F		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, April 14, 2016	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	2 2 3, 303	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR 

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 13 14 MR. EVANS: I would like to make a motion to take from the table File of 15 16 Council No. 6, 2016. MR. ROGAN: Second. 17 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 19 This legislation pertains to tax exemptions 20 for residential development in the City. 21 This ordinance will be placed in Sixth 22 Anyone who wishes to speak on this Order. 23 particular piece of legislation may do so 24 during Fourth Order, citizens' 25 participation. All those in favor signify

	4
1	by saying aye.
2	MR. PERRY: Aye.
3	MR. EVANS: Aye.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
7	ayes have it and so moved.
8	Dispense with the reading of the
9	minutes.
10	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
11	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE
12	LACKAWANNA COUNTY LAND BANK BOARD HELD MARCH
13	11, 2016.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
15	comments? If not, received and filed.
16	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
17	REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF SCRANTON
18	HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD FEBRUARY 1, 2016 AND
19	MARCH 7, 2016.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
21	comments? If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-C. BREAKDOWN OF
23	ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS
24	ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF JANUARY, FEBRUARY
25	AND MARCH 2016.

1 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 2 comments? If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S 3 4 REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD MAY 11, 2016. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 6 7 comments? If not, received and filed. 8 Do any council members have any 9 announcements at this time? 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. 11 Ladies Auxiliary No. 314 of the Fraternal 12 Order of Eagles located at 493 Meridian 13 Avenue in Scranton will sponsor a basket 14 bingo to be held Sunday, April 17, at 1 p.m. Doors will open at 12:30 p.m. and the 15 16 proceeds will benefit various Ladies 17 Auxiliary 314 charities. Thank you. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Prior to Council's 19 next meeting on Thursday, April 21, there 20 will be a public hearing at 5:45 regarding 21 the tax exemption ordinances in Sixth Order 22 on tonight's agenda. MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 23 24 PARTICIPATION. MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz. 25

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, resident and taxpayer. With regard to the 2014 audit, I'm still looking for several answers and if you wish to please answer only in motions. On March 21, 24 days ago, I sent a list of questions to the Business Administrator's Office in accordance with the guidance in the audit report itself. I have no answers to any of my questions.

On March 16, 29 days ago, I requested appointments with the mayor and Mr. Bulzoni to discuss the audit. I haven't even had the courtesy of a return phone call.

Why hasn't the audit been posted on the City's website? I checked at 4:53 p.m. and it's not on the. If you could ask for that, he has had it for 30 days. I'm not happy about that. I'm wondering if SB & Company has scheduled an exit briefing for city council or any other city officials? If so, when, and will it be open to the public. And, finally, when will city council publish a summary of fiscal affairs for fiscal year 2014 in the local newspaper

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as required by the Home Rule Charter?

Now, next issue, the third party administrator or the municipal pension On March 18, 27 days ago, the mayor plans. issued a press statement on the settlement financing of the police and fire judgments and I quote, "From this point onward, presumably March 18, the City's pension funds will be managed by a nationally recognized third party administrator who will ensure that pension funds are professionally managed, that all regular and disability pensions are only paid according to the strict application of our laws, and that the pension system operates at the highest standards expected by our retirees and taxpayers."

Now, this administration should not be confused with the single specialists physician who determined disability pensions, they are two separate people.

Yesterday I attended all four pension board meetings. At the first one and at the composite board meeting I asked for the status of this third party administrator and

1 I'll summarize the answers I was given. 2 One. The stories in the newspapers 3 are all lies. Don't believe them. 4 Two. No one knows anything about the status of this administrator or whether 5 6 the unions have even agreed to such a 7 proposal. 8 Three If such an administrator is 9 appointed he or she will take a seat on the 10 board or boards, his or her role will be to 11 tell the boards whether their actions are 12 legal or illegal. He or she will no have 13 the decision making role. 14 My questions are as follows: Why do we need a third party administrator 15 16 to advise on legal issues when the board have their own solicitor? 17 18 How does the explanation 19 provided by the pension boards square with 20 the mayor's press release of March 18? 21 Three. What is ground truth? 22 Four. Was council aware of this 23 disconnect? 24 Five. Who, if anybody, is looking 25 out for the taxpayer?

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, on the issue of the rain tax, I don't have enough time to go into it, but I presume Marie will, but everybody was celebrating the sale of the Sewer Authority and now the other shoe is poised to drop.

Thank you and I'll listen to your answers during motions.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Jim Mulligan.

MR. MULLIGAN: Good evening, Council. Jim Mulligan, city resident and president of the Greenridge Neighborhood Association. I'm here tonight on behalf of a number of people from the Greenridge Neighborhood group concerning the amendment that was made to the permit of the Keystone Landfill on December 1, 2015, which allowed Keystone Landfill to disperse or dispose of the leachate though the Greenridge line, and the Greenridge neighborhood group was first made aware of this amendment, if you will, at our March 2016 meeting, and needlessly to say the people of Greenridge were upset and they were shocked because they were under the impression that this issue had been

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

litigated and decided back in 1990 by one of your elite colleagues Mary Ellen or Mary Kay Holmes. Mary Ellen Holmes?

MR. WECHSLER: Mary Kay.

MR. MULLIGAN: Mary Kay Holmes, and as a result of that the membership they decided they were going to pass around a petition in the neighborhood to oppose the disposal of the leachate through the Greenridge line, and with the President's permission I'll approach. And I'm handing, for the record, a copy of the original petition which contains about over 400 names of individuals in Scranton, primarily in the Greenridge area opposing the leachate line, and as I mentioned earlier, this issue was vigorously litigated in 1989 into 1990, and I believe it was in 1990 both parties appealed, Keystone and the City of Scranton, and just so you are aware this council was a part of that agreement. If you read the agreement of February 18, 1990, it expressly states that city council is a part of that particular agreement.

The agreement laid out four choices

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for Keystone to pick from in order and how to dispose of the leachate. Ultimately, they chose to use dedicated line. The agreement was then, the February 18, 1990, agreement that this city council was a part of, was then made part of a Court order by Judge Harhut. That amendment that the Sewer Authority made on December 17, 2015, was never, ever included for 25 years until that day without due process.

I'm sure Mr. Minora is a very capable legal advisor, he will tell you there is no way that an Authority, an administrative body, could modify a Court There was a remedy, they could have order. come to the Court and asked to modify the agreement, but they chose to do it unilaterally without due process. No one -we didn't know about this. No one had notice, no one had an opportunity to be heard, this is democracy. And so we are vigorously opposing the amendment I guess or modification of the permit and we are here to ask this council to make a resolution tonight to do whatever is necessary legally

to either set aside that amendment, file a contempt petition, file for injunctive relief, a breach of a contract, I don't care what legal vehicle you use, but it has to be done, and this is the defining moment for each and every one of you. This is time for you to be leaders. I've always said leaders don't stay on the sidelines and watch the city unfold, leaders step up and they shape the future of a city. This is the time for each and every one of you to take a leadership position in connection with this travesty, if you will. Thank you very much.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time I'll entertain a motion to accept the petition as presented to counsel.

MR. GAUGHAN: I'll make a motion to accept the petition.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'd like to thank Mr. Mulligan for coming tonight and thank all of the neighbors from Greenridge for coming. Your concern is my concern. We have been on this issue for the

2

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

past few weeks, and no pun intended, this thing stinks and you want answers and so do I.

MR. EVANS: On the question, my position is pretty simple, we have a settlement agreement that may have been violated. I'm not a lawyer and certainly not a judge, but I think it's not unreasonable to expect the administration to request a hearing before a judge to get a ruling in this matter and bring clarity and closure to this issue once and for all. think the residents of the city, especially those from Greenridge, deserve no less than that that, you know, so I'd like to ask our solicitor, if I may, how do we make that happen or what is the council's role in this situation?

MR. MINORA: Well, obviously, actions on behalf of the city are done by the administration. I'm solicitor for counsel, but I have no authority to represent the city in Court. I don't represent the city, I represent council. A solicitor represents the city and the

administration files actions or defends actions on behalf of the city, so it would have to start there. What council could do is, you know, motions in support of, things of that nature, but we have no authority to bring a lawsuit.

MR. EVANS: Can we ask the solicitor take this under review and render an opinion to us as far as the settlement agreement being violated?

MR. GAUGHAN: Can we make -- I think we should make a motion after this motion to ask Solicitor Shrive to give us a legal opinion.

MR. MINORA: Sure.

MR. GAUGHAN: And, also, I would like to know where Mayor Courtright stands on the issue. I think we need to meet with him, also. I think this is this important.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, we have been taking a lead on this since the first problem came to light with the snow last year. We are anxious to be part of this effort to get the answers for not just the people of Greenridge but for the people

of the entire city and the people of the entire region. Councilman Evans and I attended the meeting about trash. All of this ties together, the trash, sewer, this is the whole thing right now that we have to stand up and represent the people so we are protected from people who want to take advantage of us, so we would be -- we will be taking a vote on this motion and then if there is other motions to be made we will entertain them after that.

MR. ROGAN: Very briefly, for myself there is two issues, one which I understand very clearly and one which I do not understand very clearly. The clear issue is the neighborhood issue, obviously with what's going on. Not being an attorney, the legal issue is where I struggle and I would welcome an opinion from our solicitor as well.

MR. WECHSLER: Just one point to add, when this first came to light a few weeks ago we had considered requesting that Director Barrett come to a caucus and I think that's something that we also may want

to do because we have spoken to -- Attorney Minora has spoken to Mr. Barrett and he did give us his opinion is opposite of what he are hearing tonight so we do want to hear from Mr. Barrett as well, but, once again, I think this is very important and the fact that city council is really the only place that people can come and have a voice on something like this I think we have to get involved. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. GAUGHAN: And I'd like to make a motion to request that council ask for an official legal opinion by the City Solicitor Jason Shrive on the matters that we were presented to us by the Greenridge Neighborhood Association.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All

those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

Albert and Elaine Young.

MR. YOUNG: Gentlemen, these are just updated photos from the photos two weeks ago just so that you can see it is growing and we are not trying to pull somebody's chain.

MS. YOUNG: To the members of city council, two weeks ago my husband stood before you and asked you all for help.

That's H-E-L-P. We left that night hoping that someone or all of you would contact us out of concern that possibly you might come up with some answers, not to be from this council though. Years ago when this property went down for the fourth time the city engineers came out and placed a three-foot conduit into the hole where there is a six-foot opening for the water to flow

so now this hole really was created by the City of Scranton. The picture you have been given tonight is an update of the hole which now from the latest storms has grown six-inches larger within the last two weeks. It all boils down to fact that this is a storm water runoff Sewer Authority problem.

Now the word is care C-A-R-E. You don't care about this because it's not your property nor is it the property of any other affluent property owner in this city. This now -- this hole now is open for at least 12 years and, of course, we have contacted Senator Blake's office and we don't get any calls back anymore. The only person that's really taken an interest for us is Tom Welby. He has been out numerous times and feels very bad for our situation.

As of yesterday, we have been given a copy of a lawsuit that the Zumo family placed against the city a number of years ago and won the suit. With these papers was a report from DER notifying the city of potential problems with this stream. This report dates back to May of 1985. So for

now the solution to me for you to defer me taxes on my property until this problem is totally repaired, you know, like KOZ, and if I have to put it in a motion if that's the proper thing that's what I'll do.

And on another note, I'm a crossing guard for the City of Scranton. I worked ten years, left for awhile, came back, I have worked 15 years and we have not had a raise in over a decade and I think council should look into that, too, because if all 70 some crossing guards decided to walk off I don't think you would have 70 people wanting to get that job right away when you are in all kinds of the weather. Thank you.

MR. YOUNG: Now, gentlemen, if there any questions I'm here, I'm trying to answer them if possible. If not, thank you for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Young, I did contact John Pocius the day after the meeting you were here the last time,
Mr. Pocius is aware of the situation, there was a meeting in Senator Blake's office the following Monday, there is money available

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the state and there is a situation with the city would have come with the match money for the repair so that's -- this issue was discussed on that Monday after that meeting.

MR. YOUNG: I understand that. Welby did notify me of that meeting. On the other side of the coin, there is monies set aside in escrow from the Lackawanna River basin. Now, my understanding is that the city had to come up with a little less than \$700,000 in order to match funds from the state that the former Governor Corbett put aside. Now, why can't you folks borrow until -- from this escrow account until this whole mess gets straightened out. living with fear because of the six-year-old child next door or if the kids in the neighborhood decided they want to play in the yard they are going to go down, and if you look at that picture to the left of the picture -- I'll finish, if you look to the left of the picture there is that six-foot conduit. To the right you will see that the three-foot conduit with a concrete wall that

1	the city put in at that time in order to
2	appease me at that time. Since then, this
3	thing has blown over. You can't put six
4	feet of water into a three-foot trough and
5	not have something to deal with.
6	MR. WECHSLER: But I just want to
7	make sure that we are and did get involved
8	in this as best as we can because by your
9	own comment it is a Sewer Authority problem.
10	We don't have responsibility for the Sewer
11	Authority.
12	MR. YOUNG: Well, the city still
13	owns, still owns the Sewer Authority.
14	MR. WECHSLER: The Sewer Authority
15	is
16	MR. YOUNG: Is a wholly entity,
17	okay.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Separate.
19	MR. YOUNG: But still somewhere
20	along the line there should be some
21	authority
22	MR. WECHSLER: I agree with you.
23	MR. YOUNG: I've had it with this
24	situation.
25	MR. WECHSLER: I agree. I think

it's a terrible situation, but for right now the Sewer Authority is responsible for it.

MR. YOUNG: Well, somebody better get Mr. Barrett on the stick.

MR. WECHSLER: We are working, we did work with the city engineer and we also worked with the state rep and a state senator so it's not like we are not trying to help you here.

MR. YOUNG: Well, like I said, somebody better get Mr. Barrett on the stick and let him get his employees out there.

Thank you for your time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Michelle Dempsey.

MR. DEMPSEY: Hi, and thank you.

Thank you for your attention to this matter regarding the leachate in Greenridge. There was a skit on Saturday night life called I'm just a Caveman, I don't know if anybody else here is old enough to remember it. It's, like, I'm just a caveman. Your modern ways frighten and confuse me and I'm feeling that way right now because a motion was made and I'm just a little confused by the motion.

When I read the settlement agreement it says under definitions that the city shall mean the City of Scranton, Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania, and shall include Scranton City Council. So if Scranton City Council is part and parcel to this agreement, I'm not sure why the opinion can't be rendered also by city council.

MR. GAUGHAN: If I can interject for a minute, I did ask Solicitor Minora if he would give us a legal opinion, and if he wants to chime in here, and he said that he couldn't or wouldn't or didn't feel comfortable putting his name on an opinion, but I did ask that question three weeks ago.

MS. DEMPSEY: Thank you.

MR. MINORA: Since then I've had an opportunity to look at a number of documents since then whether or not -- and you were asking me to give an opinion basically which you are now asking Attorney Shrive, so I'll still withhold on that one so as to let him answer that as to whether or not he wants to go forward with it. The question you are asking is can council file a lawsuit on it's

own and the answer to that is no.

MS. DEMPSEY: Can you render an opinion?

MS. DEMPSEY: I can give my personal opinion, but my legal opinion has no bearing on what the administration does. As a matter of fact, by law whatever the administration -- I'm sorry, the solicitor says as far as an ordinance is concerned is binding on me, so it, for instance, we'll use this whole example as a for instance and that's all it is, assume Attorney Shrive comes up with an opinion and says, "We can't do anything, we feel we are legally bound to do nothing."

That binds me. I can't run off and file an action on behalf the city, so I don't know how else to explain it to you other than to say Council Solicitor has no authority to represent the city. The representative of the city is the city solicitor.

MS. DEMPSEY: So even though council is in here you can't make a legal opinion -- not a personal opinion, you can't make a

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

legal opinion?

MR. MINORA: I might be able to, but what I said was since Attorney Shrive has been asked to do one by motion I'm going to defer to him.

MS. DEMPSEY: So can we just -- I want to be clear on the motion, the motion is -- because time is of the essence, the leachate can run those lines right now, so what is the motion and how long do we have to wait for it to go --

MR. GAUGHAN: The motion was to ask Solicitor Shrive for a legal opinion on the matters that you presented and what we discussed when you came three week ago, and reason that I made that motion was because when I asked Solicitor Minora three weeks ago he explained that he would not give a legal opinion so --

MR. WECHSLER: That's not Mr. Minora's job. Mr. Minora's job is to council -- he is the solicitor to city council, he is not the solicitor for the city.

MS. DEMPSEY: I understand that.

MR. WECHSLER: To determine if the city is going to participate in a lawsuit.

That's not his role.

MS. DEMPSEY: So and the flip side if the legal opinion is yes, this has merit and we should go forward then you would also move forward or what would happen?

MR. MINORA: I have no standing to move forward.

MS. DEMPSEY: Even though council is in the agreement.

MR. MINORA: Council is part of the city. When the city files a lawsuit, defends a lawsuit, it's done by the city solicitor. I don't know how else to tell you other than to say I'm not the lawyer for the city, I'm council's solicitor.

MS. DEMPSEY: Okay, I just wanted clarity on that because, as we said, time is of essence and if we are bringing it to the city then the city is making an opinion that is coming back to council it just seems like it takes a little longer, but if that is legal path and the structure that has to be followed legally then the motion can stand

as it is. I just wanted to make sure because council is so clearly under the definition of the city here.

MR. WECHSLER: But we are not the administration and the city operates under a strong mayor form of government.

MS. DEMPSEY: Understood.

MR. WECHSLER: So it's going to be up to the administration to move forward.

MR. EVANS: And the reason that's in there is the governing body is the administration and city council, but matters like this are squarely in the hands of the city solicitor so I'm very anxious to hear his opinion and I hope his opinion is the right one.

MR. GAUGHAN: And I would also add that I hope that it comes in a timely manner. In other words, like you said, time is of essence and, you know, I'm not a lawyer, but we met and I read this thing and it's pretty clear to me. Maybe I'm missing something like the caveman skit that you talked about, but I would like an opinion in a timely manner from the city solicitor.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. DEMPSEY: Absolutely, and thank you for your support on this matter.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else that would like to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: I'm not a lawyer either -- Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian. I'm not a lawyer, but if citizens of Greenridge should sue the city they would have to sue you and the Sewer Authority, am I right, if a suit is brought because all parties to that contract would have to brought to Court, but we are not going to bid on another lawyer, but I will donate some money if they should need to file a lawsuit, I'd be glad. fact, every citizen of Scranton should be glad to give an a little to finally get something done about what's happening in the city.

We are all told that the city is in financial problems so what's the first thing we do? We raise the threshold for the bidding contract even though the state says \$10,000 should be the limit, after 10,000 it

should be bid but since we are not really in financial problem why worry about it.

Now we have a contract for street lighting, you all know that will be nine years before that contract starts paying off. Are we in financial trouble?

Obviously not if we can wait nine years for it to pay of on the street lighting we can't have any trouble with finances.

And, now, you want to borrow up to \$70 million to pay off the city and firemen. Even if contract for the Sewer Authority should go on for three years, which I don't think, we would still save multi-millions of dollars by not borrowing but waiting for the Sewer authority sale to go through, but we are not in financial problems. We have no financial problems because you are definitely showing us that we don't have one.

And I have to ask, it's ludicrous to borrow up to \$70 million when \$85 million or 95 is going to be available to us. Did the mayor offer you any perks to even consider this? You don't have to answer, but

definitely I would have to ask because it's ludicrous for me to do it, so what else is new in the city? There is no ending to anything in this city happening, but don't ever come before us and say we are in financial problem. We have no financial problems, we have leadership problems.

Thank you.

Ms. Schumacher. First, I'd like to give kudos to the Shade Tree Commission. There was a photo in today's paper, I hope you were all able to see it and this is a big week for them. They have been doing -- I was at their meeting Tuesday night and I was really blown away by all they have been doing and all they have coming up this week. They have had Charter Day, they have got Arbor Day, all kinds of things coming up so that was very exciting and I hope people will participate as they are able.

Next, I would like to give a big
thank you to Jim Lockwood for all of the
storm water data, the complete information
that he has able to provide. I am currently

1

7

8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

still working my way through it, although I will have some comments tonight, and I also had on my notes to bring up the purpose of the third party administrator because I was shocked at what I heard at the Pension Board yesterday so I am glad that Joan covered that thoroughly.

And now I guess onto storm water, I might as well get right into it. Last week I was somewhat shocked again that you people said you are not going to be voting on that, but I can't for the life of me now I just don't understand why you will not be addressing it, it's a major issue, I think that it's not really the Sewer authority that these people should be going to. Scranton, the City of Scranton holds the MS4 permit. Same with the leachate. Both of these lines I believe are storm water. is our responsibility and we might have something better along those lines, we should have been working out a statement of As it now stands, we are not going to go who is going to care for the storm water, who is in charge, until after the execution

of the sale. I think that's backwards. We certainly should have -- that statement of work should have done in parallel with the study that was done with the Sewer authority on whether it was going to be sold or leased. In any case, storm water still would have remained our responsibility.

And I went back and I looked at, ironic that Mr. Mulligan is here, because I went back and I listened twice to the debate between Mr. Mulligan and Mr. Courtright back before the general election in 2013, one of the things that Mayor Courtright said was -- and it was a question regarding how would either of them coordinate with the council, Mr. Courtright said, "If, in fact, they need me to come to a meeting I will come to the meeting. If they need me to come to a caucus, I will come it a caucus."

So my challenging to you tonight, gentlemen, is to invite Mr. Courtright to -- Mr. Courtright, the mayor himself, to this body and answer questions. I have a passel of them, but some of you have, some of you don't have, I'm still working through parts

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of it, but we need to hear from him and I do believe it is incumbent upon you people to accept the invitation that he extended to you that evening.

But back to why I don't understand, how does -- wait, I did write a couple of notes on this. How do you get to spend the money if you don't have a vote on how it gets into the coffers? The Administrative Code says if the -- if there is a change, I believe it's 10 percent, anyway, certainly the revenue that we are going to get, assuming that the Sewer Authority is disbanded or is changed in name and function and the money does come to the City of Scranton, you people have to vote on it being spent. If you have to vote on it being spent, you must also have accepted it. I mean, you have got it in the books that you have to vote on some small gifts there are made to the city. Certainly the sale of our major asset should include you people. The budget is going to have to be changed to increase the revenue and you are going to have to give the okay on the disposition of

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the funds, I assume, and I have a problem with that. May I finish this up?

MR. WECHSLER: Quickly, please.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yes, thank you. Ιt was my understanding that the new hires, and I'm only going to use the police and fire for now because they are the largest component of the work force, that they were going to get a smaller pensions, somebody in Fifth Order I would really like if you would explain the difference between a new hire in public safety prior to the February 2015 and those who are hired -- and those who are hired prior and those who are owe hired post because my concern is that if we don't have a significant amount of general pension overhaul all we are doing is selling an asset or we are still going to go continue going into the hole on our pension fund and we may not as well sold that asset. just pushing the ball down the road a little bit more, so pension reform, the mayor here. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident, and I'd like open up I think we should try to be as helpful to these people that spoke tonight on Greenridge issues as much as possible. I seen the picture of that hole in the yard, you could fit a car in there, drip a Volkswagon down there.

The University of Scranton crosswalks, that's a great idea and I support it, and they are taking it over, but I wish they'd advise their students to please use it. Last week I was doing the usual 20 miles an hour instead of 25 and a guy was texting and started to cross the street, you know, I think he noticed me and didn't step off the curb, but it's lucky I think doing five miles an hour under a speed limit is better idea in that area.

And trash and recycle, I hear every week. Now, we started leaving televisions behind and computer parts and so forth, I think when somebody has a ton of cardboard sitting at the trash that they should just leave it behind and leave them a note already. I'm tired of seeing it and going

out in the trash and, yes, we are all paying for it, but I don't think that privatized trash or pay to throw is the right idea. What if you have a couch, some people think they are going to get off cheaper, well, it's \$5.25 a bag in Madisonville and I know what entity or business in this area wants to take over the trash, I'm more concerned with a clean town and the \$300 is a little bit of an ouch, but there in again at \$5.25 times 52 you are at somewhere around \$262 or \$272 that's just -- if you just have one little bag, so once the market is cornered they can do what they want and waste is what they want and you have no recourse except to rebuild a DPW or something.

And, furthermore, I heard comments about the hours they work and that person that was hurt was hurt very early in the morning, I think it was between five and six o'clock so if they are going home already at 1:00 in the afternoon they might have done pretty much a day's work so any extra hours could be devoted to education or something if you want to have them there, but you are

only going to really get an extra hour or so.

And on this rain water situation we have to be careful there, I don't want to pay for business entities' rain water, so if it's according to the proper way would be the amount of paved surface you have on your property and not a rate according to the big shopping mall for 55 bucks and charge me 35 bucks. No. No, thanks.

And I'd also at the end of the meeting if I could have a petition for street lamps for my block. We have one street lamp on the whole block in the 600 of Crown.

And, finally, the Globe Store is being purchased by the county, another quarter million dollars out of our pocket for the benefit of Lackawanna County and that's just grand, but I think even if you can't say anything about it, send them a letter some day telling them please, we have to pay the bills in Scranton for a change and not all over the county so they can have a free lunch.

And don't forget that University of Scranton crosswalk it's up to them to instruct their students please use it, it's there for them and, you know, you could have a tragedy or disaster there. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yes, real briefly. The only thing I have is I would like to make a request to Ms. Reed, the gentlemen with the "Volkswagon"-size hole in the street there, could we have press Mr. Pocius to give council a letter regarding the outcome of the meeting so we are totally in the loop.

I know Mr. Wechsler did have some conversations with him, but myself I didn't see anything so I would just like to be totally briefed on that because, you know, I know I would wouldn't want that at my house, I wouldn't want that in my neighborhood and

I know don't want that in this city, so if there is anything that we could do to push that forward to remedy this at all, you know, I want to make sure we are doing everything we could. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you.

Regarding I guess the main issue that we talked about tonight, I was happy to vote to accept the petition and I will review the petition as well and I think council tonight took the correct course of action in requesting a legal opinion from the city solicitor.

As I stated, the legal issue to me is something that I will admit is above my head. I am not an attorney, and that's why we have attorneys. Council has Attorney Minora to instruct us and he does an excellent job, and the city has our city solicitor Attorney Shrive, so I welcome his opinion and I will stand by his opinion regarding any legal action.

The one thing that I would like to avoid, only because I sat on city council

1

3

4 5

6

8

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that had a relationship that involved being in the courts on a weekly basis, would be to avoid any long protracted legal actions that would have a high likelihood of costing the city taxpayers even more money much like we saw in the fight with our city unions.

Sticking with the sewer issues, the article that was in the paper just a couple of comments and just for clarification, the city and every city and town within the Chesapeake Bay watershed is under a mandate to clean up their act regarding the sewers. One of the biggest problems with the Scranton sewer system and many systems the age of the Scranton's is their combined systems where when you get a heavy rain. When you get a heavy rain the sewer plant gets overloaded and you're dumping raw sewage into the Lackawanna River. That's certainly not acceptable and whether the Sewer Authority is sold or whether the Sewer Authority is kept in public hands that needs to be addressed.

So the premise of the article regarding the funds that need to be raised

1

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for separating storm sewer and the sewage from your home regardless of what action the city takes regarding the sewer of the sale that has to done. We can't continue to have a combined system that's under federal mandates.

Also on that, it also makes no sense to fully process rain water through a sewer plant the same way you are processing sewage from your home. There are many new ways to capture that rain water and reuse it and there are a few people within the city who have residences that have decided to go this If there were to be any sort of fee imposed, for instance, a lot of times it's based on the size of the roof and based on the size of an institution size, based on the size of a parking lot I would like to see -- give people a chance to mitigate in order to be more environmentally city by saving that water and reusing with individuals for plants and on the institutional size as well I think that is something that needs to be explored. that is all for tonight. Thank you.

2

3

6

5

8

7

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Mr.

Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, I'd like to thank the Greenridge neighbors for showing up tonight, you should be very proud of yourselves and caring about our neighborhoods and caring about the city as much as you do. I want to talk about the sewer deal and the storm water a little bit. There is something fundamentally wrong when city councilmen are being educated on an agreement to sell the Sewer Authority by citizens who have been able to obtain copies of the final agreement weeks ago and the newspaper has also obtained copies of the same agreement. There is something wrong when we finally get the information in our inboxes tonight because Councilman Gaughan noticed a link on the Scranton Times' website and that's how he got them in our boxes. So I'm not sure whose responsibility it was to inform us and give us this information, but somebody clearly has dropped the ball.

With that said, on a related issue

it is becoming increasingly clear that there is no clear strategy for a plan to deal with the storm water issue at this time, at least not that I'm aware of. While I may a proponent of the sewer deal, at least what I know about it, there should be a comprehensive plan to deal with the storm water management developed by the administration and approved by the city council. This is not one of those we'll get back to you later moments, this is a once in a lifetime opportunity to get it right.

Should there be a storm water authority, how it will be funded? What are the costs to the taxpayers and the ratepayers? Will taxes and properties pay their fair share? What happens on day one of the sale of the Authority? What is Pennsylvania American's involvement in the storm water? What is the City's role? When do the mandates kick in? Should the Sewer Authority be constituted for the Storm Water Authority? I can go on and on and on with questions without answers. This is a complicated issue. That is why the

conversation needs to begin now. To postpone this any longer would be foolish and irresponsible.

I have no doubt that state agency like the PUC will not look kindly on this transaction to sell the Sewer Authority if the storm water issue isn't addressed in a full and comprehensive manner during their review so let's not blow this, let's get this thing right. We have too much at stake. That's all I have for now.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

First, I'd like to thank all of the organizers and the people who participated in the Scranton Half-Marathon. It went off without a hitch now for the third year and it was a great success.

I'd also like to thank the residents of Greenridge for coming tonight and presenting us with their petition. As elected officials, I believe it's important that we listen to the people we serve and make sure that they can raise their families

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a healthy and safe environment. It's clear to me that the expansion of the landfill and the leachate issue is one of the great and important issues facing the city and their region at this time. It's time we step up and start to hold people accountable for their actions no matter who they are. The people of Greenridge want answers and so do we.

As Councilman Evans mentioned, we finally got our hands on a copy of the agreement between the Scranton Sewer Authority and Pennsylvania American Water and I'm going to be in the process of reviewing that document. My general concern is that private water companies are generally motivated by their own profits, not by the public good and they make decisions about their services accordingly. Unlike publically operated systems, these companies aren't usually accountable to residents leaving people with little recourse to fix a situation. Hence, the storm water management issue which is becoming one of the major issues with the

sale of the Sewer Authority, and everybody as far as I'm concerned this past week has been talking about the rain tax.

I requested a meeting last week with Mayor Courtright to discuss his plan for storm water management in the city and I have not gotten a response yet, although, I look forward to one. I do believe that Mayor Courtright needs to make his plan, if he has one, for storm water management clear to this council and the residents of the city.

Back in 2013, a storm water
management system review was published on
behalf of the City of Scranton and the Sewer
Authority with the collaboration of an
environmental group from Maryland and some
local groups, and I'd like to read two or
three excerpts now from this review just to
give people an idea of what we are facing.

The report says that the City's aging storm water system, limited capacity and resources to manage storm water, and complexity of ownership and management of the system make the city a unique case

study. At the beginning of this study, the EFC project team found that the city lacked the capacity and resources to properly manage storm water. While the city is ultimately responsible for implementing the components of the MS4 permit, there have been many partners involved in the process, including the Scranton Sewer Authority, which has lead to a fragmented system and has made it difficult for the city to remain accountable. Many of the requirements not currently being met could be attainable through a more efficient and streamlined program.

One of the recommendations in this report is that the City of Scranton and the Sewer Authority should work to develop a memorandum of understanding in the near term to begin to develop a comprehensive storm water program. While a MOU will begin to build a comprehensive storm water program, it is not a long-term solution. The EFC recommends a more efficient and permanent solution would be to transfer the MS4 permit, the City's responsibility of the

storm water, from the city to the Scranton Sewer Authority.

It also says that it is important to continue collaboration with established partners to levy financial resources as well as expertise to more efficiently implement an MS4 program. The report also addresses the importance of the Sewer Authority in managing the combined sewer system and its potential to play a significant role in the MS4 system as well.

Additionally, if the Phase I recommendations and strategies contained in this report are implemented successfully, the City of Scranton will be on a path to meeting storm water requirements and enhancing local water quality.

Now, this document is well over 200 pages, so my first question would be, and I would like this answered by the administration, have any of these recommendations in this report, which was issued back in 2013 been met so what is the progress on this system review, and as some of the speakers mentioned and some of my

colleagues I think it's important that we hear from Mayor Courtright on this issue, whether we have a public caucus, whether he agrees to meet with me as the Chairman of the Department of Public Works we need answers on this. This is going to be one of the significant issues that faces the city and as we transition to a possible deal with the Sewer Authority all of this is going to come into play.

So I would hope that by next week, by next Thursday I with will hear from Mayor Courtright and he will explain to me and the residents of the city exactly what his plan is on the storm water issue. And, also, I would hope it hear and take a stand or at least explain what his views are on the petition that was presented and the issue of the leachate line in the city because I think that is important, also. And that is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr.

Gaughan. Just to sum up what was said here
by my colleagues, I find it very
disappointing that we have to fight a battle

that was already won. This was settled a long time and here we are again fighting the same battle. Very disappointed. We talked about moving the city ahead and here we are going backwards and trying to solve something that was already solved so we are anxious to hear the answer from the administration and how they will move forward with this.

There is a meeting scheduled with Mayor Courtright on an executive session on Tuesday evening so we are meeting with the mayor on Tuesday pertaining to the Sewer Authority sale. Hopefully we do have some answers that will be coming about the storm water management plan.

I think one thing that we have to also bring out again is the Storm Water Management Authority is also maybe part of the component of our recovery plan so it's not something that is just going to sit idly by it is something that we agreed to look at when we agreed on the recovery plan.

Speaking with Mr. Gaughan this week about this issue, I think I'd like to see if

we can get Mr. Bernie McGurl to come in and speak to us. No one understands the Lackawanna River and Waste Water Management and the environment of the river more Mr. McGurl so I know we have couple of caucuses that our scheduled and I would just like to have his input because I would defer to him on what he thinks is the best plan because Mr. McGurl is a true keeper of that river and is responsible for much of its rebirth.

This week I did attend a meeting that was held by the Lackawanna County Commissioners. It was a meeting where all commissioners expressed an interest to start working with the City of Scranton and cooperative efforts to see what we can do as government bodies to ease the tax burden and other burden on our citizens. Commissioner O'Malley started the meeting by saying that we are all taking money out of the same people's pockets, and that's a very accurate statement. At the meeting, I attended the meeting, Mayor Courtright attended the meeting, School District President Bob

24

25

Sheridan attended the meeting so we had all three of the city's government officials at the table. We also had the county involved with all three commissioners at the table and it was very nice to have an opening dialogue amongst the city, and to blow our horn a little bit it was cited that the atmosphere in the area right now seems to be a little better because of the working relationship that we have between council and the administration, and as you heard tonight it's not all perfect, but we do continue to have open dialogue with the administration, and for the most part the administration has been open to us, they have provided us with their managers, and we do have an open dialogue. Yes, there is room for improvement, but we do have a better relationship then we had in the past.

Just one more comment about the storm water, the mandate that's been given to the city is actually part of a lawsuit.

The Department of Justice is involved in this case so it's not something, as

Councilman Rogan alluded to, whether we sell

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Sewer Authority or not there is going to have to be much improvement made to our storm water system and it's under the supervision of the Department of Justice so this isn't something that's just a fly by night type of thing, this is a very serious matter that has to be addressed, and also the Sewer Authority sale is also going to have to be addressed by the state and also by the EPA so all of this has to come together so at some point there is going to have to be a strong documented plan on the storm water management before this whole thing can move forward. And that is all I have at this time. That's plenty; right? MS. REED: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS

MS. REED: 5-B. NO BUSINESS AT THIS

SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. READING BY

TITLE- FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 21, 2016- AN

ORDINANCE - DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX

EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER

TO IMPROVE DETERIORATING REAL PROPERTY IN

CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,

ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND

PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, AND

PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND 1 LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO. 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 4 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 5 pass reading by title. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Second. 8 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 9 MR. PERRY: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 12 MR. EVANS: Aye. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 16 MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -17 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 22, 2016- AN ORDINANCE - DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX 18 EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER 19 TO STIMULATE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND 20 21 OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN 22 EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR 23 24 OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING 25

AMENDMENT THERETO. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 3 by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 4 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 5 pass reading by title. MR. EVANS: Second. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-C - PREVIOUSLY TABLED -15 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 6, 16 17 2016 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF 18 COUNCIL NO. 57 OF 1996 (AS AMENDED) -ENTITLED "DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX 19 EXEMPTIONS FOR REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER TO 20 21 STIMULATE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING 22 23 AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR 24 OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, PROVIDING FOR 25 NON-MUNICIPAL EXEMPTIONS, AND LIMITING

AMENDMENTS HERETO BY INCREASING THE

ASSESSMENT VALUATION IN SECTION 2, PARAGRAPH

1-B FROM \$250,000 TO \$500,000 and EXTENDING

THE EXEMPTION PERIOD IN SECTION 11,

AUTOMATIC TERMINATION, TO AN ADDITIONAL TEN

YEARS FROM THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS

ORDNANCE."

MR. EVANS: I make a motion to amend Item 6-C per the following:

In the Now, Therefore, clause insert: "SECTION 3. EXEMPTION SCHEDULE.

1. The following real estate tax exemption schedule commence in the tax year immediately following the year in which the building permit is issued. For the first, second and third year for which the newly constructed improvement would otherwise be taxable one hundred (100%) percent of the eligible assessment shall be exempted."

Insert: Section 5. ELIGIBLE AREAS.

1. It is hereby determined and declared by City Council that the following portion of the City qualify and are hereby designated as eligible areas for tax exempt status;

All those land, areas and neighborhoods zoned by the City of Scranton as R1, R1(a), R2, R2/0, R3, CN and CD zoning districts as defined by the City of Scranton's Zoning Ordinance, File of Council No. 74, 1993."

Section 11. Automatic Termination.

In the second sentence, by increasing the exemption period from: "Two (2) years" to:

"Three (3) years."

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, this is basically just refining that legislation to mirror the change that we already gave on the other two pieces of the legislation in Sixth Order. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, City Council and the City of Scranton we are going first on this tax abatement program that will be followed by the Scranton School District and then Lackawanna County, so the program actually will give a benefit for all three taxes for anyone that contemplates new construction or improvements.

1	All those in favor of the motion to
2	amend Item 6-C signify by saying aye?
3	MR. PERRY: Aye.
4	MR. EVANS: Aye.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
6	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved.
9	You've heard reading by title of
10	Item 6-C, as amended, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C, as
12	amended, pass reading by title.
13	MR. EVANS: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. PERRY: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
23	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -
24	FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 20, 2016 -
25	APPOINTMENT OF MARIO PARLOPIANO, 202 WILBUR

1	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A
2	MEMBER OF THE MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL
3	DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MIDAS), EFFECTIVE
4	MARCH 30, 2016. MR. PARLOPIANO IS BEING
5	APPOINTED TO A FOUR (4) YEAR TERM THAT WILL
6	EXPIRE ON MARCH 30, 2020.
7	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
8	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-A.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
12	Roll call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
14	MR. PERRY: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
23	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
24	adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS FOR
2	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 21, 2016 -
3	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
4	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN
5	AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF
6	SCRANTON AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING
7	TO THE MAINTENANCE OF IN PAVEMENT LED
8	CROSSWALK WARNING LIGHTS ON MULBERRY STREET.
9	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Public Works?
12	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
14	passage of Item 7-B.
15	MR. ROGAN: Second.
16	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
17	Roll call, please?
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
19	MR. PERRY: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
23	MR. EVANS: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
	II

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 3 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 4 adopted. MR. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION 5 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS FOR 6 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 22, 2016 7 8 AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT 9 OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT 10 11 OF TRANSPORTATION ("PENNDOT") APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL APPROVAL FOR THE IN 12 PAVEMENT LED CROSSWALK WARNING LIGHTS THE 13 UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON HAD INSTALLED AS PART 14 OF THEIR STREETSCAPE PROJECT ALONG MULBERRY 15 16 STREET. 17 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works? 19 20 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 22 passage of Item 7-C. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 Roll call, please?

		62
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.	
2	MR. PERRY: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby	
11	declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully	
12	adopted.	
13	If there is no further business,	
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
15	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
16	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## 

## 

ability.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER