_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, November 2, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD GESTOIAL COURT BERGETS	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with 14 the reading of the minutes. MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX 15 ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES 16 17 HELD OCTOBER 11, OCTOBER 18, OCTOBER 19 AND 18 OCTOBER 25, 2017. 19 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 20 comments? If not, received and filed. 21 MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE 22 23 AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING HELD JUNE 15, 24 2017. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. SINGLE TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT 2016-2017 YEAR TO DATE OCTOBER 31, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one. It is for the Hallock's Heroes. Again, I've been reading this for the last couple of weeks, it's a topic near and dear to my heart. Chris Hallock is diagnosed with a rare form of leukemia and the FOP is having a fundraiser for him and his family. It's going to be Saturday, November 18, from 6 to 10 at the Scranton/Dunmore FOP Lodge and that's at 401 Railroad Avenue. It's \$15. Tickets can be purchased at the door and they'll be having all sorts of fundraising there baskets, 50/50. They will be selling t-shirts and bracelets, so please make an effort to come help and support Chris who is always out this helping us in our time of need. Thank you.

24

25

MR. ROGAN: I have one as well. The application period for the Home Assistance Program know as LIHEAP opens today. program, I think many in our community know about and provides crises grants up to \$500 for families and in immediate danger of losing heat and it also provides grants for those who have a hard time paying their heating bills. The program determines eligibility based on annual income household Families whose income listed at 150 size. percent of poverty level are eligible for heating assistance grants. That means a single person earning no more than \$18,090 can receive a heating assistance grant. A family of five with a total household income of \$43,179 or less is eligible. Weatherization grants are also available on 200 percent of the poverty level.

Those eligible may pick up applications at Lackawanna County Assistance Office located in the State Office building at 100 Lackawanna Avenue, or you can call 11-866-857-7095 and I do know that Representative Marty Flynn's office assists

people as well to fill out those applications, so if you think you might be eligible it's definitely worth looking into.

MR. WECHSLER: An executive session was held this evening to discuss a matter of litigation. Daylight saving time begins this Sunday, November 5. Please remember to turn your clocks back one hour. As usually recommended, change the batteries in your smoke alarms as well.

Next week City Hall will be closed on Tuesday, November 7 for Election Day and on Friday, November 10, in observance of Veteran's Day. DPW workers will be off on Tuesday, the 7th. Refuse and recycling will be one day behind next week. They will be working on Friday, the 10th.

Also, on the November 10 there will be free concert for veterans. It will be held at the Lackawanna College theatre at 7e p.m. The concert will be presented by David Stone. He will be presenting the Johnny Cash experience. It's a free concert. Donations are accepted and they will be going towards the Scranton Veterans Memorial

1 monument. MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. 2 CITIZENS' 3 PARTICIPATION. 4 MR. WECHSLER: As we mentioned last 5 week, I cannot restrict what is said at the podium. People are free to speak as they 6 7 will. I would ask them to keep their comments away from personal criticism and 8 9 insults. Like I said, I can't control what 10 is said, but I do believe that that podium 11 should be respected. The speakers who 12 follow the rules here they should be 13 respected and, like I said, please keep your 14 comments away from personal attacks. Sean Bingham. 15 16 MR. BINGHAM: Good evening, 17 gentlemen, ladies. I'm here tonight --18 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Bingham, could 19 you state your name? 20 MR. BINGHAM: Mr. Sean Bingham. 21 MR. WECHSLER: State your name and 22 the city that you reside in? 23 MR. BINGHAM? Pardon me? 24 MR. WECHSLER: And the city you 25 reside in.

23

24

25

MR. BINGHAM: I reside in the City of Scranton. My name is Sean Bingham. a taxpayer and I'm a business owner in the City of Scranton as well. A few months ago I did some work for myself, because no one else seemed to want to do it, in regards to the Licensing and Inspections Department of the City of Scranton. It goes as follows: In 2012, Chris Doherty entered into an agreement with NEIC to perform inspections for our city. So in 2015, February 6, it automatically renewed. The problem is in Article III or Article IV, it states: "That Scranton agrees to pay the contractor in full for furnishing all of the services stated within," which means all of their inspections; fair?

All the way in the back of the contract it states that NEIC agrees to reimburse the City of Scranton 50 percent of all inspections performed. I did another Right-to-Know and I come up with \$512,000 to the city of Scranton, which is \$512,210.94 to NEIC to perform the inspections in the city.

25

Now, it wouldn't be so bad other than the fact that as a contractor, and we can take Mrs. Jones, who is a resident of the city, we are paying twice for what we used to pay one time, a fee. License Inspections a Permit Department you would pay a fee and then the inspection would be included. So along the way here somehow, bottom line is this, there is a \$250,000 line item budget in the budget for third party inspections, okay? Reimbursement to me, gentlemen, is this. Run over to Boscov's, get me a pair of work boots and I'll reimburse you when you come back in. It states in the contract as well. performance of work and services here then the contractor shall act solely as an independent contractor, nothing contained or implied shall at that time be so construed as to create the relationship of employer/employee or partnership, but I beg to differ. 50/50, I don't know how anybody else describes it, to me is a partnership. What would you say to that? Would you say to that. It's your job, city council.

agreed with this, and I can tell you who signed it February 6. It says proposals were opened, proposals meaning plural, right? There was one bid. One bid. I have it. One bid. It's called a monopoly. We used to be able to use Joe Gabella, BIU. I contacted BIU this week, they were not aware that this was already put out for bid, so I went to the Right-to-Know Office where I was told Right-to-Know is a pain in the you know what. Well, I big to differ there, also. I'm a resident, I pay taxes. So they don't like me there, and that's fine with me, but

Whoever was on here into 2012,

I'm not here to --I'm simply here to say this. Let me give you an analogy real quick. There was a condemned house, this lady up on East Elm Street on the top. An orange sticker gets put on her house, that's \$250 to get that house off of condemnation.

NEIC takes \$125 and gives the City of Scranton \$125. So that being said, the city has already had \$125. She needed a 4 by 4

I have all of the stuff I needed right here

and this isn't against anybody in general.

post installed to hold up -- and I have all the paperwork, to hold up the porch. So I go up to her she has \$50. Fifty bucks. So I said, "Ma'am, listen I'll put the post up for you, no problem."

I come down to the city, because you have to get a permit at that point, and it cost \$30 to pull the permit. The post was The shoe to fit under the post is \$6. So if you do the math the \$50 is already wiped out. So I then in return have to give NEIC \$75 to come out there and inspect that again? So they pay \$37.50, and they give it to the city. So go 30, 37.50 is 67.50 and \$125 you are at \$195. So let's do the math. Mr. Jones got her house fixed for the \$50. The City of Scranton pocketed almost \$200. NEIC got \$125 and then \$37.50, which is \$162.50, Sean Bingham got negative. Is it fair? I don't get it.

This is going to renew. It says it automatically renews in three years, you can get this right over -- I could give it to you. I'll e-mail it to every of yous. The bottom line is this, when this renews in

2018 without being done, I'm not stopping here at this office, I just wanted to enlighten yous all because I tried enlighten Jessica, the city attorney, and I went in there on Thursday and her secretary stated to me, "Mr. Bingham, we do -- Mondays we do the week's schedule so we'll fit you in."

So I walked in today Thursday,

November 2, and I said, "I guess I wasn't

that important, huh? Well, remember I'm

going to city council tonight and it will be

quite interesting, but I'm not going to stop

here."

She, said, "No, I told you --"

I said, "No, verbatim what you told me is this. On Monday you will get me in the schedule, you didn't."

So I didn't want to come down here and waste anybody's time, but I'll be honest with you, you know, I'm a hard working father, I have three kids.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bingham.

MR. BINGHAM: Thank you. Can we do something about it? Mr. Gaughan, you have

an e-mail address I can get off of you?

MR. GAUGHAN: Sure.

Gaughnbill@gmail.com.

MR. BINGHAM: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Tonight what I want to say I hope everybody pays close attention to because of the election on Tuesday, November 7. I have been watching Mayor Bill Courtright for years since he has been a councilman and here are a few of the things that I take note of that I think anybody should know.

Bill Courtright started as a councilmen and many times he voted for Mayor Doherty's million dollars loans like the \$5 million loan for the parking garage. He does it very quietly, he doesn't say much, but he still passes that vote. Then when he became a tax collector he ran on the platform that he would run on like his predator -- listen to me, previous person, saying that he would not comingle the funds. Well, for about three years Mr. Courtright

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

commingled the funds. What happened was we had to take him to court and he stopped but we had to go to court to stop him.

Then he hired -- when he first took office he hired Bulzoni, Dave Bulzoni from out of town and a year and a half later it was brought up by Joan Hodowanitz that he never got a waiver, so council gave him a waiver but that was illegal. The waiver was supposed to be before you get hired, so what do we do, took him to court, city court about Dave Bulzoni. The judge ruled he was working here illegally. He was supposed to get the waiver before so in my mind he's still here illegal because he -- he is still here illegally, so anything that Mr. Bulzoni signed I would think is illegal. All you had to do, and I told you this but you never did it, was fire him, hire him the next day with a waiver before you hired him and he would be here legally. So right now everything he has done could be illegal and that was Mayor Courtright taking care of his friend for all of these years.

And then we have the garbage fee.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mayor Courtright since 2014 kept the garbage fee at \$300. He didn't have to, but he did and this garbage fee went to the general fund, but three years on trying to get a Right-to-Know answered, and they still haven't give it to me in the proper ways, to get the information what is in the general fund, and what it turns out is the money in the general fund is for everything that the city pays, all of the bills. They were using the garbage fee money to pay all their bills, not just garbage-related bills, which is the way it's supposed to be by law, another law that Mayor Courtright broke. Then we have the -- oh, and then it went to That's in court rather. That's in court. court right now, so that's energy thing Mayor Courtright did that brought court action, that's to be determined.

The rental registration fee, Mayor Courtright he is in court now because of that, because of the high cost that was probably illegal, okay?

Then we get the sewer deal. The sewer sale and the loans that were illegally

paid, in my estimation, Municipal
Authorities Act, Mayor Courtright violated
that law. That's also in court. Everything
that mayor Courtright is done has gone to
court and we, the taxpayers, may end up
paying a huge amount of money to get this
money back. Where it's going to come from I
have no idea.

He also didn't put up out to bid his lawyer's fees for the Scranton Sewer

Authority sale. Another law he violated in the city code. That was supposed to go out to bid, it didn't. That's included in the lawsuit.

Now, the 511 tax, the judge ruled,
Judge Gibbons ruled that that's illegal.
That's another thing that Mayor Courtright
kept taking the money from the people that
worked in the city. That also is illegal.

So do you get the pattern here?

Everything that Mayor Courtright has done since he has been a tax collector has been illegal and he has had to be taken to court. People had to take him to court and pay their own money to fight this man who did

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everything wrong in the city. So when all of these judge decisions come back here's the thing say, for example, we get money back from the garbage fee because we paid too much; right? Mr. Wechsler said last week, well, all of this money has to be paid back the only way to get this money back is to pay high property taxes; right? So here we are, the taxpayers, are going to get money back because we have it coming to us legally, but now all of a sudden the money we get back will be put back into the city and the property taxes will makeup for the money they just gave us that they owed us. Now, that to me is like double taxation and should be illegal. So for anybody to say that we are going to pay for the money we just got back that we had coming to legally is totally beyond me.

So I really hope this November 7, we only have one option, that is to go out and vote. That's the only way we can get rid of Mayor Bill Courtright because are only the things that we know how about. How about all of the other things we don't know about?

He just happened to get caught with all of these and the courage of people to take him to court to prove that he was wrong. So if he want to continue four more years of Mayor Courtright think very hard before you vote on Tuesday. That's our only opportunity to make things right in this city. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I want to go back just for a moment to the first gentlemen that spoke this evening. I think it just an example of total frustration that most of the residents of this community have at this time. No answers, no solutions, empty promises, empty suits for the most part, and this has been going on for a long time in this community. I mean, myself personally I have been coming here for a long time, as have many other people that come here and participate each week.

You know, we get criticized and people want to call names because we come forward and we are concerned, but we are not the ones that have sat on the sidelines like

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

most of the residents of this city have done for a very long time, for decades, and yet they whine and cry when they see the current financial state of this city. Yet, tonight we need to ask yourselves one question, what did you ever do to protect your own interest rather just sit back and let it happen?

You know the few people that come out and vote I give them credit, but the problem is those few people that do come out and vote in most instances are the donors and the special interests of these candidates that are handpicked by these people and that's why we are in the situation we are in today because the average every day Scrantonian they have given up, they are frustrated. The seniors, they have had it. They have nothing left to I deal with every day in my job in the federal government, I deal with these people, and I feel very bad for them, I really do. How did you expect a 70 year old to live on \$500 a month in social security and then they are have \$134 taken away for their Medicare? Does that ever come into

your mind when you making your decisions ever? Not just you, but the mayor, anybody in city government? Because I really don't think it does.

And if you really want to educate yourself go walk through the city, as I'm sure a lot of you have done at this point because that's typically this time of year is the only time you will do that is when it's election time and we are begging for votes. Not all of you, but for the most part the good majority of the people in the city who run for office so you should see it. Go down a city block and every house is for -- every other house is for sale.

Porches are falling apart, but yet we got inspectors out there right now with these ridiculous quality of life tickets, oh, \$100, your porch is falling apart. "Oh, you didn't cut your grass today, Mrs. Smith, \$100."

That' those bull crap, okay? That's bull crap. And you know what I would do if I was Mrs. Smith, I would take that ticket and I'd go you know what there you go,

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's what I'd do with the ticket.

We need real solutions. The budget needs to be cut. This council hasn't offered anything. The council majority hasn't offered anything and, quite frankly, this mayor hasn't done anything productive either. He sat on council for six years and he was part of the problem. Voted for massive borrowing and tax increases, and we can go on and on, and I'm not here to make personal attacks, I'm here to speak about facts and reality. Look at the sewer deal. I think it was Mr. Gaughan last night at the council debate, which I was only able to watch a few minutes of it because truthfully I had to turn it off. I mean, it was actually comical to see that that's the selection we have to choose from, but I thought you did a great job though, Mr. Gaughan, and you brought up a good point. You know, the mayor told us we need to trust -- both trust the attorneys. Well. let me tell you, that is beyond scary. mean, you know, you want to talk about Halloween. That is just nightmarish to

21

22

23

24

25

think that we are going to trust the attorneys who just made great -- are you kidding me, I'd love to have a payday like that \$20,000 in one month. Geez, sign me I'm sure a lot of residents in this community that are barely surviving will do the same thing and they are driving around living luxurious lives and they are just laughing, too, because they know how stupid we are that we got people in positions here that are stupid. We have a mayor downstairs who is delusional, okay? And it's just we bring in one consultant after another. still don't -- we were still never told where Mr. Amoroso came from, the great Messiah. Who really brought him here? I know the answer but I just want to see if any of you do, where did he come from? Where did Mr. Amoroso come from?

I mean, you know, it's just at this point I don't know where we go. You know, Tuesday the residents have a choice to make, a decision. It's a difficult decision. Do we want to take the city back and try to make it solvent again or are we just going

20

21

22

23

24

25

to keep kicking the same can down the road and getting the same result. I mean, the people in this community can't take it They have nothing left to give and anvmore. when the Courts tell you that this sewer deal went down the wrong way and this city is on the hook for all of that money you know who is going to pay for it all? people watching tonight. The people that sit home and laugh week after week and don't vote and think it's all a big game. Well, guess what, you got a nice little game coming to you soon and let me tell you it's not going to be pretty and you only have yourselves to blame. So I tell you get out Tuesday, get out and vote and make a change and maybe we can take our city back and save Thank you. it.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city residents and taxpayer. Well, it's five days to the election and the only thing I want to say about the election is four days after election we have Veteran's Day so if you want to thank the veterans for what

they have done for the country and for the city exercise your right to vote, that's the best way to thank the veteran/TRAPB.

Tomorrow is First Friday, November 3, and the masks which are on the walls of the Library Express will be displayed at St. Luke's Church on Wyoming Avenue from 5 to 9 p.m. These masks were part of a project between the library and the juvenile detention center. Twenty-five teenagers 13 to 18 years old made these masks. This was one of their therapy sessions, so see a good project something that went well in the prison system, St. Luke's Church 5 to 9 p.m. tomorrow.

The draft 2018 budget, is there any idea when a copy would be available for public review? I know it's coming up shortly.

MR. WECHSLER: I don't think you will see the draft. I don't think that will be posted online anywhere because it's a draft, we are supposed to get that information to us in draft form next week.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Would there be a

copy at City Clerks' Office?

MR. WECHSLER: I don't think there will be a copy of the draft. I don't think so.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, how can the public make comments and review it if a copy is not made available when it's in draft form?

MR. WECHSLER: That's what I'm not sure of to be honest with you. I'm thinking there will be a draft copy, I don't want to say there will be, but I'm not sure.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I hope so, and I do agree with your comments last week that the budget has to be prepared with the facts that are on hand right now and yes, there are lawsuits out in the wings but we have to go, you know, really as it stands right now. Nevertheless, I think it's important that the administration and you as a council do some "what if" planning. This is what we do the military, what if, because if things go south you are going to need a Plan B in a hurry, okay? You not going to be able to just say, "Gee, you know, that

surprised us," because you know there are a lot of things to worry about. Potential land mines, all of those lawsuits and I won't go through all of them. Is the school district going to go belly up and have the state come in and take over with receivership? That's going to impact the city. What's going to happen with reassessment, is it in, is it out is, it up, is it down? You know, how is it going to effect the values of properties and real estate taxes overall.

And then there is the big question mark we still don't have any cost estimates on the storm water management because the Arcadis study, if it's done, has not been made public yet, so I'm hoping that that's going to come up before the budget goes to a final vote. You need to know something about those projected costs as you prepare the 2018 budget or as you review what the mayor provides to you.

All right, I'm upping the ante, \$10.

Do I see a Knowel's insurance list? Hey,

I'm doing my part for the budget.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: I know.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Hey, it's been over seven months, okay? This is silly. There is no excuse. I want to see that list. And I'm not going to bother with a Right-to-Know request, I'm just going to embarrass you every week. Maybe you can give me the list for Christmas and put a bow on it.

Oh, October 11, page one, the 0k. Times did a story on the Crowley Park, do you remember that? Was the debris that was dumped was it clean, was it dirty, what was going, I mean, it was a two-page story, even had video link. Guess what came out today on page four? 107 words. The clean -- the dirt is clean fill. Now, I don't know whether the study that this company did, you know, whether their findings are accurate or not, but I think if you are going to make such a fuss on page one it deserved a little more exposure. Maybe Mr. Seitzinger wants to rebut the findings of that company, okay, but I'm disappointed that the Times dropped 107 words, you know, just in there, a little box, okay, when the original brouhaha took

two pages and a video link. And as far as I'm concerned, maybe, maybe there is garbage up there that needs to be remediated, I don't know, but I don't think 107 words explains to us what went on. And I'll save the rest and I'll up the ante next week. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. First off, I finally got a turning lane on Main Avenue and Jackson Street. Councilman Perry, I want to thank you for the work you did on that.

And next thing, something I have been talking about every once in awhile here, which council seems not to care about, I talked about this for years we need a dangerous dog legislation in this city. I brought it up to this council before and nobody seems to take the bull by the horns, nothing is being done, but you want votes on Tuesday but you don't do anything to help

the citizens of this city. I talked about this for years and nothing is done so I'm going to keep bringing it up until something is done.

Moving on, I saw in the paper yesterday a letter to the editor by Fay Franus, who conveniently left, and she is talking about the mayor and she says in January 2014 our garbage fee increased 178 to 300 and she said the mayor refused to decrease it. In January of 2014, when Courtright took office Scranton property taxes have increased by 65 percent. Now, Fay Franus seems to have her facts mixed up because these increases were done under the Doherty administration. They were passed by the Janet Evans council in 2013. The mayor had nothing to do with these increases.

And she says later on about the mayor giving raises to the police and fire unions. Again, if Chris Doherty sat down and negotiated with the unions we wouldn't have that huge Supreme Court decision the city lost which the city was paying \$100,000 a month in interest, so the mayor had to do

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this. He had to settle with the unions or we would still be paying \$100,000 a month in interest and God knows how much we would have owed the unions by then. So Fay better get her facts straights before she puts things in the paper, and I'm surprised the Times-Tribune printed these lies.

Lastly, in the editorial page the other day I saw something about the Republican candidate Mr. Mulligan said he has made attracting business from other areas the centerpiece of his recovery. Well, that's funny he's talking about moving businesses into the city when he moved his own law business out of the city to Moosic. So I don't think this is a man who we want running this city when he has not truthful with the city about moving businesses here when he moves his own business out of the city. So I hope everybody gets out and votes on Tuesday and votes for the person that's got the city moving in the right direction, not another liar from Greenridge. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council, Bob Bolus, Scranton. Last week's meeting I asked if I could make an announcement. blame old age for forgetting to mention before my time was up while at the podium. My announcement had nothing to do with corruption in the city, but an invitation for you to attend a presentation regarding PTSD at the Lackawanna County Commissioners. A renowned clinical psychologist and an expert in PTSD that I paid to bring from Florida here was doing a presentation with the commissioners and other people that showed up there. The time you spent arguing with me that my time was up took more time than it would be for me to invite you to something very important to the members and

Mr. Gaughan, you should be embarrassed for your childish behavior and ashamed of yourself for making a comment stating your mother has always told you if you fight with a skunk you will come out smelling like one and you directed that at me. Takes a lot of nerve to insult any

the people of this community.

Scranton resident who pays Scranton city taxes and contributes to your paycheck. I would like you to define that comment you directed at me, if you will do so.

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MR. BOLUS: I don't think you would. You made comments the city lacks leadership by the mayor. To the contrary, leadership is lacking by you and Jim Mulligan. The law is the law and it's for everyone, including Mulligan. He got health insurance when he was not entitled to it. He was a lawyer, he knew better, he took something from the people and breaking the law is no excuse, especially when you take health insurance when you not entitled to it, especially being an attorney in the Sewer Authority where you were a solicitor for.

The mall was reassessed a couple of weeks back what's going on, nobody here went over there to see that it was dropped even lower. That accounts for money going to the school board and everywhere else.

I spoke to Attorney Abrahamsen, he said if you people want a letter from him

stating that the mayor acted on behalf of the advice of council in selling the Sewer Authority he would be glad to hand it to you, number one. Number two, you guys all signed off on the Sewer Authority when you signed the recovery plan, so don't keep putting it on the mayor that the mayor did something wrong, you were all involved in it, and he gave you the authority to do it just as his legal council said it was legal to do it.

The other issues we have are I'm asking all my fellow veterans, those with PTSD to remember you, Mr. Gaughan, that you had the opportunity to attend the meeting at the commissioners without Dr. Linfeld and it was better to insult me than hear what I had to say, be concerned about the vets and those that are effected with PTSD.

Remember, that when comes time to vote for Mr. Gaughan I hope on November 7 people remember this.

The next thing is, Mr. Wechsler, I received your letter in the mail with your support of the mayor, and I also received

one from Mary Dunleavy. I disagree with Mary Dunleavy's support of Mr. Mulligan on East Mountain. As you know, when you were there, I was member of the board of East Mountain when you were there and Mary Dunleavy. I support you 100 percent and as a staunch Republican who was 100 percent behind the President of the United States and vice president, as a Republican, I stand hand-in-hand with you, and this is the first time I'll telling you that, Joe, I'm 100 percent --

MR. WECHSLER: I'm shocked.

MR. BOLUS: -- you, I hope you don't have a heart attack tonight, but I'm 100 percent behind you on your support for Mayor Courtright because he has done the job in this city. He has stood up before the people, he stood there with honor and he stood up on the stage, Mr. Gaughan came out badgering him and banging at him like a wild bull in a china shop, which you did, yet you told me my time was up but you didn't respect your time.

I'm not here to fight with you,

people, okay? I'm here to see things get done in this city and when you start getting insulted and people turn around and start badgering you and want to play stupid games I take offense to it. I'm born and raised in this city. I have been here a long, long In fact, I'm here a lot longer than you were born, Mr. Gaughan, so keep that in mind, I'm been an employer, and one thing people have to understood for 55 years I have employed people. I've had my ups and my downs but never taken from this community, I have always given, and people that sit here and insult me, as you did last week, I have to just give you one little comment to take with you, I read your resume, you are a well-educated individual, but there is a difference between being well educated and being smart and what you pulled off wasn't smart. I just hope people recognize that on November 7. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

25

24

24

25

Lee Morgan. You know, every once in awhile somebody comes in here and presents something and, you know, I appreciate Mr. Bingham coming here tonight and talking about licensing and inspections, and Mr. Miller touched on the quality of life. This city is in real trouble, it's just never going to end because I don't know, should everybody need a building permit to maintain their property? Has the city reached the point where they want to hold the last little bit of blood out of every resident they can? I mean, don't we want our city well maintained by the people who own these properties? I mean, it makes no sense. And, you know, when you when you see what the city has done over a very long period of time, I mean, you know, some people came here to the podium tonight and blamed the mayor for a lot of this stuff and he shoulders some of the blame, there is no doubt, but I really think this council shoulders more it than him. You had a job to do and you just did, in my opinion, you did a terrible job. You passed flawed

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legislation, enacted it, created law and the residents are paying for it week after week after week after week and they are going to keep paying for it and it's never going to end.

And, you know, I think it's time for the residents of this city to realize one thing, being a Democrat or Republican is basically meaningless. There is no meaning to either one of those ideologies. resident in the city needs to take look at themselves in the mirror and wonder how is your quality of life in this city? good hard look at the amount of money you earn, where all of that money goes, and the people who represent you and if that cannot bring you to the polls to make the changes necessary to make your life better I don't know what to say to you because your life may never change, and for a city to be in distress for over 25 years with no solutions is ridiculous and for the residents of this city not to demand the change necessary to propel this city into the future, that's also just as ridiculous.

You know, I really blame the

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents because they made an ass of themselves and their pain is only beginning because if all of these Court cases hit I would have to ask if I was resident at this point right now why the council didn't take every bit of the funds, whether it's the 511 taxes, whatever is in Court, and remove it from the budget and set it aside, because Fay had it kind of right the residents are going to pay for all of this, the hundreds of millions of dollars that's it's going to cost is going to right back to the taxpayers and what's the city going to do? maybe it's going to borrow the money, but the residents are going to pay it again and they have lost all of their assets, and none of your solutions were real because the pension plan that's in no better shape than it was before.

You know, as a child, I remember we had four leaders when I was kid that really stuck in my mind all my life even to this point, they were the two Kennedys, that both got assassinated, the President and his brother, and we had Martin Luther King and

Malcolm X, and they were people who moved under their own power. Don't get me wrong, the Kennedys were heavily entrenched in politics but then look at they created Camelot, that's what people talked about, but you know what JFK did some great things in the second world war. He showed he had leadership ability, but we keep electing people who have the ability to go ask somebody for some money. And then you watch as all of the city assets are -- and it just doesn't have to do with the city it has to did with the whole nation, everything is bled off and supporters are fed and the residents are taxed to the breaking point.

And now President Trump he is trying to do something with the tax situation but to be really honest with you, with 22 trillion dollars worth of debt and Doug Miller stood here today and talked about seniors living on \$500 a month, this country in the most severe poverty that you could ever imagine and we have got people that we elect, nothing personal, to put on cheap and pretty little suits or blouses and skirts

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and they go and pretend that they are legislation for the benefit of the people they represent and it's not happening. Look around. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: I'm sorry, you caught me by surprise. I'd like to say a few words about the unjust wise depraved court decision on the reassessment this week. think Wednesday was a sad day for the people of this city. I have noticed in the paper so many of our so-called leaders can afford get these tremendous reassessments. This is an expensive and likely process that most of us -- well, most people cannot afford. looked into it years ago and I just needed a lawyer, you know, there wasn't no sense in fooling with it by trying myself. Marie did it herself, but I don't even have a computer to do that.

You know, I have told you a dozen times every time I get upset and mad I start seeing sideways and upside down and everything else. Everybody is talking about the facts of this reassessment, borrowing

25

\$13 million it has to be paid back right off the bat, then if there is a reassessment by law they are allowed to raise the millage, the city, county and school system can raise the millage so where are we? What has been accomplished with all of that except some more people are going to lose houses, you know. There is already thousands, I don't think Mr. Volpe has ever talked to some that lost their house. I have talked to probably I don't know three, four, five people over the years and it's a great sadness to talk to some of them. He lives in a utopia. is out of touch. He doesn't know what the average person in this city is like. he has done stopping this is just flaunting his wealth and influence over the city, over the courts. What he has said he has told the people like me, like these people here, that we are just too damn stupid to read black and white to understand what is written on there and we don't have the intelligence to make a vote, an intelligent decision. That's exactly what he has told everybody. I don't care who likes me saying

that, well, tell them to get Abrahamsen to sue me or something, it doesn't bother me.

This town is sure far from utopia, I'll tell you. I know Lenny, I talked to him today on the phone and he had a house fire and you lose everything between smoke and water and fire it so sad because I went through it years ago. Fortunately, we had a lot of smoke, you know, and a lot of things like pictures and all we saved but he has lost everything, mostly everything I guess.

You know, I listen to Lee Morgan and he is good -- very good speaker and I know he agree with me because I look forward, I hope I live long enough to see the day those guys in the suits and the sunglasses on Blueberry Hill come in here and clean up or court system and our city like they did in Wilkes-Barre.

Look what you people have allowed that mall to do. You have let that one man almost take over downtown and there is a half a dozen big companies, big property owners not paying a penny and Bulzoni can't bend over backwards to do enough for them

all. This is a sin. You people allowed these companies, these LLCs and so forth to suck the city dry. It's time somebody comes in here and does something for us. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie Schumacher. First, do we have a date for the NDC meeting?

MR. WECHSLER: No. Again, I put a call in to them today and no one called me back.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. You know, listening to Fay was really sobering. It's true, almost everything this mayor has touched has ended up in Court and costing us money, lots of money, a \$200,000 a year project, I don't know what it was with Mr. Abrahamsen to interpret for him just blows my mind.

I went back and reviewed the League of Women Voters debate from the first meeting between Mr. Mulligan and Mr. Courtright and, of course, my favorite from that, I think I have spoken with you

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before, was they asked about access. said regular access. That was his first big lie. He said, you know, everybody -anybody can come and just sit down in my office, that's all they have to do. People come over, you know, come now, when I'm on council and people come over to my karate store and wait for me to come out and I talk to them and all of that. How long has it been since I have put in the letter to Mr. Courtright? How many calls did I make to his office? How many times did I stop in the office? Did I ever get a call back? Did he ever answer my questions? No. that is a major flaw in his character as far

The other thing that he said he would do was people wanted the backup to the items that were on the agenda, like, what three years went by, nothing was done from his office. I think, Mr. Gaughan, you were the one that researched and ferreted out the company, is that not true?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

as I'm concerned.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And now we do have

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

access to that and, you know, it's proven helpful. Our infamous property list and, you know, having a library on being paid twice for how many years, so it was well worth the while, but did the mayor do that?

No. The city council did it and especially you, Mr. Gaughan, and I hope you are rewarded for that.

The other thing is Mr. Spindler said that the mayor had nothing to do with the 2014 budget, that's not true. One of his representatives, a lawyer I believe, I can't remember who it was, came in at the budget hearing meeting and sort of agreed with some of the comments that were made on the budget and then he said, you know, indicated that there were -- the committee that was supporting the mayor was unhappy with the budget. The mayor got elected, the mayor came in and what did he did? Nothing. At that same League of Women Voters meeting, debate, one of the questions was something like we had a structural deficit and what are you going to do to eliminate the strategic -- or the structural deficit and

22

23

24

25

we are going to talk about five taxes and please tell us what you would do if you would increase it, decrease it, reform it or, you know, whatever you want and the four -- the real estate tax. The real estate tax he didn't promise but he said he wanted to decrease it. However, in 2014 the real estate tax went up 49.9 percent. Ιn 2017, a mere three years later, the millage went up by 25 percent, another 25 percent. This is an election year, nothing, maybe next year nothing. However, the next one was the business privilege tax which was at .01 percent and according to the budget that's online, I thought it had been reduced, but it says it's still .1 percent, and I went back because -- okay. Sorry, but I don't think that the current mayor deserves to be reelected and I hope people get out and vote for Mr. Mulligan. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. Just on a quick thing that person that was stealing

from cars on East Mountain, did I read that he had a gun in his possession at the time? Well, I would plead with the people everywhere in a town if you are a firearm fan, take it with you, don't leave it in your car. All they need is brick.

And, okay, we heard a lot of accusations tonight about how our local politicians can't bail us out of our problems. By the way, the cut, cut, cut plan is out with the federal taxes and \$700 billion currently are being pledged to foreign investors for tax cuts. It would be \$17,000 per household if the money was distributed equally in the United States amongst all of the households, the total bill.

Now, I'm going to talk a little bit more about politics and it's not going to be partisan because I'm mad at both parties, okay? I'm just totally frustrated, and the party behavior, like I said last week, I will reiterate, voter suppression is out. You won't win my heart with voter suppression. It's important that you make

sure that votes are valid, but just because somebody can't drive across three counties for a photo ID in Pennsylvania doesn't mean they are not a legitimate voter and Mr. Turzi stated in 2012 it would guarantee a Romney victory for Pennsylvania. Well, personally I think that the current administration was a little wimpy because I would have sent the FBI to have a little talk with that man.

And the Democrats, well, last year we had 450 super delegates pledged before one Democratic vote was cast. Unacceptable and they're working on it right now.

Unacceptable. Please, if you put a sign out make sure it's not in front of a slumlord's house. You are shooting yourself in the foot there.

Now, with Scranton we have the state Supreme Court awarded huge wage increases to the unions, they won't let us tax certain things. Without the reassessment, which is about the only thing that caught my ear, was that you can't collect certain taxes which would pass a certain threshold which would

24

25

ensure that it gets piled on property taxes, so either way you are going to be paying and paying and paying and we have tax exemptions It is time to go to Federal Court galore. and take the state constitution and the county to task and ask the Supreme Court where 35 percent of tax exempts in one town is justifiable. The little places, Moscow area, North Abington, they got the little church by the wildwoods and they got the fire company and a few other things and what do we have? Everybody is piled here and they are feeding off of us and then we have with the -- and make no mistake about it, these people are refugees and they have been accepted by the federal government, but they cannot all be deposited here at Scranton School District's costs. I know we have management problems down there, and I anticipate voting accordingly, but when you start trying to teach somebody 9 or 10 years old English as a second language that could get pretty expensive and I pledge that I will get down to the -- after the election and talk to the school board. We have to do

something about that and also with the Supreme Court because that pertains to school taxes. So, thank you, and have a good night, get out and vote.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SREBRO: Good evening, Council.

Lenny Srebro, Scranton resident, sort of.

I'll explain. First, I want to back up to,

Mr. Perry, the last time I was here a couple

of weeks ago you told me that you lost a

family member and I just have to say I'm

sorry for you, I feel your grief. I like to

think of myself as a compassionate person,

but that evening I had to go, I had

something that I just couldn't hang around
and talk to you.

MR. PERRY: Thank you very much.

MR. SREBRO: I want to hand that to you because I know grief. Not to get into a personal thing, but I lost a teenage daughter some years ago. She was hit by a train on the Keyser Avenue bridge and she died instantly. And, yeah, I like to bring that up myself because I don't want her forgotten about, but you reminded me of

that.

Okay, here we go. See, I would have came in but, you know, about two weeks ago my house burned. You know, I lost about a quarter of the house. I can't believe the crap you got to go through, you know, dealing with insurance companies and contractors and electricians and all of that. And, man, I'm telling you I'm just hammered, but you know what, this flooding problem is still a problem. I'm asking myself do I want to rebuild, you know? Because there is something wrong that after two years of coming here, I know you are not getting answers and all of that and I appreciate your help, but God almighty, you know, something is wrong with the system that I can't simply get that drainage pipe flushed.

You know, this past week they were predicting three inches of the rain. All I thought about is here it really comes, you know. This is the big one that I have been waiting for to see, you know, what's going to happen since they paved the roads and all

2

1

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of this has been going on. I'll tell you what, I really prepared for, you know, a major flood, but you know what, it wasn't that bad, I'm telling at my place anyway, maybe got about inch and a half of rain, yeah, I got water in the basement again, I got water in my garage, but I'm telling you I thought -- all I was thinking of when I had the fire at my house why can't it flood right now, because it's so often.

And something else occurred to me that you know what, I appreciate the firemen did a good job getting the fire out that I didn't lose the whole house, but I really got extensive smoke and damage and water damage, but now I got a bill from the fire department for \$500. Am I asking the right question, don't my taxes pay for that? Now I got a bill of \$500 for fire trucks. I really appreciate they came out but, oh, my God I can't afford anything else. You better believe my insurance isn't going to cover everything. I got a lot to put out.

And then like Lee was saying I found out, okay, if I do rebuild, you know, I want

23

24

25

to make some changes now I got to go through all kinds of special permits, the setback is off by two feet, so now I'm telling you, I don't know what to do anymore. I'm really disappointed and nobody wants to help expect, you know what, I really love where I'm at. The flooding and all of that, when I had the fire all of the neighbors came out, you know, with food and can I do anything, you know, is there anything I can I love where I'm at, but it's a do. financial thing now that, you know, I'll of these permits to do anything and I got so far I got \$120,000 worth of damage in the house and that's not open anything up yet. They said expect supplements, you know, so I'm coming to the question, you know, see do I really want to rebuild here?

Please bear with me, and you know what I really want to apologize for my phone going off before, I just got a smart phone and I don't know how to work it, you know, I really didn't know how to shut it off so I want to apologize for that.

Yeah, the reassessment now my taxes

are going to about up possibly. You know, I think if I had it my way somebody like me would get a break from the taxes for having to put up with the flooding. That's my way of thinking, you know, wherever that goes, but still one more time, why can't we flush that drain, you know?

MR. PERRY: Yeah, we have been asking that question forever and I haven't got back -- they haven't gotten back to be honest.

MR. SREBRO: So there is something wrong with the system that we can't get an answer. I have been fighting it for two years, the neighbors have been fighting it for 20. They've all given up, like I'm carrying on the torch, but they have given up. See, and I'm at that point right now do I want to rebuild there or do I want to stay there, you know. Okay, thanks a lot.

MR. PERRY: Mr. Srebro, I will definitely get in touch with Mr. Booth to see what that invoice was about because I'm not sure, I mean, that's what our taxes are for so I'm not really sure what that's

about, but I'll get in touch with him and see.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. O'HORA: Good evening, Council.

Paul O'Hora, City of Scranton employee,

building maintenance. I'm here this evening

to talk about, and I believe this item is on

the agenda tonight, it's in regard to the

lease of the Civil War Museum in the

basement of city hall.

Let me start off by saying, five years ago when I came into this position I walked into the basement of city hall and it was a disaster. My predecessor had left boxes from various departments scattered in different rooms, different departments stacked on different departments, and lack of organization would be an understatement. What I decided to do was get the department heads and bring them down, they were unaware of how this was handled. We sat down in the Governor's Room, brought out the city ordinance and the City Code that refers to every department and retention of records and I said, "We are going to set this up in

the basement, we are going create some space for every department, you are going to have racks. You put your boxes there but I'm not going to take one more box down here until you label it as what it is and it's retention."

The majority of government records are not permanent records, but it's spelled out in the City Code two years, five years, ten years. So, I said, we are going to put expirations dates and we are going to organize because we are not going to put on addition for boxes that no one even knows what's in them or be able to find them in five years. That worked pretty effectively. In the limited space we had when the police department left we were able to give various departments sections of the basement.

Unfortunately, with the Civil War

Museum in two of the largest and best rooms
down there we are limited in our space.

Now, the issue of the Civil War Museum in
the basement on the previous administration
allowed them when that became vacated to
give them a 10-year lease. Now, I don't

know about you, but I really don't hear of ten-year leases in any event, especially a ten-year lease for nothing.

I'm not here, and let me make this very clear, no one is disputing the good of that museum. Museums are great, the artifacts are great, and it's worth walking through, but last I checked the front of this building says "Municipal," not "Museum."

And I know it's election time and we want to be popular and we don't want to offend people, but if we want to do a good thing we should look to find a place for them. We have a law department that if you walk in, and our city solicitor is great, she really wants to organize things and have things and have space in our office, I can't help her. We are maxed out. And it's unfortunate that it's turned in political football.

I think, like I said, what they have is great and we should find them space outside of city hall. This is the people's building. This is where the government --if

anybody in this audience wants to know, they have Right-to-Know, these departments should be able to go down, find a box, find the file in five minute, bring it up, copy it to them and get it to them, and we have done that. We have got it somewhat organized but we need space.

And I also have to give you, council, credit for what you have done. This building was built in 1888 and back then everything was paper, paper. Well, I can see that you guys are being progressive. Your agenda now is online. There is so much paper and still duplication in here and we continue to work here within the building to say who is responsible for holding onto this this contract and how long, we don't need four departments holding onto the same paper, but old habits die hard, we are still trying to get this all organized.

But what brought me her tonight was the article in the paper regarding this museum and, again, I'm not here to criticize, I'm not here for political football, I'm just here to say, listen,

great organization, let's find them space, our law department needs an archive room and that's first and foremost, a municipal building.

In this article that ran in the newspaper, I just want to clarify two statements that were made. Number one, Mr. Gaughan, I had mentioned this to you about the need for this space and it says, "Gaughan has forcibly called for a new lease preferably 10 years."

Why are we giving space in a municipal building, and an organization is great, it is, but 10 years. We need this for the government. This is our building.

Second of all, Mr. Wechsler said,

"This is where this should be in city hall
building. We want to make sure that the
stakes here become the treasure trove of the
city."

It's indisputable it's a good organization, what's in dispute is our law department can't archive and have all of these documents, and we know there is more lawsuits coming, if they can't organize this

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a systematic way that's not fair.

And one more think I just want to say, Mr. Rogan said that this issue went away when we purchased the Serrenti building, Chief Carl Graziano has told me once, he has told me five times, he had to sign documents this thick saying that that building is for police use only and certain things, so if various departments and city people have ideas and brainstorms how to use that building they can't. That's not going to be a place to store records nor should an administrative building have administrative offices 15 minutes -- or administrative files 15 minutes away from city hall, but, again, that discussion never took place nor can we store files up there. It is police use only facility.

Thank you, councilmen, for your time. I appreciate you taking this into consideration. Again, no problem giving them time, we are not throwing them on the street, and Mayor Courtright is clear on that, we want to work with them, but this is it a municipal building. Thank you.

2

3

else?

4

5

7

8

6

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you. pick right up where we left off with Item 5-B. I was happy to see the one-year lease come out before -- this museum was kind of out in the cold and not knowing what to do so we had have a year. I think everybody on this council plans on using that year to find a solution, a long-term solution to that issue. It looks like right now it's going to boil down two things: The museum is going to have to find a place or we are going to have to find a place to store the records. It doesn't look like they can both coexist. I'm on the side of it's probably easier for us to find somewhere to hold our records, but we have a year to figure that out. There is Iron Mountain facility, they specialize in taking records that you can store them away and they are just out of site out of mind until we need to call for

19

20

2122

23

24

25

them, when and if we do. You know, there is it going to be some fees associated with that but that's something that we are going to have to discuss. I'm on the side, as most of my other councilmen, that I think this is a good environment for the Civil War Museum. Is a ten-year lease a little excessive, possibly. Is three or five more acceptable? Okay, let's go there, but I don't think that we should give a year to a museum, that's just not a way to operate business and I don't want to operate under a year-to-year lease, but we do have some time now to figure out what we are going to do, and it's under my opinion I think it would be easier for us to find somewhere else to store the records, but we have many time to figure that out.

Second item, the Arcadis meeting the last information we saw Mr. Bulzoni was given the next three weeks to come up with a bunch of dates that he is available. He is going to give the dates to Arcadis and then they are going to make sure they are good for that date. So no date has been set yet

but they are working on to get the date within the next few weeks.

Also, South Irving Street finally got their paving done and completed, I was very happy to see that. Mr. Srebro, is Mr. Srebro still here? I don't think so. I just want to thank you for the kind words. Again, anybody, and that just about includes of all of us who have lost a loved one we feel like we're in the same community, we all know how we feel so I was very -- his nice words, his kind words and his plight with the fire is devastating. I feel sorry for him. I hope he can get things worked out, I know it's a very frustrating time when you have such devastation going on.

Finally, for all intensive purposes whether we like it or not we are a fact finding body, the city council, we have always gotten, you know, the tip of the sphere when it comes to finding and getting down to the bottom of issues. Recently, there was an article in the Scranton Times about possible legal actions. Now, I have to make a decision, and if I'm going to make

21

22

23

24

25

this decision I'm going to make it on one thing and one thing only and that's going to be on the integrity of this council and the integrity of myself. While we are a fact finding body and while I do believe we represent the people and if there was some illegal activity taking place that we need to act on it, the timing is not the best. I'm going to hold a motion that I previously discussed based on the fact that it's just not the right thing to do at this time. I'll reserve the right to bring this up again next week. And that's all I'm going to say on the potential motion that we talked about in caucus and that's all I have for today.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a couple of items.

First, I want to congratulate all of West

Scranton, particularly Shamrock Construction

for organizing a great event for the kids

over in West Side. They had a first annual

tricker treat on Main Avenue and it was from

I believe 3 to 5. It was a daytime event

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and from friends of mine that took their kids there it took 20 minutes to walk half a city block because there were so many people participating and all of our businesses on Main Avenue in West Side participated so I want to give a shout out to all of them, and especially to Shamrock Construction because they were the ones that really got the ball rolling and organized this event.

Next, is it was brought up to the podium so I'm going to address it briefly, I'm sure Councilman Evans will be talking about this a little bit more, is the reassessment. For those who haven't read the paper, the reassessment ballot question currently is invalid. As we know, the election is in a few days. Please keep up on the news regarding this ballot question because the county did appeal, so that question may count. So I would encourage everyone to still vote their conscience on that question. Please don't skip it, just because one Court said that it was invalid they may decide as late as Monday, the day before the election, whether that question

is going to count or not. So one court ruling shouldn't discourage everyone from filling that portion of the ballot out, definitely still fill that out.

I had a couple of meetings this week that were very encouraging with two developers, I'm not going to mention them by name, but myself and Mr. Evans did have conversations about it as well, and they were downtown developers primarily, but our discussions focused on developing in the neighborhoods. So I'm very optimistic that there is going to be some good projects coming ahead very soon from two well-respected developers within our city.

We did receive correspondence from OECD regarding the Veterans memorial project that was discussed. It's a lengthy reply from HUD. We are awaiting until the budget is released. There has been talk that the city will be funding some of this project through the general fund so at this point we will have to make a decision weighing out the budget as well as the OECD Action Plan.

And, finally, some good news on the

double pension front, and I can't find the order, here it is. As you know, council made a motion many months ago to investigate the double pension scandal that has been talked about for a few years now. So we put in -- went to Court, I was there with Attorney Minora and there were subsequent back and forth with the state police and what Attorney Minora advised us today was a draft order that will release the information from that investigation to us. There are a number of stipulations in here, much of it is in legal language, so at this point in time I'll turn it over to attorney Minora to discuss this further.

MR. MINORA: Yes. I received a draft of the proposed order from the attorney for the Pennsylvania State Police. As I think I mentioned a couple of times in the past, criminal records are subject to the Criminal History Records and Information Act offered referred to as CHRIA. That information is confidential and can be released by a police agency except in the limited circumstances. We petitioned

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commonwealth Pleas Court to allow us to review the investigation into the double pension matter and I was able to work out a compromise in order for us to review this document or documents that are ordinarily confidential, so the order allows for council to review everything, but that it remain confidential and that any document that are shown to council would be used for the sole purpose of proposing legislation which would preclude or lessen the possibility of a similar double pension problem in the future. There is certain stipulations as to it's use, how we can -we can't really disclose any of it publically but we can use it for that same purpose. I will have Judge Moyle review it and hopefully sign the order and at which time we will be able to get some records from the Pennsylvania State Police.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Attorney
Minora. Thank you for all your hard work on
this. I know we have been on the phone
every other day since this subpoena started,
and while I'm very happy that council will

be getting this information to review, I am still disappointed that it won't be released to the public. You know, after reviewing it there is items in there that we feel need to be addressed we can review it, formulate legislation to stop it so a double pension scandal like this never happens again.

At that point, if there is other information in there I think we should take a step further and work on getting it released to the public, but I do think this is another good step and something that, unfortunately, is taking a lot longer than we planned, but I am happy to have the draft order here today and I'm hoping we will be reviewing these document within the next couple of weeks. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: And, yes, Councilman

Rogan, I will be talking about reassessment

MR. ROGAN: I assumed.

MR. WECHSLER: I'm shocked.

MR. EVANS: Shocking. The last bit

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

at the apple before the election, but first of all I want to thank Mr. Volpe and Mr. Eckle for stepping up and making this case to invalidate the reassessment question. hope it stands. But I also would like to ask the voters to still consider a "yes" vote on the question even though the results won't count at this point. It's very important to send this message to the commissioners that our ultimate objective is still tax fairness and the majority feels that way. And you simply want those entrusted with the responsibility to accept that is there job and all for reassessment immediately.

I would like to comment briefly on the prepared statement by two of the commissioners on rejection of the ballot question, County Chief of Staff Andrew Wallace on the behalf of the two commissioners, not even Notarianni, stated the following. In part it said, "The Court recently sided with two millionaire petitioners in their apparent quest to disenfranchise the voters of Lackawanna

County. I find that comment to be extremely ironic because if there is a group that doesn't want a reassessment it would be the well off and the well connected and anyone else that he a large property holdings in the county. The lack of reassessment, unlike the commissioners static and unsupportive line of were just protecting the seniors will actually continue to protect the well connected who through nearly 50 years appeals most likely have their assessments right where they want them to be and because of that it's the seniors and everybody else who are paying for those productions.

And as far as disenfranchising the voters, that happened long ago when it was decided to pass the reassessment buck to the voters to decide, many of whom aren't even property owners. It is not the responsibility of the voters to decide for the commissioners, it is their responsibility to provide for tax fairness.

As documented between 2014 and 2016, successful appeals have reduced the county

tax base by 44.4 million. That equates to real tax dollars lost. Growth that has been wiped out. Money that could have helped balance budgets and minimize or eliminate tax increases for municipalities, school districts and, yes, even the county and there is no end in sight without a reassessment. The status quo of a broken system that simply is not working and totally unfair to property owners, government entities, and developers. It is almost mind boggling at this point that some local officials could be against a reassessment.

I applaud my colleagues for not wavering on this issue for one second and being early supporters of reassessment. So where did we go from here? Of course, I would have hoped by now that the two commissioner, or at least one, would have listened to the overwhelming evidence that Lackawanna County needs a reassessment, and they would finally stop the proverbial kicking of the can down the road and begin the necessary steps towards reassessment,

but I won't hold my breath on that.

We all know that the mayoral election is next Tuesday, so next Thursday, two days after the election, I will make a formal motion and request to the new mayor elect or the current mayor, depending on the outcome, and this is something I have been supportive of for almost three years, the motion will be quite simply that the City of Scranton shall initiate a lawsuit in Lackawanna County Court to force a reassessment. It's time to get off the sidelines and get in the gam. That's all I have tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. First of all, just an update for the two women who approached me a few weeks ago about the 200 block of Bryn Mawr Street. There was a property, as I had mentioned last week, where there is dumping going on. Mrs. Reed was in contact with Ralph Pappas from the county because that property is a land bank property, and in the intergovernmental

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cooperation agreement between the city and the county as it relates to the land bank the city is actually responsible for the maintenance of all of land bank properties in the City of Scranton. So we did reach out to the DPW to assess the vacant lot for potential clean up. Mr. Pappas also informed city council that the Scranton-Lackawanna Human Development Agency has an interest in purchasing the vacant lot and the property next to it at 1344-1346 Bryn Mawr Street, and the county is currently conducting a cost assessment for the possible demolition of the structure. So hopefully within the next few weeks those residents of Bryn Mawr Street we'll see some action there.

The second issue that I brought up the last few weeks, and it continues to really trouble me and the more that I have spoken about it the last few weeks the more people who seem to come out of the woodwork to contact me about some of the issues at the Greenridge senior apartments on Dickson Avenue, off of Dickson Avenue. I just want

21

22

23

24

25

to read -- I spoke to a woman today who wants to remain anonymous for several reasons, but when I spoke to this woman a few things came up, a few themes emerged. Number one, residents are apparently being charged extra just to live on the first floor, that's number one. Keep in mind, a few years ago when this company from New York was given a tax abatement this was supposed to be senior affordable housing so they have to pay a little bit extra to live on the first floor. They have to pay an extra fee to live next to the elevator. This woman told me today on the telephone that a lot of times the elevator doesn't There are people up on the even work. second and third floor who are elderly who cannot -- how are they going to get out of the building, especially if, God forbid, there was a fire so residents there are concerned about that.

This woman had told me, and I haven't researched this myself, but this company apparently has other senior housing developments and they don't have great

22

23

24

25

reviews, one of them she referred to was in Erie, Pennsylvania. They did reach out to the Better Business Bureau and the Better Business Bureau has not done anything yet to She did tell me that most of the date. residents are in their 70's, their 80's and even in their 90's, and as I have mentioned the last few weeks what they have done is they have sold their homes to move into this place because they were under the impression that their rents were going to stay relatively level. When this woman first moved in she was told, because she said, you know, how much are the rents going to go up they were told not to worry about it, the rents might go up every two to three years only ten to 20 dollars a year because with the tax abatement the company was going to be able to pay their mortgage and all of these other things with the millions of dollars they would saved with the tax abatement.

The other extremely concerning thing this woman has since moved out, but apparently the company used her security

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

deposit to put new carpets down and they have done that apparently in a lot of the other apartments. They come in, a maintenance person comes in, they see something wrong with the carpet before the person moves out and then the carpets end up getting replaced and the person losses their security deposit.

So long story short, there are a ton of very concerning things going on at this The other concerning thing, I got place. another anonymous letter that comes from someone who worked at this place, a former property manager, whose last day of work was Friday October 13, 2017, and she sent a letter out to the Greenridge senior apartment tenants, and I won't read through the whole thing but one thing stuck out to me and is extremely concerning. This woman says in the letter, "Unfortunately, the standard practice and policies and the rigidness of the corporate office has made this more and more difficult -- " meaning her job -- "therefore, I felt it necessary to find employment where my professional goals

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are more inline with my personal beliefs."

Now, usually, you know, a legislative body would not get involved in these type of matters, but the problem here is these seniors that live here are afraid to speak up because those who have spoken up have either been kicked out in some form or fashion or they have been sent nasty letters, apparently from what I have been told. So on November 1 city council sent a letter to Mr. Jason Kavulich, who is the director of the Department of Human Services at the Area Agency on Aging, which is in the county administration building. I'm hopeful to hear back from them. Residents now have reported that they did reach out to this agency and were not successful.

I also think that this council should investigate other avenues or someone should step in and look at this or investigate it, do something because there is something seriously wrong when a company from New York gets a tax abatement from three bodies in Lackawanna County, the county, the school district and the city,

selling it as an affordable housing and then a few years later there is people paying -seniors, mind you, on fixed incomes, social security, paying 11, 12, 13 hundred dollars. Paying \$15 extra to live next to an elevator. Paying a little extra to live on the first floor. It is mind boggling to me so hopefully we will hear back from Mr. Kavulich and I know a lot of the residents are following now city council because we are trying to get involved and help so my message to them would be to hold tight and let's see at least if we can get some justice here for some of the things going on there.

Second thing, the bottom of

Dartmouth received several complaints from residents, there is going on for a few months now about a curb that needs to be fixed to correct water runoff problems, so if we could please send something over to DPW.

Also, I received an e-mail about the state that is inviting municipalities, nonprofit organizations, school district,

colleges, universities, across the state to submit a proposal describing how they would use recycling bins provided by Keep America Beautiful to expand recycling opportunities in public spaces and their downtowns throughout the city. Keep America Beautiful will award the grants in December of 2017 and they are going to make arrangements with suppliers to deliver the bins directly to the recipients in the first quarter of 2018. I'm not sure if the city is going to submit a proposal, but I would like to ask the administration if they plan on it. I would recommend they do. I think that is a very good program.

The last thing I that I have is regarding the Civil War Museum, which has been brought up for quite a few weeks now and I have been a major proponent of the museum, as I have mentioned. Mr. O'Hora, who is the maintenance man in city hall got up and spoke tonight and I appreciate his concern and his comments. I will say a few things about his comments. Number one, I don't know, this is not a political football

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

so I'm not sure what he is referring to when he says that. This is a very, in my opinion, simple issue. The museum has been there for 10 years. I believe it serves the community very well and I think that they should stay there. The administration and the mayor obviously feel differently, but I want to take a second just to explain my thought process here.

Mr. O'Hora said that in front of city hall it says "Municipal" not "Museum". What a backwards way of thinking about government in general, about this building. We have a great opportunity, actually the unique opportunity in my opinion to keep a museum and to keep many of the veterans who are coordinating this thing and have been for a number of different years with the GAR working down there for the community. should embrace our history. We should embrace all of the people from this area who lost their lives in the Civil war and embrace the veterans who are working down there, and I can't imagine that we would pass the opportunity to keep a museum that

honors the Civil War and has so many unbelievable artifacts and replace it with four or five room fulls of boxes. Who wants to see that? I'm not sure in the year 2017 that there has to be another way to find room for storage for boxes in the Law Department or in DPW or wherever else in this building. I'm sure that we can look to other cities across the country or the state for that matter to find that.

So, again, I think it's this mentality of that's the way it's always been done, we have to continue to do it. We have boxes full of paper, we have to send the museum on their way. Even if we are giving them a year less eventually they are going to be asked to go, and that's fine because I understand that there is two different trains of thought here. All I'm saying is let's use this as an opportunity to embrace or history, to embrace the uniqueness of actually having a Civil War Museum in the basement of our municipal bidding, which actually if you know the history of this building really is museum in and of itself.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think we can use it, the city can promote it more. We can work even more closely with the GAR and with local high schools and our local universities and So next week I plan on making a colleges. motion to amend this legislation, 5-B, to extend the lease to five years. Ten year I can understand may be a bit of a stretch, but at least give the gentlemen down there and the museum some relief knowing that they have a place to stay and that we are going to honor the museum and take this next year, if that's what it ends up being, finding someplace else to store these files. that's all I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. Just a follow-up on
Mr. Gaughan's comments, I would not like to
take the opportunity to criticize
Mr. O'Hora. He has a responsibility in his
job and we criticize a lot of city employees
for not doing their job and Mr. O'Hora has
been doing what he has been charged to do.
The storage of records is not something that
he just decided to do. The storage of the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

records is required by the City Code, federal regulations, state regulations, so instead of criticizing him I would like to compliment him on his due diligence to make sure that the importance of his job in maintaining the records that are required.

I did meet with the people from the Civil War Museum the other day and I agree that the museum should stay here. it's settled, it's in a spot where it's accessible to the students, accessible to the public and I think actually this debate that we've had has really awoken a lot of people in the city to the fact that that museum is there. I spoke to someone last night who is very well-known in the community who said he never knew that that place was there, and this is person who works in downtown Scranton and is very visible and everybody knows his name if I told you. So I do think we have to take the opportunity to protect the museum and look at it as an asset instead of a detriment.

Mr. Rogan did mention the Serrenti Center, I agree with him that would be a

25

good spot, even not in the Serrenti Center itself there is a lot of land up there, this is something that we have to get a handle on that's just as important as the museum and the storage of records. We came into council in 2014 and there was a water leak that had really saturated a lot of the old records from the city and they were going to be discarded, which you can't do, so we were able working for Mr. O'Hora, he got the records digitized, saved the ones that we could and that's a resource that we have ongoing backwards so I agree with the one-year lease, the one-year lease can be extended next year at any time. The reason why I like a one-year lease is it forces the city to take care of the museum and find a repository for the records. I believe if we go to a longer lease than that I think this thing will just keep getting pushed down and pushed down and pushed down the road, so I support the one-year lease, I do not support a longer lease at this time, but I do think it's very important that this conversation has gotten out into the public, it's time

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the public to actually speak up for the people that use it and use this opportunity to take advantage of this great asset that we have here.

Last week I talked about getting a copy of the Amazon presentation that was made by Penns Northeast. I did receive a copy of that, it's quite lengthy, so if anybody wants to peruse that it is in the council office.

This week I attended the PEL meeting, talking about some of the improvements and some of the efforts that have been made over the past couple of years to get us into a little bit of a financial better position. One of the things that has been a great improvement is our workmen's compensation accident claims statistics. Ιn 2015, the city paid \$2.3 million in workmen's compensation, wage loss and This does not include the medical costs. heart and lung that were paid. In 2016, the amount paid out decreased to \$1.49 million, and this is the number that you have to really pay attention to, and for 2017

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through the end of September that total amount paid out is now down to \$228500. About \$2 million less than when we came in office in 2015. This decline claims to be attributed to various initiatives enacted by the administration and council to include hiring a full-time worker's compensation coordinator, increased claims monitoring, the development of a safety committee, increased heart and lung arbitration panels, it increased from six times per year instead of twice, and the creation of a light-duty policy with the police department as well as the negotiations that create light-duty policies within the DPW and the fire department.

So the work that we have started, a lot of this came out of the contracts that were awarded that are a benefit to the city. At this time, we have been working with our unions and reducing costs in terms of compensation and disability. We have a ways to go. The Safety Committee has been a great edition to our city. The state has recognized it as a good and functioning

safety committee so this is one of the improvements that has been going on over the past couple of years. And that's all I have.

MR. GAUGHAN: I just want make one point, if I may. I just want to respond to Mr. Wechsler for a second when he said that I was criticizing Mr. O'Hora. That's just not true. I was not criticizing Mr. O'Hora I was disagreeing with him. I have spoken to Mr. O'Hora before on the telephone about this issue and he knows that I disagree with him, but I as not criticizing him at all. There is a major difference between criticizing somebody and disagreeing with them.

All I'm saying is I think that we should start thinking outside of the box, literally and figuratively in case with boxes of paper. So when something people are looking at the basement and saying let's fill it with boxes of paper that probably nobody is going to look ever or no one really cares about, what I'm saying is think of capitalizing on the unique opportunity of

having a museum with hundreds of years worth of history and using it as a springboard for people in this community to learn about their history and to take a tour of this municipal building, which as I said earlier, really could be considered a museum. There is a lot of things that went on here over the last few decades.

So, again, I was not criticizing Mr. O'Hora. I think he has done a great job in his position, I was simply disagreeing with him, there is a big difference. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH GAR
MEMORIAL ASSOCIATION ("GAR") TO EXTEND THEIR
CURRENT LEASE WHICH IS SET TO EXPIRE ON
NOVEMBER 28, 2017 FOR AN ADDITIONAL YEAR FOR
A PORTION OF THE BASEMENT OF CITY HALL TO BE
USED AS EXHIBIT AND MEETING SPACE.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the guestion?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

As I just mentioned in any comments, I plan next week on making a motion to extend this lease for an additional five years. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I'm going to weigh in on this conversation, when I visited the museum I did come away very impressed and I told the gentlemen there that day that I was going to be their advocate, and I will be. I think the synergy through the Civil War Museum along with the library and the Lackawanna Historical Society are amazing work, and I think as Councilman Gaughan mentioned, I think there could be some other offshoots with the museum and this building.

For example, four of those gentlemen on those walls back there on the pictures served in the Civil War. So there's ways to integrate the history of city hall as the well as the Civil War Museum. With that said, I tend to agree with Councilman

President Wechsler that a one-year lease might actually force all of us to get our act together and come up with a long-term solution that makes both departments satisfied, so we will see how this plays out. We have two more readings after tonight to work it out, but I'll continue to be an advocate for the museum, but I also am not -- Mr. O'Hora's words are not lost on me as far as the importance of the documents in city hall and the departments that they are affecting, so I'm sure we will work it out.

MR. ROGAN: On the question, I discussed this -- we discussed this a little bit in our caucus today, and maybe it's just because I'm younger and I have little different perspective, you know, I think having boxes and boxes of paper doesn't to anybody any good. If there is a way we can digitize all of these records and have it fully accessible to everyone and easy to search that seems to be a solution. Now, I know there will be a cost associated with that so I think that's something we need to look at, the cost benefit of it.

And, also, I believe Mr. Evans had brought it up possibly having Mr. O'Hora come in and have a caucus and talk about the needs from his department so we can balance that out with the needs of the museum as well to reach a compromise and something that can work for everyone.

Regarding the Serrenti building, you know, I still think that's -- if we can store records there, if we have to keep the paper records I think it's a perfect place, but we will have to check with the Law Department regarding the stack of paperwork regarding that building if it can be used to store these records or not. Obviously, if it's not permissible it's not permissible, but we need to look at digitizing our records. It's 2017. We shouldn't have boxes and boxes of paper being stored in city hall.

That being said, the Civil War

Museum is a hidden gem in the city. We want
to keep it in the city so I'm looking

forward to working with my colleagues so we
can reach a conclusion to this.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, on the question.

I'm kind of just repeating what I said during my motions but, you know, it came to a head where we need this space for our records by federal mandate or we can have this Civil War Museum and it looks like no longer we can have both in-house. I'm for the Civil War Museum. It's a great piece of history and we have some time now to source some offsite storage for our records. We need to keep them, it's federally mandated that we have to hold onto them for a certain amount of years.

So with that being said, at a minimal pending the outcome of Mr. Gaughan's motion next we have a minimum of one year to find a home for both of these issue.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 65, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL
CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY TRANSFERRING
\$14,499.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.

01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL

EXPENDITURES CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.

01.020.00000.4201 (OFFICE OF THE CITY

CLERK/CITY COUNCIL-PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO

PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE PAYMENT

OF FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS THROUGH THE 2017

BUDGET PERIOD.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? On the question, this is maybe something that people aren't aware of but city council is responsible to pay quite a few expenses to keep this part of our operation going. One of them things is that we are required is the city council actually by the Home Rule Charter is charged with the responsibility

of paying for the annual audit that comes under our umbrella. We also have to pay for all of the advertisements that you see in the paper, the Scranton Times is doing pretty good off of us, don't laugh, Mr. Evans, but there are some expenses that we have to carry. The amount budgeted last year was short. Mrs. Reed has been working with Business Administrator Bulzoni to try and get a more accurate number for our 2018 expenditures.

One of the things that was a benefit to us in working with Mr. Gaughan through Granticus we are saving a lot on paper. We are making less and less papers, so there will be a benefit in that for us, but this is just one of those things that at this time of year we are coming down to the end of money available and this is why this transfer has to occur.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
3	ayes have it and so moved.
4	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
5	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
6	NO. 65, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL
7	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY TRANSFERRING
8	\$200,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
9	01.401.13090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL
10	EXPENDITURES CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.
11	01.051.00082.4448 (DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING,
12	INSPECTIONS & PERMITS-BUREAU OF BUILDINGS
13	PAWC-WATER) TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING
14	FOR THE PAYMENT OF PAWC-WATER INVOICES
15	THROUGH THE 2017 BUDGET PERIOD.
16	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. EVANS: Second.
21	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. PERRY: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 5 NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 6 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 7 8 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -9 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 203, 2017 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 10 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN 11 ADDENDUM TO THE COOPERATION AGREEMENT BY AND 12 BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON ("CITY") AND 13 14 THE DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS ("DMVA") TO FURTHER AMEND THE 15 COOPERATION AGREEMENT TO PERMIT THE SCRANTON 16 17 POLICE DEPARTMENT'S FORCE ENTRY TACTICAL TEAM TO CONDUCT EXPLOSIVE ENTRY TRAINING ON 18 THE LEACH RANGE PROPERTY. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 21 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 22 passage of Item 7-A. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 Roll call, please?

	98
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
2	MR. PERRY: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
11	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
12	adopted.
13	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
14	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR
15	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 204, 2017-
16	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
17	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
18	CONTRACT WITH PETROLEUM TRADERS CORPORATION
19	TO PROVIDE 80,000 GALLONS (MORE OR LESS) LOW
20	SULFUR DIESEL FUEL TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON
21	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR THE PERIOD
22	JANUARY 1, 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2018.
23	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
24	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
25	Committee on Public Works?

	99
1	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-B.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
6	Roll call, please?
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
8	MR. PERRY: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
17	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
18	adopted.
19	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR
21	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 205, 2017 -
22	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
23	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A
24	CONTRACT WITH D.G. NICHOLAS COMPANY TO
25	PROVIDE THE CITY BULK OIL DELIVERED FOR THE
	II

	100
1	PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2018 THROUGH DECEMBER 31,
2	2018.
3	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Public Works?
6	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-C.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
11	Roll call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
13	MR. PERRY: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
22	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
23	adopted.
24	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
25	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -

RESOLUTION NO. 206, 2017 - APPOINTMENT OF
THOMAS GALELLA, SR., 112 PELLER AVENUE,
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER
OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON HOUSING
AUTHORITY. MR. GALELLA WILL BE REPLACING
BILL EAGAN WHOSE TERM EXPIRED SEPTEMBER 27,
2017. MR. GALELLA WILL BE APPOINTED TO A
FIVE (5) YEAR TERM EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 12,
2017 AND WILL EXPIRE ON SEPTEMBER 27,
2022.
MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-D.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
Roll call, please?
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
MR. PERRY: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION RESOLUTION NO. 207, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF
UNDERSTANDING BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON ("CITY") AND ELECTRIC CITY
TELEVISION ("ECTV") SETTING FORTH THE TERMS
AND CONDITIONS REGARDING THE OBLIGATIONS OF
ECTV TO THE CITY AND THE DISBURSEMENT AND
USE OF EDUCATIONAL AND GOVERNMENTAL ("EG")
CAPITAL GRANT FUNDS.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-E.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: I'd just like to reiterate my support for ECTV and the importance of keeping our meetings on the air for the public to watch.

MR. EVANS: I would concur with

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that. Mark Migliore and all of the volunteers do a tremendous job. They should be congratulated almost weekly because of what they do. The time spent and what they have achieved with minimum amount of funds is really incredible, so congratulations to Mark and glad we finally got this thing passed.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. This actually, this legislation is actually one of the things I'm most proud of as I leave council at the end of the December. This legislation has been hanging around for many years not to be concluded and I thank Mr. Migliore for his patience. His patience and finances is very difficult, but he hung in there and he kept broadcasting. He does a great job not only at city council meetings, but you can see him throughout the city taping other events that he does on his time and one of the things I'm going to miss is working with Mark on city council.

Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

F		
		104
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
4	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
8	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
9	declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully	
10	adopted.	
11	If there is no further business,	
12	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
13	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
14	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

. .

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER