F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, January 19, 2017	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	2 2 3, 333	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 2 observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 4 MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: 11 Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There will be 14 a motion to move Items 5-C and 5-D to Sixth and Seventh Order for final vote based on 15 16 the attached emergency certificates. 17 will be a second opportunity to speak in 18 Seventh Order prior to the final passage of 19 these two pieces of legislation. 20 Before we get on with the formal 21 meeting, this past Monday Councilman Gaughan and myself we attended the Martin Luther 22 23 King ceremonies that were conducted in 24 Scranton. Part of that was the revealing of 25 a banner that was designed by students from

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Career Technology Center. Kimberly Meckwood, who was responsible for the MLK portrait, and Ruby Palicar, who came up with the design for the hands. Their teachers are Rebecca Hadden and Patrick McLane. evening, Karin Foster, who is president of the West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch, and also a member of the Greater Scranton MLK Commission are here tonight just so we can show the banner and give it some publicity because it is a true tribute to Dr. King and all his good works. ladies, if you would just hold that banner up so the public can see this, and I'd just like to give the designers and the committee a round of applause for their great work. Thank you. And that will be displayed throughout different ceremonies and next year probably will be represented on the committees' t-shirt. Thank you.

Dispense with the reading of the minutes.

MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE

MEMBERS OF SCRANTON HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD

1	DECEMBER 5, 2016.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
3	comments? If not, received and filed.
4	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
5	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
6	MEETING HELD DECEMBER 14, 2016.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
8	comments? If not, received and filed.
9	MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
10	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
11	HELD DECEMBER 14, 2016.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
13	comments? If not, received and filed.
14	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
15	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
16	HELD DECEMBER 14, 2016.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
18	comments? If not, received and filed.
19	MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE
20	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
21	DECEMBER 14, 2016.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
23	comments? If not, received and filed.
24	MS. REED: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE
25	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING

HELD JANUARY 18, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have any announcements at this time?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. Just wanted to announce again about the event Splashing with Compassion. The friends of Shannon McDonough, it's a Local 501-C organization that supports young individuals battling cancer in Northeastern Pennsylvania and they will be holding their fourth annual Polar Plunge on Saturday, January 28, from 9 a.m. until 3 p.m. at Montage Mountain in Scranton, PA and all proceeds will benefit young people in our area who are battling cancer. Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident and taxpayer. If memory
serves, we passed the 2017 budget on
December 8 of last year; correct?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

24

25

MS. HODOWANITZ: About six weeks ago tonight, yet I noticed as of 5:15 tonight it's not been posted to the City's website. Now, I don't know why that is, whether someone made the decision not to post it or there is an issue in the IT Department, but I do remember this from the budget, there were three significant raises in the IT Department, one IT manager got a \$5,000 raise, another one got a \$6,611 raise, and a network systems analysis got a \$3,000 raise. I hope I don't have to wait another week to see that budget posted and I hope when they post it it has all of the proper changes, and that should be a priority because that's a key document for the city and the citizens should have access to it. I'll be looking forward to.

MS. PERRY: Ms. Hodowanitz, I don't want to interrupt you, but there were corrections to it, so we just want to make sure that it is correct when it is posted.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I don't believe that was the reason it wasn't posted.

MR. PERRY: That's your belief, but

that's why it's not posted.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Because I don't think city knew on January 5 that it had made some errors in it's tax legislation.

MR. PERRY: Again, that's what you think.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, that's my opinion, and I'm looking to forward to seeing city council's webpage by the end of this month or early next month so I can see the background information.

With regard to Item 5-B, the reality transfer tax, when I first read that I said this must be deja vu. We did this on January 5; correct? We had an emergency certificate and we amended it to go from 2.9 percent to 2.4 percent, and then I went in and looked at the background paper and I found a memo from Attorney Shrive dated January 10 and received in the Office the City Council/City Clerk on January 10, and it explains why this legislation has to be redone, and it says, "Once the rate of the taxation was changed, the ordinance could no longer be adopted at one meeting as a change

1 in the rate of taxation requires three 2 readings at separate meetings and special 3 advertising under Act 511. Upon passage of 4 5 erroneously adopted." 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 MR. WECHSLER: 14 15 16 received on Tuesday. 17 18 19 20 21 the agenda. 22 23 24 down and it's dated January 10. 25

this new ordinance, File of Council 74-2017, as amended will be repealed as it is My only question is, why wasn't this on last week's agenda since this memo was dated January 10 and it was received on January 10. Last week was January 12. could have been on last week's agenda. MR. EVANS: It wasn't ready yet. It wasn't ready yet. It wasn't ready by Wednesday afternoon. MS. HODOWANITZ: Well. this was MR. EVANS: Well, the letter was, but I don't think the legislation --MR. WECHSLER: The legislation didn't come down in time for us to get it on MS. HODOWANITZ: It says, "Attached is an ordinance," so he sent the legislation MR. WECHSLER: We hadn't had an

1 opportunity to review so not everything that 2 comes down on Tuesday makes it to the agenda 3 on Wednesday. 4 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. All right. 5 I'd like to know during Fifth Order the status of pension reforms as we eagerly 6 7 await the deposit of the SSA proceeds into 8 the pension plans. Also, has the city ever 9 received the money yet or is this something 10 that's going to happen at the end of the 11 year? Have we received any money from the 12 sewer sale? 13 MR. WECHSLER: It's in accounts, 14 yes. MS. HODOWANITZ: It is where? 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: It's in separate 17 accounts. 18 MS. HODOWANITZ: Do we have a total? MR. WECHSLER: We have some totals. 19 20 MS. HODOWANITZ: Do we have a grand 21 total? Can we get the grand total for next 22 week of what the city actually received so far? 23 24 MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, Mr. Evans has 25 been working with that.

1 MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to see a break down of that, also. 2 3 MS. HODOWANITZ: Say again? MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. we want to see 4 5 the break down, but there are -- we just want to make sure they are the correct 6 numbers. 7 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. What's the 9 status of the RFP for the third party 10 administration of the pension funds? I 11 haven't seen anything and that was announced 12 by the mayor himself on March 18, 2016, ten 13 months ago. 14 MR. WECHSLER: It is my understanding that it is getting closer to 15 16 being issued. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: Before or after the 18 general election in 292 days? Okay, that Just --19 answers that question. 20 MR. WECHSLER: It's something that 21 the administration is working on so I can't tell you the answers. 22 23 MS. HODOWANITZ: It must be a 24 beauty, it must be a beauty if they have 25 been working on it for 10 months, and just

very quickly, I remind everybody that tomorrow at 4 p.m. here in the Governor's room next door will be the Scranton Sewer Authority meeting, and I would recommend anybody from the general public that can come, come and let's start asking the Sewer Authority all of those hard questions which they have yet to answer about the reduced proceeds that we are receiving. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN:

MR. WECHSLER: Gerard Hetman.

Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County
Commissioner's Office. To begin this
evening, we would like to thank everyone who
attended our inaugural public information
session on the Lackawanna County Land Bank
that was held on Tuesday, January 10, at the
Goodwill apartments at North Scranton Junior
High School. We invite anyone who wishes to
know more about the land bank to visit the
land bank home page on the Lackawanna County
website. Just visit www.lackawannacounty.
org, and click on the economic development
home page button and the right side of the

24

25

We have a wealth of information screen. about the land bank there, all of the legislation that establishes the land bank and also an information sheet on each property that's currently listed in the land bank database, all of which are currently located in the City of Scranton. We also have a full video of that land bank information session meeting available on the county website, it's actually on the left side of the home page when you scroll down on www.lackawannacounty.org and, of course, this is the beginning of the land bank process. We are seeing now the program really starting to take off and we look forward to working with city council and the city administration and all residents to make is a success for Scranton, and eventually all communities that wish to participate in Lackawanna County, and I know several council members, city staff and residents that attended so we thank everyone, particularly those who attended our meeting for working with us to make the land bank a success for everyone involved.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And there is two brief

announcements, these are the first announcements from the respective department here that administer these programs, first of many programs that you will hear about throughout the year. A Lackawanna County indoor golf clinic takes place February 20 to March 17 at South Scranton Intermediate School in the gymnasium. There are sessions for youth golfers, ages 8 through 16, and also adult golfers. These are beginner lessons so anyone can come in with no experience or very little golf experience and learn from scratch the basics of the The cost for registration is \$40 per game. golfer, and the registration deadline is February 17. To register or for more information, contact our Parks and Recreation Office at 570-963-6764.

And lastly, the Penn State Extension
4H program has their annual sewing club open
now for youth registration. It's open for
children ages 8 through 19, provides
mentorship, team building and peer-to-peer
learning and also community service

structured around sewing projects. Kids that enjoy sewing projects for recreational. To register call 570-563-1369, and I believe they do this program on an annual rolling basis where they do applicants at the start of year. So, please, anyone who has a child or a teenager or an adult interested feel free to give the number a call.

Other programs will be announced throughout the year as they come up. We anticipate offering most, if not all, of the same program activities that you have heard us announce over the last several years so I'll keep you posted as those develop. And that's all I have for this evening. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lenny Serebro.

MR. SEREBRO: Lenny Serebro,

Scranton resident. Good evening, Council.

When I was here two weeks ago I was told

about the new pumps that were installed and

I did some research. Yeah, there have been

new pumps installed, but I'm not sure what

they are going to do, if anything, in my

21

22

23

24

25

All I know is that the rain that we area. got on January 17 and 18, the last two days, not today, where it was constantly raining the majority of the day, and on top of that the snow melt coming down into the area. You know, that 36-inch drainage pipe it's no exaggeration, that was filled for the majority of the two days over three-quarters of the way, you know, and it's like a pond that the water lays in there, so I'm not sure what those pumps are going to do. Once again, I'm asking the question about why can't we flush that drain? I know that we -- I was told that we don't have the --DPW doesn't have the equipment, I'm wondering now that the Sewer Authority is sold, why can't we borrow that equipment? MR. PERRY: Yeah, I mean, for sure feel your pain. I know we have been talking for awhile.

MR. SEREBRO: Right.

MR. PERRY: And I got some answers about the pump house and with this 36-inch drain pipe things are moving forward. We actually, through correspondence all week,

21

22

23

24

25

probably three or four times going back and forth, we were able to line up a meeting at some point in the week with the city engineer, the American Water Company and DPW, and I know myself will be there and possibly others from the city council will be onsite at the same time and we are going to see why can't we get a drain pipe in the City of Scranton fixed for our residents, because I don't understand it. And you know I get a little emotionally flustered, what? too, because it's a drain pipe, it's not operating right, let's fix it, but there is other things so I try not to fly off the handle and I'm keeping my composure, but I do understand, I feel your pain, but believe me when I tell you, we have the right people going to be onsite this week and I know myself I will personally be there and we are going to get some solid answers and we are going to get that fixed. It's just going to happen.

MR. SEREBRO: Okay. After seeing that pipe full up with the two-day rain we had, I'm telling you, I live in constant

fear that if we have -- and it's been a moderate rain, that's what I have said all along, that it doesn't have to be like a flooding.

MR. PERRY: Right, I was thinking about that, the two-day rain that we had. I was thinking about that, you know, how is it going to handle it for something, you know, more significant.

MR. SEREBRO: And that's right. You know, everybody gets water in their basement, or mostly everybody, but, boy, during the late summer when we have those heavy rains, I'm telling you, it was gushing through the walls in my basement. I never seen it like that before, you know. I wasn't worried about a little water. I got a pump, you know, to get it out of there, but, man, I'm telling you it's just coming through the walls.

Now, I am not sure how the drainage, the diagrams, the pipes, how they are connected. I know one of the neighbors turned in some diagrams when we had the caucus on the flooding problem there, but

evidently it makes it's way to come down. There is, I'm not sure of the size, maybe 18-inch, whatever it is, of one pipe coming into that pond and the water is coming through there, and then it goes into that 36-inch drainage pipe, how it comes down the roads and is connected, that's the diagrams that one of the neighbors gave. To me, I don't know. I understand one of the neighbors told me that the city is supposed to be hiring an engineer or a consultant, I know he found out he is going to be making \$130,000 a year to come in and kind of look this problem over, you know, to be a consultant or whatever.

MR. WECHSLER: He is not coming to look at that specific problem, he is a doing a -- there is going to be a storm water study for the entire city.

MR. SEREBRO: All right.

MR. WECHSLER: Not specific to that problem.

MR. SEREBRO: All right. That was what I was told about it. See, I would have taken pictures of that drainage pipe like

1 yesterday when it was filled up, but I don't 2 know what good the pictures are going to do, 3 you know. MR. GAUGHAN: Mr. Serebro, I'll be 4 5 at that meeting on Tuesday, also, and I can just tell you from experience when there was 6 7 an issue over in Pinebrook Councilman 8 Wechsler and myself set up a similar meeting 9 and all of the neighbors came out and it was 10 almost like an education and the people from 11 the Sewer Authority and one of the engineers explained everything, and that's really what 12 13 should happen and what hopefully will happen 14 on Tuesday. So hopefully all of the neighbors come out this meeting at 9:00 on 15 16 Tuesday so we can take care of this issue 17 once and for all. 18 MR. SEREBRO: Is the public invited 19 to that meeting? 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Everybody in the 21 neighborhood I would assume. 22 MR. SEREBRO: It's 9:00 Tuesday and 23 where at? 24 MR. GAUGHAN: I think it's 25 Merrifield and Rigg Street.

1	MR. SEREBRO: Oh, in my neighborhood
2	there. Thanks a lot for your help.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: You're welcome.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.
5	MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus. I may have
6	to read some of this because there is a
7	couple of questions I can't remember. Does
8	the current garbage ordinance require the
9	administration and the business
10	administrator to set up a special interest
11	bearing account for all of the trash fees?
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, it does.
13	MS. FRANUS: When was that set up?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: I believe, I'm
15	actually not sure of the year.
16	MS. FRANUS: So if this goes back
17	years.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Whenever it was
19	raised, I believe.
20	MS. FRANUS: 2014?
21	MR. GAUGHAN: I believe so, I'm not
22	positive.
23	MS. FRANUS: So there was a special
24	account set up then?
25	MR. GAUGHAN: I don't believe there

1 was a special account. 2 MS. FRANUS: That's what I just 3 asked. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. FRANUS: Yes what? 5 MR. GAUGHAN: I don't believe there 6 7 is a special account. That was actually a 8 question I was going to ask tonight. 9 Wait, I said does the MS. FRANUS: 10 current ordinance, does the current 11 ordinance? Oh, for that, yes? 12 MR. GAUGHAN: 13 MS. FRANUS: Yes, but so do you put 14 -- have you been putting all the garbage fee money into that special bearing account? 15 16 MR. GAUGHAN: As far as I know, no. 17 MS. FRANUS: How could you explain 18 that? Shall I read the ordinance? 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Sure. 20 MS. FRANUS: "All fees shall be 21 deposited in a special city interest bearing 22 account to be established by the business 23 administrator. This account will be used to 24 reimburse the general city operating fund 25 for costs incurred directly for disposal of

_ .

refuse."

Also, the ordinance is specific the refuse fees cannot be used by the city for anything other than the cost associated with trash removal. The city has violated this ordinance collecting fees from residents while costs incurred for the disposal of refuse. So if you know there is an account why would the money for the garbage fees not go into the special account? They can't be used for anything else but that? For the expenses of the DPW trash collection? How do you justify that? Nobody knows?

MR. GAUGHAN: You can't justify it, but you know there is an account and yet --

MR. EVANS: No, I didn't know there was an account until you mentioned it.

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: I was unaware there was a special account.

MS. FRANUS: Bill said he knew, And even if you didn't know you should know.

MR. EVANS: I was here in 2014.

MS. FRANUS: You don't have to be here in 2014.

MS. FRANUS: You still should know 1 2 there this is an account for the garbage 3 fee, you are the Finance Chair. You should know where all of this money is going. 4 5 MR. EVANS: When did you find out, Fay, you just told us tonight? 6 7 MS. FRANUS: What's that? 8 MR. EVANS: When did you find out? 9 MS. FRANUS: Am I the finance chair, 10 Wayne? 11 MR. EVANS: That's not the point. 12 When did you bring the information to us? 13 When did you find out the information? 14 MS. FRANUS: I'm not a council 15 person. 16 MR. EVANS: If you have the 17 information, I will look at it. 18 MS. FRANUS: Don't turn it around, 19 Wayne. 20 MR. EVANS: I would have got an answer for you immediately. How could I 21 answer if I didn't see if wether we had an 22 23 account? It was brought to my attention, I 24 can't answer it. 25 MS. FRANUS: Well, let's put it this

way, you are very inept. You should know these things. You know I have been talking about this garbage fee for three years. It's not my job to know all of the laws, it's your jobs to know the laws that you set up with this council. Even if you didn't set them up, you should know if the laws are pertaining to the special accounts for garbage fees considering I have been asking about this for two years, two and a half years, and I'm still getting no answers.

Now to top it off there is a special account only for the garbage fee money and to be used for that and you have been putting it in the general fund, right, and taking that money for other stuff other than garbage collection, which is unbelievable. Illegal, because you are going against the ordinance, which is illegal. So what is that outcome? I mean, when city council and the city administration and the business administrator does something illegal where is the repercussions of that? Nothing? Because you just can? Because you do whatever you want to do. Break the law.

Not you

Did we

1 It's okay if you do it, but if somebody else 2 does it they are held accountable. 3 though, and your excuses, "Well, we didn't know." 4 5 That's not my fault you didn't know. 6 That's on you, not on me. Maybe if I just found out about it shame on me I guess for 7 8 not knowing this sooner. Shame on me. 9 Rather shame on you twice as much. 10 receive -- did we receive money from DeNaples for our recyclables? Silence. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: We receive grants. 13 MS. FRANUS: What do you mean 14 grants? I'm not talking about from the state, I'm talking about from Louie 15 16 DeNaples, did we receive any money in the 17 City from our recyclables? 18 MR. ROGAN: Cash, I don't believe. 19 We do receive equipment. 20 MS. FRANUS: I'm not talking about 21 cash, I'm talking about checks, whatever. 22 MR. ROGAN: And that's what I mean, 23 we don't receive pay. 24 MS. FRANUS: You don't receive money 25 from Louis DeNaples.

MR. ROGAN: We don't receive money, but we receive equipment.

MS. FRANUS: You receive equipment.

How often do you receive equipment?

MR. ROGAN: I believe it used to be yearly, but it was changed a few years back so that it could by --

MS. FRANUS: What kind of equipment?

MR. ROGAN: Packmasters.

MS. FRANUS: Okay. Well, can I ask one more thing, two weeks ago when I was here you mentioned about the fee for the people not getting their recyclables, you said the ceiling would be \$300, remember that, Mr. Wechsler? Remember when I said how much will it be and you say well, the limit will be \$300.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah.

MS. FRANUS: And it was in the ordinance that night, but in the backup of that ordinance there wasn't one thing mentioned about a fee for not having recyclables, even though that's what the ordinance that you said you were passing that night, but there wasn't any mention at

all about what is the cost if you don't have

-- if you don't do your recyclables. How

could it not be in the backup that was the

ordinance you passed it. I can't even

comprehend, what does that mean? So did

you -- is there a fee if you don't have

recyclables? I thought that's what you said

the ordinance was for?

MR. WECHSLER: I believe that that was set up in the initial meeting. That particular ordinance that established a collection fee.

MS. FRANUS: That's this said in the

ordinance that you read that night.

Remember I said how could you pass an ordinance if you don't know the fee and you said, well, the limit would be \$300, but you passed it that night, but there was nothing in the backup that said if you don't have your recyclables it's going to be such and such. Nothing. So how did you pass an ordinance that didn't discuss it in the backup? So is there a fee or isn't there?

MR. WECHSLER: My understanding is there is a penalty up to \$300 if you don't

1 recycle. 2 MS. FRANUS: Could you explain why 3 it wasn't in the ordinance? 4 MR. WECHSLER: Because I think it 5 was in the original legislation that was 6 passed last year. 7 MS. FRANUS: Last year. MR. WECHSLER: 8 Yep. There's a 9 standing ordinance for the collection of the 10 fee and then the fee is established on an 11 annual basis. 12 MS. FRANUS: So there was an 13 ordinance last year that was passed if you 14 didn't have your recyclables there actually 15 is a fee if you don't it? 16 MR. WECHSLER: Yeah. 17 MS. FRANUS: I didn't know that. 18 MR. WECHSLER: We had that whole 19 discussion about the fees last year. 20 MS. FRANUS: So if you don't have 21 recyclables you risk a fee, but there is no 22 set amount. 23 MR. WECHSLER: It just said up to. 24 MS. FRANUS: That would be in the 25 original ordinance from last year?

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: I believe so.

MS. FRANUS: Okay, thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,

citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I was a little disturbed about that little article in the paper today how a no tax increase became a tax increase for certain people and I find it hard to believe with two real estate agents on the board here that that would go through without being brought up earlier. We all know that certain people own vast undeveloped land inside of the city. This ordinance, or what he tried to do, well, you did pass it, so this ordinance was mainly for them, not for the general public. If you have a lot of undeveloped land inside the city, you got a tax decrease. A person was small lot inside of the city, as you know, a lot of the lots inside of the city are small because what the cost of the real estate was at the time they were building they would have got a tax increase. How could you let the business administrator could something like that

24

25

without speaking up? I know you can't read all of the legislation, no one can, maybe not even a lawyers, but anyway, that should never have been. It stinks of some kind of a cover up. It really does. We haven't gotten over the fact that you sold a multi-million garage for a dollar. What does that show? It shows either the council is inept or they don't read what they are passing. No where could you tell me that garage that was generating \$300,000 a year wasn't worth a damn thing. What are yous people doing? We are paying \$5,000 a month for yous people. Are we getting \$5,000 a worth out of you people?

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Sbaraglia, it's \$13,000 a year, not \$5,000 a month.

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's for all of yous.

MR. WECHSLER: Still.

MR. SBARAGLIA: You get twelve five, do you not? \$12,500 a year. That is \$1,000 per month for each of you which is \$5,000. We are paying \$5,000, a little \$5,000 plus to have you sit there and pass legislation

22

23

24

25

that you don't quite comprehend, and that's not right. You should try to comprehend everything you can before you pass it, and take them emergency certificates and give them back to the mayor or whoever is giving them to you, and say we would not pass anything under an emergency certificate without fully understanding everything that it implies, but you don't do that. orders came down and you always pay them. don't care what. The city has been going downhill. The credibility of this administration has a low ebb. No one believes in what you say anymore, and that's If you can't believe your elected officials who can you believe? Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher. I don't know whether I stand alone on this issue, but I'm getting tired of the woopsies. So far this year the Sewer authority asset sale proceeds and now the property tax millage, and we are only half way through the first month of 2017.

On the property tax millage, something just doesn't past the smell test.

One, the ordinance emergency certificate attached, of course, did not specify the millage which has been passed practice.

Anyone reviewing the agenda would have had to go back to the budget which, incidentally, was not available and is still not available on the BA's website. It merely stated, "For introduction, an ordinance, amending File of Council No. 100-1976, entitled an ordinance, as amended levying general and special taxes for the fiscal year 1977 by setting the millage for the Year 2017 and the same shall remain in full force and effect and annually

I mean, come on. It wasn't even in there. Other ordinances dealing with millage on this same agenda did provide the details, so that makes it doubly strange.

Also, if the Single Tax Office informed the BA why was this not on last week's agenda, and thanks also to the Single Tax Office for pointing this out. It's ashame it had to be them.

Emergency certificate

thereafter.

attached."

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What is the correct service millage, debt service millage? Maybe in -- nobody knows? Okay. Moving along to, and maybe in Fifth Order, would someone please tell us why the 2017 budget is not available and also why the Controller's Office has not yet received a copy of the 2015 audit so that they can finish the 2015 report?

Moving along to 7-B, I believe this resolution should be tabled until the actual contract with Arcadis is available for review complete with a better statement of work and specific delivery dates. The storm water issue has been pushed to the back burner for far too long and we need to establish a fair claim on the proceeds of the sale of the Sewer Authority assets. Let's review. On October 31, 2013, the storm water management system review was released with six recommendations. Can any of you tell me how many of those recommendations were implemented? Was this document just put on a shelf to accumulate In conjunction with one of the many revised recovery plans, on October 18, 2015,

council approved the Scranton Abington
Planning Association plan, comprehensive
plan, as the city's long overdue master
planning document. This plan covers storm
water comprehensively. For example, figure
2.6.5 maps the storm water problem areas by
type of problem. Was this document just put
on a shelf to accumulate dust? By this
time, we should have moved well beyond the
preliminary stage based on work already
bought and paid for.

And speaking of things that did not spell to good to my nostrils is timing, as Joan Hodowanitz and others have noted we need to have this information well in advance of this year's general election.

Did any of the other responders, I believe there were two others, offer to produce a result in more timely manner?

Among the issues that I have with

Arcadis proposal is this sentence: "We are

very sensitive of your desired outcome

regarding this project."

Failure to just state the desired outcome, I have observed over decades of

dealing with contracts of this nature, translates into something, at least to me, such we have, "Our conclusion, now we will go out and justify that conclusion."

And, frankly, we deserve better. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. First of all, last week there was a discussion on arts and comments made by a commissioner and they had an interesting commentary today in the Times, and despite the fact that I have no particular affection for the person, kind of pointed out a few disturbing things about the way money gets spent and, furthermore, I'm all for funding libraries and so forth, it's a must as far as I'm concerned.

But getting back to the arts, me missed First Night this year and that's on Scranton. We have had the Parking Authority sold off, garages sold, we have had the sewer plant sold. Nobody can come up with any little things so you know what did? I stayed home and watched -- not the Outer

Limits, the Twilight Zone all weekend, because when I travel through another town or something, and there are police officers that I don't know or whatever the next thing you know I could just be sitting around in a police station at five in the morning. I turn down parties and stuff out in the country on heavy drinking weekends and I don't even drink, but I just don't feel like the aggravation so, please, next year let's get it back together and have a little sense of community. I mean, it seems like everything for some people and nothing for the masses.

On 5-B, we are missing an opportunity here, I have an undevelopable lot how about a flat rate some day? You know, it just keeps going up and up and up and up and up. If I sell it, I doubt whether I could sell it, and if I leave it go back to taxes it's liable to be sold for a pittance and some guy will decide to park a diesel truck on it and idle it until who knows when or whatever, and I thought popped into my head 7-B, again, or -- yes, 7-B and 5-B,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

170 adjacent to my house, I have no plans on putting any structures on it. If I sold it, stand alone you could not put a structure on It's costing me \$661 a year if I pay on time and then on top of this am I going to get stuck with another 60 or 100 dollars a year for storm water on top of it, you know? It's just keeps going on and on gets better and better so, you know the way things were done in the past you guys weren't here, okay, but let's start putting our thinking caps on and, you know, I'd love to be able to pay \$200 a year for that lot and just leave it the way it is and it will generate some oxygen out of the air or some carbon dioxide and oxygen. And tax exempts, once again, I had

Now, here I have a lot 40 by

storm water.

newspaper, I just forgot it down there, but there is an extreme right wing group, political group, somewhat racists possibly, getting tax exempt and then go in 2015 I see three presidential candidates up on stage at a religious freedom conference and the minister up there was screaming in an shrill

voice to outlaw gay people, round them up by the government and execute them. Because somebody like that needs a tax I don't think they need a tax exempt. exempt on my dime and we have 35 percent tax It's already to a point where we exempts. are starting to crumble under the weight on the shoulders. It's too much and it's got There should be a limit like 10 to change. percent and if the state wants to step in and replace the money then they could have all the bigots they want. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. PICKERLY: Damian Pickerly.

MR. WECHSLER: State your address, please.

MR. PICKERLY: 1649 Wyoming Avenue in Scranton, Pennsylvania.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. PICKERLY: Council thank you for the opportunity to speak. I'm not going to take up any of your time really, just two questions for you, the first is would you say that the City's financials currently are

in good order? No answer?

Secondly, can you tell the taxpayers what the City's total debt is as of right now? That's including pension liabilities, old debt, share accounting debt, share of school debt and interest on debt?

MR. EVANS: Well, you are asking us for county and school which we don't have that information.

MR. PICKERLY: I'm asking for total, what the city's total debt is. That's the number I think the taxpayers deserves to know.

MR. GAUGHAN: I can't remember exactly what it, I did have that figure maybe two or three weeks ago. I don't have that right now so I couldn't --

MR. PICKERLY: You knew two or three weeks ago, but you don't -- can't remember that?

MR. GAUGHAN: I said I had the information on the all of that two or three weeks ago, I don't have it front of me right now.

MR. PICKERLY: That's all I have.

Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I have just a couple of quick things this evening. I know the tax millage amendment legislation that's going to be, you know, a hot topic and I want to thank Mr. Bulzoni for coming into caucus and giving us a good explanation of what happened because I also had some questions with it. As you know, we passed a note, property tax increase a couple of weeks ago and I wanted to make sure that we are voting for that.

And I'm sure my colleagues will go into this in great detail as well, but just to sum up the caucus that we had with Mr. Bulzoni and the conversation we had, Scranton is the only municipality in Lackawanna County that has two sets of millage, one for their land and one for their improvements. When we did a millage increase and decrease it doesn't add up

21

22

23

24

25

based on the land value and the improvement value, so if you owned lots of land you were going to get a slight decrease. If you owed improvement, you are going to get a slight increase in your taxes, which isn't what we passed and it isn't what we wanted, so the alternative was and is what eventually what we are going to go forward with is a percentage increase into the millage, which is more level approach to it, which will stop that land improvement from getting a tax break and property, if you are a property that is improvement heavy in your millage your taxes are going to go up. So this adjustment is just to level that off to make sure that we don't have any property tax increase across the board like we said we were going to have, and there is a couple of ways going forward that this can be helped.

The first one is to get us out of that two millage system. The two millage system can only be -- we can only get out of that if there is a Lackawanna County assessment. With a Lackawanna County

assessment that will evenly, obviously,
assess all of the properties where we can go
back to a one millage system where we can
make it much, much easier for us to
anticipate and adjust as needed the millage
rates for the property owners in the city.

And, again, I'm sure my colleagues are going to into that in great detail, but that was my take from the caucus.

Secondly, and this issue we touched on, that 36-inch drainage pipe that we have been working on for awhile that I have taken a lead on the last two weeks for the Keyser value residents, we are going to have a meeting this week, we are going to have plenty of fish, we are going to have America American Water Company, DPW, the city engineer, myself will be there, Councilman Gaughan said he'll be here and there will be lots of other -- I don't know if Mr.

Wechsler or Mr. Evans will be able to break away from work, but I know it's very much in the forefront for us to get this situation fixed.

There was a second safety issue that

was brought to our attention last week on Dorothy Street in West Side by the park.

The DPW did a temporary fix on that, on the hole by a storm drain and they are going to be revisiting that later this week early into next week to get a more permanent fix to that problem.

Also, 5-I deals with a situation we talked about last week which is a turn signal on Jackson Street so we are going to get the ball moving on that and try to get that situation fixed.

And, finally, it's with a very heavy, heavy heart and my deepest condolences to the Helb family. They lost a proud member of their family this week, Thomas Helb and I'm sure he will be missed greatly and I wish nothing but the best for their family and hopefully the love of their friends, family and their faith in God can hold them together in this awful time. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, two brief items and

23

24

25

I'll talk a little bit more about the tax legislation as well. First, I'd like to thank the DPW for helping out with the number of the citizens' complaints in the McNicholas Plaza area of the South Scranton. They were very quick to reply and the residents were very happy to have those taken care of. Recycling was brought up tonight and I did want to announce, and I want to try and make a habit of it more often, regarding recycling. Recycling bins are available at the Scranton DPW and I did check with the DPW employees earlier in the week, they do have the red and the blue bins in so somebody who was out there if you do need recycling bins you can pick them up at 101 West Popular Avenue, which is the DPW headquarters, or if you can't get down there, if you want to get in touch with me I'll make arrangements so you can obtain both the red and the blue recycling bin. know we have spoke at length about it, but every pound or ton of garbage that is recycled saves us money at the dump, it saves the environment and it does come back

to us in state grants so it is very important that everyone in the city does recycle.

Moving onto the taxing legislation, it seems to be the hot issue for the night, I'd also like to thank Mr. Bulzoni for coming in and explaining how this error was made, and despite some of the comments that were made by my speakers I do want to note the first item that's mentioned in the memorandum from Mr. Bulzoni is that the budget states right in the highlights that the tentative budget was to maintain the total millage at 2016 levels.

Everyone on city council, the mayor and the administration, that was always the intent, and as I explained you will see why it is a little more confusing than just simply setting a percentage. As Mr. Perry mentioned, the City of Scranton assesses two separate millage rates, one on the land and one on the improvements on the land. Moving even deeper into that, within those two there are two additional parts that are broken out in previous budgets. There is

21

22

23

24

25

millage that was used for debt service, and millage used for general operating, so in reality you actually have four separate tax millages the way it was broken out in previous budgets, and just to give an example of how precise these numbers can be, and is this is coming right out of the legislation, this will set the real estate millage, and I'll read it off, it's .232521 on a land, and .050564 mills on buildings. So you can see how far the decimal goes down in these type of scenarios and how even one one-thousandth of a percent will effect tax I know it was certainly the intent of everyone to have your tax bill for the city looking exactly the same as it did the year previous, and the first question I asked Mr. Bulzoni in the caucus, and I know this is what everyone watching cares about the most, is when they get their tax bill and how will it look?

By passing this corrected

legislation tonight, your city tax bill will

look exactly the same as it looked last

year, which was always the intent of the

myself and all of this council to have it mirrored identical. Because of the way it structured really with four different, even though you only receive one tax bill, in the City's budget it is four items and that's why there was a little bit of confusion, you know, when you are dealing with decimal points far down the line and it can get much more confusing.

One other point I do want to mention that was in Mr. Bulzoni's memo, and this was the conclusion, he said, "To concluded, the City of Scranton will likely not undertake this exercise if assessed values were reasonably current. Current values would enable an evaluation to collapse the two millage concept into a single millage component used by most municipalities in Pennsylvania. Changing the present taxing method without a reassessment of property values is a virtual possibility."

And this is a something that I have been talking about for a very long time and I know Councilman Evans is going to speak about this a little bit more is the need for

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There were many reasons why a reassessment. a reassessment is needed in Lackawanna County. The first being tax fairness. There are people with a smaller houses paying more taxes than people who live in bigger houses, and that's not fair. also not fair that the current system of appeals benefits those who can afford an attorney or know the ins and outs of the system. Meanwhile, the average working person or senior citizen just pay the bill they receive because they can't afford to hire an attorney to challenge the tax appeal -- the tax assessment.

Finally, the one other item that

Mr. Bulzoni talked about at length in caucus

was that because of the tax appeal process

and the lack of new building growth in the

city, the total assessment in the City of

Scranton actually goes down every year. As

I mentioned, those who can afford to appeal

it they are appealing it. They are getting

their taxes reduced, so you wind up with a

situation where the average person is paying

more and those who are able to pay for

attorneys are paying less, and that's simply not right.

This legislation tonight in the short term will correct the error that was made in your tax bill and it will remain the same from 2016 into 2017, and the good news of the no tax increase from Lackawanna County, as well as the Scranton School District, your overall bill will remain exactly the same.

And, finally, I would be remiss is didn't thank our tax office or tax collection, Bill Fox, for noticing this error. It's certainly much easier to correct this now than it would be after tax bills were sent out, and many people would be very upset to see that it wasn't exactly what we said and I'm sure those whose taxes were less wouldn't be the ones calling us but, again, that will correct that millage error which, again, really comes down to, you know, a millionth of a percentage within these decimal points but it has to be exactly right because of how complex our tax system is. This will get it squared away,

and that is all, thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. A brief comments on the sewer sale, at this point in time I think we have three primary goals, one is to get the answers as for the reasons for the \$1 million gap in cash anticipated from the sale as well as other questions identified by city council in the last week's letter to the SSA and consultants to determine the most effective and cost effective use of all of the proceeds for the city and all of the taxpayers.

And number three, to make sure storm water management is done in an efficient and cost effective manner pending the study's completion. With that said, I would like to thank Tommy Mohn for his in-depth report last week on the storm water study. It brings us to where we are and where our future focus should be. Storm water management is not only an obligation for the City of Scranton and many other municipalities, but I think it's an

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opportunity as well.

On the millage rate change, I'm going to have to reject the latest conspiracy theory. It certainly isn't even close to being accurate. The good news is it was caught before the tax bills went out. Thirdly, as it points out, the difficulty and the inherent unfairness of the two-tiered tax in Scranton currently employed by the city, which because of the addition of the debt service actually was a four-tier millage system, so the only true way to get a much fairer system and one-tier taxing strategy is through a reassessment. While some people talk and talk about tax fairness, a countywide reassessment is the only true way to get there.

A little history on the two-tier strategy that we use in Scranton, of course it's a separate millage rate for land and separate millage rate for improvement to buildings on land. The millage rate on land is higher. This is a decision made decades ago in a failed attempt to increase development on empty lots, so what we have

is a determination of land values from the 1970's where the last reassessment determined that a 40 by 150 lot should be assessed more on East Mountain or Greenridge or the upper hill or West Mountain or Greenridge than in other areas of the city. The intent was to value property more because market value should have been done through the improvement side of the assessment, not the land side, because the last time I looked, as I mentioned in caucus, dirt is still dirt all over the city.

So there are so many inconsistencies in the assessed value in the city that borders on insanity. I'm calling on the county commissioners again for the umpteenth time to finally commit to a countywide reassessment. This latest issue is another unattended consequence of their failure to act.

Also, some brief comment, Mrs.

Schumacher mentioned SAPA so I did talk to

Don King today on an unrelated matter, but

we talked about SAPA a little bit because I

4 5

7

8

6

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

haven't heard much about it recently, and it appears that they're having some trouble getting some state grants, and that's ashame, so I'm going to see if we can further that conversation and find out if there is anything we can do as a body to help move that along, but when we are talking another idea came to me, too, is that we are always try to formulate some ideas on what to do with the proceeds of the sewer money, and I'd like to plug in another idea as far as an economic driver is concerned, and that would a study and creation of a new zoning ordinance. current ordinance was drafted and passed in It's so old that one of the original 1993. authors of the document is yours truly as a member of the city planning commission at that time.

MR. WECHSLER: That is old.

MR. EVANS: That is old. That's a long time. I think I had black hair at the time, and a black mustache, but an updated ordinance will clarify because many of these issues that the city faces were not even on

the radar in 1993. An updated ordinance will also present initiatives for new development as well as the current view of what is necessary today to protect our neighborhoods. A progressive city does not have a 24-year-old zoning code. Most of the estimates put the cost of the study and integration of a new ordinance somewhere between 25,000 and 50,000, and I think that's something that we can do and it's something that we should try to shepherd in 2017.

So that's all I have for now and I'll talk a little bit more on this with the agenda five items.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

You are not old, you're just experienced.

MR. EVANS: That's right.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a few items. First, if we could send correspondence to the administration and ask them to put the budget on the website. I'm not sure why it's not on yet, but it is unacceptable that it's not on the website

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the public to see, so if we could please send something to the IT Department.

I was at a very interesting meeting today, as I announced last week, with the representative from Smart City Media and the business administrator, and as I mentioned last week I think this is going to be an unbelievable opportunity for the City of Scranton on a number of different fronts. Smart City Media is growing all over the country and basically in a nutshell it is connecting government with its citizens and in a very creative and technological way. Recently, Kansas City was involved in a major project using this type of technology. Other cities, Washington, D.C. New York City, and some other similarly-sized cities to Scranton are now getting involved, and what it would be would be kiosks located in the downtown and around the city in a strategic location so that people can discover events, programs, emergency alerts, so there is a public safety aspect, and one of the things that I liked as we were discussing these things in the meeting was

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

it could be a significant revenue generator for the city. We were looking at a five-year total of near \$1 million revenue coming into the city from this program, so from a public safety standpoint, economic development, small business, I think this is it something that is going to very helpful for the city, so I look forward to continuing these conversations with the administration.

We have not received any answers, to my knowledge, to the questions that we had posed related to the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority last week. We did ask for the answers to be provided by January 18, so I'm not sure what the hold up is, but as I go around the city people are very curious about what went on in this deal, so I do think that if we don't get these answers by next week we should seriously consider using our subpoena powers, if necessary, because these are serious questions and we need answers to them, and I hope that the mayor and the Scranton Sewer Authority does not think that this issue is just going to go

away and we are going to forget about it because we are not.

On that issue, numerous residents have contacted me that they had seen Scranton Sewer Authority trucks around the city doing work sometimes with Pennsylvania American Water, so I'd like to know who is insuring these trucks and if it is Pennsylvania American Water why is the Scranton Sewer Authority logo still on the trucks? So wondering if this is a potential liability issue.

Also, we received an e-mail from our business administrator about some downtown business recycling initiatives, so we are very glad to see that. We have historically had a problem with downtown business recycling so there are a number of different items here that they are go ing to move on in the next few months, so glad to see that.

Just a couple of citizen's requests.

We did ask that the Police Department
enforce parking in the area of Price Street
and Van Buren Avenue. Cars were parking too
close to the intersection and it's creating

visibility issues, so they were dispatched out there. I did attend a Weston Field neighborhood meeting this week, a couple of items that we are going to send to the appropriate departments. Graffiti that's on the Weston fieldhouse that needs to be taken care of. There are numerous lights out on the DPW building, and 1300 block of Short Avenue residents are reporting abandoned cars.

Mrs. Franus brought up a good point tonight, and question that I was preparing to ask is why aren't we putting the revenue that we received from the garbage fee into an interest bearing account, and are we planning on doing that. She was correct when she said that the ordinance does call for that, Article 2, Section C, all fees shall be deposited in a special interest bearing account to be established by the business administrator. This account will be used to reimburse the general city operating fund for costs incurred directly for the disposal of the refuse.

So if my colleagues agree, I would

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to send correspondence to our business administrator and the administration asking why this isn't being done and if we are planning on doing that. And that's is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. In regards to the questions concerning the Sewer Authority, our caucus ran a little over this evening so I did not get to share this information with my colleagues, we have been in contact with Attorney Conaboy who is working on the answers to the questions that we submitted last week. Since he does represent the Scranton Sewer Authority board, he does have to meet with the board first to get finalization on some of the questions that were asked, so I believe as we heard tonight that the meeting is Friday, so we are hoping to hear from Mr. Conaboy next week after the Sewer Authority meeting.

In regards to the correction for our property taxes, the strange thing is to say no good deed goes unpunished. Our goal was to not have a tax increase this year, and I

24

25

think in prior years the tax increases that came may have had the same situation in it, but nobody really paid attention to it because your tax bill went up every year for the past couple of years. In my business, I have been in manufacturing so we constantly review what we do and how we do it. review our performance, we review how we got the certifications. I look at this as something that was reviewed by another outside group. The Tax Collector's Office worked these numbers and they contacted the business administration who contacted the mayor and myself immediately. I believe I found out about this last Wednesday, I believe, and we began the idea of how we were going to -- how we are going to adjust this. Unfortunately, Wednesday was too late for any of this information to come to our agenda. Plus, as Councilman Rogan went over, the review of these numbers to get it to a point where there was no tax increase was a monumental effort to get it to balance out.

To me, the bottom line is there was

a problem, it was corrected. To me, that's all you can ask for in terms of being responsible for something. The bottom line is our tax bills will be the same, and as the years goes on and we are looking at our tax increases need either being flat or minimal, this is something that we are going to have to do every year to make sure that the increases or decreases are fair to everybody and that the taxing bills remain where we would like them to be, so like I said, fortunately this is something that we were able to correct before the tax bills went out, and in the long run it will be the way that we intend it to be.

Just some information about that was provided to us by Solicitor Durkin from the Uniform Pension Board, so far in terms of the double pension there is no ruling yet. The best estimate would be that it should come within the next couple of weeks. Currently it's in the hands of the two hearing officers. There were 22 cases covering two different years, two years of testimony and six attorneys were present at

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these hearings, which is causing in terms of the delay, so we are still waiting to hear about the double pension reviews.

Today, if you came to city hall today every meeting room was full with people. Mr. Gaughan was at a meeting. also attended a meeting today on something as Mr. Evans talked about how our zoning ordinance is going to have to be he updated. Myself and Jessica Boyles attended a meeting today with people who are bidding on a chance to have a medical marijuana dispensary in the city. The state has established a few of these potential licenses, and I believe that in Scranton we want the progressive city that we want it to be, we do want to make sure that Scranton is on the cutting edge of this new technology. Unfortunately, the actual facilities for growing and the productions of any would be topical lotions. We don't have the opportunity to do that with the city. think the closest right now is in Covington Township, but we do want to have the city participate in this, but right now, as

Mr. Evans cited, our zoning law is silent in terms of these type of places, so there is some progress being made in the city on different opportunities.

Unfortunately, I will not be able to attend the meeting on Merrifield Avenue on Tuesday. My work schedule prohibits me from attending, but I know that council will be well represented there, and as Mr. Gaughan stated, we did have success in the past at these types of meetings so hopefully we will be able to come to some final resolution to get this problem corrected.

I also attended the Bulls Head meeting on Tuesday night, and as always the residents down there are constantly concerned about the state of the flood project in their area. They continue to have problems with quads that are riding on the levy to take the top of the dirt off the levy, the quads represent a serious problem to the city in terms of destruction and really the police are discouraged from chasing them so there is no safety issue there, but anything that can be done to

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

control the quads on the levy would be greatly appreciated.

I had a couple of different situations with utility pave cut problems in the city, one was up on Wintermantle Avenue and that was the problem with that, it wasn't completed yet. They were back up there today digging again so that will have to be looked at. I did speak to the pave cut inspector down on Birch Street there is a series or problems, a hole that opened up, and on my way home from work today I found one on Stafford Avenue so there is another -- these are pave cuts and utility cuts that have not been properly repaired and we will follow-up with those again tomorrow. I have all of these little papers, but, that's it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS
AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE
PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY
SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON;
PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF

EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX;

CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON

CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES",

BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE REALTY TRANSFER

TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS PERCENT (2.4%)

FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL

REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY

THEREAFTER.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question,

Attorney Minora, before we vote, if you can, is there any reason whatsoever to believe that there would be a conflict of interest for anyone on city council relative to this legislation?

MR. MINORA: No. Conflict of interest has to have a direct impact, a direct profit to an individual voting. This would go to the buyer and seller of real estate and has no direct impact even on the

realty, let alone an individual.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I just want to get that out of the way. While I'm disappointed that we have to bring this back, most of us this satisfies state statutes, I guess it's been so long that the tax actually has been reduced that it caught everybody a little off guard. On a positive note, I'm happy to be able to vote three more times for this type of legislation, so that's good.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, once again, this is housekeeping that has to be done goal was to get this tax lowered to get the news out there and bring some encouragement to sellers and buyers within the city, so we'll go through the exercise of doing this as required by state law, but the goal was to get this tax lowered.

MR. ROGAN: Just to add off what Councilman Evans said, I certainly agree that I wish we were voting on tax decreases every day, but this is hopefully the first of many tax decreases to come. I firmly believe that the wage tax and the real

estate tax can be looked at for very minimal decreases in the future years, but decreases nonetheless.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 78, 2017, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF
THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND
SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY
SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND
THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND
EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER" BY AMENDING
SECTION 1 REVERTING BACK TO THE MILLAGE
RATES FROM 2016 WHICH ARE .232521 MILLS LEVY
ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL
BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED
MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE CONTAINED IN

1	SECTION 2 AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED
2	MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED
3	DEBT PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF REAL ESTATE TAX
4	REVENUES COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS.
5	(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
6	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. EVANS: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. PERRY: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
21	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
22	NO. 65, 2016 AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL
23	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY REVERTING
24	BACK TO THE REAL ESTATE TAX MILLAGE FROM
25	2016 OF .232521 MILLS LEVY ON LAND AND

1	.050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL BUILDINGS AND BY
2	ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT
3	SERVICE AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE
4	FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED DEBT
5	SERVICE PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF REAL ESTATE TAX
6	REVENUE COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS
7	AND BY INCREASING THE PROJECTED EARNED
8	INCOME TAX REVENUE FROM \$25,898,760.41 TO
9	\$26,614,677.49 AND BY AMENDING ANY AND ALL
10	SECTIONS OF THE 2017 BUDGET TO REFLECT THE
11	AFOREMENTIONED CHANGES. (EMERGENCY
12	CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
13	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
15	introduced into its proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. EVANS: Second.
18	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
19	those in favor of introduction signify by
20	saying aye.
21	MR. PERRY: Aye.
22	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
23	MR. EVANS: Aye.
24	
	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -2 A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION 3 4 OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF 5 APPROPRIATENESS FOR DANIEL KOSLOSKI, 526 S. 6 IRVING AVE., SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR 7 8 INSTALLATION OF GOLD COLORED VINYL STICKERS 9 THAT READ CANDY, LUNCH, COFFEE, SNACKS, AND ABBY'S TO GO IN THE STYLE OF EXISTING 10 LETTERING ON THE BUILDING AND SURROUNDING 11 12 BUILDINGS AT 150 ADAMS AVE., SCRANTON, 13 PENNSYLVANIA. 14 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 15 16 introduced into its proper committee. 17 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 18 MR. EVANS: Second. 19 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 20 those in favor of introduction signify by 21 saying aye. 22 MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. GAUGHAN:

1 The MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 2 ayes have it and so moved. 3 MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 4 RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 5 ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF 6 7 APPROPRIATENESS FOR THOMAS JAWORSKI, 913 S. 8 MAIN AVE., SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR 9 INSTALLATION OF GOLD COLORED VINYL STICKERS 10 THAT READ WRIGHT, REIHNER, MULCAHEY, 11 GROMELSKI, & TUNIS IN THE STYLE OF EXISTING 12 LETTERING ON THE BUILDING AND SURROUNDING BUILDINGS AT 148 ADAMS AVE., SCRANTON, 13 14 PENNSYLVANIA. 15 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 19 MR. EVANS: Second. 20 A11 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 21 those in favor of introduction signify by 22 saying aye. 23 MR. PERRY: Aye. 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 25 MR. EVANS: Aye.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD -("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR WIDMER SIGN COMPANY, INC., 2209 AMELIA AVE., SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR INSTALLATION OF 6' WIDE BY 4' HIGH AWNING CONSTRUCTED OF MATTE BLACK ALUMINUM WHICH WILL BE LIT WITH SOFT LED LIGHTING WITH A 24" PROJECTION WITH LOWER SECTION OF AWNING TO HAVE POLYCARBONATE PANELS TO ILLUMINATE GRAPHICS/LOGOS WITH ADDITIONAL APPROVAL TO NOT HAVE TO GO BEFORE HARB TO REPLACE SIGNAGE PANEL THAT WILL DISPLAY THE NAME OF THE TENANTS/BUSINESS; APPLICATION MUST BE RESUBMITTED ONLY IF LED LIGHTING AND/OR CHANGES TO AWNING ARE MADE AT 406 SPRUCE ST., SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-G be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

1 MR. EVANS: Second. 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. 11 MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -12 A RESOLUTION - REAPPOINTMENT OF JOSEPH A. 13 RICCARDO, JR., 944 MONROE AVENUE, APARTMENT 14 #1, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A MEMBER OF THE SHADE TREE COMMISSION, 15 16 EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 7, 2016. MR. RICCARDO IS 17 BEING REAPPOINTED TO A TWO (2) YEAR TERM 18 WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 7, 2018. 19 MR. WECHSLER: At this time. I'll 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'd just like to

mention I know Mr. Riccardo pretty well and he does a great job and he's very active on a number of boards and a number of civic organizations within the city so I'd just like to wish him the best.

MR. EVANS: I would agree. Joe is a very good, good man.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT")
APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR
TRAFFIC SIGNAL PERMIT NO. 1500 FOR THE
MODIFICATION OF THE EXISTING TRAFFIC SIGNAL
AT NORTH/SOUTH MAIN AVENUE AND JACKSON
STREET.

1	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
2	entertain a motion that Item 5-I be
3	introduced into its proper committee.
4	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
5	MR. EVANS: Second.
6	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
7	those in favor of introduction signify by
8	saying aye.
9	MR. PERRY: Aye.
10	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
11	MR. EVANS: Aye.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
14	ayes have it and so moved.
15	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
16	suspend the Rules to move Items 5-C and 5-D
17	to Sixth and Seventh Order to be considered
18	for final passage based on the attached
19	emergency certificate.
20	MR. ROGAN: Second.
21	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
22	those in favor signify by saying aye.
23	MR. PERRY: Aye.
24	MR. EVANS: Aye.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 3 ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 5 81, 2017 - AN ORDINANCE - APPROVING THE 6 7 TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE 8 CURRENTLY OWNED BY ANOTHER TIME RESTAURANT, 9 LLC T/A AV RESTAURANT, MOOSIC BOROUGH, 10 LICENSE NO. R-10827 TO ANOTHER TIME 11 RESTAURANT, LLC T/A AV RESTAURANT FOR USE AT 12 320 PENN AVENUE, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA AS REQUIRED BY THE 13 14 PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 15 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 16 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 17 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 18 pass reading by title. MR. EVANS: Second. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 21 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 Aye. MR. GAUGHAN:

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 6-B - FORMERLY 5-C -READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83-2017 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 78, 2017, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER" BY AMENDING SECTION 1 REVERTING BACK TO THE MILLAGE RATES FROM 2016 WHICH ARE .232521 MILLS LEVY ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE CONTAINED IN SECTION 2 AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED DEBT PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF REAL ESTATE TAX REVENUES COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Chairman, I move

1 that Item 6-B pass reading by title. Second. 2 MR. EVANS: 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 5 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-C - FORMERLY 5-D -11 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 12 84-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 13 14 THE COUNCIL NO. 65, 2016 AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 15 2017" BY REVERTING BACK TO THE REAL ESTATE 16 17 TAX MILLAGE FROM 2016 OF .232521 MILLS LEVY 18 ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED 19 20 MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE AND REPLACING THE 21 DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED DEBT SERVICE PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF 22 REAL ESTATE TAX REVENUE COLLECTED ON A 23 24 CURRENT YEAR BASIS AND BY INCREASING THE

PROJECTED EARNED INCOME TAX REVENUE FROM

25

1	\$25,898,760.41 TO \$26,614,677.49 AND BY
2	AMENDING ANY AND ALL SECTIONS OF THE 2017
3	BUDGET TO REFLECT THE AFOREMENTIONED
4	CHANGES. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
5	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
6	by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
7	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
8	pass reading by title.
9	MR. EVANS: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
11	those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. PERRY: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved. If anyone would
18	like to address council on this emergency
19	legislation you may do so at this time.
20	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
21	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
22	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 80,
23	2017 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 126,
24	2015, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL CITY
25	OPERATING BUDGET 2016" BY TRANSFERRING

1	\$21,000.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO.
2	01.040.00040.4201 (DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS
3	ADMINISTRATION - BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
4	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO ACCOUNT NO.
5	01.020.00000.4201 (OFFICE OF THE CITY
6	CLERK/CITY COUNCIL- PROFESSIONAL SERVICES)
7	TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE
8	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ACCOUNT THROUGH THE
9	2016 BUDGET PERIOD.
10	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-A.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
	ll

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
4	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
5	adopted.
6	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
7	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
8	RESOLUTION NO. 100, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
9	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
10	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH
11	ARCADIS U.S., INC. TO PERFORM CITY OF
12	SCRANTON PRELIMINARY STORM WATER ANALYSIS.
13	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
14	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-B.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
	II

	03
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
4	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
5	adopted.
6	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
7	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION-
8	RESOLUTION NO. 101, 2017 - RE-APPOINTMENT OF
9	KEVIN WHELAN, 1111 DELAWARE STREET,
10	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A MEMBER OF
11	THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY
12	FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM
13	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 4, 2017. MR. WHELAN'S
14	PRIOR TERM EXPIRED ON DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND
15	HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JANUARY 4, 2022.
16	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
17	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-C.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	84
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
7	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully
8	adopted.
9	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
10	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
11	RESOLUTION NO. 102, 2017 - RE-APPOINTMENT OF
12	PATRICK J. HUGHES, 15 FAWNWOOD DRIVE,
13	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF
14	THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY
15	FOR AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM
16	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 4, 2017. MR. HUGHES'
17	PRIOR TERM EXPIRED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND HIS
18	NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JANUARY 4, 2022.
19	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
20	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-D.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	Roll call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

1 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 10 declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully 11 adopted. MS. REED: 7-E - FORMERLY 6-B -12 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -13 14 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 83-2017 -AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 15 16 78, 2017, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE 17 COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 18 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY 19 20 SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND 21 THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER" BY AMENDING 22 23 SECTION 1 REVERTING BACK TO THE MILLAGE 24 RATES FROM 2016 WHICH ARE .232521 MILLS LEVY 25 ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL

1	BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED
2	MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE CONTAINED IN
3	SECTION 2 AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED
4	MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED
5	DEBT PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF REAL ESTATE TAX
6	REVENUES COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS.
7	(EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
8	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
9	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
10	Committee on Finance?
11	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
13	passage of Item 7-E.
14	MR. ROGAN: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
16	Roll call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
18	MR. PERRY: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
22	MR. EVANS: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-F - FORMERLY 6-C - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 84-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 65, 2016 AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2017" BY REVERTING BACK TO THE REAL ESTATE TAX MILLAGE FROM 2016 OF .232521 MILLS LEVY ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED DEBT SERVICE PERCENTAGE OF 32% OF REAL ESTATE TAX REVENUE COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS AND BY INCREASING THE PROJECTED EARNED INCOME TAX REVENUE FROM \$25,898,760.41 TO \$26,614,677.49 AND BY AMENDING ANY AND ALL SECTIONS OF THE 2017 BUDGET TO REFLECT THE AFOREMENTIONED CHANGES. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the

Committee on Finance? 1 2 MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 3 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 4 passage of Item 7-F. MR. ROGAN: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 6 7 Roll call, please? MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 8 9 MR. PERRY: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 11 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 13 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 18 declare Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Prior to adjournment, 21 there was one item I forgot to mention under 22 motions, we did send a letter to the 23 Scranton School Board president requesting 24 that the former Lincoln Jackson Elementary 25 property is put back out to bid. This was,

a Scranton Times wrote a good article about this and took the neighborhood perspective so we did send a formal letter requesting that it is put back out to bid and I did talk to the solicitor at the school board as well as one of the developers that was interested and it does look as though this property will go back out to bid and hopefully it will be back on the tax rolls.

MR. WECHSLER: If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

> MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.

MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER