	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL
2	PUBLIC HEARING
3	
4	
5	IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 51, 2019,
6	AMENDING THE CODE OF ETHICS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE
7	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
8	
9	
10	HELD:
11	
12	Monday, January 14, 2019
13	
14	LOCATION:
15	Council Chambers
16	Scranton City Hall
17	340 North Washington Avenue
18	Scranton, Pennsylvania
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	,

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT TIM PERRY, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN KYLE DONAHUE LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

MR. ROGAN: I'd like to call this 1 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 2 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Donahue. 5 MR. DONAHUE: 6 Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Here. 9 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: Here. 13 MS. REED: The purpose of said 14 public hearing is to hear testimony and 15 discuss the following: FILE OF THE COUNCIL 16 NO. 51, 2019, AMENDING THE CODE OF ETHICS OF 17 THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE OF THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 19 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. As our city 20 clerk mentioned, this hearing, our 5:30 21 hearing, is just specifically to discuss 22 this one piece of legislation so if there is 23 -- we do have a few people signed in to 24 discuss. Anyone who wishes to discuss 25 general city issues or other items will have

an opportunity to discuss them at our 6:00 meeting which will be gaveled in right after this one. So our first speaker on this hearing is Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. Again, I want to compliment Councilman Gaughan and the rest of city council for undertaking this project. Obviously, it's long overdue and much needed. I will preface my remarks again by what I said last week. Number one, not to be flippant, but I do think you ought to provide a copy of this draft to the school district and to Warren Betti at the prison and to the county commissioners.

Do you realize that Scranton and
Lackawanna County, three taxing bodies, the
school district, the city and the county,
and all three of them are under
investigation? The county and the school
district by the state police and the state
Attorney General and now the city by the
FBI. So if ever an Ethics Code was needed
it's needed here.

The second thing is I seriously hope

you will consider recommending to the administration the establishment of a whistleblower hotline. There is one at the county level, you talk to Controller Gary DiBileo. It's saved the county a good deal of money. It's resulted in prosecution. I remember one year, I forget which year, it's 2016 I believe, 13 cases were forwarded to the District Attorney, so it may be useful for the City of Scranton.

With that said, just a few minor things, on page 990, paragraph four, in bold it will say, "Recommendation to the mayor," I think a word got dropped out in the print. If you read it the first sentence doesn't make sentence. If you want to take a look at page 90, paragraph four. Also, page 95, paragraph four, the word "determined" is misspelled, and page 97, section 12, paragraph (1) (a) (2), there is a parenthesis that is missing which makes the paragraph a little bit illegible, and that's about it. I think you should get this Code adopted as soon as possible. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mary Jo

Sheridan.

3 4

1

2

5

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SHERIDAN: Good evening,
Mr. Rogan and other councilmen. I

just wanted to, first of all, thank you for updating the Ethics Code. I was thrilled to see the news coverage on this and I really appreciate your initiative. I have only skimmed the -- excuse me, I'm a little nervous speaking, but I have only skimmed the document itself but it looks very impressive to me. I think you have addressed a lot of very important matters in I have not closely reviewed it yet though, but I did notice one thing that I have a question about and that is with regard to the composition of the board and the selection of the board members. wondering how the board members would be appointed and whether there would be a public solicitation or if applications would be possibly sought from the public, and the reason I ask is because I'm not interested in being considered for the Ethics Board you were going to reach out to members of the public. My work as an audit manager, might

I have done a lot of work and I'm very familiar with the Pennsylvania Public Employees -- Public Officials and Employees Ethics Act myself in relation to the audits that I have worked on, so that was just the question that I had and, again, I'm really grateful to you for updating the code, for reforming the board and I look forward to seeing the continued work in this area. I really believe that improved transparency and controls over campaign finance, such as what you have spelled out in the code, is very important so thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. And on that point, I know when the Human Relations Board was reconstituted that was put out to the public and I think that was a good way of getting applications to come in so we'll certainty take that recommendation under advisement. Jay Walsh -- or, I'm sorry, John Walsh.

MR. WALSH: Good evening. Ethics are good. Laws are even better, okay?

There is an issue with the mayor and the permit office concerning laws and there is

1 -- when you passed that budget last month with the garbage tax --2 3 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Walsh, if you could 4 please keep your comments specifically to 5 the ethics legislation. If you want to talk about general issues there will be an 6 7 opportunity during the regular meeting. MR. WALSH: No, this is real ethics, 8 9 okay? You illegally passed, you want to 10 call you broke the ethics or you broke the law, but you passed the garbage bill last 11 12 month --13 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Walsh, this hearing 14 is not regarding the garbage bill. 15 MR. WALSH: No, it's regarding 16 ethics. 17 MR. ROGAN: Correct. It's regarding 18 a specific --19 MR. WALSH: I'm talking ethics. 20 MR. ROGAN: -- a specific ethics 21 proposal that council is considering. And I'm calling your 22 MR. WALSH: 23 ethics into question, Mr. Rogan. 24 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Walsh, do you have a 25 comment regarding the legislation that

council is voting on tonight?

MR. WALSH: Yeah, well, it's way overdue.

MR. ROGAN: Well, please comment on that legislation. If you want to talk about any other issue, you have that opportunity at our 6:00 meeting.

MR. WALSH: I think they are commingled. No doubt about it, okay? You are basically stepping up to the plate now about ethics and I pointed out a number of times where you broke the law, which is beyond ethics. Don't you get it? Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address Item 6-A specifically? Mr. Bolus?

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. You know, what you are putting into place is a very serious set of regulations and you have to remember you live by the sword, you die by the sword.

Accountability is going to be first and foremost now once this is passed. Does it speaks to council, does it speak to the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor, does it speak to the Scranton school board? Does this cover all bodies or is this specifically to city council?

MR. ROGAN: This is specific to all city employees, City of Scranton.

MR. BOLUS: Does that include the Scranton School District?

MR. ROGAN: It does not.

MR. BOLUS: Okay. The issue I have with that is you have seen what's happened with the school board, why we need before we implement to include all parties within the City of Scranton, all governing parties. That's the school board, sit with the commissioners as well as our own city. have to have this as a blanket coverage so I think we need to discuss it before you pass with the other governing bodies that they all participate in one set of rules, basically one Bible so to speak, and then and only then are we going to get the credibility issues resolved within this city. We can't just bind city council and let the others run rampant.

So what you do here tonight affects

all of us within the City of Scranton and that's all the governing bodies, county, school district, and city council, Fire Department, Police Department, DPW and so on and so forth. We have an issue with zoning here and the enforcement of the permitting and all that goes on. Who is going to take the responsibility or be the policing body of a complaint? Who makes that decision? Who is going to be the judge and jury regarding the ethics that are violated whether it's on this side of the lectern or on that side? Those are the questions I think we need to answer. We just can't have a random set.

It's a heck of an idea to have, it's a great governing tool that you are doing, but you got to put a bite in it. You know, just putting it on paper and not have the proper methodology to enforce it, it's a waste of time and energy, but that's why we need to include all bodies, and I think tonight you should table it, have a public meeting with all of the other bodies and let's have one Bible once and for all in

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this city and maybe then and only then does the city is going to move forward in the proper direction because accountability is among everyone not just a few. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

Is there anyone else who would like to address Item 6-A?

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. I understand you can't any questions at a hearing, but I'm going to put this out there, I'd like to know, I mean, I want this to be addressed like Mr. Bolus said, in the past this council has never followed any laws, any laws that come ahead of them that were broken, you have never done anything about, so I'd like to know how you are going to address this? How are you going to follow laws that you are making in this Ethics Code if you never followed them before? I mean, we have no trust in you at all. Mr. Bulzoni is here illegally and you don't care, I mean, there is so many laws that you break but you don't care, so your ethics laws have to be enforced. I'm just wondering, are you going to enforce them

because you have never enforced any other law in the city so that's very important.

So unless you can enforce them, don't do it, but make sure you do do it, but if you are going to do it follow them and enforce every law and go after everybody that breaks them.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anybody else who would like to address Item 6-A?

MR. DOBRZYN: I'm not going to criticize anybody directly, but I'd like to see if we can reintroduce the two-party system here, run under the general election one party only --

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Dobrzyn, this is, again, just regarding the ethics legislation.

MR. DOBRZYN: Yeah, I'd like to see it adopted in the ethics. If it's not possible, fine, you know, that's okay, but in other words, if you win both parties you should advocate and go with the party you agree with most. If I didn't agree with two-thirds of my party, I wouldn't be in it.

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to discuss item 6-A?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I'm going to jump around a little bit because I want to make sure I get ins what's most important to me. Section 12 on the individual gifts, I take umbrage at you telling me how much of my money I can spend and how I can spend it, especially when in "B" you sort of favor those if people want to spend -- they can spend as much of their own money as they want, but a candidate cannot accept more than \$2,700 from me. I mean, not that I would probably do that for any city council candidate or -- you know, but, I mean, I worked a long time, I worked long hours, I made money, I was budgeted, now that I have discretionary income I think it should be me that decides that, not you people telling me how much of my money I can spend, so that is the thing that I most dislike.

I think back in Section 2 (a) (1) is the council vote by -- a vote or by

1 appointment? 2 MR. EVANS: The two members you 3 mean? 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. 5 MR. EVANS: By appointment among ourselves I think is how we are going to 6 7 handle it? 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry? 9 MR. EVANS: Well, we'll decide who 10 the two are, the two members. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, no, are you 12 going to do it out here? Is it going to 13 be--14 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 15 MS. SCHUMACHER: And are you going 16 to take turns nominating somebody as you do 17 with the others. 18 MR. EVANS: No. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: No. The way I envision 20 it working is that the public -- we will 21 solicit people to -- people to be on the 22 board and then we as a council will make a 23 decision, but it will come by vote at public 24 meeting. 25 MR. EVANS: As a group.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And "D" in that same section, I think where you talk 2 3 about recent orders of the Court of Common 4 Pleas of Lackawanna County, I think that's 5 too vague and that ruling or whatever it was should be specified either by number or 6 7 included because this is going to last 8 awhile and I think that, you know, recent is 9 relative and I think it should be 10 specifically referenced. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: What section are you 12 talking about? 13 MR. EVANS: Section 2. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: No, Section 2 (d). 15 MR. EVANS: Subsection (d). 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Within Section 2, 17 yes. 18 MR. EVANS: Preservation. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes. 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: And then (AA) of 22 the gift section, how do you evaluate social 23 benefit? 24 MR. GAUGHAN: How would you define 25 social benefit?

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't know. I'm sort of asking you that, it's in here. It's in here and it talks about social benefit and I wouldn't know how to evaluate that if I --

MR. GAUGHAN: I would defer that to Solicitor Minora, I'm not sure how you would define social benefit.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then Section 4 or it might be five, I sort of lost track, but it was (e), whose responsibility is it to make employees or officers familiar with the types of fraud and the potential, etcetera, you know, you know what it says? Whose job? It doesn't say whose job that is?

MR. EVANS: It's all part of the education process and we are going to try to do our best to fully fund that to make sure education happens with all of the employees of the City of Scranton. So it would be the commission itself that would be responsible but there will be workshop seminars.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Will the employees and officials have to attend?

1 MR. EVANS: I would recommend that they would, yes. 2 3 MR. SCHUMACHER: Shouldn't that be 4 in there? 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Your Honor, there is a part in here about that someplace about 6 7 education. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay and there are 9 a lot of people and a lot of work for this 10 commission to hire a solicitor which is 11 pretty typical, they are going to conduct 12 investigations, they are going to have to 13 hire somebody for that, what are you 14 planning to budget for this effort? 15 MR. GAUGHAN: Well, it would be --16 my understanding in talking to Mr. Bulzoni 17 is that it would probably come out of professional services, but it's hard to 18 19 budget for this because it's the first time 20 that we are doing it so we don't have a 21 specific number. 22 Okay, and that MS. SCHUMACHER: 23 brings up something else because it says 24 it's an amendment but it really isn't an 25 amendment because when there is an amendment

1 2 3 4 5 MR. ROGAN: 6 7 8 6-A? 9 MR. FOLEY: 10 11 12 an observation. 13 14 15 16 MR. FOLEY: about your program now. 17 18 MR. ROGAN: 19 hearing is for. 20 21 22 chairman. 23 24 25

you usually have -- I mean, legislatively you usually have the current document and then you "X" out what there was and what you are putting in so you can compare the two, so my five minutes are up again.

Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to discuss Item

Good evening, gentlemen. John Foley. I did not come here to talk about this piece of legislation, but here's

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Foley, if you want to talk about general issues we will have that regular portion of the meeting.

No, no, I'm talking

Okay, that's what this

MR. FOLEY: My experience is having somebody independent responsible as I would encourage you to consider, even if you have to pay the individual, of appointing somebody from the University of Scranton in the Philosophy

Department that's responsible for the ethics program or somebody in the finance area that is responsible for business ethics and make them chairman. That way I think you can assure yourself there will be some independence.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Yes, Council. We already have a strong enough position that you can fire the mayor how much stronger do you need than that? And I remember the very first thing that the mayor did was step all over the people of this city and that law by disregarding it and hiring Mr. Bulzoni.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, we are only specifically speaking on the ethics legislation at this time. If you want to talk about general city issues, we are going to have that meeting in about ten minutes.

MR. ELLMAN: What do I not understand that we -- that council can hire and fire someone?

MR. ROGAN: Council does not have the ability to hire and fire.

MR. ELLMAN: All right. You win

this time, and your 5:00 shadow is terrible. I'll tell you, I saw it last night on TV. Thank you, Mr. Ellman. MR. ROGAN: Anyone else specifically regarding the new Ethics Act? If not, this hearing is adjourned. We will reconvene in seven minutes for our regularly scheduled meeting. (Public hearing adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER