

EXECUTE A GRANT FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT

ASSISTANCE CAPITAL PROGRAM (RACP) THROUGH THE

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE

BUDGET IN THE AMOUNT OF ONE MILLION

(\$1,000,000.00) DOLLARS; ACCEPTING AND

DISBURSING THE GRANT IF THE APPLICATION IS

1	SUCCESSFUL; AND COORDINATE THE USE OF THE
2	GRANT FUNDS WITH "MIMI EQUITIES", FOR THE
3	PROJECT TO BE NAMED THE "WYOMING AVENUE
4	DEVELOPMENT".
5	MR. ROGAN: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Community Development?
8	MR. DONAHUE: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Community Development, I recommend
10	final passage of Item 7A.
11	MR. PERRY: Second.
12	MR. ROGAN: On the question?
13	(No response.)
14	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
16	MR. PERRY: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
18	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
20	MR. EVANS: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
25	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. If
	II

there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. Motion to adjourn. MR. PERRY: MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool, RPR

Official Court Reporter

. .

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

24 the certifying reporter.)