| | | 1 |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | HELD: | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Thursday, April 7, 2016 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | LOCATION: | |
| 11 | Council Chambers | |
| 12 | Scranton City Hall | |
| 13 | 340 North Washington Avenue | |
| 14 | Scranton, Pennsylvania | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER | |
| | | |

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

8 TIM PERRY

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Here. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 13 14 MR. EVANS: I'd like to make a motion to take from the table Resolution No. 15 16 13 of 2016. 17 MR. ROGAN: Second. 18 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 19 This legislation pertains to the contract 20 for the City's streetlight improvement 21 project. This resolution will be placed in 22 Seventh Order for a final vote. Anyone who 23 wishes to speak on this particular piece of 24 legislation may do so during fourth order 25 citizens' participation. All those in favor

1 signify by saying aye. MR. PERRY: 2 Aye. 3 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 4 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? 6 The 7 ayes have it and so moved. 8 Dispense with the reading of the 9 minutes. MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. SINGLE 10 TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON 11 12 REPORT 2015-2016 YEAR TO DATE 3-31-16. MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 13 14 comments? If not, received and filed. Do any council members have 15 16 announcements at this time? 17 MR. GAUGHAN: I have one. In honor 18 or Earth Month and as way off preserving our 19 environment, the Lackawanna County Recycling 20 Center will be accepting electronic devices 21 free of charge during the month of April for 22 Lackawanna County residents and municipality 23 zoning. The \$1 per pound fee has been 24 waived for the drop off of electronics such 25 as televisions, computer monitors, towers,

mouse accessories and keyboards and cell phones. To qualify for the tree drop off, individuals must show center personnel a photo ID for proof of residency and municipalities have to call in advance if they would like to drop off any electronics. The \$1 per pound charge will be in effect for all out-of-county electronics beginning May 2. A fee schedule will be in effect for all in and out-of-county residents and municipalities. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Just as a follow-up to the announcement that Mr. Gaughan made, the city DPW is not allowed to pick up televisions so it would be very good if you do have televisions that you have to get rid of and take advantage of this free recycling program.

MR. WECHSLER: The third annual Half Marathon will take place this Sunday, April 10, at 9 a.m. It begins and ends at the Memorial Stadium on Providence Road. Proceeds from the event benefit the continued development of the Lackawanna River Heritage trail. We wish all of the

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

runners good luck and thank the organizers and volunteers in support of this great event.

One other announcement. last week we had a citizen come and explain to us some concerns they have about the Meadow brook. On Friday, we did contact city engineer John Pocius and I also contacted Senator Blake and his field representative Larry West. there was a meeting held on this matter and representatives from the city, county and Representative Flynn's office were present. The Meadow brook project is being looked This was the first of many meetings that will occur to keep this moving forward. The project is still on track and is up and The council office will running again. receive updates as more information becomes available and things progress.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,

Scranton resident and taxpayer. Last week I

believe you were mentioning in the caucus

about the possibility of a public caucus for the 2014 audit. Is that still on your agenda?

MR. WECHSLER: No, it's not a caucus it's a conference.

MS. HODOWANITZ: A conference?

MR. WECHSLER: A conference, yes.

It's still being scheduled.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm still seeking information on the administration's response to SB & Companies finance on the audit, I'm just curious as to where the audit was between March 4, the date of publication, and March 15 when it appeared to be received. As of 5:18 this afternoon it was still not posted to the city's website, which it should be, for the reviews of the general public. And, also, does council intend to publish a summary of the fiscal activities in the local newspaper based on the audit?

MR. WECHSLER: We are waiting for the conference and then we'll go from there.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I'll be waiting anxiously to see how those shows

drop. I needed some clarification on where 1 2 we stand with regard to this year's local 3 services tax, is the rate \$52 or \$156? MR. WECHSLER: \$156. 4 5 MS. HODOWANITZ: So the governor's veto of the fiscal code has been overcome? 6 The way that I 7 MR. WECHSLER: 8 understood that was that veto would effect 9 us more after we are out of distressed 10 status --11 MS. HODOWANITZ: Distressed status. 12 MR. WECHSLER: -- then that would revert. We had anticipated that we would be 13 14 able to keep collecting that \$156, but under this veto the way I understand it that would 15 16 revert back to the \$52. 17 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. 18 MR. WECHSLER: If we are out 19 distress. 20 MS. HODOWANITZ: But assuming we 21 don't come out of distress status this fiscal year it will be \$156. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 24 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. And with 25 regard Items 5-B and C, the tax exemptions

on property, I looked at the backup and it mostly focused on definitions and authorizations, but what I have no idea of is how much money are we talking about in terms of tax reductions per year.

MR. WECHSLER: It depends on the project. It could be a small project and the tax savings would be smaller. If it's a large project, it would be bigger.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I'm trying to think citywide, if this gets passed in the next three weeks how much do you think it's going to impact the 2016 budget?

MR. EVANS: There are no reductions unless someone actually constructs something.

MS. HODOWANITZ: So it's only based on future construction.

MR. EVANS: On new construction.

There are some -- there is some language there that gives some apartment to condominium conversions, but that would only increase the tax base, but, yeah, there would be no reduction in taxes unless somebody actually builds something.

1 MS. HODOWANITZ: But it doesn't have 2 to be a stand alone structure it can be an 3 improvement. 4 MR. EVANS: Improvement, exactly, 5 and that's the part that would be abated, the improvement part of the assessment. 6 7 MS. HODOWANITZ: So we really don't 8 have a warm and fuzzy for how much money we 9 are talking about in terms of tax 10 reductions? 11 MR. EVANS: Not --12 MR. ROGAN: I think it's regarding 13 the budget it's zero because without the 14 improvements there are still paying on the land, and if they own a --15 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: I understand that, 17 but this should be an incentive for 18 improvements; correct? MR. EVANS: Correct. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Correct. 21 MS. HODOWANITZ: And just, you know, 22 it could be anything from zero to infinity. 23 MR. EVANS: Well, there is a maximum 24 \$500,000 in value as part of the ordinance 25 so --

1 MS. HODOWANITZ: That's for 2 improvement, not for tax reduction; right? 3 MR. EVANS: Right. 4 MR. WECHSLER: But it's abatement of 5 a new tax that would be placed on the new construction so if there is no new 6 7 construction there is no tax. 8 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. 9 MR. WECHSLER: So it really is zero 10 and actually when the abatement is over it 11 becomes a plus because that tax would be an 12 assessment. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: This is a little 14 strange, you know, that we have this because it's a financial ordinance, but we won't 15 16 know until after the fact how much money, 17 you know, will be reduced. 18 MR. WECHSLER: It won't be reduced, 19 it's how money will be added in three years. 20 MS. HODOWANITZ: Added in three 21 years? 22 MR. WECHSLER: If someone builds a building, their taxes will be abated for the 23 24 first three years. 25 MS. HODOWANITZ: Right.

| 1 | MR. WECHSLER: Then when that's over |
|----|--|
| 2 | that |
| 3 | MS. HODOWANITZ: Yeah, I understood |
| 4 | the reduction in the first three years. |
| 5 | MR. WECHSLER: There is no |
| 6 | reduction, there is just no charge for that. |
| 7 | MS. HODOWANITZ: It's like a KOZ? |
| 8 | MR. ROGAN: No, it's nothing like a |
| 9 | KOZ. |
| 10 | MR. WECHSLER: It's not because it's |
| 11 | an existing the existing property would |
| 12 | not have been improved if this abatement |
| 13 | didn't come. |
| 14 | MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. So now the |
| 15 | property is being improved, a three-year |
| 16 | period, had they been taxed if we had not |
| 17 | had this ordinance? |
| 18 | MR. WECHSLER: Currently right now |
| 19 | if they made the investment they would have |
| 20 | been taxed. |
| 21 | MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. So we don't |
| 22 | know |
| 23 | MR. WECHSLER: But our goal is to |
| 24 | get someone to do the investment. I don't |
| 25 | believe in 2015 there was not one home |

built in the City of Scranton.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. All right.
Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: So all we would like to see is people build homes in the City of Scranton, not pay taxes on that improvement for three years and then start paying taxes at the end of the third year.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Don't hold your breath.

MR. WECHSLER: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner, taxpayer. First off, I was here two weeks ago, we talked about the unions and getting their money and Councilman Evans made a comment that he thought they should have made more concessions. Well, as I stated once before, Councilman Evans, you probably weren't paying attention, the original Supreme Court judgment as ruled approximately \$42 million. The unions agreed to take half of it. That was a huge concession right there, so I think you should get your facts in order before you --

| 1 | MR. EVANS: So I'm not allowed to |
|----|---|
| 2 | ask for more concessions because of that? |
| 3 | We're not allowed to ask for any more |
| 4 | concessions? |
| 5 | MR. SPINDLER: That's a huge |
| 6 | concession taking half. |
| 7 | MR. EVANS: And I appreciate it. |
| 8 | MR. SPINDLER: Would you take half a |
| 9 | paycheck? |
| 10 | MR. EVANS: And I appreciate that |
| 11 | they gave us that concession. |
| 12 | MR. SPINDLER: Would you take half a |
| 13 | paycheck in your business? I don't think. |
| 14 | MR. EVANS: Well, I'm not paid by |
| 15 | the taxpayers. |
| 16 | MR. SPINDLER: That's a huge |
| 17 | concession. They saved the city some twenty |
| 18 | some million dollars. |
| 19 | MR. EVANS: And I appreciate that. |
| 20 | MR. SPINDLER: Well, I don't think |
| 21 | they have they didn't have to do that. I |
| 22 | don't think they had to take any more |
| 23 | concessions. |
| 24 | Moving on, a quote that we read from |
| 25 | Councilman Gaughan in last week's paper |
| | |

about the same subject. "This agreement is just another example of the mayor following rather than leading and caving to the demands of the police and a fire unions instead of negotiating on behalf of the taxpayers."

Well, Councilman Gaughan, maybe if Chris Doherty negotiated on the tax -- on behalf of the taxpayers 14 years ago this wouldn't have happened. The mayor did what he had it do. It was a Supreme Court award. This had to be paid by the city. We couldn't keep paying \$100,000 in interest every month. There was no negotiating. The Supreme Court said we have to pay so I don't know what your beef is with the mayor but you are wrong. We couldn't keep paying \$100,000 a month in interest.

Next, I brought it up last year, we had the Sandone tire fire, Firefighter Rivers saving three fellow firefighters, and I thought he should get a proclamation and so far nothing has been done. This man was a hero. We have people brought in from a church here and we had a proclamation. What

lives have they saved? Firefighter Rivers to this day still has yet to get a proclamation. He is a hero, he saved three firefighters, if it wasn't for him those firefighters would have lost their lives. think the city should give him a proclamation.

Next thing, the Sewer Authority, Councilman Rogan, you told me awhile back that the city doesn't get any money from that?

MR. ROGAN: No, we don't get any revenue from the Sewer Authority.

MR. SPINDLER: Well, then how do people keep coming to this podium and saying it's an asset. An asset is something of If we didn't get anything from it how is anything of value to us? So if we are going to get \$95 million and that will get us out of distressed status, which we have been under for 22 or 23 years I say go for it.

And lastly, good news to read in the paper and hear on the news that the Steamtown Mall is continuing to make a

23

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

comeback and the movie theatre is being worked on, getting a marketplace in the mall and they said in a few weeks there is more good news going to be announced, I think the city is headed in the right direction. No matter what some people might think of this mayor I think he is undoing a lot of what Chris Doherty did in a fairly short period of time. I didn't think the city would turn around this quickly, but we get that money from the Sewer Authority and get out of distressed status I think this city ha got a bright future. That's all I have tonight. Thank you for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MR. VALE: Good evening. My name is John Vail, city resident and taxpayer. My concerns for being here would be in regard to the relamping of the city with the LED fixtures. I haven't heard all that much about them, but I had some general concerns and then some specifics, of course, regarding the LEDs. I don't know if you are aware of it, but nearby municipalities

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Dunmore, Pittston and Wilkes-Barre have lengthy relamped with LEDs and lot of people are concerned about the intensity of the lights that had replaced the sodium lights that had previously been in the municipalities and they seem to have gone about it with a one size fits all approach. I believe they have only used something along the lines of a 53 watt LED fixture and it doesn't seem to adequately light the larger arterial roads and leaves dark patches mid-block in a lot of areas and they haven't really concentrated on the beam spread of the fixtures themselves, I was wondering if you had any further information on that from the entity interested in doing the light projects?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, that's actually addressed in the proposal, they do take into account that depending on what kind of lamp you are looking at it lights the street differently so they were going to take the approach to look at whether you are talking about a neighborhood or downtown if you want to use different types of the lamps so that

is addressed in the proposal and I believe our business administrator was just in our caucus and they are having a meeting next week to discuss matters like that, but that is addressed. That is a good point.

MR. VALE: Very well.

MR. WECHSLER: Also, there is a possibility that the lights maybe able to be dimmed or controlled from a central location. That was another part of the proposal from last week as well.

MR. ROGAN: I asked that exact same question that you brought up in last week's caucus because I noticed that in some surrounding cities, and especially one of my concerns was also sometimes the streetlights also light the sidewalks, it's not what they are for, but, you know, just for safety purposes that was also a concern that I had as well.

MR. VALE: Very well. As far as downtown is concerned, I have noticed that there is no less than five different types of luminares that are currently in use, and I'll use the courthouse, for instance. When

1

they did the renovations, "they" being the commissioners several years ago, the square side of the streets have a newer metal light fixture with a historic lamp post, and the perimeter on the outer line portion of the streets I believe are posts that come from the Scranton street railway, they used to be parallel to one other and they had the catenary overhead, I was wondering if they could be reused or if you had new fixtures in mind because there seems to be a lack of cohesion in town.

MR. WECHSLER: The way they understand it. I'm not sure who owns those lights, but the lights standard and polls that the city owns downtown the metal polls are all going to be refurbished, but I'm not sure if we own those or not or if the county does.

MR. VALE: Okay, very well. Is the meeting next week open to the public out of curiosity?

MR. WECHSLER: I'm not sure.

MR. GAUGHAN: Oh, the meeting between the administration and the company?

23

20

21

22

24

25

MR. VALE: Yes.

2

No, I don't believe it MR. GAUGHAN:

3

is.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VALE: Okay. One other thing, I know that sometime within the past year there was some kind of mention, I know I read it in the Scranton Times regarding the exterior conditions of city hall itself, had any progress been made on finding someone or a firm or entity to take a closer look at the building?

MR. WECHSLER: This is really one of these things that as we progress with the possible sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority that will put us in a better position. This whole building needs to be done over so that's kind of what we are looking at and using some of the proceeds from the sale for that.

MR. VALE: Very well. In the event you need a lead on a firm that handles operations and such, I had some experience. I spent a summer in Tennessee working with a company, writing contracts and doing some consulting regarding materials on the

exterior of high rise buildings and they seem to specialize in things like this, they have done a lot of work in cities like Knoxville, Tennessee, Ashville and North Carolina that have stocks of historic buildings, and if you are interested I do have material with me today that I could leave with you gentlemen.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: You can leave it with Kathy. If you do come up with any questions that you would like asked if you could get them to us before the meeting if there is anything that we can't answer we'll submit it to the proposed firm and see if they answer your question.

MR. VALE: Is there a specific person or address that I should it attention to?

MR. WECHSLER: You could address it to City Clerk Lori Reed and Lori will get them to us.

MR. VALE: Very well. Is there a place I could drop it off during the day?

MR. WECHSLER: Second floor in the

2

3

5

7

6

9

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

office right here.

MR. VALE: Very well. Thank you,

Council. I appreciate it.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. I'd just like to say first, for the record, that I am opposed to 5-B and 5-C and the reason for that is quite obvious, the city itself has caused the blight in our neighborhoods and I think to force the tax burden on all of the rest of the taxpayers is absolutely ridiculous and I really don't know what anybody in their right mind is going to come to this city because you can't even sell houses here, so if you are going to offer somebody three years of an exemption on taxes to build a house here they'd have to be out their mind for the kind of rate they are paying for the taxes here in the future.

But the thing I'd like to say here today is, also, that I did a few more Right-to-Knows, but I had an opportunity to attend the North Scranton Neighborhood Association the other day and I asked the

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

leadership of that group some questions and I'm very troubled by the way the meeting was run and, I don't know, it's been alleged that spouses of city employees were there and special interest was there and, you know, it really wasn't the way a neighborhood association meeting in my opinion should be run. I asked them some questions that had to do with the neighborhood itself, but everything they said was that everything was political except the grant money they were looking for and they had no real stand on anything that was taking place in the city. It really is So what I did was after he left troubling. that meeting I started to form a new North Scranton Neighborhood association. I think I have that right as a resident to try to create new neighborhood associations with people who live in the city in try to put a stop to the politics that's in play here with these neighborhood associations.

I think it's really troubling because it stifles any real change in the city because you bring elected officials

there, former elected officials there, you know, the chief of police, the chief of the fire department basically, you know, nonprofits there. Look it, you are not getting any answers from them people. They are protecting their own interest in my opinion and they are entitled to do that.

But, you know the way the city has been looted over an extended period of time is the neighborhoods sat and really did very little. I intend to go to -- well, I really intend to reach out and tend to and I'm going to reach out to every neighborhood group, but I've got find a place for the new group for the North Scranton Neighborhood Association to meet because I have formed that group already and I'm going form other groups and it's not that I seek power but I seek change and we just can't seem to get any.

I mean, we just -- I respect things that people say from this podium but, you know, the Scranton Sewer Authority was put therefore a reason, okay? It had to do with the general welfare of the residents of this

24

city in regards to sanitation and it's really the health and welfare of the residents, and the ratepayers when the bonds were floated paid all those bonds off, and it's my opinion that even when the American Anglican deal went down the ratepayers paid those -- the monies that were owed to American Anglican to escape that deal, and as we look and we see what the city is going to do with this money it's ridiculous. Really. I mean, what benefit is that to the ordinary Scrantonian, and when you look at what the rates are going to be in the future, and people talk about the general state of the authority. Well, you kept appointing political hacks to run it and, you know, nothing against the employees, but how many employees did the Scranton Sewer Authority actually need to function and is that payroll padded? And is everybody in the city that is hooked up to the Scranton Sewer Authority paying a fee? Even former elected officials and people that are connected? That's a great question to ask.

25 And I just think that when you tell

the residents of this city you are going to sell an asset because you couldn't run it, just like every other asset we seem to be shedding ourselves of, whether it's the parking meters or the parking garages or everything else, that's not the resident's fault, that's this councils's fault, and when you look at where the pension fund is didn't council have an oversight to make sure that those funds were deposited in that pension fund? And I thank you for your time.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. WATKINS: My name is Murph
Watkins and I'm a resident of Scranton and a
taxpayer and I live in the 2100 block of -sorry, 2200 block of Wyoming Avenue, and I
was reading in the paper about the
streetlights, they are putting new
streetlights in, well, I have been there ten
years and they don't have one light on the
street, and the next block, the 2100 block,
also doesn't have any lights. It's so dark
over there. I have been trying for ten

years to get a light put in one, at least one. People come to visit me, three people fell coming out of my house on the sidewalk because it's so dark even with my porch light and my spotlight, but I'm hoping that I could get something to -- somebody to help me.

MR. GAUGHAN: There is actually a process to request a streetlight, in fact, we just went through it with the resident over in Minooka. You have to, and we can give you this document, you have to get your neighbors to sign a petition and then you have to present it to city council and then we have to send correspondence to the city engineer. He comes out, assesses the area and then he will make a recommendation of whether or not a streetlight is warranted, which obviously from what you are telling us it looks like there definitely is one.

MS. WATKINS: It's terrible. Well, there is nobody across the street now, people moved out and they've been out for years. There is two people on the street, me and my brother.

MR. GAUGHAN: Can you leave your information with Kathy and I'll take you through the process and we'll work with Mrs. Red and our city engineer and we'll get you -- try to help you.

MS. WATKINS: Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: You're welcome.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Marie Schumacher.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: In regard to Seventh Order business, I don't believe you should vote for 7-D until we find out how that will be financed. Those liquid fuel funds are the only way streets can get paved outside of the low and moderate income areas other than to have the utility tear your street up or, you know, and so we are going to have for as long as this goes on we are going to have no money to pave or streets and I think that is the wrong use of the liquid fuels funds and I hope you would, again, table it until we know whether that can be accomplished.

On 7-A, I came in and bought a copy

of that and there is so many things a matter with this. Some our -- some are just, I guess, sort of sloppy. For instance, the last thing says, "Any person who shall violate any provisions of this exhibit may be cited and fined pursuant to Section 9 above," but I couldn't find a Section 9 above any place.

The definitions are just so confusing. I think we could do certainly a better job, and I question the \$200 annual, annual that is, registration fee. Remember, for a fee you are supposed to providing some kind of a service. I don't know -- hopefully this list won't even get used at all, but why should it annual? This is just a grab for money the way I see it. Think the intent started off properly, but what are you going to give these people for their -- in return for that fee?

Also, I think -- I know that you can go down, use the Prothonotary's website, just go down on a daily basis and find out when a lis pendens has been filed or any of these other items so that's something that

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

could be done inhouse instead of spending money we really don't have. So, again, he think in the current way it's written is not good.

And then next have any of you, if I may ask, reviewed the asset purchase agreement with the Scranton Sewer Authority?

MR. GAUGHAN: I requested it, but we didn't receive a copy of it yet.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, I would like to know, for example, before you vote on this, serious -- does the 1.9 percent increase per year apply only to the current customer and consumption charge thereby allowing for the immediate application of an additional buyers prevailing wastewater tariff? What about the clause that the buyer shall not propose or implement a distribution improvement system charge for the service area wastewater customer before January 1, 2019? Is this above the 1.9 percent ten-year cap? And when you consider how the proceeds are going to be spent there is also a section on the ten-year -- it's called a variance

adjustment, after the ten-year period and I believe the way it's read it appears that it's something that might need an escrow account because we may-- we as a seller may owe them something and the buyer and the end of the ten-year period, so I hope that you all read it, I hope you have an attorney read it, and be prepared to answer these questions.

MR. GAUGHAN: Solicitor Minora can answer this when you are done, I don't believe we vote on the agreement, we will just vote to dissolve the authority when that decision --

MR. MINORA: That's correct. We do not vote on the agreement.

MR. EVANS: But we should read it.

MR. WECHSLER: We are updating ourselves on the details of the agreement, but we don't have a voice in the agreement. The agreement is between the Scranton Sewer Authority --

MS. SCHUMACHER: If you are selling a city asset --

MR. WECHSLER: We aren't. It's the

| 1 | Scranton Sewer Authority being sold to |
|----|--|
| 2 | Pennsylvania they are voting to sell it. |
| 3 | MS. SCHUMACHER: The mayor said it's |
| 4 | the city who is selling it. |
| 5 | MR. EVANS: No, it's not. |
| 6 | MS. SCHUMACHER: I read it in the |
| 7 | paper, it's to be true. |
| 8 | MR. EVANS: No, that's false. It's |
| 9 | not true. |
| 10 | MS. SCHUMACHER: The other thing is |
| 11 | Section |
| 12 | MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Lockwood would |
| 13 | like that to be true. |
| 14 | MS. SCHUMACHER: 708 of the asset |
| 15 | purchase agreement addresses the City's MS4 |
| 16 | system, as I stated before. I would like to |
| 17 | see that schedule and know precisely what it |
| 18 | is we are signing up to with them and an |
| 19 | estimate of what we are going to be charged |
| 20 | in addition to our waste water. |
| 21 | MR. WECHSLER: We are also asking |
| 22 | that same question. |
| 23 | MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, let's get |
| 24 | some answers. |
| 25 | MR. WECHSLER: Like I said, we are |

1 not the voting -- we are not voting on the sale of the Sewer Authority. 2 3 MR. EVANS: We still want those 4 answers, I agree. 5 MR. WECHSLER: But council does not have one -- doesn't have input on the sale 6 7 of the Sewer Authority. You don't have a 8 vote. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: You can certainly 10 take a position and make that known to the administration. 11 12 MR. EVANS: Yes, absolutely. 13 MR. WECHSLER: It's been ongoing. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: I'll be back with the rest next week, good Lord willing. 15 MR. WECHSLER: We hope he 16 17 cooperates. 18 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council. 19 Dave Dobrzyn, resident, some of my taxes 20 paid. This year it looks like I'm going to 21 have make payments because they keep going 22 up and up and up. Last week we passed a 23 bond issue and I'm a little lacking in 24 understanding here when we were pushing the 25 Sewer Authority sale we were told that that

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was going to take care of the unions settlement, and I agree they waited a long time and I appreciate the fact that they foregone \$20 million in punitive damages which was largely precipitated by the state. I thought when we voted for Mayor Courtright that we would have a little labor peace and someone seems to be getting awfully cantankerous in pushing other things like this bond issue. That's going to cost, as Andy pointed out, \$60 million over years or maybe 70 depending on the interest rate and then there is all kinds of fees involved, finder's fees and so forth. So then this even gets worse and I feel I'm being lead but not represented at times here.

Mayor Doherty, as per I talked to people that were representing the union in the work force and every time they arrived at an agreement with Mayor Doherty he would go back to PEL and they would say, "No way."

So basically the whole situation or most of it was precipitated by the State of Pennsylvania and our crummy economy, that

2

4

6

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phony paper chase economy that we seem to have.

And I'm really getting concerned about the spending now with this streetlight deal, I don't want to see a whole lot of --I want to see the money spent to put us on a level playing field with debt and not spent on -- to me a ten-year warranty I bought lifetime warrantee windows for my house and the company changed hands and every time I call up I get a sob story about how they have to fix the other company's windows that they bought out and agreed to honor the warrantee and, I mean, in other words, my warranty is worthy of use up in the bathroom or something. I mean, it just isn't a warranty and these companies can go out of business, they can find hundreds of ways to just sidestep their warrantees, so I'm really, really concerned about going into any additional spending.

I have a question on 7-C, I know a lot of the people are for it, but I wonder if we didn't spend similar money if we couldn't have the pools fixed and that would

be something for everybody and there is one way to look at that, you would have kids all in the same place where they would be watched that nothing is going on instead of a handful of kids. When we had the swimming up at Nay Aug Park with the taxpayers and Andy and the Kids Swim Free, I mean, it was apparent that they made more money that day and it probably didn't -- still didn't pay the wages of the staff but they made more money that day when we brought those kids up and we got a really sour attitude out of there.

And, once again, I'd like to bring up the smoking in parks. I feel like silly defending cigarette smoking, but these people -- everybody pays taxes, number one. Number two, if we keep it to the playgrounds, we keep to the pool, keep it from the eating areas, that would be just dynamite, I would be totally in support of it, but if we are going to have self-righteous, unprofessional people running around yelling at somebody else about smoking that's kind of off limits.

And one thing I'd like to say about the litter in the park, I used to take my doggy bag, I'll make it quick, and I figured, well, I got this big doggy bag I'll pick up some litter, be a good Boy Scout. Well, one day I found a dirty diaper in the one of the buggies up there at the mine museum or the mine thing, the rail thing. So, you know, some people should pull out their own -- there is plenty of bottles, cans, papers and dirty diapers in a bag in the mine buggie. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MS. FOSTER: Hello, Council. Karin Foster, West Scranton/Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch. First, I want to apologize for not attending last meeting. Circumstances beyond my control I wasn't able to attend, but I did want to come to speak to the public meeting that we hosted for the Novembrino Park as well as the other parks in our community center.

The attendance was approximately 40 people. The folks that were there were

individuals that lived in Jackson Terrace and on 10th Avenue as well as other parts of West Scranton. We did have an exit survey for an interest of what the individuals would like to have in the park and in our community center and one of the items was having somewhere for the children to have a water recreation. So given the past history prior to closing at Novembrino, the general consensus was that having something that was designated more towards a smaller age group of children encouraging small families to come would be the proper way to address that property so to be able to control who is coming in and out of their.

Now, a splash pad can cool off an adult as well as a teenager, but there is less opportunity for them to do mischief with this type of format and as to we did have a nice meeting with Dave Bulzoni, and in the future of Novembrino Park as far as how it was going to run.

And as many of know, we were the recipients of a Scranton area park collaborative that had our planting grants

last year in that study we found that our organization felt that we had to take onus of being able to provide programs in the park with supervision, and although at the time Novembrino was not under our auspices because it was the Parks and Rec Department we are willing to help advocate for programming in the park and they did indicate that they would help funding so there is someone to be supervising at that park through that time.

And if you would allow me, I just wanted to share some other updates that are happening within the next two to five years for West Scranton. We have had a lot of action happening at Washburn Street. 1621, which is the former LA Lewis building that we have purchased for a community center. We are having a groundbreaking ceremony tomorrow at 9 a.m., and this weekend we will be having Army Reserve Unit 412, it's an engineer company, and they are coming for their community relations and training weekend and what they will be doing for us is the front end of the building had office

partitions and they will be basically gutting it for us so that we have a clean slate and doing some repair to subfloor so if they -- they would love the public to come and visit them so if you are around over the weekend and want to stop in and thank them for their good work we would appreciate that.

Also, we have a contractor, KT Tire, who has donated their services to prep and paint the exterior of the building and we will provide the paint and that should be completed by May.

We also have a mural contest and there is information both on neighborworks website as well as our own, which is HydeParkWatch.org for the application to apply for a mural on the west end of the building. The theme of the mural is what makes West Side home. So if anyone is interested, they can go to the sites and get the RFP application.

Other things that are happening is that we will be having a skateboard day camp at the skate park this year and we will also

1 have a concert series, matinee performances, two concerts a month rotating at Fellows, 2 3 Alan and Jackson Street Parks. The slate 4 has been set and we will posted very shortly. The concerts will be from 2 to 4. 5 6 And those are the things that are 7 coming up as far as for West Scranton and I 8 would like to thank Mr. Wechsler for coming 9 to our public meeting. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. 11 MS. FOSTER: And just for the 12 record, our organization is non-political. 13 Always had been, always will be, but we will 14 reach out to our representatives to help our 15 community. Thank you. 16 MR. PERRY: Thank you. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Thanks, Karin. 18 Anyone else? MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 19 5 - A . 20 MOTIONS. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry? 22 MR. PERRY: I have no motions and I 23 will hold all my comments for the individual 24 legislation. MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan? 25

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just a couple of very brief items, very positive items, too. The first one is I'm sure most of you have seen it reported in the Scranton Times that the Steamtown Mall is doing very, very well and one of the ideas that was floated around on the social media for a few years, the idea of a mall marketplace is actually coming to fruition and I think we are all very anxious to see that take place. owner of the mall, John Basalyga, certainly deserves a ton of credit for doing a great job thus far getting the mall from where it's been to where it's going, but also a lot of the credit belongs to the residents of Scranton who have pushed and advocated for the idea of a mall marketplace similar to the Reading terminal in Philadelphia, and I know for myself I am very anxious to see that marketplace and I know my wife is even more than me, she is the shopper of the family, so we are definitely looking forward to that.

The second piece of good news, and this one flies a little bit under the radar

unless you read Bond Buyer, which is a financial publication you would have missed this one and the headline, this was from March 31, the headline is "Scranton Eyes Distressed City Exit After Waste Water Sale." And it goes on about the potential sale of the Sewer Authority, which if you have been following council meetings, since the first day I sat in this seat I have been advocating for the sale of the Sewer Authority in addition to the privatization of the garbage refuse department.

The quote that I want to read is, it's actually from the Auditor General. It says, "In an interview of last week at Widner University Commonwealth School of Law conference in Harrisburg, State Auditor General Eugene D. Pasquale said he saw signs of progress in Scranton. They are taking the issue very seriously and I think they are in a better place than they were a year ago he said."

So that is definitely something that, you know, we could -- it's nice to hear when somebody is in a higher position

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

such as a state auditor general who has been very critical of the City's past practices comes out and says that we are on the right path and we are doing a good job. So with that I will keep it very positive tonight and that will be it. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. I'm going to go down a different path for a change. got my garbage bill the other day and I have to tell you I don't like opening the garbage bill, not because there shouldn't be a fee, but because we really don't know what the true cost of the collection and disposal of our garbage is and we don't know what the fee should be set at if we don't know the accurate cost. We have to continue to put pressure on the administration for a comprehensive study of the garbage collection to finely get an accurate accounting of what the costs for collection really is as well as determining if a flat fee is the correct way to charge for the collection or a hybrid or even a per bag fee might be the right way to go.

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, since we have a dedicated recycling coordinator now I would like to see a report on how we can increase not only commercial recycling but residential recycling. I know this is only an anecdotal and based on a cursory review of some of the neighborhoods I have walked through, but the eye test tells me what we are probably collecting about 50 percent on the residential recycling side. that's not true, but either way any increase in recycling reduces landfill costs to the city which in turn would reduce the cost of the fee to property owners, but I since that the current fee is based on the premise that like so many other fees in the city where few are paying more because of those that don't pay at all. In this case, also because of those that don't recycle.

This philosophy of charging less property owners more through higher fees has come a way of doing things for far too long. It's the easy way and it has to stop. So if it's okay with my colleagues, I would like to ask Lori if she would send a letter to

2

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mayor's office asking when they will be putting an RFP out for that disposal fee study.

Secondly, on a positive side, as Councilman Rogan noted, I'd like to comment on the Scranton Public Market at Steamtown Mall. First, I'd like to congratulate Mr. Basalyga and his staff for his continuing efforts to turn the mall around. The call for an a public market was a classic grassroots efforts by many citizens in this city and they should be both congratulated as well as Mr. Basalyga. He took their suggestions, he did his due diligence on the idea and came to the conclusion that it would work and now he is doing it. how a responsive and visionary business owner is supposed to act.

I was also struck by a comment Mr.

Basalyga made in today's news article, I

don't even think about the negative, I only

see the good. That, my friends, is a

refreshing statement. That's all I have for

now. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Gaughan.

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Just a couple of comments. First of all, I believe it was Mrs. Schumacher who mentioned that we shouldn't go forward with the lighting project because then we wouldn't have any money to pave the streets. That's not true. We will receive this year over \$2 million in the liquid fuels allocation so if the money from the streetlight project, if the lease is \$400,000 and that comes out of liquid fuels we will still have if the city decided to go forward with another paving project we will have the money to invest in upgrading our streets and our infrastructure so I just want to make that point because I have heard that from other people if you are going to

Second of all, Mrs. Watkins, if are you are going to stay towards the end of the meeting I can get you that -- I was just thinking about that as everybody else was talking, I can get that you that petition and get you started on that.

fix the lights you should focus on fixing

the streets, I believe that we can do both.

 $\hbox{Mrs. Reed, if we can send something} \\$

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

down to the administration asking them if they will publish the audit on the website.

Mrs. Hodowanitz is correct that I believe this is important.

The comment on Mr. Evans' point about the garbage fee, I do agree with him. I hear more complaints about the \$300 garbage fee than almost anything else. actually have been going back and forth with a gentleman from Massachusetts who was in charge of the pay as you throw program and I mentioned this I believe a month or two ago and he actually ran some numbers and I'm still reviewing them and I will share them with my colleagues hopefully by next week for a hybrid fee so you would pay a per bag fee and then you would have a lower base fee. So there is a lot of things we can do, there are a number of the different communities who approach trash in a different way than the City of Scranton does, so I do think that we need as a city to look at it in a different way, to study it and I agree with Mr. Evans that we need to move forward on that in the next year or

SO.

Also, I was very happy to hear that the Mall at Steamtown will be opening the Scranton Public Market by midsummer. This is just another exciting development in the resurgence of the mall and the area around the mall and I think that Mr. Basalyga has proven to be a great asset to the City of Scranton and I'm sure that there will be more exciting developments to come.

I would also ask Mrs. Reed if you could send a letter to the mayor and our DPW Director Gallagher asking for an update on what the City's plan to deal with the MS4 permit requirements for storm water management in the city. Mrs. Schumacher has mentioned this, I have heard it from other residents, this is something that is coming down the pike very soon and it's something that we have to face and it is going to be a major issue so I would like also in the letter to request a meeting with both gentlemen so that we can discuss it, I can get an update on where we are with the MS4 permit requirement and I can update -- give

2

3

5

6 7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an update to my colleagues.

Councilmen Wechsler mentioned in the beginning of the meeting about the Scranton half-marathon this Sunday, April 10. I would just like to say that this is truly a great event and represents everything that's great about this city and I would urge everyone to come out and support the city and the runners this Sunday at 9:00 at Scranton High School. And that is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan. Just to echo some of the comments that have been made this evening, first of all about the Scranton Marketplace, I think the Scranton Marketplace the idea was finally grasped by Mr. Basalyga when they had the Christmas market -- in the old Bon-Ton and also last Sunday I attended another marketplace that was full of shoppers and entrepreneurs that had a vibrant energy to it and I'm so happy that Mr. Basalyga is going to take this opportunity to take these craftsmen and other entrepreneurs into the Steamtown Mall,

I think it's an awesome idea.

And, also, on Friday night I attended the First Night downtown, First Friday, and once again it was just -- it's an effort of people that don't come to city council chambers, they don't -- the best I can tell they are not really involved in Scranton politics, but they are people that love their city and they love the idea of downtown Scranton being a vibrant place and I would just like to congratulate all of them and express my happiness that they are down there.

And also one other comment about the marketplace, when the Facebook group was formed I'm sure all of us quickly looked and became friends of that page and I actually reached out to some of the organizers of that and they graciously told me that we didn't want politicians involved and I thought that was a great idea. This was a great grassroots organization and they kindly told us when we need you will come and get you so so far they haven't needed us, but we would offer our support to

whatever Mr. Basalyga needs over there.

Just to touch on the garbage fee, I got the friendly message in the mail yesterday, also, and as Mr. Evans alluded to there are a lot of people who do not pay their garbage fee and we still are trying to determine the information about what liens -- where we are in the lien process with the city. We contacted Treasurer Beck again this week, he is in contact with City Solicitor Shrive to see the status of the liens which is a considerable amount of money there for us possibly to recoup. That's all I have for right now.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX
EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER
TO IMPROVE DETERIORATING REAL PROPERTY IN
CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND
PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, AND
PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND
LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be

introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: On the question, I would just like to thank Councilman Evans for his work on this and also the mayor for submitting the original legislation that brought about some tax forgiveness for people who build new homes in the City of Scranton and especially a special thanks to Councilman Evans who took that extra step to make good legislation great so I'm very hopeful that this will help spur some economic development within the city.

MR. WECHSLER: I would just also like to thank the work that council did on this ordinance, and I also I would like to thank the Scranton School District and Lackawanna County for becoming partners with us on this program. We contacted the school district very earlier when this legislation first came down to us, they were very receptive becoming involved. We also contacted Lackawanna County and they also

so from my viewpoint a few weeks ago we had a speaker say that the city doesn't invest any money because we don't have any money so we don't invest in anything, but this is the type of investments that we can make. We can wave taxes for three years and that's our investment, and then when the project is completed and the time has gone by these properties would become part of the tax rolls, and it does not cost us -- really it does not cost us anything because I don't think a lot of these projects would have moved forward if this abatement program was not in place.

expressed their interest in working with us

MR. EVANS: On the question, the legislation that we are introducing tonight is calling for a three-year tax abatement program for new construction in the city. It is time to be proactive. It's time to pro-growth. It's time to rethink how we to business in the city. During the last eight years, which happened to coincide with the last financial collapse, there has been very little new construction or growth in

Scranton, and we could stand by and watch this pattern continue or we could step up and do something about it. That is what this program is about. Spurring economic development, increasing residential and commercial construction, increasing and stabilizing our tax base for the future.

There is a long held business axiom, if you don't grow you die. I have repeatedly said we must grow ourselves out of our longstanding financial situation. It should not be beyond anyone's comprehension that we can no longer tax our way out. If this legislation doesn't increase growth at the level needed then we tweak it, then we change it or we come up with another idea or another idea, but we can no longer sit by and wait for others to act. It's on us.

With that said, I hope you will see the city council continue to act and continue to lead when necessary. The dialogue with the school district and the county on this tax abatement proposal started with us as well as several vital changes in addition to the legislation. It

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is my hope that this is just the beginning of even more initiatives that we will promote real growth in our city, and I would be remiss if I didn't thank the administration because they responded to all of our requests on this, got the legislation back quickly and made changes and I appreciate their efforts as well. That's all I have for now.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I will be voting to introduce both pieces of legislation that deal with the tax abatement. It's no secret, as Mr. Evans mentioned, that Scranton, like many cities, suffered from a housing market collapse a few years ago. Along with rising taxes and budget issues perspective homebuilders are leery of the city, which is understandable. In working with my colleagues in discussing all of these issues, it was clear that we needed to provide an incentive for residents and businesses within the city. abatements can be tool to help stimulate economic activity in the city. The tax abatements will help encourage home

Thank you.

rehabilitation, new construction and redevelopment in our business districts. It's clear if you look at what other cities have done that have participated in similar programs that tax abatements can incentivize home building and home improvement projects that can then lead to increased construction jobs and consumer spending and ease the tax burden for homeowners, so that is why I will be voting for both pieces of legislation.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, finally on the question, a lot of fellow councilmen said everything that I was about to say, but I think that just really sets the stage for how strongly we all feel about it and this is absolutely the right thing going forward. You know, legislation 5-B and C was created to promote the growth of property tax within the city by spurring new construction. Instead of just raising the taxes on the few time after time after time after time. We need to begin to turn that tide around and start making changes to grow our tax base and I believe this legislation does that and

1 I believe the process of growing our way out 2 of what we are in starts with legislation 3 5-B and 5-C so I'll be obviously be 4 introducing this today. That's all I have. MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of 5 introduction signify by saying aye. 6 MR. PERRY: Aye. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -13 14 AN ORDINANCE - DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER 15 16 TO STIMULATE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND 17 OTHER BUSINESS ACTIVITY IN CERTAIN AREAS IN 18 THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE AND PROCEDURES FOR 19 OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS, PROVIDING FOR 20 21 NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

| | 60 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 2 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 3 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All |
| 4 | those in favor of introduction signify by |
| 5 | saying aye. |
| 6 | MR. PERRY: Aye. |
| 7 | MR. ROGAN: Aye. |
| 8 | MR. EVANS: Aye. |
| 9 | MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The |
| 11 | ayes have it and so moved. |
| 12 | MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A |
| 13 | RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF MARIO |
| 14 | PARLOPIANO, 202 WILBUR STREET, SCRANTON, |
| 15 | PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE |
| 16 | MUNICIPAL INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY |
| 17 | (MIDAS), EFFECTIVE MARCH 30, 2016. MR. |
| 18 | PARLOPIANO IS BEING APPOINTED TO A FOUR (4) |
| 19 | YEAR TERM THAT WILL EXPIRE ON MARCH 30, |
| 20 | 2020. |
| 21 | MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll |
| 22 | entertain a motion that Item 5-D be |
| 23 | introduced into its proper committee. |
| 24 | MR. ROGAN: So moved. |
| 25 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| | 1 |

1 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All 2 those in favor of introduction signify by 3 saying aye. 4 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 6 MR. EVANS: Aye. 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 9 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -10 11 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE 12 AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN 13 14 THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF IN 15 PAVEMENT LED CROSSWALK WARNING LIGHTS ON 16 17 MULBERRY STREET. 18 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 19 20 introduced into its proper committee. 21 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: Second. 23 MR. EVANS: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the request.

This legislation just saying that the University of Scranton will assume all future maintenance costs of the LED crosswalk warning lights at the intersection of the Mulberry and Monroe, Mulberry and Quincy and Mulberry and Hitchcock Court.

MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS OF THE CITY
OF SCRANTON TO SIGN AND SUBMIT THE
PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
("PENNDOT") APPLICATION FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL
APPROVAL FOR THE IN PAVEMENT LED CROSSWALK
WARNING LIGHTS THE UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON
HAD INSTALLED AS PART OF THEIR STREETSCAPE
PROJECT ALONG MULBERRY STREET.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll

1 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 2 introduced into its proper committee. 3 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 7 saying aye. 8 MR. PERRY: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. EVANS: Aye. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 15 SEVENTH ORDER. 16 7 - A . F0R 17 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -18 FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 19, 2016 CREATING AN ABANDONED PROPERTY REGISTRY 19 20 AND PROVIDING FOR THE REGISTRATION OF 21 ABANDONED REAL PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final 24 25 passage of Item 7-A.

•

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'd just like to mention one item, there was a question brought up about this and say, well, these people are paying this, this fee is paid by banks that owned foreclosed properties within the City of Scranton. No Scranton residents will be paying in fee and the goal is to register the properties so there is a database where we know when there is an issue with the foreclosed properties, which bank to contact to address that problem.

And as I mentioned last week, this is another one of those items where a good idea came and it was made better and Councilman Evans and myself are continuing to work with an outside group to develop a grant program for first time homebuyers to use the revenue generated from the foreclosed properties to help first time homebuyers to kind of bring it full circle.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the request, I'll be voting "yes" for the final passage of this legislation. I think this program will

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be a major tool that the city can use to fight against blight in our neighborhoods. One of the most consistent complaints I have gotten since being on council is about vacant, abandoned foreclosed homes that fall into disrepair. These homes cause headaches for neighbors and it seems like there is at least one in every neighborhood that all of the neighbors talk about. High grass, broken windows, rodents, unshoveled snow and sidewalks are just some of the issues that these neighbors have to deal with. problem is that it's almost impossible to find someone that's responsible to maintain the property while it's foreclosed. Unmaintained foreclosed properties bring down the value of the surrounding properties and the morale of the neighborhood. This program will increase the accountability of the lending institution which temporarily owns the property or keeping it up to code until it is sold to a new owner.

I'll be voting for this, I think it's going to be a great tool and I can't wait to see it in action. Thank you.

| | 66 |
|----|--|
| 1 | MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please? |
| 2 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. |
| 3 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 4 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. |
| 5 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 6 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. |
| 7 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 8 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 9 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. |
| 10 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 11 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
| 12 | declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully |
| 13 | adopted. |
| 14 | MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION |
| 15 | BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - |
| 16 | FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 20, |
| 17 | 2016 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW BANK |
| 18 | ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S OFFICE OF |
| 19 | ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ("OECD") |
| 20 | TITLED EDA SEQUESTERED FUNDS AWARD |
| 21 | #013903140 ACCOUNT NO. 20A0101 FOR THE |
| 22 | DEPOSIT OF SEQUESTERED FUNDS AS REQUIRED BY |
| 23 | THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, ECONOMIC |
| 24 | DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (EDA). |
| 25 | MR. WECHSLER: What is the |
| | |

| | 67 |
|----|--|
| 1 | recommendation of the Chairperson for the |
| 2 | Committee on Community Development? |
| 3 | MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the |
| 4 | Committee on Community Development, I |
| 5 | recommend final passage of Item 7-B. |
| 6 | MR. EVANS: Second. |
| 7 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 8 | Roll call, please? |
| 9 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. |
| 10 | MR. PERRY: Yes. |
| 11 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. |
| 12 | MR. ROGAN: Yes. |
| 13 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. |
| 14 | MR. EVANS: Yes. |
| 15 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. |
| 16 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. |
| 17 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 18 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
| 19 | declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully |
| 20 | adopted. |
| 21 | MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY |
| 22 | THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION |
| 23 | - RESOLUTION NO. 19, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE |
| 24 | MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS |
| 25 | TO EXECUTE AND APPLY FOR A GRANT THROUGH THE |
| | II |

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) IN THE AMOUNT OF \$295,035.00; IF THE APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPTING AND DISBURSING THE GRANT FUNDS FOR NOVEMBRINO PARK REHABILITATION IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.

MR. WECHSLER: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works?

MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. ROGAN:

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

I'd just like to again

express my support for this project, as a West sider and somebody who swam in this pool as a kid, seeing it in disrepair and driving by really breaks your heart.

Hopefully we will receive this grant and with help with neighborhood organizations like the neighborhood watch and everyone coming together there will be a place for young kids to cool off in the summer again.

And as I mentioned before, I think it could

really tie in with the Oxy and have an entire recreational complex in West Scranton that will be a gem of the city.

And, also, I know one of the speakers mentioned about the neighborhood groups, we have great neighborhood groups in the city. I don't get to the meetings as much as I would like because of my work schedule but I know they are doing a great job and they are out there working very hard and I know, for instance, in the West Scranton neighborhood there has never been any politics involved, it's just been about helping the community and there is certainly a lot that needs to be done and this is a -- at least for my neighborhood in West Side this is a great project.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question, I would agree that this is an outstanding project. I hope that the city is awarded these funds to complete the improvements to Novembrino park. The improvement of this park will revitalize this area of West Side, raise surrounding property values and a provide a place where children can play in a

safe and clean environment. Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question, what else can we say? This has been a long time coming for the Novembrino park and I like, the rest of my council members, hope this goes through and this is going to bring West Side years if not decades and decades of enjoyment for the citizens and the children mostly to have a fun place to go and they are going to be able to enjoy their summers so, obviously, this is going to be a "yes" vote for me.

MR. WECHSLER: I would like to thank Karin Foster for her group putting the information session on, that was really a well-attended meeting because there was some serious concerns about the future of Novembrino pool, and as my colleagues said, it is going to be a very nice improvement to that neighborhood, and it is a good example of what neighborhood associations do. I do attend a lot of the meetings and most of most people aren't interested in glory for themselves or glory for the city, they are only interested in protecting their

neighborhoods. They take time out of their busy schedules to attend monthly meetings and most of the events that the neighborhood associations do are for the benefit of the children and they are not political and neighborhood groups should not be political, but I do congratulate and thank them all for everything that they do.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I will actually piggyback on what Councilman
Wechsler said, this is an important part of this. It was actually another grassroots effort, another effort by the neighborhood association and the neighbors and the city listened to what they wanted and this is the result and when you do that good things happen so I fully endorse this project.

MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

| 1 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. |
| 3 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby |
| 4 | declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully |
| 5 | adopted. |
| 6 | MS. REED: 7-D - PREVIOUSLY TABLED - |
| 7 | FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC |
| 8 | WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 13, |
| 9 | 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER |
| 10 | APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND |
| 11 | ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH THE EFFICIENCY |
| 12 | NETWORK, INCORPORATED, AS ENERGY SERVICES |
| 13 | COMPANY FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON STREET |
| 14 | LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT PROJECT. |
| 15 | MR. WECHSLER: What is the |
| 16 | recommendation of the Chairperson for the |
| 17 | Committee on Public Works? |
| 18 | MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the |
| 19 | Committee on Public Works, I recommend final |
| 20 | passage of Item 7-D. |
| 21 | MR. ROGAN: Second. |
| 22 | MR. WECHSLER: On the question? |
| 23 | MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. |
| 24 | As Chairperson of Public Safety, I'm elated, |
| 25 | illuminated, if you will, all lights in the |

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city after this is rolled out will be operational. You know, when was the last time that we can say there was not a streetlight out in the City of Scranton. I know we had that lady here today that said it was dark, was it the 21 or 2200 block of -- which street were you at?

MS. WATKINS: There is six polls, not one light on this them.

MR. PERRY: That's right. And, you know, that's not going to happen, but we are going to take care of all of those issues when this is over. The other thing that I'm excited about is that these streetlights are going to be self-reporting now when there is an outage so there is not going to be an need to call or e-mail city hall or inform us when there is a streetlight out because when that streetlight fails or goes dark or burns out it is just going to -- it's just going to report right to a central location and we can dispatch them out and have that taken care of and that's something that I also think is a big part of this roll out. That's all.

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I will be voting "yes" for this legislation tonight for a number of different reasons. First, and I believe most importantly, this lighting project will save the city roughly \$400,000 annually in energy and maintenance costs. Since the city is contracting with an energy savings company these savings will be guaranteed. Over the past 20 years, we have seen the cost of illuminating the city rise continually. The cost associated with maintaining the fixtures and lamp replacement has also increased. project allows us not only to save a substantial amount of money, but also helps our environment by reducing carbon emissions.

The financing of this project is also positive because it will have no impact on the general fund. We will be using a portion of our liquid fuels allocation to pay back the costs over a period of time. With the savings from energy and maintenance costs, this project almost pays for itself.

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Aside the economic benefit,

conversion to LED lighting will allow for a noticeable increase in lighting levels on all city streets which will improve visibility for drivers and pedestrians and provide a clean, more inviting landscape for our residents and our visitors. Increased lighting will also have a major impact on public safety. Increasing lighting levels has been proven to decrease crime in cities across the United States. A review by the Campbell Collaboration of 13 studies of street lighting interventions in the United States spanning four decades finds that crime decreased by 21 percent in areas that experience street lighting improvements compared to similar areas that did not.

This project, in my opinion, is a major step in addressing our aging infrastructure, saving money on energy and maintenance and making our community an inviting, safe place to live. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I certainly agree with my colleagues regarding the project and the benefits, my concern I think has also been

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

raised by other members of council isn't so much with the project but with the company that was selected and for that reason I will be voting against this contract.

MR. WECHSLER: The fact that we are voting on this contract I think does illustrate one point though is we are going to have be very proactive when this project begins because we are hearing some concerns about residents in terms of what type of lighting and how bright or, you know, what kind of lighting is provided, so I was very optimistic last week during the presentation, it seemed to me that the company was going to be very responsive to that participation of the community and what type in terms of what type of lights, their brightness and their locations so I am quite optimistic that this is going to be a true benefit for the city and also some of the programs that are a possibility once the lighting project is in place, and also are going to offer Scranton an opportunity to become a little bit more modern city. Ro11 call, please?

| | | 77 |
|----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 1 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. | |
| 2 | MR. PERRY: Yes. | |
| 3 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. | |
| 4 | MR. ROGAN: No. | |
| 5 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. | |
| 6 | MR. EVANS: Yes. | |
| 7 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. | |
| 8 | MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. | |
| 9 | MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. | |
| 10 | MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby | |
| 11 | declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully | |
| 12 | adopted. | |
| 13 | If there is no further business, | |
| 14 | I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. | |
| 15 | MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. | |
| 16 | MR. WECHSLER: This meeting is | |
| 17 | adjourned. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER