F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, May 25, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	,	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of th minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE
17	HELD JUNE 6, 2017.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
19	comments? If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. PETITION FOR A
21	STREET LIGHT IN THE 400 BLOCK OF 21ST
22	STREET.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
24	comments? If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE CITY

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD MAY 24, 1 2017. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 3 comments? If not, received and filed. 4 MS. REED: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S 5 RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD MAY 17, 6 7 2017. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 9 comments? If not, received and filed. 10 Do any council members have announcements at 11 this time? 12 MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one. 13 Scranton Police Department is going to have 14 hold their annual breakfast buffet fundraiser. That's going to be Friday, June 15 16 2. Seating times are going to be from 6:30 17 in the morning until noon. Locations at 18 Trolley's at the Hilton, Scranton, which is 19 100 Adams Avenue in Scranton. The cost is 20 \$10 per person and it's a good time for a 21 good cause and I hope for a good turnout. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. WECHSLER: City Hall will be 24 closed this Monday, May 29, in observation 25 of Memorial Day. DPW will also be off on

Monday, therefore, refuse and recycling will be one day behind next week. Colts will also be closed as well with no bus service on Monday, May 29. Bus service will resume on the regular schedule on Tuesday, May 30.

This evening for the first time we will announce that the -- and keep doing this so everyone is aware of it, Electronic recycling program has been announced for a two-week period beginning June 12 through June 26. The items will need to be placed curbside for the DPW to collect. Each household will be allowed one television and one computer monitor.

At this time, the special collections should not interfere with your regular recycling during this two-week period. You should be aware that pickup of any of these items may occur at any time not necessarily on the scheduled garbage day. Please be prepared to have your items ready and placed curbside for June 12. As we receive any additional information, we will follow-up with those details.

One other announcement this evening

before public comment, this is usually asked, in regards to the city's property list for insurance coverage, the business administrator received the input from the department heads last week, he excepts to meet with Knowles within the next two weeks to review the information and have them prepare a revised list following that meeting, so we should have that within the next if you add one week, plus two, probably within the next few week. Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. Reminder, tomorrow night, 5 to 8 p.m., Swingin' on Vine, 500 Vine Street in front of the Scranton public library. There will be alcohol served so you must be 21 or older to attend. And next week I'll talk about the upcoming book sale to raise money for the library.

I'm pleased to see we are making some kind of progress on the insurance list,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had couple of questions. inconsequential.

but with that this mind I'm extremely appreciative that Marie mentioned this report last week. Apparently, every May the city sends to the Honorable Judges in the Court of Common Pleas, to the city council and to the mayor this annual financial report, so Marie had pointed out that it's not been issued for calendar year 2015 yet and she inquired why, and I did, too, and I talked to several people starting with Roseann Novembrino and Roseann told me there are discrepancies, not further defined, between her numbers and the numbers given by SB & Company on their audit in 2015. audit was published on December 5, 2016, so

I don't think the report would be delayed if the difference in numbers was I would like to know what those discrepancies are. I would also like to know why we are hearing about this five months after we received the audit from SB & Company and there is something else very interesting in this document. I have been running around to the Law Department and to

25

the BA's Office and to the City Controller's Office trying to find out who can correct some of the obvious problems with this They have an organizational chart document. and this is the 2013 report, but it's the same for 2014. Under boards and commissions you have, among other things, the Scranton Public Library which is no longer owned by the city and hasn't been since 2010. have the Golf Course Commission. When did that leave us? You have the Zoological Society, which I don't think we have had since we have had a zoo, and you have the Scranton Municipal Golf Course, but apparently nobody thinks that these are substantive problem and you won't worry about them. But Appendix V, which is real estate and buildings owned by the City of Scranton, which may be related to the Knowels Insurance list, the very bottom it says, "The the properties in this department were furnished by the City of Scranton Law Department," and on this page, page 43, the first three buildings are the Albright Memorial Library, the Silkman house library,

and the Greenridge library.

So I went to the Law Department and I said, "Ladies, who updates this document?"

And I got the infamous Hogan's

Hero's, "I know nothing" go talk to the BA's

Office. I went and talked and spoke to

Nancy Krake and basically her response to me

was, "Well, if Roseann Novembrino signed off

on the document it's her fault."

You know, all I want to do is see this material published correctly. This is not hard to do. It makes us look like idiots, and maybe we are idiots for doing it this way.

Okay, the third party administrator RFP. I was here when they opened the bids on May 19. There was one bid, it came in on May 5. It was Access Medical Evaluations from Michigan. Now, we want a nationally recognized entity; correct? I never heard of them so I Googled them. This entity was formed in the year 2005. They have the staff of three people and their annual revenue, not profit but revenue, is \$119.100. Is this the nationally recognized

2
 3
 4

auditor."

entity that's going to ensure we handle disability pensions correctly? So this is going up to the Law Department to see if they past muster. They may not because they were looking at some issues about signing certificates and other things.

And with regard to yesterday's Sewer Authority meeting, Michael Parker made a statement that he did not feel it was necessary to bring the AG in, Mr. Depasquale, for a special audit because he said, "We are hiring our own independent

I hope to God it's not Rossi & Rossi who audited the city going back at least to 2002 and who somehow did not pick up on the double pensions. I want the Auditor General, and if during motions you would explain what's going on with the proposed town hall meeting and with the redacted invoices I'm sure the public would love to know. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. I'd just like to start off by

24

25

saying that, and not in a personal way, okay, nothing personal against any council member, but I think the voters are really onto something with the way the vote took place the other day. I mean, I do understand, Mr. Wechsler, that you will not be retained and I think that was a start, but for the life of me I just, and like I said it's not personal, I don't know how Mr. Rogan survived. And it's my hope, and I do mean this, that every councilman that voted for that sewer deal gets voted out and never holds public office again because I think it's time for people to realize one thing, we elect people to represent us and they don't do that, and our country if you take a look at what the president of the United States is talking about now about cut backs, anybody who pays attention to what's happening to our country now we have elected politicians for 50 years that kept borrowing money, kept doing crazy things. It's come to the point where a very large percent of your income disappears on the day you get paid.

elected.

Now, Mr. Evans, you are talking about nuisances taxes the other day. Well, you know, people can't afford their property tax, okay? They can't afford the garbage fees. They can't afford a lot of these things, and it's this council and previous council's fault, and the sale of all of our assets goes back to this council and previous councils, and mayors that we have

And it's not a personal thing, but when an asset belongs to a community it's placed in trust to the elected officials to protect it. It's not a personal thing. The authorities, they were never designed to create an asset and sell it off for pennies. The reason no private business ever came into this city and put sewer lines in the city and created a plant is they didn't have the money necessary to do it. Would have never happened, okay? The reason it happened is because the taxpayers made it happen by the sacrifices they made for a very long extended period of time.

And when you talk today about the

assets that are gone, some people think it's comical whether it's the library or the golf course or anything else, they were all assets that belonged to the people of this community. The University of Scranton is over at the South Side Sports Complex which was illegally sold by the City of Scranton and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania because federal, federal law says the project 70 projects cannot be sold.

So, now, should the federal government come in here and tell the city it wants it's money back and who will they come to for that money? And, you know, with the Sewer Authority gone who is going to fund these pensions? Is the LST going to fund that? Does anybody have any idea? See, this is very serious business in the city and anybody who voted for that should not be retained and anybody who puts somebody's yard sign up that had so much disrespect for the people in this community they are out of their mind. They really need to seek counseling of some type, okay, to realize -- to find the root of why they would put

somebody's yard sign in their yard that just stole their assets and also further the mismanagement of their city.

Take a look at all of the children in the Scranton School district that are getting a free or reduced lunch and grasp the poverty that's here, not the make belief world that the politicians live in when they are funding a renaissance of residential development in the downtown because the downtowns were never really designed for residential development, they were designed to be business hubs, to create money and jobs, but that's not what's going on here.

And look at the boondoggle of the Scranton Lace building, they came in with torches, spent a couple of weeks, cut everything out of it, and it's sitting there they are waiting for more money. Just like the North Scranton project. That was all funded by the citizens. It's just ridiculous what's taken place, and the average person they don't have a chance because they have got you representing them.

And, you know, you have got to ask

another question here today, where were the state representative and the state senator for 25 years when the City of Scranton did the silliest things you ever see, not just the tree house or the bridge down the gorge or the street signs that sun faded now that you can't see, all of the corrupt silly things that have been done in this city that moved money around, okay, but didn't help the general public, didn't create a better life for them.

And the board yesterday, it shows you where they are because I asked them if they'd go to the PUC and request a reduction in the Pennsylvania American Water's rates so that we could recapture the storm water collection revenue that this council and the Scranton Sewer Authority gave away. So, be proud of yourself, gentlemen, because you did a terrible job.

MR. WECHSLER: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident. Good, evening,
Kathy. Good evening, Stenographer, Lori,
Amil. Good evening to all of you. First of

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all, I'm not a very good mood because I just paid my American Water bill, so thanks, city, I appreciate that. That Sewer Authority meeting yesterday I didn't go to it, I was going to go to it, the last minute I had another appointment and I had decide which one to go to and I decide to go to the other one because I already knew the outcome of the Sewer Authority meeting before I would even go to it. I knew that there would be a motion passed to pass --the -- to pass having them come in and do the audit, but I knew it would be voted down. citizens, if citizens were allowed to talk that no one was going to be listening to them anyway, they were just going to be up there talking, and from talking to the people that were out Sewer Authority meeting that's basically what happened and also that's what I got out of reading the paper today.

So, again, you know, I don't know if you guys realize it or not but the City of Scranton is in serious -- has serious problems, serious problems. Difficult

1 problems. Problems that are hard to solve, and by selling off all the assets and 2 3 everything that we own is not the solution, and it's too late to do anything about it 4 5 now because it's all in the past. That's 6 over and done with, there is nothing we can 7 do to change it. 8 How much revenue do we get from the 9 parking garages? When I say "we" I mean the How much revenue do we receive 10 residents? 11 from the parking garages? 12 MR. WECHSLER: Right now we receive 13 none. 14 MR. JACKOWITZ: So we get none from the parking meters and we get none for the 15 16 parking garages? 17 MR. WECHSLER: Not until a certain 18 thresholds are met. 19 MR. JACKOWITZ: Not until when you 20 say? 21 MR. WECHSLER: Thresholds are met. 22 Later on towards the end of the lease 23 agreement there may be profits that come 24 into the city. 25 MR. JACKOWITZ: Do you have any idea

how long that might be? 1 MR. WECHSLER: 40 years. 2 3 MR. JACKOWITZ: How many? 4 MR. WECHSLER: 40 years. 5 MR. JACKOWITZ: Four years? So for 6 four years we have no revenue coming in. How are we going to pay -- fund the pension 7 8 plans? We have no revenue coming in from 9 nobody. Do we have any revenue coming in 10 from the Marketplace? 11 MR. WECHSLER: The taxes. 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: Besides taxes? 13 MR. WECHSLER: What else would there 14 be? MR. JACKOWITZ: 15 I'm asking you. 16 MR. WECHSLER: No, they pay taxes. 17 I don't understand. They pay mercantile tax 18 and they pay property tax, I don't know what 19 other revenue they should have to pay. 20 MR. JACKOWITZ: So we are going to 21 get taxes, is that starting this year or is 22 that further on down the road? 23 MR. WECHSLER: They have been paying 24 taxes all along. 25 MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, yeah, I know,

1 but since they took over new ownership I wasn't sure if there was some agreements 2 3 made, you know, things around here are made without knowledge of the people so that's 4 5 why I'm asking so I can get it straight in my own mind. 6 So we got no revenue coming in from 7 the garages and parking meters and we have 8 9 no revenue coming in from the Sewer 10 Authority. MR. WECHSLER: We have no debt from 11 12 We were relieved of the receivership them. 13 from the parking. 14 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, well, at one time we were getting revenue; correct? 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: At one time we had 17 major bills to pay, also. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: Exactly. And, 19 again, who is responsible for those major 20 bills? 21 MR. WECHSLER: The Authority was. MR. JACKOWITZ: Who appointed the 22 23 authority? The mayor did; right? So it 24 falls rate back o to our elected officials 25 are responsible for it. When you look at

1 the overall picture of the whole thing everything boils down to the elected 2 3 officials being responsible for it, because 4 the appointment of the boards are made by 5 the mayor, who is an elected official, and all departments should be approved by 6 council. 7 Did council approve all four of 8 the board members of the Sewer Authority? 9 MR. WECHSLER: Except for the zoning 10 board, all appointment are made by the 11 mayor. 12 MR. JACKOWITZ: I know the 13 appointments are made, but don't they come 14 before council? MR. WECHSLER: Council only has 15 16 They serve at the pleasure of the consent. 17 mayor. 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but council 19 has to approve them; right? 20 MR. WECHSLER: No, they do not. MR. JACKOWITZ: They don't come 21 22 before --23 MR. WECHSLER: They do come before 24 us for a review, but our vote is not 25 necessary.

1	MR. JACKOWITZ: But you do have the
2	right to deny it and say no?
3	MR. WECHSLER: You can vote "no" if
4	you care to.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Exactly. You could
6	say no but obviously
7	MR. WECHSLER: They serve at the
8	pleasure of the mayor.
9	MR. JACKOWITZ: But obviously they
10	get very few "no" votes because they make it
11	through the voting; right?
12	MR. WECHSLER: Again, they serve at
13	the pleasure of the mayor.
14	MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, they serve at
15	the pleasure of the mayor but if council
16	votes them down and they give a 5-0 vote no
17	are they still placed on the board?
18	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
19	MR. JACKOWITZ: Why do we have a
20	city council then? You guys do nothing.
21	MR. WECHSLER: The city is the
22	executive
23	MR. JACKOWITZ: You have no
24	authority.
25	MR. WECHSLER: The city is the
	II

executive form -- an executive form of government. The mayor is responsible for all the decisions.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I know that. So why do we have a city council? Why do we pay you guys \$12,500 a year? You have no power. You have no authority. You have no say so. You invite people to come the meetings and they don't show up or they turn you down, so why -- besides passing legislation why do we even have a city council?

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxes paid, fees

paid, not like friends of certain public

officials that got away from 15 years

without -- a multiple landlord without

paying a dime of his trash fees.

The North Scranton apartments came up and I'd like to remind everybody that every apartment in that complex cost \$414,000, and question yourself how much would it cost in labor and materials to build a ranch-style house for \$120,000 retail, probably half that much, so do the

math. We could have seven or eight ranch-style houses in Scranton for what every apartment costs in North Scranton.

Now, on the sewer, we did have a storm water study, it was conducted years ago. It was conducted by the Lackawanna River Corridor Association and their associates, and they assume that your humble home would cost you about two bucks a month, God only knows what it's going to cost in the future, who knows, and I'm not religious, by the way, so we can skip him and leave him out of it.

And hangover legislation was brought up tonight, you know, for years, for centuries if you go back to the books if I'm not mistaken we take our elderly into nursing homes and pay with Medicaid, well, you better get down to the people's law school because when they start with withdrawing these institutions then the Courts are going to come in, and Orphan's Court and laws are probably still on the books and they can require you to take in a relative irregardless of what trouble they

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then ever Su

can cause trying to cook supper, burn down your house because they have Alzheimer's or whatever and you will just have to take them in by Court order.

You know, let's get to what I'm really interested in tonight, and I'm furious on 7-A, pay as you throw. What do you do with people with throw and don't want to pay? They just come your Honor and toss a television off onto your property. televisions all over right now and they just come up and when you are asleep or whatever and throw trash or garbage or garbage bags, Ma Schumacher went out one day, and I think if I remember the story right, she put her one bag of garbage out, and came back and there were several bags sitting in front of her house. Who pays for that? Who pays for I'll tell you who pays for that. took 21 bags of partially burnt and scorched cans and God only knows what, Pampers, you name it and put it in my boss' dumpster, at his permission, but, you know, who pays for that.

And then ever Sunday night in this

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

town they used to sneak out because they had an ordinance against burning, every Sunday night when you are sitting there it's a nice summer Sunday night for a night on the porch and sneaking out and burning trash.

You come home and your porch is loaded up with trash, the police are at your house a week or two after you move in and the neighbor upstairs has 51 bags of garbage that they didn't pay to throw and they turn around and said -- they actually lied to the mayor and the policeman that it was ours, and the policeman was standing there screaming at my wife. Well, you know, I have to bring her down here I guess. could tell you the story better and she showed him the coupon book that we got as soon as we moved in, but, you know, it just gets -- nobody is going to pay to throw and it will just be a mess.

But I'm having a hard time voting for anybody anymore, over all of the instances from Amoroso to cigarettes in the parks, you name it, Duce for dictator, tyranny with equality for all.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. JEFFERIES: I'm Norma Jefferies.

I'm not here about my list of street names and the signs because they are still vacant, but I was approached by a news channel that showed up my door, I don't know how they found my address, but I didn't think that was necessary, I refused that because I have confidence in you guys that you going to take care of it, that there is going to be money found. You don't have be a great big thing on the TV and all, you know, I presented the list and I'm having confidence that it will be done.

What I'm here tonight for is the first thing I want to say is to thank whoever takes care of the Lackawanna parks at McDade Park. I was up there this week, I have been there before on other occasions, but this time it was my venue, and I made my reservation in January so that I could have a particular pavilion. It was excellent. The weather even cooperated. It rained in the morning and in the afternoon the Sunday came out. The kids had a great time in the

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little play area up there, and I have to mention his name Jerry took care of us because there was a little trash there when I first got there and he cleaned it up and made everything really nice with the lady's rooms and everything. It was a great day and if anyone doesn't know how great that park is it's a great park.

The second thing, can I give you another list? And this is on -- I think I called the Office of the Council today and no one could help me and I called before so I sort of wrote it up and maybe you can help me with it. It's on poison ivy, and I don't know whose responsibility it is to spray for poison ivy, but there is a location, and you will see the address on here, Stanley Place in north side in North Scranton, and they have terrible problem with poison ivy there. It's right in back of the Weston Park there, there is a little street that goes through there. They do cut it down, but as anyone knows with poison ivy, it comes back. had poison ivy, it's not fun, so I need some help. Who is responsibility is it to spray?

It needs to be sprayed, not cut down?

MR. GAUGHAN: I received your message late this afternoon and I knew you were going to be here tonight so that's why I didn't call you back, but we can forward this to DPW, I believe that would be their responsibility? I'm not sure if there is spray for something like this, I imagine there is, but we'll definitely look into it and try to take care of it.

MR. JEFFERIES: Because I know I sprayed my property with it and it works but it's a bugger of a thing and, you know, kids are going to be out of school soon and they are going to use that shortcut to go up there. And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you very much.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much.

MS. JEFFERIES: And I want to say one other thing, I have a little folder where I'm collecting things. I'm collecting articles that are appearing in the paper that are of interest to me. I'm not ready to did discuss them now, one of my -- my

Career was data gathering and that's what
I'm doing now and I'll be back probably in
the fall with some of this stuff.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

First off, I intended tonight to wear a red nose in memory of Mr. McGoff who I think the very first time it arrived in the United States I bought it, I did not into the room where I left it, but I was remembering him in thought anyway.

And I just want to say publically, I don't know if Ron Ellman and Andy Sbaraglia watch ECTV, but they are missed. I hope they come back soon. We need them and we need other people as well.

On agenda Item 5-H that is the putting the outdoor seating at 404
Washington Avenue. I don't know if you read the backup, the schematic was not there, but what I'm curious about I believe they said they would still have a five-foot walkway after they put these tables. I find that hard to believe unless they are going to change the front of the building, and if

they change the front of the building to accomplish that do they have to go through the Historical and Architectural Review

Board because that's going to make a big change in that building if they have to take away part of that?

MR. EVANS: I doubt they are going to take part of the building away, but I would imagine they definitely have to go before the HARB. That's a historical building.

MS. SCHUMACHER: There is, as I say, they mentioned -- the backup mentions a schematic that I think the zoning officer looked at, but I didn't see one, so I would like to see that before you vote for it because I have a hard time believing they can be between the parking meters and the ---

MR. EVANS: There is some pictures, but they are not very good.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And then moving along, did anybody take the time, maybe you, Mr. Rogan, to find out what you UNC is doing renting the federally funded

units to drug dealers and --

MR. ROGAN: I do have that on my list to follow up with UNC, but I haven't gotten to that yet, but I do have that on my list.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I noticed another recipient from the former group that OECD is now being charged by the US Labor Department for not even paying minimum wage, but we were very generous with them, also. So I think we need to be very wary if you are thinking about going ahead again with economic development loans that you have to do some deeper thinking.

And then does anybody look at the back up before you come? Did you look -- anybody look at I believe 3-D, which is the report of the last assessment appeal board meeting. Of that, you might notice 13 of the properties or had 42 percent of the properties that were being appealed that particular day are from Scranton, which is not unusual, that's about the percentage we have in the city. However, in total, the amount of the assessments of the Scranton

properties were reduced 26 percent.

Individually, the reductions range from zero, one of the 13, to 50 percent.

zero, one of the 13, to 50 percent.

Individual percentages of reduction were zero, one. 4.8 percent, one. 5.2 percent, one. 8.1, one. 18.1, one. 32.1, another property. 22.8, one. 27.6, one. 33.3 reduction, two. 42.9 percent reduction,

one. 47.1 percent reduction, one. 50.0 reduction, one.

That tells you a lot about how bad our properties are assessed in this city and, see, I don't know, I saw something in the paper recently that Jermyn, wherever they are, a Borough township was going to vote to send something, but I think it's time you guys consider doing something more than talking about the need for the reassessment and maybe act as a body to request that something be done overall because it's really bad out there.

Next, the condemnation, we have rental registration done and we were told that the condemnation legislation everybody agrees it's needs help, but where is it?

MR. EVANS: Well, we are going to have another work session on that alone, so, I mean, that's the plan right now to have a work session. We have several people we want to invite like the Ron Koladjeski of the Tax Claim Bureau, there is several attorneys in town, developers want to be involved in that so we are going to --

MR. ROGAN: I'm sorry, Wayne.

Additionally, I met with Commissioner

O'Malley and Ron Koladjeski about four weeks
ago regarding how the city's condemnation
policy affects the county tax sale so there
is some issues there that we are going to
try to include in this proposal.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would hope you get that moving before you take off your monthly August -- are you still meeting in those small groups, by the way? You announced them two weeks ago and then there was something and then you quit.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, we do have some, but I think what we want to do is, we talked about it, bring it back to city hall and city council to have a lot more

participation, so we are going to create the subject and have the meetings here.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then if I may indulge one more item, SAPA.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: What is happening with SAPA? Is that going to be a general -- a general across the board for SAPA redo

MR. EVANS: Well, we are going to do our own individual zoning code, but it's going to be part of the overall SAPA footprint. They all will be working together.

MS. SCHUMACHER: What's the time line for that?

MR. EVANS: September is the time for getting -- for applying for the grant and we expect that to start in the first quarter of 2018. We've already had -- we got a letter already that indicates what the cost to us would be, I think it's going to be \$19,000, I think, for us to do our --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Did you \$90,000?

MR. EVANS: Nineteen. \$19,000.

MS. SCHUMACHER: That's all?

MR. EVANS: Yeah. Well, it would have been almost 50,000 if we weren't in the group, so we are going to grant money from the county and grant money from the state because of our participation with SAPA so it's more than affordable.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Oh, one more.

MR. WECHSLER: No, I'm sorry.

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, thank you,

Mr. Wechsler. I do have a couple of quick things to talk on tonight. Since this was just brought up about the variance on North Washington about Posh, I do have some handwritten schematics in front of me. I have a very firm position on outside dining areas. You know, I'm all for them, but not to sacrifice any kind of safety. Our downtowns are busy enough, there is parking, there is cars from curb to curb whether parked or moving and to not have adequate

walking lanes is just too much of a hassle, so I'm sure you saw this in the back page, but for everybody else I don't know how visible it is, there is going to be two tops, two-top dining tables that's going to hug the wall. There is also going to be a t four-foot barrier, barrier fence that's going to separate the walking traffic from the dining traffic. Now, with that being said, the question again is there enough room for people to walk on that sidewalk safely without somebody, you know, having to veer off onto the street.

This says there is seven feet from curb to the -- from the separation wall.

Now, whether that's true or not, I don't know, I'm not taking this off of this value, so I have no problem accepting the reading of this tonight, but, you know, there will be -- I will find out a firm answer if I have to walk the tape measure myself to make sure it's allowed because there are a couple outside dining legislations on the docket tonight and while it gives businesses in the city, you know, the upper hand and levels

the playing field in some for the almighty dollar that's out there, we can't do that at anybody's safety. So, you know, that's something I am going to be looking at this week. It just to make sure we have adequate walk space there because that does seem awful tight and they are marking it at seven feet, which it's tough to tell from a hand drawing which, you know, it's honestly not to scale.

And Ms. Schumacher, staying with you, you had a question about the possibility of the six police officer's retirement and if they accepted that. I do have some information thanks to Chief Graziano and Mrs. Reed, they did a lot of legwork for me, so I want to thank you for all of that, so we do have some information. I have the MOU in front of me, and the resolution 118 that was adopted January 29th of 2015. Since that resolution has been adopted, this has been a total of four work-related disability pensions given and two non-work related disability pension. In 2017 there has been zero. Now, since that

time there only has been one year's of service pension given out since January 29, 2015, and these numbers are according to the minutes from the police pensions and commission board meeting.

So when we sent this off we got a response from Chief Graziano and his response says that based on the question that I gave him that the police department does not have an early retirement incentive in their contract. There is a sliding scale bonus in the contract if an officer retires in the first six months following the retirement anniversary date, however, the officer still needs to reach full retirement eligibility to qualify. Officers must have 25 years of service and a minimum of 55 years old to obtain a regular pension.

You know, so we did pull that MMO and it's gets kind of deep but, you know, we do have it here and I'll just kind of highlights what it says in Section V of service. Section A, "Within 120 days of ratification of currently eligible or within 120 days of retirement eligibility it's

2

3

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$10,000. "B", within 150 days it's \$7,500; and "C", 180 days they stay on it's 5,000."

And so there is a sliding scale but as far as incentives to retire earlier there is none of that in the current contract.

I know Mr. Evans is going to bring up a graffiti subject coming up soon, sooner than later, and I just want to go on record right now that if there is one thing that will bring a community down it is once graffiti goes up and it's left up it's a sign that the neighborhood and the citizens have given up, and once people realize that it doesn't get any better from there. some people might see a tag mark on a stop sign or a mailbox and just kind of walk by it, that's definitely not the society or community that I want to live in. So, you know, I'll be there in full force for you, Mr. Evans, and, you know, I'll definitely deploy men in my neck of the woods and my couple of block radius because it's going to be take a community to fix a community problem. It's not going to done by two or three people, it's going to take people from

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all parts of the neighborhoods to stay on top of it. We have send out a clear and concise message that this is not going to be tolerated. As fast as it goes up, it needs to come down. That was always my philosophy on graffiti in my home or around my house or in my businesses that I worked at. There is just no place for it.

7-A, is Mr. Dobrzyn still here? know, we are going to butt heads on 7-A, you know, we going to have that study done and we want to know, you know, if pay as you throw concept it needs to be explored fully because what we have right now does not The \$300 flat does in the work. work. is just -- there is no equality in it and I just I hope never to have to vote on a \$300 garbage fee flat across the board again because it just does not make any sense for the amount of -- for the demographics that we have in the city to have just tier of refuse removal fee it just -- we can do a lot better than that and we owe the citizens a lot better than that and this is step one of seeing what is out there and what can we

offer.

I was always in favor of a hybrid system where there is a lower yearly fee plus a bag per bag fee where it's a lot more manageable. It encompasses everything if you are a one bag person a week or two bag it's going to be a heck of a lot easier. With that being said, you know, I desperately want to see this study done and get on with this what I call archaic way of collecting garbage and refuse fees.

Mrs. Reed, I haven't heard back from Director Hinton on 120 Columbia. Last week I made a request that if the owners of the condemned property have been attempted to be contacted. Just to kind of reiterate from last week, I know the neighbors in that area they were having some issues, they were able to get someone on scene to cut the long grass, but there is still an issue with getting in the house and it's not just very well boarded up, and as we know condemned houses in our neighborhood they are not desirable to say the least and, you know, there is only so much we can do to a

22

23

24

25

condemned house, we don't actually own it, we can't really do much too it, but we do is owe to the citizens as a city to do our due diligence and try to track the owners down to get them to do whatever they have to do to at least secure the property. If they don't want anything to do with it and they just want to let it go, whether it's the tax sale or whatever, but they still are on the hook for it and they still should maintain it as least safe as possible. I'm not talking -- we are just talking about putting a couple of boards up, so at least if we can get back to the citizens that we have -- the city has done their dual diligence to contact the owners that we would be great.

And I'm going to end this with last night at the Scranton Sewer Authority meeting. I was perplexed, as most people were, this was, as I thought, their chance to bring almost certain closure to what has been, you know, an auspicious part of our city for the last months. I was really, really hoping and I thought they would agree with Dunmore council and Scranton council,

25

the citizens of both Dunmore and Scranton, to get an independent audit in their and end this once and for all. Mayor Courtright went on record this week saying everything was above board. Why won't the Scranton Sewer Authority just do an audit and let's I know they voted for -- against end this. the motion to get and independent auditor in there and the reason was, well, they are going to get an audit done anyway based on their annual review. Well, what's not going to fly with the citizens or with this board is that audit is going to be done by someone they pay to do the audit and that is not a third party, that is not an independent That is a hired -- really it's an person. employee that you hire to do work for you and, you know, unfortunately, it just doesn't -- the citizens and this board will see right through it. It's not good enough for our standards. We have been brought along on this issue way too long, between redacted issues -- I'm sorry, redacted records, Right-to-Know questions and papers just not being answered by the newspaper,

there is too much out there left not answered.

So I am going to make a plea to them once again if you want to this end and over with because if you think the spotlight has been turned off after last night's meeting, the spotlight just got brighter and hotter and they have all of the power to end this and this is just to get an independent auditor in there and end it once and for all. That's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

Yes, thank you.

Mr. Perry mentioned almost everything I planned on mentioning tonight so I'm going to request we switch seats.

MR. ROGAN:

MR. PERRY: You got it.

MR. ROGAN: But on the SSA, I agree whole-heartedly. I was very disappointed that the Sewer Authority Board did not agree with the unanimous decisions of Scranton Council and Dunmore Council to have the Sewer Authority deal audited by the Auditor General. It makes sense, it's an

to know what happened with every dime in the transaction. I did hear coming in that there is a schedule of having a town hall meeting and that unredacted documents are going to be released, which I think is some good news, but I do hope that the Sewer Authority board will reconsider their decision and allow for that audit to be completed and released to the public so everyone can know exactly where everything stands.

independent person and the taxpayers deserve

Regarding the graffiti, I agree as well with everything that was mentioned and I'm a big believer in the broken windows theory with Rudy Guilliani used in New York City to revive New York City and when you have graffiti and broken windows and all of these problems in neighborhoods it attracts a criminal element, and when you have well maintained properties and, you know, everything looks nice it attracts homeowners and people who want to live in a nice neighborhood, so it's very important to keep our neighborhoods looking good and the ones

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that aren't to get them back on track.

Very pleased to hear what Councilman Wechsler mentioned at the top of the meeting about the electronics recycling, one of the biggest problems in our neighborhoods is that people aren't able to get rid of old TVs, computer, and particularly the old heavy console TVs because the recycling center charges a per pound fee to get rid of them, so I would urge everyone to take advantage of this opportunity to get rid of these items free of charge because the DPW legally cannot take them so because of the rules at the dump this is your opportunity to get rid of those items so please take advantage of it. I know I'm going to and I know my neighborhood there are some TVs that have been out on the curb for quite sometime so that's something we are definitely looking forward and I want to thank the county commissioners for getting that together for us.

And finally on the rental registration, bids were opened for a rental registration database. Now, I wish that

this had been done prior to a number of the rental registration bills being sent out erroneously, but there were two bidders. Ι did get a chance to review those bids earlier today. One firm is an out-of-town firm that currently handles foreclosure registration for the city, and the other firm is a local firm which had a very good proposal as well. Now, from glancing at it, it seems there is a big difference in the price between the two firms, but I'm hopeful that this will help get the rental registration program on track and really have those letters targeted to people who own rental investment property, not being sent to people with single family homes, not being sent to people who have a double, who live in one side and rent the other, really we get into the targeted renal properties, particularly the ones that are owned by out-of-town landlords. So that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. As a

23

22

24

25

it.

reminder, a graffiti work session will be held in city hall on June 5 at 4:30.

Numerous stakeholders have been invited to

Numerous stakeholders have been invited that we feel will be helpful in trying to address this issue. I'd like to thank Councilman Perry as well as Councilman Rogan, and I think all of us up here may talk about this, I think there is a real passion on city council to get this thing eradicated and taken care of so I'm looking to come up with a multi-prong approach to solve the graffiti problems for all or at least get control of

There were two recent votes by elected officials and appointed officials that I would like to discuss tonight. First is the recent vote by the Jermyn Borough Council to adopt a resolution to call on the Lackawanna County Commissioners to conduct a countywide reassessment. I would like to personally thank the Jermyn Council for joining Scranton City Council in recognizing the need for a reassessment. Only a countywide reassessment will create a true tax equality as well as foster economic

development. I would also urge every
Borough, township, city, and school district
to let your voices be heard. Join Scranton
City Council and Jermyn Borough Council and
let the two county commissioners who oppose
the reassessment know loud and clear no
excuses, no more waiting, it's time to do
what is one of the fundamental
responsibilities of county government.

The second vote took place last night in the Governor's Room in city hall right behind where we are meeting tonight. Myself, Councilman Wechsler, Councilman Rogan -- or Councilman Gaughan attended. After the three to one vote not to request the Auditor General come in to audit the transaction, quite frankly, I was shocked.

I generally feel that when given the opportunity good people will usually do the right thing. And I'm not suggesting the SSA board members aren't good people, but by every criteria I can think of, this was a wrong decision. The SSA board had an opportunity to allow for a qualifying independent voice to be heard. They had an

opportunity to prove beyond a shadow of a doubt, as a mayor stated, that everything is above board and allow us to finally move beyond the lack of transparency and the lack of forthcoming information.

This city council as well as Dunmore city council voted unanimously for the Auditor General to review this transaction and all it's components. The appointed SSA board rejected the wishes of the elected officials as well as the wishes of the majority of the taxpayers, ratepayers and citizens from Dunmore and Scranton. So now those board members that voted "no", as well as the Mayor of Scranton, who also did not feel the audit was necessary, own this decision and all of it's consequences.

Scranton City Council should continue and will continue to press for answers and we will continue to do everything in our power to get those answers. Yes, the SSA board voted "no", but none of us should take that no for an answer. That's all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

Yesterday's decision by the Scranton Sewer

Authority board to deny the request for the

Auditor General of the State of Pennsylvania

to perform a full review and independent

audit of the sale of Scranton Sewer

Authority, the Pennsylvania American Water,

was a blatant slap in the face to every

single ratepayer, every single taxpayer in

Scranton and in Dunmore.

The meeting and the decision to close the door on the Auditor General was a shocking display of ignorance and a complete dereliction of duty on the part of the members of the Scranton Sewer Authority Board.

Keep in mind, that there were two
legislative bodies, this city council,
Dunmore city council and Dunmore Mayor-elect
Tim Burke, who requested that they invite
the Auditor General in. Mr. Packer,
Mr. Wayland, and Mr. Boccadori, are supposed
to be independent and represent the interest

of the ratepayers and no one else. Their lack of regard for transparency and utter disdain for openness, which was evident in their denial of inviting the Auditor General in, leaves no doubt in my mind that they do not have the best interest of the ratepayers and taxpayer of Scranton and Dunmore in mind.

Mr. Parker, who is the chairman of the Sewer Authority Board, said yesterday that there is no need for the Auditor General to come in because the Scranton Sewer Authority is hiring their own independent auditor. That statement in and of itself is laughable at best. How can it truly be independent when the board members have the final say on who comes in and audits?

Mr. Parker also said that he does not agree with waiving all attorney/client privilege to release completely unredacted document. He didn't want to give up that right. Well, here's a news flash for Mr. Parker and the rest of the board, the client here is the ratepayers. The client

here is the taxpayers of Scranton and Dunmore. We have a right to see those invoices, we have a right to see what 50 attorneys did in relation to this transaction, we have a right to see what someone did for \$200,000, \$800 an hour, over \$100,000 in a month in some instances. And I'd still like someone to explain to me how attorney/client privilege fits in here and how you can redact almost all of these documents and use that as an excuse, and I ask Executive Director Shrive and never received a response.

It's time to stop hiding behind attorney/client privilege. Also, it's my belief that Mr. Parker should have strongly considered recusing himself last night from both of those votes because of his personal and professional relationship with Abrahamsen, Conaboy and Abrahamsen of which he used to be an associate attorney and who was a law firm involved in a part of this transaction. Mr. Parker is supposed to protect the ratepayers, not the law firms.

So based on the statements and

actions yesterday of Mr. Parker,

Mr. Wayland, and Mr. Boccadori, I would ask for their immediate resignation from the Board of the Scranton Sewer Authority. It is crystal clear that they do not have the best interest of the ratepayers in mind and their continued service on the board can only be a detriment to openness and transparency and I would hope that my colleagues would join me in calling for their immediate resignation.

Absent from yesterday's meeting with Mayor Courtright, which at this point should not be surprise to anyone who has been following local government for the past three and a half years. Now, apparently we learned in the caucus that the mayor had a press conference earlier today, I don't know the exact details of it, but from what I understand they are now going to release the legal invoices and they are going to -- and the mayor is going to have a town hall meeting. Too little too late. Where was Mayor Courtright for the last six months when this council has been asking these

questions and we were stonewalled. So now all of a sudden after the board members of Scranton Sewer Authority vote against inviting the Auditor General in, now all of sudden they want to have a town hall meeting. Now all of a sudden they are going to release all of these documents. Well, I'm sorry, it's a little suspicious to me.

What's really surprising about Mayor Courtright were his comments in the newspaper. When asked if we thought an audit of the transaction should occur, he said, and I quote, "If they think there is a need I certainly can't stop them.

Everything was done above board. Nothing underhanded was done."

And his comments beg the question, how does the mayor know if everything was done above board and nothing underhanded was done? If that is the case, as he suggests, then why not invite the Auditor General in. It will not cost the city a dime and the Auditor General, Mr. Depasquale, has said he would have no problem performing the audit. If everything was done above board, as the

mayor assert, why has the Sewer Authority

Board fought me tooth and nail over the last

six months when I have requested document

after document and asked questions?

On the city's website under the Office of Mayor it says, "Mayor Courtright's pledge is to be transparent, fiscally responsibility, honest and to represent the City of Scranton with integrity that is beyond reproach."

I would respectfully request that that statement be taken down immediately. The mayor has broken his pledge to be transparent along with the board members of the Scranton Sewer Authority. At this point, if the mayor of our city and his appointees to the Scranton Sewer Authority Board want to slam the door on the Auditor General, then I am officially asking our solicitor, Mr. Minora, to review and examine what kind of jurisdiction the Attorney General of the State of Pennsylvania would have and what kind of purview he would have over coming in taking a look at and examining the transaction of the Scranton

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sewer Authority and Pennsylvania American Water.

It's my belief that the Attorney

General does have jurisdiction under Chapter

56 of Municipal Authorities, Title 53,

subsection 5612, where he has -- it gives

him the right to examine the transaction,

accounts and all records of municipal

authorities. So I expect that Attorney

Minora will look into this and will have an

answer by next Thursday.

Ladies and gentlemen, from what I have seen over the last six months where there is smoke there is usually fire and there is a whole lot of smoke surrounding People still want answers and if this deal. Mayor Courtright wants to have a town hall meeting that's great, but where was he for the last six months? If they want to release the records, why did the Sewer Authority Boards in one of most bizarre meetings I have ever seen in any entire life vote against releasing all of the documents, vote against allowing the Auditor General to It begs the question what is come in?

really going on here? I don't think anybody knows.

So, again, I think that people need to continue to demand answers with this transaction and I know myself, I will continue to ask these questions and I will continue to demand transparency, accountability, and integrity. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you

Mr. Gaughan. I did attend the meeting
yesterday and I also was quite surprised
that the vote was not taken to ask the

Attorney General the Auditor General to come
in. The fact that the Scranton City Council
and Dunmore Council have both asked for this
and requested it I believe it gave the Sewer

Authority Board the proper, I don't -- I
want to the right term cover, but the right
encouragement to take this vote and to put
this matter to rest.

I did speak to Mayor Courtright
earlier this evening and he informed me that
they are planning to release the unredacted
documents, give them to the public in time
for review them and then have a town hall

meeting sometimes a few weeks after their records are released. From talking to the mayor this evening, the questions there will be an open forum for the public to ask questions, I haven't heard any restrictions on the questions, I haven't heard any restrictions on the amount of time that the town hall will take. He has provided -- in speaking to him he has provided me with a list of the people that he is going to ask to attend and I do believe that this will be an opportunity to get these questions answered that this council has been asking since January.

Unfortunately, the focus has been on council to get these answers. Recently, this evening, a Times reporter asked us -- for council to request the Auditor General to come in, we have already done that. I reached out to Dunmore Council to get them to ask the auditor to come in. Council has been trying to do this all along. I wish that this town hall meeting had been held sooner. I think we kind of spun our wheels on a deal that had to happen. If the

Scranton Sewer Authority was not sold there is a strong possibility that Scranton could be facing receivership as we move into 2018. Along with receivership would be tax increases, reduction in services, I stand by my vote to sell the Scranton Sewer Authority. We had to do it. We needed the money. Do I wish there was more transparency in the deal? Obviously. We are still talking this, it's five months later.

In order to get the true benefit of this sale, this matter has to be put behind us. I still think that the Auditor General coming in even after the town hall meeting is still the best way to go. I think we still need that independent third party to go through this and make sure that everything is done properly.

In regards to the electronic recycling, this is something that we are to going to have to come together in the city as a city. I think every home in Scranton has some type of electronics that has to be removed. I believe in our city right now

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

10
11 in t
12 toge
13 expe

there is lot of people that are going to need assistance in getting those to TVs and monitors to the curb, so I'm going to reach out to the neighborhood associations and see if they can help us out. I know I had a big TV that ten years ago I couldn't move by myself and right now I can't move it by myself anymore, so I'm looking for assistance with my TV as well.

But it is something that the people in the city are going to have to come to together, and as Mr. Rogan said it's very expensive if you missed in opportunity to recycle your electronics I think they are charging almost \$2 a pound, so if you take one of these huge televisions to the recycling center it's going to cost you several hundred dollars to recycle and that's why they are out on the streets.

As a community, I do thank the county commissioners for putting this together and working through the state and I also thank the input that's been given by our Director of Public Works to come up with a plan to make best use of this program.

Also, this evening, I received a call from Mr. Peter Cameron from the Scranton Times informing us that some census data was released today where Scranton is one of the fastest growing cities still in the area. While other communities are having a reduction in population growth, Scranton is having an increase and I think that's a testament to the development that's happened in our downtown in terms of residential apartments, and I also think it's part of the good housing stock that we have in our city for renters and I do appreciate that news this evening.

That's it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN
THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND
THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE
MAINTENANCE OF THE TWO (2) STAMPED
BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSINGS AT THE
INTERSECTION OF OLIVE STREET CROSSINGS AND
THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL.

1 MR. WECHSLER: At this time. I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. EVANS: Second. 5 6 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. PERRY: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 15 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 16 17 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 18 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND 19 20 THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE 21 MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF NAY 22 AUG AVENUE CROSSING AND THE LACKAWANNA 23 24 RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 25 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll

1 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 2 introduced into its proper committee. 3 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 7 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. EVANS: Aye. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 15 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 16 17 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN 18 THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE 19 20 MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED BITUMINOUS 21 ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF ALBRIGHT AVENUE CROSSING AND THE LACKAWANNA 22 RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 23 24 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be

introduced into its proper committee. 1 2 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 3 MR. EVANS: Second. 4 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 5 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 saying aye. 7 MR. PERRY: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 13 14 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 15 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN 16 17 THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND 18 THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED BITUMINOUS 19 20 ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF 21 POPLAR STREET CROSSING AND THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. 6 MR. PERRY: Aye. 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 13 14 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN 15 THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND 16 17 THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED BITUMINOUS 18 ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF EAST 19 20 MARKET STREET CROSSING AND THE LACKAWANNA 21 RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 22 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 23 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 24 introduced into its proper committee. 25 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. 11 MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 12 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 13 14 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND 15 THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE 16 MAINTENANCE OF THE TWO (2) RECTANGULAR RAPID 17 18 FLASHING BEACON'S ("RRFB'S"), STAMPED BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSINGS AT THE 19 20 INTERSECTION OF BROADWAY STREET AND THE 21 LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 22 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 23 entertain a motion that Item 5-G be 24 introduced into its proper committee. 25 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

	68
1	MR. EVANS: Second.
2	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
3	those in favor of introduction signify by
4	saying aye.
5	MR. PERRY: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. EVANS: Aye.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
9	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
10	ayes have it and so moved.
11	MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
12	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
13	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A
14	SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO POSH @ THE
15	SCRANTON CLUB TO OPERATE OUTDOOR SEATING AT
16	404 NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE, SCRANTON,
17	PENNSYLVANIA.
18	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
19	entertain a motion that Item 5-H be
20	introduced into its proper committee.
21	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
22	MR. EVANS: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question.
25	This is the property that was brought up

1	earlier today so, again, I'll get
2	clarification on this and if I have to walk
3	it off myself with a tape measure I will,
4	but right now in the legislation they have
5	allow for a seven-foot easement for a
6	walkway.
7	MR. WECHSLER: On the question, I
8	also believe that since there will be other
9	requirements of this it's going to be
10	alcohol related. It will be PLCB
11	requirements for that other, so their
12	dimensions are going to have to be accurate
13	I know for those type of permits.
14	All those in favor of introduction
15	signify by saying aye.
16	MR. PERRY: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
23	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
24	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A

SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO DAVINCI'S

	10
1	PIZZA TO OPERATE AN OUTDOOR RESTAURANT AT
2	505 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
3	MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
4	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
5	OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO GRANT A
6	SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO CAPAA C/O THE
7	RITZ BUILDING TO OPERATE AN OUTDOOR
8	RESTAURANT AT 222 WYOMING AVENUE, SCRANTON,
9	PENNSYLVANIA.
10	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
25	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.

1	100, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF
2	TRANSPORTATION TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY
3	NECESSARY FOR THE WEST LACKAWANNA AVENUE
4	BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M173 AS PART OF THE
5	CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE PROJECT.
6	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
7	by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
8	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
9	pass reading by title.
10	MR. EVANS: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
12	those in favor signify by saying aye.
13	MR. PERRY: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
18	ayes have it and so moved.
19	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
20	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 101, 2017 -
21	AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
22	TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY NECESSARY FOR THE
23	ELM STREET BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M171 AS
24	PART OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE
25	PROJECT.

1	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
2	by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
3	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B
4	pass reading by title.
5	MR. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
7	those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MR. PERRY: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. EVANS: Aye.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
13	ayes have it and so moved.
14	MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -
15	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 102, 2017 -
16	AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
17	TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY NECESSARY FOR THE
18	NORTH MAIN AVENUE BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M172
19	AS PART OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE
20	PROJECT.
21	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
22	by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
23	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
24	II
24	pass reading by title.

1 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 2 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 3 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 8 ayes have it and so moved. 9 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 10 11 WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 151, 2017 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE 12 SUBMISSION OF A PENNSYLVANIA RECYCLING 13 14 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION 15 TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL 16 PROTECTION ("DEP") FOR A TECHNICAL 17 18 ASSISTANCE GRANT TO CONDUCT A 19 RECYCLING/REFUSE PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 20 21 CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT THE GRANT FUNDS 22 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$7,500.00 FOR THE 23 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING COMPANY SCS 24 ENGINEERS SELECTED BY DEP TO PROVIDE 25 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE TO MUNICIPALITIES FOR

	74
1	THE PAY AS YOU THROW (PAYT) COLLECTION
2	PROGRAM.
3	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Public Works?
6	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-A.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
11	Roll call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
13	MR. PERRY: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
22	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
23	adopted.
24	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
25	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR

	·
1	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 152, 2017 -
2	ACCEPTING A DONATION OF THREE THOUSAND SEVEN
3	HUNDRED NINETY-NINE (\$3,799.00) DOLLARS
4	FROM AMOS TOWERS MANAGEMENT ENTERPRISES,
5	INC. PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE
6	DEPARTMENT FOR THE PURCHASE OF MUCH
7	NEEDED EQUIPMENT FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.
8	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Public Safety?
11	MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
13	final passage of Item 7-B.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
16	Roll call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
18	MR. PERRY: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
22	MR. EVANS: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. Meeting adjourned. MR. WECHSLER:

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPF OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

. .

.

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>