_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, May 7, 2015	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25	OMMENE S. MANDOZZI, MIN - OFFICIAL COUNT REFORTER	

.

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT (Not present)

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff did call me 13 14 earlier in the week to let me know he would be unable to attend tonight's meeting. 15 16 is feeling a little bit under the weather so 17 we wish he gets well soon. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a 19 motion to appoint Councilman Rogan as 20 temporary chairperson for the Committee ON 21 Rules. MR. WECHSLER: 22 Second. MR. ROGAN: 23 On the question? 24 those in favor signify by saying aye. 25 MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. Please dispense with 4 5 the reading of the minutes. MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. AGENDA 6 FOR THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO 7 BE HELD MAY 13, 2015. 8 9 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 10 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-B. SINGLE TAX OFFICE 11 12 CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT FOR APRIL 2015-2014. 13 14 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 15 16 MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S 17 REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD MAY 27, 18 2015. 19 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 20 If not, received and filed. Do any members 21 of council have any announcements to make? 22 Just one, there will be a motion made to 23 move Item 6-A regarding the LST tax to 24 Seventh Order so if anyone wishes to speak 25 upon that item will be moved from Sixth to

2

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Seventh Order. Citizens' participation.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. ROGAN: First speaker is Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: You know, from reading in the newspaper here, you know, there is some kind of debate going on with the Recreation Authority about people swimming free up to the age of 12 and I just think that the city should create a supplement for the Recreational Authority in order for them to be able to allow people up to 18 years old to swim for free because evidently it must be a funding problem. Maybe the Rec Authority has a debt or it has costs associated with running Nay Aug Park and I think the city created that Authority and I am all for free swims, but, you know, when people resign from that board and when there is dissent there I just think maybe they don't have the money to run the pool and, you know, it's nice to talk about things for

free, and I hope that it does turn around where people up to 18 or everyone in the city can swim for free, but since the city created the Authority I think we need to know what the problem is there. I think the residents need to know what their real hardship there is with the Authority and I think that the city itself should rectify it.

I don't think what the problem is because I didn't attend the Recreational Authority meeting, but I do think that when people resign I think there is a real problem there and I just hope we are not masking the problem that's going to come up and grab us later.

And the local service tax, you know, I'm not really for increasing that and I really think that the way forward for this city was to cut the budget and that's why I asked Mr. McGoff, I hope he is feeling well, but that's why I presented Mr. McGoff with a request and petition to him to cut the budget by 30 percent because the truth is that this city's budget is much too large

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for the population base, and we talk about nonprofits all the time and then you watch where the money coming in the government is going to nonprofits anyhow.

So I just think that we are wasting our time in a lot of ways. I'm watching all the -- today driving around the city watching all the curb cuts and I'd just like to say that during the Hickey administration myself and about 15 other people were to sent to school by the federal government to build curbs and sidewalks throughout this whole city and maybe Hickey called the program. I just think there has been so many things that the government has tried to do to help this city and I think the city has refused to participate in a meaningful We listen for decades as we talked about our rebirth of the city pumping millions and millions of dollars into the downtown and talked about making ourselves attractive to business but, you know, it's pretty hard to make yourself attractive to business when you are competing with the Chinese and the Vietnamese, I mean, that's

21

22

23

24

25

ridiculous to make statements like that and, you know, the truth is Japan and a lot of other criminals have a much higher wage base than America has and that's why a lot of these car companies are coming in here in the United States and building cars. cheaper to build them here. Our standard of living is -- it really took a beating. know, when we were kids growing up, and I'm 56 years old, we had the second highest standard of living in the world only behind Kuwait because Kuwait had all of the oil and know, you know, it's just amazing. We don't have a hospital in Carbondale, the Mid-Valley doesn't have a hospital, but they did build a hotel in Carbondale.

I just think the politically we have lost sight of what our country and our city needs to do to be competitive, successful, create a wage base which is going to increase. I blame a lot of that locally on the Chamber of the Commerce, believe it or not, because I think they failed to help the people in business here be successful because you want a growing wage base to

21

22

23

24

25

support the businesses that you are going to be growing in your community, and people who don't have money don't spend it and, you know, we look at now what the state is talking about in regards to the pension They are not going to solve it, problem. they are going to cut somebody else's pension. Instead of solving it 30 years or more when they made the changes they didn't do it and now they are talking about increasing their retirement age or social security because, you know, we just have elected government Democrats and Republicans who have absolutely destroyed our country and our standard of living. It wasn't one or the other, it was both of them.

But I am surprised that Bernie
Sanders is going to run for president, you
know, I would invite a lot of people to
listen to what he has to say, and I'm not
saying that everything he has to say is
truthful, but he was a party member at one
time and he left the party, and if you sit
there and you listen to the things he says
you might learn something. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council. Les Spindler, city resident and homeowner and taxpayer. You know, I keep hearing on the news about this power plant the company wants to bring to Jessup, I guess most people in Jessup don't want it, I say if they don't want it in Jessup let's bring it here. The next meeting have the mayor and some of his administration go up there and say, "We'll take it in Scranton if you don't want it." That's the problem with this area nobody wants progress. Nobody wants anything in their backyard. think it's terrible. That's why we can't any business or industry to move into this Nobody wants anything in their backyard. I'll take it, they can put it in my backyard, I don't care. There is two of them that are going to open within the next year within an hour's drive from here, those towns aren't against it. I think it would be a good idea for the mayor to look into that if Jessup doesn't want it. We need

2

4

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

something in this area.

Last week I just caught some of the meeting and I heard a speaker mention that she wants the mayor to have town hall meetings, well, I think that would be a waste of time, I don't know why we need town hall meetings, we can't even get people to attend council meetings. I have been coming to these meetings for 13 years now, people coming here is a lot less than when I started attending these so I don't how the speaker expects people to come to town hall meetings. Where was the speaker the last administration when myself and a bunch of other people were trying to get the mayor to attend council meetings? At least Mayor Courtright has kept his promise to attend council meetings. He has attended more meetings in 16 months than Mayor Doherty did in 12 years, so I think he has kept his promise in that way, and I think the mayor is doing an incredible job. contracts with the fire and police. Mayor Doherty did that we wouldn't be in the situation we are now.

We have banks talking to us, banks wouldn't talk to us when Chris Doherty was the mayor, so I think we are moving in the right direction, people just have to have patience. Mayor Courtright was left a mess to take care of and it's not going to happen overnight so people just have to be patient.

Lastly, I want to talk with the Rec Authority changing the swimming age from 18 to 12. As Mr. Quinn said, I think that's discrimination and I still have the deed with me now that I have brought for years and years and years saying that the Nay Aug Park shall be free to the public forever. And my dear friend and former city council solicitor, Mark Walsh, God rest his soul, he agreed with me. Mark was on this as council solicitor when I brought this up years and years ago, mark looked at the deed and I he agreed with me, it shouldn't -- there should be free swimming.

And I'm told now that the Authority has a \$23,000 debt from 2013 for the Doherty administration for pool supplies. I'd like to know what happened to that \$23,000.

Whose pockets did that end up in? So, yeah, I think there should be free swimming for everybody. I think cutting age off at 12 is definitely discrimination and I don't know how -- where the money came from before Mayor Doherty took office because there was no charge for swimming when he -- before he took office. He put the slides in and started charging money. The money has got to come from somewhere, but I don't think it should come from the people that want to swim. That's I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Spindler.
Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodawanitz, city resident and taxpayer. I did attend the mayor's state of the city address last Friday. Just as an aside, I hope what he gives his next address that he will be in a different venue, hopefully in the evening, hopefully in a large auditorium like Scranton High School where there would be adequate parking for anyone who wants to attend and I would like to see it broadcast by ECTV, even if has to be a taped delay.

That would be good. It is on the city's website both video and text for anybody who wants to review it.

I know many of the councilmen were there and you may recall his opening comment that the state of the city was strong and very solid and getting stronger every day. He was very optimist throughout the address, you knew to expect that from the mayor, and there have been improvements since he became mayor 16 months ago. Certainly it's a pleasure to see the absence of animosity between city council and the mayor's office and that is it a major improvement.

But I got to say what I said to Jim
Lockwood when he called me about the address
and that is show me the math. Show me the
math to justify his optimism. One part of
his address he said, I quote, "We've
bargained with the unions to achieve savings
for our city's budget, to bring in new
revenues and to sure up the pension funds."

I think the one major change in the pension funds, per se, was the fact that the police and firefighters who would now be

making a 6 percent contribution as of 2016.

I have a question, does anyone know do our public safety workers, police and firefighters, DPW, do they have withholding for security and Medicare?

MR. ROGAN: The new hires do. In the past they did not. That changed somewhere along the lines.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Do you know when approximately that changed?

MR. ROGAN: I don't know the date offhand, but I do know some of the older retirees, and maybe some of the older active members at the time did not pay into Medicare or did not pay into social security.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, because that casts their pensions into a different light. You can understand in some regards, you know, if they are not going to have a social security to fall back on as a safety net at all or if they have not been paying into Medicare they would have to pay out, but you got to remember that the ordinary worker in Scranton pays 6.2 percent withholding for

social security and 1.545 percent for Medicare for a total of 7.65 percent on every paycheck and we just -- those of us who have worked in Scranton just suck that right up, and that's considered normal.

That's considered normal.

We are not going to know the impact of the new labor contracts until the State AG's Office publishes its next audit and that won't be until next summer and we'll see what the impact has been and whether or not it's helped us get those pension plans out of the severely distressed status. I personally don't think it's going to make that much difference.

Maybe selling the Scranton Sewer

Authority or monetizing it or the Parking

Authority will help, but they are so

severely distressed you are going to need

quite a windfall to make any kind of change.

I also want to thank Mr. Frank

Joyce, this week he apparently completed the

2013 municipal audit and financial report

which goes to the state. That was the audit

that was due to the state last April 1, so

that's complete and now they are going to start working on the 2014 audit so better late than never but he has done good work. That's all I have this week.

> MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much. there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Council, Marie Schumacher.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Speaking of show me the money, the article in yesterday's paper about the rental fees being in question jogged my memory again of something I wanted to follow up on. According to the budget figures for the trash, our \$300 trash fee, the landfill is budgeted at roughly 1.8 million, the DPW department is roughly 2 million, but yet the revenue from the \$300 is pegged at 6.9 so where -- I can understand that the Treasurer's Office maybe puts a bunch in because people come in to pay there, but is it really closer to a two to one ratio of costs to revenue? I would

really like to know where -- what the other accounts are. If you could tell me next week if there are other accounts that are taking into consideration I would appreciate that and what they are.

And then Joan talked about discrimination, and I would also like to know what percent of the police quality of life tickets that have been issued have been written by the HUD funded community police in low moderate income because I think -- I think a case could be made that's also discriminatory to the low moderate income people so I would like that if somebody -- who is police?

MR. WECHSLER: I am. The problem with that question is that's where those officers work, they work in the low to moderate area income, they can't go in another area so all of the tickets that those officers write will be in the low to moderate area.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But other police officers can write them and that's why I'm asking what that split is.

MR. WECHSLER: There will be a disparity because of the contracted --

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, yeah, understand that. Thank you. And now on 6-A I think a week isn't really much it's, you know, seven lousy days, but the fact that the administration chose to put the revised recovery plan on the back burner to deal with the two MOU's was their choice so now I think it's disingenuous for them to come and say, now, run this through because you people have really run a lot through Sixth and Seventh Order, probably maybe record setting even, so I think that one should remain and go through and wait until next week.

And then speaking of the revised recovery plan, there are many items that are due, some immediately upon adoption of the revised recovery plan one of which -- or two of which are Act 47 grant assistance, can you tell me -- anybody tell me the status of those two grants? Could somebody find out? One is to review the feasibility and provide options for the creation of the Municipal

Solid Waste Collection Authority or Combined Purpose Authority, and the other was to review the feasibility and the creation of a storm water management authority, so if somebody could follow-up on those that would be nice.

And, just a second, and then the payroll preparation tax, the coordinator PEL is supposed to be providing the city analysis, does anybody know when that's to be received?

MR. EVANS: That's is being worked on so we should get that hopefully over the next few weeks.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. There is also an item for the verification of the property exempt from taxation status. Now, Mr. Evans, you volunteered to do that?

MS. SCHUMACHER: And it went nowhere, what is the plan then to do that?

MR. EVANS: I'll bring that up at the PEL meeting, because I want to press for that again because I'm ready, willing and

24

25

able to start that process any time they want.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And then on pensions, just I have been attending the last couple of the meetings and depending on how this comes out, I know the library employees and the Single Tax Office are members of the clerical union, but when that doubling of the pensions offer, and the health care for life I believe was also included in that, they were not included and they pay the same amount in and I also think that was unfair and could possibly be subject to a suit at some point as well so, again, I'd ask Mr. McGoff to start giving us regular updates on the mandates and I hope that he follows through on that.

And one quick, Mr. Rogan, do you have any idea when you are going to get me that OECD data? Do you have it tonight?

MR. ROGAN: Would you like it in writing or you want me to just read it off.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You could read it off, that's fine. Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

24

25

Dave Dobrzyn, resident and taxpayer. again your last chance people out there in TV land that up there and back there, call Congress, call the president, and tell them that Transpacific partnership is unacceptable. It only causes a loss of jobs and, loss of income and stagnant wages. First number for Congress, you just ask for the senator or representative that you are looking for, 1-202-224-3121. The White House is 1-202-456-1111. You might want to mention that with all of the goings on in various cities and strike and rioting. Maybe if these people had jobs in the future they wouldn't be acting the way they do and they wouldn't be underfoot all day, getting in some kind of trouble. If you are tired of no jobs, call that number. If you tired of high property taxes and high local taxes, call that number. If you are a tired of threats to your retirement, call that number, and if you are tired of -- I repeated myself, no jobs, call that number. It's a serious threat, it's less money going into the federal government, it's less money

going into the social security, and it gets smaller and smaller every year so it's only a matter of time until the cuts come down.

Now, last week a mention was made about how nice the people trying to buy garages and how optimistic they are, well, if I was getting a 50 for a 20 I would be optimistic, too. The sewer bond rating I might add is the only, probably the only good bond rating in the whole city so that's something to think about before it starts to become the worst bond rating in the city.

It's summer again and a lot of the people are really exceeding the speed limit by possibly as much as double, so something to consider. If you want to a few fines, don't fine somebody because somebody tossed some liter on their front lawn, find somebody who runs somebody over.

I also mentioned in prior meetings

KOZ exit analysis, did it cost us dollars?

And there was a KOZ moved out after ten

years on Lackawanna Avenue and there is

\$200,000 a year hole in the Parking

Authority budget. We built things to

accommodate them and now they're gone so we get to pay the \$200,000 a year out of the city coffers I guess.

Trash fees, how about if they round up some of those delinquent trash fees and put it in pensions? It's a shameful the way they are left go year after year and I was late with one, my closing I missed it and my credit rating was mucked up for seven years.

Condemnations, allow people to remove personal items from their house if their house is condemned or a relative's house is condemned like a mentioned last week. That's unacceptable to have somebody -- the only thing she has to remember her mother by is a Bible and the house is full of her personal possessions.

And on the rule suspension business, some times I have trouble with my own writing I should have been a doctor but, you know, a mistake was made on the 25-year pension for police and somebody didn't catch it somewhere, and once again we keep talking about suspending rules and I do have a serious concerning on that issue with the

EMT tax and that is this, if we try to collect it back to the beginning of the year are we putting the monkey wrench in the gear box. I was a mechanical all my life and I know better not to leave my monkey wrench laying where it could fall into the gear box so, you know, it's just ashame.

And I have, once again, a book I brought, I've read this several times, "Free Lunch. How the Wealthiest Americans Enrich Themselves at Government Expense and Stick you with the Bill" and I would recommend everyone trying to read that. And, by the way, a little quote for Pat and Mr. Gaughan, back in the 60's the word was don't trust anybody over 30. Have a good night. Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. How is our dough boy?

MR. WECHSLER: The boy is in storage.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, if four rooms of stainless steel disappeared he can. Nothing was ever done about the stainless steel.

MR. WECHSLER: Well, right now he is

in the Steamtown Museum so that's federal.

MR. ELLMAN: That's just a way. I was introduced by Judge O'Malley to that room so I have a personal remembrance of it. Mr. Minora, if I may, can I ask you a question?

MR. MINORA: You can ask.

MR. ELLMAN: When I sit down you can let me have it, is there any way legally possible that people can pay into an escrow like I've heard or a legal account like Proposition 13 was in California in this city? You can think about it, I'll sit down you can look it up, and I got one other question --

MR. MINORA: Right.

MR. ELLMAN: How can Mr. Amoroso be paid by Lackawanna College and that not be a conflict of interest on everything he does? What if Mr. Evans, if you don't mind me -- the society or group of realtors gave money, a sum of money to represent them while he is sitting up there this isn't right. It's not a -- it seems like it's a simple conflict of interest to me. I was wondering if anybody

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on council ever saw the results of that telephone poll of Amoroso and who paid for it? It was a -- they talked to you about ten minutes a company that his company hired, you know, unless their results were shameful I don't see why they weren't released to the public somehow.

I had some things on my mind but I wasn't going to fight with you all tonight like I usually do. One thing that I would be for the Jessup power plant except for one thing because that's the future, it's all the water they need. Tens of millions of gallons of water have already been used up by all of the fracking. Pennsylvania is supposed to be the second highest water rate in the country and we will be buying water from other states before it's all over. Every summer there is no water in the rivers around here, the lakes. They are being used up for fracking, and that brings to mind I saw where there is four companies interested in the Sewer Authority. You know that if it goes the rates will skyrocket on top of all of the other expenses people are incurring

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the taxes going up and everything you people can get your hands on is being taxed in this city. That you just can't allow that.

Mr. Gaughan, if I were you politically I would just disassociate myself with these other four gentlemen. They are dinosaurs like I am politically. There is enough young people in this city, educated people and people interested enough in to try to get with it. Instead of -- you're getting a bad name by association because all they do is tax, tax, tax. We have been replaced, just everything is going wrong in this city. See, you people don't talk to anybody. I might sit at the bar during the day, but I hear these things from professional people. You don't pay no attention when I tell you what they tell me at the grocery store, but I'm telling you some professional people think of it, the city is going to pot. It doesn't have any leadership. The Amoroso plan, like I said, time and time again the Amoroso plan it didn't do nothing last year for the city,

it's not going to do nothing this year for the city, it's not going to do nothing next year for the city, it's just taxation. You need somebody to come in here with some leadership for this city immediately. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Wechsler, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan, just a few. The other night I attended the wrap up meeting for the 150th kickoff celebration at Scranton Tomorrow. They reported that that day at the event there was over 2,000 people in attendance at the mall. The mall was full of the people all day long and from speaking to the management at the mall they had a very good day sales wise in the few stores that remain there, so the idea that's being kicked around about the type of Reading terminal or some type of marketplace in the city seems to have a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mall is down to fill it up on certain occasions I think it will go far to bring some life to the city. So, once again, congratulations to Scranton Tomorrow for the great kickoff event. In regards to the mayor's state of the city address, my work schedule prevented me from attending that meeting. I agree with Ms. Hodowanitz that for all people to attend I think that meeting should be in the evening so we can get a better attendance, but basically I did agree with lot of the remarks that the mayor had made. believe that the council and the mayor had been working towards a common goal. don't necessarily agree on steps to reach

that goal, but we have been able to keep

those differences focused on those issues

little bit of life to it. I think the key

to this was that the Scranton Tomorrow had

groups especially schools and dance schools

and things like that, so the families came

know, if we look at events like that while

brought in a lot of local entertainment

out and supported and I think if -- you

2

3 4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and move ahead together as we make some progress.

The one question that I saw in the newspaper this week was that, and I'd like to welcome them to our city staff, Scranton added three new policemen this week to help us fight crime and to keep our good record that we have. Speaking to Chief Graziano today just to mention that these officers are going to start at a rate of \$37,937 per year, which is 60 percent of the current patrolman's salary and it will take them five years to reach the current level of a patrolmen, so when we talk about have we seen any results from the new labor contracts to me this is a concrete result of those new contracts. The savings over the course of the first few years will allow us to put more police on the streets and will also allow us to pay them at a lower cost to the city, so I'm happy about that, so that's all I have tonight, Mr. Rogan.

> MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Wechsler.

Mr. Evans, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: Yes, I do, thank you. I hadn't planned on talking on this issue, but since it was in the news again I thought I might give it a shot. The rental registration ordinance, as you may know several months ago the mayor's committee on blight met for the first time. As it turns out, that was also our last meeting.

Despite repeated efforts by Councilman Rogan and myself to get it back on track after several cancellations we still haven't had our second meeting. There area a lot more issues to tackle so we need to get those meetings scheduled again.

Ironically, the one and only topic of conversation at this first meeting was the rental registration ordinance.

Actually, I felt the meeting went well and that consensus was reached on several years.

One, the ordinance should be revised and create a simpler, more user friendly ordinance for all involved; two, the fees should be reduced; and three, the actual registration should be outsourced to the third party vendor.

My personal conclusion and the benchmarks for any legislation that should come before city council should also include the following: The \$150 per structure fee should be removed. The per unit fee should be reduced to somewhere between \$25 and \$40 instead of the current \$50 and especially to be limited to every other year.

Also, the annual registration should include a colored sticker that will be displayed at the registered property and must be visible from the exterior. A new sticker will be issued annually with the registration. This will allow for License and Inspections Department to immediately know if the properties is registered. If there is no sticker or it is not visible the property owner will be fined.

And finally, and this is not open for negotiation as far as I'm concerned, the actual registration must be done by a third party vendor. Despite their best efforts, I estimate that the city has registered only 25 percent of all rental units. There may be 10,000 units or more in the city and we

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

currently have approximately 2,500 registered. So what we have is 25 percent paying a higher fee to compensate for the 75 percent that are not registered.

The rental registration process has been ongoing for the last 15 years so to have only 25 percent registered after that long is not fair to the landlords who have registered and paid, the taxpayers who expect an efficient government for their tax dollars, and even those that are currently trying to do the registration without the tools to complete the task. That is not necessarily a reflection on this administration, this is something that has been going on far too long and it is time to turn the process over to a professional company with the ability and resources to get us close to 100 percent registration and compliance as possible. It is quite simple, with dramatically more landlords paying the fee, the fee can be reduced dramatically.

Another byproduct of the increase to the amount of units registered would be a large increase in the trash fee collection.

As more rental units come out of the shadows, it is not unreasonable to expect that a large number of those units are not paying the garbage fees. Even if we pick up an additional 2,000 trash fees out of the estimated 7,500 currently unregistered units, that will mean an additional \$600,000 to the city, and maybe with more people paying that fee we can find a way to actually reduce, I said reduce, the trash collection fees for our taxpayers or at least find a way to reduce the fee for our senior citizen property owners.

I know it's a novel approach and concept, but efficient government can reduce costs, increase revenue and therefore reduce fees so common sense should never be viewed as our enemy and when it is presented to us we should embrace it. That's all I have.

Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Evans.

Mr. Gaughan, do you have any motions or

comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. I forget to mention two things in the

beginning of the meeting, the first, the Scranton Police Department is having a pancreatic cancer network breakfast buffet Friday, May 8, from 6:30 a.m. to 12 noon. It is \$10 per person and it will be held at the Trolley's Bistro at the Hilton Scranton and Conference Center, tickets are available at police headquarters or at the door.

Also, I'd like to remind everyone again that this Saturday, May 9, the Scranton Notre Dame Club and Greenridge Neighborhood Association are organizing a clean up of Crowley Park for 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. and all are welcome and encouraged to participate.

The street sweeper is scheduled to be in the Tripp Park section, Dickens Street to Gibbons Street from May 11 to May 15.

The residents of North Scranton have requested a street sign on Leach Avenue be replaced. It is seriously faded and covered by trees. Council forwarded this request a few weeks ago and the DPW reported that it will be taken care of.

There was a question last week about

the legislation concerning the levy system and using a contractor to cut the grass and maintain the levy, our office did inquire with DPW Director Gallagher and he gave the following response:

"The department is continuing with the practice of the contracting with an outside company to maintain the levies.

They feel it's the most efficient practice considering the length of the levy, which is both sides from Parker Street to an area behind the South Side hopping Center. Also, the company has all of their own necessary equipment for which the city doesn't need to maintain. They are only two city employees in flood control."

I have asked for a meeting with DPW Director Gallagher to discuss this and a few other ideas and issues and I'll update everyone as we move forward.

I did speak briefly in the caucus about something that I am very interested in pursuing, and I think my colleagues were on board with this after speaking to them in the caucus. The question since I have been

18

19

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

on council when I have talked to residents has been how our government can connect with citizens better, how can government be innovative, engaging and cost effective and it's my firm belief that Scranton needs to be more user friendly, more citizen There is a great thin that's friendly. going on across the United States, one specific example I'd like to talk about is in Boston, Massachusetts, and it's application that you use on your phone. Ι brought this up about a year and a half ago and we did have a meeting on it, but it didn't really go anywhere for a number of different reasons, however, I'm hoping that we can bring it back up again and somehow get this off the ground.

The application that they are using in Boston is called Citizens Connect and it's the City of Boston's award winning effort to empower residents to be the city's eyes and ears. You can alert the City of Boston to neighborhood issues such as potholes, damage signs, and graffiti among a number of different things. The end result

20

21

22

23

24

25

is this application and Citizens Connect is something like the digital equivalent of listening to a police scanner. To watch the app in action is to see both the city's inhabitants as they go about their daily business and the Boston government as it responds to citizen needs. Citizens report clogged storm drains, excessive jackhammer noise, illegal trash dumping and faulty streetlights complete with pictures. Reported cases then go directly into the city's work order cue for resolution and users are informed how quickly the case will When cases are resolved the date be closed. and time of the resolution is listed providing users with a sense that the city is on the job.

I think that it would be beneficial to the City of Scranton to look into doing this and creating an app that people can use on their iPhones or their Android devices.

I think it would be beneficial to our citizens and our different departments throughout the city. Technology is not going anywhere and I think that we need to

be a part of that movement moving forward.

One of the ideas that we discussed in the back that I think would be really helpful is to have a contest with the University of Scranton, University of Marywood and Lackawanna to develop this app, a City of Scranton application that all citizens can use if they have a cell phone, so that is something that I will be pursuing along with the help of my colleagues and hopefully I'll be able to update as you we move forward on that. And that is all I have for this week. Thank you very much.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan. Just a few items to bring up tonight, like Mr. Wechsler my work schedule I wasn't able to make it to the state of the city but I did speak to the mayor both prior to and after the address and I agree with much of what was said. We are making a lot of progress in the city, a lot of it people don't see on a daily basis, but there are a lot of things going on and as the next few budgets come through the residents will be able to see that progress, and my hope is

that within the next few years you will see the large tax increases that we have had the last few years decrease and eventually become some tax cuts and that can only be done by a council and a mayor working together and some innovative ideas, much as what Mr. Evans mentioned that we are working on regarding the rental registration to increase compliance and lower the fee. The same needs to be done with many programs and I am optimistic that we will get those done.

Secondly, I know some questions were brought up from the podium regarding the Caper which lists the OECD activities for the year and I will provide you this in writing as well. The activities listed in the Caper included in the list based on the applicable job creation information as the heading states. The annual reporting also offers further breakdown of these jobs as job title information as HUD requires. The list is not a comprehensive list of open loans, rather a listing of those activities that have ongoing job creation status and of those that have created jobs in that

particular year that have now satisfied or exceeded their requirement to create full-time jobs.

And finally, and I apologize that I'm reading this off of my phone, I did have a hard copy of it on my desk but I forgot to bring it with me today, in recent there has been much national media attention on police departments, not necessarily in Scranton, but across the country and I do feel that in many cities across the industry the police officers have been getting a bad rap, specifically, by the national news media. So I did feel compelled to send this letter to Chief Graziano, and I will read it for the public as well:

"Dear Chief Graziano: Recently, law enforcement officers across America have been under attack by the media for racial profiling and excessive use of force when dealing with my minority groups. You and I know that all police officers do not fall in this category and many have been wrongfully accused of such heinous acts. Fortunately, the Court's will decide guilt or innocence

and not the media.

With that in mind, I feel as though it is of the upmost importance that I extend a heartfelt thank you to the men and women of the Scranton Police Department who proudly protect our city under your command. Law enforcement is not an easy job. While those who have opted for this career know before making the commitment they are, indeed, faced with many types of unknown and unpredictable situations which daily put lives in jeopardy.

The recent violence in neighboring cities such as Wilkes-Barre highlights the importance of having a well-trained police force of dedicated men and women who are up to the daily challenges that face them. I firmly believe that safe neighborhoods are one of the key components to Scranton's recovery process.

In spite of the negative media attention heaped on law enforcement as a whole, I thank each and every one of the officers of the Scranton Police Department for being part of the solution and not part

1 of the problem. 2 Sincerely, Pat Rogan." 3 And that is all for tonight. Thank 4 you. MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 5 RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF 6 THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD 7 8 ("HARB") AND APPROVING THE CERTIFICATE OF 9 APPROPRIATENESS FOR MAC SIGN SYSTEMS, 232 SOUTH SHERMAN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 10 FOR THE INSTALLATION OF FRAMEWORK TO BE 5' 11 WIDE BY 12' HIGH IN A BLACK MATTE FINISH FOR 12 FOUR (4) BANNER FRAMES, THREE (3) BANNERS 13 14 ARE 5'X13' AND ONE (1) BANNER IS 4'X6' INSTALLED FLUSH TO THE BUILDING IN EXISTING 15 MORTAR JOINTS AT 420 NORTH WASHINGTON 16 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. 17 18 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 19 20 introduced into its proper committee. 21 MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 22 MR. EVANS: Second. 23 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

24

25

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

SIXTH ORDER. MS. REED: READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 95, 2015 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 79 OF 2015, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX" ("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY INCREASING THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX WITHHOLDING FROM \$52.00 TO \$156.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015, AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL SOURCES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN \$15,600.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015, UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL TAX ENABLING ACT, ACT 511 OF 1965, P.L.1257, 53 P.S.§6924.101

1	ET.SEQ., AND THE MUNICIPALITIES RECOVERY
2	ACT, ACT 47 OF 1987, P.L.246, 53
3	P.S.§11701.101 ET.SEQ. AND THEIR RESPECTIVE
4	AMENDMENTS, EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY
5	1, 2015. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED TO GENERATE
6	APPROXIMATELY FOUR-MILLION TWO-HUNDRED
7	EIGHTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE-HUNDRED
8	(\$4,287,500.00) DOLLARS IN REVENUE IN
9	CALENDAR YEAR 2015.
10	MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
11	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
12	MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Chairman, I move
13	that Item 6-A pass reading by title.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
16	those in favor signify by saying aye.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to
23	suspend the rules to move Item 6-A to
24	Seventh Order for final passage.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Second.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

\_

25

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I would be voting tonight to move this along because I understand the need for it and the ramifications of delaying this have been explained in detail by PEL and the administration. However, I will say that pushing legislation through in less than three weeks is frustrating and not a good The reason that this has way to govern. become an emergency and timeliness issue is because the mayor decided to negotiate contracts in order to avoid the revised recovery plan which in turn was held up for I am hoping that this doesn't become a habit for this administration. I am hoping that we don't get to a point that we are forced to continue to cut short our legislative process because of intentional actions and delays on the part of Mayor Courtright. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

	40
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
2	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
3	have it and so moved.
4	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
5	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 98, 2015 - AN
6	ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
7	58, 2014, AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL
8	CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2015" BY CREATING A
9	NEW REVENUE ACCOUNT NO. 01.331.33121 QUALITY
10	OF LIFE ENFORCEMENT TICKETS.
11	MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
12	title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure?
13	MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Chairman, I move
14	that Item 6-B pass reading by title.
15	MR. EVANS: Second.
16	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19	MR. EVANS: Aye.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
22	have it and so moved.
23	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
24	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES- FOR
25	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 143, 2015 -

APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT TIMLIN, 325 WARREN
STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A
MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY. MR. TIMLIN WILL BE REPLACING
JACK EMILIANI WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE APRIL
1, 2015. MR. TIMLIN WILL FULFILL THE
UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. EMILIANI WHOSE TERM IS
SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON FEBRUARY 14, 2017.
MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chair for
the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-A.
MR. WECHSLER: Second.
MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
call, please?
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES- FOR ADOPTION -

1	RESOLUTION NO. 144, 2015 - APPOINTMENT OF
2	JENNIFER HERMAN, 127 SOUTH MERRIFIELD
3	AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A
4	MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION
5	AUTHORITY. MS. HERMAN WILL BE REPLACING
6	DANNY J. DAVIS WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE APRIL
7	7, 2015. MS. HERMAN WILL FULFILL THE
8	UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. DAVIS WHOSE TERM IS
9	SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 17, 2016.
10	MR. ROGAN: As temporary Chairperson
11	for the Committee on Rules, I recommend
12	final passage of Item 7-B.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
14	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MR. REED: 7-C, FORMERLY 6-A, FOR

23

24

25

CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 95, 2015 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 79 OF 2015. AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX" ("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY INCREASING THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX WITHHOLDING FROM \$52.00 TO \$156.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015. AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL SOURCES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN \$15,600.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015, UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL TAX ENABLING ACT, ACT 511 OF 1965, P.L.1257, 53 P.S.§6924.101 ET.SEQ., AND THE MUNICIPALITIES RECOVERY ACT, ACT 47 OF 1987, P.L.246, 53 P.S.§11701.101 ET.SEQ. AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AMENDMENTS, EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 1. 2015. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED TO GENERATE

1	APPROXIMATELY FOUR-MILLION TWO-HUNDRED
2	EIGHTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE-HUNDRED
3	(\$4,287,500.00) DOLLARS IN REVENUE IN
4	CALENDAR YEAR 2015.
5	MR. ROGAN: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Finance?
8	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
10	passage of Item 7-C.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
12	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. If
23	there is no further business, I'll entertain
24	a motion to adjourn.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

	55
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	