_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, March 31, 2016	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	on real contraction of the contr	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

8 TIM PERRY

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	LACKAWANNA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
17	SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT EVALUATION
18	REPORTS REVIEWED FEBRUARY 16 AND FEBRUARY
19	18, 2016.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any
21	comments? If not, received and filed.
22	MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
23	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD APRIL 6,
24	2016.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Are there any

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD APRIL 13. 2016.

MR. WECHSLER: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Do any council members have any announcements at this time?

MR. EVANS: I do. As you can see, I'm dressed down today because it's Casual In honor of colon cancer Thursday. awareness, it saves unlimited adult lives so today is Causal Thursday, so it's a program hosted by the Northeast Regional Center, the institute to raise awareness about colon cancer and the importance of screening an early detection. Participants can dress casually while wearing a special shirt, which I'm tonight, or a pin to promote The event is in memory of Helen awareness. Phillips, a woman who fought a courageous battle against colon cancer. I know one of our speakers mentioned it last week and it's very, very important to get screened early. Most of us have been touched by this

disease, if not personally then by someone that we know. My mother beat colon cancer, a good friend is certainly fighting the battle and another friend is going for a screening tomorrow and I will be calling my doctor for my screening as well tomorrow. So please keep that in mind and do what you need to do to get detection early, it could save your life. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, resident and taxpayer. I'd like to start tonight by marking the passing of a very wonderful man in our city, Sidney Markowitz. Sid died on March 27 at the age of 93.

Among his many accomplishments, Sid was the oldest lottery retailer in all of Pennsylvania. Not counting the time that he fought in World War II with the First Armored Division he ran a business in downtown Scranton for over 70 years and he

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knew everyone who was anybody. If he didn't know you, you were a nobody. He was a wonderful, wonderful man, a scholar and a gentleman and he will be greatly missed.

Now, on the subject of the 2014 and '15 audits, I checked at 5 p.m., the 2014 audit has not yet been posted on the City's website. I have been trying to get information on the administration's response to the many findings in the 2014 audit, I still have no answer as to where was the audit between March 4, the date it was signed and technically released, and March 15, the day it was received in the City Clerk's Office. I requested on March 16 appointments with both the mayor and the business administrator to get some answers with regard to the audit and as of today I have not even had the courtesy of a return phone call, which doesn't surprise me, but we will see how many days this will go on.

I will remind you that under the
Home Rule Charter, Section 13 of Article
III, council is to publish a fiscal summary
of the audit in a local newspaper. I'm

21

22

23

24

25

waiting to see what summary you will publish for the 2014 audit, and I remind you that May 31 is your deadline for publishing the fiscal summary for the 2015 audit. might have a few words to whisper in the ears of SB & Company. I have questions with regard to what Rainey & Rainey accomplished request their contact last year in terms of training the staff upstairs since the 2014 audit ran so late and what they are doing this year, especially when you read the audit and see the number of inter-inconsistencies and just sloppiness. I sent you all a copy of my initial comments on that audit, I hope you have all had time to read it.

I would like one of you, if you ever get a chance, to tell me just how much property tax revenue was collected in 2014.

There are at least five different figures in the audit. That's not the auditors responsibility, that's management's responsibility, and I would like SB & Company to start providing regular updates to the public on the status of the 2015

audit.

And, finally, the Times published an article "Wolf Rejects Fiscal Code Provisions on March 29," so the LST tax, which is supposed to have been tripled to \$156 is back down to \$52. Has the administration said anything about how they are going to fill that hole if this shortfall cannot be corrected because that's a \$3.35 million hole in projected 2016 operating budget, which we look forward to getting over \$5 million when it was \$156. So I will listen to your answers during motions. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

First thing is, Mr. Rogan, I would like to give you my condolences for the great losses you have suffered lately personally.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Like I said before from the podium, I strongly disagree with so many things that happened here, but it's not personal, believe me. Today I have another Right-to-Know letter, okay, and hopefully I will hear something from the last one but, you know, I really think it's time for the

22

23

24

25

residents to understand that these Right-to-Knows, look it, I have filed them before, the city just has no real desire to answer anybody's questions and, you know, I would be curious to know why that is, but, you know, my question today is pretty simple and if the city does give answers I wouldn't mind if they gave them to council and council can inform the residents of the city what the answers to these questions are, if they ever come. My question today is, what was the cost to built the Novembrino pool complex when it was constructed? And where did the funds for this project come from? Okay, now, they are important questions and, you know, I just hope the residents of this city could really understand and get a total grasp of what has occurred in this city over an extended period of time.

I mean, today we are talking about streetlights, but you know what we really need to discuss, we need to discuss signs which mark streets because that was a project that was done in the city, and I don't know how long the old signs were here,

6

7

5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but they were electrical and some were missing, but I'd assume that they were very old, well, I'd like to ask the residents of this city to drive through the city and look at streets signs that tell you what street you are on, Dorothy Street, Capouse Avenue, whatever, and you will find that a very large majority of them are sun faded, you don't even know what street you are turning on because as the city spent the money they never were concerned with whether things were done right, you know, what the quality of the workmanship was, it was just borrow money, spend money, spread money around to possibly political people who contributed to people's campaigns or friends and what seems to happen over a long period of time is that we have just been fleeced.

You know, when you take a look at the sheriffs sales, judicial says, whatever, you know, terminology you want to use we are selling people's properties because, well, you got to pay to play and don't worry about the harm you bring to the tax base and the citizens, don't worry about what the value

25

of their property is. You know, just like the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority, it's ludicrous, it's just beyond ridiculous to be quite blunt and, you know, I don't know if Mr. Pilchesky is out there tonight and he would be willing to file something to block this, and I brought up Mr. Mulligan last week, you know, it's just reached the point where, you know, you have to ask yourself a couple of the questions, where is our state senator, where are our state representatives, over two decades of utter silliness, you know, asking about audits, and nothing personal, but I really don't think the council understands the Home Rule Charter, I don't think they have any command of that document at all, and I just think that maybe it's time for the residents to understand that what's going to happen after the sale of the Scranton Sewer Authority is we are going to accelerate the decline of the city because all of these vacant properties people can't sell that they are moving out of they are going to get run down, they are going to be blighted, we are

going to add them to the blighted properties we already have, we are going add them to the decay we already have, we are going to have a city that's going to possibly still be responsible for the storm collection system and all of the costs tied to that, the borrowing that's going to take place to pay the employees' award instead of cutting the budget over a period of period of time, it's just a nightmare that's evolving here and I don't feel sorry for the residents because they could have changed this but they didn't. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Morgan, that you are going to have file with the Right-to-Know office.

MR. MORGAN: Don't you want me to give you those things in writing? Didn't you ask that?

MR. WECHSLER: Not Right-to-Know,

MR. MORGAN: Okay. Well, do you want me to personally write you stuff and notarize it and hand it to you and you can answer it.

1 MR. WECHSLER: If you would like to 2 ask us questions you can present it to us, 3 but if you are doing a Right-to-Know you 4 have to present it to the Right-to-Know officer. 5 MR. MORGAN: Okay. Well, then next 6 week I'll come back and ask you this 7 8 question personally. 9 MR. WECHSLER: That's fine. 10 MR. MORGAN: Thank you. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Just, Mr. Morgan, 12 just one second, please, I did consult the 13 Right-to-Know person during the week, they 14 have five days to respond. If they do not respond, it's your responsibility to contact 15 16 the state for a follow up. 17 MR. MORGAN: Thank you. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Albert Young. 19 Mr Bolus, I called Mr. Young. 20 MR. YOUNG: He has some information 21 for you folks. Good evening, council 22 members. 23 MR. BOLUS: It speaks for itself. 24 MR. YOUNG: The reason I'm here 25 tonight is to talk about Meadowbrook Creek,

1 the problem we have had for over 50 years in Greenridge. Now, I asked Mr. Bolus speak 2 3 briefly for me because I am not a public speaker. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: Excuse me, but this is your time, Mr. Young, not Mr. Bolus'. 6 I understand that and 7 MR. YOUNG: 8 that's why I'm speaking now. 9 MR. WECHSLER: And you cannot give 10 your time to him. 11 MR. YOUNG: I don't ask him. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Just so you remember. 13 MR. BOLUS: He can't do what? 14 MR. WECHSLER: He cannot give his 15 time to you. 16 MR. YOUNG: I gave him permission to 17 speak for me, but I am speaking to you right 18 now. MR. WECHSLER: 19 Good. 20 MR. YOUNG: Okay? Thank you. The 21 reason that he is passing these pictures out to you and the letter that I had written it 22 23 has been over 50 years that we have put up 24 with this creek running through our yard,

running through Greenridge and there has

25

been absolutely nothing done by anyone.

have had every state and federal

representatives, I have even had past

council members in my backyard.

Now, this hole right now, you are looking at pictures that were taken last Saturday by Tom Welby, the representative from Marty Flynn's office. The top picture that you are looking at since then the bush that is in the right-hand upper -- or, I'm sorry, the upper left-hand corner fell into the creek. Now, there the hole becomes three feet wider than it is now. If you will notice the car that's in the background I could put that car in this hole, cover it up with steel plates and you would not even know that it's there.

Now, this whole thing is storm sewer runoff. It starts up at the old Gould Battery in Dunmore and runs down through the Dunmore cemetery, alongside of the former oral school and goes underground and comes out behind the Greenridge Club.

Now, I used to walk this as a kid.

Today I wouldn't give my worsen me the first

step in any place that you can walk in.

Storm sewer runoff has been a plague for us because there is so much water running into that. This conduit originally was six feet in diameter, okay, and it's in the record and I want this -- please, put this into your records so that you all have it.

MR. WECHSLER: We will.

MR. YOUNG: But the conduit is six feet in diameter, 12 or 14 years ago Fabcore came along and put new conduits in from the Lackawanna River to the 1600 block of Penn Avenue. That was phase one. Phase two was supposed to start two years later and that was 12 or 14 years ago and I have haven't seen phase two yet.

Now, let's get real with this, somewhere along the line I know for a fact there are monies available. I have searched it out, everybody tells me there is no money, it's not our problem, it is city -- the City of Scranton problem. They turned it over to the Sewer Authority, the Sewer Authority now has the storm sewer runoff area. I would like to have it fixed, and i

think 50 years of this nonsense, I'm sorry, is a little bit much to put up with, and I will be glad to answer any questions that you folks have at any time. I am inviting all of you to come out and look at my back yard. I have -- and you will notice the one picture has a little girl in it, it's my next door neighbor, she is six years old. She doesn't even want to walk in here back yard for fear of falling in that hole.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Young, was Mr. Welby there representing --

MR. YOUNG: Marty Flynn.

MR. WECHSLER: -- Marty Flynn?

MR. YOUNG: Yes. Like I said, I have had Marty Flynn, I have had Tom Welby there, I have had Larry West out, I have had Mike Joansky from DEP out there, Jack Loscombe, former council. Judy Gatelli has been out. I am even going back as far as Jim Doherty.

MR. WECHSLER: Has city engineer Pocius been there or DPW director --

MR. YOUNG: Mayor Doherty -- Or Mayo Courtright and Pocius have flatly refused to

1 do anything lately. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Excuse me, were they 3 there at all, they have come out? MR. YOUNG: Yes, they have been 4 5 there in the past but now they refuse. Thank you for the time. I will be willing 6 7 to answer questions. 8 MR. WECHSLER: One moment, please. 9 MR. EVANS: Could you leave your 10 phone number with Cathy so we could call you so if you want to come out and take a look 11 12 at it or just show up? 13 MR. YOUNG: The address is 1713 --14 MR. EVANS: I have your address, 15 your phone number. 16 (Mr. Young gives Ms. Carrera his 17 number.) 18 MR. WECHSLER: We will start on this tomorrow. 19 Bob Bolus. MR. BOLUS: Bob Bolus, Scranton. 20 21 Well, I'm back by your invitation, 22 Mr. Wechsler, because I really didn't 23 appreciate your attitude last week and I 24 told you I would be back. When I was near 25 the end of my time you hammered me out of

_ .

order or you continued to insult us. My
last two questions were that I wanted to
bring out, one was the condolence to
Mr. Rogan and his family, and the second one
was that Scranton is going to become a
battleground for this presidential election
and I think we need to clean up our act.
Mr. Rogan, I'm sorry I never got to say that
last week, but due to the ignorance and
arrogance of Mr. Wechsler that wasn't
accomplished.

You know, I have been coming here for years, and I have dealt with Wechsler personally, on a board, on East Mountain Neighborhood Association, with him, his cronyism and his bullying of people. That's why I resigned from a board. I'm not here to take nonsense from you, I want you to fully get it in your head that you cost a lot of money, you and your cronies, trying to remove containers from my property, and you lost and it's going to continue to cost the city under the appeals a considerable amount of money when you should have been putting your energies for straightening out

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

East Mountain. I have lived there for over 25 years, and to be honest with you, Mr. Wechsler, I think are you an absolute disgrace, you are a bully and I don't appreciate that. I don't appreciate your attitude last week, and the councilmen here shouldn't have to put up with your nonsense and your dictorial-type attitude, we elected them to speak on their own and they should be able to answer questions from the public. That's your responsibility, and each and every one of you are cowardless to sit and have one person speak to you then you should all walk out this door. We didn't bring you here to be puppets to a dictator. We didn't bring you here to be bullied and we didn't come here to be bullied by either of you, we came here to listen to you, bring you ideas and try and straighten out a city that's a total disaster because of the incompetence and the mismanagement.

You people want to turn around and sell the Sewer Authority, borrow money to pay off pensions, you spent over \$70 million over a period of time. You are going to

burden everybody in this city. The problem with you is there is no thinking, there is no consistency, you are letting a banker dictate the city, bankers put people out business and he is putting the city out of business.

You are going to sell the authority, pay your debt off to the pensions, and then learn how the hell to run a business in this city. Learn to cut your costs, learn to live within your means. Right now five people and this mayor are destroying the City of Scranton and it's integrity.

You have an attitude issue,

Mr. Wechsler, and you better straighten it

out because I don't take kindly to it, I

don't come here to insult you, but I will be

darned if you are going to continue to

insult me and the other people. You may

think we have to bow down to you, but that's

not going to happen, this isn't East

Mountain.

My own personal opinion you should resign or you four people should remove him as president and put somebody in there that

respects the people in this audience and the people in this city, and that's what it's about. You may not like me, I personally don't care. You work for me, I don't work for you, and remember the attitude you had before, you were like I see no evil, I speak no evil, I heard no evil. You brought illegals into this city. You stood back and let it happen and stood there and said, "I knew nothing."

You were you one of the three monkeys and you should get the heck out of this board because I'm not going to tolerate you any longer and neither is anybody else, and neither should you guys. You are not puppets. Act like grown men and run the city like you should and when we come here and ask you a question stand up like men and answer it. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Don't thank me. I don't want nothing from you.

MR. WECHSLER: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Doug
Miller, Scranton. First, on a non-political

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue, Pat, I do want to offer my condolences to you and your family. I'm very sorry for your loss --

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: -- and your family. know, just some of the things that went on earlier tonight, you know, listening to Mr. Morgan come forward, someone who has been coming here a long time, probably longer than anybody seated -- well, without a doubt longer than anybody seated on that council and, you know, when you set a precedent that you want things in writing, whether it's Right-to-Knows or we are going to pen you a note and give it to you, Mr. Wechsler, you know, I just think your ignorance and your arrogance at this point is totally repulsive, and you were elected to represent the residents of this city and if you can't get off your rear end and get an answer to a question then you don't belong here.

I listen to with your little gavel and your little toy like you are Hitler, you want to run this like a dictatorship, I

1

5

4

7

8

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mean, quite frankly, I'm not going to tolerate it and I know a lot of other people won't because the way we handle people like you is come next election we will vote you out and chances out that will probably happen because you've got nothing to contribute to this city, you are a bully, you have carried the water for administration from day one, you are not your own person. Your records speaks for itself. I mean, not only the illegals, I mean, maybe we can bring some illegals in if we need help getting the answers to questions. I could see why we need to build Maybe Mr. Trump needs to come have a discussion with you. There is just total incompetence in this city from all of the way down that's gone on for decades.

Selling the Sewer authority is asinine. It just goes to show that we have stupid people running our city government, completely stupid people. Just total stupidity from the top down but, you know, at the end of the day the residents of this city went out and they voted and they

23

24

25

elected you guys. Don't get me wrong, there are a hand few of people who have the right intentions, but the majority of the people we elect they are in it for themselves. You know, somebody talked about where our state legislators are, I know we see them when they need your money, when they need to play a little cronyism game when it's election time, like, right now is when you see them. It's nice to go out and clean up graffiti and collect jackets and play the game. Ridiculous. We need leadership.

To borrow money and continue to bury this city with more debt that the residents of this city can't take on anymore is just completely just ludicrous and it just goes to show how incompetent the people in this city are. You know, Mr. Courtright with all due respect to him he is incompetent. not a leader, he is a hack and we need independent people in this community and we need it now.

You are selling the Sewer Authority, allegedly getting 90 some million for it, and yet you are going to go borrow 30

25

million and you are not even calculating all that interest and everything else that the fees and the God only knows what else, the lawyers and the bankers are going to make with this, and it's a large transaction. mean, this is a big number and you are kicking the can down the road. I know the mayor want to say you are not, but you are, but -- well you are not smart enough to realize that and make those stupid comments, but you are continue to punish the residents of this city and they have had it. are leaving. "For Sale" signs go up every day. There is no opportunity. You have got a ghost downtown. You have got federal money that's been pumped in the downtown for decades and nothing to show for it. Nothing has been invested in the neighborhoods. blame landlords, we blame homeowners, but we are not blaming anybody but the right people, the elected officials, who year after year raise your taxes, mismanage your money, borrowing to get yourself out of debt and we wonder why we are in the situation we are today.

People are disgusted, they have had it. They are tired of being lied to, and I just think you are going to see some real major changes take place nationally and I think locally next year because I want to believe that people are starting to smarten up and starting to see and they are going to go out and make wise choices, but I challenge the residents of this city to get up and start educating themselves on who these candidates are, who they really represent because they are not protecting our interests, that's for sure because the city wouldn't be bankruptcy and that's where we are.

We have to stop lying to yourselves and thinking that these, you know, make believe plans of the selling off assets, and borrowing money and all of these one-time revenue sources, all of this make believe is going to solve this city's problem because it's not. You are just going to continue to worsen the problem. You are putting a Band-Aid over a hemorrhage and it's getting to the point now where this city has been on

life support for a long time and we've got to determine when we are going to pull the plug because the games you guys are playing it's just going to kill the city and you are pretty close to doing that because I, quite frankly, don't think the majority of you are capable of making the right choices. you will prove us wrong but I highly doubt

> MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Miller. Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian. Would any of you vote to borrow the money to pay off the firemen and police Court award? Would you actually vote to pay that -- vote borrowing for that project?

> MR. WECHSLER: That vote is tonight.

MR. SBARAGLIA: No, not voting to borrow, would you vote to borrow the money

MR. WECHSLER: We are authorizing the business administrator to begin the process of borrowing the money.

> MR. SBARAGLIA: Now, why would you

borrow \$70 million when you are going to get \$95 million from the sale of the Sewer Authority and if you use 30 some of that million you would be able to save the City of Scranton \$30 million? How does that make sense? If I was a thief I know how it would make sense.

MR. ROGAN: I can answer that one.

The Sewer Authority deal is far -- is far from a done deal. There are a lot of regulatory items that need to happen through the Sewer Authority sale to go through, including the PUC, which is completely out of our hands, but the fact remains if we don't pay this award interest is growing at the rate of around \$100,000 per month, so even if it were in December we would be paying -- it's April now so we would paying another \$800,000 in interest to the unions.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Tell me how long would it take to pay off \$30 million?

MR. ROGAN: It depends on how --

MR. SBARAGLIA: With interest? The Sewer Authority isn't going to take another ten years to settle.

MR. ROGAN: Well, it could --

MR. SBARAGLIA: You are not kidding me. I know why it's happening. I know what's happening now. You got a lot of contracts you want to do. So you are going to borrow the money, give a lot of money out in contracts to a lot of different people and then you are going to walk away and leave the residents of Scranton stuck with the loss of all of the money, the \$95 million from the Sewer Authority and a lot of debt. This is what you are doing. Don't give me anything else.

I asked your man there how long it would take even for lighting to pay off, he said it was 9.1 years. Scranton doesn't have 9.1 one years to wait. You are going to squander the money, I know. You have all intentions of doing it because nobody in their right mind would entertain borrowing \$70 million when you know you have 95 million coming. You can probably get a loan on that 95 million very easily. A lot less of short-term loan.

So don't give me this bill. I know

finances, I know what a dollar means, I have been coming here a long time watching you go. Yous don't like blame. You never found out why we had to pay off all of that revenue to the Parking Authority, why we guarantee their loans when we know the parking garage couldn't pay for themselves? You knew that and you weren't there, but they knew it and didn't care because a lot of people made a bundle of money on that deal. All you have to do is look at where the contribution went and who got the contracts. This is what you are doing again.

Now, if you want to run this thing like a mafia very good then do it like a mafia. Just say we are going to do everything we can for ourselves and the heck with the rest of you, like the mafia would do it, but at least I would have respect for you because you are saying it up front. I thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

the agenda, maybe during motions you could tell us how many people we are going to have to hire to maintain this grant for the Novembrino pool. You seem very good at spending money but not so good at cutting money. And, also, on 7-C and 7-D I would like to know what is the source, intended source of the funds to comply with those memorandums.

Marie Schumacher. First, two quick items on

And then I'd like to switch over, I watched a quick clip of the mayor talking about the sale of the Sewer Authority and what was going to happen to the \$95 million windfall, I want to read to you from your own and his revised recovery plan that you all voted on last year. "Conversion of Assets, Scranton Sewer Authority. The city shall continue discussions with the Scranton Sewer Authority board to determine a meaningful and substantive process that will provide the city with a significant source of funds or a continuing revenue stream that shall be used to reduce the unfunded liability of city pension funds."

25

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So maybe only citizens read it, but that's where we got the idea, Mr. Mayor, and Board, if you don't understand that of where it comes from. And then I would like to remind you a man by the name of Henry Amoroso showed up here a couple of years ago and at that time, about that time, this is from a news article August 25, 2010, at "Desperate to close an \$83 million deficit Newark plans to sell one of the last assets it has remaining itself one municipal building at a time. Police precincts, fire houses and office buildings are being eyed for a sale tat city council hopes will generate \$50 million. Newark council proposed to sell the city property is expected to generate \$50 million, cost \$60 million in the long-term. Mayor Corey Booker's office would not provide a list of the properties being considered, but Amoroso said the buildings had to be in reasonably good condition and the city had to be the tenant."

Let's fast forward to August of 2014 talking about their 2015 budget. "The state

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

threatened to take over Newark's finances
this spring when the city missed several
deadlines with the state official referring
to the City's extraordinary level of fiscal
distress."

So they sold everything and now they are going to hold their hands some place because they don't have it to sell anymore and they are not in any better shape, and possibly worse because they have got a serious water problem. I did attend the special meeting of the Sewer Authority Monday evening and one of their solicitors made it clear that I couldn't ask questions, although, I did and I asked the members of the board who were from the City of Scranton to vote against it because I said I didn't think we had the funding to deal with the storm water. I first asked if the MS4 permit was being transferred to the Sewer Authority because I know a private entity cannot hold a MS4 permit, no, but -- and I said I don't think we have the money to buy all of these assets and all of the things that have to be done and the people and I

was told that they were going to transfer some piece of equipment and they were going to give us some assistance. Well, guess what? I have been asking about the Storm Water Authority because that also, I might add, is in your -- that is one of mandates from the 2015 revised recovery plan was to seek an Act 47 grant in the amount of approximately \$35,000 to review the feasibility of the creation of a Storm Water Management Authority.

It goes on, you all have copies, I'm sure you can read it, but I was perusing the agreement, the purchase agreement, and guess what I found? Back on page -- may I read it?

MR. WECHSLER: Go ahead.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Section 7.08, Operation and Maintenance of the MS4F system. I will go down to the second paragraph since I have run out of time, but it says, "Community based public private partnership approach for the integration of the MS4 system and the system being purchased."

It doesn't say being purchased. just says a system, but it's a system being purchased. "Following the closing, buyer shall cooperate with the City and the Borough and use commercially reasonably good faith efforts to evaluate the feasibility and develop a mutually acceptable plan for financing, management and operation of the MS4 system in a manner that is consistent with a community based public private partnership approach describing Section 6 of the buyer's response to the request for best and final offers for the purchase of the seller's sewer system and sewage treatment works as dated as of October 5, 2015, and in compliance with the applicable law."

So they have had plans all along and I'm sure some of you know it, and I have requested under the Right-to-Know that Section 6 of the buyer's response so I can get more information, but I have lots of tabs, I suggest you all get a copy and read it because there are a number of, shall we say, surprises in here, things like variances and --

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Now, first I'd like to express my condolences with Pat, your dad is only a couple of years older than me.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: But maybe I shouldn't be worried about the future as much as I do, huh? I'm being presumptuous. You know, a certain person magically appears every time that we have a 78 percent tax hike on the table or some negative effecting the need for it, and I finally figured out what Mr. Ellman means by penny nonprofits, and I have a friend up valley who is an attorney or a friend, acquaintance, we don't roast chicken on each others lawn, but he claims that in many of these institutions salaries eat up most of the money they are granted.

And last week, I guess it was, I saw this in the paper that we are considering a smoking ban on the parks. Well, first of all, I think that any kind of ban taken in

25

consideration that somebody may be a hundred feet away from a playground I would invite them to produce the studies than could actually it's pseudo science. They are just clumping a whole bunch of incidences into one thing, and the point being that we are paying taxes for 30 parks so I'll make a deal with you, either keep the smoking ban reasonable, like, out of playgrounds and so forth, or you could put Wayne to work and parcel it off and sell the property, or you could ban me from going into the park, and I have a desire to quit smoking myself, but it's the principle, it's the principale, and if I get caught in the park you give me the tax break based on the percentage of my taxes that are going to the park, and if I get caught inside of the park you can arrest me for trespassing, except for St. Cats because I have already donated a lot of food to them and I'm on my third cat this week for feral cats from the neighborhood. about five more to go, so think about it that, that's about \$250 or better and that's not counting the gifts that I give them.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, you know, and as far as creating a civil or semi-criminal penalty because the city doesn't legislate criminal penalties we have burglaries, we have fights, street fights, we have domestic violence in the paper, every day you pick up the paper and a couple of people busted for dealing serious drugs every week. You know, I don't want a heroine addict breaking into my house and robbing me when I'm out, you certainly wouldn't be successful if I were home, take my word for it, but how much, you know, are we go ing to distract the police from. want them riding around unmarked cars even. Give them a couple of pennies to use their own car and go around the neighborhoods and see what they can see because that police car stands out like a sore thumb. When we are kids and drinking beer and riding around we could see that bubble gum machine a mile away behind us, we knew to cut it, you know, or make a turn.

And on these bonds how much is too much? I don't want a lot of money floating around that isn't accounted for or doesn't

_ .

have a purpose, and I'm sad to say, you know, 99 percent of the people on the public safety unions are great people, but I have to question their union leaders. I think they just seem to be obsessed with how well they can win and they don't have any concerns for the city.

And then with parks and such, kids, I mean, don't get irrational. If you have one kid you double your carbon footprint, you incur a great deal of tax liability on people fro educating them and so forth.

Christmas, I could taste the exhaust gas at the park on Christmas time, I don't go up there.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: You know? So thank you and please keep it into consideration. Nobody should smoke inside of a playground, but a hundred feet away, you know, you are being a bad example, but nobody said I was ever going to perfect you, you know, especially my wife.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

1 MR. DOBRZYN: Have a good night. MR. WECHSLER: 2 Anyone else? 3 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry? MR. PERRY: Yeah, I'd want to speak 6 7 to something that Mr. Morgan said earlier, 8 and that's something that I noticed just the 9 fading or missing street signs that we have 10 out there. It seems like there is a lot. I know I lived in the city my whole life and 11 12 I'll be in parts of the city where I'm kind 13 of confused because there is no signage. 14 What I would like to see if it's possible is the account we have set up right now that we 15 16 are using the PLCB funds for to replace 17 faded stop signs, which I think is absolutely a priority, if we can maybe roll 18 19 that account over next year or once all of 20 the stop signs are replaced and use that 21 money to start replacing street signs in the 22 city, if that's possible. That's my 23 suggestion on that and that's all I have. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan? 25 Thank you. MR. ROGAN: I would like

to thank everyone for their kind words over the last week. Last week has been very difficult for myself and my family losing my dad on the 22nd and my grandmother on the 29th of this month, so I just want to thank you to everyone who called, messaged, said a kind word, it really meant a lot to myself and my family. It does feel good to be back at city council, get back to work and get my mind focused on helping the city and get my mind off of some of the other things that have been going on.

Two items, and I will address the Court award when it comes up for a vote, two items that I wanted to bring up now and I know Councilman Wechsler may have addressed this in the caucus, the gypsy moth issue that came up last year we did receive notification from the county that they will be doing sprayings, and looking at the map it's primarily in the East Mountain area, the West Mountain area and the more rural parts of the city where you have more trees. If you remember last year I talked, and some of my colleagues spoke at length about this

issue, and in Luzerne County they were hit even harder than we are in Lackawanna County, but the notice that we received that they will be spraying insecticide to combat the gypsy moths. It says, "The insecticide has no known adverse human health or environmental impacts."

But it also says, "To avoid the possibility of eye irritation persons should not look up as the spray droplets are descending. They are sprayed approximately 50 feet above the treetops."

And this will done some time in May.

It says, "If eye irritation does occur, an eye wash should be used and a family physician consulted. If the spray material gets on the skin, soap and water are sufficient to remove it."

So take that for what it's worth there are no side effects, but can't cause side effects, so that's spraying will be taking place primarily in the East Mountain and West Mountain sections of the city and through other areas throughout the county and the region.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Regarding the Novembrino pool complex, this is an issue that is very near and dear to me. As a child, I spent many summers swimming in the Novembrino pool complex and I have long been an advocate for having the pool or recreation complex in that area. As was mentioned by some of my colleagues, many of the neighbors within that block and within the neighborhood were upset about some of the problems when it was an actual pool, mainly because of older kids and there were teens swimming. proposal to have the splash park, amphitheater, pavilion and renovated park building for me I'm very happy because it accomplishes many of the goals to see more recreation in the West Scranton area and my whole neighborhood. Particularly the splash park, these are becoming very popular throughout the country and if anyone has kids if you go to a water park every water park has a splash pad for younger children and that's the age demographic that this is geared toward. It's not geared towards the teenagers, it's geared towards the younger

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

children, elementary and maybe middle school, but also with the pavilion, renovated park buildings, nice grass areas and the complex with the baseball fields right below this could be a great project that will truly revitalize that section of West Scranton and bring back activities, healthy activities for children to do in the West Scranton neighborhood.

One of the things that has always aggravated me the most the time when the Novembrino complex has been closed is that West Scranton is the largest portion of the city. There are more people that live in West side and West Mountain than any other single section in the city and there wasn't There wasn't a recreation, a summer a pool. recreation for young kids to cool off, play. I think this proposal will really tie in, something for the younger children and right below you have the baseball fields for the older children as well, so I think this will be a home run for West Side and for the city as a whole.

That is all I have for now. Like I

said, I will address the agenda items as they come up. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: I have nothing at this time, I'm going hold off for agenda items and discuss those a little bit more.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, just a few things. Just a reminder that the Central Scranton Expressway will be closed on the weekend of April 22nd to April 24, the date was moved back. There will be alternate lane closures on Interstate 81 to modify overhead signs and the work being done on the Expressway will include paving and the installation of flexible posts on the double yellow line that separates inbound and outbound lanes so please prepare for that closure.

I received a request this week for a four-way stop sign at the intersection of Cedar Avenue and McDonough Street in Minooka. In the area of Birney avenue and

McDonough Street, there is little to no visibility when attempting to pull out when traveling east on McDonough Street.

Recently signage that reads "No Parking From Here To Corner" was installed but it isn't being adhered to according to the residents in that area so we have notified civilian parking enforcement and Chief Graziano so hopefully that issue will be taken care of in Minooka.

Another issue is the pave cuts on Cedar Avenue, they are absolutely atrocious. I received numerous complaints from residents in Minooka about that. We did send correspondence to the pave cut inspector asking if that road was going to be paved by whatever company ripped it up so we will be waiting for a response on that.

An update on the Young Lungs

Program, and city council partnering with
the American Lung Association. That
legislation is currently in the Law

Department and the city solicitor is
reviewing it so hope to see that soon. You
know, this is legislation that I really look

forward to getting down to city council and passing. I'm a big believer in banning smoking in play areas in parks. You know, I don't think that we should forget that tobacco is the only consumer product that actually kills the consumer, so I don't think as somebody who is has a seven-month old that we should have smoking in parks around children. We need to keep our parks clean and healthy places to play in the City of Scranton, and that is all I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,
Mr. Gaughan. Just a few items, just to
touch on the Right-to-know requests,
Right-to-Know requests can't be filed at the
city council. Requests for information can
be filed at city council, but Right-to-Know
requests cannot be filed at city council.
Right-to-know requests are responded to only
to the person who requests the answers so if
a Right-to-Know request is filed it is
answered to the person who makes the request
and does not come to city council.

If the Right-to-Know request is

refused, it's up to the petitioner to contact the state open records to have them investigate why the Right-to-Know was not answered so each citizen has a right to file a Right-to-Know and there is a process to be followed, and to me I'm a big believer in process, process means everybody is treated the same, and I think for people that don't consider us getting the answers for them they do all always have the right to proceed with the Right-to-Know requests.

Part of the thing that comes up constantly here at city council is the status of the audit and the process of the audit. This week we pulled out the contract with the audit company that we are going to review to see what, if anything, city council can do to get involved in that process to be provided a timeline or be updated more consistently with the information so we are working on that with our solicitor.

We also contacted the city treasurer to find out the status of the liens that have been -- the lien process has started

for collection of the garbage fees and we are checking with him and with the city solicitor as to where we are in the lien process.

To follow-up on Mr. Rogan's comments about the Novembrino pool complex, as was mentioned before I did attend the meeting of the West Scranton Neighborhood Association when this plan was first announced and described to them and there was a great sentiment not have a swimming pool in that area anymore. There is sentiment to have a green space, which is sorely needed there instead of the concrete slab, and also as Mr. Rogan pointed out I think this ties in nicely as time goes on with the baseball field to create a green space recreational area in West Scranton.

I would also like to thank Chief
Graziano, we hear a lot of bashing of
neighborhood associations here, a few weeks
ago there was a meeting of the Oakmont
Resident's Association, the Oakmont
residents listed some complaints that they
had concerning stop signs, traffic signs,

and also the people running stop signs in their neighborhood. Chief Graziano did supply a car, an officer and there were several tickets written while they were there and the neighbors greatly appreciated the chief and the department's efforts curbing speed in that area. And that's all I have right now.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE
AND APPLY FOR A GRANT THROUGH THE
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
CONSERVATION AND NATURAL RESOURCES (DCNR) IN
THE AMOUNT OF \$295,035.00; IF THE
APPLICATION IS SUCCESSFUL, ACCEPTING AND
DISBURSING THE GRANT FUNDS FOR NOVEMBRINO
PARK REHABILITATION IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just some

22

21

23

24

25

additional information. The total cost of this project is \$585,000 to be funded as follows: \$220,000 from OECD, CDBG funding, \$50,000 from the city's general fund, and \$20,000 from the Scranton Sewer Authority, that is in addition to this grant as well. The improvements will include a new splash park, renovations to the pool house for a community activities center, office space and new restrooms. The adult pool, as mentioned, will be converted to an amphitheater and open lawn space with a paver patio and seated area, demolition of the existing bathhouse and old fencing, and then the splash pad again and for the younger children. The Sewer Authority agreed to partner by incorporating green infrastructure into the design to reduce the storm water impact. So, as all of us mentioned, we are very excited about this project.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I would also like to recognize McLaine Associates, a Scranton-based architectural landscape firm for their innovative work at the Novembrino

1 complex. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else? A11 3 those in favor of introduction signify by 4 saying aye. MR. PERRY: Aye. 5 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. 11 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 12 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A. READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 13 14 19. 2016 - AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AN ABANDONED PROPERTY REGISTRY AND PROVIDING 15 FOR THE REGISTRATION OF ABANDONED REAL 16 17 PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 18 MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading 19 by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure? 20 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 21 pass reading by title. Second. 22 MR. EVANS: 23 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 24 MR. EVANS: On the question, first 25 of all I'd like to say that a recent news

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

article did not say this, but Councilman Rogan deserves most of the credit for this. He got the ball rolling and brought the company that is going to be doing this to a caucus and beyond that point we worked together with all of us to further the concept of what to do with the money and how the money will -- the money derived from this account will be used.

The company engaged will register abandoned, foreclosed properties. They will charge the banks and financial institutions \$200 to register. 100 will going to the city and 100 will go to the company for each property registered. It is estimated that there are approximately 350 properties fitting this description in the City of Scranton. This will help the License and Inspections Department because they can now quickly respond to complaints on those abandoned properties owned by the banks, previously proving to be labor intensive and sometimes almost impossible to break through the corporate layers of many of the larger banks to reach anyone that would be

responsible for the upkeep of the property.

This creates a problem for the neighbors who have to deal with unsightly property in the neighborhood and once the program is instituted this will also have the contact data and location of all foreclosed properties throughout the city.

Additionally, the estimated revenue of \$35,000 annually will be used to set up a program to help first time homebuyers buy property in the city and use grants of up to \$5,000 to improve the exterior of the new home among other options. This program, which we expect to be managed by a third party will increase home ownership, improve property values in the neighborhoods and eliminate blight and not on one taxpayer dime. That's all I have for now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Councilman

Evans, and as you mentioned this took a lot

longer than we hoped to get the ball

rolling, but there is also some work credit

to go to State Representative Marty Flynn

did get me in touch with the representatives

so he deserves the thank you as well, and

then also to you, Mr. Evans, for taking a good idea and making it a great idea by parlaying it, you know, for the first time homebuyers program that we are still working on, but very anxious to roll that out and it will be much less limited to the current OECD first time home buyers program that isn't being used the way we would like, and I'd also like to thank the mayor and Dave Bulzoni for agreeing to go along with us with this issue.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question for myself, just to repeat, one of the largest complaints that we hear at neighborhood association meetings is the inability to contact owners of foreclosed properties. I have heard it from several different police officers that are experienced in this that they are -- the trail is layered in order for the owner not to be found so this will be a great tool for us to do that.

I also would like to echo Councilman Evans' compliments to Councilman Rogan and the entire council because this was a council initiative. This is something that

came out of our chambers, agreed to by the Business Administrator and Mayor Courtright and it's something that you can see that there is a sharing of ideas back and forth between the administration and council for successful programs for the city.

All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. PERRY: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 20, 2016 - AN
ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING A NEW
BANK ACCOUNT FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S
OFFICE OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
("OECD") TITLED EDA SEQUESTERED FUNDS AWARD
#013903140 ACCOUNT NO. 20A0101 FOR THE
DEPOSIT OF SEQUESTERED FUNDS AS REQUIRED BY
THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, ECONOMIC
DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION (EDA).

MR. WECHSLER: You've heard reading

by title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 1 2 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 3 pass reading by title. MR. EVANS: Second. 4 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. PERRY: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 11 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. 13 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 14 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL 15 16 NO. 17, 2016 DEDICATING THE GAZEBO LOCATED 17 IN CONNORS PARK, 515 ORCHARD STREET IN SOUTH SCRANTON IN HONOR OF ROBERT E. MCGOFF, JR. 18 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 19 20 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Public Works? 22 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 23 24 passage of Item 7-A. 25 MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, I would like to again thank the Friends of Connors Park for honoring our friend and colleague Bob McGoff, a deserving honor and recognition for all Bob has done not only for this city, but especially for Connors Park. Thank you again.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I'd like to say it's an honor to even be able to vote on this. I think Bob deserves this and, you know, I remember when we were down there decorating the trees at Connors Park a couple of years ago how proud he was of Connors Park and how much time and effort he put in there so this is a great honor for him and I'm pleased to be voting on it tonight.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, I'm also very happy to be a part of this. Mr. McGoff will never be forgotten to us and this gazebo will go to ensure that future generations also will not forget him.

Roll call, please?

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

1 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully 10 11 adopted. 12 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -13 14 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 18, 2016 AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 165, 2003, AN 15 ORDINANCE ENTITLED "REGULATING THE PLANTING, 16 17 MAINTENANCE AND REMOVAL OF TREES ALONG 18 STREETS, HIGHWAYS, AVENUES, LANES, ALLEYS AND PUBLIC PARKS AND PLACES WITHIN THE CITY 19 OF SCRANTON, INCLUDING PRIVATE PROPERTIES" 20 21 BY AMENDING SECTION IV PERTAINING TO THE 22 LICENSING OF VENDORS OF TREE SERVICES, 23 CHANGING THE TITLE OF SECTION IV, DELETING 24 THE LICENSING FEE, ADDING REGISTRATION 25 INFORMATION REQUIRING APPLICABLE PERSONS,

1	FIRMS AND CORPORATIONS BE REGISTERED WITH
2	THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE AND ADDING
3	WORKER'S COMPENSATION INSURANCE.
4	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
5	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-B.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
9	Roll call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
11	MR. PERRY: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
19	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
20	declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully
21	adopted.
22	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
23	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
24	RESOLUTION NO. 17, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE
25	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
	II

TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF
AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON AND E. B. JERMYN LODGE NO. 2 OF THE
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE.

MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-C.

MR. ROGAN: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question. I would like to defer to Attorney Minora to see if he has any outcome from the research he did this week.

MR. MINORA: I did at your request,
Mr. Perry. Last week you withheld your vote
and the possibility that it might be a
conflict of interest under the state statute
and I went back to your question and looked
at the statute, there is specific exemption
for a conflict when the family member is a
member of a class and not an individual and
everyone in that class is treated the same
as everybody else in that class, so
basically it excludes a conflict vote or
non-vote because of contracts, labor

contract or the typical situation where you would find this and so you are allowed to vote, it is not a conflict of interest under the state statute.

MR. PERRY: Thank you. Thank you, Attorney Minora.

MR. MINORA: You're welcome.

MR. PERRY: So like Attorney Minora said, I abstained from casting a vote on this legislation basically because we want to -- we wanted to err on the side of caution, but after the thorough research by Attorney Minora I will be voting on this very important legislation tonight, and the reason why I will be voting "yes" is for these specific aspects of the deal.

Number one, the clock on the compounding 6 percent interest which started way back in July of 2013 will stop today and give us a savings of \$300,000.

Number two, there will be a \$3 million from the settlement put directly into the pension fund.

Number three, disability will now be based on a TPA or third party arbitrator.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For me, number three is the big guy in the deal. That's a game changer. Currently our disability application acceptance rate is 52 percent. The national average is around 7 percent. I fully accept that once we institute a TPA that we will see an immediate reduction in disability costs and an ongoing savings that will bring us closer to that national average of 7 percent.

Now, while no one loves the idea of taking out a bond, remember that we have no choice or leverage in this situation. has been a Court order hanging over this city for three years and it must come to an end in order for us to move forward.

Also, while we don't have any details on our bond rates yet, I know we won't be paying the unbearable \$100,000 a month we are paying right now, and actually as our city finances continue to improve there is nothing stopping us from refinancing the terms of the bond.

Now, the alternative to this deal is a "no" vote. Not only does a "no" vote

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bring us back to square one and we start
paying \$100,000 a month ago again, we'd lose
\$3 million pension deposit and a third party
administrator for the disability
applications. More importantly, we are
going to be at the mercy of the Courts again
and I think we all remember how well that
worked out for us last time. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I wasn't here last week so I will make a number of comments on this I was very disappointed at the comments of come council members and especially the press trying to place blame on this issue on current members of city government, in particular the mayor, this city council. Let me start off by being extremely clear, there is not one member of this city council or the mayor that had anything to do with this situation that we It was a city council under Mayor Chris Doherty, and I also want to acknowledge that two council members, Brian Reap and Gary DiBileo, had the foresight to vote against the legislation that brought us down this dangerous road.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I want to take a short quick trip down memory road to 2012. I was doing a little research when I read some of the reporting by the Scranton Times and we have a very good rapport with Jim Lockwood here but the editorial staff certainly isn't fair and they always try to protect Mayor Doherty and place blame on others.

This is an article from February 13, 2012, Lumburger News, a very reputable news organization and the headline is "Scranton Strains for Rebound Following Pennsylvania Court Ruling." And I'm only going to a read a few excerpts out of this, but it says, "Scranton Mayor Chris Doherty was blunt when he asked about a Court order forcing his Pennsylvania city to pay \$30 million in wages withheld from police and firefighters under a state approved fiscal recovery plan. 'I don't have the money, said Doherty, as for the chance of borrowing the cash more than half the city's projected general revenue fund, he added, there is no financial institution that is going to give me \$30 million to pay it.'"

That is very true at that time. The fact that financing is available at this point in time speaks volumes to the progress that has been made of the financial state of the City of Scranton.

Moving forward in this argument, and it is very lengthy, but the main question and my main gripe with those who are trying to blame the current council and the current administration for these issues, this section of the article in big bold all it says is, "Broke the Law." And very simply in one sentence it says, "Scranton violated collective bargaining laws by withholding raises," said Thomas W. Jennings, senior partner at Jennings-Sigmond in Philadelphia."

That's what it boils down to. Mayor Chris Doherty did not negotiate in good faith with our police and fire unions.

That's what happened. Not negotiating and letting a judge decide is why we owe this money and it's why the police and fire salaries are what they are currently. I know my friends that are police officers and

firefighters wouldn't agree with this, but Chris Doherty was their best friend.

Through all of this process of going through the Courts, the city unions received far more than they would have received if the mayor just sat down and negotiated, which was something that he flat out refused to do.

The article goes onto say, and this is one thing it seems that Mayor Doherty was right about and, unfortunately, it was even more tos this extent, it says, "If Scranton borrows to cover the cost property taxes will rise 35 percent, Doherty said."

And it goes on it say, "Where 55 percent of Scrantonians own their homes and have a median household of \$35,600, 29 percent under the state average," and these figures are from 2012 so they are a little bit outdated.

As I mentioned two weeks ago about this, this is Chris Doherty's legacy. This is it. Fighting the unions was his high mark. He kept pushing and pushing and pushing and this is what we are left with.

19 20

18

21

2223

24

25

As Mr. Perry mentioned, there is only one way to vote on this legislation and it's to approve this deal and this is why: If this legislation is shot down tonight not only will millions of dollars in savings to the pension fund, small reforms regarding disability pensions be thrown out the window, what is going to happen if the city does, indeed, sell the Sewer Authority is the second the Sewer Authority is sold the city unions can file an action in county court to force the city to pay the judgment. It will be paid in full without these concessions, without the small reforms in the pensions, and that will be it without getting any of the concessions that luckily the mayor was able to obtain.

Although, I know many, myself included, would have like to have seen more money put into the pension fund out of the interest, you know, it is a choice of some concessions were nothing because at the end of the day the city owes the money. We lost the Court case, and when I say "we" I don't mean any of us, Mayor Doherty lost the Court

case and this is where we are now. It will be one of the big monkeys off the city's back when this award is finally gone and we stop paying a hundred thousand dollars a month in interest and finally be able to move forward with the financial community and get the city moving back in the right direction. For all of those reasons and many of the reasons that Councilman Perry mentioned, I will be supporting both items 7-C and "D" regarding the police and fire unions. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, I don't have much more to offer beyond my comments from last week, but while this isn't a pleasant decision to make it really is the only decision that we can make. Sooner or later we have to pay the award. By paying it now, we did achieve some concessions on the interest that has been accumulating all along and some modest pension reforms. If and when this sewer deal is finalized, as Councilman Rogan alluded to, there is no doubt in my mind that the public safety unions in lieu of this agreement would

attach the proceeds of that sale and the likelihood would no concessions at all. So really isn't much more complicated than that. So that's all I have on the matter.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. I'll be voting "no" on both settlement agreements tonight, and first, let me say again that I fully understand the city has to pay this money, this is not an optional payment even though it will have a crippling effect on the city's finances. My "no" vote tonight isn't a question of whether or not the city is obligated to pay this award, it's under what conditions we will pay it, which is why I'm opposed.

In both agreements, the unions have included a June 2016 ultimatum in which the city must pay the full amount of the judgment over \$30 million. If the city doesn't secure the financing, which I'm not exactly confident they will do because of such a quick turn around and the city's financial situation, everything included in the agreement, some modest disability pension reform and forgiveness of interest

that will be put back into the pensions will be become null and void and the city will have to start from scratch.

This ultimatum, this deadline of
June is absolutely absurd and unacceptable.
The unions are essentially saying we will
agree to some modest pension reform and
we'll put some of the interest back into the
fledgeling pensions but if we don't get paid
\$30 million by June everything is off the
table and we are back to square one.

Mayor Courtright has allowed this agreement to hold the city hostage. I believe that all of the pension reforms and interest givebacks should have been agreed to as part of a comprehensive strategy when the mayor decided to renegotiate full blown contracts instead of just concessions and the city was left with four-year contract extensions, raises, and retiree health care back on the table.

We are also still waiting on the mayor to provide an analysis of where the million dollars in savings are that he promised last year. This agreement isn't a

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concession, it's a ticking financial time bomb. My concern is yet another decision by Mayor Courtright that demonstrates an alarming trend of conceding to the police and fire unions and giving away the keys to the city.

On a related note, if we step back for a moment and look at the big picture, the landscape of what's going on here, what I find absolutely reprehensible is the current lawsuits that both the police and fire unions have against the city, which is costing the taxpayers money daily, the police and fire unions as my colleagues mentioned threatening to garnish the proceeds from the potential sale of the Sewer Authority, and let's not forget at one point they also threatened to sell city hall, mayor Courtright giving out sweetheart renegotiated contract extensions for four years when at this point in my opinion an din the opinion of many others the city cannot afford it. We can only afford legitimate cost saving concessions and we are still not sure where the savings are.

Also, the mayor circumventing his own recovery plan in order to jamb the contracts in the last year so both police and fire unions wouldn't be tied to it.

Also, let's not forget the outrageous overtime costs in both departments when the median income of a person in Scranton is barely \$25,000. We have people making over 100 grand in the City of Scranton.

This is simply out of the control.

The city still retains the ability to control costs and Mayor Courtright has routinely failed. This agreement is just another example of the mayor following rather than leading and caving to the demands of the police and fire union instead of the negotiating on behalf of the taxpayers of Scranton. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I have to respond, I know Councilman Gaughan likes to make a point every week off blaming Mayor Courtright for something and many times there is blame to go to this current administration, but regarding this specific issue, as I mentioned before, nobody in this

government had anything to do with us being in this position with these Court awards.

Not one member of council, not the mayor.

Both of these pieces of legislation are squarely on the shoulders of Mayor Chris

Doherty and the previous city council. That is why we are where we are. He chose to play hardball with the unions, as it seems that Councilman Gaughan wants to return to, and this is what we are left with. It was actually a \$48 million award, I forgot to mention that, half of it was given up initially by the police and fire unions.

While many of the other points you raise about current issues may be true, they have absolutely nothing to do with the current vote that we are voting on. This is from a Court award that dragged out for many, many years under current Mayor -- or former Mayor Doherty and that's it. It has nothing to do with the current mayor or Council. We are cleaning up the mess from a long protracted labor dispute.

MR. WECHSLER: On the question for myself, last week I spoke to the fact that

this is a judgment and it must be paid and also that there were concessions given, although none had to be done, one of the things that's never been mentioned as we sit here speaking this is a mediated settlement. Judge Mazzoni became involved in this dispute, sat both parties down, back and forth, back and forth, and finally an agreement was reached so my comments on this have to stand.

But what I would like to say is part of this issue is kind of falls under the same umbrella as the default on the garage and also the double pensions. As city council president, I do not like to look back and I don't like to look back and blame Mayor Doherty and I don't like to look back and blame the prior city councils. We are dealing with what happened back then and I cannot wait until the day where we no longer mention Chris Doherty or the former council.

Right now they are not here. Right now they could do nothing about what is happening in the city. It is up to us as city council and up to the administration to

work towards fixing these problems. 1 week I mentioned and I still believe this 2 3 each time that we sit down there is movement 4 by both sides and in the future contract 5 negotiations with this settlement gone and with the fact that the city should be on a 6 stronger financial footing when we go into 7 8 negotiations next I believe that the city 9 and the unions will be on a more level 10 playing field and the anticipated and desire 11 concessions or adjustments to the contract I 12 think will be closer to being able to be met 13 because of the work that we are doing here 14 today. Roll call, please? MS. CARRERA: 15 Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Yes. 16 17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 18 MR. ROGAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 22 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby 25 declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully

1 adopted. MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 2 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -3 4 RESOLUTION NO. 18, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 5 6 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A MEMORANDUM OF 7 AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE CITY OF 8 SCRANTON AND INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF 9 FIRE FIGHTERS, LOCAL UNION NO. 60. 10 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 11 the Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D. 12 13 MR. ROGAN: Second. 14 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? Roll call, please? 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 17 MR. PERRY: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 19 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 21 MR. EVANS: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby

		79
1	declare Item 7-D legally and lawfully	
2	adopted.	
3	If there is no further business,	
4	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
5	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.	
6	MR. WECHSLER: This meeting is	
7	adjourned.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER