## SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING

١,

IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 49, 2018 — AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 21, 2016 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER TO IMPROVE DETERIORATING REAL PROPERTY IN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, AND PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO" TO EXPAND THE ELIGIBLE AREAS, INCREASE THE DURATION OF EACH EXEMPTION.

IN RE: FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 50, 2018 — AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 22, 2016 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER TO STIMULATE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO" IN ORDER TO EXPAND THE ELIGIBLE AREAS, INCREASE THE DURATION OF EACH EXEMPTION.

## **HELD:**

Monday, December 3, 2018

## LOCATION:

Council Chambers Scranton City Hall 340 North Washington Avenue Scranton, Pennsylvania

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT TIM PERRY, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN KYLE DONAHUE LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR 

MR. ROGAN: I'd like to call this 1 2 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: 5 Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 6 Here. 7 MR. DONAHUE: 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: Here. 14 MS. REED: The purpose of said 15 public hearing is to hear testimony and 16 discuss the following: FILE OF THE COUNCIL 17 NO. 49, 2018 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 18 NO. 21, 2016 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "DEFINING 19 AND AUTHORIZING TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL 20 PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER TO IMPROVE 21 DETERIORATING REAL PROPERTY IN CERTAIN AREAS 22 IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN 23 EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR 24 OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, AND PROVIDING FOR 25 NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING

AMENDMENT THERETO" TO EXPAND THE ELIGIBLE AREAS, INCREASE THE DURATION OF EACH EXEMPTION, AND TO LIFT THE CAP ON EACH EXEMPTION.

AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 50, 2018 —
AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 22, 2016 AN
ORDINANCE ENTITLED "DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING
TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN
ORDER TO STIMULATE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL
AND OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN CERTAIN
AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING
AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR
OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, PROVIDING FOR
NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING
AMENDMENT THERETO" IN ORDER TO EXPAND THE
ELIGIBLE AREAS, INCREASE THE DURATION OF
EACH EXEMPTION, AND TO LIFT THE CAP ON EACH
EXEMPTION.

MR. ROGAN: This evening Mr. Don
King, city planner, there to offer testimony
with regard to the boundaries Fr Item 7-L
and 7-M on tonight's agenda. We'll turn it
over to you, Mr. King, and then we have a
couple of people signed in as well to
comment.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: Thank you, Mr. President. Like you said, the purpose -- my purpose for being here is the Act requires that the governing bodies set forth the boundaries of the deteriorated areas and the purpose of figuring out those boundaries the Act requires, "Individuals knowledgeable and interested in the improvement of deteriorated areas shall make their recommendation to the council."

The mayor asked that I come and make a recommendation to your body. The Act defines the deteriorated area by using the criteria that's set forth in two other different laws, the Urban Renewal Law and the Neighborhood Assistance Act. Neighborhood Assistance Act has a definition of an impoverished area. The Urban Redevelopment Law has a definition of a blighted area. So you could mix and match or use one of either of those as the criteria to set forth so for purposes of this I, under the Neighborhood Assistance Act, I looked at the one criteria which is persistent unemployment or underemployment.

21

22

23

24

25

The Bureau of Labor and Statistics reported for the Scranton/Wilkes-Barre area for September of 2018, the latest report they have out, has an unemployment right for Scranton of 4.9 percent and we lag behind in the state at 4.1 percent and the United States nationally at 3.7 percent so that shows that we have an unemployment problem more than an underemployment problem.

Within the Urban Redevelopment Law one of the criteria is economically and socially undesirable land uses. Ιn Scranton, as you all know, we have a large number of vacant or underutilized buildings and vacant lands. As part of the SAPA comprehensive plan, the growth management plan within that strongly encourages in-fill development in the City of Scranton and the land use plan identifies the City of Scranton as an employment center where jobs are going to be -- supposed to be under the plan funneled towards the City of Scranton to make use of the infrastructure that we have had that was built for a city that had 130,000 people at one time, we are down to

77, 78,000 at this time.

And, also, as you are all aware, the City of Scranton is a financially distressed municipality under the Act 47 and you know what the criteria better than I do of what it took to get to there. For all those reasons, I believe that the whole city should be designated as the deteriorated area for purposes both of these ordinances.

MR. ROGAN: We appreciate your recommendation, and just for background for the public, the City of Philadelphia has done the exact same thing that we are doing by designating the entire city and the project -- this program in Philadelphia has been a huge success so we are hoping for similar results here in Scranton.

Do any council members have a comment or a question before we open it up to the public?

MR. EVANS: Don, because there is two different Acts involved is that why we have to have two separate ordinances? Is that the issue?

MR. KING: No, the two separate

ordinances are -- I think that that was our own doing, one is for residential purposes and one is for commercial purposes.

MR. EVANS: More commercial.

MR. KING: It's probably a little cleaner doing it in two separate ordinances like that but you probably could have been done it once.

MR. EVANS: We just follow through with what we did two years ago.

MR. KING: Yes. When I said there is two different -- the law for the LERTA that says what defines a deteriorated area says you could use the criteria out of the Neighborhood Assistance Act or the Urban Renewal Law so you can mix and match the two of them but you just have to meet one of these criteria.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else? Our first speaker is Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, you people know how much I hate to be first. Before I attack council tonight I'd like to say I spoke to Tony Santoli, our forester, this afternoon just friendly wise, we keep up

1 with each other and --2 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Ellman, I don't want 3 to stop you, but this hearing is specific to 4 the LERTA. This is not the general council 5 meeting. MR. ELLMAN: Oh, I --6 7 MR. ROGAN: We are only talking 8 specific to the LERTA program, so if you'd 9 like to comment --10 MR. ELLMAN: I saw Mr. King's name 11 and I signed the wrong sheet. 12 MR. ROGAN: No problem. We will be back out here in about a half hour for our 13 14 regular meeting. 15 MR. ELLMAN: -- nothing. Fine me. 16 MR. ROGAN: What was that? 17 MR. ELLMAN: Now you got one over 18 me. 19 MR. EVANS: We are not going to fine 20 you. MR. ELLMAN: I see you laughing. 21 22 You will remind me of this. I'm sorry. 23 MR. EVANS: We'll see you later. 24 MR. ROGAN: No, no problem. Ιs 25 there anyone that would like to address

council regarding the LERTA?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Is this restricted to the boundaries?

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Pardon?

MR. EVANS: No, it's legislation.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I have a number of issues as soon as I find my brain here.

Well, I know for starters I would like to get a definition of -- well, first I'll do it the other way. Okay, last Wednesday's paper had a full page add for a property and in bold letters, all caps, "Tax incentives may be available on this unit."

I thought that's black or what, it either is or isn't. So I called the person who had listed this and I found out that you guys apparently, and I don't even know under what -- what it is, that's for tonight, under this non-providing for obtaining exemptions and providing for non-permissible exemptions and limiting amendment thereto. So this particular property was one that was upgraded back over ten years ago and the developer received a very large amount of

money for doing the 500 block of Lackawanna

Avenue and now it appears that we have

inserted something in these -- in what you

are going to vote on tonight such that any

developer who has an upgraded property and

hasn't sold it as yet would qualify for this

LERTA and tax abatement.

MR. ROGAN: No, that specific property, and Mr. Evans can correct me if I'm wrong, was a condo. So apartment to condominium conversions was something that was put into the three year abatement and that's what I think they are talking about in that advertisement.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Now, wait a minute, that one when that was discussed at a public hearing back in April, I think April 21st of 2016 it was described to Mr. Sbaraglia as a total build, it was new construction so --

MR. EVANS: Well, there was a couple of different things. Number one, there was new construction, that's the first premise.

The second premise was it was never sold so it was never titled, there was never a deed transfer so a certificate of occupancy was

never given on that particular unit so, therefore, it became eligible under the three-year tax abatement. It's new construction. It wasn't an apartment before, by the way, it was brand new construction and the interior of the building.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But that was over ten years ago, and according to that it said the you had -- you have to apply for the abatement at the time you take out the building permit.

MR. EVANS: Or if it's an apartment conversion or if it's a condominium or a townhouse or a home that was never sold so that was part of our legislation two years ago, and that might be the only townhouse or condo that applies to be perfectly honest with you. I'm not sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I mean, was it done -- has it been done before, I mean, for that property?

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, then it's moot. Then it should been two years ago

1 when this was passed; correct? 2 MR. EVANS: Yeah, that applied two 3 years ago, yeah. That particular --4 MS. SCHUMACHER: They applied two 5 years ago. MR. EVANS: That particular 6 7 condominium has been on the market for 8 almost eight years. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, yeah, I know, 10 I know they have been -- yeah, but I'm not 11 trying to set the hard time. Is it when you 12 take the permit out, is it ten years from 13 then? Is it --14 MR. EVANS: My understanding is, and 15 maybe Attorney Minora could chime in a 16 little bit, my understanding is when a 17 certificate of occupancy is issued is when 18 the abatement begins? 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, certainly 20 it's got a certificate of occupancy because 21 it's ready to be sold; right? 22 MR. EVANS: No. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: So it has to. 24 MR. EVANS: Well, it was never 25 occupied and I think that -- Don, I don't

know if you have any input on that, but I don't know if you get a CO until the property is actually inhabited.

MR. KING: That could be the case but usually -- and I'm not 100 percent sure about a condominium but I'm going to guess it works like a subdivision when you subdivide a piece of property into ten lots those individual lots don't start being taxed until they are sold so if you are the developer you are not taxed on ten separate lots you are still taxed as if it was one big lot.

MR. EVANS: That's true.

MR. KING: But as each of those lots are conveyed out the new owner that's when the taxing starts on those lots. I would think the condo is probably similar to that.

MR. EVANS: And that's true because all condominiums are basically right now -- right now that tax for that condo is being paid by the developer as part as the common area of the development. Once that is sold then the assessment starts. There is no assessment on the condominium right now

1 until it's sold. MS. SCHUMACHER: But there are like 2 3 apartments there that are --4 MR. EVANS: Yeah, there are 16 units 5 and there is 15 of them that are already sold and as soon as they are sold the 6 7 assessor goes down, assesses the property 8 for whatever value they come up with based 9 on square foot calculations that they 10 probably already have when it was subdivided 11 then that's when it starts. 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Oh, we are timed here? 13 14 If you want another MR. ROGAN: 15 minute, I don't know if anybody else is 16 going to speak on it. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll bring them up 18 during the regular meeting if you are 19 sticking to the five, yeah, because I do 20 have more. Thank you. 21 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there 22 anyone else would to like to address council 23 specifically regarding the LERTA program. 24 Any comments from council members before we 25 adjourn?

I'd like to thank our city planner, Mr. King, for coming in and we will be voting on final adoption of these resolutions tonight so thank you. Meeting adjourned. 

## $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER