COUNCIL MEMBERS: PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT TIMOTHY PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN KYLE DONAHUE LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

	3
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	
4	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
6	MR. PERRY: Here.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
8	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
10	MR. EVANS: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Here. Please dispense
15	with the reading of the minutes.
16	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
17	MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING OF
18	THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY HELD FEBRUARY 21,
19	2019.
20	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
21	If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-B. MEMORANDUM RECEIVED
23	MARCH 25, 2019 FROM CITY OF SCRANTON
24	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR REGARDING MUNICIPAL
25	BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. SINGLE TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT 2018-2019 YEAR TO DATE MARCH 31, 2019.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any Council

members have announcements at this time?

MR. DONAHUE: Yes, I do. The -- we held a meeting for interested members of the Complete Count Committee on Thursday night. It was well attended. If anyone else is interested on serving on the Complete Count Committee for the census next year, please reach out to either the City Solicitor's Office or the City Clerk's Office and leave your name and we'll get back to you.

Also, St. Lucy's Church will hold their annual spaghetti dinner on April 3rd and 4th. Takeout dinners are available from three to five and sit-down dinner from five to eight. Tickets are available at the door or call the rectory at 570-347-9421. The cost is \$10 per dinner. And spaghetti sauce is also available for purchase for \$15 a gallon. And that's all

I have.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? I have one announcement. The broadcast server for ECTV suffered a catastrophic failure last Tuesday. They worked quickly with the company who builds the equipment that is used. And they're working on getting a new unit at this time.

So this piece of equipment allows

ECTV to broadcast and rebroadcast programing.

They're hoping to have it on back on the air by early next week. Currently you could still see the live broadcast of the City Council meeting which is on now.

However, ECTV is unable to rebroadcast the meeting until their server is fixed. Anyone wishing to see a rebroadcast can go on the ECTV YouTube page and watch the rebroadcast at this time. So they're hoping that that will be fixed by next week. We appreciate all the hard work that our friends at ECTV trying to get this up and running.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS
PARTICIPATION.

(The following speakers offered

1	public comment as follows:
2	Joan Hodowanitz spoke on City
3	business.
4	Les Spindler spoke on matters of
5	general concern.
6	Fay Franus spoke on matters of
7	general concern.
8	Sara Lancaster spoke on matters of
9	general concern.
10	Lee Morgan spoke on matters of
11	general concern.
12	Dave Dobrzyn spoke on matters of
13	general concern.
14	Nick Senuk spoke on matters of
15	general concern.
16	Marie Schumacher spoke on City
17	business and matters of general concern.
18	Norma Jefferies spoke on matters of
19	general concern.
20	Ron Ellman spoke on matters of
21	general concern.
22	MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
23	MOTIONS.
24	MR. ROGAN: Mr. Perry, any motions
25	or comments?

MR. PERRY: Yeah, a few quick -very quick items. The first one was about Nick
from Meat In The Middle. I love a good
startup. I love when someone's starting a
business and getting rolling. And I know how
difficult it could be to keep that business
going and all the obstacles that get in his
way.

So, Ms. Reed, if we could send a followup letter to ABM and maybe Jessica in our law department and just see where they are with that process and then copy Council on the response. Also a separate correspondence to ABM. Do they -- I'm guessing they don't. But it's a shot in the dark. I know they operate in many cities. And we're not the only city that offers delivery service.

But is there a protocol for them for delivery drivers? Do they offer a delivery pass? Someway where if there's an obviously well marked commercial vehicle, you know, for a 30 second, 45 second dropoff, is there something that they actually have in place in other cities they used that we could steal from and copy and maybe help this young guy out,

keep his business going?

I don't want to see him get derailed. And small businesses, especially startups, the margins are very tiny. And these fines, you know, that could be enough to drive someone out of business. And we're trying to drag them in, not drive them out.

Number two, if we can send something off to Mr. Bulzoni just an update with the Serrenti grants. I just want to see where we are. Also if you can send a copy to all the Councilmen on the work that is going to be done and the funds that are going to cover that work.

We just want to make sure that the work done and the grants coming are matching up. So I'll be waiting to hear from Mr.

Bulzoni on that. And then finally, I know we talked about this in caucus, City Hall. If we can send something out to Mr. O'Hora, who's our building maintenance, I know I spoke to him. He spoke to most of us over the phone over the past couple weeks just to give us an idea of what's happening. And we did have the presentation by Highland.

And I was on the record last week by saying, you know, this is our building. This is our home. And I want to hold onto it. And I believe that it is our responsibility to fix it and take care of it. But it is my responsibility to do my due diligence and hear from everybody involved and to have all options on the table.

And one of those options is to meet with our building maintenance manager and let him show me the building and show me all his concerns. And if you would set that up with him at a time that's convenient and copy all the Council members and if anybody is willing to or wants to join us, they're more than welcome to walk the building with us at that time. That's all I have.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Donahue, any motions or comments?

MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, just briefly.

After reading Saturday's Scranton Times article regarding the delinquent trash fees that totaled more than 16.8 million dollars, I couldn't help but think about people all across the city who may have fallen on hard times or

struggling financially for any reason
whatsoever who still manage to pay their taxes
on time and pay their garbage fees on time.

And it's just absolutely infuriating that we are putting our residents in a situation where we have 75 percent of responsible property owners basically subsidizing 25 percent of the irresponsible home buyers.

And then even after scrolling through the list of delinquents, I became even more enraged by the fact that there are many names on that list that absolutely have the means to pay that fee. They just decided not to.

Where is the accountability? What is the Mayor and his administration doing to hold delinquent property owners accountable and to make sure we collect as much of these delinquencies as possible? In at least the last 15 months since I've been on this Council, accountability hasn't been a top priority for the Courtright Administration. That needs to end if the city is going to move forward in a positive direction.

On a more positive note, I'd like to talk about the NEPA Trashtag Challenge that was highlighted in Friday's paper. As we move into spring, it's hard not to notice the amount of trash lining streets all across the city. And it looks terrible.

Trashtag Challenge participants take before and after pictures in a littered area and then post the pictures on Facebook or Instagram with the hashtags Trashtag -- NEPA Trashtag and custom hashtags for participating businesses.

Participating businesses will offer special deals for participants who tag the business in their before and after photos. I strongly encourage as many people as possible to participate in this challenge. I know I will be. By picking a spot in your neighborhood to clean up, you're not only showing pride in your neighborhood, but you just might inspire your neighbors to do the same.

With that, I'll end with a quote from Gandhi, "Be the change you want to see in the world." Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: A few comments. First of all, I would like congratulate the Holy Cross High School mock trial team. They are now the 2019 Mock Trial Pennsylvania State Champions. It's a great accomplishment for everyone that's involved with their success story.

A special congratulations to my cousin Jay's granddaughter, Erica Pica who is a member of the team as well as a Scranton resident.

On the delinquent trash fee story, we all should be shocked, dismayed, sad, disgusted at the level of outstanding delinquencies for unpaid trash fees. The question is, what do we do now?

For now, I'd like to offer a couple ideas and comments on this issue. First of all, let's look at the entity that has the highest amount owed, PSN Realty Incorporated from Bronxville, New York. That company owes \$125,375.99.

And there's no doubt that the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

majority of their 19 city properties owned by PSN are most likely income properties that would come under the Rental Registration Program.

So I'd like to send a letter to Mr. Hinton and Mr. Oleski in the License and Inspection Department to see if they can determine which properties owned by PSN are registered under the Rental Registration Ordinance and which ones are actually occupied by tenants and where rents are currently being collected.

The most recent version of the Rental Registration Ordinance states in Section 373-9 Subsection C, the following:

No landlord or owner with outstanding fines resulting from a violation of municipal ordinances as well as delinquent taxes and/or garbage fees, shall be eligible to obtain a license to rent residential real estate in a municipality of Scranton unless such fines, taxes, and fees are paid in full.

It couldn't be much clearer than Additionally when this City Council that. authored the most recent version of the

ordinance, we included this additional section, Section 373-10, Rental Escrow Program, which in effect allows the city to create an escrow account for the direct deposits of rent from properties that are in violation of the ordinance or who are not registered properly as defined by the ordinance.

The city then would hold the rents in escrow until such a time that the unit is registered and that rents would be released back to the owner only after all fees, all liens, and all services, etc., are payable to the City of Scranton are paid, plus a surcharge of 20 percent payable to the City of Scranton of the escrow funds.

My point is, we have other tools in our tool belt, especially as they relate to residential rental properties. The question is, are we using them and are we using them effectively.

Also it becomes increasing clear to me that the pay as you throw bag type of collection plan could eliminate the need for the fee or at least minimize it, while at the same time it would dramatically increase the

performance of our recycling program throughout the city. And I think this is something that needs serious, serious consideration.

On the stormwater, there was a very good article in the newspaper today by John O'Connell about the stormwater issue. It certainly explains in depth the reason for pursuing a more regional approach and why it makes the most sense.

This is the approach I favor, of course, and I think most of us on City Council do as well. And we've enlisted Senator Blake to help us gather the forces of all of the little towns and municipalities up and down the Mid Valley and above to see if we can get together and try to have that conversation about a regional approach.

The reality is, this is an unfunded mandate. But it is a mandate nonetheless. And the more we can do to minimize the long-term impact of all of our citizens, the more responsible we are as leaders of our community. According to the article, in York, Pennsylvania or York County, for example, the county leaders took the initiative to pursue the regional

approach. That is leadership.

This discussion is extremely important and should never be reduced to disingenuous campaign taglines like hashtag No Rain Tax. And finally, as my custom for the last few weeks I just want to remind everybody, Every day is your chance to make this city a little better. That's all I have for now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you.

First, I'd like to make a motion that City

Council invite Northeast Revenue Services, City

Treasurer Wayne Beck and any other appropriate

members of the Administration to a public

caucus in Council chambers to discuss the

delinquent garbage fees.

MR. PERRY: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question,
I mean, it goes without saying this whole issue
is outrageous that this much money is owed.
And I think somebody mentioned earlier tonight
it begs the question -- and I'm sure most
people in the city who are paying this are

saying why should I pay?

I too went through the list and was, you know, shocked like everybody else as Councilman Donahue said knowing that there are certainly entities and other people that probably have the means to pay it that it seems like they are just not doing it.

I think we need to have a conversation with Northeast Revenue Services about what has been done to collect the delinquent garbage fees; what is being done; and what their plan is and what the Administration's plan is moving forward obviously in light of this issue.

Looking back at an article in the Scranton Times and a meeting that Council had back in 2016, Northeast Revenue Services filed 2,420 liens on delinquencies from 2011 to 2013. Those unpaid garbage bills totalled nearly 1.47 million dollars. And at that time, Councilman Wechsler said it's a huge amount of money for a struggling city like our own.

At that time, the warnings and the threats, the letters that sent out worked somewhat. There was \$460,000 coughed up in

just one month. As of May 31st, 2016, NRS had collected a total of 1.8 million dollars in 2011 to 2013 delinquencies.

So I think it goes without saying that we do need to have a meeting with NRS, with the City Treasurer and with any other members of the Administration to get the situation under control.

My question is and what I was thinking about when this whole thing came up is, you know, what's the end game here? Even if we clean up some of the delinquencies, are these same residents -- and I had this conversation with the Business Administrator going to return to delinquent status?

So I think we need to step back and look at everything. The other thing that we can't forget is that what looms in the background here is the lawsuit that the city finds itself in regarding the trash fee -- the \$300 trash fee. So that also poses an issue.

The other question I would have, are we applying a lien base on the cumulative amount that people owe? What is -- what I would like to ask NRS too is, what is

considered or might be considered uncollectible? Have they gone through that list? Are there people on there that have passed away? So, you know, what money can we get?

The other thing that I -- just an idea that I threw out in the caucus before the regular meeting -- and I brought this up a few years ago. And I'm not even sure how it would work with this particular issue. But would an amnesty type program work?

In other words, if -- and it's been done in other cities in Pennsylvania and across the United States. If the city were to offer a one time amnesty on the trash -- the delinquent trash fee and say we're going to waive the penalties and the interest if you come forward in this amount of time and either pay in full or get on a payment plan, maybe something like that would work. I think that's something too that we should consider. And that's all I have on that issue.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else on the motion? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

1 MR. PERRY: Aye. 2 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: 4 Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Opposed? Aye. The ayes have it and so moved. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: 7 The second thing I 8 have, I was asked by a few residents about some 9 DPW issues, specifically the street sweeper. 10 Mrs. Reed spoke to Mr. Gallagher, the DPW 11 Director. The grant that the city applied for 12 to get a new street sweeper is expected to come 13 The city is actually getting two street 14 sweepers. So we'll have three that will be 15 16 operational. The sweepers are expected for 17 delivery this Friday. They'll be titled, 18 insured. City logos will be applied. 19 year, the street sweeping program began 20 approximately April 30th. So it should be on 21 the same track this year. 22 The city will as they always do 23 provide a list for announcement purposes. 24 it will published on the city's website as 25 well. Last week I also asked about the 2019

Paving Program. Mrs. Reed spoke to Mr.
Bulzoni. He said that there should be approximately 1 million dollars for paving.
And that would come from money in the liquid fuels allocation and some other monies that should be remaining.

We did receive a letter from Mrs. Novembrino, the City Controller that she is submitting the name of Annette Palutis as her pick for the Ethics Board. So we're just waiting on the Administration for the other two. And that's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a couple comments. First, regarding the status of City Hall, I agree with Councilman Perry. I do like the suggestion of doing a tour of the building with Paul O'Hora the facilities manager. You know, I've been on Council nine, ten years now. I know I've never been in the basement, the subbasement of this building.

So that's something that we did see in our report that I don't think any of us here, a section of the building, we've never been in. So I do think it is a good idea to

see with our own eyes what's going on, not only what was in the report that was presented to us.

And this issue, there's been a lot of talk about it. And I know I'm probably on the wrong side of this politically. But I think it's the right thing to do is to have an open mind and to look at the dollars and cents of what will be the most bang for the buck for the taxpayers and also where the city will be able to give the best service to the taxpayers, whether it's in this facility or somewhere else.

So I think we need to keep an open mind on other locations. I do agree that whatever happens with this building, it certainly needs to be preserved. So I have a very open mind when it comes to this. And I'm looking forward to seeing certain parts of this building that aren't open to the public or even to Council members on a daily basis to see the true condition of some of these other parts of the building.

Seeing it on the screen doesn't give you the same perspective as seeing it in real

life. So that's something I'm definitely looking forward to doing. Next on the garbage fee, I know this has been a hot topic, especially since the school directors appointed an individual that owed quite a bit of money on back garbage fees.

My position on that, there's three different criteria for people that have not paid their garbage fee. One number, which at no fault of their own, there's errors, people actually don't owe the money; houses that may have been torn down but a bill is still being generated and other clerical errors.

I would guess that is a very, very small portion of what is owed. So I think there are some people that -- whose names may be on that list that actually do not owe the money because there are mistakes that are made. And we get contacted by residents all the time that get a bill in error, something was paid. So that is a small portion of the outstanding amount.

The second portion and this is the one that bothers me the most and some of my colleagues alluded to this. These are people

who won't pay, not people who can't pay. There are many names on that list. And there's been -- and it's the same with the tax delinquencies that you see who own multiple, multiple properties as Councilman Evans mentioned.

And those are the people that should not be given any sort of break. They chose not to pay those bills. You do not go 20 years without paying a garbage bill and say, oh, I fell on hard times. One year, two years, you loose a job, sure. Help some of those people out where we can.

But not people who just got the bill and threw it in the garbage. And that's what a lot of people do. Unfortunately, there's not a whole lot of recourse the city has for people who don't pay their garbage bills. Not picking up the trash isn't a viable solution because it's going to cause an issue for neighbors.

It's going to cause a public health issue. So that's not a solution. The city legally does not have the right to take a house for not paying a garbage bill the way if you don't pay your taxes, eventually your house

would go up for sale.

So that's not an option either. I do agree with putting liens on homes for people who have not paid their bills. But again, a lien only comes into effect when that property is going to be sold or somebody's going to put a mortgage on it. So eventually the city will get paid.

But people live in their houses 20, 30, 40, 50 years. That's a long time to wait. And obviously, the value of money changes over time. So \$300 in 2050 is not \$300 today.

So there is that aspect as well.

Now, there is a segment of people -- and I'm hoping and I believe and I think it's a small segment that have fallen on hard times. Maybe they lost their job last year and couldn't pay the bill.

You know, maybe they're struggling to keep their mortgage. That group of people should be given a break if there's an opportunity to do some type of program. I would not support a blanket amnesty program across the board. There would have to be some sort of either a means test or a test to show

that there actually is a financial hardship.

Just throw the bills away for 20 years and not paying it and then coming in and paying the face value to me is not a solution. And it's not fair.

A speaker did bring up the idea and it's been done in the past of trying to shame people into paying their bills publishing them in the newspaper, not something that I would be opposed to doing of publishing the whole list.

The issue with that is, the list has to be vetted first because I know in the past when those names have been published, there have been people on that list that actually did not owe the fee. So they were wrongfully embarrassed.

I do think if that route -- if we do decide to go that route, it shouldn't be limited to the newspaper, especially people my age, they don't buy the newspaper. They're on social media. They're on different sites like that where that could be another angle as well to try to shame people into paying their bills.

Unfortunately, we have to discuss it. People have brought it up. It's been done

in the past. And it's been moderately successful.

But again, for me there are those three categories. And the one that bothers me the most and I would like to focus on are the ones who are just simply refusing not to pay. Councilman Evans brought up some other ideas. I have long advocated for eliminating this system and going to their private system or a per bag system.

Again, I know it's not popular. And there's problems with that type of change as well. But that would ensure that we wouldn't have this issue. So there'll be much more discussion on this. But I do think there is more work that needs to be done on our end because it is not fair that the people who are paying and doing the right thing are footing the bill for those who aren't because if you didn't pay your garbage bill the last 20 years, your garbage was still picked up. So more to come on that. And that is all for tonight. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL

24

25

NO. 95, 2015, AN ORDINANCE, ENTITLED "AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 79 OF 2015, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX ("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY INCREASING THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX WITHHOLDING FROM \$52.00 TO \$156.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015, AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL SOURCES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN \$15,600.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL TAX ENABLING ACT, ACT 511 OF 1965, P.L. 1257, 53 P.S.§6924,101 ET. SEQ. AND THE MUNICIPALITIES RECOVERY ACT, ACT 47 OF 1987, P.L. 246, 53 P.S. §11701.101 ET. SEQ. AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AMENDMENTS" EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 1, 2019. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED TO GENERATE APPROXIMATELY FOUR-MILLION SIX-HUNDRED FIFTY-THREE-THOUSAND THREE-HUNDRED THIRTY-FIVE (\$4,653,335.00) DOLLARS IN REVENUE

1	IN CALENDAR YEAR 2019 BY ENABLING THE CITY
2	OF SCRANTON TO CONTINUE TO LEVY THE LOCAL
3	SERVICES TAX AT THE FISCAL YEAR 2018 RATE FOR
4	FISCAL YEAR 2019 FOR A TOTAL MAXIMUM
5	LOCAL SERVICES RATE OF ONE HUNDRED FIFTY SIX
6	(\$156.00) DOLLARS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2019
7	EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY
8	1,2019 AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM
9	TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED
10	INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL
11	SOURCES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN
12	\$15,600.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2019.
13	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that item 5-B be introduced
15	into its proper committee.
16	MR. PERRY: So moved.
17	MR. EVANS: Second.
18	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
19	those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
23	MR. EVANS: Aye.
24	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
25	have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 58, 2016 AS AMENDED, AN ORDINANCE
"ESTABLISHING A REGISTRATION PROGRAM FOR
RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES; REQUIRING ALL
OWNERS OF RESIDENTIAL RENTAL PROPERTIES TO
DESIGNATE A PROPERTY MANAGER FOR SERVICE OF
PROCESS AND PRESCRIBING DUTIES OF OWNERS,
PROPERTY MANAGERS AND OCCUPANTS" 2
BY AMENDING SECTION 4 (A)(1) AND (B)(1) AND
(2), AND SECTION 10(A)TO ABOLISH THE TIERED
RATE STRUCTURE FOR 2019 AND REDUCE
THE RENTAL REGISTRATION FEE FOR 2020 AND 2021.

MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. PERRY: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I know this is about the lawsuit. But as we discussed in the caucus while we have this on the agenda, I'd like -- I have two questions that I would like to ask. Number one, in Section 10 of Rental Escrow Program, I would

like to know how the city plans on enforcing this, if they have enforced it yet.

I think somebody mentioned in the caucus that they don't believe that they have. So I would like to get an idea on how the city -- what the mechanisms are on how the city if someone doesn't pay the Rental Registration Fee, how the city is going to enforce escrowing those -- the money that somebody who lives in one of those buildings would pay would then go to the city and be escrowed. So I would like to know how that program is going to work.

The second thing that I brought up in the caucus as well, a few years ago the city entered into an agreement with James Moran.

The name of his company escaped my mind at this point. But the company was supposed to go out and identify those rental properties that were not registering.

So I would like an update on that program. There was supposed to be a hotline. Rental properties were supposed to display stickers so that the city could identify them. I would like to know what has been done. Someone mentioned in the caucus that that

So I

The ayes

1 company had been sold to Community Champions, 2 which the city also does business with. So I had asked our Solicitor -- I 3 4 would -- I'd assume then that contract would 5 have to come back before City Council if someone enters into an agreement and then sells 6 7 the company or whatever happened there. would just like clarification on that. That's 8 9 all. Thank you. 10 MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? All those 11 in favor signify by saying aye. 12 MR. PERRY: Aye. 13 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 14 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? 17 have it and so moved. 18 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 19 OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 20 21 SCRANTON TO CREATE A 2020 COMPLETE COUNT COMMITTEE TO PARTNER WITH THE U.S. CENSUS 22 BUREAU TO ENSURE THAT EVERY RESIDENT IN THE 23 24 CITY OF SCRANTON IS COUNTED IN THE 2020 CENSUS. 25 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll

1 entertain a motion that item 5-D be introduced 2 into its proper committee. 3 MR. PERRY: So moved. 4 MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. PERRY: Aye. 8 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 12 have it and so moved. 13 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 14 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 60, 2019 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE 15 16 COUNCIL NO. 28, 2013, AN ORDINANCE AS AMENDED 17 TRANSFERRING A TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT OF CITY OWNED PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE 18 100 BLOCK OF HARRISON AVENUE TO THE 19 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT 20 21 OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE REMOVAL OF THE HARRISON 22 AVENUE BRIDGE AND INSTALLATION OF A 23 24 NEWLY CONSTRUCTED HARRISON AVENUE BRIDGE FOR 25 THE SUM OF SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$7,000.00)

1	TO EXTEND THE TEMPORARY EASEMENT FROM TWO (2)
2	YEARS TO FIVE (5) YEARS WITH AN UPDATED OFFER
3	OF JUST COMPENSATION OF TEN THOUSAND
4	SIX-HUNDRED (\$10,600.00) DOLLARS.
5	MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
6	title of Item 6-A. What is your pleasure?
7	MR. PERRY: I move that Item 6-A
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. EVANS: Second.
10	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. PERRY: Aye.
13	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A, no
19	business at this time.
20	MR. ROGAN: If there is no further
21	business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
22	MR. PERRY: Motion to adjourn.
23	MR. ROGAN: This meeting is
24	adjourned.
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool, RPR

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)