	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, June 8, 2017
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

TIM PERRY

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. CARRERA: 10 Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 13 14 MR. EVANS: I would like to make a motion to take from the table resolution no. 15 16 159-2017. 17 MR. ROGAN: Second. 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 19 This resolution pertains to the request for 20 outdoor seating at Posh Restaurant and will 21 be placed in Seventh Order for a final vote. 22 Anyone who wishes to speak on this piece of 23 legislation may do so during Fourth Order, 24 citizens' participation. 25 All those in favor signify by saying

1	aye.
2	MR. PERRY: Aye.
3	MR. EVANS: Aye.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	Dispense with the reading of the
9	minutes.
10	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. SINGLE
11	TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON
12	REPORT 2016-2017 YEAR TO DATE MAY 31, 2017.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
14	comments? If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
16	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD
17	JUNE 14, 2017.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3.C TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT
21	FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD JUNE 21,
22	2017.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
24	comments? If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-D MINUTES OF THE

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGULAR MEETING OF THE LACKAWANNA COUNTY
LAND BANK HELD MAY 12. 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council members have announcements at this time? The electronic recycling program will begin on Monday, June 12 and extend through June 26. Your items should be placed curbside for the DPW to collect. Each household will be allowed one television and one computer monitor. Please be aware that pick up of these items may occur at any time not necessarily on your scheduled garbage day. Please be prepared to have your items ready and placed curbside for this Monday, June the 12th.

Next Thursday, June 15, Colts is once again offering free rides all day on all routes in promotion of dump the pump day. Colts encourages you to leave your car at home and experience public transit on this dump the pump day.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Roseann Davitt.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DAVITT: Good evening,

I'm Roseann Davitt from 2409 aentlemen. Rockwell Avenue in Scranton. This request is made to be incorporated in all areas of Scranton not just my area of the town. reside in North Scranton area of the city and I have lived in my house since I was very young. I live right across from McLane Park, which is also known as Rockwell Avenue playground or the park. During my lifetime, I have run across that Rockwell Avenue many times to play at the playground. I have taught my children how to cross that street, I have taught my grandchildren, and I also crossed many children who had no idea to look up both ways just to make sure that they were safe.

I have also seen many people almost get hit there he Rockwell Avenue and Gilbert Street crossing because it's basically known as a drag strip. There are stop signs, but they don't look at them. For the past five years we have had a break in that threat because the bridge was closed and thank you very much, first of all, I appreciate the

fact that the bridge is open again, I'm thrilled for it, but the traffic pattern had changed in those five years and there is a couple of things that happened at that time. The good of it was that the drivers weren't traveling as fast up that road and the kids could cross more safe, and the noise level was much less, but the bad was that the emergency vehicles could not get to our area as quickly, which thank God has been Winter snow removal was not as changed. adequate as it should have been, and also the children became much more lax in looking both ways and taking their time to cross the street.

Now that the bridge is open again I really feel we are going to have a problem with that because I have already seen it happen that a lot of people are just running that stop sign again. In fact, I have two instances where it was kind of funny, a couple of weeks ago my son was at the house and he is a state trooper and we were outside next to the house and a car came right down and he said, "Mom, isn't there

still a stop sign there?"

And I said, "Yeah, there is, Chris."

And he goes, "Oh, my God."

Well, just three days ago I was building a raised garden for my daughter's birthday and I was by my house, well, I live right on the corner and one of our neighbors, who was going to make the turn to go up Gilbert Street came that way and he was sitting at the stop sign waiting to make the turn, another car comes barreling down and he yelled at the top of his lungs, "There's a stop sign."

Well, the guy didn't care, but it happens all of the time. We have so many people that are on that road that go across there all the time at the playground. We really need to make sure that these people are safe.

I'm making this request for all of the parks of Scranton not just for McLane Park. We need to make sure that our kids and our residents are safe, whether they are driving or walking because also when you go down Gilbert Street there is stop sign on

Gilbert so the people that are going down from Gilbert coming up or down on Rockwell can get creamed. We have almost been hit ourselves and we just live around the corner with the park next to the house.

I have attached a few pictures of signs to be used to make sure that this doesn't happen, but there are other things that could be done as well. One of the things that I thought might be helpful is a rumble strip across in front of the park, you know, on the street. I don't know if that's possible. I don't know if it's legal.

Also, one of the speed indicators that could be used to show what the speed is and maybe the police could be -- it could be put into the police department and then the police could come up and monitor it. I know they can't put a cop there, a guy there all the time, I know that. And, also, we could also go ahead and install 15-mile-an-hour zones for every city playground. I remember know it's expensive to put those new signs up, but, I'm sorry, our kids' safety is much

more important. Our residents' safety is much more important and if we did the signs we could also do like a reduced speed ahead and that could help it as well. Thank you very much.

MR. GAUGHAN: Ms. Davitt, can you leave that information with Ms. Carrera and we'll forward that Director Gallagher and we'll make sure that that request gets taken care.

MS. DAVITT: Sure, not a problem.

MR. WECHSLER: Pat Lendowski.

MS. LENDOWSKI: Good evening. Thank you very much for hearing. I live in South Side, 1501 South Irving Avenue, and I don't know if you know South Side, but our street is a split street. South Irving Avenue runs here and I have a small street that runs up, we have like five houses on the street it comes up and then comes back down. Well, the street is falling apart up there and there is a bank that comes down onto the lower part of South Irving Avenue. I have some pictures here I will give you.

MR. PERRY: Excuse me. I'm sorry,

up here. No, the other way. Facing you.

The mic is just a little off center and I'm having a hard time.

MS. LENDOWSKI: Down a little further?

MR. PERRY: Yeah. Perfect. Thank you.

MS. LENDOWSKI: This last winter has been the worst for it and, of course, it was the heavy storm that came and they had to bring a backhoe in to clean this street because nothing else would fit up there. We had to take all of the cars off the street and they brought a backhoe. Well, it dug right into the macadam, pulled it off and dropped it across the street with all of the snow so you will see in the pictures there are holes and there is a divot in the street that I can stand in.

Now, the garbage truck is afraid to come up there because he ends up doing this (indicating) when he gets to the bottom of the street as he is goes down the hill to come back onto South Irving and Fig Street. The mailman doesn't want to come anymore

because all the little pebbles and the little rocks have come up through it, and I've fallen myself three times, but I didn't get hurt, thank goodness. I don't know if anything can be done about this because as I said the bank is starting to wear away, you can't cut the grass down wherein this part of the bank which overflows, now you can't see the cars coming up the street either because the grass is this high. I used to stand on the bank and go over it with the weedwhacker like this, I can't do it anymore because there is no bank to get on.

I'm afraid it's going to be a liability soon because somebody is definitely going to get hurt either somebody is going to fall there and sue the city or a car is going to fall off there down onto the lower road. We have the kids coming from Valley View down that street all school time. They are going to get hurt some day. The whole bank is full of rock and debris now because anybody throws anything out of the car window that's where it lands, all of these little rocks and pebbles that have

come there, that's where they are gone down into now so you can't even clean it out or weed whack it because you are picking up rocks and throwing it if you try to use a weedwhacker on it. I have asked numerous times, I have called. They did come out twice and put down, like, cold patch I guess you call it and packed it down, but that only pulls up, too.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I'm familiar with the road and it's well beyond cold patch. I believe we sent South Irving in as a request to have that paved over the last couple of months and, Ms. Reed, could we find out if that was added to the pave list?

MS. LENDOWSKI: No, it was taken off again.

MR. PERRY: And that might be just because of the condition of, the road might need to be striped and rebuilt.

MS. LENDOWSKI: Well, I will tell you something, my husband is gone 12 years now and 12 years ago they wrote in the middle of the road, "pave". The city came up and the gentlemen had a white can of

23

24

25

paint and he wrote "pave" on our end of the street and on the other end of the street.

You will see picture, the "pave" is still there but there is no pave.

MR. EVANS: You are in the 1500 block; right?

MS. LENDOWSKI: Yes, I am. 1501.

I'm on the corner.

MR. EVANS: And the issue is also in the 1400 block as well; right?

MS. LENDOWSKI: It is. The other end of block, the other end of the 1400 block is just as bad at the end of the block. I mean, I'm more afraid of somebody getting hurt there than I am anything else because I don't have sidewalks. We don't have sidewalks up there. When I walk out my front steps to go down to the street I am in the street and all it is is rock and holes and how do I get from my house to my car? I can't park up there any longer, it's impossible. If I put my car there the garbage truck is never getting up there. There is not just room because of the bank is gone.

1 MR. EVANS: I mean, it is the only 2 street like that I'm aware of in the city 3 that's like that with the island in the middle and the grass in the middle and 4 5 basically split in two. MS. LENDOWSKI: It's that and the 6 1400 block. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Yeah. 9 MS. LENDOWSKI: And I just don't 10 what else to do because there is no way for 11 anybody to get up there. Like I said, when the mailman doesn't want to come that's 12 13 pretty bad. When the garbage men don't want 14 to come, that's pretty bad. They are afraid. 15 16 MR. PERRY: No, I know it's 17 treacherous. 18 MR. EVANS: They both need to be 19 reconstructed and redesigned. No doubt 20 about that. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Now, the list that's 22 out there that you may have seen that's a 23 tentative list. 24 MS. LENDOWSKI: Okay. 25 MR. WECHSLER: That list has not

been submitted officially to council yet so 1 2 we still have the opportunity to request the 3 administration to work on it. MS. LENDOWSKI: If they would just 4 5 come up and look at it I think you will 6 see --MR. WECHSLER: We have all been --7 8 I'm on council four years, Mr. Rogan is on 9 here longer than me and it's been a problem. 10 MS. LENDOWSKI: I think if you would 11 come up and see you can see what I'm talking 12 Would you want if I can leave my about. camera with her for now? 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. MS. LENDOWSKI: So you can take a 15 16 look at it? 17 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. But we will 18 submit it again to the administration to 19 take a look at it. 20 MS. LENDOWSKI: If you would, 21 please. 22 MS. PERRY: Mrs. Reed, would you 23 also include the city engineer on that 24 because he might be in the loop it hasn't 25 gotten paved because there is paving

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

construction on the books for it already, so just so we have a heads up and a calendar on the events.

MS. REED: Certainly.

MR. PERRY: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. For those of you who disgraced yourselves by not attending Swingin' on Vine the last Friday in May, and you know who you are, you can redeem yourselves by coming to the Friends of the Scranton Public Library book sale which is ongoing at Marketplace at Steamtown on the second floor in front of the Crusher It's going on from now until Sunday. The hours today and tomorrow are 10 a.m. to Saturday it's 10 to 5, and Sunday it's one 11 to 5, and one thing new this time around we have hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of talking books for those of you who like to put a little cassette or a tape in while you are driving, we have -they are good titles so come on down.

I assume that the Knowel's insurance

list is still making it's way through 1 bureaucratical labyrinth to be birthed next 2 3 Like April's draft, we have like a 4 video camera, okay? 5 Do you realize it has been 447 days 6 since the mayor announced the appointment of 7 a third party administrator for the pension plans on March 18, 2016? What is the status 8 9 of that RFP? When they have one bidder, 10 Access Medical Evaluations out of Michigan. 11 MR. EVANS: My understanding is they 12 are going to streamline the RFP a little bit 13 and put it back out to bid and hopefully it 14 will get several bids this time. MS. HODOWANITZ: We never had the 15 question answered as to --16 17 MR. EVANS: No, we didn't. 18 MS. HODOWANITZ: -- how he was 19 advertising it. 20 MR. EVANS: Yes 21 MS. HODOWANITZ: He claimed this 22 would be a nationally recognized 23 administrator. This group that they got was 24 formed in 2005, have a staff of three people 25 and make revenue, a annual revenue of

\$119,100. That's not profit, that's 1 2 revenue. God knows what their costs are. 3 MR. EVANS: Well, they're out for 4 now. 5 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I hope you know about 447 days so does that mean that 6 7 we are going to have to wait 447 days for the fabled town hall meeting? 8 9 MR. EVANS: Well, that will be a problem, won't it? 10 11 MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes. 12 MR. EVANS: To go back to the other 13 issue, the third party administrator has to 14 be in place prior to any trust agreement and pension reforms. 15 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: So that then is 17 stalemate. 18 MR. EVANS: And money put into the 19 pension. MS. HODOWANITZ: So it's stalemate. 20 21 MR. EVANS: It has to happen. MS. HODOWANITZ: Let's see, we are 22 23 coming out of distressed status in three 24 years, the school district is swirling 25 around the drain and we are still maiden on

	21
1	this problem, have I got that right? Okay.
2	Yeah, but the town hall meeting, when and
3	where? I'm ready.
4	MR. WECHSLER: I spoke to the mayor
5	on Tuesday, they are still making plans to
6	have everyone altogether.
7	MS. HODOWANITZ: I told you, there's
8	a stadium right on Providence Road.
9	MR. WECHSLER: It's the participants
10	in the meeting.
11	MS. HODOWANITZ: What, the mayor and
12	city residents and taxpayers. Who else
13	needs to come?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: The lawyers.
15	MS. HODOWANITZ: Oh, the 50 lawyers.
16	MR. EVANS: You have to have room
17	for 50 lawyers, that's a big table.
18	MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm telling you,
19	this is a stall tactic, plain and simple.
20	MR. WECHSLER: No. Well, it may be
21	but the meeting is going to occur within a
22	short time.
23	MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay.
24	MR. WECHSLER: It is going to occur
25	in around a short time.

1 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey. Speaking of stall tactics, what is the 2 3 status of the request to the State Attorney General to come down here and look at that 4 sewer sale transaction? 5 MR. WECHSLER: It was submitted to 6 7 them electronically on Monday. 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: Because I remember 9 he made a comment in the newspaper, I 10 haven't seen anything from city council yet. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Their comment was 12 made probably 9 a.m. on Friday, we got out 13 of here at 8:30 on Friday. We started our 14 own business for next week's meeting. The letter was written on Monday and submitted 15 16 on Monday, so it's in there. MS. HODOWANITZ: When 17 18 electronically, electronic comments go at 19 the speed of light so hopefully he is coming 20 I would like to see a story in the 21 Times-Tribune. 22 MR. WECHSLER: That's why we made 23 the submission. 24 MS. HODOWANITZ: Get his little hiney down here, tell him we need him. 25

about the Nay Aug tree house, are they 1 still, you know, contemplating their navel 2 3 on how much that's going to cost? MR. WECHSLER: I wouldn't say they 4 5 are contemplating their navels, they are assessing the fact that the tree house is 6 I wouldn't minimize the study that 7 safe. they are doing. There is -- that tree house 8 9 is used quite a bit and I agree with the 10 decision to review it properly and make sure that it's not --11 12 MS. HODOWANITZ: But it's probably 13 going to be out of play for the rest of the 14 summer, I would imagine. MR. WECHSLER: I would rather have 15 16 it be safe than hurry it. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: All right. See you 18 next week. Bill Jackowitz. 19 MR. WECHSLER: 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: Scranton City 21 Council, Kathy, stenographer, Lori, Amil, 22 good evening to all of you. 23 Joan stole my thunder in the town 24 hall meeting, I still say and I'll stick to 25 my saying from last week that it's the town

hall meeting will probably be held after the election and I'll be surprised if it's held before then or it might be the week before the election, but I'll be surprised and if I'm wrong I'll admit I'm wrong, but it's been a week, a week gone by and no action whatsoever with the town hall meeting.

MR. EVANS: You were right, Bill, on the Sewer Authority Board voting so maybe you are.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, I'm going to be right on the town hall meeting, too, because all that's going to happen is the mayor is going to come in with a couple of his associates and they are going to sit there and they are going to stonewall every question that's given to them and they are not going to give any answers that you need. If they were going to actually seriously give you the answers, they would have already give you the answers by now because it's been what six months now, seven months now and they stonewalled you each and every time. Well, they might be able to stonewall city council, but they ain't going to be

able to stonewall the residents much longer because we want answers and we want answers fast, not months down the road or weeks down the road we want answers now and we want serious answers and correct answers.

Okay, I understand that the city is going to be in distress for another three years; is that correct?

MR. EVANS: Yes, that's how the exit plan works.

MR. JACKOWITZ: You know that's going to put us over 10,000 days? We are going be distressed over 10,000 days, we're coming up on 9,000 right now, add another two years of that we are going to be distressed for 10,000 days, 28 years. Don't you think enough is enough? Don't you think the citizens have suffered enough? We came here this year, everybody pat everybody on the back saying how we were going to be out of distressed city this year. What happened? What fell apart?

MR. EVANS: Nothing. That's the process. The state dictates the process of getting out and that's the process. We have

to declare it --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Then why was everybody saying we're going to be out of it this year?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ EVANS: I never said that. I'm not sure who said that though.

MR. JACKOWITZ: It was said. Okay, storm water management, what's going on with storm water maintenance? Are we making any progress with it? Is the administration and the city council working on the problem, how we are going to solve it, how we're going to come up with the money to solve this problem and work it out? Are we working on the problem? I guess no answer means no.

MR. GAUGHAN: There is an analysis being done by Arcadis Engineering and I think the study is supposed to be done October or November according to the administration.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And are we going to have the finances available to pay for it?

MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know that.

MR. EVANS: The study is being paid for. The study is paid for already so --

MR. JACKOWITZ: Pardon me? 1 2 MR. EVANS: The study is already 3 paid for. MR. JACKOWITZ: Some of it is 4 5 already paid for. MR. EVANS: The study already is 6 7 paid for, yeah. 8 MR. JACKOWITZ: Right, but I'm 9 talking about the whole kitten caboodle. 10 MR. EVANS: Well, that's yet to be seen. We'll have to see. 11 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: They're out of debt, 13 completely out of debt, don't anybody any 14 money on the storm water, that's what I'm talking about. 15 16 MR. EVANS: We're going to find out. 17 MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay, the sale of 18 the Sewer Authority was a fast money maker 19 so how much profit did we make on the sale 20 of the Sewer Authority? What was the profit to the City of Scranton. We told it so fast 21 22 because we needed money so desperately, the 23 same reason why we sold the parking lots the 24 way we did, we needed the money so 25 desperately so what's our profit?

1	MR. WECHSLER: The parking lots were
2	leased, the parking lots were not sold, they
3	were leased.
4	MR. JACKOWITZ: Leased, same thing.
5	Sold. Leased.
6	MR. WECHSLER: It's not the same
7	thing.
8	MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, we don't have
9	control over them. We don't have control
10	them.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, but it's not
12	the same thing.
13	MR. JACKOWITZ: We don't have
14	control over them anymore and they are not
15	paying us for the lease, they paid us some
16	money up front but now they're not paying us
17	anymore.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Well, when the lease
19	is paid
20	MR. JACKOWITZ: So it's basically
21	the same thing, it's just a play on words.
22	MR. WECHSLER: You say it's the same
23	thing but it's not.
24	MR. JACKOWITZ: It's just a play on
25	words, that's all.

MR. WECHSLER: It's not. 1 2 MR. JACKOWITZ: We're not getting no 3 money out of it, we're getting no profit, 4 we're getting no revenue, the taxpayers have 5 to foot the bill for everything. MR. WECHSLER: Well, we eliminated 6 7 all of the debt for there and the management 8 issues. 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Like I said before, 10 who created that debt? 11 MR. WECHSLER: The Authority. MR. JACKOWITZ: And who appointed 12 13 the Authority? 14 MR. WECHSLER: The mayor did. MR. JACKOWITZ: And he's an elected 15 official, and who appointed the appointments 16 17 of the Authority? 18 MR. WECHSLER: They'll serve at the 19 pleasure of the mayor. 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: They are at the 21 pleasure of the mayor, but they also go 22 through city council because you require a 23 resume on each and every appointment that 24 comes through so if you don't -- if your 25 appointment doesn't mean anything why do you

require a resume?

2

_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: It's a courtesy.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Oh, okay. Again,

it's just nothing but spin, that's all we get.

MR. WECHSLER: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, gentlemen.

MR. EVANS: You are back.

MR. ELLMAN: After Marie's

impassioned plea for my return a couple of weeks ago, I had to leave my death bed to be here tonight so please show me some leniency. As far as the sewer fiasco goes, we are never going to get any money back. Nothing is ever going to be done about it. It's time just to make plans to go on if you The graphic corruption of this ask me. administration after two years planning is what we got. This is shameful. Shameful. It's just time to replace in administration. Do you realize what Mayor Bill's very first -- the first thing he did in office was break a law, the law not to hire people outside of the city and nobody objected.

broke this law and we didn't get somebody

good, we got a third rate person that lied repeatedly about his residency.

You know the first thing Mr. Bulzoni did? He asked for a consultant to help him with paving? This city has just gone to pot the last three years with no leadership whatsoever. It's the people of this fair city that suffer while this administration they just stand by the side lines and watch our neighborhoods and our -- the city is deteriorated in my opinion.

A couple of months ago when I was up here and I complained about something or rather as usual a lawyer told me, I have it written down here, I copied it from a piece of paper, he said we need a forced, now get this, he used the forced to subsidize downtown from the rest of the city. He told me there is less than 500 apartments rented. There is no income for these people that owe God knows how much money. I looked in the paper from last week, just 8 or 10 of them owe 300, 350 thousand dollars. Where are you going to go with nothing being done about this? This 134 Wyoming was in the

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

paper, they owe \$77,800. Now, how can that be? Why is it allowed to go on? This other 245 Wyoming owes \$41,900. This little sanctuary downtown that the friends of the mayor that's who Bulzoni wants to give tax money to and they are not paying taxes. It doesn't make sense what's going on and nobody is protesting it for God's sake.

You know, I remember a few months ago there was an article praising Cedar Avenue, they owed like three, 350,000 dollars, eight or ten developers and realtors. No wonder the street looks so nice. Can you imagine what West Side or South Side would look like if nobody paid taxes? All the houses would be paid and remodeled, but you got 99 percent of the city, I guess, subsidizing this little sanctuary area around the mall. It's got to stop somehow. Why aren't these -- I know you guys seen it, why aren't at least something done about all of these people that owe so much money? You know, there was one guy last year, Scranton -- oh, give me a second to find it, Scranton investors last

25

1

2

year in the paper they owed \$484,000 in I think it was that pages and pages with the 6,000, 400 -- it's not in the paper this year, what happened to that money? isn't it still trying to be collected? happened to it? What happened to the Pennswood I think owed \$53,000 when they folded up, what happened to that tax money? It wasn't paid. They are allowed to -somebody else is allowed to have the building. This tax base can't survive like There is just no way we can keep subsidizing downtown and it's nothing but a sanctuary of tax cheats. Friends of the mayor, that's all that it is. It's friends of the mayor. LLC you don't have to pay taxes, you're a developer you don't have to pay taxes.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Pardon?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Let me say just one thing on another --

MR. WECHSLER: I'm sorry, you had

six minutes. That's more than enough, more than anyone else gets. Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you for your leniency.

MR. WECHSLER: Thanks for coming back. Come back again next week.

MR. ELLMAN: Sorry?

MR. WECHSLER: Come back again next week. Thank you. John Foley.

MR. FOLEY: Gentlemen, you are better guys than I am. You are clearly more patient. I have two matters. First, it appears that this obsession with the legal fees is a mass distraction from what you should be looking at. I said initially, and I continue to believe, you should evaluate the legal fees after you totally understand the deal, you have a complete explanation as to what's happened.

Now, I have heard a number of people, including newspaper articles, of late trash Tom Cummings. I'm sitting in the back saying Dunmore is 20 percent of the deal, Scranton is 80. If I look at Tom's fees and I look at the aggregate of all of

the lawyers on the Scranton side, I'm saying Dunmore got a value. That's simple using it as a benchmark.

I reviewed the invoices, the second round of invoices. I see an awful lot, but, frankly, I'm a bit biased because I have always believed that professional fees are reasonable and should be paid on time, but if you would like I would be more than happy after everything else has been settled to look at legal fees.

Now, second issue. You attended the Sewer Authority meeting. I brought up a matter, I sent each one of you a letter today, it was addressed to Mr. Wechsler. There is a section of the Municipality Act that 56-12-1A, and my interpretation of that is that the Authority was prohibited from transferring any money to the City of Scranton. I met with Jason Shrive yesterday. I discussed the matter with him. He said that there is probably legal cases out there but, frankly, not being a lawyer my recommendation is that the Attorney General's Office needs to look at this

because if my interpretation is correct the city has to give the \$28 million back with interest. That puts an enormous hole. The three years to get out of distressed status, never, ever going to happen so I implore you, if you would like send my letter to the Attorney General's Office. It's a matter of state law, not any city ordinances. I don't expect you to respond to it, everything I give to you is for informational purposes. What you so chose to do with it is up to you, but you do have the responsibility. This single issue holds in balance the finances of the City of Scranton.

So with that, gentlemen, you have a great evening.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Cara Seitzinger.

MS. SEITZINGER: Good evening,
Council. Kara Seitzinger, City of Scranton
resident, member of the Greenridge
Neighborhood Association. I'd like to take
this opportunity to invite all of you, as
well as all city residents, to our
Greenridge neighborhood meeting on Tuesday

the 12th at 7:00 p.m. We meet at 625 Deacon Street. The purpose of the meeting, a cursory review here, is the preservation of the Castle house that has been in the newspaper, and predominantly the Sewer Authority sale and the Attorney General intervention in the same. We have found in the past year that the neighborhood groups are better united than separated. We exchange information, texts, e-mails, phone calls, it's been a real blowup in the past year but it's a benefit to all city residents so we certainly invite everybody to attend.

Mr. Perry, I hope that you had a chance to review the legal bills, and I did a quick review because I had my daughters' graduation and I have been ill. A couple of highlights on those legal bills. On 7-22-2015 Luke Capital, the Harvard Club, a dinner, for meetings. Planes, automobiles, Uber rides, it goes on and on. On 10-26-2012, Attorney Carl Greco began research on the power to sell Sewer Authority and all of it's assets. That's a

long time, before most of you gentlemen were here as councilmen. Six hours at \$840 that day, and if you look those bills and the days following it was a full court press effort.

On 12-13-15, on Jeff Belardi's bills, review the outline for memorandum of understanding, in parentheses, Carl G, secret project. Mr. Belardi spent two hours on that matter. He also notes on that he also reviewed an outline from Steve Goldstein -- or Goldsmith, I'm sorry, memos from Greco, Stone, and then, "And probably sent to me by accident."

That takes me to the next issue which is, again, with Jeff Belardi, and I don't want to talk too much about this because the Greenridge 10-29-15, a phone call with Chris Krezlowski about the odor in Greenridge. As we all know what had happened from that point, the concerning part is that it is not referenced in any Sewer Authority meeting minutes. There is no resolution and it's not on any other attorney bills.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm going to present to you some questions we have regarding the project that's been ongoing on Glenn Street. Greenridge Neighborhood Association has been inundated with questions regarding this project that has been under construction and the accompanying road closures on Glenn, Von Storch and Albright since December of 2016. Since early of August of 2016, there have rumors circulating that this is to be an alternate leachate storage pond. As such, we formally requested -- we are requesting that council provide answers to the following questions so that we can verify the exact nature of the project. Seitzinger, Greenridge Neighborhood president, did request the information from the city last fall and winter and has yet to receive any response and the lack of the transparency tends to cause residents to surmise the worst and we are not indicating that that is what's going on here.

I have in my possession the notice of the condemnation documents that were prepared and filed by Attorney Carl Greco

with the Lackawanna County Recorder of Deeds on March 15, of 2016, these documents are executed by Jimmy Barrett on March 10 and given by brief knowledge of condemnation actions taken on behalf of the city for the flood control project years back, I simply cannot imagine a project of this magnitude commence without Don King, city planner, or the city engineer reviewing it. So here are the following questions:

What entity is doing this work?
What is the scope of work? And will you please provide copies of the contracts, change orders and specs for the work? What city, county or state officials have reviewed the work and dates of same? Is this work impacting progress on the Lace Works factory the city has already dedicated money towards? Was council aware that this project was underway when you awarded OECD grant money to the Lace Works project? When did the work commence and what is the expected end date? Who is paying for this work and the total amount of expenditures thus far, as well as future expenditures,

including upkeep. Any and all documentation relative to this project including consent decree as referenced hereby a PAWC forwarded via e-mail to Mark Seitzinger and posted to the Greenridge neighborhood page by Mark.

The official statement from PAWC -- one more second -- "The following involving Glenn street is one of the long-term control project required by the consent decree to help control overflows in the combined waste water system and it includes the plan separation of storm water and waste water.

Unfortunately, the project has been delayed due to easement."

And I'm only bringing that up because when I bring it up on Google maps Meadow Brook runs through there, and I understand we have still the money that needs state approval and city approval, so it's a big project and rather than people continuing to guess let's just get the answers. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxes and fees paid.

On that issue that was moved up to Seventh,

like I said last week, please no vote. You

don't need to exclude the sidewalk.

Now, on the Sewer Authority, one point of curiosity, mine, was the \$13 million outstanding, and it was a few years back about two years ago or so somebody was all worked up that they got a call from the Sewer Authority and they owed \$700 in sewer fees for the house and they had to pay it, and to be quite frank, the person that stated that had a problem buying lottery tickets as far as I'm concerned, so I also had an idea on our Parking Authority with the -- so I'm wonder that's not an outstanding accounts or something. I mean, \$13 million is a lot of money, especially to us.

The Parking Authority with their broken elevators, as you notice, I have a cracked ankle and I have to hike up and down those steps so and pay \$7 if I stay for the whole meeting. Luckily I can catch it on TV later. However, how about free parking

until they fix that damn elevator. That would be a great idea.

And we had our first sale with the land bank and I also would wish that people that buy land in land bank pay their city taxes and fees. A certain name was in the paper for considerable amount of money and, as I said before, there was \$7.5 million owed in back trash fees. Now, if somebody doesn't have the money we don't want their trash laying around, however, all Mayor Doherty had to say about it was, "I'll put a lien against them," and no liens were ever put against anybody that I know of anyway.

And last week I mentioned about an illegal dump and a DA's Office that really didn't seem to be too concerned because I worked with some of the people that were from that neighborhood and he was also possibly from somewhere near there. The three dens of inequity were rock and roll bars that used to have a bunch of young people up there and they put mostly local bands and they were paid quite well and, of course, they fell by the wayside probably

20

21

22

23

24

25

up. recycle, Mr. Dobrzyn.

for the DUI laws and stuff, but they were dens of inequity while a toxic landfill was just budding and growing and I once again mentioned that I would have to abandoned my house if they ever uncapped that and have some guys in space suits come in and clean I live about five miles away as the crow flies, so getting down to it, once again, I'm seriously opposed to any pay for trash. All I expect for pay to throw is that people that feel they don't have money to throw and pay will deposit on somebody else's back and, by the way, oh, you got a city inspector up there. Oh, well you have to get rid of this, Mr. Dobrzyn. Well, here is your citation under the quality of life ordinance and oh, by the way, there's recycling in those bags. You didn't

So what if there is Pampers and everything else and we have no babies in the house and never did. So what's the answer to all of this? Once again, you could write in Dubcheck (phonetic) for dictator. Enough of this dumb atrocity here, and I thought of

my new party, a launch for my party as I
want to get a death head symbol, but wait,
wear it upside down that I'm against
Holocausts and ethnic cleansing and all of
that nasty stuff. So don't forget, write in
Dubchck for dictator. Have a good night.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. I notice in the back up,
the 3-A, we are about a quarter of a million
dollars behind on the property taxes and
about \$200,000 on the business privilege and
mercantile tax. If any of you have any
ideas why I wish you would share them in
Fitch Order.

5-B, the addition of the Serrenti property has that been added to the list and I would like to know how much that has added to our property tax -- to our bill for insurance on the property as we got the decrement for taking off the two library structures, so if you could provide that next week that would be helpful.

Mr. Evans, is being in Act 47 a requirement to impose the triple LST tax?

MR. EVANS: As far as I know, yes.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: So we get an exit 2 plan that takes us out to three years and 3 then drops off the cliff, we drop off the cliff. 4 5 Well, that part does, MR. EVANS: 6 yes. MS. SCHUMACHER: 7 Yeah 8 MR. EVANS: It reverts back to a 9 single. 10 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. Okay, last 11 week Mrs. Franus asked about the refuse fee 12 cost study and you were going to do some 13 research, can you share with me what you 14 would have shared with her? MR. EVANS: I apologize, I didn't 15 16 get a chance to find out where we are with 17 that. I know it was ongoing, but I don't 18 know exactly where we are on that. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Who is actually 20 performing the study? 21 MR. EVANS: I'll find out for you. 22 The state is actually in charge of that 23 study so we'll find out who they have 24 assigned to it. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, it's

1 getting bad. I did a Right-to-Know to come 2 in and review the contract and it took five 3 days --MR. EVANS: Do you have any idea? 4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: No, and then I got a contact that was the last thing for 6 7 Mrs. Joyce, what's her name, Hatala? It was 8 on the recycling. It was totally just --9 That's not it. MR. EVANS: MS. SCHUMACHER: Fish, dead fish, 10 yeah. So I would like to know who is 11 12 actually doing that study and when the 13 contract was issued myself. 14 Mr. Wechsler, when is a next NDC 15 meeting? 16 MR. WECHSLER: There is not one 17 scheduled right now. 18 MS. SCHUMACHER: When was the last 19 one? 20 MR. WECHSLER: A few months ago. 21 don't know the exact date. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Are we paying or is 23 NDC paying the lease payments for the spaces 24 that we have procured or leased from 25 Steamtown Mall?

MR. WECHSLER: I'm not aware.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, it just seems crazy that here we are almost at the first year and we are paying the annual -- or the monthly fee and they are not getting any revenue that doesn't seem too smart, so I'll have more on that whole deal shortly.

Mr. Gaughan, I noticed the street cleaning, East Mountain is third from the bottom of the list and will not be -- the street sweeping will not occur between the 10th to the 14 of July. Can you tell me what criteria was used to determine that order?

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \text{MR. GAUGHAN:} \quad \text{I don't know, but I} \\ \text{can find out.} \\$

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, it just seems ludicrous to me that it's just common sense that most of the stuff was dumped where it's hilly and they go on the bottom of the list. I think the last one is in West Mountain and so we got all of that stuff going into the storm drains and we are going to pay a price for it and it's not really -- should not have been necessary.

1 What do you expect from the third party administrator? I know you put a lot 2 3 of -- first of all, did any of you look at the statement of work for the RFP that was 4 let? 5 MR. EVANS: Yeah. I think we going 6 7 to have to change my expectations are really 8 to go after the disability pensions and 9 basically be that person on all disability 10 pension issues. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Going forward. 12 MR. EVANS: Going forward. Yeah. Ι 13 don't like to go the back roads, you know, I 14 don't think that's possible. MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Have you 15 16 heard as of a couple of days ago the Law 17 Department had not decided whether or not. 18 MR. EVANS: As of today, they will 19 be doing a new RFP. 20 MS. SCHUMACHER: They are? 21 MR. EVANS: They will. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. When they 23 estimating that's going to be publicized? 24 MR. EVANS: Hopefully soon because 25 they understood that we will not do -- put

1 any money into the pensions until that 2 person is hired and the trust agreement has 3 been set before council. MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, yeah, I hope 4 5 it's soon and I hope they are doing. MR. EVANS: So do I. 6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: On zip recruiter or 8 some other electronic board that might get 9 us some good responses not a single. And 10 then, if I may, the paving program where are 11 these streets that are in there and how are 12 they going to be -- how is that program 13 being financed? 14 MR. GAUGHAN: I believe it's through the liquid fuels money and we have a loan 15 16 from -- a PIB loan from the state. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: For the 2017? 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Where is the list? 19 Your Honor, it's within the back up in the 20 legislation. It's a tentative list at this 21 point. MS. SCHUMACHER: It's in the backup 22 23 this week? 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Um-hum. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll check it.

2

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SEITZINGER: Good evening. Mark Seitzinger, city of Scranton, president of the Greenridge Neighborhood Association. All five of you gentlemen should have received an e-mail from me regarding 1120 Columbia Street that was brought up by the new owner last week at counsel. When you get an opportunity, if you haven't driven by the property yet and take a good look at the property, open your e-mails and took at the pictures, okay? The property is condemned, obviously. It's a wood-sided building with gutters falling off, water damage, an exterior wall that is starting to collapse towards the other property, so to say that we just want the outside cleaned up and the property cleaned up was absolutely false.

I spent a lot of time on the phone yesterday with the new owner, have spent time on the phone for the past I'd say two weeks or so on the phone with the new owner, pretty much reiterated to her that it is

22

23

24

25

important for her to allow the city to do an inspection on the property. She is adamant that she doesn't want them to because she doesn't want to pay the fee. It's important as a condemned property that the new owner allow them to do the inspection so that she knows exactly what needs to be done to rehabilitate the structure. We want the property rehabilitated. We want a new neighbor in the neighborhood. We want somebody to raise their family there. We are not against that, but we want it done We don't want somebody to just kind of fall by the wayside, she just wants power and this and that, we want the property done right, just anybody else in the City of Scranton so when you get a chance open up the e-mails and take a look at those photos. I actually sent them while I was sitting here.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, actually I'm looking at them right now, Mr. Seitzinger. Speaking with Mr. Hinton this week --

MR. SEITZINGER: You are luckier than I am because he doesn't talk to me.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PERRY: The property is in appeal right now, that's the process it's in and, you know, it's going to go through appeals and that's I think right now best process for it.

MR. SEITZINGER: Right, and I'm pretty sure, it's my understanding, the property is under appeal because she doesn't want it demolished and, again, if the property is going to be rehabilitated fantastic, we are all for it, but if it's not going to be rehabilitated it can't stay in the condition that it's in. Now, today he did cut the grass again. Going back to when the grass was cut, DPW never cut the grass at that property, so let's get that clear right now. She did hire somebody to cut the grass at her property. She did have a trapper set traps at that property where they took a skunk, a feral cat and a possum from that property.

So, I mean, she has done some things, but just cutting the grass and setting some traps isn't going to solve the problems of the property really is. We are

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all for the rehabilitation, we support her in that, and we think it would be great if she does rehabilitate the structure and move in the neighborhood we would welcome her with open arms. So when you get an opportunity if you haven't driven by take a look at those and you will see.

The other one is Ridgemont Street, otherwise known as the Castle House. building was built with the same stone that was built with this building. All that stone is it from West Mountain quarry. building is probably the most structurally sound building in the City of Scranton. tear that down would be a crime. To tear it down, I don't know how the City of Scranton is going to afford to tear it down because the dumping costs alone are going to be exorbitant. You are probably talking close to \$200,000 for demolition of that property and I don't believe they can use OECD funds. It's not a low to moderate income neighborhood, so where are we going to get that money from? Maybe we'll get it from the sewer sale, I don't know, but they can't

23

24 25

afford to tear that building down. are people that are interested in that property and purchasing it and rehabilitating it and living there. Mavbe the city needs to look at possible eminent domain status on that property. Take it over and look to get into somebody's hands instead of just putting it on the demolition list and knocking down a structure that's probably one of the properties in the City of Scranton that people identify Scranton with and identify Greenridge with. To tear that down would be an absolute crime.

So that being said, those are my only two things. As my wife said, our meeting is Tuesday night. As I say to everybody, everybody is welcome to come. 7:00 at the American Legion Post, we welcome everybody and if you are free, please come down and see what we have to say. you.

Thank you. Anyone MR. WECHSLER: else?

MR. CASEY: Hi. Paul Casey, South Side, Scranton. I'm here about South Irving

23

24

25

Avenue again. I have pictures because I'm not confident that any one of you have taken the time to come up and actually see the roads or speak to any of the neighbors about the conditions of the roads. The pictures will show you how bad the erosion is. will show how bad the pavement is. It will show you cobblestones exposed in the middle of the road. When was the last time Scranton drove on cobblestones and the 1400 block of South Irving Avenue gets to. is lifting in the pavement. The road is naturally pitched, but there still is a sudden inch or two difference along a large crack in th 1400 block. Again, there is loose pavement at the end of the 1500 block on the Fig Street side. It makes stopping very difficult in the summer. winter, it's terrible because we don't get plowed. The loose pavement leads to falls. It's slippery to walk on. I understand that are mailman doesn't like coming up our road is so bad.

Now, I did see a tentative list of paved streets and I noticed our streets

aren't on it. I noticed the part where it said subject to change. I would like our two blocks to be put on it. All we've really gotten was lip service in an election year. That's all we ever seem to get. Two years ago we got a little bit of painting. Mrs. Evans and her campaign had people paint "pave" along either intersection of our street. That might have gotten her a couple of votes, it didn't get us paved.

I mean, we don't what else to do.

Is it going to take an accident at that street to get some action done? Is it going to take someone to fall and break a hip or worse on that street to get someone to come up and take care of it? So, if I can, I'd like to leave you with pictures. I know Mrs. Lendowski loaned you her Kindle, these you can keep. I have the circles and the arrows, there is no paragraph on the back, but it shows you how bad our road is until someone wants to take the time to come up and take a look and speak to the residence.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. You can leave them with Kathy. Thank you very much.

Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you. I have a couple of things today. First, we had a caucus today with the CEO of Smart City Media and it was a great presentation. I know Councilman Gaughan has been working on this for some time and I was thrilled. I was blown away with the presentation of what's hopefully to come. This is based on the agenda tonight 5-D.

Basically what this is this company operates in 71 different cities, universities, transit systems. They are little kiosks that are set up strategically in high walk areas throughout the city and it connects all types of things, entertainment, police, fire, it can even do bus routes. It ties everything together and it just -- it's very communal. And what have on tap right now was they suggested about 40 different units spread out through the city with most of them in the start

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

starting off downtown and then 20 -- or, sorry, 200 mobile wi-fi boosters so these will all work off wi-fi, your phone will work off these wi-fi signals. There will be an app to join this.

I don't want to get too into it because I know -- I'm sure other councilmen want to talk about this as well, but I was just floored with it, and the best part is the cost. You know, this is the second best thing about this is it costs the zero. The best thing about this is there actually revenue that would come into the city from advertising on these different kiosks, and based on the models they ran in similar cities with similar demographics they would expect a pre-projection about \$900,000, that would just be free and clear to the city based on these units, so I'm very excited about this and excited to learn more, but I'll let some other councilmen get into some more details about that.

Also, on the agenda is 5-C some parking permit changes. I wasn't too sure on the original laws that were written on

this and I made some phone calls to see what the actual amendments were and they were very minor. Most of it was just drops in fees from \$15 to \$5 and some other things, minor changes in the legal writing was just where to place it in the car and what angle of the window just so we have some kind of a consistency when searching for permit parking. So nothing major and definitely no pricing increases in there, which I was happy to hear.

As far as, Rose, your situation could we also copy chief Graziano with Mr. Gaughan's letter to Director Gallagher. In my opinion, this is just my opinion, when you have a road closed for five years we are going to have to reintroduce the normal traffic patterns and that's going to take some getting use to and how many drivers got their license in that area that never had one when Rockwell Bridge was open, so there is -- we need to retrain, I guess for lack of a better word and to these traffic patterns and I'm sure that the chief and the city engineer has some ideas as well how to

1

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

do this, whether it's a stop sign with a flashing light on it, you know, we could do temporary police presence, but that's only a limited time and how often is that effective? But we need to educate the drivers on how to operate because it's just been too long, and I think someone on this board actually mentioned that, I don't know if it was you, Councilman Rogan, who said that, you know, that was one of the fears that once the bridge opens that's going to open that again to possible speeders. want to get, like you do, ahead of this before something actually does happen. thank you, Mrs. Reed, for that.

Also, can we send letter out to Mr. Bulzoni surrounding the Serrenti building and if there has been any talks or primary discussions on the insurance impact that's going to be to the city. I'm sure he has done forecasting for it, but just so we have an idea on some costs on that.

And I believe that's it. I don't think I had anything else as of tonight so that's all I have tonight.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just one very brief item and I'll hold my other comments for agenda items. Linda Aebli, director of OECD asked to announce that on Monday, June 12, will be the open period for Scranton's 2018 Action Plan for our CDBG HOME and ESG funding. Eligible organizations can submit applications beginning Monday on the 12th and they have to submit it no later than Friday, August 4, at 3 p.m. Applications are available at the city's website www.Scrantonpa.gov and the projected allocations for the Scranton money for 2018 is \$2.2 million for the CDBG allotment, \$350,000 for the HOME program, and \$240,000 for the emergency shelter grants. So for all the organizations out there that apply or will consider applying the project will be -- bids will be opened up on Monday, not opened, but the application period opens up on Monday and it will commence on August 4. And that is all. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I'm going to take a slight detour from my usual format and discuss what could be viewed as maybe a typical week for a city councilman, and I'm sure my colleagues will be familiar with some of the comments that I make. I'm going to discuss both city business as well as things that have happened in the community that I had some involvement with in the past week and some worthy organizations that I hope to give a shout out to along the way for the fine work they do.

So I'm going to start with Friday,
last Friday. I had an early meeting at city
hall to discuss the NRN Task Force and their
Phase II proposal of numerous stakeholders.
That process is moving along and there
should be a report on the final
recommendations and goals relatively soon.

On Friday evening, I spent some time downtown during First Friday and I will say that downtown was jammed with people and if you haven't had a chance to experience a First Friday please make the effort to do

so. I would like to recognize the hard work of the First Friday committee and for all of that they do for our city.

Early Sunday afternoon, I spent several hours in the rain with my wife and other volunteers planting flowers in the large planters through the downtown. This is annual project by Scranton Tomorrow and the Penn State Extension. This year the 50,000 flowers planted is part of the program so I would like to firstly thank again all of the people involved with the project that have been beautifying downtown Scranton for years and years.

Early Monday afternoon I attended the weekly PEL meeting, among other things we discussed the Act 47 exit plan. As many of you might know, there will be a public meeting in these chambers on June 21st at 6:00 p.m. At that time, public comment will be accepted. I plan on attending and offering my comments as well.

Later Monday afternoon, along with all of the members of city council, I attended a graffiti work session in the

22

23

24

25

Governor's Room in city hall. We had over 20 stakeholders there and the meeting was I thought very productive. Some of the One, city council will be outcomes were: reviewing and adopting a revised graffiti ordinance. The original ordinance from 1996 simply is not as comprehensive as it needs to be for today's world. Number two, Mari Walsh from the Juvenile Probation Office offered to help in working with -- will be work with her and the individuals that need to fulfill community service and they will be helping us remove and clean up graffiti. This will be downtown and into the neighborhoods.

Three of the First Friday Committee and Scranton Tomorrow have committed to include the painting of a new design of the graffiti covered traffic control box in the 200 block of Wyoming Avenue as part of the mural project they are currently working on.

Four, I have also asked Scranton

Tomorrow to do an inventory of graffiti tags
in downtowns and they have agreed to do

that.

Five, Brian Fallon, from the City's
Parks and Recreation, has committed to
removing graffiti on parks' buildings within
48 hours after they are tagged.

Six, several neighborhood leaders have committed volunteer time to removing graffiti in their neighborhoods. Chief Graziano committed that his department will continue to be vigilant as it relates to the graffiti issue.

And eight, Councilman Gaughan
brought up the idea of an app for reporting
graffiti and that is something that would be
exploring further prior to our next meeting.
So we have a follow-up meeting in a few
weeks and we are going to see where
everybody is at that time and hopefully
we'll make more progress on that front.

Early Wednesday afternoon, I attended Scranton Tomorrow Economic Task Force meeting and based on my attendance from the past meetings, I'm expecting some great things and exciting things from that organization over the next few months so I'll keep you posted on that.

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I saw you there.

MR. EVANS: -- last week.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Unlike those two.

Wednesday evening, on a lighter note, my wife and I had dinner at the Greek festival at the Greek Church at the corner of Vine Street and North Washington Avenue so when we arrived about 5:15 we are able to get right into the lower hall and get in line at that point. By the time that we got our food and walked back out, the line was around the block. So I urge anybody that hasn't experienced the greek festival and the food to take the time and do it. It's a great atmosphere and a great event for the

Later Wednesday evening I went to the Marketplace at Steamtown and purchased a bag full of children's books at the Scranton public library book sale. I plan on donating the books to the little library at Connors Park in South Side so it's an opportunity to help two great organizations at once and, for the record, I did attend Swingin' on Vine --

MR. EVANS: Finally, Thursday, this morning, I attended a meeting with Council Solicitor Minora and the City Solicitor Jessica Boyles to discuss amendments to the rental registration ordinance that we have been working on that was sort of a misstep and we are going to take care of that, and the refuse fee related to the 10 percent discount and the policy issue we are having with collection of that.

So there you have it, somewhat of a typical week for a council person, and doesn't take into account, as we all know is the dozens of e-mails and dozens of phone calls and our phone calls to the city employees and city leaders to try and get things done during the week. I'm not complaining about it, that's we signed up for, but I just want to get some perspective of how a week can go for a city council person.

And as I said many times, you know, and we all have said this, there are many things that are happening outside of this meeting. This is not all the city council

does. In fact, most of our work is done outside of this meeting. So with that said, that's my comments for Thursday June 8, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are you tired?

MR. EVANS: I'm exhausted.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I woke up Friday morning and I had bowl of cereal -- kidding.

MR. EVANS: So did I, by the way.

MR. GAUGHAN: Just a few things.

First of all, Solicitor Minora, can you just give us an update, Mr. Evans mentioned the meeting you with the administration, a lot of questions about the 10 percent discount that I brought up last week, can you just give us an update on where we are with that?

MR. MINORA: Yes, Councilman Evans and I met with city solicitor today and the two issues we were discussing was the 10 percent discount on the refuse fee and the rental registration. I think there was a pretty bad breakdown of communications. I think our thought going in was that the administration had taken a completely

ridiculous position on the matter and, in fact, the amendments that we sent up to them they were perfectly okay with and I think we were unaware of some of the administrative problems that they were undergoing. They varied from both of those two issues, but there were administrative problems in terms of the creation of the bills, the collection of fees, and at this point returning the fees I don't want to say near impossible, but the effort to do so would require an expenditure of time and money and labor that would take up most of the rest of the year in the Treasurer's Office.

But they were perfectly -- "they" being Mr. Beck was there from the Treasurer's Office and Ms. Boyle had absolutely no objection to the amendments that we proposed and were perfectly willing to complete those, but they felt it would be administratively next to impossible to make it retroactive to the beginning of this year, but we can make it effective beginning 2018.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much,

appreciate it. The Scranton Parking

Authority was brought up tonight actually I

think it would be a good idea if we asked

for an update on the transition to NDC and

ABM, possibly have a public caucus so that

they can give us an update on that whole

transition, what capital improvement

projects have taken place, will take place,

so I will ask that my colleagues consider

setting that up for some time in the future.

I have quite a few citizens' requests for this week. The 35 hundred block of the ham Court. The 500 block of Hamm court. There is an issue with a residence there. Clippings, hedges, and a lot of other stuff is being dumped in the front yard. The neighbors are afraid that it's going to catch fire, so I would ask that we send something to Licensing and Inspections. The property is in general disrepair. The neighbors are upset with that.

The 800 block of Phylo Street the road is partially washed away onto the bricks and the sloped wall is starting to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We will ask to send that to the DPW. crack. Also, the 400 block of Oakford Court. will ask the DPW to fill potholes in that Residents are complaining. There are area. two one-way signs that need to be replaced at the corner of North Hyde Park Avenue and Pettibone Street in West Scranton. The one-way sign on North Hyde Park and Pettibone is faded out and there is graffiti on it, and the one-way sign on Pettibone Street and North Hyde Park is also rusted out.

We did receive several complaints
this week about Olive Street at Mineral
Avenue and Barrett Court becoming overgrown.
It's impeding pedestrian traffic. The other
growth is actually pushing students out onto
the street under the bridge which is
creating a very dangerous situation so we
actually did send that to the DPW and
Licensing and Inspections.

Several complaints about the 500 block of Birch Street in Minooka. Very large potholes that need patching. 900 and 1000 blocks of Wintermantle Avenue are

becoming very narrow and dangerous also need work in that area.

I did receive an e-mail from the Licensing and Inspections director, Mr. Hinton, regarding 524 North Rebecca Avenue. He did say that the property is being given property for demolition but there are others in worst shape. I would respectfully disagree with that. This house is literally falling down. I just spoke to the neighbor the other day. The back porch is collapsed, the grass is about six feet high so I would ask that, again, and I am hopeful that this will be taken down very soon because it has turned into a public safety hazard.

Also, several complaints about streetlights have been out, one on Froud Avenue on East Mountain, we reported this as well. We did receive a report on the street lighting improvement project, there have been several outages that have been reported. They continue to be documented, tracked and fixed as soon as possible so they are staying up on that.

Little Oram Street, intersection of Powderly Court off of Main Avenue in West Scranton. That road needs patching and also needs consideration to be put on the paving list.

Ms. Jefferies, who is in the audience tonight, asked if the brush and overgrowth from Weston Park along Stanley Place can be trimmed back, and also be sprayed for poison ivy and oak. Also, received some other complaints about the front entrance to the park on Hollister Avenue being overgrown. I believe that was being taken care of this week. Mrs. Reed was in touch with Mr. Fallon that issue.

Also, the two residents who were here tonight in regards to the 1400 block of South Irving Avenue, I would ask my colleagues to strongly consider making an amendment to the paving list here if that is possible and giving consideration to put the 1400 block of South Irving Avenue on the list to be paved. Since I have been on council now for nearly four years, this is an issue that continually comes up and,

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

again, this has turned into a public safety issue so I would ask if that can be taken care of.

On the issue of the Sewer Authority, you know, the mayor announced a few weeks ago that there was a going to be a town hall meeting. There is only one problem with that, we do not have a date or location yet and this is turning now I think a joke. you announce that there is going to be a town hall meeting, you should have already prepared a place and a time for that meeting. Again, I have to ask what is this administration, what is this mayor hiding? The letter was sent, as was mentioned to the Attorney General by fax and by mail Monday of this week, so I'm hopeful that we will get a response from the Attorney General on that matter. I would like copies of all engagement letters between any law firm or consultant hired in relation to the Sewer Authority sale to Pennsylvania American Water. I have asked for this previously. will resubmit this request. I would like to know who negotiated the terms of their

was every any breach of a contract between Pennsylvania American Water and the Scranton Sewer authority before the vote to dissolve the Authority took place back in 2016.

Obviously, we still have outstanding questions about the \$12 million. Again, I ask why the mayor did not inform this council of the unexpected drop in proceeds. He could have done in an executive session prior to the vote to dissolve the authority. Again, I have asked all of those questions for the last six months, we still have no answers.

compensation. I'd also like to ask if there

I'd also ask that we consider, this council consider, to invite Mr. Gene Barrett, the former executive director of the Scranton Sewer Authority, to a public caucus to explain the invoices that he signed off on and answer questions related to the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority and maybe he can shed some light on some of the concerns and some of the questions that we have had for the past several months.

We also still have no detailed

accounting of what Abrahamsen, Conaboy and Abrahamsen, Ned Abrahamsen was the city special counsel, what exactly he did for the \$200,000 payment that he earned on the Sewer Authority deal, but we have the invoices, but, again, there is nothing there.

Also, explanation on Mr. Cummings' bills which was reported on in the media.

There is still several invoices that say,
"Detailed bill available upon request," so I would like to request the detail on those invoices and I would remind people that roughly 81 percent of the money used to pay all of these attorneys, including

Mr. Cummings, who represented Dunmore, comes from the City of Scranton ratepayers.

And I would also like to ask if
there was a duplication of services here
between all of these lawyers. It seems like
a lot of them were doing the same thing.

So the bottom line is here, and I hate to keep belaboring the point, but it seems to me, and I'm still reviewing the invoices, that everybody here had their hand in the cookie jar, yet we are still sitting

here six months later with little to no answers and a mayor and administration who promised a town hall meeting, yet still ask not produce a meeting date or a meeting place. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,
Mr. Gaughan. One of the events that
Mr. Evans missed on Saturday was the Arts on
Fire event that was held at the Iron
Furnaces in Scranton. Missed a good one

MR. EVANS: I know.

there.

MR. WECHSLER: You were taking a rest. If you are unaware, the iron furnaces really are the cradle of the industrial revolution in our country. That started right here in Scranton, Pennsylvania, and I congratulate the people that are involved in that, keeping that tradition alive and informing the residents of our historical part in our nation's history.

The this evening, one of the speakers mentioned Dave Bulzoni. One thing that I have no problem about is defending Mr. Bulzoni. Mr. Bulzoni is responsible for

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the -- whether you agree or disagree with it, a lot of the progress that has happened in the City of Scranton directly related to Mr. Bulzoni. Mr. Bulzoni was issued a waiver by this council so there was nothing illegal about Mr. Bulzoni's residence. He has granted a waiver of that.

Mr. Bulzoni goes above and beyond the normal role of a city business administrator. As presented tonight, Mr. Bulzoni brought the presentation tonight about city scape. Mr. Bulzoni also was the one that's working on trying to get a resolution to the emergency situation out on Wyoming Avenue with the Young family. find it very difficult for me to hold my tongue when people accuse Mr. Bulzoni of being a problem with the city. Mr. Bulzoni is not problem with the city, Mr. Bulzoni is responsible for much of the improved conditions and operations of city hall management and I think criticism of him is really unfounded.

The paving list that came out that we were provided is a tentative list. We

24

25

have been contacting the administration about including the blocks of South Irving Avenue, we have done it every year. We will increase our efforts again with this. The situation exists and I think from last year it costs approximately \$27,000 to do a good block, a block that is not in as bad of a condition as your blocks are so that's going to need tremendous repair. So I'm actually considering if your blocks don't make it to that paving list I think we need a special project for that situation back there. think there may be more engineering involved than is really provided in this ordinance this evening so we will forward your request We'll emphasize, again, all five again. council people here I believe that you are correct, I believe that you should be taken care of. We agree with you 1,000 percent. The bottom line is thought the administration makes the list so besides coming here and pressuring us, I do encourage you to also pressure Mayor Courtright. Mayor Courtright, the engineers and Mr. Gallagher, the DPW director, have

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

final say on this list. City council does not. We have input on it, we can make recommendations. We can say how important we believe it is for you, but if you look at the list the people that are on that list also think their street is the most important thing, also.

So Mr. Gaughan mentioned changing the list, the reality is if we add your two blocks to that list, two other streets are going to come off. We have to find a way to make that possible to add your streets to that list and if we add your streets then someone here or someone else. Very, very difficult to get this paving done. It's our responsibility to do it, we have talked about somehow a list has to be developed that every five years every street gets paved, we are not there yet but this is the second year that we're running into the paving. Previous to last year, there was no paving outside of the low to moderate income areas.

So we are making progress on it, not fast enough for you people, I agree, but we

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will effort to try to come to some solution this year. We will try that.

MR. PERRY: Mr. Wechsler, just from knowing that area as we all do, it's probably beyond paving at this point. We are probably best waiting to hear from the city engineer. It's almost like from the ground up restructure.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. but what I'm considering is this evening we are actually bidding out the engineering services, if we can perhaps just get an idea from them on what your situation is, what has to happen back there in terms of repair and get an idea on how much it's going to cost and start that process, I know that's not a good answer, I feel terrible about it. I joke that it was better when we didn't pave any roads because we just told everybody no and now we are paving roads and everybody wants their road paved and they are right, they should be paid. You pay taxes, you want services, I understand that, so let us try that's all.

MS. LENDOWSKI: Okay, but it's not

The

1

just the 1400 block --

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Right.

MS. LENDOWSKI:

MR. WECHSLER:

It's the 14 and 15.

Correct. Yeah.

last thing I have this evening is I want to send a congratulations and a thank you to Parks Director Fallon. Parks Director Fallon has been up at Robinson Park on his own and cutting come grass. Tomorrow actually they are looking for volunteers. They are going to deliver mulch. I believe they are delivering mulch tomorrow and they are looking for volunteers to help spread the playground mulch at Robinson Park on Saturday. The park gets a lot of use. A lot of different families are up there and I think this is an opportunity for them to step up and really help us out. It should be an hour or so and work will be done.

The last thing I have this evening is something that I have been working on council since I got here, it is the historical Doughboy statue in South Scranton. I have a statement here from the Steamtown Historic Site. Steamtown National

Historic Site invites the public to help kick off it's centennial commemoration of America's participation of the First World War by joining us for Flag Day rededication of Scranton's World War I Doughboy statute. The ceremony begins at 6:30 p.m. on Wednesday evening, June 14, 2017, in the park's museum complex. This is a free evening. It will conclude with a 7:00 p.m. showing of the classic World War I feature film in the site's theatre.

The statue was originally dedicated in Scranton's Duffy Park on Harrison Avenue in 1940 by Rabiega-Gorgol veterans of Foreign War Post 3451. It was placed in storage due to the construction of the new Harrison Avenue bridge. The statute and park honored Lieutenant Colonel Frank Duffy and other Scrantonians who died while serving during World War I. Lieutenant Colonel Duffy worked for the Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad in Scranton before the war and undoubtedly walked the D&LW railroad complex which now comprises Steamtown National Historic Site.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is the part I'm very excited about, with such a powerful connection to the past, Steamtown National Historic Site is honored to partner with VFW Post 3451 in displaying the doughboy statute until November 11, 2018, which represents the 100th anniversary of the signing of the Armistice that ended hostilities to end the war to end all wars.

We are very grateful to the Steamtown Historic site for getting Colonel Duffy out of his box. He has been locked up for quite while, I hate to say prisoner of war, but he is a prisoner of construction. Colonel Duffy will be on display at the Steamtown site, which does have a lot of traffic annually, and while he is down there efforts will be continued to get Colonel Duffy repaired and perhaps make a replica statue that can go up into the new Harrison Duffy Park. Unfortunately, Colonel Duffy has served his time and can no longer be outside so his repair will -- after repair he will go somewhere permanently inside somewhere and hopefully we can get a replica

to be at Duffy's Park so all of the kids like myself when I was a youth so many years ago always thought that Colonel Duffy was our grandpop and served in World War I so we would like to bring that tradition back to South Scranton, and I appreciate Steamtown's help and Rabiega VFW Post. Thank you. That's a lot for me.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
AN ORDINANCE — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT
ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON THIS
QUITCLAIM DEED CONVEYING TITLE TO THE CITY
OF SCRANTON, THE FORMER CSM SAMUEL P.
SERRENTI U.S. ARMY RESERVE CENTER (USARC)
LOCATED AT 1801 PINE STREET, SCRANTON,
LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA 18510 AS
MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED
HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I will be voting "no" tonight on this legislation for a few different reasons and I've opposed this from the very beginning if you go back I think about a year and a half. We still have not received analysis on how much it will cost the city to maintain the Serrenti Center over the next five to ten years. I have asked repeatedly what will the impact of acquiring and maintaining this building and property have on future operating and capital budgets? Has it been determined whether or not the city has the fiscal resources to maintain a building and a property like this? I still have not received the environmental analysis that apparently been done on this site insuring that there is it no ground contamination, which we will now be responsible for since we are acquiring the building and the property.

If you look at the back up legislation, there are several environmental portions of it, environmental protection provisions. There is asbestos, obviously,

in the building. There is notice of a presence of a lead base paint and there is a section in here on post-transfer discovery of contamination.

My fear is that this is going to be a money pit. I fully understand the police department's desire to use this as a facility for an emergency center and I think their efforts are commendable and I think they're honorable, but I continue to be concerned as I have for the last year and a half about the city's ability to maintain a building and property of this size as we move into the future.

I don't believe that this is a wise choice being that we are a financially distressed city and we have serious trouble maintaining what we already own so I don't see an immediate emergency with this property or a need for it at this time so I will once again be voting "no" on this legislation. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: We have discussed this at length, this is our third vote on legislation regarding this Serrenti building

and I'd just like to reiterate my support for this proposal. One thing Mr. Gaughan didn't mention is there is a number of pieces of equipment that the city owns that they are storing in this facility and Chief Graziano did do an analysis of acquiring this property free of charge or building just a storage shed, a pole barn to store that equipment, and some of the equipment that particularly the bomb unit has up there it's pretty amazing and very high tech stuff and certainly needs to be kept indoors and kept safe.

I'd like to commend chief Graziano

I'd like to commend chief Graziano for moving forward with this proposal when in past years previous chiefs or previous administrators may have chose to build new construction when in this case we are actually able to acquire the facility free of charge.

And I did speak to many residents in the Hill Section that fully support this proposal as well because they do want to see -- one, they don't want to see the building sit there vacant, which it has for a number

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of years; and, secondly, and increased police presence in the Hill Section will certainly help the public safety in that area.

As I said before, if Chief Graziano believes this is the best way to run the police department and to keep the residents of Scranton safe, I'm fully in support of the proposal.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question, one of -- I know back in the fall, I believe it was, Councilman Rogan and myself toured the building and I think we're all in agreement how the condition of the building how impressed we were for the age of it and kind of maybe out expectations were low going in, but the building itself is in good shape, and the one question that did arise during that time was ground contaminants. I spent some time today on the phone with the solicitor going through the back page on this and I think it was subsection 4-A and B in regards to that and our liability because, as you know, the type of the title this is it's almost like an as-is title so I

want to be cautious proceeding this.

With that said, at the time in the fall Chief Graziano had testing done. There was nothing -- there was no ground contaminants, but I want to follow up with the chief this week and I'd just like to know when the tests were done and who performed them and just be asking that to put our mind at ease. But, yeah, no, I'm definitely for this project. It's a great building and in good condition and it's housing a lot of assets for the city.

MR. GAUGHAN: I'd just like one additional comment, on the environmental analysis, I would be interested to see it because when we voted on this the last time I specifically requested to see the report and we were told that there was one, but I never received an answer. This would be a great project if we weren't a financially distressed city. And, again, as I mentioned earlier, I think it's commendable and I think it's honorable the idea behind it, but I would only point to our own three-year exit plan. One of the recommendations in

22

23

24

25

this three-year exit plan is for the city to develop a capital improvement financing plan and part of at that within this it says that the city should identify the timing of project expenditures and their impact on future operating and capital budgets, evaluate the impact of projects on the amount and timing of bonds to be issued, debt service requirement, outstanding debt, and debt burden. In developing the capital improvement financing plan, the BA's Office shall determine whether the plan financings conform with policy targets related to the magnitude and composition of the city's indebtedness and the fiscal resources of the city to support such indebtedness during this capital improvement financing plan.

So, again, my fear is that we still haven't seen an analysis on how or what kind of the impact acquiring a property of this magnitude will have on future city budgets. How much is it going to cost to maintain this building? How much is it going to cost to heat it? How much is it going to cost to make it ADA accessible? These are all costs

even though we have a grant to fund some of them, eventually in the future this is going to have strain in some form or fashion on our budget.

Again, look at this building right here. There are a number of capital improvements that need to happen right in city hall. We have been unable to make them because we don't have any money, so why would we acquire another building. I understand the reason behind it but, again, for a financially distressed city I think we should proceed with more caution than we have been, especially in this situation.

MR. PERRY: Just to add to that, the reason we are going to -- looking to acquire this is because plan A was way, way, way out of the question. The pole born was going to cost millions and millions of dollars right off the bat and was only a 20-year lifespan of a pole barn, so to go with new construction at millions of dollars for a 20-year lifespan was absolutely not the way to go so there was definitely more feasible and financially responsible.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: On the question, as you know, I was previously very much on the fence on this project and so much so that I probably would have voted "no" until I did take the tour, and I have tell you I was impressed with the building. The building is much better than I anticipated and I think the uses are going to be something I think that we could all be proud of as far the police department is concerned.

I did recommend previously and I have discussed that the Ash Street firehouse be sold and the money from that be put into a special account to offset recurrent costs at the Serrenti building for the five to ten years until we get a handle on some of the costs up there. I'm not as concerned with the environmental issues, number one, the lead base paint issue, every building built before 1978 required a lead base paint disclosure. That's all that's happening Most of the asbestos I think is on there. the pipes, on the old steam pipes, again, easily removed or encapsulated so I'm not as concerned about the environmental issues

that maybe Councilman Gaughan is, but I don't want to minimize that because you never know what you're going to get into, but my experience in the old buildings like this is it's something that can be easily resolved.

So, again, before I was not fully endorsing this project, but after taking the time to go in the building and hearing the chief and other officers what exactly their plans are for the building, I think it's a good thing.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. The reality is that right now we have a cost to protect the equipment that's stored in the Serrenti building. That equipment is going to have cost associated to it whether it's at Serrenti or wherever it's going to be so I'm not as concerned about future costs because the costs exist currently. I think we would be irresponsible to not protect those assets that are in the Serrenti Center. Sometimes it's better to worry about the present than the future, and I think our responsibility right now is to protect the equipment that's

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in that building.

I also feel that if we the opportunity to protect the neighbors that live around that Serrenti Center, if the city is able to take over that building and some grants are available the city owes those neighbors the opportunity to become an anchor to that neighborhood. If the city does not take advantage of the opportunity to move into that building that will just become another abandoned building in that area leading to more blight in that area. So I do appreciate the fact that this building will be anchor for those neighbors and I do support this proposal.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

> MR. PERRY: Aye.

> MR. ROGAN: Aye.

> MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

MR. GAUGHAN: No.

MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -

AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE

CODE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON CHAPTER 439

VEHICLES AND TRAFFIC, ARTICLE VI:

RESIDENTIAL PARKING PERMIT PROGRAM, BY

MAKING CHANGES AND ADDITIONS TO SECTIONS

439-68. PERMIT APPLICATION, SECTION 439-69.

RESPONSIBILITY OF PERMIT HOLDER, SECTION

439-70. DUTIES OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, FEE,

AND SECTION 439-71. VIOLATIONS AND

PENALTIES, IN ORDER TO BRING THE CODE UP TO

DATE.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question,

I have several concerns about this
legislation as well and a few questions.

Number one, why in 439-68, permit
application Section B, I'd like to know why
the motor vehicle's registration, operators
license is required to be presented at the
time of making application in order to

verify the contents thereof at the discretion of the administrator. My specific question to that is what would trigger this presentment of a person making application and is this clause too subjective, so I'd like to find out.

My other concern with this, within the legislation, is that, number one, I think it's going to be a bureaucratic nightmare. It's involved, complicated and some of it is unnecessary, and I think that this legislation is an additional burden that is going to be put on contractors within the City of Scranton.

Even though the fee is only \$5, I
think that it is ridiculous to continue to
make contractors in the city jump through
hoops to make a living. For example, if a
contractor is working in an area where there
is residential permit parking, he not only
has to come up to the Licensing and
Inspections Department and pull his permit,
then he has to now go down to the Police
Department and pull another permit in order
to park in front of the house in which he is

working on. We continuously drive people out of this city because of things like this bureaucratic nightmares, this is just another example. Why not include a temporary parking permit to the contractor when he pulls his permit upstairs free of charge? He is already doing his work in the city. Why should the contractor have to go to the Licensing and Inspections Department on the fourth floor and then travel all the way down to the Police Department to pull yet another permit to fill out another stupid form? To me this makes absolutely no sense.

Another question I have is how is this going to be enforced? And my final question is what happened to common sense? So I have several questions about this legislation. I'm going to be voting "no" on this tonight and hopefully there will be some kind of amendment made that we can eliminate the \$4 fee. Again, I know it's only \$5, but it's just the principal of the thing that someone who is working in the City of Scranton now has to make several

trips for no reason. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question. I think everyone knows that I hate fees, I hate all fees, without exception, and most of this legislation, by the way, is already existing. The only thing that's jumping out as something new is the contractor permits.

I had conversations with Chief
Graziano prior to this and the original
intent was to make it \$15 charge and I said
to him it made no sense to be \$15 because
you can get a guest permit for five so why
would you even pay \$15 when you get \$5 so he
agreed to reduce it to \$5. I'm not thrilled
with the fee.

The concept of getting something when you get your permit is probably the right thing to do, however, I think it's more of a logistical thing with LIPS doing one thing and the Police Department doing another. So I think -- but I think we should discuss it. I think we should have a conversation about this between now and the final passage so I'll vote for it to move along.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the other issues that came up was contractor parking in the permit parking area and they didn't have a permit and they were getting ticketed, and I think whatever it is 20 or \$25 fine. So some contractors actually approached me saying they were prefer this because for \$5 they can avoid a \$25 ticket even though it's a little bit of hassle, and it's good for 30 days and a 30-day period. It is a little convoluted, the language, but -- a little complicated, but for contractors I think it might be a good thing, so I'm interested to hear from more contractors to see if they think this is a good idea or not because it could work to their benefit, especially contractors that don't have their names all over, you know, the truck and it's just a white van or park in front of the house doing some work they are going to get a ticket because the people that are doing the -- the civilian enforcement people are all over the permit parking areas. For years and years and years it was never enforced, they are there every single day, so I hate to see them get

those kind of tickets as well.

So I think it's all up for discussion. I think we should continue to talk about this and see if there is another way to do it and see where we are by the time we get to Third Order.

MR. ROGAN: I'd echo much of what my colleagues mentioned. When initially reading this it makes no sense to have a \$5 fee, it's not going to bring any meaningful revenue into the city and if the contractor is already pulling permits they are already paying the fee. That being said, I did, because Councilman Evans has taken a lead on a number of these nuisance fees, we did have a good conversation today and I also want to hear from more contractors to see how it effects them.

The intent of the legislation I
think is a good intent because contractors
were receiving tickets when they were doing
work in permit parking zones, homes in those
zones. I think the solution may be
something a little more simple as printing a
copy of the permit and putting that in the

1 dash if that's something that can be done. I don't think there should be an additional 2 3 fee, but I agree with Councilman Evans and I will vote to introduce this legislation as 4 5 well and I am interested in hearing from more contractors, particularly those that do 6 7 a lot of work in the Hill Section where there is a lot of permit parking areas. 8 9 MR. WECHSLER: I also have the same 10 idea as Councilman Rogan, just perhaps a 11 copy of the permit in the vehicle would 12 suffice. I think that would make much more This seems very complicated to me. 13 since. 14 All those in favor of introduction 15 signify by saying aye. 16 MR. PERRY: Aye. 17 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 18 MR. EVANS: Aye. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 20 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 21 ayes have it and so moved. 22 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -23 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 24 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 25 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN

THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA

("SCRANTON") AND SMART CITY MEDIA, LLC OF 54

WEST 40TH ST., NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10018

("SCM") BY USING ITS PROPRIETARY SMART MEDIA

PLATFORM TO DELIVER HELPFUL LOCATION-BASED

INFORMATION.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

This is a project that I have been working on with the City Administration,

Mr. Bulzoni, now for a few months. I was very pleased with the presentation tonight and I think that this is going to put Scranton the map in the area of technology and really being one of the first smart cities in Pennsylvania.

What this does is it enables communities to be better connected so it will enable our government to better connect with our citizens. The platform that we'll

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be using will be through information kiosks that create a better connected Scranton where citizens can easily events, programs, deals, and other relevant and important information as they walk down the street. The system also from a public safety perspective will allow officials to not only see and assess emergency situations and we didn't have enough time to get into that tonight, but it also broadcasts alerts and provides mobile updates to residents in targeted locations. I think, and I have thought for the last four years, that we need to change the way that our citizens connect with the City of Scranton. look across the United States, other cities are moving very rapidly in the areas of technology. It's been my feeling for quite some time that we can be on that side with cutting edge technology.

One of the other things that this program offers is free public wi-fi and I think that's important. If you go to any progressive city up and down the east coast and across the United States, they have free

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

public wi-fi in their downtown so I think that is very important.

So basically to sum this up, it's a community bulletin board for the City of We can model this and anybody who Scranton. is interested in looking at other cities who have been successful with it, Kansas City just unveiled their Smart City Media platform, Washington D.C, New York City, and some other larger cities across the United States. I think this program is going to be important to tourists that come into the City of Scranton, to businesses, small businesses from an economic development standpoint and to our citizens, again, to be better connected with their government.

One of the major pluses to this program is that this project is going to serve as a significant revenue generation opportunity for the City of Scranton. We can generate nearly a million dollars during the term of this project, which is five years. We can then use that money to put back in the neighborhoods and put back into economic development projects though the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city.

So, again, I'd like to thank the administration for partnering with me on this project. I'd like to thank my colleagues for being very supportive, and I look forward to getting this project off the ground and making Scranton a smart city. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I don't have much more to add because that was a very in-depth report and it's nice to actually be ahead of the curve for a change and to be associated with being a smart city is actually a good thing, so it's been a long time since anybody called us a smart city so that's a very positive tag to have on the City of Scranton so I'm looking forward to it. It's really going to put us in the forefront of technology and it will really be a valuable service for all of citizens and enhance the downtown corridor as much as anything we have done, so I'm all for it.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

	10
1	MR. PERRY: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
3	MR. EVANS: Aye.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
8	A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
9	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
10	AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH
11	REUTHER+BOWEN, PC TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING
12	SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON 2017
13	ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT.
14	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'11
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
16	introduced into its proper committee.
17	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
18	MR. EVANS: Second.
19	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
20	MS. GAUGHAN: On the question. I
21	would like my colleagues to consider next
22	week, I know this is only on the agenda for
23	two weeks, to see if we could make an
24	amendment to this legislation to add in the
25	1400 and 1500 blocks of South Irving Avenue

so that at least we can get a idea of how much it could cost from an engineering perspective to pave those two blocks, so I will discuss this again this week with my colleagues and our solicitor to see if that is possible and we'll plan on making the amendment next week after we discuss that. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, it's also possible, too, if we get a favorable bid we'd be able to do more streets so that's not out of the question. It happened last time, we waited to put the bid out until the fall and we got a favorable bid and were able to add streets to it so -- and I don't think the pavers are as busy as they were the last time we made these efforts a real possibility.

MR. GAUGHAN: I would just add that I have been up to that area, my Uncle lives right on Cherry Street there, and I think this is almost a special exception because it is a very dangerous situation especially with the bank and there really is no street left up there, so I would just add that as a

1 final note. Thank you. 2 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 3 introduction signify by saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 10 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11 12 103. 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING ONE 13 (1) R6-IL HORIZONTAL LEFT ONE-WAY SIGN AND 14 ONE (1) R6-IR HORIZONTAL RIGHT ONE-WAY SIGN BE INSTALLED BACK TO BACK AT THE EASTERLY 15 CORNER OF VINE STREET AND MONROE AVENUE AND 16 17 THE EXISTING DO NOT ENTER SIGN AT THE 18 WESTERLY CORNER OF MONROE AVENUE AND VINE STREET BE PLUMBED TO A VERTICAL POSITION. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 21 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 22 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 23 pass reading by title. 24 MR. EVANS: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11

1	those in favor signify by saying aye.
2	MR. PERRY: Aye.
3	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
4	MR. EVANS: Aye.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
9	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
10	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 162,
11	2017 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
12	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
13	ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH JIM WILLIAMS
14	D/B/A JIM WILLIAMS SURPLUS FOR GRASS CUTTING
15	AT THE TAYLOR LANDFILL FOR CALENDAR YEAR
16	2017 CONTRACT TO EXPIRE NOVEMBER 30, 2017
17	FOR A LUMP SUM BID OF \$8,949.00.
18	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
19	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Public Works?
21	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
22	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
23	passage of Item 7-A.
24	MR. ROGAN: Second.
25	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I did receive a few questions about this legislation this week and I mentioned this last week but I just want to reiterate that the city is mandated by the Environmental Protection Agency to provide maintenance to the fence on this property and to also cut the grass at the landfill. The city was assigned permanent maintenance of that site which actually was an old city landfill and we are, again, obligated through that settlement agreement with the EPA to award an annual contract to cut the grass at the Taylor Landfill so we are mandated by the EPA and we have no choice but to enter into Thank you. Roll call, please? a contract.

Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 3 declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 4 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 5 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR 6 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 163, 2017 -7 8 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 9 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH DUNBAR'S EVERGREEN 10 LANDSCAPING, INC. FOR FLOOD PROJECT LEVEE 11 12 CUTTING OF SOUTH SCRANTON, ALBRIGHT, PLOT 13 AND GREEN RIDGE LEVEES FOR A TWO (2) YEAR 14 SEASONAL PERIOD BEGINNING MAY 15, 2017 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2017 AND MAY 15, 2018 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2018 FOR A TOTAL BID 16 17 PRICE OF \$29,000.00. 18 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 19 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 20 Committee on Public Works? 21 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 22 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 23 passage of Item 7-B. 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

1 Roll call, please? 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 3 MR. PERRY: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 12 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 13 adopted. 14 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -15 16 RESOLUTION NO. 164, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE 17 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 18 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND THE SCRANTON SCHOOL 19 20 DISTRICT WITH JOSEPH M. ALU AND ASSOCIATES 21 TO PERFORM THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX OFFICE INDEPENDENT AUDIT FOR FISCAL YEARS ENDING 22 23 DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND DECEMBER 31, 2016. 24 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 25 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final

1	passage of Item 7-C.
2	MR. ROGAN: Second.
3	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
4	Roll call, please?
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
6	MR. PERRY: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
10	MR. EVANS: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
15	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
16	adopted.
17	MS. REED: 7-D. PREVIOUSLY TABLED -
18	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES
19	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 159 2017 -
20	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
21	CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A SPECIAL
22	ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO POSH AT THE SCRANTON
23	CLUB TO OPERATE OUTDOOR SEATING AT 404 N.
24	WASHINGTON AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
25	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to amend

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	Item 7-D per the following: Amendment
2	Exhibit A, Item No. 4, to allow seating for
3	16 by the way of eight, two-top tables with
4	seating across from each other and parallel
5	the curb to provide additional space and
6	eliminate congestion.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on
9	the floor and a second, on the question?
10	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MR. PERRY: Aye.
12	MR. EVANS: Aye.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
16	ayes have it and so moved.
17	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
18	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-D, as amended.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
22	Roll call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
24	MR. PERRY: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

		116
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
3	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
7	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
8	declare Item 7-D, as amended, legally and	
9	lawfully adopted.	
10	If there is no further business,	
11	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
12	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
13	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

 ability.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

> CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER