	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, January 5, 2017
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S NADDOZZI DDD AEEICIAL COUDT DEDODTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR 

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Here. There will be 14 a motion to move Items 5-B, 5-C, 5-D and 5-E 5-F, and 5-G to Sixth and Seventh Orders for 15 16 final vote based on the attached emergency 17 certificates. There will be a second 18 opportunity to speak in Seventh Order prior 19 to final passage of these six pieces of 20 legislation. Dispense with the reading of 21 the minutes. 22 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3 - A. CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR MONTH ENDING 23 24 NOVEMBER 30, 2016.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any

25

comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-B. CHECK RECEIVED IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$175,000.00 FROM THE
UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON ANNUAL CONTRIBUTION,
WHICH IS PAYMENT IN LIEU TAXES.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD JANUARY
11, 2017.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Just as a note, this evening an executive session was held to discuss a matter of the litigation.

Do any council members have announcements at this time?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have one. Based on current cold weather information, the Lackawanna County Commissioners are declaring a Code Blue frigid weather alert beginning 9 a.m. on Wednesday, January 4, and ending at noon Monday, January 9.

Again, a Code Blue Alert is issued in the forecast of Lackawanna County including the

windchills, it's expected to go below 20 degrees. During a Code Blue alert, homeless individuals can access emergency shelter at following locations: The Bethel AME Church shelter at 716 North Washington Avenue, Scranton. Hours are 7 p.m. to 8 a.m. St. Anthony's Haven, 409 Olive Street, Scranton. Hours are 7:30 p.m. to 8 a.m. Community Intervention Center 445 North Sixth Avenue, Scranton. Hours are 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

MR. ROGAN: I have one as well.

Lackawanna County Land Bank Community

Outreach presentation will be held on

Tuesday, January 10, at 6:00, in the

community room at the Goodwill apartments,

the Jerry Langan apartments, 1539 North Main

Avenue in Scranton. The presentation will

outline Lackawanna County's Land Bank

history and mission and to discuss blight in

the neighborhoods. The presentation is free

and open to the public. It will be followed

by an opportunity for attendees to ask

questions.

The primary mission of the

Lackawanna County Land Bank is to acquire

tax delinquent and abandoned properties and sell them to responsible and capable owners through a negotiated sales process. For more information about the land bank and the upcoming program, you can contact Ralph Pappas at 570-963-6830, extension 1358. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Since we are back into January this is always a nice thing to announce, Winter in the City will be held again on January 13 and February 17. The cocktail event showcases our amazing area cuisine featuring Paul Labelle, The Exact Change, and will play in a January 1 to 5. Group D'Jour will play in February, plus silent items for local vendors. Event tickets will be \$20. The events kick off at Posh from 5:30 to 8 p.m. All proceeds benefit the projects of Scranton Tomorrow.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, resident and taxpayer. With regard to the 2015 audit, when will the summary be

published in the newspaper per the Home Rule Charter?

MR. WECHSLER: It should be sometime in the next week.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, thank you. With regard to the 2017 operating budget, I checked around 4:50 p.m. on the website. I see that it's not posted yet to the Business Administrator's webpage. If we could get that posted so that the citizens have access to it electronically.

And with regard to the Sewer

Authority sale, I think while commending
people I was very surprised to see that we
are not getting the windfall we thought we
were getting. We are getting apparently
\$66.5 million, with the potential for
getting another \$17 million over the year
should we get all of the money in escrow
coming back, which is not a guarantee.

I have a few questions with regard to this disclosure. As I listened to the legislation as we passed the 2017 budget, and as I listened to the legislation by which the Sewer Authority was disbanded, I

didn't hear anything about this. My
question is did anybody on council have any
idea that we were going to have this kind of
shortfall before it appeared in the
newspaper?

MR. GAUGHAN: No, I had no clue, and I can't speak for everybody else, but I was just as shocked as every other citizen that we are \$30 million short of what the mayor and the administration had been touting for a whole year, so the fact that we were left in the dark is pretty concerning to me, and I'll address that in motions, but, no, I had no clue that that was going to be that short.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I would try to make an appointment to see the mayor and ask him this question, or the BA, but I have already got a standing request for such an appointment dating back to last March 16 and I have never been given an appointment, have you any idea whether the mayor and the BA knew this was coming down?

MR. GAUGHAN: I have no idea, but that was actually one of my questions. When

did the mayor know? I find it hard to believe that he would walk into a closing and \$30 million would just come out of nowhere, so I would assume that he would have known that for weeks, but that is actually one of the questions that I'll be posing to the mayor and the administration.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Have you had any information from the administration regarding this new information as to how the proceeds will now be distributed? I'm particularly concerned about what amount of funds is going toward the pension fund since I recall Mr. Bulzoni saying in this room that they are going to try to put sufficient funds into the pension plans to go from severely distressed to moderately distressed, how much money would they have to put into to do that? It's a big lump.

MR. EVANS: More than they have, so I don't think that's going to be an issue.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yep. I don't think so either. With regard to the RFP for the storm water management study that the deadline was in October, and I see it still

has not appeared in your legislation, and the story in the Times says that the study will take about ten months, which means that we'll get the information about a cost for storm water management sometime after the general election, I do not think that's fair to the citizens. I think that study should have been a priority, should have been pushed and we should know those answers before we go to the ballot box.

And what ever happened to the RFP for the third party administrator for the pension plans? I'm still waiting breathlessly. I could have a baby by the time I see that on the calendar.

MR. GAUGHAN: I'm still waiting for an answer on that, last I checked they were working on it and this is since March.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I know there has been a lot of positive spin about, you know, how the city is turning around, and in many ways it is, but I have a feeling that there is lot of smoke and mirrors going on around here and I, as a citizen, do not appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Mr. Gaughan, I heard you mention that you wanted to ask the mayor those questions about when did he know about the shortfall, \$30 million, you have asked the mayor many questions but you have yet to get answers because he refuses to answer you, so what do we do as citizens if you can't even get answers from him? Don't you think he owes it to everybody in the city to tell us the truth?

MR. GAUGHAN: I do. I have actually had to file Right-to-Know requests, which is pretty disgraceful --

MS. FRANUS: Yes, it is.

MR. GAUGHAN: -- for a current elected official to have to do something like that, but the only recourse I guess that you have is to file a Right-to-Know request.

MS. FRANUS: Mr. Wechsler, could you get in touch with the mayor? Would he answer you if you asked that question versus Mr. Gaughan?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: We are going to submit a list of questions to the mayor.

MS. FRANUS: Okay. Another thing, I think definitely that study that Joan was talking about, she has some excellent questions every week, but there is never any answers, there is just more questions and no answers. This study that she is referring to about the storm water and stuff, that should definitely be out before election because Bill Courtright is a snake in the grass and he is deliberately doing this to make sure it doesn't get out until after the election so then it's too late then he can do whatever he wants after four years. That's why there is no taxes this year. Just like I said last week, there is no taxes because he is running for mayor, not any other reason at all. So next year when we get clobbered in taxes, because he has to make them up someplace, because he is screwing so much this year with the budget. He took us over the coals already.

Now, back to the garbage fee, I was thrilled to read in the paper where this

4

1

5

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gentleman is filing a class action lawsuit against the city for the garbage fee. is the same gentleman that sued over the rental registration. You know, it's amazing, he comes to council and the rental registration is go ing to be so high, all of a sudden he is going file a lawsuit, all of a sudden it comes down. It's amazing. Somebody has to actually spend their own money filing a lawsuit to get something right in this city because you know, we can't -- I can't afford to go through a lawyer, and you all know this so that's why we get no answers, because you know, what are we going to do, right? We just have to sit and take your crap. Your lies.

Now, how did this gentleman, and whoever he is working with, get all of this information but yet you couldn't get the information and everybody else, Marie Schumacher and other people have sent Right-to-Knows about the bills for the DPW, oh, no answers. All of the papers, all of the papers are lost, they didn't have any record.

Well, how is this study that you spoke of, Mr. Evans, how are they getting their information if there is no papers available? I mean, where are they going to get their information? There is nothing available. They didn't keep track of anything. Where are they going to get it? Are they just going to guess? What I want to see is bills. I want to see a bill for everything, the truck fixed, everything there is.

MR. EVANS: Well, I think the Court case will determine that.

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: I would imagine the court case will determine all of that.

MS. FRANUS: Well, how about the fact that the people that are doing the study? Where are they going to get their figures? Where are they getting their figures from?

MR. EVANS: Well, they're professional consultants, I'm sure they'll be able to figure that out. That's what they get paid for.

MS. FRANUS: Well, and don't forget what I said about the recyclable. All of that money that you get from the recyclable doesn't go anything towards the people getting their garbage fee. That doesn't come back to the people to cut back on their garbage fee.

MR. EVANS: I agree with that totally. We should increase our recyclables so we can give money back to the people.

MS. FRANUS: Well, you agree with it, but nothing is being done about it. So why wasn't that taken into consideration for this year's budget? Why are we still paying \$300?

MR. EVANS: Well, we're waiting for the study --

MS. FRANUS: And all of that recyclable money isn't coming back to us, this year, last year and the year before and every other year. Are we going to get money back if we pay too much? I don't think so, but we should be. That you be part of the lawsuit. Every person, and I hope the city of Scranton and the DPW who works in that

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office, the Treasurer's Office or whoever keeps a record of everybody who paid, because they're saying they didn't have records before, well, they are on the record now saying they have to have records. can't make excuses for not having them it's been such a big issue that he didn't have them before, so they should know who paid what and every person that paid too much should get it back, because they could have used this as a tax write off, because it's really a tax, not a fee. They could have claimed it on their income tax, but because you call it a fee, it's really a tax, they couldn't. So, therefore, there is another thing, their income taxes is all messed up because of what you are doing.

So I just hope this lawsuit takes care of everything and these people get -- everybody gets their money back and don't say, "Oh, well, it's already spent, da, da, da, da."

That's not my problem, that's your problem, that's the mayor's problem, and I hope this mayor ever, ever, ever gets

1 elected again because we are in the shape we are now because of him, and for people that 2 3 vote for anything he wants. People better remember who voted for what he wanted come 4 5 election time and don't get swayed by fancy parties and all of the hoopla he is going to 6 say he is going to do this, he is a liar. 7 8 We are where we are today because of Bill 9 Courtright, don't ever forget that. 10 MR. EVANS: Thank you. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Lenny Serebro. 12 MR. SEREBRO: Lenny Serebro, 13 taxpayer, Scranton resident. I live in the 14 flood zone of Keyser Valley. So can anybody tell me what's new? Yous all know the 15 16 problem up there. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Lenny, last time I 18 spoke to Mr. Gallagher he told us he 19 installed two pumps on Merrifield Avenue and 20 they were working properly. 21 MR. SEREBRO: They he installed two 22 pumps. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I spoke to him 24 right before the holidays.

MR. SEREBRO:

Geez, I know the pump

25

station is right down the street from me there, I didn't see anything going on there.

MR. WECHSLER: We spoke to Mr. Gallagher prior to Christmas.

MR. SEREBRO: So the pump, the two pumps they put in you are saying is going to handle the water now.

MR. WECHSLER: That's what Mr. Gallagher informed us.

MR. SEREBRO: You know what, see, I don't know what's going on with the pumps, we won't know until we get a heavy rain, but I can tell you right now the rain that we had yesterday, that drainage pipe, that 36-inch drainage pipe that nobody has done anything that I suggested flush it, I see DPW trying to dig it out and they just gave it up, gave up on it. Now, that drain is what's going to handle the water, the excess storm water, so nothing has been done about that drainage pipe.

MR. WECHSLER: No, not yet.

MR. SEREBRO: That's the big problem. Why can't it be flushed is my biggest question, I don't understand that.

Right now since the rain we got yesterday, you know, it filled up, I know it is draining at a very slow rate, but it backs up as soon as there is a moderate rain and then comes in the yards, goes down the That's the problem in the corner street. there. I don't know what the pumps are going to do for that, but the rain we got yesterday now filled it up, it backed up and now the cold weather set in overnight, it's frozen there. So now if we get a heavy rain it's got nowhere to go. That drainage pipe is frozen solid now and there is a lack around the corner where it empties into it.

What's with flushing the drain? You know, I keep saying that's going to resolve a lot of the problems but nothing is getting done about that. I don't know about these pumps, you know, but I'm going to dig into that and see what's going on, and I don't think the pumps are going take care of that drainage pipe in the corner there where all of the water empties into it, and I'm telling you if it warms up and we get a lot of rain like, you know, a solid one-day

heavy rain it's going to be the worst that it ever was because it's all frozen now.

I don't know if they did anything that made it worse now, but like I said, DPW was up there trying to dig out that drainage pipe and they were there a little bit and they are gone and that's it. Like I said, now, you know, it's a frozen lake there. You guys are the ones who are supposed to tell me something. What do you suggest?

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Serebro, we are working on it. I contacted --

MR. SEREBRO: Twenty years of working on it. Twenty years. Everybody knows there has been a problem there forever, but as developments still keeps going on the other side of Keyser Avenue up in Fawnwood all of this drainage cannot -- it can't handle it. Whoever planned it years ago, I'm telling you, they had to be incompetent, you know, for the drainage system that they put in there. Now that it's all being developed up there, you know, it just can't handle it.

MR. ROGAN: You are exactly right,

been in touch with Senator Blake's staff as 2 3 well, the problem does start up mountain. 4 MR. SEREBRO: Yes. Yes. 5 MR. ROGAN: Actually outside of Scranton. 6 MR. SEREBRO: Yes. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: And water rolls downhill 9 and, unfortunately, you know, there is a 10 valley and that's where many of the problems 11 It's actually all of the way down. 12 Residents on West Mountain as well are having problems with the water coming down, 13 14 but as Mr. Wechsler mentioned, the pumps were installed. We hope that does 15 something, but there has to be an upstream 16 17 fix and, unfortunately, that's something 18 that's going to be very expensive. MR. SEREBRO: So can you answer me 19 20 what the pumps do? 21 MR. ROGAN: I'm not an engineer, I 22 can't explain that to you. 23 MR. PERRY: I'm going to make a call 24 tomorrow, I have it in my notes. First of 25 all, I want to know why the progression

1

and as we discussed with Senator -- we have

stopped with the drainage pipe. Did they run into an issue? What was the issue? What's their next step, because I was under the assumption that they took care of that. So they showed up, they started, they stopped, the --

MR. SEREBRO: They just dug it out a little bit and they gave up and --

MR. PERRY: Well, I'm going to find out --

MR. WECHSLER: Excuse me, Councilman Perry, just to answer Mr. Serebro, the issue was we are still aren't sure if the city possesses the equipment to properly clean out that drain, so the --

MR. SEREBRO: Well, the Sewer authority has the equipment but now the Sewer Authority is sold.

MR. WECHSLER: Right. Right, so we are dealing with a new entity in a few weeks because of the transfer so where we left it was that the Sewer Authority was going to determine, they are going to try and scope it for us. I don't know if that was successful because of the transition, but I

don't believe that the city possesses the proper equipment to clean out the pipe.

Sorry, Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, and as far as the pumps, I'm going to ask for the status on the pumps. What did they replace? What's their now? What's their expectations of the volume? What are they supposed to do? How much water are they supposed to move an hour? I'm sure there is some kind of specifications to these pumps and, you know is it a realistic fix.

MR. SEREBRO: Thank you.

MR. PERRY: But I'll make that call tomorrow for you.

MR. SEREBRO: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

I find this whole conversation really humorous that you just had here because the city doesn't have any equipment and it doesn't take rocket science to clean that pipe out. I mean, I'm a truck driver and I know how to do it. So, I mean, I don't know why I anybody that works for the city can't

do it either. Just go rent the equipment and go up there and get it done. But, you know, the thing that gets me, okay, and I have worked a lot on a lot of back equipment that will pull your muscles right out of your arms, okay, and I'm telling you there is equipment out that there that will take care of that.

But the problem we really have in this city is we haven't incompetence from the top right to the bottom, and for the council to stand here and say today that they didn't know that this deal was going to be \$30 million short that's absolutely ridiculous because you had all of the figures right in front of you.

MR. WECHSLER: No, that's where you are wrong, Mr. Morgan, we did not have any figures.

MR. MORGAN: Well, I thought the mayor gave all of the information in regards to the sale right to the council.

MR. WECHSLER: Not until after the sale was completed.

MR. MORGAN: Well, how can you vote

to allow an authority to go out of existence without information?

MR. WECHSLER: That's why I voted against it.

MR. MORGAN: Oh, well, that's nice. Well, you know, I think, I think the residents of this city have a real decision to make here in the next election, and you know what it comes down to is are you going to allow this same silly stuff to continue after 25 years where the city has been just so thoroughly looted and, you know, we have never even found out where Mr. Amoroso came from, at least I haven't, and how he got his job and, I mean, we just don't know anything.

But, you know, I had the conversation with somebody who came back to visit the city yesterday after 35 years, went to high school with him, came back to visit his elderly parents for the holidays and he said to me, "Lee what happened here? Everything is condemned and run down."

He said the same thing my kids said, "The city is a slum. How did it happen?"

You have to look at the residents, but the other thing you have to look at is the people we elect. You know, there is going to be an election soon. It's time to get rid of three councilmen, it doesn't matter who they are because what has to happen in the next election is we can't allow another authority to be formed. No Storm Water Authority, no silliness like that, that's all got to stop, okay, because we have enough authorities borrowing money and all of this silliness that goes with it.

You know, somebody went to look at a house and I went with them the other day because, I don't know, my father was a master carpenter so I can look at a house and pretty much tell you some of the things about it, and the people that own the house were thrilled if they could go \$40,000 for it. It's ridiculous. Well, really it's beyond ridiculous.

And we have an elected government that makes a lot of claims, we elect people and they say all kinds of stuff. Well, we have got kids in the Scranton School

District where the district just borrowed so much money that, in my opinion, none of the children that go to the Scranton School District get a meaningful education because the district is in so much debt that it can't put any money into the classrooms.

And you take a look at the city government, I don't know, they are talking about what we are going to do with the windfall from the Sewer Authority sale, a windfall is if I find \$20 on the ground and it came from nowhere, or if somebody walks up and gives me 10 grand. You sold the last major asset of the city. That's not a windfall, okay?

And the other thing is, that sale may not even be enough money to satisfy the money that the pension plan itself needs to become solvent so how are we debating where we are going to move this money to and how we are going to spend it?

And, you know, the other question
the residents in this city have to ask
themselves is where is all of this community
development been spent and what has the

23

24

25

return on that investment been? And, you know, if you can't get an answer from your elected government unelect it. Put people in there that are going to say no. they come and say, "Well, look at, you know, you got to meet these requirements for the storm water," say no, and let the state come in here and force the issue, and then you ask the state, well, how can we allow the Sewer Authority to be sold off without a plan? And how can the city be under Act 47 for 25 years and maybe be in more debt now than when it started? And how can a city sell all of it's assets off and have nothing left? And how long can we listen to a council get elected and not know what they are doing. Good questions, aren't they?

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.
Resident and taxpayer. Last December at the public hearing on the 2017 budget, I noted,
"When you look at the summary of the revenues it comes up to roughly \$108 million dollars, and then there is single sheet for the summary of expenditures that comes up to

\$108 million, and then there is a summary of several pages by account that totals \$120 million, which is a \$12 million differential. How did that happen?"

That was my question. I got no answer from any of you. When I read the December 31 edition of the Times-Tribune article stating, "For example, the water company's \$195 million purchase included buying all authority assets, one of which was \$38 million in Authority cash. However, by the time of the closing, the Authority didn't have that much cash and the city and the Borough had to makeup up a \$12 million difference owed to the water company."

I couldn't help if wonder if that \$12 million was the same as the differential that I noted in the budget. After all, at that time we were told the asset purchase closing was expected on the 13th of December, and the public hearing was on the 5th of December, so certainly the shortfall could have been known and just wasn't disclosed as the budget would -- so the budget would be passed.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I find this disturbing. The reduction in proceeds from the Sewer Authority asset sale should have been

divulged before the vote on the budget. The

administration and council will not regain

credibility for a very long time, if ever.

Adding to the lack of concern for the

taxpayers, at least of those whose

properties are effected by storm water, is

the fact that the entire burden of dealing

with the storm water was raised at the very

first council meeting following the public

hearing on the asset sale that was held at

Marywood University in 2015, and we have yet

to come up with a plan of action. That is

deplorable.

costs.

Next, I ask that at next week's council meeting, I guess it would be you, Mr. Evans, provide us with a detailed report on the fees and costs associated both with the Scranton Parking Authority long-term lease and the Scranton Sewer Authority sale to include the recipients of those fees and

And then on January 28 of last year

on the final reading of Resolution 5, I said, "7-C, is there anyone on council who can tell me what specifically is going on or going to be provided? Muni services says financial data presentment services?"

Mr. Wechsler, you answered, "The plan is to make as much financial information available as possible. Do we have the specifics? No. But it is a start to get more information out there."

It's either been a mighty slow start or an intentional stall to placate those of us who are looking for higher quality data and more transparency as I have yet to see any financial data presented by Muni Services in the almost year since they were awarded the contract, so I'd also like to know next week when will see a product of the Muni services contract and what information will it provide?

Also, last year on June there was an article in the Times that I referenced before, which said, "If the 50-year-old Scranton fire station on East Mountain doesn't get a badly needed roof replacement,

	32
1	Scranton Fire Chief Patrick DeSarno will
2	shut it down before winter."
3	It is winter, it's not done.
4	MR. WECHSLER: That roof was
5	replaced two weeks ago. They did repairs on
6	the roof two weeks ago.
7	MS. SCHUMACHER: Repairs or
8	replacement.
9	MS. WECHSLER: Repairs.
10	MS. SCHUMACHER: So it would pass
11	inspection?
12	MR. WECHSLER: Well, it was a
13	contractor I'm sure that the work that
14	was done
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: And where was the
16	money what money was used to pay for
17	that?
18	MR. WECHSLER: I'm not sure.
19	MS. SCHUMACHER: Because at that
20	time we were told it was going to be from
21	one of these monetization deals.
22	MR. WECHSLER: I think we actually
23	passed emergency legislation on that.
24	MR. ROGAN: We did.
25	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.
	II

MR. WECHSLER: And it was for Engine 10, I believe, or Engine 9, for repairs.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia.

Fellow Scrantonians, I'm not going to get into finances with the Sewer Authority, I just want to know that legislation calls for our sewer bills to go up about 25 percent in 10 years. According to 1.9 for ten years compounded, so I figure it is going to go up to somewhere like 25 percent at least.

The thing is did they finally get permission to spread the cost over all of their system, or is Scranton and Dunmore going to face the brunt?

MR. ROGAN: That has been existing state law. That was actually the main premise behind the deal.

MR. SBARAGLIA: I realize that, but I also sense when they come up with the deal they had reservations and we don't know if they did that. Do you know exactly that they have done that?

MR. ROGAN: Who had reservations?

MR. SBARAGLIA: PUC.

MR. ROGAN: The PUC approved the deal.

MR. SBARAGLIA: They approved the deal, but did they approve to spread the costs? They somewhere along they said they were going to look into it later, so that's important so the city can find out -- make that sure that it's definite that the cost is going to be spread over the whole system, not just -- because when are only talking about the sewers.

MR. EVANS: Right.

MR. SBARAGLIA: The sewer only exists in certain locations, not over the whole system, so let's find out about that. You know I never approved of your leasing off the parking garages, I think that stranded debt is something like 30 million, is it \$30 million in stranded debt from the Parking Authority?

MR. EVANS: Yeah, in that ballpark, yes.

MR. SBARAGLIA: How could we keep saying we are not in parking anymore? I

don't believe how the paper can even say that while we are still paying off stranded debt.

Another thing, if you look into all of the accounts, the money we lost with this deal, I told you we lost three or four million dollars, about \$3 million in fines and parking meter revenue over 40 years, that's 120 million. That's gone. We gave it away. Why do we have to rent out the space for Mr. Bacavitch (sic). That doesn't even make sense giving him \$4.1 million to rent space in his garage and then give him a retainer of what is it \$300,000 a year for 40 more years, and then selling him the Globe and the auxiliary parking for \$1 and then giving him 2 1/2 million to repair it?

Don't come before me and say that was a good deal. It was a good deal if you are saying Mr. Burkavitch (sic) got a good deal then I would agree with you, then it was a good deal, but for the people of Scranton it wasn't, and the more people hear about this and understand exactly the liability we took on, we promised to keep --

if some reason, what was it, ADC or something like to default, we are still liable for the lease. That means we would have to maintain the garages again for another 40 years. If they don't want to -if you don't want to buy it back from them. Now, you know all of this. You didn't have to go into something blind. We are not stupid in Scranton. If you realize that most of the people around the country that are in good paying jobs and heading corporations came out of Scranton, because they do have intelligent people in Scranton, just we don't seem to elect them. you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxpayer. On the same subject, I'd like to know that all of the improvements to the sewer system probably could have been made at a cost of about \$200 per customer, \$200 a year, and in view of the fact that we seem to be getting less and less every time we pick up the paper why did we do it, I don't know, which brings us to what's left.

As far as the distressed status, if it bars mayors and other entities from where borrowing we might as well stay there and skip it, because you are not going to get a decent interest rate anyway, the federal government should be lending us money for this, not some bank at 10 percent or 8 percent, it's just a shame. It's their mandate on top of it. So their suggestion is to pay down the debt and spend no more.

As far as capital improvements, let's skip it. You know, that's it. Skip it. We don't need it. Especially if it's going to be on borrowing or on money we don't have or could have spent on something sensible instead of equipment that won't run after a year like pothole patchers or whatever, it just doesn't add up.

Now, I'd like to bring on the trash that came up again, and I don't want to call people names and stuff, I don't even complain about my trash bill because I know what it weighs with a couple of pet kitty cats and, you know, the litter gets heavy, but the point being that if we ever do go to

a per bag it's going to have to be a basic fee and that's to pay for the crew and the trucks to show up, and then after that if somebody wants to consider this a savings, you know, that's the way it is.

I seen an interesting article in the paper the other day and, you know, we have been complaining about tax exempts forever, "White nationalist okayed as a tax exempt charity," and the last paragraph, "Some tax experts said the IRS is still feeling the sting from conservative critics over the 2013 concession that it unfairly gave extra scrutiny to a tea party group seeking tax exemptions. Crossroads, tax exempt."

Now, why are we granting all of tax exempts when we have them loaded up in this town, too. It's time we start to review them and it's time they start to pay their fair share. You could have any opinion you want, go to any church you want, you can go to the church of the devil if you want, but you can't have a political agenda if you want unless you want to pay taxes. It's about time, we are at 35 percent, and if you

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_

25

consider the trouble the school district is in and the trouble you people are in every year you could tax those entities and it would fill the hole in the budget and their would be money left over. So don't call on me, I already paid my bill hopefully, it won't get any bigger.

And somebody showed up about at the last meeting and made a speech about America love it or leave it. Well, you know, that's to me doesn't add up. A lot of people are born here and, you know, unless you an undocumented alien or something and a criminal on top of it, I don't even know how we get rid of the people that are here now because 11 million people it would be impossible and most of the hotel and luxury businesses wouldn't like it anyway, they would have to pay for the change but the point is America love it or leave it, well, if you feel that way why don't you come and try to put somebody out. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. GAUGHAN: Good evening. Mary

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gaughan from Adams Avenue in Scranton.

Happy New Year to everyone. I am still watching the traffic in the City of Scranton and I'm seeing too many motorists not paying any attention to lights, signs, or when you press the button on the light standard people seem to not understand that the numbers mean something. They don't even try to run over you, so I just want to share that I hope that people will consider others when they are driving, but I did see a bumper sticker that I think might be appropriate for people to think about, it said, "LOL equals OMG equals RIP."

My interpretation of that one is texting lot of love to someone and then says Oh, my God, because they are about to hit someone or something, and RIP means no explanation, rest in piece.

I also take note of the fact on Lackawanna Avenue near the Novo Rehab there is a pedestrian crosswalk. There have been improvements to it by placing a sign, but it doesn't seem to register with motorists at all. I would like to suggest that perhaps

there would be a blinking light because motorists don't seem to care. I witnessed almost three people get killed in the last two months and it was because of excessive speeding, because of the texting, because of the people on their phones. California passed legislation if you even pick up your phone when you are in the vehicle you are subject to arrest.

And I also spoke recently with Council President Wechsler about the number of streetlights out in the city. I made a little map and after your meeting I will give it to Lori or Councilman Wechsler, but I would hope that people take note of the fact that it is the winter and they need to slow down and we need a little more light on the city. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anybody else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A

MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, I have a couple of things. First thing is, I was contacted by the Head Start transportation manager over

He is

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the break, Gerard McDonough. requesting a traffic study to be performed on Madison Avenue on the 600 block, and his request revolves around pickup and drop off, some special needs students, and there is no safe place for the bus to pull over. is a driveway that they used to try and drive into, but it's just way too dangerous for the bus to back out onto such a narrow street, especially when it's busy in the morning and the afternoon commute time, so if we can send something out to the police department and the engineer's office to have a traffic study done to see if we can maybe have a no parking ordinance for a set pick up time and a set drop off time. That way the special needs students that need to be escorted onto and off the bus have adequate time and safety as well as the motorists that are traveling in this busy times.

Secondly, I want to send a thank you to the County Commissioners for taking a hard look and rethinking the appraisal appeal process legislation that they were looking at a couple of weeks ago.

very happy to see them put that on hold and hopefully that's a permanent hold. I just believe it wasn't the correct way to attack a problem that existed in the county, so I was happy to see that.

We had a speaker here from West Side from the Keyser Valley area who mentioned he's still having some issues with some drainage pipes, and I know myself and Councilman Wechsler and Councilman Gaughan we had some discussion with him. I want to get him some more formal answers because this -- it's been going on too long. I want to know what the reason we can't get that drainage pipe totally cleared out for him. If it's an equipment problem, if is it, let's get the equipment, let's get it done.

Councilman Wechsler also added that there is two pumps added on Merrifield Avenue. So I will be contacting DPW and I just want to see what these two new pumps, what are they going to accomplish? Was an investigation done? How much water are they going to do? What kind of upgrade is it from what was already there? I just want to

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see if we can get some people there some relief because there is a legitimate problem in that valley that needs to be addressed.

And, lastly, the sewer sale went through. I was -- to say I was surprised would be accurate. We went through this process, like all of the rest of us went through, and when I saw the Scranton take of it was far less than what was anticipated I was -- I was surprised. I was shocked. understand why, the reason why the water company is going to purchase the \$38 million cash that the Sewer Authority had on hand, they didn't have that on hand so obviously the purchase price goes down, the price that we are going to get isn't going to be as. Again, I understand that, I think all of us understand that. What I don't understand is why did it have to take until it became public knowledge for the council, or at least personally for me, to become aware of that?

Would that have changed my vote on the deal? Probably not, but I just feel like that information should have been

24

25

upfront or at least made aware to us when it was made aware to them and I just -- that just didn't set right for me. It's going to change the complex of how we are going to use this money, which is going to be probably 1-A of the things on our agenda for 2017, with 1-B being the storm water management, and to tell you the truth they go hand-in-and. So it just left a bad taste in my mouth that this is how we are going to start off 2017 with this type of announcement. Now, again, I wasn't going to vote for it because I felt that that money was a definite needed infusion for -- to participate in a cooperative pension reform with some state assistance, which is also needed for us to get where we need to be. We also needed that infusion to pay off some high debt that has some millage attached to it and once that millage is paid off -- or once the debt is paid off the millage is going to go down and it's going to bring our taxes down, and I believe that was also necessary.

And capital improvements, which I

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe was touched on, that's probably going to be the one issue which is going to be suffer the most based on the money just not going to go as far as it's going to need to be.

But, again, I wasn't very happy with how that went down. When you're expecting something for so long and the last second it just didn't sit right with me so I'm hoping that we can get into this. I would expect to have a work session very soon based on the money and how we are going to spend it. I would guess, and I don't want to talk out of school, but that would be probably the best way for the administration and the council to sit down and talk and get our I know the administration has been ideas. running some models on where they feel the best usage is but, I know, you know, they are going to bring us into it and discuss it.

So with that being said, I'm looking forward to tackling the storm water management once and for all, which is definitely here, it's definitely here like

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we knew it was coming, and tackling everything else that we have. That's all I have right now.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, a number of items. First, I'd like to wish everyone a Happy New Year. It's nice to be back here another Some news that happened over the course of our two weeks, first a bit of good First, I'd like to congratulate news. Charlie Jefferson, who has been an excellent developer in the downtown for his purchase of the Samter's building. I'm confident he will do an excellent job with this project. His projects have all be grade A and they have been so successful there have been waiting lists for people to get into his properties, so I'm sure he will do an excellent job with that building and I'm looking forward to see what he does.

Secondly, over -- we have had a stack of invoices here, not invoices, memos from Ten Efficiency Network regarding the upgrades to the city streetlights. Most of

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these streets that have been upgraded so far are in South Side, and I know I have been contacted by a number of residents, some were happy, others were not, but particularly there are a few addresses that I will provide after the meeting, Lori. Because of the new LED's, it is a different type fixture, and this is something that I mentioned in the way of the caucus, that one of my concerns was that the spread of the light wouldn't be as wide as with the former liahts. We were assured that the neighborhoods would at least as bright, but I did get complaints from a few residents in South Side and in particular addresses, so I don't know if it's something as simple as changing how they're calibrated r if it's an additional light need to be installed in some of these blocks, but like I said, the reviews, at least what I have heard have been mixed regarding the new lighting that was put in.

Third, Councilman Perry already touched on this, the County Commissioners luckily and thankfully reversed their

17

13

14

15

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision on increasing the fees to appeal your tax assessment as well as publishing those names in an online database. I'm alad that they changed their mind on this, and I think it just further highlights the need for a true countywide reassessment which hasn't been done in over 40 years, and the assessments are all over the maps and that's why there are so many appeals, so I'm hopeful that that's something the commissioners will look to do moving forward in this year.

Briefly on the Sewer Authority, Mr. Perry pretty much -- this is the disadvantage of sometimes speaking after somebody you agree with quite a bit.

MR. PERRY: Well, you did talk about I was going to speak on that, too, so you got that one in.

MR. ROGAN: Again, I have the same thoughts, none of us knew that the cash reserves in the Sewer Authority were drawn down as significantly as they were. question, I know there will be number of items being asked, one thing I would like to

look into is regarding that drawdown of the cash, what was the amount of the overtime that was used during the time period from when the sale was announced until the sale was finalized with employees comparable to the previous year, and also I'd like to see invoices comparing year-over- year expenditures during that time. Obviously, that's something that should have been projected out for this money to be drawn down. You know, the sale was announced probably about a year ago at this point. Obviously, if the Sewer Authority was running a deficit those cash reserves would be drawn down.

Next, as I mentioned, since it is a new year there are a number of items that -- six of them actually that I would like to outline that are personal goals and items that I think need to go to the top of the agenda for the course of 2017, and these would be my top six items I think we need to work on.

First would be shoring up the pension funds, adding transparency to the

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

pension process to avoid issues like that double pension scandal that really rocked the city a couple of years ago. I'll have much more to say on this in the coming weeks. I have been working on quite a number of items as far as some changes to law as well as some other items outside of that that I will elaborate on as weeks continue.

The second item is to continue to invest in infrastructure and road paving, our police and fire departments, and storm water improvements throughout the city. I think we have, especially on the road paving part, we have come a long way but the roads in this city have been in disrepair for as long as -- I think as long I've been alive. A lot of work has been done, but there is many, more roads to be paved.

Third would be, and this was brought up a little bit tonight, is make the garbage fee more fair. Since the first day on sat on this seat I always felt the current garbage fee system in the City of Scranton is entirely unfair, that a senior citizen

with one bag of garbage pays the exact same fee as a family of 12 with 15 bags of garbage. There needs to be either a hybrid system based on use. Actually, the way the sewer bills are billed is pretty much a good model. They do have a base fee that everyone pays, and then the rest is based on your usage. So we need to do something to make that fee more fair because it's certainly not fair to the senior citizens and those who live alone in the city.

The fourth would be to reduce and refinance high interest debt. As Mr. Perry mentioned, this was one of my top priorities regarding the use of the pension with the use of the Sewer Authority funds. I have been saying for years there is a number of borrowing items that this city initiated over the last ten years that have dedicated taxes assigned to them, so as soon as those debts are paid off those taxes go away. So by paying off \$10million in debt that has dedicated millage you will see a tax reduction in your real estate taxes.

Next would be regarding -- again,

regarding the budget, a 2018 budget that reduces taxes for seniors and working Scrantonians for the first time in ages. We were able to hold the line on real estate taxes this year, and I know Councilman Evans, I won't steal your thunder, has some more good news regarding some of the taxes shortly, but again, we need to work to grow the city. That's the only way we are going to continue the progress that we have made.

And, finally, and most importantly, after two plus decades of Scranton being in Act 47 distressed status, I truly believe that within the next year Scranton can finally leave Act 47 distressed status and begin to grow and become the city that we once were.

I will have some comments on some agenda items, but that is all for now.

Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. Like all of us, I was certainly more than disappointed to find that we received \$12 million or less

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A core belief of mine is that in

than anticipated in the SSA transaction so I will call on the administration and consultants, anyone else that would be privy to more information, to give us a comprehensive accounting of where that money was spent between the time of the agreement and the time of the sale.

With that I said, if someone told me at the beginning of the process that we could have expected approximately \$84 million I would have still signed onto this deal. This transaction still brings with it lower increases in sewer rates guaranteed for the next ten years. This transaction will allow for the elimination and reduction of high interest debt, a top priority for This transaction provides relief all of us. to the severely distressed pensions, but only have additional reforms and guarantees are put in place. This transaction should also allow for startup costs for storm water management and a strategy that's long overdue, and possibly some money for capital improvements.

most cases the private sector will always outperform the public sector. I believe and I'm confident that over time it will become even more obvious because of this transaction, but as of today, you know, it's interesting to hear a lot of comments about this deal, but I have not heard one comment that offered a real solution or an alternative to this deal and bankruptcy and receivership is not an option, at least not in my book.

This transaction was a key part of the recovery plan and when I joined city council I said I would support the recovery plan and I have voted nearly -- not nearly, I are voted 100 percent for the plan. When I have hard choices, I chose the plan, sometimes in disagreement with the mayor and sometimes even with my colleagues.

But one final comment, this

transaction is not the end of the story. In

order for this city government to be

successful beyond this point, we must begin

to fully reinvent the way it governs. There

must be a full commitment to efficiency of

services, restructuring of departments, entrepreneurial leadership, and dramatic and innovative change. It can be viewed as that simple and as that difficult, but we must move forward with a new vision, a post-recovery vision. That's all I have for now. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. I with like to welcome everyone back. I hope everyone had a Merry Christmas and a healthy and Happy New Year. I am pleased to get news from the residents on Phelps Street that a new light was installed on their block and they are very happy with it, so I'd like to thank everybody who was involved in getting that up and running.

I would like to send a second request to our DPW and our city forester about dangerous trees that are located on Wintermantle Avenue on East Mountain.

Residents are concerned that someone is going to get hurt and some of trees are dead and need to be removed.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I did get very disappointing news from James May and PennDOT. I had been asking for an update on the Rockwell Avenue bridge project and the date for completion now has been moved back to July 1, so tentative completion date for the Rockwell Avenue bridge will be July 1. It could be sooner, but that's the date that they are This possess, obviously, going with. numerous problems, one of which is, and I have talked with the administration about this numerous times, very dangerous conditions that are occurring on Mary Street in North Scranton. A lot of the people from that area of the city use Mary Street to get out onto West Market Street. The traffic is very hazardous and someone is going to get seriously injured, especially pedestrians from the housing project there that use that road to walk to get out onto West Market Street. So I would request that we send something to the Chief of Police and our city engineer making them again aware of this problem and trying to come up with some sort of solution because, again, I am very

concerned that someone is going to get hurt on that street.

Just an update on council's move to paperless meeting, which was initiated last year, we should be running this program by the end of the month. All of the trainings have been completed, and we have the equipment in hand, we are just waiting to --we are going to be trained now, the council, and then we should have everything up and running by the end of the month so that is good news.

Regarding the sale of the Scranton
Sewer Authority, again, I mentioned this in
the newspaper, and like my colleagues I was,
too, very shocked that we are going to
receiving \$30 million less from the sale of
the sewer authority than we had originally
anticipated. Mayor Courtright and his
administration have been touting to the
public and to this council that the city
would receive roughly \$96 million from the
sale for the better part of the last year.
To find out through the newspaper after the
closing that we would be receiving \$30

million less is unbelievable. I am deeply concerned that this council was not presented this information before we voted to dissolve the Scranton Sewer Authority. How are you supposed to make a major decision without these crucial pieces of information? And if you remember it's why I asked for that piece of legislation to be The Sewer Authority and the city had come out in the newspaper and said that the closing had been delayed. Obviously, something was going on. We should have tabled it and postponed it and found out what exactly the issues were. Obviously, we waited until after the sale had closed to find out that we were \$30 million short.

My colleagues voted on dissolving the Authority with wildly inaccurate information, and I find it amazing that now all of a sudden people are concerned. Where was everyone three weeks ago when I was bringing up these issues? We should have been aware of what was going on and we were left in the dark by the mayor and his administration.

Now, I would like to know when Mayor Courtright knew the city's share of the proceeds would be \$30 million less? Did the mayor intentionally withhold these critical pieces of information from this council, and if so, at what point did he decide that this would be a good idea. I find it very hard to believe that the mayor would have found out we would be short 30 million the day of the closing. I find that very, very hard to believe.

Mayor Courtright has mislead this council, mislead the taxpayers, and mislead the ratepayers of Scranton. The mayor issued a press release after the closing last week and then wouldn't answer anybody's questions about the sale, which again, to me begs the question who is actually running this city? Is it the mayor or is it the lineup of attorneys and advisors that we have who may stand to make a small fortune off of these deals?

Now, council received information yesterday from the administration regarding the cost of this deal, but they are no

different from what I can tell than what we read in the paper and what was reported to the paper. So I would like to know the following information by next week:

Number one, I would like to know how much was expended on legal and consultant fees in connection with this transaction, and I would like a detailed breakdown of that. I would like to know if any of the legal fees and consulting fees came out of the \$12 million shortfall in the Scranton Sewer Authority cash reserves?

I would like a break down of how the \$12 million shortfall and Scranton Sewer Authority's cash reserves was spent over the last year. If it was spent on capital projects, what were they. So, again, a detailed breakdown on that by next week.

Also, Councilman Wechsler informed us that information about the deal came from HJ Strategies, which is the City's financial advisor, so I would like to know who was paying HJ Strategies and Mr. Amoroso. I would like a detailed breakdown of payments made to him over the last three years and

any contract that he is under. I have asked for this before and have not received the information, and the reason that I asked is because if Mr. Amoroso and HJ Strategies are acting in an official capacity as the financial advisor, we should know whose paying them.

Ladies and gentlemen, the bottom
line in all of this, in my opinion, is that
the mayor has sold you, the taxpayers and
ratepayers of this city, down the toilet
with this deal. Mayor Courtright has sold
off our last asset for \$30 million less than
that he had been touting all year, and it
took the newspaper to let this council know
in the 11th which, quite frankly, is
disgraceful.

How is this a good deal, if someone could explain that to me? We now have no asset. We have no clue about storm water management, which is potentially going to cost the city millions of dollars which, by the way, will probably further devalue the sale of the authority, and also keep in mind when we talk about how long the storm water

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

management analysis is going to take, probably over a year. And why was this delayed, if you go back to last year because the mayor decided to tack on raises for key employees in the Act 47 grant so that knocked off about two or three weeks from when the analysis was supposed to be sent out. Bottom line is Mayor Courtright has left the taxpayers and ratepayers holding the bag once again. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan. In our conversations with the administration about how this deal went down, there are a couple of things that have to be remembered, the Scranton Sewer Authority actually initiated the sale, not the City of Scranton. The Sewer Authority went out and sought offers for a lease agreement for a management team to come in and run the Sewer Authority so a lot of the assessments and/or estimates that were made came in prior to the City of Scranton even being involved in this, and when I say the City of Scranton I think we have to be very careful because a lot of this is the Sewer

Authority, not the City of Scranton.

Some of assumptions that were made, once again, were based on the lease, a lease agreement that had failed to the past, and that is when it was decided that the sale would be better for the city. One of the issues that was looked at is in order to do all of the repairs and upkeep if it was under the lease agreement the average increase for ratepayers each year would be 4.5 percent over a 40-year period. So that's -- right now we are at 1.5 percent.

From the way I understand it is some of assumptions under the lease paid to recognize the cost of the easement issue.

Of the things that I'm most disappointed in, which is going take up probably about \$12 million of the sale is how the easements were handled. The easement issue came up really last minute. I don't think it was announced to the public properly and I don't think it was handled properly and that's why we have to sign this \$12 million escrow account for the easements and I think that's a major problem with this.

The dollar value, as reported all along to me, was never really nailed down. We never really saw where the true value of the \$96 million was coming from, and as Mr. Evans stated, the initial estimate that came through we would have been happy with what we received, and that doesn't make it right, but we are kind of doing Monday morning quarterbacking here.

The original lease agreement, as was reported, that would have only been about \$30 million so a difference between \$30 million and where we ended up is also quite significant.

I share my colleagues' concerns on these questions concerning what happened to the \$38 million. The problem that I'm foreseeing in that, getting to that answer, is that's going to require us to get the information from the Sewer Authority. It's not a city issue. When I met with the mayor on Friday morning I wanted to make sure that there wasn't any bonuses paid out or anything extra paid off out that was out of the ordinary. The way I understand it is

that the \$38 million in cash that was there some of that money went towards continuing the repairs as part of the federal mandate that we make them, so I'm sharing the same concerns as my colleagues in finding out these answers. I think we are going to have be very aggressive in finding them out because I don't think they are going to come from the administration. I think the information may be have to be requested through the administration, but I think the information actually lies with the Sewer Authority, and when I say Sewer Authority, I don't even know exactly where we are at with that because we terminated it.

I would have liked to see this information prior to the vote last year. It wasn't available to us, and only for the reason being is I would have liked these comments by the public to be made prior -- and by my colleagues to be made prior to the final vote on the sale. As Councilman Perry said, we probably still would have voted for it, it is our only alternative for a huge influx of cash into our system, and as was

talked, it's not a windfall. It's not a windfall. It's something that we already owned. My vote probably would have been -- I voted "no" against it because I didn't see this, but I was in favor of the sale itself, but I would have liked to have public comment and colleague comment prior to the final sale.

And we will be -- we are sending a list of questions that are presented tonight, and we will put them together and get those to the administration.

MR. EVANS: President Wechsler, if I could, I don't like to interrupt you during your motions, but if I could make one point of clarification, the \$30 million that we are talking about, the \$18 million of that is being put into an escrow account and we had conversations about escrow, money being escrowed, I think that's money that we all expected and we all knew was going to happen. Would we expected it to be at that level? Probably not, but that's how negotiations work, so I just want to clarify that the \$30 million dollars 18 is in

escrow, hopefully, more than hopefully, most of that money will come back to us.

MR. WECHSLER: Right, and part of the reason why we wanted to put the money in escrow for the easements was we didn't want to be controlled by a third party who of would just willy-nilly give up that money. We want to be able to control those easement payments that they are acceptable to both the property owners and also to the city.

One issue that council has been talking about for the last year or so is the frustrating situation that Mr. Young is dealing with. Over the holiday weekend, over the holidays, the hole at Mr. Young's property opened up even more and Mr. Young graciously allowed the city DPW to go on his property and put plywood over the top of the hole, and also the city was gracious enough to go and do that because the litigation involving the final repair between Mr. Young and the city is still ongoing, so we had a truce long enough to give repairs -- not repairs, but to safeguard that hole on Mr. Young's property.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm not sure how many people are able to do it this year, but the holiday light show at the Nay Aug Park I think it My wife and I went up was a great success. there and froze one night. We picked the coldest night so we were chickens, we just made it about an hour and then we left, but from speaking to Brian Fallon, who headed up that and the Recreation Authority I wanted to congratulate them on their efforts for another great year up there. There was a significant amount of contributions that Mr. Fallon is working on getting came in. the information on the total that was received, and the thing to remember with those light show donations is that they go back into the Recreation Authority and they are used for upkeep at Nay Aug and they are also used for improvements to the light show, and I know people have traveled far and wide it see the show and we had another great year with that.

Echoing Mr. Rogan's comments about the lighting, from the comments that I have seen there is a lot of happiness and

contentment with what's happening down there. As Pat said, as we working with this we did ask the company to make sure that we could control those lights and make improvements as required. They are proceeding with the controlling system that I think works from one central area, so the way it was explained to us is we won't have to change those lights for 30 years, that's what they tell us, we will see what happens.

But overall, between the paving and the improvements to the lights these are real improvements to the neighborhoods. We hear a lot of talk that the administration and council do not do anything for the neighborhoods, but I would cite the paving program this year and also the new lighting in the neighborhoods that has a direct improvements to the neighborhoods, so I'm very happy with that. That's all I have tonight.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can I make one additional comment? Just on the Young property, I have been sick for the past week so I wasn't able to have a conversation with

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Youngs, but I'm glad to hear that the DPW was able to get out there and put plywood over the hole. Again, I am concerned that the hole continues to get bigger and this, quite frankly, is so embarrassing for this city and this administration that this problem should have been taken care of this past summer. We had the money in place. We deemed it was an emergency and now the Youngs are relegated to suing the city, getting an attorney, and they have to have the DPW actually come out on their property and put plywood over the So shame on this administration for allowing it to get to this point and God help them if anybody gets hurt on this property or if this hole should get so big that it causes damage to their home. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, I would like to see something done permanently up there, also, but, unfortunately, it's in the Courts now, and really unless we have a situation where we are able to get onto the property there is nothing that we can do right now.

So hopefully between Mr. Young's attorney and the City's attorney we can come to some type of arrangement that we can start getting this done before something further happens.

And I'm glad you stopped me because I forgot two things. This is a very interesting announcement that we received tonight about Electric City TV. Effective January 2017, Scranton City Council meetings and other select city programs will be available on Electric City Television's YouTube page. Also in 2017, we are working on having a daily programming available for live internet streaming. Stay tuned for new features, so very happy to hear that as well, more transparency for people to be able to access information about the city council meetings. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS
AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE
PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY
SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON;

PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF
EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX;
CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON
CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES",
BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE REALTY TRANSFER
TAX AT TWO AND NINE TENTHS PERCENT (2.9%)
FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL
REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY
THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)
MR. EVANS: I would to make a motion
to amend Item 5-B by imposing the rate of
the realty transfer tax at two and four
tenths percent.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
those in favor signify by saying aye.
MR. PERRY: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. EVANS: Aye.
MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
ayes have it and so moved.
At this time, I'll entertain a
motion that Item 5-B, as amended, be
introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 3 A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -12 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 13 14 NO. 7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX 15 OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND ANNUALLY 16 17 THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN CERTAIN 18 OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND 19 FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; 20 21 CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF 22 SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY 23 24 IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT ONE 25 (1) MILL (.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND

1	THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND
2	EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY
3	CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
4	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
6	introduced into its proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. EVANS: Second.
9	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. PERRY: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
19	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
20	NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS
21	AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE
22	BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2)
23	MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR
24	CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON
25	AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM;

REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE 1 TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH 2 3 BUSINESS: PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND 4 COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS; 5 6 CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX 7 COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY 8 IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE 9 RATE OF ONE (1) MILL (.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL 10 FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. 11 12 (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 13 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced into its proper committee. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 17 MR. EVANS: Second. 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 19 those in favor of introduction signify by 20 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 21 MR. ROGAN: 22 Aye. 23 MR. EVANS: Aye. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The

2

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. FOR WORK DONE. SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF

1	SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME
2	SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT
3	ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE
4	ATTACHED)
5	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
6	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
7	introduced into its proper committee.
8	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
9	MR. EVANS: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
11	those in favor of introduction signify by
12	saying aye.
13	MR. PERRY: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
18	ayes have it and so moved.
19	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
20	AN ORDINANCE – AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
21	NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS
22	AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES
23	FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE
24	MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL
25	REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY

1 THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE 2 ATTACHED). 3 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 4 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 5 introduced into its proper committee. 6 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 7 MR. EVANS: Second. 8 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All 9 those in favor of introduction signify by 10 saying aye. 11 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 12 MR. EVANS: Aye. 13 14 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 15 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 16 ayes have it and so moved. 17 MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -18 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 19 NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS 20 AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF 21 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE 22 OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE 23 24 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY 25 THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF

1	REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND
2	COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR
3	2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE
4	AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY
5	CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)
6	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. EVANS: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. PERRY: Aye.
14 15	MR. PERRY: Aye.  MR. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
15 16	MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
15 16 17	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?
15 16 17 18	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?  MR. ROGAN: No.
15 16 17 18 19	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?  MR. ROGAN: No.  MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and
15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?  MR. ROGAN: No.  MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?  MR. ROGAN: No.  MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.  MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. EVANS: Aye.  MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.  MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?  MR. ROGAN: No.  MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.  MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -  AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL

1 01.040.00040.4201 (DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION - BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION 2 3 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) TO ACCOUNT NO. 4 01.020.00000.4201 (OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK/CITY COUNCIL- PROFESSIONAL SERVICES) 5 TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE 6 PROFESSIONAL SERVICES ACCOUNT THROUGH THE 7 8 2016 BUDGET PERIOD. 9 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 10 11 introduced into its proper committee. 12 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 13 MR. EVANS: Second. 14 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? This represents the portion of the annual 15 16 audit that city council is responsible for 17 paying for. Our budget item did not include 18 enough money to cover our share so it's a transfer into our account to cover the 19 20 audit. 21 All those in favor of introduction 22 signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 23 24 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 25

	82
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
3	ayes have it and so moved.
4	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
5	suspend the Rules to move Items 5-B, 5-C,
6	5-D, 5-E, 5-F and 5-G into Sixth and Seventh
7	Orders to be considered for final passage
8	based on the attached emergency certificate.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A -
25	FORMERLY 5-B - READING BY TITLE - FILE OF
	II

A11

THE COUNCIL NO. 74-2017 - AS AMENDED - AN 1 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 2 3 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES 4 ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE 5 WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND 6 REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE 7 8 PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND 9 IMPOSING DUTIES UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE 10 OF THE REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND NINE 11 12 TENTHS PERCENT (2.9%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND 13 14 EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED) 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 17 by title of Item 6-A, as amended, what is 18 your pleasure? 19 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A, as 20 amended, pass reading by title. 21 MR. EVANS: Second. 22 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 23 those in favor signify by saying aye. 24 MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

25

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 6-B - FORMERLY 5-C -5 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6 75-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 7 8 THE COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN 9 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF 10 REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF 11 12 SCRANTON: PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH 13 14 TAX: CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING 15 PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE 16 17 REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND NINE TENTHS 18 PERCENT (2.9%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND 19 EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY 20 21 CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 22 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 23 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 25 pass reading by title.

1 MR. EVANS: Second. 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. EVANS: Aye. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-C - FORMERLY 5-D -10 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11 12 76-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 13 14 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE 15 16 RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF 17 OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY 18 OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS 19 RECEIPTS THEREFROM; REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE 20 21 CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR 22 THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; 23 PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS 24 AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES 25 UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING

1	PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS
2	PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF ONE (1) MILL
3	(.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME
4	SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT
5	ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE
6	ATTACHED).
7	MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading
8	by title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
10	pass reading by title.
11	MR. EVANS: Second.
12	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. PERRY: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: 6-D - FORMERLY 5-E -
21	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
22	77-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
23	THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
24	ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A
25	TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_\_

25

AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-D, what is your pleasure?

1 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-D 2 pass reading by title. 3 MR. EVANS: Second. 4 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 5 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-E - FORMERLY 5-F -12 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 13 14 78-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 15 16 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND 17 SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND 18 THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND 19 EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY 20 21 CERTIFICATE ATTACHED). 22 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 23 by title of Item 6-E, what is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-E 25 pass reading by title.

1 MR. EVANS: Second. 2 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 3 those in favor signify by saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. EVANS: Aye. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-F - FORMERLY F-G -10 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11 12 79-2017 - FOR INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE -13 AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 14 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY 15 OF SCRANTON TO ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND 16 17 COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING 18 REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF 19 SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY 20 21 IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE 22 OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT 23 24 ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE

ATTACHED.

25

MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 1 by title of Item 6-F, what is your pleasure? 2 3 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-F pass reading by title. 4 MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 6 A11 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. PERRY: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 12 MR. ROGAN: No. 13 MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and 14 so moved. If anyone would like to address 15 16 council on the emergency legislation you may 17 do so at this time. 18 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A -FORMERLY 6-A - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 19 COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE 20 21 OF THE COUNCIL NO. 74-2017 - AS AMENDED - AN 22 ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 23 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) 24 IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES 25 ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE

WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND NINE TENTHS PERCENT (2.9%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED.

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, thank you. This begins the process of undoing some of the severe damage that might have been caused over the last few years and beyond. We cannot continue to create disincentives for people to invest, live in our city and own property in our city.

Increasing taxes is not always the solution to solvency. Promoting and creating opportunities for growth, will create an environment for new jobs, home ownership and small businesses. The real estate transfer tax was increased several years ago, in my opinion, to capture several large commercial transactions that were on the radar to city officials. While that was accomplished, the fallout included hurting the very people they should be helping the most. Young families that want to purchase their first home and make a commitment to Scranton.

They are now faced with some of the highest closing costs in the state.

I firmly believe that because of the zero percent property tax increase this year, and several real estate indicators that have shown that over the last 12 months listings are down and pending sales and closed sales as well as medium sales prices are slightly up. Those dynamics would allow for change soon to a seller's market from a buyer's market which would create an opportunity for a more robust real estate

market in 2017.

Additionally, with the recent uptick in interest rates this may also drive some more buyers off the sidelines into more aggressively pursuing buying a new home now rather than later. This reduction in the real estate transfer tax is another way of us saying we are finally looking at things differently. That we believe that if we change conditions in a positive way, that we can not only reduce this tax but we can meet and outperform the current budget revenue expectations because of that.

Historically, as indicated, that the real estate transfer tax has consistently outperformed the budget amount over the last three years, and this was during a very onerous time of tax increases. In fact, the actual revenue for real estate tax for 2016 was approximately 166 percent higher than the budgeted amount. While the BA's budgeted estimates may have been rightfully conservative, this is an indication that we may have been simply charging too much for the transfer tax.

We may never know what the true impact was on the real estate market because of this extreme low high tax, but because of this action tonight we can accelerate the positive but still small trend we are seeing while increasing revenues even more.

Finally, after consulting with
Business Administrator Dave Bulzoni, we are
both confident that we can achieve our
revenue goal of further jump starting the
real estate market and still maintaining the
integrity of the budget. That's all I have
on that.

MR. ROGAN: I would just like to thank Councilman Evans for offering this amendment, and Councilman Evans and myself have spoken on this real estate transfer tax issue since before Mr. Evans sat on the board trying to come up with a solution.

MR. EVANS: I was on that side of the dais talking about this.

MR. ROGAN: That's right, but I'm very, very excited to see this reduction and for a new homeowner it's really money in their pocket at closing. It's less money to

17

15

16

19

18

20

2122

23

24

25

selling a property it's the same, there is more revenue that they will realize. Many items that we have the last few years. done, although they have been small, they have been geared at getting more people to move into the City of Scranton, and I have said it for years we can't tax our way out of the problem we have to grow our way. have to continue to work to attract young families into the City of Scranton and also do items for growth so we can reduce taxes moving forward on those that are already here, particularly the senior citizens who are earning most of burden by the property taxes in the city.

buy and purchase a home, and for somebody

But once again, I am in full support of this. I'm thrilled that Business

Administrator Bulzoni was very agreeable to this proposition and I hope this is the first of many tax cuts that will be coming in the next few years.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question, again, I want to thank Councilman Evans for spearheading this, I was very excited to see

22

23

24

25

this come to fruition and to work this out with Mr. Bulzoni to make sure that this was financially feasible because this is, just like we said last year, there is no one fix for the city, there is no one magic bullet, it's going to take a series of little changes to start that ball rolling in the right direction, and you couple this with our First Time Homebuyers Program and the tax abatement that we have for new construction, this really does show that the council is putting its value in growth in the city and not tax in the city. lot easier to pay our bills when there is more of us than less of us and I think that we all realize that, and I think that the five of us have really put in the legwork to make sure that there is avenues for people to come in. And again, with the recent interest rates that the city is getting financially, and the financial world really has noticed it as well.

So, again, hats off to Councilman Evans, and I really I wish this was around when I bought my house, I'll tell you that.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 0

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. EVANS: That's a good thing.

Buy as many as you want. One last comment, what's important about the reduction is the current total real estate transfer tax for a homebuyer or somebody buying a property is 4.4 percent, one of highest in the state, if not the highest. This brings us down below the 4 percent threshold for the total transfer which is very, very important. It's psychological in many ways, but I think it's going to get a lot of people to give us a second look. We are basically saying we want you to move back into the city, we want you to buy property in the city and we think that can cure a lot of ills and expand the So thank you to my colleagues for tax base. supporting this initiative. I think it's very important for the city as we move forward.

MR. WECHSLER: I would just like to add, as I mentioned previously, I think this is another step that we have taken as a counsel to be proactive, and also I see this as another improvement to our neighborhoods in the city. We wish that the sales value

of the homes was higher, but they are growing, as Councilman Evans said, and we are seeing some significant, as I read in the paper in the morning, we are seeing more and more sales in the city, which I'm very excited about. We can also point to the fact that, you know, the Samter's building was purchased and I do think it's a representation of what we have done in the past few years to improve the overall financial climate of the city.

MR. ROGAN: And one final note, anyone who is watching this who is considering purchasing for the first time we did do the First Time Homebuyers Program that is administered through Neighbor Works NEPA, so if you are considering looking in the city for the first time, definitely reach out to them, again, that was another collaborative effort on the council and the administration's part.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

1 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 3 MR. EVANS: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 8 declare Item 7-A, as amended, legally and 9 lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-B - FORMERLY 6-B -F0R 10 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -11 12 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 13 75-2017 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE 14 COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL 15 REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL 16 17 PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON: PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH 19 TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES 20 21 UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING 22 PENALTIES". BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE 23 REALTY TRANSFER TAX AT TWO AND NINE TENTHS 24 PERCENT (2.9%) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND

THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND

25

EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, 7-B as well as 7-C I'm hopeful and I'm confident that 2017 may be the last year these taxes that have stymied growth and hurt small business in the professional community throughout our city. Our goal is to replace these oppressive and unfair taxes with a payroll tax that would be broader and more fair across the board, so hopefully that will happen as we enter into 2018 as part of the 2018 budget, so I'm hoping that this is the last time I'll ever have to vote for a mercantile or a business privilege tax.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

1 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 10 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 11 adopted. MS. REED: 7-C - FORMERLY 6-C - FOR 12 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -13 14 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 76-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 15 16 THE COUNCIL NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 17 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE 18 RATE OF TWO (2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF 19 20 OPERATING OR CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY 21 OF SCRANTON AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS 22 RECEIPTS THEREFROM: REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX AS CONDITION TO THE 23 24 CONDUCTING OF SUCH BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR 25 THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX;

	102
1	PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS
2	AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES
3	UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING
4	PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS
5	PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF ONE (1) MILL
6	(.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME
7	SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT
8	ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE
9	ATTACHED).
10	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Finance?
13	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-C.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

ı

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-D - FORMERLY 6-D - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 77-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE: PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY RE-ENACTING THE

IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? I only have one comment on the question, for me when we are able to reduce this tax is one we will know that we finally have made significant progress.

MR. EVANS: I totally agree.

MR. WECHSLER: And this, as last year we referred to the mercantile tax as

the most despised tax, this one would be in second place. When the mercantile is gone, this will become the most despised tax, and our goal as leaders of this city should be to reduce this tax at some point in time.

MR. ROGAN: I completely agree. The two biggest obstacles that I see are working people are crippled in Scranton by the wage tax and senior citizens are hurt by the property tax so we need to come up with a solution to get both of those down, and with the wage as we just did with the realty transfer tax, the first reduction is likely to be a very modest reduction, but we need to get things moving in the right direction and keep more money in the people's pockets.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

	100
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
2	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
3	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully
4	adopted.
5	MS. REED: 7-E - FORMERLY 6-E - FOR
6	CONSIDERATION FOR THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
7	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
8	78-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
9	THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN
10	ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND
11	SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY
12	SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2017 AND
13	THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND
14	EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY
15	CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).
16	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
18	Committee on Finance?
19	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-E.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
24	Roll call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

1 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 10 declare Item 7-E legally and lawfully 11 adopted. 12 MS. REED: 7-F - FORMERLY 6-F - FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -13 14 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 79-2017 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 15 THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN 16 17 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 18 ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' 19 FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER 20 21 THE WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE 22 23 DISPOSAL OF REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE 24 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR 25 CALENDAR YEAR 2017 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN

IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY
THEREAFTER. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE
ATTACHED).

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-F.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Just to explain the two "no" votes I waited to discuss it in Seventh Order, I have long stated that the garbage fee is completely unfair. I understand that it cannot be changed for 2017, but it needs to be a top priority throughout the course of this year to have a new format in place for January 1, 2018, whether it be a per bag fee or a hybrid fee. I have said for eight years now that a flat fee for garbage is just inherently unfair, that a senior citizen would pay the same for one bag as a family would pay for multiple bags.

MR. EVANS: On the question, yeah,

Ι

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this is another one that I hope that I never have to vote for again after tonight because we really have to get a handle on the cost and what the best method is for disposal. do think it's inherently unfair to senior citizens and small young family, but as a reminder I would like to remind everyone that city council did take the innovative step to offer a 10 percent discount to all property owners who pay the full amount through the May time frame, so this will give the city an increase in cash flow during that time frame, and it will also increase the collections and give property owners a much needed break.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, echoing what Mr. Evans said, it's a small step for us, but you can give yourself a 10 percent discount, and I think also this year we are going to be able to pay these using credit We're working towards that, also, cards. which will make it easier to pay easier Just to take advantage of the online. discount, I think would be a wise idea if Roll call, please? you can.

_		
		110
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.	
2	MR. PERRY: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
4	MR. ROGAN: No.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
11	declare Item 7-F legally and lawfully	
12	adopted.	
13	If there is no further business,	
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
15	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
16	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER