		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, February 4, 2016	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	CATHERE S. NARDOZZI, KIK - OTTICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Do any council members 4 have announcements at this time? 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. MS. REED: Roll call first. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 8 9 MR. PERRY: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 11 MR. ROGAN: Here. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 13 MR. EVANS: Here. 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr Wechsler. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Here. Do any council 18 members have any announcements? MR. GAUGHAN: I have one. Pawsitive 19 20 for the Animals is a new volunteer 21 organization based in Scranton that is 22 dedicated to pet rescue, adoption and low 23 cost spay and neuter services and it needs 24 your help. During the month of February, 25 Lackawanna County libraries are collecting

cat litter, canned and dry cat, dog and 1 2 puppy and kitten food, treats, collars and 3 leash, bowls, litter scoops and blankets for 4 the animals. You can drop off your 5 contribution at any library in Lackawanna 6 County. Thank you. MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else? 7 8 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3 - A. 9 MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR BOARD 10 MEETING HELD SEPTEMBER 17, 2015. 11 12 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 13 comments? If not, received and filed. 14 MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD 15 16 FEBRUARY 10, 2016. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Are there any 18 comments? If not, received and filed. MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 19 PARTICIPATION. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz. MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, 22 23 city resident and taxpayer. Over the last 24 few days, I have been in and out of city 25 hall stopping at the B.A.'s Office, the

Mayor's Office, Controller's Office, in my never ending pursuit for some kind of status on the 2014 audit. Needless to say, no one knew what was GOING on and the audit is now 249 days late. This after having hired Rainey & Rainey and taken \$20,000 last year to assist in the preparation of the financial data to be given to the independent auditor, so I won't ask the question so I don't have to give it to you in writing, but I'm still very interested in when this audit is going to be completed.

With regard to item 7-C, Mr.

Bulzoni's indefinite residency waiver, I'm still against it, I'm against it for two reasons: One, I think it sets a bad precedent for all city workers; and number two, I think it's partly a matter of integrity. We were lead to believe that after a one-year waiver Mr. Bulzoni would move into the city, we would have a new BA. Even as late as past November, he spoke of his intention to return to banking and leave the city and now we have had an indefinite waiver. But more than that, it underscores

the City's propensity for picking and choosing what rules and what standard to enforce and which ones we'll ignore. And I understand that the Home Rule Charter has many sections that are in dire need of being updated, but let's -- I would update them or let's abide by them. You can't pick and choose and have your way. City residents when we get our tax bills we have to pay them, we don't have a chance of saying, well, know, had a bad year last year, I really can't pay all of this money, we pay it.

Municipal Pension Funds, good news is that the stock market is up about 350 points since last week, the bad news is that it's down about a 1,000 points from the beginning of the year. I remind everybody, including those watching this on TV, that the next Composite Pension Board meetings will be here at 11 a.m. on February 1, and it's in everyone's interest to follow this issue because if anything pushes us into receivership it will be the pension funds.

I noted with some disappointment that the December Controller's report is not yet on the City's website, I don't know why that is. The last one that was posted was for the month of November. I know that you can view it in the City Clerk's office but it should be on the website.

I also learned this week from the Single Tax Office that they have started mailing out the property tax bills, I haven't been fortunate enough to receive mine yet, but they are quote/unquote in the male.

And I noticed that we don't have many items on tonight's agenda so that prompts me to hope that in the near future we will start seeing the long promised reform legislation, particularly with regard to rental registration and the pensions themselves. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

Lee Morgan. You know, I'd like people to go
to my Facebook page Lee Morgan: The

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alliance. I think it's really important to organize and try to do something about the serious dilemma we face here not just in the city but in many other places.

I'd also like to refer to a Scranton Times article that talked about the privatization of the Scranton Sewer Authority and just how bad of a deal it is for the city residents so, I mean, I think it's very important for people to get a copy of that newspaper and see just what our public officials and what the long range affects that are going to be and I think it's time for the city to be honest with the residents and realize that all of the make believe things they have talked about for decades were just that and the reality of the situation is that we face a very corrupt political atmosphere in this country, not just the Democrats but the Republicans, also.

And tonight I wanted to talk about something. Now, I'm not sure if I'm going to run for state representative, but I went and I picked up some files and I thought

that they speak volumes of the kind of malfeasance we face from our elected officials and I think that there are very serious conflicts and it has to do with party politics. The Democratic party in this instance, but the Democratic and Republican parties are just different faces on a coin and when you realize where the two parties system came from you would have more of an understanding of what I'm talking about, but this isn't a civics class and you would have to educate yourself with that.

I have campaign contribution lists here for Mr. Marty Flynn. These are public records, but they speak volumes of the problems of why the city can't seem to get any relief in any way whatsoever because we are faced with a situation where the Democratic party is strangling the City of Scranton, and if the Republicans were empowered they would be doing the same thing so I don't want to make it sound like I'm biased, but when you have elected officials that are making political contributions to their friends why would they want to put the

1 heat on one of their friends to look for 2 solutions to the city's problems. 3 Now, I may be wrong, but, 4 Mr. Wechsler, you are listed here as a donator to Mr. Flynn's campaign, would you 5 agree with that? 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 8 MR. MORGAN: Mr. Rogan, you are also 9 listed there, would you agree with that? MR. ROGAN: I believe it was \$20. 10 11 MR. MORGAN: I don't know what it 12 was. 13 MR. ROGAN: No, it's listed on 14 there. MR. MORGAN: It may be. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: So you do know what it 17 is. 18 MR. MORGAN: No, I know you are 19 here. No, it's 200, but that's neither here 20 or there. 21 MR. ROGAN: It was not 200. 22 MR. MORGAN: You're right, it was a 23 hundred, but you know what the problem is, I 24 want to go further with this list. Now, 25 Mr. Blake's a contributor, the mayor is a

25

contributor, Mr. Farina is a contributor, well, when you got your state senators and your state representatives giving each other money, and there is a lot of other political people here that are contributors, how can you ever get the reform that you need in your government when all your elected officials are friends and don't want to make any waves? You can't get any kind of change whatsoever, and it's not the old boy system, it's a very corrupt and twisted situation where even you have judges giving contributions, and it just twists and just -- I can understand why people have no faith in their government, I really can. And, you know, people come up here week after week and endure just punishing abuse by this council, unable to answer any questions. feel sorry for the people who keep come up here year after year after year and ask about an audit it's ridiculous. Really it's beyond ridiculous, and then people come up here and talk about the Home Rule Charter or the Administrative Code. Come on, it's absolutely ludicrous because this government

has no respect for those documents because if it did the pension plan wouldn't look like it was because council has an oversight. It never exercises anything, it's just pass everything down to the little people and let them pay and make sure all the grants go to the non-profits and all of the people that are connected and give contributions and we'll let the governor come here and let him give the contributions to redo the downtown again and that will spread out to all of the people that are sucking the life out of us.

And, you know, the judicial system and the political system has come to a point that we have got people that are mentally ill, like the people in Oregon who took a bird sanctuary and then decided they were going to drive the street with their guns. What were they thinking? If there is ever going to a revolution in this country, people are going to have to stand up and hold their ground and do something because to be truthful if we follow your scenario with the corrupt way that you run our

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government we are done.

And, Mr. Rogan, I was talking last week about Mr. --

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you.

Mr. Morgan. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Oh, you are welcome, sir.

MR. WECHSLER: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. It's been awhile since I have been here, I'm not really surprised with the lack of production out of the council, to be honest with you. doesn't surprise me at all. That's why I haven't been around. I have been paying close attention, as I have always done and a lot of other people do, but, you know, I just us have to sit back and ask myself where are we really going here? You know, this idea of now I guess we have a Gestapo where we can't ask questions without putting them in writing, and to be quite frank with you, I mean, I don't have time for that bull crap. I'm not going to sit back and write you people love notes, you know, it's just

21

22

23

24

25

not going to happen. But I really don't care because, and I don't expect to get answers from a lot you guys, it's hard to get answers from people when they don't have any, I learned that a long time ago. the people I really feel bad for are the people like Mrs. Schumacher, Ms. Hodowanitz, Mr. Sbaraglia, Mr. Ellman, Mr. Morgan, all of the dedicated residents who have been coming down here for the last 10 to 15 years asking the same questions week after week and they continue to fall on deaf ears. Those are the people I really feel bad for because to honest with you the real sad part is these knowledgeable, dedicated people that put their heart and soul into this community and do their research who come up here every week are people that should probably, quite frankly, should be the ones sitting in these seats because they know what's going on. They see it, they live it.

But the problem in this town is real simple, we have elected one political hack after another. We have, you know, people who want to smile, Mr. Rogan last week

smiling at Mr. Ellman like it's a big joke, and it's really sad because when you take a look at this city and you go though the neighborhoods, just this past weekend I had an opportunity to go through the neighborhoods, just happen to be going around, and it's real just sad to see the condition, the decay of the neighborhoods just within the last 10 to 12 years.

Condemnations everywhere, people's homes just falling apart.

You know, you talk to seniors who just discuss that they're considering now reverse mortgaging their homes because they just can't take the beating anymore, and they are just tired of these councils pounding the living hell out of them because that's all you guys do. That's all you understand are raising taxes, selling off assets, and it's not all you.

You know, I have a lot of respect for Mr. Gaughan and the issues he has brought forward, but the problem is when you one guy battling against a lot of other people it's hard to get things done so to

11

12

13

10

8

9

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

speak, but I give him credit for speaking his mind and being productive. And, you know, the same with Mr. Evans as the Finance The only thing that I disagree with Chair. him on is when he made the comment that he had to hold his nose on voting for the budget. You know, that I just find to be inappropriate because we are not here to hold our noses, we are here to get the job done and pull that budget apart, and you have an oversight obligation to do that, but you opted to rubber stamp the administration's budget. That's not productive. That's note in the best interest of the residents of this city, but then again that's not what you are looking out for.

I mean, you just heard earlier tonight, I mean, that speaks for itself.
Campaign finance reports, they don't lie.
It doesn't matter what you gave, whether you gave a penny or you gave \$5,000. That's irrelevant. The bottom line is it's still contributed. You still contribute to somebody's campaign and it just goes to show

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

how corrupt the system is.

You know, and further what really infuriates me is in regard to the Sewer Authority that a comment was made that this council wasn't aware of what was going on with the Sewer Authority deal. Are you kidding me? Are you really that incompetent? Do you know what the Home Rule Charter is, the Administrative Code, these documents that we have talked about? Maybe you should pick a copy of it up and read and take a moment to see what your duties and responsibilities actually are, that they are actually to look out for the residents of this community, not the special interest that put you in these seats, you have been doing that for a long time. Asinine. So we are selling off assets left and right. gave everything away already so we are going to get rid of what we have left now. We are going to tax the living hell out of the residents of this community and force them out of this city because, quite frankly, there is nobody left, just go through the neighborhoods. Mr. Evans knows it, he is a

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

realtor, he sees it. Everyone is getting out of here because they have had it. They have been lied to by one political parasite after another and it's not going to change until we get ordinary, honest,

knowledgeable, honest people in these seats.
Will that ever happen in Scranton? Probably
not and that's what's sad.

But I think you are going to see some big changes coming up nationally in this election, and I think at some point it may just trickle on down to the local side of government, but we might be a long way away. But, you know, we just have to ask yourselves where are we really going here? And, you know, I have a lot of respect for the firemen and what they do, believe me I do, a lot of respect for what those men do, but now we are hiring 15 firemen that we can't pay for. I mean, where are we going here, gentlemen? It's time to take responsibility for your actions, show some leadership and start representing the residents of this city because you sure as hell haven't done it to this point. It's

not about you, it's about these people here and the 70,000 people at home watching you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: You're welcome.

MR. WECHSLER: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. First off, I'd like to

Scranton is so low right now. If anybody

know why if anybody has an idea why Lake

has been up around the lake it's really down and it's something that I think that we need

to look into. That's our main water supply

and there's got to be a pretty good reason

why it's been drawn down.

The other thing I need is I'm going to ask council to ask the County to reassess the mall here in Scranton. It was assessed at \$3 million with a \$20 some million dollar property and it's assessed at \$3 million.

People say I have sour grapes. I do. I

People say I have sour grapes, I do. I bought the church to save Holy Cross Church and the hall. It was being torn down by the city, they were paying a dollar for it and demolishing it. I paid \$35,000 to save some

of our culture and let the kids who still play free at my expense over there. We are assessed at \$500,000. There is no equality here. We were going to redevelop the church into something really nice in the area to be used, but at a \$500,000 assessment it's absurd because I wouldn't sell it to a local magistrate who had been playing the game with another deed. I'm not one who likes to be blackmailed or coerced into doing something and I didn't do it so I paid \$500,000 on an assessment.

I'm asking the county and the council to reevaluate what that is. I don't care who bought it, what they did or what they can do for the city, it's like anything else they bought it just like we do with anything else, they bought it to make money and profit and when they go on a vacation they don't take everybody in the city with them bought it for a reason, make all of the money in the world you want to make, but don't make it at our expense, so I'm asking council again to contact the county and ask for a reassessment.

The KOZ's and nonprofits, we didn't put a fee, they have to pay their fair share, there are no more free rides. We have been giving it for years and years and years and years and you got to do something about it. You can't just sit here barking at the moon anymore with all of us and we aren't going anywhere.

We, in Scranton, pay three times what everyone else pays. 130 million in the city, 140 some million I guess in the school plus the county. We get buried and we do it one year. 365 days we got to pay how many millions of dollars back out of our pockets. It's an absurdity that we have let this go on and on and on. You got to take a hard look, it's time. You know, somebody has got to get firm in this city, and it either starts with the council or it's going to start with the administration, but it's got to start somewhere and it's gotta start now or there will be no more Scranton.

You are selling the Sewer Authority, which is the most ridiculous, asinine thing
I have seen. This is something that makes

money. It's something we all -- sure, there are other things that we are going to need, but thee is grants and other things that are go along just like every other community, but we as a city can't manage our assets because we let squandering go down the toilet. We just let it go and go and go. You think these people are stupid that are going to come here and take over the Sewer Authority and do it for free?

Everybody in this city is going to pay through the nose because they are here to make money. That's why they are where they are today. That's why they are a profitable business. Are we that dumb we can't make money with our own asset that's paid for because we can't manage, because we are politically controlled? We allow this to go on and on and on and what happens? The people in this city pay and pay while the chosen few get away? The KOZ's, the University, whatever. It's time to pay to the piper, but it's got to be an equality and nobody in this city -- there is no

leadership here, there is nothing here that benefits the people in this city.

And it would be a refreshing thing to see, I have traveled all over the world and I see progress, I see things happen, but I see equality. This is the only city I see politically, I don't want to say corrupt in a sense, but I see politically motivated in destroying the tax base in this city. We are good at it. If you don't increase the tax base, you will never increase the tax rate and that's our problem here because, remember, council represents all of the people in here, not the chosen few.

And one of last things I really think we should do, and I have looked around the city and I have seen it, realtors put signs up all over the city, this is for sale, that's for sale, they put them on businesses and houses, well, they put ads in newspapers they pay, they put it on the internet they pay, well, I think we need to put a fee, a permit fee which we do you put on political signs, they only last so long, you tear them the heck down when you're

done. I think there should be a \$25 fee for every sign, every realtor puts up in a yard or on a business in this city that goes towards our public service fee, and the money is put in there to support our public service, but I think they have had a free ride long enough, they make their commissions, they make money, it's all about making money, but think, they have no investment in that house. They don't have a dime invested, and they make 100 percent profit they should pay to put signs up at everyone else should.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: You are putting a sign up for business, it should be put up and I think they should pay, but I think council needs to start taking the bull --

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: I heard you -- I think the bull by the horns should be done by this counsel. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

in Harrisburg that have caused all of the commotion down there, a lot of people that can't be gotten along with, it always surprises me you have a local official in the county was just elected and they were in medical services business, well, 60 percent of the medical services are paid for Uncle Sam so how in the heck can you be a tea partier at that rate, you know? Please, give me a break.

Now, last week I just watched you guys, I was playing hookey, trash. I keep hearing bag and I don't want to be nasty about it, but I think it's time for barrels

guys, I was playing hookey, trash. I keep hearing bag and I don't want to be nasty about it, but I think it's time for barrels only because we have an awful dirty town and it's a rotten shame with the amount of money we do pay for trash, but these people aren't hired and able to run around and pick up individual pieces of the garbage that get drug all around the place.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident. I'd like to point

out that it's not our local representatives

And, once again, I'd like to remind people on the pension the reason you are paying a higher taxes is because of Wall

Street losses at least in part \$26 million missing from the police and firemen pension fund. Nobody went to jail over it. and a little food for thought, we keep hearing about the Sewer Authority being sold, well, I'd just as soon see that money go to paying back wages if it gets sold, which I think it will be irregardless of what anybody's opinion is, instead of handing it to Wall Street because the paid bills is as big of an asset is a way bigger than lost money. I suspect with our business bubble, construction bubble that we are going to have another crash and it's coming. It's already -- are seeing it teeter, it's up a couple hundred one day and down a couple of hundred the next and the next thing you know you pick up a paper and find out it's down \$1,000 and it keep going from there.

And on our county, we keep hearing about the Globe Store being sold to the county. That's taking 250 grand out of our pocket and it will turn it into a tax exempt. 250 grand a year and people that

2223

20

21

24

25

emptied it out in the first place, the
Chamber of Commerce by running another
business out of town and giving them offers
of the tax exempt are the ones that are
holding it, so why don't they just default
on it and it can come back to us and we can
sell it ourselves so we don't have to like
it gets done with houses.

Also, last week I heard about the Hill, and that's basically what you call a gentrification program, and I just like to point out that a lot of times when sections of the city get gentrified the current residents in many instances get displaced, their rents go up or they just get moved out and it gets gentrified and it's prettier and so forth, but it also contributes to a lot of homeless situations or people having no where else to go.

And on fair housing, I don't know what you thought about it, Wayne, but some of those regulations are just crazy.

MR. EVANS: They are.

MR. DOBRZYN: You know, a thought occurred to me that a lot of young people

don't have money and they don't have the job offers that people used to have and that's why the real estate business is in such a problem with all of the outsourcing and then we are going to stack a bunch of impractical demands on it that a house has to be entirely renovated and all kinds of updates that were never there to begin with so, all right. Well, I'll let you off the hook. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,
Mr. Dobrzyn. Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonian. I agree with Mr. Bulzoni. He is the only one that shows sense. Stay the heck out of this city. Why would anybody with common sense want to live in this city? If you are like me in age and you are going to go up to the Cathedral I understand, but if you got young children you got to be crazy. Mr. Bulzoni is right. He doesn't have to pay the school tax, he doesn't have to pay the 1 percent added onto the wage tax, so you are giving him thousands of dollars more by not living

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the city, so I don't blame him. Common sense. Not that we don't have people that could do that job. Scranton has a lot of smart people, it's just too bad they are not politically connected.

Okay, let's go, you talked about the mercantile tax and the business privilege tax that the people in business don't like Well, you are right, they don't like it, but we don't like it either, our tax. Do you understand that a job, just a couple of facts, if a company makes \$100,000 in business privilege tax you pay \$100. And the other end of it, the mercantile tax \$50,000, I mean, yeah, \$50,000 will give you another \$100, okay, but if you or I was to make that money -- actually it's 100,000. If we should make 100,000 our contribution to the city would be 240 -- \$2,400 would pay on \$100,000. They would pay for both \$100. Now, how do you think that's fair? Why should they have to pay only \$200 on \$100,000 while the poor Joe Blow has to pay \$2,400 on the same 100,000? But this is the way the system is. Joe Blow ain't giving

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contributions to the party or to the candidates that's why the system is like it is. That's the reason why you are not even going into it.

There was all kinds of the deals that we were going to hire somebody out to go check all the businesses to see if they are paying their tax, which they should be paying their tax, but you claim they're not paying their tax and they probably ain't much well. It's an awful thing. If I give \$1,000 to a candidate I'm sure he will make sure that they overlook my taxes. the trouble with this city. Too much is being overlooked. The people can't afford to pay what they are paying, but you are letting other people skid away with thousands and thousands of dollars. That's not democracy. You are setting up a system where it's back to the old days, you're back in the old system where the landlords and the surfs were there, we are becoming surfs and the politically connected are becoming Is this America? landlords. Is this what we wanted? We have the right to overthrow

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the government, just not by force because somewhere along the line the cities got together and the states got together and said you can't use force, but you have the right to overthrow the government. Scranton can be overthrown. Different ways as long as they are not violating, holding back tax revenue. Say all of the tax revenue be put into an escrow account, the city would collapse in three months. Maybe that's the solution, maybe that's the only solution. We can't be held for services. You can't put everybody in debt or worried because of Maybe it's time to change some of services. the services. The mayor had the right thing when he said you can only afford the services you can pay for. That made the most sense that he ever did and I fought with him many, many times, but that made sense. We just can't afford the services at the cost.

I mean, people are selling their homes everywhere. Every time I go up Main Avenue I see more and more signs out. Is this what we are going to have? I mean, I

don't know if we even got the 72,000 residents anymore. I just hope we do. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher. Last week I
said I would read what you said the first
time around on Mr. Bulzoni, but I have other
things so I'm going to shorten it up, but I
do agree with what Mr. Evans said. "I'm not
a fan of waiver requests mostly because I
have always felt that we have and should
have a rich enough talent pool of the
candidates for any position within our city
boundaries and that any employee that comes
from the outside of the city should want to
live in the city they are wishing to serve."

But now the same excuses or reasons substantiation that was given almost a year ago, a full year, are being used again.

Critical things, we got things, but I wondered about over this past weekend most of all is that the Times-Tribune announced that on the 11th of November that

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bulzoni was going to leave and go back
to his banking career. Where was the
concern then? You were in session, did you
know there was no replacement? I'm sure you

announced. Where were you?

did.

MR. WECHSLER: We regularly asked the mayor what his plans were for the business administrator.

I'm sure that would have been

MS. SCHUMACHER: But you weren't enforcing it. Last year, Mr. Wechsler, you said that you would -- where was it? "If Mr. Bulzoni -- the way I look at this is the end date is the end date and it's the same result as if we took action on it today. If Mr. Bulzoni does not move into the city he will not be the business administrator anymore, but the benefit to us is the work that he is doing right now and the effort that he is put into it should not be wasted. We should take advantage of his knowledge until the end of the year. approach the end of the year, it will become obvious if Mr. Bulzoni is going to remain as the business administrator or not. In that

case, as we approach into the fall and into the budget process whoever the new business administrator may be or Mr. Bulzoni may move into the city, but whoever that administrator would be we need to have an into the city or if he will not you have to properly transition a new position with a new business administrator."

You know, you knew there was none, this legislation on the waiver didn't even come until your second meeting of the year. Where was this? I mean, if there was real concern, and it really makes me wonder is there something special, does Mr. Bulzoni need to grease the skids for something like another Landmark loan before we pay the court award.

MR. WECHSLER: Mrs. Schumacher, I don't think you should say that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I already said it.

MR. WECHSLER: It's an accusation that you can't prove. You can't prove it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: So it's too late.

MR. WECHSLER: Well, it's an accusation.

22

23

24

25

MS. SCHUMACHER: I said in my -- it makes me wonder.

MR. WECHSLER: It's still an accusation.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And I can wonder on anything, thank you. But the thing that's really most disturbing is all of the issues that have been brought up over the past two years that we were going to do something about, and it seems to me nothing has been done. The audit, I would like to know if the current contract that we have for the audit has an escape clause. If we can get rid of this company that we just hired because the county has been using Baker Tilly and they have the same standards that we have to comply with, but yet they have managed to achieve an early or on-time availability of the audit for the four consecutive years. If they can do it, why can't we?

Condemnation policy. It was stated we really need a new condemnation policy, where is it? Missing in action.

A firm policy on snow removal for

1 the downtown, where is it? 2 MR. GAUGHAN: It's there, it has to 3 be enforced. MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand that. 4 5 but, you know, people need to know. People park downtown. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: I have said that, but that's the administration. I can't go out--8 9 I don't the power to go out and make, you 10 know, people do something. That's the mayor and the administration. 11 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, maybe you 13 need to knock on some doors to get it done 14 and I think you could demand that that be done. 15 16 The current court award pay down pay 17 off, haven't heard about for a long time. Rental registration, again, long 18 time. 19 20 Trash fee collection, does anybody 21 know what percent we collected last year? 22 Okay. 23 Validation of the property tax 24 exemption for nonprofits. A lot of chatter, 25 but no action.

If I may finish this list? The taxing on the total assessments as does the rest of the county instead of separate millage for land and improvements?

We need a confidence that there is, in fact, a way forward. We need a multi-year budget that is supportable, three years at a minimum. You probably saw Mr. Cross' article saying house prices are all down, only two cities have increased property values, ours have gone down significantly and our low to moderate income population is growing. The demographics are against us, we need something hard to see to give us faith. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you. Just as a comment, there is many things on the list that Ms. Schumacher has brought up that we work on every week. There is more to being on council than showing up here at 6 p.m.

We go to many different meetings and discuss many different topics, most of them are some of the things that Ms. Schumacher brought up on her list. A lot of these things aren't ready, to my standpoint they are not ready

to be protective of the community to be brought up to council.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. Ronnie Ellman. This February 6 last year you know what it states? The second time Bulzoni promised to move here, sell his property. That just shows how much credibility that man has that you guys are supporting. His credibility is in the basement. It's in the toilet.

These people, all we read about now you've just forgotten about our neighborhoods, now it's all about shoring up downtown again. Mr. Durkin's jumped in, shore up downtown. I guess they want to make sure that that millionaire bragger doesn't lose his shirt on the white elephant he bought, and I'm not against that place, I was there the other day. It just doesn't look like it's going to catch on. It's all nice and clean and lights, but there wasn't any people.

I just want to talk to me friends out there, not you people. April 1, I want you people out there to remember April 1

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

you're allowed to go down to the Tax Assessor's Office and ask for tax reduction if you have just cause. That means if you have some lowlife in your neighborhood bringing down your house values or some good reason you can ask for a tax reduction you still have to pay your taxes. I went through this last year and I didn't follow This year I will, but as long as your case is pending the city doesn't get a dime of your money. It might be a year or two or three maybe that would break the bank on some of what's going on against the taxpayers of this city. I just want you all to remember anybody can go down there and show just cause and have your taxes paid up to present time they will consider.

I had a young man Sunday night, I was at Aldi's, he brought something to my attention with the thousands of houses for sale in this city there is a little law on our 50-year-old city code that charges for signs, like, "No Parking" signs on buildings and so forth, he told me it's ashame that banks and the real estate companies don't

have to pay ten or 15 dollars a months or all of the "For Sale" signs in this city because just think of the thousands of dollars that would be brought in because there is thousands of houses for sale and rent. You know, like, last week you people can tell me to shut up and sit down all you want, but I'm not the problem. I'm nowhere near the problem this city has got. You are the problem. Two weeks Mr. Perry turned his back on the city, I heard that from six or eight people. That's all took.

The support Mr. Bulzoni one more time he has beat the city, he is beating you people, he has beaten me, he beat these people out of thousands of dollars of taxes for the school system and the property taxes, then you want more of him. Shame on you. That's a disgust. Just shame on you for thinking that.

MR. WECHSLER: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PERRY: Yeah, just a couple of things. You know, we have some speakers, and I don't if it's common thought out there of what we are working on, but I know since I have been here there are two things that I think are really important that we have been working on, and one of the things is to make the city more business friendly. If we can make the city more business friendly, that's going to draw more businesses in which create more jobs which creates a bigger income tax and which is going to create more potential vacant homes to be filled up with home ownership, and I believe it starts with making the city business friendly.

And the second thing that we are working on right now is our tax abatement legislation for new construction in the city. Both of these things we have taken our time on. We haven't rushed any step of this. We want to make sure that both of these come out as not only crystal clear as possible, but we get more bodies on board and we make it beneficial. So these are things that are going to make an impact on

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our city which is going to make an impact on our neighborhoods. I don't think there is anyone in here that doesn't live in a neighborhood. I know I do and I value my neighborhood. It's near and dear to my heart, it's right next to my family.

And the second thing is the ongoing audit saga. From the information that I got, we should expect to have our 2014 audit and the end of the month, which is absolutely 100 percent unacceptable. We can't continue as a city expecting our audit to come this late. Something that I would like to do is to arrange meeting with myself, Mr. Bulzoni and the company that's holding the audit because I do not want to see this going on in 2015. If we are sitting here next year still looking for the 2015 audit we have a serious, serious issue. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, just two very brief items. First, we had a caucus prior to the meeting with Pat Hinton from Licensing and Inspections, and the main item we talked

about was licensing and regulation of the contractors within the City of Scranton, and there is not a lot of people out there that may think it doesn't effect them, but it does. We have gotten a lot of complaints over the years from contractors that want to do business in the city but can't because of either the high cost of getting a permit in the City of Scranton to do this work or they couldn't take the test that needs to be passed in order to do construction work within the City of Scranton.

I know many residents have reported to me that when they needed repairs done on their home they would go in the phonebook or go on the internet an call a contractor and they would say, "I'm sorry, we don't do work in the City of Scranton."

And the effect of that, there is two negative effects, one is that we have contractors that are looking for work within the city that feel that it's not worthwhile and we definitely want to have more people working in the city, and the other part that effects every single homeowner in the City

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Scranton is when you have a smaller pool of contractors that are willing to do work in the city the costs are going to be much higher. So the legislation that is being proposed, at least how it was explained, there is quite a bit to look through here, it will make the process of becoming a licensed contractor in the City of Scranton much more streamlined. It will be an easier My hope is that once this process. legislation becomes law it will encourage contractors who are currently not working in the city to do business in Scranton, and the big benefit of that will be to the residents that when they want to have improvements done on their home that, one, they have more options to chose from; and two, they should be able to get a lower price because there will be more competition, and that is likely how the free market works so hopefully that will make the city much more business friendly.

A number of items were brought up
that council has been working on for quite a
long time, just one that I want to speak to

25

is the rental registration. I know myself and Councilmen Evans have been mentioning this for quite a long time, too long to be honest. We feel that we are very close to what we want to see. Obviously, that's going to have to be reconciled with the administration and they are looking forward as well, but we feel that we are very, very close to having a firm, concrete rental registration that will work. Just last week we met with Attorney Minora to go over some of the points and some exemptions and changes that we want to put in additionally, and although it is taking a long time, it needs to be done right. The rental registration ordinance has been I'd say an every three or four years cycle has been revised and each time it hasn't worked, so this time it has to be done right. Obviously, there is a lawsuit, money is being placed into escrow, that can't go on any longer and there are many people that won't buy investment properties in the City of Scranton because of the rental registration and of what it entails.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously, we need good landlords in the City of Scranton not people from New York and New Jersey that are just going to come in and try to manage the properties from 100 miles away. So a rental registration ordinance is needed, but it needs to be a balance between protecting the property rights of property owners, and most importantly, protecting the neighborhoods, and I think what we are very close to finishing will do that, and also provide some good exemptions for family-to-family rentals and a few other items, so that's something that we are hoping to have on the agenda very shortly. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. To touch on the rental registration ordinance while we are talking about it, I come from the premise of we don't have an inspection problem we have a registration problem so that was the premise that we started when we tried to revise the ordinance and that's where we are headed. So we are in a draft

form right now. As Councilman Rogan said, we are very, very close to getting this ready for prime time and hopefully within the next two or three weeks we will have it on the agenda for us to vote on.

And after that, our feelings always were to tackle the condemnation policy after the rental registration policy was taken care of so that will be next on the agenda as far as we are concerned.

I'd like to touch on one of the speakers mentioned that they would like the city to get involved with the reassessment of the mall. Well, I'm not looking at picking winners or losers in this, I want to reassess everybody and I've gone on record to say that. The county has to step up to the plate and do a countywide reassessment and if they did not do it soon then the city has to step up to the plate take the county to court and force the reassessment once and for all. It's the right thing to do for all of our taxpayers and we finally have to get that done.

Councilmen Wechsler and myself had

the opportunity this weekend to have a conversation with the Auditor General about pension reform of all things, and the Auditor General confirmed much of what we have already known and we have been saying for quite some time that we need pension reform if we ever expect to become financially solvent for the long term, and we must have pension reform both on a local and state level.

Additionally, he had echoed my comments as well as comments made by Council President Wechsler that we cannot put one dime into our severely distressed pensions without real pension reform and he could not have been made it any clearer on the subject, and I hope I have been, too, so any thoughts of using the proceeds from the proposed Sewer Authority sale, if that actually happens, to super fund the pensions cannot happen, I repeat cannot happen, until substantial pension reform takes place first.

I'd also like to reinforce some of the positions I have taken on the issue and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

some expectations that I have for the mayor and the administration. On the Court settlement, I agree that we have to finally pay this and remove this albatross from the back of the city taxpayers. Those effected deserve to get their award, however, I have said repeatedly that the interest payments that have accrued should not be part of the award and should instead be directed into their underfunded pensions. Currently, this is estimate somewhere in the vicinity of \$3 million plus.

Additionally, there should be some concessions on pension reform as an additional good faith effort by all of those involved as part of the final settlement and disbursement of the award. Also, I have asked the mayor on several occasions to show his commitment to pension reform and give a transition to a new way of doing business by requiring cabinet members and all new hires that are non-union personnel on a going forward basis to switch to a 403-B or a 401-K style defined contribution plan. will be a positive message to send to the

24

25

financial community as well as to the taxpaying public as we continue to sort through the larger issues related to real long-term pension reform. That's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

First, a prebid meeting will be held

February 10th for the 2016 city paving

program and bids will be opened on February

19. The street list has stayed the same

from last summer when the project was

delayed. There will be roughly 40 streets

that will be paved in eight sections of the

city, and I will continue to update everyone

as this 2016 paving program moves along.

I would like, with the support of my colleagues, for council to send a letter to the mayor and the administration to find out what the delay is in implementing the foreclosure registration program. The company, Community Champion, was awarded the contract back in November of last year.

There was an e-mail from representatives of

the company who stated that they still need legislation from the administration authorizing the program. From what I could glean from the e-mail, there has been a total lack of communication between the administration and this company, and I'm not exactly sure why, so I would like to find that out this week.

We received a facilities assessment from Highland Associates this week for the Serenity building on 1801 Pine Street, the former Army Reserve Center that the mayor and the administration would like to acquire. After reviewing the assessment, it's clear that this building needs major work and major repairs. One of the major issues is that it needs to be brought up fully to ADA accessible standards.

I would just continue to caution the mayor and the administration on acquiring this property. I think it is a mistake if they go through with it and it's going to end up being a money pit. And that is all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. Gaughan. Just a few things, I did contact Chief Graziano concerning some speeding issues in Oakmont. Oakmont neighborhood. Chief Graziano is going to send a traffic control officer up to see if more signage can be put up.

I also received a call a little late after the horse was out of the barn about bus service on East Mountain. We had brought this up earlier towards the end of last year when service was being cancelled on the opposite side of the mountain.

And, finally, someone called me that is being effected by that so right now if there is anyone else that has that problem please contact me or Lori Reed if you are having a problem with the bus service.

Right now for one person I don't see Colt's making any changes from that.

Tonight Pat Hinton came to our caucus to talk about the new contractor registration. This contract registration is one of the items that council decided not to introduce last year. What we wanted to do is make sure that we all had input on it so

a few weeks ago I met with Mr. Hinton, Mr. Hinton provided me a copy of both the new registration and the old, we went over both comparing each to each. We also sent copies to all of the council members and we also sent it out to various contractors in and developers in the area. What we want to do is we want to be very proactive in these new ordinance that were passed. We want to make the city business friendly as Pat had mentioned and this is another reason how we can start to make Scranton more business friendly. And that's all I have this evening.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF GENE P.
TESEROVITCH, 1541 THACKERY STREET, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF THE BOARD
OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 26, 2016. MR. TESEROVITCH
WILL BE REPLACING TIMOTHY PERRY WHO RESIGNED
EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 18, 2015. MR.
TESEROVITCH WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF
MR. PERRY WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE
ON FEBRUARY 4, 2020.

1 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. EVANS: Second. 5 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All 6 those in favor of introduction signify by 7 8 saying aye. 9 MR. PERRY: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO. 15 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 16 17 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 18 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE 19 COUNCIL NO. 8, 2016 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR 20 21 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 22 SUBMIT TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF 23 24 HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD) THE 2015-2019 ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR 25

1	HOUSING CHOICE FOR SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
2	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
3	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Community Development?
5	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Community Development, I
7	recommend final passage of Item 7-A.
8	MR. EVANS: Second.
9	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
10	Roll call, please?
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
12	MR. PERRY: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
16	MR. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby
21	declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully
22	adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -
25	RESOLUTION NO. 6, 2016 - APPOINTMENT OF

1	KEVIN WHELAN, 1111 DELAWARE STREET,
2	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18509 AS A MEMBER OF
3	THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY
4	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 20, 2016. MR. WHELAN WILL
5	BE REPLACING THOMAS J. STONE WHO RESIGNED
6	JANUARY 15, 2016. MR. WHELAN WILL FILL THE
7	UNEXPIRED TERM OF THOMAS J. STONE, WHOSE
8	TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31,
9	2016.
10	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
11	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-B.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
15	Roll call, please?
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
17	MR. PERRY: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
21	MR. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby

1 declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully 2 adopted. MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 3 4 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 7, 2016 - AMENDING RESOLUTION 5 NO. 122, 2015 (AS AMENDED) ENTITLED 6 "AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 7 8 CITY OFFICIALS TO WAIVE THE RESIDENCY 9 REQUIREMENTS FOR DAVID BULZONI, CITY OF SCRANTON BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR" BY 10 EXTENDING THE RESIDENCY WAIVER OF CITY OF 11 12 SCRANTON BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR, DAVID BULZONI. INDEFINITELY. 13 14 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 15 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 16 Committee on Finance? 17 MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the 18 Committee on Finance, I recommend final 19 passage of Item 7-C. MR. ROGAN: Second. 20 21 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 22 Roll call, please? 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. 24 MR. PERRY: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 25

MR. ROGAN: 1 Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 2 3 MR. EVANS: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. MR. GAUGHAN: 5 No. 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 7 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-C legally and lawfully 8 9 adopted. 10 yes. 11 Before I adjourn I just have one 12 announcement, next Thursday's council 13 meeting will be held at 5 p.m instead of 14 6:30, so next Thursday the regularly scheduled meeting of council will take place 15 16 at 5 p.m. instead of 6:30. MR. UNGVARSKY: Why? 17 18 MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn. 19 MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. Meeting adjourned. 20 MR. WECHSLER: 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER