2

## 3

# 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL

PUBLIC HEARING

IN RE: FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 118 2015, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND THE OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSIONS UNDER THE COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GRANT CDBG PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS, (ESG) PROGRAM FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2016.

### **HELD:**

Thursday, September 17, 2014

### LOCATION:

Council Chambers

Scranton City Hall

340 North Washington Avenue

Scranton, Pennsylvania

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

2 <u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT (Not present)

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WAYNE EVANS

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

9 LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

. .

MR. ROGAN: I'd like to call this 1 2 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 5 MR. ROGAN: Here. 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: 10 Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 11 12 MR. ROGAN: The purpose of said 13 public hearing is to hear testimony and 14 discuss the following: FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 118 2015. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND THE 15 OTHER APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF 16 17 SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO 18 IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSIONS UNDER THE COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT 19 PROGRAMS TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY 20 21 DEVELOPMENT GRANT CDBG PROGRAM, HOME 22 INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND 23 EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANTS, (ESG) PROGRAM 24 FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING JANUARY 1, 2016. 25 We do have with us Linda Aebli,

Executive Director for OECD, as well as Solicitor Attorney Hickey from OECD, would you like to make some comments to open up to the public?

MR. HICKEY: Not at this time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. The first speaker on the list is Peg Ruddy.

MS. RUDDY: Good evening. I appreciate this opportunity, city council, to talk about the Women's Resource Center work, specifically as it relates to these two grants. The Women's Resource Center is the sole agency in Scranton and all of Lackawanna County to provide emergency life saving services to victims of domestic violence, sexual abuse, teen date violence and stalking. Pick up the newspaper any day and you will see that it's a huge problem here in our community.

We have submitted a grant to the Emergency Solutions Grant Program where we are requesting \$27,763 to assist 100 victims of domestic violence and their children through our safe housing program. Again, we are the sole provider of that type of

service in this community. Those funds will pay for safe housing, case management, utilities, household supplies and, you know, the opportunity to provide safety.

In addition, under the Emergency Solutions Grant we are looking for \$1,500 to put towards homeless prevention. Many times what happens with battered woman is perhaps, you know, through no fault of her own the batterer gets arrested and goes to jail, is unable to work, she can't pay the rent, and we use this money to assist her in sheltering a place so that we can prevent her from homeless for a short time so that she can get back on her feet and with that money we would be able to assist six adults victims of domestic violence.

And, lastly, we are looking for rapid rehousing dollars. It's an incredibly successful program here. We have modeled ourselves from other parts of the country where they have recognized if you can get victims of domestic violence into housing right away you can solve a lot of their other problems, and so we are looking for

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$16,755 to assist ten adults and five children over the course of the year to pay longer term rental assistance, again, while they get on their feet. So the total allocation that we requested from the Emergency Shelter Grant program is \$46,018.

We also did submit a grant, I noticed it wasn't on the list, but I just want to put a word in for our community development block grant. The Women's Resource Center occupies 130-year-old building in the City of Scranton that we, you know, took off of the blight list many, many years ago. We continue to make updates and right now we are looking for just a little bit of money to, you know, do some repairs outside and as well as to increase our safety, so I know it didn't make it on the list but if there is any chance that, you know, we may be able to get some of that funding for some of those upgrades I would really appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council regarding this issue specifically

1 for the CDGB allotments, the action plan. MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, 2 3 Council, Marie Schumacher. I have a couple of questions. First of all, will the 4 5 administrative costs cover placing the Caper on-line? It's been a number of years since 6 7 Mr. Mitchell's report said it would on-line 8 the following year. 9 MS. AEBLI: Are you talking about he 10 Caper or the five-year consolidated plan? 11 The Caper? 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah 13 MS. AEBLI: I could put that 14 on-line, yeah, no problem. MS. SCHUMACHER: The hazardous 15 16 structures, how many hazardous structures 17 are on the list and what is the average 18 demolition cost and how many would this 19 demolish? 800,000. 20 MS. AEBLI: It averages about 21 \$10,000 a unit -- I mean, a structure so we 22 do have about 20 homes, between 18 and 20. 23 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, so you 24 obviously believe that's going to be hit. 25 Now, I have a question for council, I was

again flabbergasted, two weeks ago it was
the business privilege tax that nobody seems
to want to pay and we had at that point
hadn't even talked to anybody, this week it
is yesterday's headline story in the
Times-Tribune wanting to redistrict some
properties that are not in the low to
moderate income group into the low to
moderate income group, I don't see why.

Well, two things, first of all, I would say that if one section of the city or two sections of the city are, in fact, miscalculated it's probably I would think due to people not filling out the paperwork, but then I would think the whole city would be reviewed if that is the case, if two districts are I would think perhaps all of them are miscalculated, but for the purposes of OECD, which seem to be that article, you know, here we are with a CDBG request of 6.9 roughly million dollars and for 2015 we got 2.5 million so obviously some of these have to be paid, we owe it to the federal government, but you have got to make a lot of cuts so I don't understand why you are

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not paying for more applicants when we can't afford -- we can't afford what we have already got so I would like to know an explanation on that.

MR. EVANS: Well, I think part of it is to have more opportunities throughout the entire city and I think everybody agrees on council, I know that OECD agrees as well, there is something wrong with the map. data that was put in there doesn't seem to The question is I guess what be right. could we do about it because what we want to be able to do is pave more streets or have more streets be eligible to be paved, have police patrols be -- have their beats in more areas throughout the city or extend their beats, that doesn't cost anymore, that just allows them to walk from this street to the next street. So that's the opportunity and I think we have to live with that map for quite awhile so the questions is, again, we all want to is it possible to do, I guess is the question.

MS. SCHUMACHER: For the entire city or just for South Scranton and the --

MR. EVANS: I think that the entire map needs to be looked at. I'm not looking at specific areas I'm looking at the entire map.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and is it your plan to delete projects in totality?

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Or are you going to cut everybody back? Are you going to cut everybody something?

MR. EVANS: No, it has nothing to do with the 2016 applications, I think it's more about the future, it's more about future opportunities.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, but I mean with respect to the 2016 request here that was published in the paper and that is, you know, again 6.9 million requested and this year 2.5, who knows, I don't know, do we have any idea what we are going to get for 2016 up or down?

MS. AEBLI: I was pretty on the mark last year, I put in for 2.5 and we got 2.517 so hopefully --

MS. SCHUMACHER: So, again --

MR. EVANS: It should be consistent, 1 2 I guess, for next year. Obviously the money 3 is reduced every year because of budgetary 4 restrictions. 5 It's the same every year MR. ROGAN: 6 we have more applicants than money. 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I know, but 8 what is your intent with respect to 9 whittling these down to meet that say it's 10 2.5 just for arguments purposes, or even 3, 11 is it to give everybody a little bit or is 12 to cut --13 MR. EVANS: No, I think with the 14 amount of budget the applications --MR. HICKEY: There is out of that 15 16 6.9 million total of funding that was 17 requested there is roughly about 2.5 million 18 that the mayor has submitted to council to fund which would be within the limit that we 19 20 actually receive from the federal 21 government. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: So could you --23 MR. HICKEY: You have total requests 24 that you are looking at. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, could you

1 tell me which -- just read them off quickly 2 3 MS. AEBLI: I'll give you the sheet. 4 MS. SCHUMACHER: -- so I can check 5 off which ones got deleted. MS. AEBLI: These are the ones that 6 7 they requested and this is what we 8 determined we were going to fund. Okay? 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Thank you. 10 Can I keep this for a minute? 11 MS. AEBLI: You can keep those. 12 MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who 13 would like to address council? Mr. Morgan, 14 I know you just walked in, this is specifically regarding the OECD action plan. 15 16 MR. MORGAN: Block grant money; 17 right? 18 MR. ROGAN: Right. I wish I would have 19 MR. MORGAN: 20 brought the article from the Scranton Times 21 to explain where all that money was going so 22 I could be more pinpoint on my opinions on 23 this, but I was running a little behind 24 schedule, but I think the money really in a 25 lot of instances has to be redirected from

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where it's going. I'm seriously opposed to the money going to the Novembrino pool, okay, very seriously so because the Novembrino pool was built with federal money, too, and look it, the city really needs to take look at this block grant money and, you know, I don't care what politicians think because they have taken the city to where it is now and the people passing this fund down to the city for a long time haven't paid enough have attention to where that money was going and with a nation in the shape we are in, as Mr. Casey was talking about, now these block grants in the future are going to be very, very seriously cut.

I really think a lot of the block grant money has to be spread out in neighborhoods to help with sidewalks, curbs, housing, redevelopment, blighted properties, and I think that the city had an obligation to make sure that it maintained all of the complexes that were built with federal funds. As you know, the South Side Sports Complex was built with federal funds,

Section 70 funds, and it was illegal sell yet it was still sold and, you know, there is a lot or other people receiving money and grants that I read in the newspaper and, look it, I mean, the days of pay and play politics, if you want to call it that, some of these people are worth it, there is no doubt, but I think we really have to reexamine where that money is going.

When you talk to average

Scrantonians that are losing their houses to sheriff's sales and we look at a city that's so mismanaged that, I mean, I don't want to throw everything in together, but look at what we are doing with our assets and here we are wondering about how we are going to spread grant block money and look where it's going, and I asked the councilmen to take a good, hard look at where their money is going and to change the plan, to change the plan so that it benefits as many Scrantonians as possible.

You know, the Scranton Times did an article, and it may be a little off topic, but the Scranton Times did an article

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recently about the firemen grant, we are going to high and we can't pay in the future, we have done that before, I just think it's time to pick a new direction for the city and start moving that way.

And if you are paying attention to what's going on in this country the Democratic and Republican parties are just about out because they have determined that they are probably not going to back Hillary and they are probably not going to back any of the major Republican candidate that have tons of special interest money. So, you know, you might say well, what does that have to do with block grant money because it's all political money and you know the average person can't find a decent job, doesn't have training, doesn't have a ton of things, the neighborhoods are rolled back, we have multiple pages of sheriff's lists, sales on homes.

Look it, I know that you can put your heads together, everybody here, and find a more worthy conduit for that money, and the Novembrino project has no merit,

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

absolutely none. The money earmarked for that project is a waste of money, it's a waste of federal money, okay, it's a waste of any money that's put in there. You need to reclaim that complex from what it is now and the city needs to either come up with the money to do that or leave the complex the way it is abandoned because this isn't free money, this is blood money. tax that was taken from other people throughout the country and it was hoped that worthy projects were done with it, and some of the projects are worthy, and that's why you are here because you have to make that determination. You are going to determine what's politics and what isn't, and if this plan goes forward as it is, you might as well just blindfold yourself to every vote you take and just keep going the way you are because the city is a corpse, and not only that but the country is tired of it, too. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council? Mrs. Aebli, anything, any final

comments?

MS. AEBLI: Not at this time. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: All right. I'm sorry,
Councilman Evans has a question.

MR. EVANS: I just wanted to clarify, it was in the paper, and I think we need to talk about it a little about, about the manning, is there anything at all that we can do on a going forward basis to change some of the locations and the maps that we know are incorrect?

MR. HICKEY: Yeah, we are looking at the process, I know you received the regs on it from Ms. Aebli.

MS. EVANS: Yeah, and I totally understand the difficulty of dealing with HUD and regulations.

MR. HICKEY: Yeah, just so everybody is aware, the regulations that require the surveys is a, in my opinion, a Herculean task given the staffing level of the department now, so what we are going to do is take a look at it, see what the requirements are, look at it from the

perspective of man hours, whether it be paid staff, volunteers, wherever it might be, and determine if there is something that can be done, I mean, but just so council understands the requirements are very specific and very strict and, you know, at this point we are just not sure whether or not we are equipped to do that survey process, whether it be individually by canvassing neighborhoods, mail, whatever it might be, there is a different process involved for each type of survey that you try to accomplish and there is pros and cons to all of it, so it's something that we are looking at, we will be happy to get back to council.

MS. EVANS: And if we come to the conclusion that maybe there is outside help that needs to be done with this and something we can help with, professional services or an RFP let us know because I think it's a worthwhile endeavor if we can find a way to do it. All right. Thank you.

MS. AEBLI: Thank you.

MR. EVANS: Thank you very much.

24

20

21

22

23

25

MR. GAUGHAN: I have one quick question. Thank you. Can you just briefly explain the scoring process for the CDBG applications and how that works?

MS. AEBLI: Yes, several years ago, I don't have one in front of me to tell you, but I could send you one exactly how we did it. Maybe I have one here, hold on. I don't think I have one in here, Mr. Gaughan. I will provide city council, there is a scoring system that we use.

MR. HICKEY: With criteria.

MS. AEBLI: The criteria, yeah, of how we do it.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Anything else? (Hearing adjourned.)

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER