F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, November 9, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	2 2 3, 303	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. 14 MR. ROGAN: I'd like a motion to take from the table File of Council No. 118-2017. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: Is there a second? 17 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? This 19 piece is being taken from the table and 20 placed in Seventh Order for final vote. Ιt 21 is the OECD 2018 Action Plan. Anyone who 22 wishes to speak on this particular piece of 23 legislation, may do so during Fourth Order, 24 citizens' participation. All those in favor 25 signify by saying aye.

	4
1	MR. PERRY: Aye.
2	MR. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	Dispense with the reading of the
8	minutes.
9	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
10	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
11	LACKAWANNA COUNTY LAND BANK HELD OCTOBER 13,
12	2017.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
14	comments? If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-B. CHECK RECEIVED
16	NOVEMBER 8, 2017 FROM COMCAST IN THE AMOUNT
17	OF \$267,137.57 FOR QUARTERLY FRANCHISE FEE
18	PAYMENT.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
20	comments? If not, received and filed.
21	Do any council members have
22	announcements at this time?
23	MR. PERRY: Yes. I have three brief
24	announcements, and I can't believe it's Code
25	Blue already, it just seems like it's too
	II

early in the year for that, but we are going to have in Lackawanna County at 6 a.m. on Friday November 10 and ending at 2 p.m., Saturday 11, they will be issuing a Code Blue, and again, just to remind everybody, a Code Blue is when there is temperatures of 20 degrees or lower, and in times of need there are two places where you can go for shelter, that is St. Anthony's Haven, 409 Olive Street, in Scranton. Hours there are 7:30 p.m. to 8 a.m. And the Community Intervention Center at 445 North Sixth Avenue in Scranton, 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. and there is also more information on these at Lackawanna County.org

The second one, and this is for Hallock's Hero's again, this is going to be coming up, this is an event which I have been talking about for the last month, it will be coming up a week this Saturday. It's going to be at the FOP Lodge, 401 Railroad Avenue. Again, this is for Officer Hallock who was diagnosed with a rare form of leukemia and it's definitely him and his family's time of need. It's going to be \$15

per person at the door and all money raised goes to help Chris and his family. So, again, please let's turn out and really be there for him because he, and like all of the police officers, are there for us all of the time. Thank you.

City Hall will be closed Friday,

November 10, in observance of Veteran's Day.

DPW is working tomorrow. There will be refuge and recycling collection. DPW will be off on Monday, November 13. Refuge and recycling will be behind one day next week.

On agenda items, just an announcement for next week, a public hearing for the 2018 general city operating budget will be scheduled for next Thursday, November 16, at 5:30 p.m. in council chambers.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Commissioner Laureen Cummings.

MS. CUMMINGS: Good evening, gentlemen, how are you? Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you all. First, I

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

want to congratulate Mr. Rogan and Mr.

Gaughan on reelection, along with the other newly elected candidates, and for those who didn't win you are successful because you

did run and try to make a difference in your

community, so thank you.

With that said, I'm here tonight to discuss reassessment. I'm understand Mr. Evans has stated he plans on asking the mayor to file a lawsuit on behalf of the city to force the county to perform reassessment. Today we received a letter from Mayor Courtright urging us to do the Sorry, I'm a little bit under the weather, I apologize. Recently, I set up informational sessions to discuss and educate citizens on reassessment and property taxes. I plan on continuing those meetings. I have been against reassessment, campaigned against it, and I'm still against performing one now. However, it is not just reassessment that I'm opposed to. It's the flawed property tax law that I'm completely against.

After seeing the results of both the

22

23

24

25

1

state ballot question and the county reassessment question, it is very apparent that I am not alone. A few reasons in regards to reassessment and, Mr. Evans, I would hope that you would correct me if I'm wrong on this. I'm under the impression there is only three reasons for reassessment, one would be an expensive and burdensome countywide reassessment. would be the newly constructed buildings. The controversial one would be a change in structure or building, for example, adding a garage or additional rooms to a home, but the controversial issue that starts when property is sold. A lot of people tend to believe that once it's sold or transferred that it's reassessed again

MR. EVANS: That's not true.

MS. CUMMINGS: Now, that's a spot assessment; correct?

MR. EVANS: Correct.

MS. CUMMINGS: So that is illegal?

MR. EVANS: It is illegal.

MS. CUMMINGS: That's the first problem I have with in regards to this

22

23

24

25

property tax law, a lot of people don't though that. And when I went around to the county and told them they were shocked to hear that their houses don't get reassessed on sale or transfer. So what is a municipality supposed to do then? Reassessments when they become inequitable, out of sync, as you all talk about and I agree with, well, the property tax law leaves it up to the municipality or the city to fight for their taxpayers when appeals from property owners occur. Manv municipalities, however, either have no idea that they can do this on reverse appeal, or they just cannot -- simply cannot afford to send solicitors to provide the defese needed to keep their appeals in check.

Therefore, according to statistics, even after a reassessment is completed, within one year it will be again unequal, unfair requiring yet another reassessment within a few years. This, in my opinion, is the very example of what doing the same thing over and over again and expecting different results comes into play. So then

what's the solution? Well, that's why I'm here this evening. I would like to ask this city council to first try to join the county commissioners along with other municipalities to lobby our state representatives to finally to do something at the state level to end property owner's and local government's frustration from this outdated and destructive property tax law that does nothing but divide our communities.

Now, I can stand here and go through a litany of reasons why I feel the City of Scranton is in the shape it is in, but you know what, that's not going to get my anywhere and it's not going to get you anywhere. You can complain about anything that happens to the county or other municipal levels, too, you're not going to get anyone anywhere. So I really would hope that you would at least consider an opportunity to solve this dilemma in positive way working together rather than fighting each other as well as putting your city or our county residents through yet

1 another lawsuit and expense that goes along with it. Thank you very much, gentlemen. 2 3 appreciate your time. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. 4 5 MR. EVANS: Thank you. MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, and we look 6 7 forward to doing something cooperative to 8 ease the tax burden on our residents. 9 MS. CUMMINGS: I absolutely do, too. 10 MR. WECHSLER: It's good to work 11 together on doing so. 12 MS. CUMMINGS: We are certainly 13 willing to do that. Thank you. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Joan Hodowanitz. 15 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, 17 city resident and taxpayer. 18 Congratulations, Mr. Rogan, Mr. Gaughan. 19 bet you are glad that is all behind you and 20 now you can focus on four more years. 21 Let's talk about the budget. I was 22 surprised to see Mr. Bulzoni in the caucus 23 in this room, I was pleasantly surprised 24 that you opted to bring him out here even 25 though there was a very small public crowd,

but it got televised. It's unfortunate, I don't know how many people knew that he would be in your caucus tonight and tune in at 6:00 and heard a little bit about the budget, but hopefully there will be more opportunities for the public in the next couple of weeks.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan, I'm just going to interrupt one second while giving the talk. Today actually was Mr. Bulzoni's last day with the city so that's why we wanted to have him here this evening, so that was the last opportunity for him to come as business administrator, that's why it was kind of unscheduled.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Understand. I, too, did a quick read of the budget, just printed it up this morning. I understand his comments about not wanting to be too specific about a possible Plan B if any of the lawsuits go against the city. The problem with that is I, as a taxpayer, I have to plan to pay the tax and I have to think in the future years about how big is tax bill going to be for me and that impacts

on me.

For example, 42 percent of the revenues for 2018 will be Act 511 local taxes, 8 percent will be the refuse fee so 50 percent of the projected revenues are coming from sources that are threatened by lawsuits, and that does not include a lawsuit concerning the sale of the Sewer Authority and those proceeds.

As you read through the budget you see that there are many assumptions that those proceeds are part of the budget process, so I get very uncomfortable when people don't really want to start discussing a Plan B because I still have to pay the tax however high or low it might be.

I, too, saw the rising health care costs. I hope you noticed that overtime is going up 4.5 percent over 2017 budget figures. I thought that overtime was something we were going to control with the new contract, maybe that is controlled. I saw the three new hires, the assistant city solicitor, \$44,500. He is being hired due to increase volume of activity in the Law

Department, I wonder what that is. A
Director of Parks and Recreation for
\$49,000, and the mayor is getting a
full-time administrative assistant. I also
looked at those non-union pay raises, and
the big ones are as follows: The zoning
officer in LIPS \$7,500 raise. The finance
manager in the BA's Office \$5,000. IT, only
three people work there, each one is getting
at least a \$5,000 raise and one is getting
\$5,650. City solicitor \$5,000. And the
Treasurer and Director of Human Resources
and DPW each get \$3,000.

Oh, Wayne, I noticed that all those special fees and permits under LIPS they are still there.

MR. EVANS: That's because we never got the information from LIPS so we'll see if they are there come January.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. Also, there is \$25,000 to develop the pocket park on Linden Street for green space, we haven't acquired that yet, have we?

MR. EVANS: Yeah. No.

MS. HODOWANITZ: That's still

1	tabled Ob bara's my \$20 where is my
1	tabled. Oh, here's my \$20, where is my
2	Knowel's insurance list?
3	MR. WECHLSER: We are holding out,
4	Joan.
5	MS. HODOWANITZZ: Yeah, Mr. Knowel's
6	gave \$200 to Mr. Courtright
7	MR. WECHSLER: Well, now you know
8	what you (unintelligible) the \$500
9	list.
10	MR. EVANS: Somewhere in-between.
11	MS. HODOWANITZ: Where is my Arcadis
12	study on storm water costs?
13	MR. EVANS: Mr. Bulzoni referenced
14	that, I think, we are looking at well,
15	according to him we are looking at the first
16	quarter of the year.
17	MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, that ought to
18	be factored into the budget.
19	MR. EVANS: It will be.
20	MS. HODOWANITZ: How much is it going
21	to cost to repair the tree house, have we
22	figured that out yet? We are still
23	contemplating our naval up there.
24	Okey-dokey. I'll see you next week.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Lenny Srebro.

MR. SREBRO: City council, Lenny
Srebro, resident. How about that, I have no
paperwork. You probably know my question,
wondering if anybody got anything to tell
me. Take up my whole five minutes and you
guys talk.

MR. PERRY: Unfortunately, I won't be able to do that, and the open thing that we were able to find out is with the invoice from the Fire Department that you received that you brought up, that invoice gets submitted to directly to your insurance company. That's not for you, that's not for personal payment, that all gets submitted to the insurance company.

MR. SREBRO: Okay.

MR. PERRY: As far as the other issues, I still haven't had any movement on that whatsoever.

MR. SREBRO: How about if I find a contractor that will flush that? I am looking into it myself. There is people out there that will do it and every time nobody else is doing it. I understand you are not getting any answers but, you know? Okay,

how about I find out what it's going to cost to flush that out and present it to council, what happens after that?

MR. PERRY: Well, I still think the issue is whose property is it? I don't even know if that's the city's property.

MR. SREBRO: Okay, then why did they come out and flush half of it and then never came back to do the other half?

MR. PERRY: That's because we asked them numerous times and I believe but, again, I'm just going off of what, you know, what I'm saying I'm not an expert on whose property that is, but they as a courtesy to us they went out there with the equipment they had on hand and just tried to take care of it what they have, and that's what was performed for us way back.

MR. SREBRO: But that was useless to do half of the drain. I don't understand why they even spent the money to do that. You know, you got to know by now I'm a car guy and if brought me your car and I only rebuilt half of the motor and gave it back to you what good does that do? You paid me

for rebuilding half of it, you know, but that's absolutely useless.

MR. PERRY: I believe they went out there, they did whatever they could for us what with they had. But until they could actually find out concrete who's responsible for that pipe, whose property is the pipe that's where they are now. They don't -- they can't go any further because they don't have the equipment to do it and they don't know by law if they could legally be on that property or they could legally hire someone to do that, sub contract it out until they find out who owns it, who installed it, and I believe that's where the stalemate is right now.

MR. SREBRO: I'm not really good at this and going to the courthouse for records and all of that, I'm sorry, I admit I'm a bit of a dummy, you know, like the right process of doing everything, but I don't understand why you can't go to the records or wherever you have to go to look and see who owns that property. Am I missing something? You know, that you can't go and

1 find that out? MR. PERRY: It should be there. 2 3 mean, it should be there and that's what 4 they were looking up. MR. SREBRO: Gezz, I think --5 MR. PERRY: Who owns it and who's 6 responsible for the property. 7 8 MR. SREBRO: Well, I believe that I 9 can go to the records and find that out in 10 about a half hour; am I right? So why is 11 this taking two years to find out who owns 12 it? MR. PERRY: I can't answer that. 13 14 don't know. 15 MR. SREBRO: Then who did I go to 16 to get that answer? That's what I thought 17 you guys are all about. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Here's what I don'tt understand, Mr. Srebro. 19 20 MR. SREBRO: Go ahead. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Truthfully. I don't 22 understand, and I have asked for this before 23 and I have asked for it to be put in writing 24 what the issue is and why they can't fix it 25 and they won't do that, but why can't the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mayor, the city engineer and the DPW

Director invite you to the mayor's office,

sit down and go over everything? You pay

your taxes; correct?

MR. SREBRO: Oh, on time every year, yup.

MR. GAUGHAN: Exactly, and if you didn't they would be coming after you. have an issue at your home, you have detailed here for the past few months, so, Mrs. Reed, if you could, send a letter to the mayor, copy the city engineer and the DPW director and can we please try to set up a meeting between Mr. Srebro the mayor and the city engineer and the DPW director and lay it all out on the table, figure out how to fix this problem because this is just bizarre at this point. And I'm not telling you anything you don't already know, but -you know, you come here every week and you are asking questions that are legitimate because this is your property and nobody can answer them for you. And then, you know, I think we are kind of getting jerked around, you know.

MR. SREBRO: I believe so, yeah, I agree with that 100 percent.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, so if we can please ask for a meeting, I will attend it as Chairperson of Public Works as well just so, you know, we can give you a finality and an answer. Can you fix it, can't you fix, where is the problem and where do we go from here, end of story. And if we could please have that by next Thursday, okay?

MR. SREBRO: Great.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. SREBRO: Great. Thanks.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

First and foremost, I want to thank the veterans and their families for their services, especially those who served in combat as part of their service. I also would like to recognize the grieving people from Texas and I would hope that that would have been acknowledged. I know when I went to the website today for the city not only were they still having -- were they still having -- they were still mourning Las Vegas

but there was not one word of sympathy or outpouring for what happened in Texas. I won't even say that. However, they are grieving.

I'm grieving personally because of the results of the election. I really and truly thought people wanted change. I was looking forward to some transparency and yes and -- I'll stop there. However, it's apparent that the voters do not want change, they don't want transparency, they don't want answers to question. They want more of the same old, same old, and I think that's really, really sad.

But onto business, I will address the budget, of course, at the public hearing, has that been scheduled?

MR. WECHSLER: Next week 5:30.

MS. SCHUMACHER: One of my questions will definitely be how many of the new recovery plan recommendations are addressed in the budget, and how many are are not, but I can subtract from the total.

Mr. Wechsler, do you have the date -- do you have the date for the NDC meeting?

MR. WECHSLER: No, not yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's been promised since August. Is it going to be this year?

MR. WECSHLER: It has to be this y

ear.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Has to be. Okay, do you have the number of Electric City parking garage parking spaces that were added in the early 90's?

MR. WECHSLER: No I do not.

MS. SCHUMACHER: When do you expect to get that?

MR. WECHSLER: I'm not sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So I guess I have to go down and do a count. Sad. Mr. Evans, as County Commissioner O'Malley has become the second of the commissioners to oppose property reassessment the gauntlet has been thrown, will you offering a motion tonight to both sue for a countywide assessment, reassessment, and a help test for all of Scranton's, if they don't want to do it for the whole county I don't care, but at least an HUP test for Scranton's federal tax exempt institution that are also property

1 tax exempt? 2 MR. EVANS: I will be offering a 3 motion on reassessment. I'm not up here to comment on the HUP test, but I'll certainty 4 consider that. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would 6 7 certainly hope so. If you recall, that was 8 in the last recovery plan. 9 MR. EVANS: I know --10 MS. SCHUMACHER: It's amazing what 11 you institute and what you overlook and that's my great fear for the Act 47 exit 12 13 plan. 14 MR. EVANS: Well, I asked for it to be in the recovery plan and I totally 15 16 understand that. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Also, do you have 18 the number of construction permits issued 19 since passage of the three-year property tax 20 abatement? 21 MR. EVANS: Five. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Five. And when was 23 that offered? When was that passed? 24 MR. EVANS: When was it passed? I 25 don't recall the date it was passed.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: The year, 2015? 2 MR. EVANS: No. I think it was 2016, 3 wasn't it? MR. WECHSLER: 2016. 4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: How long does that three year -- how does it run? Does it run 6 7 -- I mean, if somebody does it on the last 8 day before that law expires or --9 MR. EVANS: I think in most cases, 10 you know, you are going to finish the 11 project sometime during the year, so they 12 probably defer it to the following full 13 year. So if completed your home and brand 14 new construction in June of 2017 --MS. SCHUMACHER: It would only be --15 16 MR. EVANS: You are going to do it for 2018, 2019 and 2020. 17 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, so no matter 19 when you do it you get the three years? MR. EVANS: Yeah. 20 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Mr. Perry, would you please find out for me the money 22 23 that is being charged property owners even 24 though it goes to their insurance company 25 when there is a fire, what account does that

go into? Do you know, Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: No.

MR. ROGAN: It's a special account for the fire department. I remember when we set it up a few years ago I believe it was to fund equipment for the fire department.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Interesting. And what was collected on that last year, anybody know, what was the special account -- do you have the -- could we get the number?

MR. EVANS: I could get it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I'll be back for more next week.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Tonight I want to talk about something that's dear to me and I would like some reassurance from all of you that you will go along with this, I have been here before about this. Last week someone came to mention they wanted a been on pit bulls. We have been here before, but this person said he is going to keep coming back. I don't know if you realize that it's not the dogs, it's the owners, you know, and I worked --

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd see like 100 dogs a day and a little Poodle bit my leg. I had to get stitches. Should we ban Poodles? A Doberman nearly ripped my head off, do we ban Dobermans? They all have reasons and it's certainly not the dog, so I'd like some reassurance from this council that law will never be passed. Where did you stand on this?

MR. WECHSLER: Personally, as a dog owner, I believe people are responsible for their own dogs and no particular breed is worse than another, so I have seen pit bulls that are loving, I have seen -- I have a Westie who is adorable and sometimes he will growl at you, so I can't speak for anybody else on here, but I think people need more control on how people handle their dogs.

MS. FRANUS: I think there should be stricter laws on animal abuse and jail time. High fines. I mean, everybody talks about abuse of people, what about abuse of animals? And this person mentioned last week that it was very convenient that I left after he -- you know, before he spoke. Well, not like it's anybody's business why I

leave after I speak, but I have my boy home,
Mason, and I don't like to leave him.

That's why I go home and that's where -that's where I want to be. I come here and
say what I want and that's it.

But I hope you consider this because I understand this Pit Bull killed this other dog so everybody will be up in arms about that but, again, there is always reason for something and it's not the dog, go back to the owners. You see many of these macho men that sell drugs and want to protect their houses and they have their Pit Bulls and on chains, hooked up to trees and they should be looked into and they should be arrested, that's abuse.

MR. EVANS: I would agree that the issues is enforcement not necessarily the animal.

MR. FANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: The issue is enforcement not necessarily the animal.

MS. FRANUS: Yes.

MR. EVANS: I do agree with that.

MS. FRANUS: And the city animal

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

control should look further into owner situations.

MR. EVANS: Yes, she does a great job, but she is one person.

MS. FRANUS: That's good.

MR. EVANS: I think we need to do a better job and get her help.

MS. FRANUS: Great. And I hope another thing, even though it's Lackawanna County I hope you keep an eye and mention to her, but she goes there all of the time, to keep an eye on Griffin Pond Animal Shelter where they had this million dollar expansion and training rooms for the people and stuff like that, but they didn't have enough room for any animals so they killed them. they are saying they are not going to kill them unless it was medically -- well, let's see if that's going to stay true because I don't trust them one speck. They could have done what they did in the past for years and It's outrageous they even have a So I just don't want people going adopting animals and you keep on eye on them because they are basically a shelter for /AP

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mass and they are cruel to animals, they kill them for know reason, so to me they should be in jail. They shouldn't just be able to resign, they should be -- that's the problem, they break the laws and they don't -- nothing happens to them, they just walk away. So thank you very much and I hope I don't have to come back here for that reason again. Please, gentlemen, please don't ever enforce a law like that. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

Dave MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dobrzyn, city resident, taxes paid, fees paid. If anyone out there in TV land or here is interested in some real news out of Washington go online and go to DCReport.org with David K. Johnson, which brings me to the next issue. We had an issue on reassessment. The only reason I feel reassessment would benefit Scranton is so that we could put aside these lawsuits with taxes. We are based on what our appraised value is, it can only be 2 percent or something like that of our appraised value. Our appraised value is back in the 60's.

as much as I don't really feel that maybe \$156 is fair on an EMT tax, we have 35 percent tax exempt and the county is also responsible for that and the Dtate of Pennsylvania and they never seem to say gee, guys, do you think it's time that we cut Scranton a break? So I wish some of these people would stay after the comments, especially when you're a public official.

PILOTS, once again I heard about money down the black hole. Well, where do I get to direct my taxes paid to my favorite causes? When do I get to say I don't support that, and I don't think that we should be doing that. I was against banning cigarette in all sections of the park, well, it went -- didn't get my way on it. Why should I pay a police officer to be chasing around a wayward cigarette smoker, you know, if it's not a public safety problem, you know, but I have to. I have to pay my property taxes and if we want to spend \$10 million a year on that that's the way it is. I have to pay my taxes or I lose my house.

Parking Authority, my worse

the paper last week and we're tearing off
two stories of the Linden Street garage. If
there is anything you folks can do and the
mayor to put a stop to that stupid idea and
even worse yet tearing two levels of the
garage down and then on top of it when are
we going to see the elevator get fixed over
there? When? When they are done tearing
the two layers of the garage off two years
from now, four years now, who knows? I have
to take care of our friends and our family,
you know, construction.

nightmare came true on that. I picked up

And I heard a little rumor, or I hope it's a rumor on the per bag business that the \$300 is going to get inflated in order to get a per bag and, once again, I do not support any trash at the end of anybody's property in a bag. We should have a regulation can if we are going to have that per can, so it doesn't get drug around by animals, skunks, opossum, squirrels, go into it. They used to steal down at work, they used to steal the crust and they wouldn't eat the cheese and the pepperoni,

squirrels don't like pepperoni apparently.

So, you know, and congratulations to
everybody that won and condolences to people
that didn't make it. Hopefully, we will
have a more enlightened couple of years and,
by the way, Henry Amoroso strategies just
about everything is in Court or nullified so
we have to be careful as to what bill of
goods we buy into in the future. Thank you
and have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you. I have a couple of things tonight, hopefully won't be too lengthy. First, is in light of the past Scranton Times' article that made some references on possible fraudulent benefits to the SSA I would like to make the following motion:

I make a motion that a letter be sent on behalf of Scranton City Council to the Scranton Sewer Authority requesting them to enlist the Attorney General's Office to investigate any past benefit practices

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

within that Authority which would be in violation of the Pennsylvania Municipal Authorities Act.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: There is a motion on the floor and a second, on the question?

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. Ι would also like, if it passed, a copy of this letter sent to the Dunmore Borough Council. I'm asking for the Dunmore Council to also support this request. This is basically, as I spoke a little bit about last week, this isn't your -- I don't want this to be grandfather's Scranton politics, I don't want this to be your father's Scranton politics. This is our Scranton and this is just an affirmation that whether you are in the city or the school board or in a surrounding authority we can't tolerate any wrongdoing. Our taxes are too high, our rates are too high, and if there is any wrongdoing out there, you know, we are going to find it.

MR. ROGAN: On the question, I just want to address this and I'm glad that this

was brought up this week, the last couple of weeks we have seen two instances of this, one in the school district and one within the Scranton Authority and for those who don't know my full-time position is selling group health care to businesses, so I'm very familiar with these rules and non-employees are not allowed to receive health care benefits, end of story. 1099 subcontractor cannot receive health care benefits, so there is a receiver issue here with at least two instances and maybe more.

I think all of the city authorities can be looked into to see if this has been a rampant widespread practice in the past. I think we need to look at all of our authorities and I believe the school district need to take a deeper look as well. Generally, we have seen through the city's history when these type of things happen it's not one isolated incident, it is something that is impacted on.

Everyone out there knows how expensive health insurance is. It's one of the most expensive things, you know, to any

budget for a business or for an individual and any improper benefits that are given out must be stopped, we have to make sure there aren't any others. So I fully agree with Councilman Perry's motion.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. PERRY: Thank you. Also, I would like to be copied on communication with Lenny, my goodness, I feel bad for this guy. I hope we get some resolution for him, I hope Councilman Gaughan's request for a meeting comes true and I would love to see him get some kind of resolution. I know we have been working on it for awhile.

If we can also send a letter to the Business Administrator with a copy to the Fire Department on that special account and if we can just see what -- I don't know if

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they have the 2017 numbers, but if they are a running total of 2017 maybe a 2016 total and since that account was created to see how much it's generating and what it has generated.

I know Councilman Evans will speak more on the reassessment, or the lack therefore based on the vote, and the two commissioners that are not for it. have said many times, I am for the reassessment of Lackawanna County, it's long overdue, it's much needed and not only is it much needed for everybody in the county but more so here for the constituents of the City of Scranton, we are in desperate need So I was a little upset to see the ballot question go the way it did, even though I understand that it didn't have any teeth to it, and also to see to two commissioners come out against it which, you know, we kind of knew that's where the shoe was going to drop anyway, but I'll let Councilman Evans get more into that, but, you know, I'm full steam ahead when it comes to the reassessment of Lackawanna County and

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what we need to do to get there.

Finally, I just want to thank the business administrator, Mr. Bulzoni, for coming in today and giving us the rundown of the 2018 budget. Today, as many of you know, some of may not, today is his last day and let me tell you since my first day on the job I have never met somebody more professional, transparent and readily available than Mr. Bulzoni. He knew his stuff when it came to numbers and the budget and how to handle our debt. I think a lot of where Scranton was in the last three or four years and where it is now can be directly attributed to the efforts and knowledge that Mr. Bulzoni brought to this administration and brought to this city. I know the banking community has done a 180 when it comes to Scranton and their respect for us and I know a lot of that is attributed to Mr. Bulzoni's hard word, so I just want to thank you and good luck in the next endeavors and, you know, whoever takes over has big shoes to fill. That's all I have today. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a number of items to talk about tonight. I'd like to pick up where Mr. Perry left off and thank Business Administrator Bulzoni who has been a great resource for city council and for the city over it's four years. He is one of the smartest people I have ever worked with when it comes to financing, especially when it comes to the financial community, so I want to thank him for his service as business administrator and the next BA will certainly have very large shoes to fill.

Next, I want to talk a little bit about the double pensions. The police -- council received copies of the police reports. Attorney Minora, again, could explain the legal aspects much better than I can. There have been some more developments over the last week so I'll turn that over to Attorney Minora.

MR. MINORA: Yes. Judge Moyle signed an order that was prepared by state police counsel and does allow council to have

access to the state police report for the limited purpose of reviewing it with an eye towards preparing legislation to prevent a double pension award in the future without certain guidelines. She also in her order maintained that everything that is reviewed is to remain confidential and backs that up with Contempt of Court if it's revealed.

Now, we can obviously talk about things that have been publically put out in the newspaper or otherwise, we just cannot divulge anything directly from the state police reports itself. So I think it will be helpful, but we are limited that way, and we are limited because of CHRIA, I think I mentioned that before, criminal records act prevents police agencies from releasing investigative material to unauthorized personnel and it's a fairly long statute, but they have certain discretion and to decided to allow that discretion in our favor this time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can I just ask a question on this issue, Attorney Minora? So if we read the order and then we can't

Thank

1 discuss it or say anything about it, I mean, I just don't understand what would be the 2 3 benefit to --MR. MINORA: No, no, no. We just 4 5 can't reveal facts contained in the 6 investigative report. 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. 8 MR. MINORA: You can certainly say 9 based on what we have discovered in the 10 report we are going to propose certain 11 legislation or repeal certain legislation 12 that will be okay, you just can't say, you 13 know, we read the report and, you know, John 14 Doe said "X". Right, okay. 15 MR. GAUGHAN: 16 MR. MINORA: That would be revealing 17 the contents of the report rather than the 18 import behind the use of the report. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Got it. 20 you. 21 MR. ROGAN: On this issue, most 22 importantly to me is two items, one is 23 enacting reforms after reviewing this report 24 to make sure that a situation like this can 25 never happen again because there are a lot

of victims in this case, particularly the taxpayers in the City of Scranton and, believe it or not, also some of the recipients believed they were receiving a legal benefit. Now, we know it wasn't, but many of them had nothing to do with the issuing of it. Some did, and that's what we need to look into.

If the report reveals, you no he, more damaging items I would still support using our subpoena power to bring in key individuals from the Pension Board at the time or others who are involved in awarding these pensions, but we will see what the information reveals, but the most important thing is making sure that this doesn't happen again.

Regarding the reassessment, I want to start off by addressing some items

Commissioner Cummings mentioned. I will say that I do agree with part of what she mentioned regarding property taxes and property tax reform. I think all of us on this council are supportive of Senate Bill 76 which would be the elimination of School

Property taxes, but property tax reform has absolutely nothing to do with reassessment. Even if the school property taxes are eliminated and switched to another system you are still going to have assessments on your city and your county taxes. And particularly on county taxes, the residents of Scranton are being shortchanged.

I would also want to thank

Councilman Evans for his leadership on this issue. That leadership has been lacking from the commissioner's office, especially from Commissioner Cummings, who has used misinformation and scare tactics to put out a false narrative about what reassessment will actually do.

Also, on reassessment, and it was brought up during our caucus, the Act 511 taxes. Reassessment --if reassessment took place that lawsuit would be out the door. The only reason that lawsuit has any chance of succeeding is because it's based on assessments from 40 and 50 years ago. If those were even multiplied by the common level ratio or reassessed, there would be no

Act 511 lawsuit. So there are a number of reasons outside of a pure taxpayer issue on why reassessment needs to happen. I'm looking forward to Councilman Evans making his motion, I'll talk about it a little bit more then, but I fully support what he is doing and what he is doing to try and fight for the residents of not only Scranton but all of Lackawanna County.

Finally, I want to talk a little bit about the election. I normally don't talk about politics at meetings. I just want to thank the people of Scranton for their confidence in me reelecting me for another four years. I want to congratulate Councilman Gaughan on his win as well, and our new colleague, Mr. Donahue, who will be joining us in January.

I especially want to congratulate our mayor, Bill Courtright, who is criticized on a weekly basis, sometimes justified and many times not justified, from these council meeting. As we saw yesterday, the silent majority in this city has spoken. I know that Mayor Courtright dedicates every

day to making this city the best place he can and I'm looking forward to working with him and all of my colleagues for the next four years. So I want to congratulate him as well, and again, thank you to the residents of Scranton.

Finally, Veteran's Day coming up, I do want to thank all of our veterans who if it wasn't for them we wouldn't be here exercising these rights and we wouldn't have been able to have that election we had just yesterday -- or just Tuesday, so thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, I would like to congratulate publically Mayor Courtright on the second term as mayor and I look forward to working together with him for the betterment of the city. I spoke to the mayor personally today and did offer my congratulations over the phone. I would also like to recognize Jim Mulligan for stepping into the arena, giving a spirited run in the mayor's race, he and his family have a lot to be proud of.

Congratulations, also, to my council colleagues Bill Gaughan, Pat Rogan, and to Councilman elect Kyle Donahue. I will be looking to continue our work together and having Mr. Donahue join us in January.

I'd also like to briefly recognize

Council President Joe Wechsler, who won't be
with us next year, for his leadership since
the passing of Bob McGoff. It could be say
that Joe maybe sacrificed himself because he
stepped up to be the leader and, of course,
that's what good public servants do. So Joe
deserves our respect and our gratitude, so
thank you, Joe.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

All right, let's talk about reassessment.

MR. WECHSLER: Really?

MR. EVANS: Really. First of all, I want to read a letter, part of a letter the mayor sent to County Commissioner's today hand delivered, and I'm just going to read the last couple of paragraphs:

"I am disappointed that the board has failed to seriously address this incredibly important issue. I strongly

believe the residents and business owners that call Scranton home will benefit from a reassessment. I feel just as strongly that families and businesses looking to move into Scranton see the unfair property assessments and then look elsewhere. It is time for the county to treat this matter with the seriousness it deserves given how important reassessment is for our future.

Unfortunately, I'm not encouraged by recent statements that once again kick the can down the road.

Please consider this letter my request on behalf of the City of Scranton to you, the Board of County Commissioners, to begin the reassessment process in earnest. If I continue to see no progress at the county level on this issue, then I will be forced to consider all options available to me to see to it the county fulfills it's responsible. Sincerely, Mayor Courtright, Mayor Scranton."

So I would like to thank the mayor for sending that letter and being engaged in this very important discussion, so tonight's

comments on reassessment are brought to you by the number two and the letters "N" and "O". So for over four decades tax fairness has been held hostage by two votes. The failure of just two county commissioners over that timeframe to continually vote "no" on reassessment has brought us to this point.

It is possible in the last 40 plus years that the county has lost hundreds of millions of dollars in assessed valuation through the appeals process driven by the inequity in our county tax system. Scranton would have lost tens of millions of dollars in that same period, maybe more. This is both real and substantial tax dollars lost.

Additionally, we are trapped in an unfair two-tier system, tax system, that we cannot change without a reassessment. We have watched neighboring cities and counties experience growth that simply won't in a city and a county without a reassessment. We have a broken system that is unfair to property owners, municipalities, school districts and those who provide additional

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

growth to our communities through development and new construction.

We have an appeals process that because of a lack of reassessment protects the well-connected and well off and hurts those that we should be helping in the first place. Every expert, bar none, supports reassessment, but we continue to be held hostage by the number two and the letters "N-O".

So when I make the motion as expected tonight it's not done lightly or without forethought. I actually dislike the idea of litigation. We are a society that, quite frankly, is lawsuit driven and I find that regrettable, but we have a responsibility to our citizens to do what we think is the right thing for them and the city overall. When the people elected all of us to our positions on city council, they elected us to make the hard decisions for them. That is when the people spoke because we are a representative democracy and I do not believe the people truly spoke on the issue of reassessment on Tuesday, so I

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reject the results of a flawed ballot question already rejected by the courts. It is without a doubt a question that was crafted to drive out a "no" vote all while being supported by a false narrative.

The governing body of the City of Scranton is made up of two entities, the executive branches, which includes the mayor, and the legislative branch, which includes city council. Hopefully, we would want this initiative to be a partnership. We would want to work with the mayor and be united in a common goal of achieving tax fairness to our property owners and taxpayers, and if we lead I believe others will follow. I would expect school districts and other municipalities up and down the valley to join us in this endeavor. So with all of that said I would like to make the follow motion:

That we send a letter asking the Mayor of the City of Scranton on behalf of Scranton taxpayers and the City of Scranton to initiate a lawsuit in the Lackawanna County Court to force a countywide

reassessment. We continue to see no progress on this issue in the immediate future as per the mayor's letter.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on the floor and a second, on the question?

All those in favor -- oh, sorry.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, sorry, I thought somebody else was going to go first. I agree with everything that Mr. Evans just said and I have said before that I support reassessment. However, I'm going to vote against this motion for a number of different reasons:

First of all, I have a concern that the city is already involved now in three major lawsuits, the Act 51e, the trash lawsuit, rental registration, the Sewer Authority Municipalities act lawsuit, and there is probably even more. I think that we should investigate every other avenue, as the mayor rightfully put in his letter that now he is going to be forced to consider all options available. I would like to look at all options available before the city gets

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

involved in another lawsuit.

My concern, as I just mentioned, is the city is involved in three major lawsuits at this time and another lawsuit suing another governmental entity at this time may drag on for months, it will probably be appealed to Commonwealth Court and it's probably go to cost the taxpayers and the city a large amount of money. We'll probably have to hire outside council and also hire experts on the assessment issue. So my concern is that we would end up with more legal bills without a reasonable expectation that we would be successful, so while I agree with Mr. Evans' reassessment views, I think that right now would be a concern with getting involved in a lawsuit that may cost the city a small fortune to see it through. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I purposely did not put a hard date on it because I was aware of the lawsuits that were pending, so it is up to the mayor to make this decision, not city council, we are only asking him to get involved, and I don't

21

22

23

24

25

think it's really heavy lifting in a lawsuit because the truth of matter is we are the poster child for tax unfairness in the State of Pennsylvania. So I think any reasonable judge will rule in our direction and probably would be relatively speedily as well, so I'm comfortable with the motion and I think that, again, we are not putting a mandate on the administration as far as time is concerned, are we looking in the immediate future? I'm not sure if there is other things that the mayor is talking about that can be done we would support that. all want to get to the same place, but after 40 plus years do we really think the county commissioners are voluntarily going to do a reassessment? Sorry, I think not.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to reiterate my support for Councilman Evans' motion and regarding some of the questions that were brought up by a Councilman Gaughan, and I mentioned this earlier, the 511 lawsuit is based on property tax assessments so the lack of reassessment is the cause of that lawsuit. Again, and as

Councilman Evans mentioned, 40 years the county commissioners, and again, we put a lot of the blame on the current commissioners, but it's not just on them. You know, 40 years is over -- it's over 40 years, is 10 terms of County Commissioners. You think of, you know, Presidential year being four terms so think of how many presidents there have been in that time, how many county commissioners there have been and nothing has happened so we desparately need a reassessment.

I know the Chamber of Commerce has come out in favor of reassessment, welcomes business in the city and provide tax fairness, so I support this 100 percent, and again, I'd like to thank Councilman Evans for making the lack of leadership in the County Commissioner's Office that we have seen here tonight. So looking forward to voting "yes" on this motion.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, on the question,
I'm already on the record by being for the
reassessment, I think the key word that
Councilman Evans said was voluntarily. We

have waited, you know, a bit patiently this last year to see how this referendum was going to play out and I think it will play out exactly how we thought it was, not in our favor, so what choices do we have left? I don't think -- I don't think there is any other way other than to force their hand to make this happen for the citizens of Scranton. So, again, I'm in favor of this motion.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, in terms of the reassessment, by the state constitution the county is required to do an assessment, reassessment. You can't be for it or against it. I'm against taxes, I'd love to say I'm against taxes and we are not going to have them any more either. This is something you are obligated to do. It's not a question of being for it or against it. It's something that we are required to do.

Our hands are tied as the council, as a city. Mayor Courtright has stepped up, we were kind of waiting to see what the results were going to be and I would have asked any other person who took over the

Thank

mayor's office to do the same thing. 1 2 city has to stand up to represent us. 3 are responsible for the City of Scranton, so I support Mr. Evans' motion, I support the 4 5 mayor's effort to get this done. wants to go to Court. Some of the suits 6 7 that have been brought in the city have been 8 brought by citizens and we can't control 9 that, so we are going to have to deal with 10 every lawsuit that comes our way, but I 11 think this is a lawsuit that we may have to 12 instigate to protect the citizens of 13 Scranton. 14 All those in favor signify by saying 15 aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 16 17 MR. EVANS: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 20 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 21 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 22 so moved. You still have the floor, Mr. 23 Evans. 24 MR. EVANS: I'm sorry, I forgot where

we were. That's all I have tonight.

25

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you very much. Thank you, gentlemen.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you. Just two brief things, I would like to -- and I already mentioned this to Mrs. Reed, set up a meeting with the executive director of the Area on Aging regarding the issues that I have mentioned over the last few weeks with the residents of the Greenridge Senior Apartments so we are trying to set that meeting up. We have hot heard back from this gentlemen yet regarding the letter that council sent last week on all of those issues that were raised, so we are going to start here and then see where this goes, but I continue to be concerned about all of those issues that have been brought up.

The second thing, and it was mentioned earlier tonight, I do believe a meeting or a public caucus with NDC and ABM who are running the parking garages in council chambers open to the public to give us an update on all of the issues and the things that have transpired over the last year in relation to the parking garage, the

process that has been made and then what's going to happen in 2018 and what their plans are. I think that would be beneficial not only to the council but to the public because there a lot of things that are going on behind the scenes.

And, finally, I just want to wish everyone a happy Veteran's Day on the 11th, this Saturday. I'd like to thank all of the veterans for their sacrifices that they have given to this country and I'd also like to wish a happy 242nd birthday to the United States Marine Corp, and I want to thank thigh dad for his service. He is an United States Marine and Semper Fi. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. This evening I would like to
take the opportunity to congratulate Mr.

Rogan and Mr. Gaughan. I have worked with
them for the past four years. They are
dedicated servants, they put the extra time
in that's needed and they serve their public
well. I would also like to congratulate
Councilman-elect Donahue. He was here this
evening so I gave him a little sample of the

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

budget so he could start his homework on that and I do wish him the best of luck. I will be here until the end of the year and I would continue to support city council in any way I can. Any questions that my current colleagues have or any questions that Mr. Donahue may have I'll be happy to answer them as well.

I would like also to congratulate Mayor Courtright for his victory and I also would like to thank Mr. Mulligan for his efforts. In a campaign such as this we had a healthy debate about the city, really starting Mr. Mulligan never stopped running from when he lost four years ago. We have had a healthy debate over the past four years debating issues that really have impacted the city and the city is in better shape than this. I don't necessarily agree that we should criticize the electorate. The electorate picks who they pick and, you know, in our democracy I do believe that you have to respect the will of the people as they vote and criticizing people for how they voted I don't think does any good with

that.

1

25

2 In terms of the package that we are 3 going to receive from the pension investigation, I am quite concerned about 4 that dealing with the fact that it requires 5 the utmost security, so as we deal with 6 7 this, and I'll talk to Attorney Minora about 8 this, I'm actually a bit concerned with 9 having that report in this building. 10 have high levels of security in our office, 11 our office is always locked. Mrs. Reed's office is locked after we leave, but I'm 12 actually thinking it might not be a better 13 14 idea to keep it somewhere in your office where you have security of it and we read it 15 16 there. I'm not sure if it's overkill or not, but I'm not sure how the order set that 17 18 I just want to make sure especially 19 because as there is only going to be I 20 believe the five of us that are currently 21 that are allowed to look at it, and I'm not 22 sure I think there was some mention about 23 employees of the council office as well 24 being allowed to look at it?

MR. MINORA: Yeah, I'm not sure

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's in the order or necessary for us to do.

MR. WECHSLER: Okay.

MR. MINORA: Let me work on the security of that because it's actually my Court order, my responsibility, and we can discuss that.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, especially if it's going to come -- let's say it comes the last week of the December, I'm not going to be here anymore so it doesn't make sense for me to look at if, you know, it's going to carry over and since I won't be able to do anything with it anyway, and also the other councilmen would have the right to read it or not read it. If we choose not to read it, that's our right as well. I would encourage everyone to read it so we can get the most out of this as Councilman Rogan has talked about, we want to prevent this from happening in the future, but I am concerned -- I don't even know what's in it so I don't know if there is names --

MR. MINORA: Right.

MR. WECHSLER: It says investigative,

I don't know what's in it and I do believe that since there is litigation still concerning people receiving that I personally don't want to be involved in anything that may, you know, have an impact on us either positive or negative. So when you get it we'll talk about and see how we want to handle it.

MR. MINORA: Exactly. We don't have it yet and when I do I think we need to sit down and talk about a couple of things and that would be in executive session because it effects a number of different litigation problems.

MR. WECHSLER: And it is very important that we take steps to, not so much do anything about what happened, but prevent any further instances of this and that's our responsibility as a council and to me that's the benefit of this, not looking back but looking forward --

MR. MINORA: Right.

MR. WECHSLER: And, like I said,
Councilman Rogan has taken the lead on this
and I applaud him for that, but we have to

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be very careful with this information. It may be one piece of paper.

MR. MINORA: I have no idea. They haven't told me anything.

 $\label{eq:mr.wechsler} \text{MR. WECHSLER: Like I said, we will} \\ \text{work on that.}$

MR. MINORA: Okay.

MR. WECHSLER: The last time I would like to talk about tonight, and I mentioned as well, Business Administrator Bulzoni was here. The one thing that he talked about, which I think is crucial going forward next year is the dialogue between city council, the mayor's office and the Business Administrator's Office. Mr. Bulzoni took the initiative to have the Business Administrator's Office do much more than it was really responsible for. Mr. Bulzoni has taken the lead on several projects that we're seeing the benefit of. He really took the lead on really the lighting. He took the lead on how to do paving. He took the lead on the Novembrino splash park and he was a wealth of information to me. he was a wealth of information to other

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

council members, and his best intentions were to improve the city. I think he did that. I think his mark will be left on the city forever and I appreciate the services that he gave us and I wish him good luck in his other ventures and he made a mistake of leaving his phone number, so I'm sure we will be calling him as we can.

And I think that's all I have this evening. Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. 5 - B . FOR INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTES, SERIES OF 2018 IN THE AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,750,000; PROVIDING FOR THE DATE, INTEREST RATE, MATURITY DATE, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, PAYMENT AND PLACE OF PAYMENT IN RESPECT OF THE NOTES; ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B" FROM THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION NAMED THEREIN FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE NOTES: NAMING A SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY/PAYING AGENT; AUTHORIZING THE PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER THE NOTES AND CERTAIN OTHER

1	DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION
2	THEREWITH; AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE
3	PREPARATION, CERTIFICATION AND FILING OF THE
4	NECESSARY DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF
5	COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE
6	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; SETTING FORTH
7	A FORM OF THE NOTES.
8	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. EVANS: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. PERRY: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
23	AN ORDINANCE - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE
24	EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE
25	PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF

JANUARY, 2018 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2018 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2018.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

Just a couple of things. First of all, I haven't really had a chance to review this in full because we only received the budget -- I only received the budget on Wednesday. I would have liked to have received it a lot sooner so that we would have been even more prepared to have a more -- an even more in-depth discussion with the business administrator, so I was disappointed on that front.

I also continue to be disappointed in a way that Mayor Courtright does not show up to this budget presentation for a number of different reasons, the major one is that this is his budget. He signs the dotted

line when it becomes the budget in finality and I think it had be beneficial, if we are talking about a transparency and keeping an open line of discussion that he comes here to council with the business administrator to discuss his policies, his programs, and the initiatives that are included in this budget.

So I think moving forward we really should ask him to do that because I think it would not only be beneficial to this council but for the public to hear from the mayor because this is the budget that he along with the business administrator puts together and it's a reflection on his administration and what direction it's going to move in the next year.

I'll be able to prove further comment once I have done a thorough review of the budget in the next two weeks. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1	MR. EVANS: Aye.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
4	ayes have it and so moved.
5	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
6	correct two typographical errors as found
7	within the budget on the following line
8	items:
9	Number one, 01.310.31110, real
10	estate transfer tax 2.70 corrected to read
11	2.20.
12	Two. 01.380.38004, miscellaneous
13	revenues, PA LCB license fees 2018 operating
14	budget total \$38,600 corrected to read 2018
15	operating budget total \$37,600.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on
18	the floor and a second, on the question?
19	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.
	II

1	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
3	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
4	AND ENTER INTO A TRAIL MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT
5	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA
6	HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR THE
7	MAINTENANCE AND UPKEEP OF THE LACKAWANNA
8	RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL (THE "TRAIL").
9	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
11	introduced into its proper committee.
12	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
13	MR. EVANS: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
15	those in favor of introduction signify by
16	saying aye.
17	MR. PERRY: Aye.
18	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
19	MR. EVANS: Aye.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved.
23	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - AN
24	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
25	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE THE

1	DEED CONVEYING TITLE FROM THE CITY OF
2	SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY TO THE CITY OF
3	SCRANTON, THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 REAR
4	SOUTH WASHINGTON AVENUE, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON, AS
6	MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED
7	HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.
8	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. EVANS: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. PERRY: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
23	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
24	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
25	ENTER INTO AN ADDENDUM TO THE CONTRACT

1	BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND CC CLEANING
2	SERVICES FOR JANITORIAL SERVICES FOR
3	SCRANTON CITY HALL AND THE SCRANTON POLICE
4	HEADQUARTERS.
5	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
7	introduced into its proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. EVANS: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
11	those in favor of introduction signify by
12	saying aye.
13	MR. PERRY: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
18	ayes have it and so moved.
19	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 6-A.
20	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
21	121, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE
22	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
23	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT
24	WITH GAR MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION ("GAR") TO
25	EXTEND THEIR CURRENT LEASE WHICH IS SET TO

1	EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 28, 2017 FOR AN
2	ADDITIONAL YEAR FOR A PORTION OF THE
3	BASEMENT OF CITY HALL TO BE USED AS EXHIBIT
4	AND MEETING SPACE.
5	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
6	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. EVANS: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I
12	would like to make a motion to amend Agenda
13	Item 6-A to extend the lease agreement with
14	the GAR Memorial Association to a five-year
15	period beginning November 28, 2017, and
16	ending November 28, 2022.
17	MR. WECHSLER: The motion fails for
18	lack of a second. All those in favor
19	signify by saying aye.
20	MR. PERRY: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -1 2 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 122, 2017 - AN 3 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 4 65, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY TRANSFERRING 5 \$14,499.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 6 7 01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 8 EXPENDITURES CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 9 01.020.00000.4201 (OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK/CITY COUNCIL-PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO 10 PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE PAYMENT 11 12 OF FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS THROUGH THE 2017 BUDGET PERIOD. 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 17 pass reading by title. 18 MR. EVANS: Second. MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 19 A11 20 those in favor signify by saying aye. 21 MR. PERRY: Aye. 22 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 23 MR. EVANS: Aye. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The

1 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -2 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 123, 2017 - AN 3 4 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 65, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL 5 CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY TRANSFERRING 6 \$200,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 7 8 01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 9 EXPENDITURES CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO. 10 01.051.00082.4448 (DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING, INSPECTIONS & PERMITS-BUREAU OF BUILDINGS 11 12 PAWC-WATER) TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE PAYMENT OF PAWC-WATER INVOICES 13 14 THROUGH THE 2017 BUDGET PERIOD. 15 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 16 by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure? 17 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C 18 pass reading by title. MR. EVANS: Second. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. GAUGHAN:

1 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? ayes have it and so moved. 2 3 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A -PREVIOUSLY TABLED - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 4 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FOR 5 ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NUMBER 6 118-2017 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 7 8 APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 9 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR 10 COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS 11 12 TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 13 BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT 14 PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE 15 16 PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2018. 17 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 19 Committee on Community Development? MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the 20 21 Committee on Community Development, I 22 recommend final passage of Item 7-A. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 Roll call, please?

	76
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
2	MR. PERRY: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
11	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER