| F  |  |   |
|----|--|---|
|    |  | 1 |
| 1  | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING                      |   |
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  | HELD:  |   |
| 6  |  |   |
| 7  | Thursday, May 4, 2017                              |   |
| 8  |  |   |
| 9  | LOCATION:  |   |
| 10 | Council Chambers                                   |   |
| 11 | Scranton City Hall                                 |   |
| 12 | 340 North Washington Avenue                        |   |
| 13 | Scranton, Pennsylvania                             |   |
| 14 |  |   |
| 15 |  |   |
| 16 |  |   |
| 17 |  |   |
| 18 |  |   |
| 19 |  |   |
| 20 |  |   |
| 21 |  |   |
| 22 |  |   |
| 23 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER |   |
| 24 | ON HERE OF MANDOLLI, AND OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER   |   |
| 25 |  |   |

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 Here. 7 MR. ROGAN: 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. CARRERA: 10 Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 13 14 MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a motion that Scranton City Council invite the 15 16 Auditor General of the State of Pennsylvania 17 to conduct an independent audit of the sale 18 of the Scranton Sewer Authority to 19 Pennsylvania American Water. 20 MR. EVANS: Second. 21 MR. WECHSLER: There's a motion on 22 the floor and a second, on the question? 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 24 Transparency and openness have been missing 25 from this deal since it began more than a

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

year ago. I believe that a transaction of this magnitude should be audited independently as a matter of transparency.

A complete review of this transaction should be performed to ensure that the financial statements and transactions are accurate and lawful.

I'm deeply concerned about the expense of over \$3 million in legal fees. As was reported in the news media, 50 lawyers and eight law firms were involved in transaction. Some lawyers paid over \$800 an hour, that's \$6,400 a day. If, as the news media did, compare this to a place like Limerick Township in Montgomery County who sold it's Sewer Authority to Pennsylvania American Water for nearly \$72 million, they only had two law firms involved in this deal with legal fees capped at over -- just over \$200,000. The over \$3 million the Scranton Sewer authority paid in legal fees is 15 times more than the \$200,000 paid by the Limerick Sewer Authority.

. When I asked for a description of what the 50 attorneys did for the millions

of dollars spent, I was sent invoices with descriptions that were omitted because of attorney/client privilege. I find this completely absurd. The clients here are the ratepayers of the City of Scranton and they deserve answers and, quite frankly, redacting that information gives the impression that something else is going on.

Also, concerning to me is the expense of the roughly \$12 million from the time a deal was reached between the Scranton Sewer Authority and the Pennsylvania Water Company and the closing in December 31, 2016. I have asked for a detailed accounting and explanation of what capital improvements were made with these funds, were these capital improvements necessary. We still have not received an answer from the executive director of the Sewer Authority.

So as a matter of the transparency and an a matter of the openness, I believe that an independent audit by the Auditor General of Pennsylvania needs to occur. The audit will work to understand evidence and

determine facts and actual or potential conflicts, accountable, transparency, and integrity. I would urge my colleagues to vote yet on this motion. I think the people of the City of Scranton deserve these answers. Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question.

This sewer -- the sale of the Sewer

Authority when this went through several

months ago we were expecting something that

we didn't get all along. My biggest thing

with this has been the \$12 million money

that's been missing on quote/unquote

mandated work. What was the mandated work?

How could we have that itemized list of what

the mandated work was? I honestly don't

think it was too much to ask on why the

taxpayers' money and the ratepayers' money

how it was spent and where it was spent,

especially since that money was supposed to

come right back to the city.

So we have been asked for that information from quite some time now and I honestly think that an independent auditor is honestly the way to go to get some of

these answers for us. This takes all hands outs of the deal. It takes the administration's hands out of the deal, it takes the Sewer Authority's hands out of the deal and it's going to give us answers which honestly I think we deserve.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I repeatedly requested in writing and verbally for the information from the SSA that we are still awaiting for. The work performed, as both Councilmen just mentioned, that was between the signing of the agreement and the closing of the agreement for the sale to the PAWC. There was at least \$9 million of unexplained expenses during that time frame beyond what we now know relative to the attorney fees, etc.

The most basic response was the bulk of the money was spent on mandated work. We are simply asking for documentation of that mandated work. Clearly, the entities that required these mandates would have had guidelines reporting as the mandated work was completed, be it the EPA or DEP or whatever is asking for the mandated work at

the federal level. I'm at a loss for the lack of information and why it has not been forthcoming, so I would support that motion tonight and ask the Auditor General to step and review this transaction.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with much of what has been said and the thing I really like about the idea of having the Auditor General come in is it's a neutral party versus having the media, which has reported on it at length, having a neutral third party come in and take a look at everything I think would be very likely to get a full report. The taxpayers certainly deserve that so I would certainly vote "yes" on the motion.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, last week as we started to get further redacted information and we did not receive any further information on the \$12 million I did contact Solicitor Minora to begin to examine the process of asking for this audit, so I do believe that this is the right thing to do. The questions that have come down to city council, even if we get

the information I don't believe that we are financial analysts to determine if legal fees are proper or if the \$12 million was spent properly, so I do believe that the Auditor General, who is a well-respected person in our state and has done several audits including many in the city. Just one thing about an audit, if we ask for the audit and he does it we are going to have to be prepared to follow with the finances. There has been a few audits done, one of the school board, one here of our pension plan, and there has been some findings and people have been slow to move to deal with those findings so whatever the Auditor General determines I believe that should put an end to this and, like I said, we should be prepared to follow whatever recommendations he may have. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The

23

22

21

24

25

1 ayes have it and so moved. Mrs. Reed, I guess we will have a 2 3 Solicitor Minora work on that request for us and we should have that out sometime early 4 5 next week. MR. MINORA: Will do. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 8 9 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAXASSESSOR'S REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE 10 HELD MAY 17, 2017. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 12 13 comments? If not, received and filed. 14 MS. REED: 3-B. SINGLE TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT 15 16 2016-2017 YEAR TO DATE APRIL 30, 2017. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 18 comments? If not, received and filed. 19 Do any council members have 20 announcements at this time? 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. Just 22 another announcements about the Armed 23 Services Day parade to support our veterans. 24 The Army, Navy, Air Force, Marines and Coast 25 Guard. It's going to be held in downtown

Scranton Saturday, May 20, at 11 a.m.
beginning at Vine Street and Penn Avenue
continuing to the courthouse. Colts Bus
will be running free all day. We are asking
all veterans to come out and all citizens of
Scranton and the region to come out and
support our veterans. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I have one as well. On Monday, May 15, Lodge 2 of the Fraternal Order of Police and the Scranton Police Department will be conducting an annual police memorial ceremony in front of the Scranton Police Headquarters located a 100 South Washington Avenue. This ceremony will begin at 12 noon and it will be honoring all Scranton police officers who have made the ultimate sacrifice having been killed in the line of duty.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Ned Abrahamsen, was he hired by the City of
Scranton?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes -- or I'm not

1 sure he had been hired, yes. MS. FRANUS: By the city, so when 2 3 did this come in front of council for a vote? Anything over \$19,000 a year has to 4 5 come in front of city council for a vote. I don't remember that coming in front of city 6 7 council. MR. WECHSLER: If you're in 8 9 reference to the legal fees, that was for 10 the Sewer Authority. 11 MS. FRANUS: That's what I say, who 12 was he working, for the city? 13 MR. WECHSLER: He was working for 14 the Sewer Authority. MS. FRANUS: Well. how come his 15 16 bills -- how come Ned Abrahamsen's bills 17 went to Jason Shrive when he was worked for 18 the city. 19 MR. EVANS: Fay, he was paid out of the proceeds of the sewer transaction. 20 21 MS. FRANUS: What's that? 22 MR. EVANS: He was paid out of the 23 proceeds of the sewer transaction. 24 MS. FRANUS: So you are saying he 25 worked for the Sewer Authority.

| 1  | MR. EVANS: My understanding was he           |
|----|--|
| 2  | was special counsel to the mayor working on  |
| 3  | the Sewer authority transaction.             |
| 4  | MS. FRANUS: But did he work for the          |
| 5  | city because he was working for Mayor        |
| 6  | Courtright?                                  |
| 7  | MR. EVANS: It was my understanding           |
| 8  | he was special counsel to the mayor. I'm     |
| 9  | not sure what                                |
| 10 | MS. FRANUS: Right, so that means             |
| 11 | that he would have to come he would have     |
| 12 | to have a vote by city council for approval. |
| 13 | MR. EVANS: Well, we weren't paying           |
| 14 | him though.                                  |
| 15 | MS. FRANUS: But the city hired him.          |
| 16 | MR. EVANS: The mayor hired him, but          |
| 17 | I'll have to ask him.                        |
| 18 | MS. FRANUS: Yes. That's city.                |
| 19 | MR. EVANS: I'm not defending it,             |
| 20 | I'm just saying what I know.                 |
| 21 | MS. FRANUS: Well, is there contract          |
| 22 | that the Sewer Authority had that hired him? |
| 23 | Did you know if that's available?            |
| 24 | MR. EVANS: We are trying to find             |
| 25 | all that information.                        |

MS. FRANUS: You met with them in that room in the back and didn't have -Mr. Wechsler never once had any caucuses in the front room here because Jason Shrive or any of these cronies don't want to be out in the public because they don't want the people to know what's going on. Mr. Wechsler is great for that. He said, "Oh, they don't want to."

MR. WECHSLER: Ms. Franus, that's the people that we invite chose not to be here and I need to have meetings, so if they won't attend the meeting it doesn't matter where I have it. If they won't attend the meeting --

MS. FRANUS: But you're the only -you are weak and you're a coward because if
they don't want to have a meeting out here
you tell them, "Look it, then we'll have a
meeting there but we have to everything that
you say and a transcript and you will come
to the meeting," and then we can read
everything that that he said. If they don't
want to be here in public, you could read
everything that they said, but you haven't

done that, and there is never a stenographer there either at those meetings, so nobody knows, just your word of mouth or your report what went on at those meetings. You are known for not wanting to have people come here.

MR. WECHSLER: That's incorrect.

MS. FRANUS: Well, how many times did people go in the back rooms instead of up here? Mr. Wechsler --

MR. WECHSLER: We have had many caucuses here and all of the caucuses have been open to the public.

MS. FRANUS: Mr. Wechsler, the back room fits about five people. You always have people in the back room, you don't have them out here I'd say 90 percent of the time because you don't want the people to know what's going on and that's a fact. I'm sorry --

MR. WECHSLER: You and I disagree on that.

MS. FRANUS: Well, we may disagree, but the people see what's going on. You are keeping the truth from the people.

When

What

1 intelligent. 2 MR. WECHSLER: This is the 3 information that he gives us. MS. FRANUS: I don't care what he is 4 5 giving you. So you can't say to him, "Well, the taxpayers are the client's --" 6 MR. WECHSLER: We have. 7 We have. 8 That's why we are asking the Auditor General 9 to come in. 10 MS. FRANUS: There should be a law 11 that says he has a right to do that, there 12 is no law. Just him saying the clients, 13 that's a cope out because we are the clients 14 and we want to know and that's what you should have said to him. 15 16 Now, I also want to know does the 17 Sewer Authority board or executive directors 18 have any liability? They let this go on and 19 didn't question the bills at all, is that 20 malfeasance? Do they have any liability for 21 this? Silence. 22 MR. WECHSLER: I don't understand 23 your question. 24 MS. FRANUS: Does the Sewer 25 Authority board executive directors have any

liability because they let all of these -- all of these bills being paid and they knew it was astronomical.

MR. WECHSLER: I'm not a lawyer, but if they followed the proper procedure and voted on it during a public and advertised meeting and they voted on it --

MS. FRANUS: So basically what they are doing is taking care of their friends, the lawyers, and not the people that they are supposed to be helping because you know darn wells those fees are crazy and, Mr. Gaughan, thank you for pointing all of that out to the people. You're the only one that wants to.

Okay, where were the board members of the Sewer authority when all of these bills are happening? How come nobody spoke up? I mean, that just goes to prove that these board members are in cahoots with these lawyers and Mayor Courtright.

One more thing that Ned Abrahamsen said, the details available upon request without any descriptions service performed of hours work. He said the details would be

there if you wanted them, and they were not. So, Mr. Wechsler, you keep doing what you are doing. You voted for as many taxes as Pat Rogan to put the taxpayers under water and have them losing their homes thanks to you and Mr. Rogan, so I don't know what people are going to do. If they vote for you again, they are out of their minds.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. Fifty-seven days to June 30, the date of the 2016 audit deadline. You know, a lot of people ask me why I'm so frustrated and fixated on audits and it's a very easy answer because audits are one of the vehicles by which the public gets insight into how this city and it's leadership uses our tax revenue and it's very important.

I will tell you one thing that's disappointed me, this administration and prior onces, is the unforgivable attitude of the city administrations historically not to want to divulge financial information to the general public, and Fay is right, we are the

clients, we are the taxpayers, we are the ratepayers, so I'm going to continue to count down to audits and other documents that are due, which brings me to the next milestone.

May 16 I hope every registered voter in the city votes on May 16 in the primary. If you don't like the candidates who are running, write in the name of someone you would prefer, but there is nothing that would put the fear of God into a politician or public official more than a near 100 percent turnout of voters during an election and I hope people keep that in mind.

Oh, but I will say that I did get the mailing this past week, Pat Rogan and Billy Gaughan, I guess that the realtors put out. I got to say, you know, I'm old enough to be your grandmother, and you two probably look young enough on these mailings to have to be carded, but at least you're involved in the process.

MR. GAUGHAN: Mr. Wechsler, can we extend Ms. Hodowanitz time tonight for more than five minutes since she is --

ı

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: I'll second that.

MS. GAUGHAN: Keep going as long as you want.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Mr. Perry,
Mr. Rogan, Mr. Gaughan, I am old enough to
be your grandmother. You other two, you're
old farts just like me.

MR. EVANS: That's enough. That's enough.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Shut up. 187 days to November 7 General election and I'm still waiting anxiously to see the results of this wonderful Arcadis study on storm water management. One of the reasons it's on my mind tonight is tonight and tomorrow we are expecting very heavy rains, and I'm a little bit curious as to when this Arcadis study is going to do some kind of abstract look at pipes and lines or it's going to look at the flooding and other problems that the city has had historically, the problems that have lead to Mr. Young's problem, Mr. Kennedy's problems, all of these other people who are suffering every time it rains and Meadowbrook backs up and this thing backs up

and that thing backs up. I know that the Arcadis study was not intended to be a comprehensive look at flooding problems throughout Scranton. It seems to me they are intimately tied into the storm water management issue so I hope you can a handle on this and I hope that our citizens are not hurt by tonight and tomorrow's rainfall which is expected to be very heavy at times.

Where is the updated Knowells insurance list for insured properties and equipment, do we have that, Mr. Perry?

MR. EVANS: My understanding is that the finding are complete and that they are being reviewed by all of the individual department heads now to make sure they are absolutely correct and that should be ready for us within three weeks.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Will that list be available to the public?

MR. EVANS: Well, if it's made available to the public.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Good, because I've go the original one, I want to do a

comparison.

Next, Wednesday is the next pension board meetings. I have a conflict, I will not be there, but I will be present on May 10 when they open the RFP for da, da, da, the third party administrator. Have we ever found out how the administration nationally advertised this requirement or did they?

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I asked that question last week, the correspondence I got back was through the Scranton Times on a post on April 15. I since then asked if we had done any kind of nationally through a website, some kind of governmental website database or appointed job site and I haven't received an answer as of yet, but to their fairness that question, that e-mail was just sent yesterday.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, but the mayor did announce his nationally recognized third party administrator over one year ago so it's should not be --

MR. PERRY: That's true.

MS. HODOWANITZ: -- a new thing for them. And, finally, I hope during motions

-- well, not during motions, please ask the city to put all of the controller reports back up and the city's website and to post the RFP for the third party administrator and the rest of the RFP for the rental registration we only get page one on.

Again, it's a systemic problem of a lack of transparency and disclosure when the administration has promised that this information will be made available to us when we pay for that website. Thank you.

MS. CARRERA: Joan, the pension are not next week, it's the third Wednesday of the month.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Isn't that next week? Never mind.

MR. WECHSLER: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Kathy, Stenographer,
Lori and Amil. You know, I have a 34 years
of government service which means I worked
for the government for 34 years. My 34
years as a government employee I never heard
of a civilian employee or an appointee not
answering the questions or not appearing in

front of an elected official when they are requested, so I'm finding it very hard to believe that people can't come to city council meetings when the elected city council members are inviting them and asking them to return. When I was in the government services, we jumped through hoops for every political elected official that ever came into contact with us to answer their questions and to give them guided tours and do whatever we had to do, so I'm not buying it. I'm not buying your answer, Mr. Wechsler. It may be truthful, but I'm not buying it, okay?

MR. WECHSLER: If it's truthful, you have to buy it. We have no authority to make them come here.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, you do. You're an elected official. You are elected by the people of the City of Scranton to do their business and to do their work for them so yes, you do. You are an elected official.

MR. WECHSLER: You tell me how am I going to get them here if they won't come?

MR. JACKOWITZ: You tell them to

1 come and if they don't come you give them a subpoena if you have to. 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: You give them a subpoena and it's held up for six months. 4 5 MR. JACKOWITZ: I'm not going to argue with you, okay? 6 MR. WECHSLER: But you are making 7 8 statements that aren't true. 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: No, they are true 10 because I got 34 years experience in 11 government, how many years do you have? 12 MR. WECHSLER: I have almost 40 13 years. 14 MR. JACKOWITZ: Almost 40 in 15 government. 16 MR. WECHSLER: Government contracts, sir, yes. 17 18 MR. JACKOWITZ: In government 19 contracts as a contractor. I was an 20 employee. Okay, I'm disgruntled with the legal fees in connection with the sewer 21 22 deal. Fifty lawyers. Why do we need 50; 23 lawyers? Four lawyers could have done the 24 job. When was the Abrahamsen law firm hired 25 as special council to the city? That's what

he was hired as, special council to the city, so when were they hired at \$20,000 per month and at what meetings did you, Council, approve his contract? Did it ever come before council?

MR. EVANS: No.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Why not?

MR. EVANS: Because he was hired by the Sewer Authority.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: It goes back to what Fay said, the Sewer Authority paid him not us.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but he was hired as special council to the City of Scranton, not the Sewer Authority.

MR. EVANS: You have to ask that question to the mayor.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Then my next question is I'd like to know for -- well, I'd like to know for next week when he was hired and who approved it, if it has to be part of the city council minutes, so it should be part of the city council minutes if it was -- if he was hired as a city solicitor for the City of Scranton. Okay,

| 1  | did he have a fee agreement? Was there a      |
|----|---|
| 2  | fee agreement with the city?                  |
| 3  | MR. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of.             |
| 4  | MR. JACKOWITZ: Pardon me?                     |
| 5  | MR. EVANS: Not that I'm aware of.             |
| 6  | MR. JACKOWITZ: Where is the                   |
| 7  | contract that says he could charge \$20,000 a |
| 8  | month?  |
| 9  | MR. EVANS: That's what we are                 |
| 10 | trying to find out. We are trying to find     |
| 11 | all these out.                                |
| 12 | MR. JACKOWITZ: Shouldn't you have             |
| 13 | found out this beforehand not after the       |
| 14 | fact?   |
| 15 | MR. EVANS: Well, the                          |
| 16 | MR. JACKOWITZ: The horse is already           |
| 17 | out of the barn, the bill has already been    |
| 18 | paid.   |
| 19 | MR. EVANS: Well, the Sewer                    |
| 20 | Authority board is the one that voted for     |
| 21 | the deal not us and we didn't have that       |
| 22 | information beforehand.                       |
| 23 | MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. If not, did              |
| 24 | the Sewer Authority hire him?                 |
| 25 | MR. EVANS: Yeah, we are trying to             |
|    | II  |

1 find that out. 2 MR. JACKOWITZ: You mean -- again, 3 this should have been found out before all of this was put into effect. You should 4 have known all of this before the sale and 5 before everything happened --6 MR. WECHSLER: The Sewer 7 8 Authority --9 MR. JACKOWITZ: -- not after the 10 fact. Like I said, the horse --11 MR. WECHSLER: The Sewer Authority conducted the sale. 12 13 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah. but who is 14 paying the rates on it, the citizens of Scranton. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: These questions 17 should be addressed to the Sewer Authority. 18 They handled the sale, not the city council. 19 MR. JACKOWITZ: The Sewer Authority is an appointed board, I'm going to my 20 elected officials. 21 22 MR. WECHSLER: You can come here --23 MR. JACKOWITZ: You guys are elected 24 officials, they're an appointed board. 25 MR. WECHSLER: We had no authority

ni that sale.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Again, they are an appointed board, they are appointed by the mayor. They are not going to give you the answers. You are an elected official, you were elected by the citizens of Scranton, as a matter of fact you are running for reelection so I hope people are paying attention to these answers that are given.

Is it true that the lawyers for Buchanan, Ingersoll and Rainy, Bill Conaboy is a nephew to Abrahamsen; is that true?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: It's a family affair then, in other words.

MR. WECHSLER: I don't know that for sure, but I believe he is.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Where was the Sewer Authority board? Didn't these board members speak up or the mayor speak up during this sale? Where were the Sewer Authority board? Where were they when all of this was going? Everything was kept hush-hush, everything was keep quiet. Nothing was, you know, nothing was made public. And is it true

that the City of Scranton has been distressed for 25 years? Is that a true statement that the City of Scranton has been distressed for 25 years?

MR. EVANS: Yeah. Certainly.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Is that a yes?
Well, if that's the case, how can you afford
to pay a law firm \$835 an hour if they're a
distressed city, can someone answer that for
me?

MR. EVANS: We didn't pay it.

MR. WECHSLER: It's the Sewer
Authority paid that money. The Sewer
Authority paid that money, not us. Not us
as a body. As a taxpayer --

MR. JACKOWITZ: So none of money is taxpayer money it's all Sewer Authority money?

MR. WECHSLER: It's all taxpayers' money but the Sewer Authority is the one that spent it, not the city council.

MR. JACKOWITZ: I didn't say council did. I'm just saying if we are distressed and it's all taxpayers' money being spent how can we afford to pay \$835 an hour for an

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorney? I don't know, most people in this city don't even make \$835 a week and we are paying them an hour. It's all taxpayers' money, remember that. You're an elected official --

MR. WECHSLER: I didn't forget that.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And it's all taxpayers' money that's being spent and everything is being hidden and swept under the rug.

MR. WECHSLER: Just one comment from one of the questions that Mr. Jackowitz asked, and I keep saying this, but the only information that's out about this transaction right now in terms of the legal fees and in terms of the \$12 million spent, those answers were generated by questions asked by Scranton City Council. Answers to those questions were confirmed and followed up with in the meeting Mr. Evans and I had with Mr. Shrive and that information was brought out to the public. So the answers that we are working with right now have been provided by Attorney Shrive, the Director of the Sewer Authority for questions that were

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

asked as a combination of your questions that were generated from Scranton City Council and also the Scranton Times.

So we did ask questions, we are trying to find out the answers. The answers that we got aren't satisfactory to us, but we did ask the question and we did get answers. They are not satisfactory to any of us, but I did not see Dunmore Council asking questions, I did not see members of the Scranton Sewer Authority board asking questions, I did not see the administration questions, the question that were asked and the answers that were given came as a result of the information requested by Scranton City Council. We are trying to get the best information that we can. Unfortunately, those people do not work for us. subpoena power is limited if we even have So I take offense or umbrage that you e are saying we are not to trying to get the answers because we are. We took a step tonight to try and get more answers. trying our best to get the information that the people want.

Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,
Council. Les Spindler, city resident,
homeowner and taxpayer. I was happy to see
a problem I brought quite awhile ago, that
whole on Dorothy Street near the
playground -- near the softball complex,
work was started on last week. I don't know
if it's finished or not. It was filled in.
There is a board that was covering the hole
propped up against the storm drain now.
Councilman Perry, do you know if that job is
done? It doesn't look finished to me?

MR. PERRY: I know they were working on it and there was a leak connection of a pipe issue that they had there, but I'll follow-up on it and see if it's a completed project or not.

MR. SPINDLER: It looks like everything was just thrown in and since that the soil has sunk and there is a depression there.

MR. PERRY: And it's possible that they did do the initial fill and waiting for the ground to settle and do it again, but

I'll get the answer, I'll follow-up on it.

MR. SPINDLER: Thank you. In the paper yesterday it talked about a debate of the council members that are running again and, Councilman Gaughan, you said you are the only one running who has stood and fought against Mayor Courtright's agenda.

Well, my opinion, I think the mayor has done a good job. He didn't raise taxes this year. He got the Supreme Court award for the unions settled, so what would you have done differently?

MR. GAUGHAN: What would I have done differently?

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah.

MR. GAUGHAN: A couple of different things.

MR. SPINDLER: You were against the sewer sale, what would you have done if we didn't sell the Sewer?

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, I would have looked at the storm water management because I think we put the cart before the horse there. We don't have any idea how much that's going to cost. I would have -- and

that's not just me saying that that's two
PUC law judges that say we don't know how
many storm water management is going to
cost. My fear is that it's going to be a
significant amount of money. We should have
done that study before we sold off the
Authority who was taking care of those
issues.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, what would you do to get the money that we got for the Sewer Authority sale?

MR. GAUGHAN: I would have done a study, I don't know because I would have done the study first. How do you know if it's a good deal if you don't know how much you are going to have to spend on storm water management?

MR. SPINDLER: I think the mayor has done a good job and I have to disagree with you because I think we are much better off than we were three years ago. As I said, three years ago the Supreme Court award hadn't been paid yet, our taxes were through the ceiling. We got the new lights in the city, streets are being paved, I think the

1 city is better than it was three years and in defense of the mayor he was left a mess 2 3 by the previous administration, a big mess. Moving on, something I brought up 4 5 here many times before, with many other councils, dangerous dog legislation. 6 broke it you previous council, also, and 7 nobody has ever looked into it. Has anybody 8 9 ever planned to look into a dangerous dog 10 legislation? 11 MR. EVANS: I did try to find some, 12 Les, but I can't find. Do you have some 13 cities that have the legislation currently? 14 MR. SPINDLER: Absolutely. Pittsburgh have it. All you have to do is 15 16 Google dangerous dog legislation in 17 Pittsburgh. 18 MR. EVANS: Some of them were 19 out-of-state, that's why I wanted to see 20 something within Pennsylvania. 21 MR. SPINDLER: Pittsburgh. 22 It has one? All right. MR. EVANS: 23 MR. SPINDLER: Pittsburgh has it. 24 Just Goggle Pittsburgh dangerous dog 25 legislation.

 $\label{eq:mr.evans:} \text{MR. EVANS:} \quad \text{I am interested in that} \\ \text{so I will look it up.}$ 

MR. SPINDLER: I did years ago and they have certain rules. People have to get separate insurance, they have to have fences a certain height, and I forget exactly what else, but Pittsburgh has it.

MR. EVANS: All right.

MR. SPINDLER: There is no reason.

I have asked for years and years and years as has Lee Morgan and nobody has ever done anything and something has got to be done.

That's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council,
Bob Bolus, Scranton. Incidentally, I left
my camera crew home today. They are in
Norway. You know, I have been listening
here and I have been listening for a long,
long time way before you guys ever came on
this council and, you know, I understood for
many years, Mr. Wechsler especially, it was
a nice speech to blame this one or that one,
but we put you in charge as council

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

president, they put you in charge. should have and understood the responsibility that of that position, went to the Sewer authority meetings, went to the garage and everything else that was being done and got the answers for us. We don't have to go get them, that's what we have you people for. Especially the council president, that's a responsibility if you read the Rules and the understanding of what the duties of the council president are. Ιt was your responsibility not ours and I believe you failed us by not getting us the We shouldn't have to ask you what are the legal fees and what are the one -you guys should have had that.

And the sad part of this is none of this would have ever happened if you didn't introduce the legislation to sell before you had the answers of what were legal fees going to be, what was all of the extra stuff that was going to get through into that pot. You guys never asked those questions? Now you are trying to blame and point the fingers. You know there is an old saying

the horse left and all you got in your hand is a shovel and you know what's for and that's what we doing here and it's sad because we are not going to undo what's been doing done it's already over with. And we are the ones that are going to suffer and suffer dearly because you guys didn't pay attention.

True, they could do what they want, but unless council approved the sale of those properties they couldn't sell it on their own. They had to come through council. Council never got the answers. It was in front of yous. Blatantly right there it is and you didn't do it. Don't blame anybody else.

You know, and I have heard people pick on Mr. Rogan here and I have heard him pick on other people, but over the years that I have been here I have heard Mr. Rogan at least a few times said he screwed up and made a mistake. I think you are better off admitting we screwed up here, made a mistake, we are not going to get the money back. The \$850 lawyer you could have bought

the Bar Association here in Lackawanna

County for God's sake. You are not going to

see it. It's over. You already wrote

checks out.

But the sad thing you turn around and gave Basalyga almost \$7 million for the mall. Think of that. The irony you gave him the money to pay for the mall, you never pushed to get a reassessment, what about the taxpayers? You didn't do a damn thing about them. Yet, you gave him all of that money then you sold him the garage for a dollar and like nice guys give him a couple of million bucks to help fix it.

Where is the logic? There is none. The guys with the yards that are sunk, water running over, oh, it's going to cost \$13 million. Add up all of the million dollars you gave away here, the parking garages, the meter spaces that you sold, now you are paying to clean the snow and, ironically, when I was on Lackawanna Avenue today going down Lackawanna Avenue from the curb out there is nothing and then there is a white line separating the main part of the

thoroughfare designating where the parking spaces are. They are called meter parking spaces. They are the ones that they should be paying to plow the snow from and I brought that up, you haven't done anything about it. Yet, you write a check out and approve the check for pay all of the snowplowing without going to them. And then you guys allowed them to become nonprofit. Like, what the hell is the thinking here? We need tax money from other people, not the citizens.

made in taxes on a \$35,000 investment I made in this city. Didn't hear anybody stand up and say what the heck is going on here? When I saved the church and the hall over there for the kids and everything else in this city nobody stood up and said, geez, what's going on here? Yet, you won't do a darn thing and now one of you had sat up and said we better push to reassess the mall. It's worth, 25, 30 million dollars. Those are taxpayer dollars.

So when you say transparency or you

say I'm independent thinking you are not.

You are talking about what's happened it's too late to deal with. When you need to do is deal with the present, the situation that you have there.

You know, you have to act beyond your own personal agendas. You have to act on behalf the people of the city. You are asking to be reelected. Mr. Wechsler wants to run again, he wants to be out here. He said he worked in government. Mr. Wechsler had illegal aliens at the plant he worked in. It's history, nobody is lying about it, yet he never took responsibility for it. He said I have no idea, this and that, and he has never taken responsibility for anything else going on here.

Mr. Gaughan, you got to take responsibility if you're an independent person. There is no one independent here. You five are one, remember that. You five are one that represent everybody out here. You five represent everything that got screwed up here with the Sewer Authority, and the parking garages. You made it

1 nonprofit. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 3 MR. BOLUS: You five are one, keep that in mine. You are no independent, 4 Mr. Wechsler isn't on his on, this isn't his 5 council, it isn't his city. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 8 MR. BOLUS: You answer to us and I 9 please always ask you, Mr. Wechsler, don't thank me. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Then I would ask you 12 to follow the time limit. 13 MR. BOLUS: I'm not here to give you 14 a compliment in any way, shape or form. I'm here to tell you what I think about you and 15 16 what I think about the lack of service you 17 provided. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. 19 MR. BOLUS: And the arrogance that 20 went beyond --21 MR. WECHSLER: Your time is up. 22 MR. BOLUS: -- what you have been 23 doing --24 MR. WECHSLER: Your time is up, 25 Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: -- and what you need to reconsider that you represent the people, not Joe Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: And please don't thank me because there is nothing I feel great about you and I should be thanked for.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. BOLUS: And if telling you the truth is thanking you then I'll take credit for that.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. I'd just like to give my phone number 570-604-1212 and, you know, I'm still looking for three people to run as independents for these council seats, and I really to do think somebody non-aligned can do a write-in and win and I really don't think it's much of a stretch, and I did get one call so far so maybe we'll get some more, but I just would like to poll counsel and ask one question is that all right?

MR. WECHSLER: No. You can ask a

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question, but you cannot poll question, no.

If they chose to answer, they can.

MR. MORGAN: Okay, here is my question, you know, because I have been watching these debates and really they are beyond silly. We are talking about a storm that already passed over and knocked down all the trees and half the buildings are gone because of a lack of ability of council so this question has to do with the -something to do with the Sewer Authority, and my question is I would like every councilman to answer this question today so the voters and the people in this city can have a better understanding of what this council might or might not be willing to do and I would like individual councilmen to petition the PUC to reset the sewer rates in the City of Scranton because the Scranton City Council made an error when it sold the combined system and it gave the storm water collection system money to Pennsylvania American Water. This was a combined system and the rates were set to not only maintain the residential base, but the storm water

collection system. So I would like individual councilman to write a letter to the PUC and petition them to hold a hearing and recalculate these rates and redirect the portion that belongs to the storm water collection system to the remnants of the Scranton sewer authority board to build a storm water collection system and maintain one in this city because those rates were combined, and this council made an error and the mayor made an error.

About the PUC can correct that error and Pennsylvania water may be very angry, but that's just the way it is, so that's the question I have for individual councilmen.

Does anybody want to answer that?

MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know. I mean, I can't give you an educated against because I'm not sure what that process is even like, I mean, so I would have to --

MR. MORGAN: You have a solicitor.

That's a tool. That man right there is a tool like a hammer or screwdriver. Maybe if a lot more questions were asked before we got to the shape we are in maybe we wouldn't

25

be this way now. But, you know, sitting up there smiling and ignoring people, you know, I watch people -- people have watched these debates and they said it's the silliest thing you have ever saw because it's a bunch of illiterates standing there with suits on, sitting there with suits on that don't have an answer for one question. They are fighting over what happened to the Sewer Authority monies and it's like watching third graders. And, you know, the thing that gets me is the people that are having these debates don't they understand what's actually happening in this city? And I respect the League of Women Voters and I respect the neighborhood associations, but you know something it's time to really see just where this city is and arrogance isn't going to help us and ignorance isn't either. And this city is in worst shape than anybody can really imagine because it's out of assets. All of the money that has to go in to the pension plan that still has to go there, and if a judge had a hearing soon and he tells the city that it can't collect

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certain taxes in it's future it's all coming back to the property owners. Sewer

Authority money is gone, the 511's goes out of the window and then what happens? It's a great question and you will see the answer because so many people are just desperate to leave here.

When you have people who go out and you are talking about going to the county and asking them for the little bit of information they have from the reassessment they started, but if people are -- if a reassessment takes place what really needs to happen in this city, and if you are realtor, Mr. Evans, you are a realtor, okay, people are going out getting assessments on their homes and finding out they are worth 30 or 35 thousand dollars and they are selling them for next to nothing, and then the city or the county or the school district say, oh, that house is worth 100 grand, but I just bought it last month for \$30,000, so just because the government needs money and can create false numbers doesn't create prosperity. It's just one

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more step on that lonely trail to bankruptcy. And you know something, people are leaving this city and they are abandoning their properties like they have for a long time, and we have five members on council who did the silliest things.

Mr. Bolus hit on it. The sale of the Parking Authority, the sale of the Sewer Authority didn't shore anything up and it didn't raise any revenue for the city. And another point Mr. Bolus makes, and he is quite correct, is those parking spaces are owned by a corporation, not the city, and they should pay to have them plowed or bring their own plows in. Good luck, gentlemen, and I hope that everyone in the city understands that five councilmen, well, four council members couldn't answer the question on whether to petition the PUC to divide That just goes to show who you their rates. represent. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Samuel Gantz.

MR. GANTZ: Samuel Gantz. So I'm not here to discuss the Sewer Authority.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

1 MR. WECHSLER: You want to take a 2 shot at it anyway? 3 MR. GANTZ: No, I don't know enough about it. I have a simple question, so I am 4 5 a third generation Scrantonian, recently moved back to join a practice as a local 6 7 physician here, and I got a parking ticket 8 outside of my office and I didn't know the 9 new laws, which are apparently, and correct 10 me if I'm wrong, that you are not allowed to 11 park within 25 feet of any intersection; is 12 that correct? Do you know? 13 MR. WECHSLER: It goes by the state 14 I'm not familiar with the state law, but if that's what you found. 15 16 MR. GANTZ: Well, I was told it was 17 a city law, do you know? 18 MR. WECHSLER: Well, the traffic laws are state. 19 20 MR. GANTZ: State. Therefore, to 21 change any of those the only way to do it 22 would be petition the state legislation? 23 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 24 MR. GANTZ: And what about -- how do 25 we get about this only for a at least where

I live in the Hill Section with the alleyways if it's 25 feet, which is what I'm told, then there is maybe one to two legal parking spots on each side of the street which is obviously not enough for those of us who live there.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, the one thing that the city has done in congested areas they have gone to permit parking where residents have preference, but if you follow the strict rules of the state parking is limited.

MR. GANTZ: So there is no permit parking where I live how do I go about that?

MR. WECHSLER: You need a petition from your neighbors.

MR. GANTZ: To get that done?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah.

MR. GANTZ: And then what about the fact that there are many spots that are set up as metered parking or other things within 25 feet, how does that work?

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I would think you would have to bring that to the attention of the city if that's an illegal

spot we had have to take a meter out.

MR. EVANS: You are correct with that though, there are -- -especially downtown and in the Hill Section there is metered parking that is in is violation of that.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  GANTZ: Yeah, literally across the street.

MR. EVANS: Yeah.

MR. GANTZ: So some places if you park in the meter there you won't get the ticket, others just kind of --

MR. EVANS: I always felt that enforcement should be done on a common sense approach not necessarily the letter of the law, so that is ashame that you are getting a ticket for being that close.

MR. GANTZ: Right, okay, I
appreciate it. Just a second thing if, may,
I don't know this is the right forum either,
on the 500 block of Monroe, where my
children go to school, it's a one-way
street. There are so many people driving
down that street the wrong way. There are
signs but I was wondering how I could get

1 something bigger, better. All of the 2 college students don't realize it and there 3 are a lot of children in the street there. I don't know if this is the correct forum 4 for that? 5 MR. WECHSLER: Is there a warning 6 7 sign at the beginning of the block? 8 MR. GANTZ: There is one, it is 9 there, I don't know if there is something 10 you could paint in the street or something 11 because when I go to pick up my kids I would 12 say on average every time I go at least once 13 one car is going down the street the wrong 14 way. MR. WECHSLER: You have more chance 15 16 of getting a bigger sign than something 17 painted in the street, so we will contact 18 Chief Graziano. 19 MR. GANTZ: If you could. 20 MR. WECHSLER: And have somebody go 21 up and there and take a look. 22 MR. GANTZ: Thank you. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else? 25 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council,

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxes paid, fees paid. I'm going to reiterate a few things here. In the 300 block of Cedar Avenue take a drive down I think we got a few people from Minooka here right after the gas station, it's ruined even more.

And once again on trash, it's time to have a per barrel for seniors where they are given a regulation barrel similar to what we would have for cans and bottles and we could color it yellow or color it red, red connotates something with medical waste so I don't know about red, but at any point there should be if we are going to get a discount there should be an acceptable amount that these people could get a discount, and whether their daughter's marriage winds up on a rock and they have four kids moving in the next week after they get that discount who knows, you know, and tons of trash out there so who knows.

And, okay, we keep hearing about the sewer and, of course, well, you know, my concern originally with this council was that we are too accepting of Amoroso and he

the school paid his first year, Lackawanna College and, you know, his whole sale pitch to the council, I feel sorry for you guys, but it's fall on your swords. If you make it back this time don't be so quick to fall on your swords and don't be so accepting because, obviously, he had a bill of goods that weren't -- wasn't necessarily the greatest thing in the world and who knows whether it will be a benefit or not.

I'm going to bring up this medical business again with insurance. This is more of a national issue, but if your local hospital is going broke because they are not being paid for service every emergency room has to take in a patient and all patients are eligible and years ago it was \$21,660 per year you were off the hook for care, so keep in mind that that's being figured in your insurance policy because currently the Congress is having a caviar and champagne party over there, gee, I don't care plan.

Gee, I don't care health care plan.

And when I bring up stuff like this

21

22

23

24

25

the Parole of Pardons, the bottom line on this is that anybody that was arrested for anything in the past you are thrown in with murderers, rapists, armed robbers with loaded guns, or whatever, the worst of the No matter how minute the crime was, no matter how silly it was, no matter how many years ago you have to go a three-year thing for the Parole and Pardons and you might want to mention some day that you think it's ridiculous to your representative and the law needs to be changed to take into consideration what somebody did or didn't do and stop throwing them in with arched I mean, that's okay for somebody criminals. that's a murderer or whatever, but it's not any good for petty crime or passing a bad check or something like that. It's just silly to have that on their record 30 years Thank you and have a good night. later.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher. Last week, MR.
Evans, you said you would get me the amount

1 budgeted for the third party administrator, how much was that? 2 3 MR. EVANS: I didn't get it. I'm sorry, I didn't get a chance to get that for 4 5 you. MS. SCHUMACHER: I certainly hope 6 7 that they used Zip Recruiter for that RFP. And doing a little spring cleaning on some 8 9 of the old stuff, whatever happened to the Brunetti's Market traffic issue? Has that 10 been resolved and, if so, how? 11 12 MR. WECHSLER: The legislation died 13 at the end of the year so there is no 14 legislation pending about that. MS. SCHUMACHER: So there is nothing 15 16 happened? 17 MR. WECHSLER: Status quo. 18 MR. EVANS: Yeah, status quo, which 19 I think is what they wanted was statu quo. 20 The legislation was changing so they didn't 21 want that. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. What about 23 what's the status of the price negotiation 24 for the procurement of the Serrenty Reserve 25 Center and who is doing it?

MR. WECHSLER: There has been no 1 activity on that as far as I know. 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry? MR. WECHSLER: There is no activity 4 5 on that as fare as I know as of right now. MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, how long ago 6 7 was it you passed that legislation? Maybe 8 in the future when you do stuff like that 9 you need to put a time limit on it so we get 10 some action. 11 Did I hear right when Joan was up here that we will have the clean list of 12 13 insured properties within three weeks? 14 MR. EVANS: That's what I was told, It's being round robined through all 15 ves. 16 the department heads to make all of the 17 information is absolutely correct and we 18 should have an answer within two weeks. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: On that, did we 20 include all of the vacant properties that 21 are the city's? 22 MR. EVANS: I'll check that. Ι 23 don't think they were on the original list, 24 vacant properties. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't either, but

I'd like to know if they're not how we are covered for all of those empty lots that we are owning.

Now, a couple of weeks ago it was noted that there was going to be a SAPA meeting on the following Tuesday, who of you went to the SAPA meeting and anybody else?

MR. EVANS: Myself and --

MR. WECHSLER: And representatives from the Zoning Board, Mr. Penetar went, Mr. King went, and I think Mr. Bulzoni went.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Mr. King is a member, yeah.

MR. EVANS: And Mr. Bulzoni also was there.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And what came out of that? I mean, they are our master -- that is our master plan and things like that we have been putting off for --

MR. EVANS: Yeah, the Zoning Code.

They talked about funding available probably in September if we all go in together all the groups that are a part of it and Scranton will know our share of that funding for a new study for a new Zoning Code. So

| 1  | September is the date we are looking at     |
|----|---|
| 2  | right now for that study. We could probably |
| 3  | do it ourselves, but I think they prefer    |
| 4  | doing it as a group because that's what the |
| 5  | intent was in the first place.              |
| 6  | MS. SCHUMACHER: And what's the              |
| 7  | target for having that done?                |
| 8  | MR. EVANS: Well, if the grant is            |
| 9  | successful in September I think we're       |
| 10 | looking at probably the first quarter; is   |
| 11 | that right, Joe?                            |
| 12 | MS. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry, you              |
| 13 | turned your head. When?                     |
| 14 | MR. EVANS: The first quarter of             |
| 15 | 2018 would be the target date for           |
| 16 | completion.                                 |
| 17 | MS. SCHUMACHER: And would that              |
| 18 | include the other issues such as the        |
| 19 | Airbnb's where we are now                   |
| 20 | MR. EVANS: Absolutely.                      |
| 21 | MS. SCHUMACHER: only are we                 |
| 22 | still only going after one resident of all  |
| 23 | of the Air bnbs?                            |
| 24 | MR. EVANS: I don't know what LIPS           |
| 25 | is doing as far as that concerned, but      |

that's the whole intent. Now, my
perspective is to get all those issues in
the new code that aren't presently
addressed.

MS. SCHUMACHER: What about drone and--

MR. EVANS: All of that. Everything.

MR. WECHSLER: All of that. Medical marijuana. It's a lot of different issues that have come that didn't exist when this code was written.

MR. EVANS: And if I remember correctly I was on the Planning Commission at the time when I helped draft the old code we had public meetings all the time so when the new code is done it should all be done in public.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, definitely.

Now, pension reform, the trust that is being established is one thing, but having the money sit there unproductively is something else, and I would like to know when you are going to invite Senator Blake and the other two house, Haggerty and Flynn, in here to

tell them what it is what we need and what 1 2 it is we want in pension reform. 3 MR. EVANS: Yeah, that would be a 4 good idea. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, they could go to the Chamber of Commerce, they can go 6 all kind of places but I never see them 7 8 here. 9 MR. EVANS: I mean, I've had private 10 conversations with them all the time, it's one of my favorite issues, but I don't have 11 12 a problem wit that. If they'd be agreeable 13 to come that was would be a nice --14 MS. SCHUMACHER: Agreeable? MR. EVANS: That would actually be a 15 16 good work session to be perfectly honest 17 with you. That would be something that be 18 productive. 19 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I think more 20 than a work session. I mean, work sessions 21 you have back in the Governor's room and 22 people don't have access. It should be 23 here. 24 MR. EVANS: Well, that's one maybe 25 we can have out here, yeah.

\_\_

MS. SCHUMACHER; and I think that should be done sooner, like. Before your August break certainly because that is very important to all of us. One more quick one, during the last administration, Mr. Rogan, you said you were going to find out we invested -- we, taxpayers, I think at the federal level invested over a million dollars on the slate roof for that Jersey Central Railroad Station and something was supposed to have been done with it and excuse was the bridge wasn't finished and the bridge got finished and you were going to follow up and let us know and I am still waiting.

MR. ROGAN: I was actually in that building last week with the owner and basically what I was told is pretty much the same thing the owner owns it and he is hoping to develop it, but it's a private entity. The city doesn't have -- you know, we can't go in and say, "You have to open it."

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, you can.

Learn from your mistakes. When we put over

4 5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a million dollar slate roof on a building there should be a deadline for which we get a return on that, meaning a business in there and some revenue out of it so learn from your mistakes, please. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, just a couple of quick things, but I'll touch on the sale of the Sewer Authority, that was a hot topic and the continues to be and has been. know all of us we had questions every day not just every week on the sale with a lot of intricate questions about the nature of the deal and how it was brokered. Again, I know Councilman Wechsler has said this numerous times, this deal was between the Sewer Authority and American Water Company. We did not have any, any information on this until the deal is finished. There was absolutely -- we knew nothing about any of the particulars. When the deal came out, we ask for particulars like we were going to

have them. We weren't happy with the particulars we got and we went back in and got some more. When we got more they were redacted and now this is where we are at.

You know, we are just as frustrated as everybody is. With that said, you know, I'm happy to move forward with an independent audit. I think it's actually -- it's needed and it's what hopefully we can put this issue to rest and get the information that we want to be shared put online. I really do believe that.

A couple of follow-ups from last week. About two weeks ago I put in a request for a speeding device. If you remember, there is a stretch in South Side in Minooka that goes from Cherry Street to Davis Street which is just a continuous road that has no stop signs, no red lights, nothing, and it is just -- it's ripe for speeding. It has been brought up by this council many times. Here is the response I got back from Chief Graziano.

"As per your request on Birney

Avenue, we recently installed a speed timing

1

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

device at the 2500 block of Pittston Avenue which is in the same stretch but just on a parallel street and after we collect data there for 30 days we can move it to the Birney Avenue location. In the mean time, I have directed increased enforcement there."

So basically what's going to take place by the Scranton Police Department is after they collect 30 days, it's probably been almost three weeks now that that speeding device has been on Pittston Avenue, they are going to move that speeding device, it's just like a radar detector that records the speed as far as drive-by and it tracks the actual speed so they can see if there is actually a speeding problem in that area. They will move that to Birney Avenue. Until the mean time, they are going to put a bigger police presence in that area to see if we can slow down traffic because growing up in that area there is accidents all of the time. There is parking on both sides of the street and there is plenty of children up and done that two-mile stretch so I was happy to see the increased presence going

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there before we get our speeding device put in.

Joan, and I had touched on this before about the third party administration, there are three current bids put on the third party administrator. The bidding process, as we know, is going to be closed on May 19. I have since -- I received this, put in follow-up to this correspondence, if we could just get kind of online websites, governmental, nationally governmental job posting bulletin boards, something like that, NT, for example and I haven't gotten a response back, and if we weren't to use those I could like to know the reasons why. I put that in my correspondence back as well just to make sure that we are getting the best of the best because I think we waited long enough for this position so I don't want to have to go 18 months, 16 months and not have to the best of the best to chose from.

Over the week, I took a visit and I met with Mr. Srebro over in West Side just to do some follow-up. I know he has been

having some issues. His latest issue he was having is with the curb in front of his house not being put back after the water main break. I went to visit on Monday, by the time I got there it was already fixed so he was very happy for that to be replaced by DPW. He then took me on his whole tour of his area. We went on both ends of that long 300 yard or 300-yard pipe that has to be cleared out and we spent some quality time together and there was a neighbor with him as well.

They are very happy with the work that DPW has put into them, but just by seeing that area it's just a very, very low lying area. Anybody that has ever been out there and familiar with that portion of West Side that comes right off the mountain and Mr. Srebro kind of lives right at that sunken in corner, and that drainage pipe is just -- that's the last ditch effort to move water. That's after, you know, the damage has been done just to move the water and the real issue is how are we going to get that water from Keyser from West Mountain into

the creek and get it just away from the homes there. There is many homes that are effected and that's going to be an issue that's going to take a lot of governmental heads to put together. I think Mr. Rogan, it was you a couple of months ago that had a work session with PennDOT, Mr. Pocius, DPW, that might be something that we are going to follow-up on again to get movement on this but because this is going to be a major project and everybody is aware of it, but this is going to be something that just needs to be followed up on.

I'll also take a trip up Dorothy

Street and I'll cheek in with Director

Gallagher to see if that project is

finished, but in the recent correspondence

I've had with Director Gallagher I perceived

that project to be almost 100 percent

complete. The disconnected pipe running

into into basin was reconnected, it was

filled in, and based on what Les was saying,

Mr. Spindler, I'm guessing that they are

just waiting for that to sink down and then

they are going to probably do topsoil, but

I'll go on scene and check that out with Director Gallagher.

Also, Mrs. Reed, if we could do a letter to Mr. Pocius about that gentlemen's comment about the 500 block of Monroe Avenue if you already didn't make a note of it about the one-way. Maybe there is a better way that we could make that -- beginning of that one-way more noticeable. I know in many parts of the city we have two ways that run into a one-way and if you are not really familiar with the area it does become a safety hazard, so we need to make that very prominent.

And, finally, last week I requested to have a meeting with Mayor Courtright about the street signs that was brought up by a speaker last week. He was unable to meet with me last week, but he did drop me a phone call. His intention is to draft an RFP which is going to be -- he feels it's a job that's going to be too big for DPW to take care of, too many street signs, it's just going to take too manhours so he wants to perform a study on it, see what the cost

is going to be and how we are going to get this fixed. I gave more information, I'll gladly pass it on to you, but I just want to keep everybody in the loop. The meeting never took place, but he was able to drop me a phone call and let me know that was his intentions. And I believe I have covered everything as of tonight so thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'll pick up where Mr. Perry left off on the street signs. We did, as was mentioned last week, we did send a correspondence to OECD to see if street signs were an eligible project for our CDBG allotment, and they are, so CDBG funds can be used for street signs.

Now, the catch is they can only used in low to moderate income neighborhoods, so it's the same areas where the city street paving though OECD can be done, the street signs can be done, and I think the most -- what would make the most sense is as the streets are being paved when there is problems with the street signs to do it all

at once because the work is already going to be done in the area. So if we are going to be putting money into a newly paved street people should be able to know what street they are actually on, so I am encouraged that we can use some OECD funds for street signs in our neighborhoods, so this is something I definitely think the city through the DPW should apply for next year and council ultimately has the say and, you know, on the allocation of those funds so, I think that something we would certainly support.

Next, I had mentioned, and this is legislative, but I'll just mention it now, the bid -- I mentioned it last week about the bidding for the streetlight repairs and I do want to thank our city clerk for pulling these previous bids for me, and it does seem that the bids are consistent with previous years. This year is actually a little bit cheaper. They fluctuate between the man hours and the truck hour, so the cost, overall cost seems very reasonable, but the actual spent is what I want to watch

because such an investment was put in to put all new streetlights in the City of Scranton. There should not be a large cost of maintenance. They are under warrantee, so there really should be almost very little utilization of this contract, so there should be a significant savings over what we had in the previous years when I know all of us up here were getting calls every day about problems with street lights being out, so definitely will support that so we do have somebody in place to fix issues as they arise, but it should be extremely limited once the project is fully completed.

I did get, I don't want to say a complaint, but questions from some people who live in areas that the streetlights still haven't been converted over and I do want to let them know that the project is still ongoing. There are issues in different places that are being sorted out. All the requests that I have received so far have been dealt with in a timely manner so definitely want to thank the contractors for that.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And just to reiterate what I

mentioned at the beginning of the meeting in regard to the Sewer Authority sale, having the Auditor General's Office come in and do an independent investigation sounds to me like at perfect way to get the information out to the public and to finally put this issue to rest. It shouldn't have taken doing that, it should have just been put out, but I do agree having them come in and do an investigation and it's somebody that's well-respected that's not somebody off the street coming in and doing it, and it really -- I don't want to say it's a no cost to the taxpayers because it is a taxpayer funded position, but is something that I support and I do look forward to hopefully seeing those findings as soon as possible. that is all for now. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I have an update on several requests that I mentioned last week at our city council meeting. I requested from Licensing and Inspections

20

21

22

23

2425

that they begin a review of the 40 different fees that are charged in their office. goal is to find ways to reduce, consolidate or eliminate those fees where appropriate with a special focus on the 17 fees that are generating less than \$10,000 per year each. In fact, those 17 fees generate in 2017, the estimate for the budget, \$71,400 or approximately 3 percent of the total budget of the department which is \$2,356,000. other two fees will generate almost \$2.28 million. So the question clearly is \$71,400 really worth the continuing ill-will created in the business community and doesn't this simply reinforce the perception or maybe the reality that Scranton continues to be

I discussed this with Director

Hinton this week and he agreed to do a comprehensive review of all of the fees presently being charged in Licensing and Inspection. I look forward to working with him to change the dialogue to something more relevant than just 44 fees directed as our

non-business friendly. We need to do a much

better job than we are.

business community.

Also, I discussed with Director
Hinton the proliferation of graffiti in the
city. We agreed to confer and discuss how
we can attack this problem from several
fronts, so I'll keep everybody informed as
we move forward with that because it's
something that we need really to attack
again because it has gotten out of hand.

And, finally, my request to the county for the data accumulated through the finished field work for all of the City of Scranton properties from the abandoned 2009 reassessment, it is my understanding from the article in the Scranton Times that my request was received and that I would be getting a written response from the county chief of staff. As of today that has not been received.

However, we did learn there are 20 boxes of material from the reassessment. We have not been able to find out yet if there is an electronic file of the information that we are searching for. I still expect there will be such a file somewhere but I am

prepared to go though all 20 boxes to see exactly what the net results of spending \$4.3 million in taxpayer money looks like, and what it is a value of the paperwork and the information in those boxes.

So we'll keep everybody informed on that -- we haven't received that letter yet; right?

MS. REED: No.

MR. EVANS: So I expect that probably soon. We were supposed to -- it was worked on last week by the chief of staff and find out hopefully they are -- in the meantime they found the electronic version so we can get that information to LIPS and continue to do a better job in finding out what properties should be on the rental registration ordinance. That's all I have for tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you. 524
North Rebecca Avenue, I mentioned last week
it's a house that the back porch collapsed
and neighbors are seriously concerned about

it.

possible.

nearly ten years so we did reach out to the Licensing and Inspections Department.

Mr. Hinton got back to us. This house was supposed to be demolished previously but for whatever reason it was not, so we urge

Mr. Hinton to put on the demolition list and put it near the top of that list or at the top of that list or at the top of that list and he agreed to do that so I want to thank him personally for that and we did send correspondence out to the neighborhood who lives next door alerting

The house has been condemned now for

We did get a couple of complaints about the 400 block of Mahon Court in the Hill Section about trash being strewn everywhere so we are going to send somebody down from the Licensing and Inspections

Department to see if they can take care of that.

him of that update, so I am hopeful that

that home gets demolished as soon as

Also, speeding on Shimf Court behind Cedar Avenue in Minooka. There is young children in this area so we are going to

21

22

23

24

25

send that complaint out to the Licensing and Inspections Department. We did an update from our solicitor on the I guess you would call it a snafu with the 10 percent discount on the garbage fee. As you know, I have been talking about this now for a few weeks and, again, it seems like someone new pops up every day that came down to the Treasury Department and expecting the 10 percent discount on their \$300 garbage bill and they did not get it for whatever reason, so I would like to request that the administration put in writing what their interpretation is of the ordinance because I'm confused as to why they are turning people away for the 10 percent discount and I would still request that these people who have reached out to me get their \$30 refund as son as possible because I think it's totally unfair.

I would like to thank the DPW for taking down the rest of a very dangerous tree on North Irving Avenue. The neighbors in that block, particularly the neighbor in the home right in front, was very happy that

that was taken care of. Also, I know I keep bringing this up, and I know there is lot of trees that have to be taken down across the street, but I would like an update on the 1100 block of St. Ann's Street. That tree is really dangerous and it looks hike it's going to fall right on a house and it's going to fall down any minute actually. I was up there today, take another look at it, so if we could send something to the city forester again and the DPW.

I have not received a response from the mayor about the meeting on the Civil War Museum downstairs. I would like an update from him as soon as possible on that because I am concerned about where that group is going to go or if they are going to stay.

We did receive the street sweeping program, the updated list from May 1 to May 5 the street sweeper will be in the West Scranton area from the Tripp Park section, Dixon Street to Gibson Street. May 8 and May 12 from Oram Street to Washburn Street including all avenues and streets in-between.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am very happy that my colleagues agreed to support my motion earlier this evening to invite the Auditor General of the State of Pennsylvania in to audit the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority. I would just like to reiterate my comments from earlier tonight, transparency and openness, in my opinion, has been totally lacking from this deal and, you know, I am deeply concerned about the way that this whole thing went down. My question is, and hopefully we will be able to get this answered, did this deal serve the best interest of the taxpayers and the ratepayers or did it serve the best interest of the select few? And I think it's unclear because it is like and it has been like for the past few months pulling teeth to get information that should be readily available.

When you have 50 attorneys on a deal, when you have attorneys making over \$800 an hour that's \$6,000 -- almost \$6,000 a day, when you have attorneys making 100 and some thousand dollars in a month, which

is more than some people make in an entire life, I don't think it's too much to ask to see what they did for that amount of money. And when I received the invoices and saw that everything was redacted because of the attorney/client privilege it's absurd. The whole thing is absurd in my opinion.

So, again, I am hopeful that the Auditor General will accept our invitation to come in here and take look at this deal to make sure that is it was in the best interest of the taxpayers and the ratepayers of this city. And that's all I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr.

Gaughan. Just an update on some of the issues that we discussed last week. This evening right before the meeting I received a call from Larry West, who is chief of staff for Senator Blake's office. He had a conversation with DEP today regarding

Mr. Young's property in Greenridge. We contacted the DEP to find out if the city would be held responsible if we did any work on that site. The verbal response is not in

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

writing, but it's kind of an indication of how DEP feels is that if we do work in that area and it does not significantly impact a cost benefit ratio that was done prior on that project, if it doesn't change that benefit of doing the full project then probably we will be allowed to get on that property and do some work.

I did contact with Mr. Young this evening and we are going to have to get together with all parties concerned and get on Mr. Young's property, and I do believe that this opens the door for us now to at least begin the planning stages of what the scope of work and a cost of what it will take to repair those properties up there. Ι think this is an encouraging sign. definitely not a solution to the problem. It's definitely not the answer that we have been waiting for, but it is the progress that I believe has been made to get the city on Mr. Young's property and to at least begin the evaluation of the project.

Mr. West also told me that the major project is still on the active list out in

the state. It is being considered to be pushed up in funding, but these projects are long-term projects so for the whole project right now if we were able to get it back started up the earliest that they would be able to get design of that would be June of 2018, which is not acceptable for Mr. Young's problem but in terms of the solution for the entire section of Meadowbrook perhaps we can get something to it. Mr. Evans mentioned and I mention all of the time, unfortunately, there is a speed of the government and it's not fast.

Another issue that was brought up last week in terms of the Keyser Valley, there is a project out there with an electronic transfer line. We did contact the city planner and I don't like to read from a script but this is what he told us:

It says, "Power Line Project. And it it's involving cutting of trees behind Oakwood estates. They are removing those trees for a new power line, an expanded power line. The power line will travel to the Theodore Street power station behind

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

North Scranton Goodwill apartments --" this is the part that I just want people to pay attention to -- "No permits are required because they are public utility."

They did provide the plan to the city for courtesy for review and as was provided to me, some information from PPL and I won't read the whole thing but in regards to this based on some case law it's clear that public utilities are exempt from local zoning, subdivision and land development ordinances. Further, under Pennsylvania law, storm water management ordinances are akin to zoning and land developments. The local municipal zoning board as exclusive jurisdiction over these appeals for the determination of the zoning officer and multiple engineers and the administration and any land use or provision thereof.

It is disconcerting to me that the public utility is not subject to the same requirements that private citizens are. We are working with Mr. King and keeping our eye on this project. I believe that the

water company -- I'm sorry, I keep talking about the water company, the electric company is responsible enough to do this project in a way that will not effect citizens downstream because it was done in a poor manner that may effect their power lines so I do believe they are going to do it with the proper infrastructure, but it is something that we are going to have to keep our eye on.

I did have a conversation this week also with the mayor and also with Linda
Aebli concerning the street signs. The street signs, from the street signs study done a few years ago and the price tag on that was over \$700,000 to do the street signs, and I believe that study was done before there was a new development back in Minooka and I'm not sure Tripps Park was built yet or not so the cost right now would be significant, probably approaching somewhere between \$800,000.

As Mr. Rogan stated, I think this is something that we are going to have work on in stages where we take a certain area of

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the city that we can use federal funds for and also combine them with city funds and gradually get this process of doing a section of the city at a time.

From speaking with the mayor this morning, he is a committed to starting this project, but \$700,000 for a city in distressed status is a lot of money. When we talk about paving and talk about storm water management, street signs are a priority but we have to work in conjunction with those other priorities.

Another issue that's been hanging around that there is several complaints on is the elevator in the Linden Street parking garage. As of right now, the elevator is still not working in the Linden Street building. Currently, the plans for the improvements have been approved. They are in the process of awarding the contract and they are going to give out also a request for proposal for the demolition of the current elevator. Best estimates right now talking to NDC, any completion of the project is no better than six weeks away.

\_

So it is -- the news to me was not encouraging because that is a problem for people that use that garage, but it is something that is on a radar and we constantly call and make sure that the project isn't forgotten and they are moving along with it.

The last thing that I have to talk about is something that I am kind of becoming an expert on is gypsy moths. Gypsy moths have been controlled pretty much throughout the city, but there are still pockets problematic gypsy moths in the city, especially up on the East Mountain area because of the extra wooded areas that we have.

The other night the storm shook out a lot of the gypsy moths out of the trees, which is actually a good things. Gypsy moths are killed by moisture and by rain so that is actually a good thing that they do get killed.

The county is planning on doing gypsy moth spraying sometime within the next two weeks upon the weather. It can't be too

windy, it can't be too rainy, but the county is doing a good job monitoring this issue. I would like to thank -- I did speak with Mr. Wallace before he went away, that's why he didn't get back to you, he went away the last few days, but he did get back to me about the gypsy moths and also Mr. Bill Davis, who is the Director of Parks for the county, got a quick response to this request. That's it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - APPROVING IN ACCORDANCE WITH
SECTION 147(F) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE
OF 1986, AS AMENDED, A PLAN OF FINANCING OF
THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
AUTHORITY AND DECLARING THAT IT IS DESIRABLE
FOR THE HEALTH, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF THE
PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE
SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
AUTHORITY TO UNDERTAKE A PROJECT FOR ALLIED
HEALTH CARE SERVICES.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 11 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 12 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 13 14 AND ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, 15 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ("PDA"), DIVISION 16 17 OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES TO DELEGATE SPECIFIC 18 WEIGHTS AND MEASURES INSPECTION, AND ENFORCEMENT RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE CITY OF 19 20 SCRANTON. 21 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 22 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 23 introduced into its proper committee. 24 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 25 MR. EVANS: Second.

1 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All 2 those in favor of introduction signify by 3 saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. EVANS: Aye. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it and so moved. 10 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11 12 99, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 13 14 TO DISBURSE EIGHTY-NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS 15 (\$89,000.00) FROM THE ACCOUNT INTO WHICH REPAYMENT OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANTS 16 (UDAG) ARE DEPOSITED (UDAG REPAYMENT 17 18 ACCOUNT) TO BE USED FOR THE PURCHASE OF PAVING MATERIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S 19 20 PAVING PROGRAM. 21 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 22 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 23 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 24 pass reading by title. 25 MR. EVANS: Second.

|    | 9  |
|----|--|
| 1  | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All           |
| 2  | those in favor signify by saying aye.        |
| 3  | MR. PERRY: Aye.                              |
| 4  | MR. ROGAN: Aye.                              |
| 5  | MR. EVANS: Aye.                              |
| 6  | MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.                            |
| 7  | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The              |
| 8  | ayes have it and so moved.                   |
| 9  | MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR            |
| 10 | CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -  |
| 11 | FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 96,   |
| 12 | 2017 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 65,  |
| 13 | 2016, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY    |
| 14 | OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY TRANSFERRING       |
| 15 | \$296,895.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.                |
| 16 | 01.401.13090.4299 (NON DEPARTMENTAL          |
| 17 | EXPENDITURES - CONTINGENCY) TO ACCOUNT NO.   |
| 18 | 01.080.00083.4260 (DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC      |
| 19 | WORKS BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS - RENTAL VEHICLES & |
| 20 | EQUIPMENT) TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR |
| 21 | THE RENTAL VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT    |
| 22 | THROUGH THE 2017 BUDGET PERIOD.              |
| 23 | MR. WECHSLER: What is the                    |
| 24 | recommendation of the Chairperson for the    |
| 25 | Committee on Finance?                        |

|    | 34  |
|----|---|
| 1  | MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the           |
| 2  | Committee on Finance, I recommend final     |
| 3  | passage of Item 7-A.                        |
| 4  | MR. ROGAN: Second.                          |
| 5  | MR. WECHSLER: On the question?              |
| 6  | Roll call, please?                          |
| 7  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.                     |
| 8  | MR. PERRY: Yes.                             |
| 9  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.                     |
| 10 | MR. ROGAN: Yes.                             |
| 11 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.                     |
| 12 | MR. EVANS: Yes.                             |
| 13 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.                   |
| 14 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.                           |
| 15 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.                  |
| 16 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby                 |
| 17 | declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully       |
| 18 | adopted.                                    |
| 19 | MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION            |
| 20 | BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR      |
| 21 | ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 97, 2017 |
| 22 | - CLOSING AND VACATING A PORTION OF AN      |
| 23 | UNNAMED ALLEYWAY BETWEEN SOUTH MAIN AVENUE  |
| 24 | AND HALSEY COURT IN THE 1200 BLOCK OF SOUTH |
| 25 | MAIN AVENUE.                                |
|    |   |

1 MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the 2 3 Committee on Public Works? MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 4 5 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Second. 8 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 0n 9 the question, I just have a note that I'd 10 like to read. When the city vacates a road or an alleyway it's only giving the public's 11 12 right to use it, it's not a transfer of It will be vacated for purposes as 13 14 stated at a public hearing held on April 22. The vacating this land does not 15 16 remove any of our city requirements in terms 17 of zoning, planning, it just allows it to be 18 used for development. Roll call, please? 19 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 20 MR. PERRY: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 22 MR. ROGAN: No. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 24 MR. EVANS: Yes. MS. CARRERA: 25 Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

2

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

3

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 98, 2017 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 63, 2016, AN ORDINANCE, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 155, 1999, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "PROVIDING FOR THE LICENSING AND REGULATING OF CONTRACTORS DOING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON INCLUDING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE GRANTING OF PERMITS AND FEES FOR SAME: PROVIDING PENALTIES AND RIGHTS OF APPEAL" BY ADDING AND DELETING DEFINITIONS, UPDATING THE DEPARTMENT NAME AND DIRECTOR'S TITLE, UPDATING APPLICATION FORMS, THE REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTRACTORS LICENSE, LICENSE FEES, ISSUANCE OF PERMITS, CONFORMANCE TO CODE, REVOCATION, SUSPENSION, DENIAL, PROHIBITED ACTS, STOP WORK, CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY AND TEMPORARY CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY, PERMIT FEES, INSPECTIONS, FINES FOR

VIOLATIONS AND APPEALS PROCEDURE AND
DELETION OF SECTIONS 17 AND 22 IN THEIR
ENTIRETY", BY ADDING UNDER SECTION 1,
DEFINITIONS AND INTERPRETATIONS, THE
DEFINITION FOR SPECIALTY CONTRACTOR'S
LICENSE; ADDING THE LETTER L, UNDER NUMBER 3
IN SECTION 2, CONTRACTORS LICENSE, ADDING
SPECIALTY CONTRACTOR UNDER SECTION 3,
APPLICATION FOR LICENSE, ADDING M.
REQUIREMENTS FOR A SPECIALTY CONTRACTOR; AND
UNDER SECTION 6, LICENSE FEES, ADDING THE
FEES FOR MASONRY CONTRACTOR LICENSE,
RESIDENTIAL CONTRACTOR LICENSE, AND
SPECIALTY CONTRACTOR LICENSE, AND

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'll be voting "no" on this legislation tonight. I feel it's unnecessary. We're adding another definition and we are charging another fee on the working people of this city and I'm also concerned that

| 1  | language in the legislation which says, "At  |
|----|--|
| 2  | the discretion of the Director of Licensing  |
| 3  | and Inspections" is going to give too much   |
| 4  | discretion and too much power to the         |
| 5  | director and too much control so I'm         |
| 6  | concerned about that and I'll be voting      |
| 7  | "no."  |
| 8  | MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?             |
| 9  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.                      |
| 10 | MR. PERRY: Yes.                              |
| 11 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.                      |
| 12 | MR. ROGAN: Yes.                              |
| 13 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.                      |
| 14 | MR. EVANS: Yes.                              |
| 15 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.                    |
| 16 | MR. GAUGHAN: No.                             |
| 17 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.                   |
| 18 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby                  |
| 19 | declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully        |
| 20 | adopted.                                     |
| 21 | MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY          |
| 22 | THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION |
| 23 | - RESOLUTION NO. 146, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE |
| 24 | MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS   |

TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH

|    | 99   |
|----|--|
| 1  | URBAN ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, INC. TO        |
| 2  | PERFORM MAINTENANCE OF STREET LIGHTS FOR A   |
| 3  | TWELVE (12) MONTH PERIOD FROM MAY 1, 2017    |
| 4  | THROUGH APRIL 30, 2018.                      |
| 5  | MR. WECHSLER: What is the                    |
| 6  | recommendation of the Chairperson for the    |
| 7  | Committee on Public Works?                   |
| 8  | MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the          |
| 9  | Committee on Public Works, I recommend final |
| 10 | passage of Item 7-D.                         |
| 11 | MR. ROGAN: Second.                           |
| 12 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question?               |
| 13 | Roll call, please?                           |
| 14 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.                      |
| 15 | MR. PERRY: Yes.                              |
| 16 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.                      |
| 17 | MR. ROGAN: Yes.                              |
| 18 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.                      |
| 19 | MR. EVANS: Yes.                              |
| 20 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.                    |
| 21 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.                            |
| 22 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.                   |
| 23 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby                  |
| 24 | declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully        |
| 25 | adopted.                                     |
|    |  |

MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION 1 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 2 3 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 147, 2017 -ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE 4 HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 5 ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF 6 APPROPRIATENESS FOR MARK J. SOBECK ROOF 7 CONSULTING, INC., 15 SOUTH FRANKLIN STREET, 8 9 SUITE 210, WILKES-BARRE, PA, FOR EXTERIOR MASONRY CLEANING AND RESTORATION AND THE 10 PAINTING OF EXTERIOR WOOD AND METAL 11 ARCHITECTURAL ELEMENTS AT THE DIOCESE OF 12 13 SCRANTON'S SAINT PETER'S CATHEDRAL, RECTORY, 14 AND CHANCERY AT 315 WYOMING AVENUE. SCRANTON. PA 18503. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 17 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Community Development? MR. ROGAN: As Chair for the 19 20 Committee on Community Development, I 21 recommend final passage of Item 7-E. 22 MR. EVANS: Second. 23 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 24 Roll call, please? 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

|    |                                       | 101 |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 1  | MR. PERRY: Yes.                       |     |
| 2  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.               |     |
| 3  | MR. ROGAN: Yes.                       |     |
| 4  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.               |     |
| 5  | MR. EVANS: Yes.                       |     |
| 6  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.             |     |
| 7  | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.                     |     |
| 8  | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.            |     |
| 9  | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby           |     |
| 10 | declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully |     |
| 11 | adopted.                              |     |
| 12 | If there is no further business,      |     |
| 13 | I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.   |     |
| 14 | MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.         |     |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.      |     |
| 16 |                                       |     |
| 17 |                                       |     |
| 18 |                                       |     |
| 19 |                                       |     |
| 20 |                                       |     |
| 21 |                                       |     |
| 22 |                                       |     |
| 23 |                                       |     |
| 24 |                                       |     |
| 25 |                                       |     |
|    |                                       |     |

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. `

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER