	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, April 30, 2015
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

7 JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES TO BE
17	HELD MAY 13 AND MAY 20, 2015.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
22	HELD MARCH 25, 2015.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD MARCH 1 2 25, 2015. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE 5 SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING 6 HELD MARCH 25, 2015. 7 8 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 9 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE 10 11 SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION 12 MEETING HELD MARCH 25, 2015. 13 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 14 If not, received and filed. Any announcements from council? 15 16 MR. GAUGHAN: I have one. 17 Scranton Notre Dame Club and the Greenridge 18 Neighborhood Association are organizing a 19 clean up of Crowley Park Saturday May 9, 20 from 9 a.m. to 1 p.m. and all are welcome to 21 join and help. Thank you. 22 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? A couple 23 of announcements. First of all, 6-A is on 24 the agenda tonight and we had a public 25 hearing concerning it, it is the money that

was sent from Boscov's Department Store to OECD. After it's voted upon, if it is approved this evening in Sixth Order it will be tabled per statute for 30 days for a public comment period and then reintroduced at a later date.

Also, the state of the city address will be given tomorrow at the Chamber of Commerce, it is open to the public, and I'm not quite sure of the time. I will find the time before we leave this evening.

MS. HODOWANITZ: It's noon.

MR. MCGOFF: At noon. Thank you,
Ms. Hodowanitz. So that's the state of the
city address at noon at the Chamber of
Commerce building and it is open to the
public.

Just a couple of things, on the agenda this evening 5-B is the request to increase the LST tax to triple it from \$52 to \$156. Just for information's sake, 5-C it may look similar to some legislation we had before. It was found out that the account for the quality of life tickets needed to be in two separate banks, not just

a separate account, and so we are taking care of that little situation; and then "D" and "E" are two appointments, one to the Redevelopment Authority and also one to the Recreation Authority.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS'
PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Ron Heusner.

MR. HEUSNER: Gentlemen, ladies, good evening. My name is Ron Heusner, I have been a city resident for all of my life. I'm currently, or should I say for the last 11 years I have working as an auditor for the City's controller. I have to give you some of my background so that you will have a better understanding of how I'm able to say the things I'm able to say.

For 30 years I have been an internal auditor. I was a certified fraud examiner, I have had numerous occasions where my work has been turned over to the FBI, the OCC and the local district attorney resulting in arrests, convictions and incarcerations for a number of people.

Now that you know me, I'm here

tonight to talk about the remarks made last week by Sean McAndrew. Mr. McAndrew stated that three weeks ago he sent a letter that to me closely resembles a written interrogation to Mrs. Novembrino requesting information that relates to the ongoing investigation into certain pension activities. That letter, as he stated, remains unanswered.

With a bit of sarcasm, he stated he suspects he will get the response by May 20. He was asking, if I understood him correctly, for council's help to gain information from Mrs. Novembrino. Again, I suspect he had another agenda. He hasn't asked any other Pension Board member to supply any information, only Mrs. Novembrino and she has exact same authority and oversight responsibility as any other Pension Board member. That puts his motive in question.

Attorney Larry Durkin, the solicitor for the Pension Board, has instructed Mrs.

Novembrino not to discuss the investigations into the pension and with anyone, not even

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me, and not supply any information she might have relating to it and Mr. McAndrew knows this.

The information that is involved or related to these investigations is exempt under the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act Section 14, Part M and N. We don't have to supply it. I have suggested personally to Mrs. Novembrino to ignore McAndrew's request and contact the FBI and the Pennsylvania State Police to inform them of his requests and ask for their guidance. She could be criticized by investigators for providing any information she might have to anyone. McAndrew is not a trained investigator employed by any regulatory agency and based upon McAndrew's lack of investigative experience, no understanding of pension operations and no exposure to law enforcement procedures other than the TV programs he watches. His interpretation and opinions of any material he might receive may interfere with ongoing investigation which could result in himself being subject to severe criticism.

1	His lack of understanding became
2	quite apparent to me when he said that Mrs.
3	Novembrino's deputy has a family member
4	collecting a double pension. Her current
5	deputy has held that position for 12 years
6	and has no family member collecting any city
7	pension. The previous deputy
8	MR. ROGAN: What about former
9	deputies?
10	MR. HEUSNER: Pardon me?
11	MR. ROGAN: What about former deputy
12	family members?
13	MR. HEUSNER: Former deputy, I just
14	said that. The previous deputy that was
15	there for five years
16	MR. ROGAN: No, you said the current
17	deputy.
18	MR. HEUSNER: I said the current and
19	her previous deputy of five years has no
20	family members.
21	MR. ROGAN: So the family member of
22	no employees in that office were receiving
23	double pensions?
24	MR. HEUSNER: No. No. I'm sorry, as
25	he stated, deputy. The deputy that she

1 currently has no relative collecting a 2 pension. 3 MR. ROGAN: I asked employee? 4 MR. HEUSNER: Employee? MR. GAUGHAN: I think we should 5 let - -6 7 MR. HEUSNER: We have no --8 MR. GAUGHAN: I think you should let 9 the gentleman finish his speech and then 10 instead of interrogating him while he is 11 trying to talk. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Let him finish. I'm 13 sorry. 14 MR. HEUSNER: That's okay. That's 15 There is no employee from that office okay. 16 collecting a double pension and I have 17 overstepped what I want to say, but there is 18 no --19 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry to --20 MR. HEUSNER: That's okay. So my 21 suggestion is that council also ignore his 22 request. I don't know, but I suspect what 23 the investigators may do should any 24 information be made public that could 25 possibly be false. That's my facts.

On a personal note, Mrs. Novembrino and her family have been and will remain highly respected in this city. Considering the vote tally during here past election she could have probably run for any elected office and won, but she only ran for the city controller because she loves the job. Her integrity and moral standards are at levels that other people should aspire to. For her to be treated with such disrespect is something I canno9t understand nor condone. With that, I say thank you and good night.

MR. ROGAN: Just one question since you brought it up, were there any former employees family members that are receiving a double pension?

MR. HEUSNER: Former -- I'm not going to answer that.

MR. ROGAN: His answer is yes.

MR. HEUSNER: Okay. I'm not going to answer that.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HEUSNER: As I said, I'm not going to put myself in that position where

somebody is calling me.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HEUSNER: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident and taxpayer. I want to thank Jim Lockwood of the Scranton Times for posting the notice in this morning's paper about the state of the city address, which is tomorrow, May 1, at noon at the Chamber of Commerce building, and it is open to the public. I'm going to quote from that short article, "The speech will focus on progress of the recovery plan and initiatives aimed at improving the city's credit and finances as well as union contract negotiations and pension funds."

I'm happy that the mayor is going to provide some information to the public on these issues. I'm not overly pleased at the venue he has chosen to do so. The noon hour is not convenient for most people who work. The Chamber of Commerce cannot host a very large crowd and I wonder why the mayor chose that venue. I understand that all the

previous mayors have been using the Chamber of Commerce, but think about it, the Chamber of Commerce is going to be a relatively friendly crowd to please, and I'm not even sure all of the members that he may be addressing are residents of Scranton, but the mayor's first duty is to the residents of the city who pay taxes and to business owners who pay taxes to the City of Scranton and he needs to do this in a fashion where he can address the largest number of people possible at the most convenient time.

I don't know whether ECTV is going to broadcast this live or whether they are even going to tape it, it would be wonderful if this event every year could be broadcast live. It's as important as any city council meeting, especially considering our distressed status so I'm hoping that next year this will be done perhaps in a larger auditorium setting, well publicized in advance and in the evening so those people who work during the day have the opportunity to attend.

I also hope that the mayor will take

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions from the public, and he should be doing that in any event. He should be doing town hall meetings for the public. He has been in office 16 months and he has never done that once.

A separate issue, again this year I'm going to track the status of the annual audit. Right now I'm waiting to see when Rossi & Company submit their bill for the 2013 audit. It hasn't hit the controller's office yet. I'm interested because you have to add \$46,292 to that bill because the administration contracted with Baker-Tilly to bring in a firm to help the people upstairs prepare for the audit so we are looking at one really expensive audit and I also would like to know, hopefully the mayor tomorrow will address the impact of the adverse opinion in the 2013 audit and how he is going to reestablish the city's credit and finance ratings in the future given that we have an adverse opinion in our last annual audit. We're likely to have one again this year if we don't get the Parking Authority's finance statements. That's all

I have. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. On I guess "B" here regarding the LST tax, is this on people who work in the city as well if you work here or is it a tax whether you live here or not?

Is it on the residents across the board --

MR. MCGOFF: It is not --

MR. BOLUS: Or is it just for those that work?

MR. MCGOFF: It is on all people who work in the City of Scranton.

MR. BOLUS: Okay. The only issues I have with this I think, first of all, when we look at the people who work in the city and live in the city we are already paying the taxes, we are already paying fees for garbage, we are already getting hit with everything else out here. I think this is just unfair when this administration and councils in the past and present have failed to go after the KOZ's and the nonprofits.

You put it in here, this is a local

service tax. Well, if we put a fee on everyone in the community, in the city, we covered the nonprofits, the KOZ's and the people. A 1 percent public service fee covers many, many aspects of what this does, but I don't think people out of the area should have to pay to work in the City of Scranton when we are the ones who screwed up the city. I think it's unfair to people to ask them to pay our debts. If I were every municipality around here I would do the same thing to everybody that lives in the city and works in their town. I mean, it's just wrong.

We are not doing anything. Go after the nonprofits, the KOZ's, go to the Courts as you did with and ask them to allow you to charge for your police and fire at the actual rate that they are worth, a minimum two hours for accidents that happen in the city, or if they go to a nonprofit or a KOZ that doesn't pay taxes, they should pay that fee.

So you guys are looking in all the wrong directions. There is millions and

1 millions of dollars and you are not paying 2 attention to it, but you are hurting the 3 people and the senior citizens and primarily the people who come here spend more time 4 5 driving around in a pothole than they do to get to work here and it's bad. 6 I mean, 7 let's be realistic. You can't salvage 8 what's going on here. 9 This is directly for Mr. Rogan, I 10 believe you are the campaign manager for Sean McAndrew? 11 12 MR. ROGAN: No, I'm not. Pardon? 13 MR. BOLUS: MR. ROGAN: No, I'm not. 14 MR. BOLUS: Do you have any 15 16 involvement with him in his campaign for 17 controller? 18 MR. ROGAN: We're personal friends. Pardon? 19 MR. BOLUS: 20 MR. ROGAN: I'm personal friends 21 with Mr. McAndrew. 22 MR. BOLUS: You're not involved in 23 campaigning or doing anything regarding his 24 campaign? 25 MR. ROGAN: Not in an official

2

3

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

capacity, no.

MR. BOLUS: Well, I don't know what capacity that may be.

MR. ROGAN: I'm not the campaign manager or any title in the campaign for anyone.

MR. BOLUS: Well, just because I have an issue with Mr. McAndrew. We have a solicitor here who is probably one of the most knowledgeable guys in our community as to criminal law and investigations. This gentleman, Mr. McAndrew, sends a letter that he took off his website to Mrs. Novembrino saying that he wants to come into the city, that he could straighten this out, the responsibility of the office I seek that has lead me to write this letter. He is basically saying I'm surprised by her silence, that she remains silent because you have no explanation as to how the city got to this point, and this is about the pensions. They are under a legal investigation right now going on in this city, basically a gag order. Even if you gentlemen had knowledge of it, you can't

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talk about it. Why then would this inexperienced individual think he could take over the job as controller and not follow the same rules that Mrs. Novembrino has to follow who has been in this city as a controller without question of her character and her credibility, an individual like this comes forward and asks her to break the law, break the silence, and respond to his derogatory remarks whether it was directed at council or anybody else to talk about the pension. When it's under basically a gag order to silence. You cannot talk about an investigation and he wants to know is she a target, he would like to-- it's kind of hard reading it after it came off the thing and I didn't bring a pair of reading glasses so bear with me, please. He would like to know have you been contacted by any law enforcement agency for questioning? you been identified as the target of law enforcement? These aren't questions an inexperienced person should be asking. you had any conceptual knowledge of what the office is and the law he would understand

Mrs. Novembrino, whether she wants to or does not want to, cannot speak publically about what is going on in a criminal investigation if it comes down to that.

So if you have any association with him take him to the side and educate him, teach him what the real reason to be the controller in the city is, and that's integrity and respect and most importantly honesty, and there is nobody more honest than Mrs. Novembrino so whatever your personal relationship is with him, take him to the side and educate him. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. No disrespect, but just look at yourselves. You're just completely out of touch with what's going on in this city. You know, I've said this hundred times but I hear people not only dislike council and dislike Courtright they just absolutely have hatred. I have heard some terrible remarks, you know, that I never heard when Doherty was here. The city is just 100 percent totally

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

dysfunctional in being run to the ground day after day after day. I tell you, part of the problem with council you guys got a cushion of \$1,000 a month, there is 70,000 people in the city, whatever the population is, that don't. Something effects us immediately. If you sell, for example, the Sewer Authority, they cripple or quadruple whatever they are going to do with -- you don't care. You know, you got a little cushion to get another \$100 a month out or something, I don't, thousands of other people don't, and that's part of the problem. You just don't realize not just the people that I'm in contact with, I'm talking about professional people that I have talked to briefly about what's going on in this city, you know? People are just unhappy with me getting up here and then I have my fan club, too, but when you mess with someone's pocketbook it makes it most irritable.

And the past year or so you just have messed with my pocketbook to -- the garbage going up and it's just everything

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going up and Mr. Bolus just made an excellent -- some excellent facts. paying for everything under the sun and then you got this fee. You cannot help the city no more. You are throwing good money after Every time you turn around it's just -- it's going into that big hole. don't know what your solution is, but think how much money you can save if we didn't have consultants. Everybody needs a consultant, the school board got one, this pathetic auditors they're not doing a good The mayor has got to have a consultant. Again, I'm telling you if someone can't do their job that they were hired or elected for they ought to be fired. There shouldn't be no consultants for people and then they should hire someone that lives here in the city, not somebody that breaks the law to get them in their position.

Before I leave, isn't there some way to protect the mall from losing its taxes, like a city clause or law or something? I asked you about it last week, you know.

There is a nonprofit probably end up with

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the mall because nobody seems to want it
that I've heard about. You know, whether
you people realize it or not this city has
just been highjacked right from under
everybody's nose by the Chamber of Commerce
and they have a stooge running the place.
The mayor has been replaced, you know, it's
just getting worse and worse for everybody
in the city.

Like Mr. Bolus said, the nonprofits nothing has happened. Pensions, nothing has happened. You guys said, "Let's wait and see what happens with the pensions," what's it's been three months? Nothing has been You should have let the field (sic) go after those people to get to the bottom Here the man running the city didn't of it. have an inclining idea in the world about triple pensions and I did. I heard this in conversation a year or two ago. He just couldn't be doing much of a job if he -- or else he is just a blatant liar that he didn't know and didn't do nothing about it. I feel the same way that Mr. Bolus does, the city is just going to pot, you people aren't

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doing your job. You ought to be doing something about these nonprofits. If they had a reassessment a third of the city won't be reassessed because of them. Thank you for allowing me to speak and get it off my chest.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. You know, just some statements that came up, I would just like to say today that for the couple of decades I have come here every time I have gone to Mrs. Novembrino for information I have received every single thing I have asked for and, look it, I'm not telling people how to vote and I'm not telling people who the best candidate is, but I can tell you this that every question I have ever asked was answered and I never had to chase Mrs. Novembrino around to get an answer and any time I walked up those steps and walked in her office I sat right down with her and talked about the issue that I came there to talk about, and if she didn't have the information she asked that

2

4

3

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody could bring it, please.

And I don't want to get stuck in the who is the best candidate or the political thing because really there is no point in it, but, you know, you have to see things for what they are and you have to be honest and respectful, but in regards to the city, you know, I don't know, the state of the city address I think really should be done right here in city hall. I really think it should be televised. I mean, you know, walking around this city and having lived here and been born here I can tell you that I have never seen the city look worse. have pumped millions and millions of dollars into the downtown and most of it's vacant. There is places for rent, business property for rent all across the city. We are tearing down houses, it's just amazing the self-destruction that's taking place and all of the political signs, mayor this or whatever, I mean, the North Scranton project was a joke. It was all publically funded in my opinion basically.

And you know the one thing I that

find troubling is that the people responsible for this are Democrats and Republicans, they are the people in the Congress and the Senate and the House of Representatives and the State Senate. They are funneling money through nonprofits for all of these things. Go to Cedar Avenue and look at how that project was done. Look all across the city. They are using nonprofits as conduits to move money. There really -- somebody, the FBI or somebody needs to come in here and do an investigation and maybe, I don't know, maybe they will arrest some people and convict them, you know?

But I find it really troubling that, you know, the city just keeps sliding backwards and I gave council a notarized request to cut the budget by 30 percent, not that it had any weight, but to be honest with you that's the only way for this city to go. It has to cut its budget and it can't fund its personnel and it certainly can't fund its pensions, and the residents and the people who live here they are laughing all the time

because they want to sell their property and get out of here. My own son told me that the city is a slum. He is moving to Pittsburgh. He is going to start a job for over \$100,000 a year. He said he would never come back here the longest day of his life. He said the people here don't even pick their head up. It's just amazing, and he is a young man and all of the other young people leave, but what you did you say to all of the fathers and grandfathers whose grandchildren will not be born here?

What do you say about a city that's been in the decline for 60 or 70 years but it's played politics for all of those years? And look at all of the money we spent in the downtown as if that was going to cause the city to be born again and it didn't happen. And the mall, it's not Mr. Boscov's fault the mall failed, you know, I had asked if I was a business person and I was a member of the Chamber of Commerce, I would ask the directors and all of the people that make the decisions over there what they have done to make Scranton a business friendly

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

community that's creating a job base that's expanding because that's our major problem.

Look around you, you don't have to be Albert Einstein to realize that there is no real development taking place here.

And the University of Scranton they even get grant money. It's almost ridiculous. It's really pathetic and then when you look at it and you see that kids are going to bed hungry in this country, the majority of kids probably in the Scranton School District are getting free or reduced You got seniors who can't buy their medicine and their food and you are driving a whole segment of our population into poverty and we talk utter silliness in the No matter if it's a congressman government. or a senator, an elected official on all levels, they are talking about something changing and all that's changing is the country is just descending further and further and further into poverty, and anybody this doesn't believe that go back into the 50's and 60's and look at what President Eisenhower did and, hey, he was a

republican and look what he did for the middle class. I mean, Democrats and Republicans are the problem. The parties are the problem. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher. I'm want to
start off with 7-C tonight because
Mr. Ungvarsky is not here, couldn't be here
tonight, and while he didn't ask me to speak
last week when we are leaving he commented
that didn't we purchase a bunch of materials
to do that very thing not too long ago?

So I went back and researched it and, indeed, in 2010 Governor Rendell gave the City of Scranton \$25,800 to purchase levy maintenance equipment including a truck, a brush mower, a riding mower, and a skid mounted sprayer, so I guess I would ask for myself why a mere five years later are we with all of this equipment are we putting this out so that's something for you to think about.

Mr. Rogan, in Fifth Order would you

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

please let me know whether or not you received my e-mail and if you did when I can expect an answer?

And then I want to go back, May is coming and I always get frustrated in May because it's the anniversary of one of the months that frustrates me the most. this city as poor shape financially as we are in has revenue on the table and they won't get off their duff to go collect it, it just bothers me as a taxpayer, and so I'm going to back and read you something that I read from the minutes of the 7-11-2013 council meeting. "Three years ago this last may, you authorized the sale of eight properties on East Mountain to a Robert Kolankowski, a resident of Scranton, for a total of \$49,000 for the sale's price, but not only has the \$49,000 not been received by the city, but they have had it for three years and the taxes aren't paid. So I guess if you are the right person and know the right people you can get one of these properties in a private sale and not file the deed and not pay taxes until you are

ready to develop it or sell it to somebody else. I think it's wrong, I think it's unfair, and I think we are missing income."

I did go back that those eight properties are still in the same condition and in addition to the \$49,000 sale price which we have not received the unpaid taxes at this point almost come up to the sale price, just slightly under \$37,500. Now, that's wrong. And, I might add, there are 55 additional properties that fall into that same category. One even goes back as far as 2006. I mean, why are we -- why are we shooting ourselves in the foot? This is wrong.

Now, another thing we could do, I'll just transition so I don't run out of time, but another thing we can do, and we haven't done is we are talking the land banks thing, but I would like to know why we haven't taken steps to hire a structural engineer to review the properties that are on the repository list and see if they are retrievable. Are they in a condition where they could be economically refurbished or

should they be put on the demolition list?

I went through the current list of 335

properties on that list which means they did not sell through a judicial sale. Scranton has 226 of those properties and some of them delinquent I think probably back into the 90's so they are probably going to end up on the demo list, I would guess.

But why don't we do this, this is something we could have done at any period of time and yet that's too much trouble, but we can get a new committee together to do land banks and to do this and to do blight, this is part of your blight. I mean, come and. We really need to look at what we are doing and how we are doing it.

And then I would add, I do see some rays of light in addition to the chair's shirt tonight --

MR. MCGOFF: Sweater.

MR. SCHUMACHER: -- for the city and I hope it continues, but I would ask
Mr. Rogan, I have asked you to follow up,
Mr. Gaughan, on the must do things for 2015
from the recovery plan, I would like to ask

8

6

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Rogan if he could start giving us information on the economic development in this city. I have noticed just in the Times companies, new companies coming in and some going out. I think that would be encouraging to people and maybe entice other people to do likewise. Thank you for your time.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: You asked. Dave Dobrzyn, resident, taxpayer. Okay, once again on Transpacific packs, I'll announce the phone number to call for all in the Congress 1-202-224-3121. For Matt Cartright 1-202-225-5346, he may have a local number and I'm sure Casey has a local number, too. You could have always get a message through and 1-202-456-1111 for the White House. Please call them. If you are a public employee and you are sick and tired of fighting for a raise for seven or eight years understand that other people have to have money to pay the taxes. If you are a social security retiree or a military

retiree or whatever that depends on a government check then people -- the more taxes people pay and the more money they make the more secure your retirement is. Ιf you are tired of no jobs call them up and tell them that, and you might want to cite what's going on in Baltimore right now with 50 percent unemployment and, you know, an unfortunate incident, whether or not it was intentional or not we don't know. are sick and tired of high property taxes call because wage taxes used to take care of lower property taxes, so please call your congress and let them know that that's unacceptable.

Now, back to city, I'll try to talk fast because I have a lot. Paving, on the 100 block of Wheeler I have a friendly acquaintance and he said he had a gas leak and it went on forever and when they took the valve out it was like swiss cheese.

Well, they still smell gas after they fixed his valve so they fixed three, four or five more valves on the same street. Now, I'd like to know that in Allentown they had a

gas explosion of that type of nature and it killed four other people -- four people and that five-month-old infant so it's serious business, and the reason they did it one at a time was so that they didn't have to repave the whole street. If you go up there turn off on Roslyn right after the bridge and it's between Roslyn and Linden Street. Either way, you will notice all of the bouncy bouncies.

Trash fees. We really need to collect back trash fees, and if somebody is unable to pay then perhaps we can help them out, let it drift until the house is sold, put a lien against the house, but it's not 7 1/2 million, not, not acceptable and who knows maybe it will more after this year or last year.

On the Chamber of Commerce and Mr.

Amoroso we need a second opinion. We should take second opinions. Frank Joyce you could probably call him and just ask him a few things, and former councilman and we really need a second opinion. I feel that too many times these study groups are hired mainly to

give solutions to the somewhat guilty parties. For instance, we don't do an exit analysis on KOZ's, and I think if we did one on the Sanofi-Pasteur we would find out that we might have lost a lot of money instead of created job. Maybe we created jobs but they weren't employing people from Scranton anyway so then we just have all the more liability, and if they don't want to pay taxes, and they don't to pay for the property like down at the Ice Box, I'd like to compliment the mayor for trying to bring that to a head, who need them? Do we need No. We don't need them. They are just costing us more money so who cares.

And once again, I will make it quick, I talked to a person whose mother's house was condemned and torn down after she passed away, and the current licensing inspections would not even allow her to get in there to get family heirlooms out. All she got was her mother's Bible out of that house and that's shameful. She should have been left to go in there and get a few mementos, pictures, who knows, but it was

all thrown out and the house was knocked down and there is a big hole in the ground now. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. MCANDREW: My name is Sean

McAndrew, taxpayer. As to the city

controller employee who came in and

questioned my letter, the reason I directed

my letter to Mrs. Novembrino was because she

was on the Pension Board and is still on the

Pension Board. She is the only remaining

Pension Board person still on the board, so

that's why my letter was directed to her.

As for me asking the questions, I pay my taxes, pay my garbage bill, I own a home, that gives me the right to ask these legitimate questions on a big issue in the city. I didn't know you had to be a private investigator to ask any questions.

Also, in regarding to my campaign manager, Mr. Bolus wanted to ask me, it's my uncle Chris Canavey. When I first started decided to run he was the first person to back me and help me out and that's the only people running is myself and him.

Unfortunately, you know, an employee from the controller's office are speaking here to make a political attack against me and that wasn't my reasoning for coming to council or writing my letter, it was to raise an awareness to the problem that is going on and ask legitimate questions to somebody who was on the Pension Board at the time, the controller at the time and still is the controller and still is on the Pension Board. If they are under investigation and they can't talk about it, then

Mrs. Novembrino could have came down here and said herself instead of sending somebody from her office.

Again, I pay my taxes, I have every right just as anybody else to ask these questions? So, again, I apologize if it turned into that, but it was just me, you know, wanting to get some answers to an important situation, or I'm sorry, to an important topic that's in the city because it has been going on for months, and as I stated last week, every month that it keeps going on we keep losing money so I want to

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thank you guys, and I apologize that it turned into something like and you guys have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. McGoff, just a few items tonight. first thing I'd like to talk about is I'm happy to see all the candidates for county commissioner have expressed their desire to have a reassessment for the county. The one thing I didn't agree about is that it's something that we shouldn't have to wait for. I know that we can't use the entire surplus the county has for the reassessment, but there is some money available to start the process. It is the County's responsibility to make sure that taxes are fair for everyone in the county. The older cities like Scranton we have had quite the burden assessed on us and it is a problem for us that we don't collect -- or tax too much for the properties that we have.

I do believe that the county should begin to start the process of reassessment by looking to see what type of grants are out there and what kind of assistance. I also think that since Scranton is going to be a part of SAPA we understand that there are some additional grants available for SAPA cities and I think if Scranton can participate with any grants towards the reassessment it's something that we should look at, but I don't believe that waiting for something as important as this is a solution to our problem.

I would like to comment on the issue that was raised yesterday -- today in the paper about the Scranton Recreation
Authority. I think the current Rec Board was caught by surprise with the free swimming that came up. I know for myself I hadn't heard anything about free swimming coming up and this would be a problem with this year if free swimming was -- when free swimming comes because it will almost deplete the entire budget that the Recreation Authority has.

I also don't believe that Nay Aug was prepared for staffing. Nay Aug Pool if it is free swimming this year, and I also heard some concerns from our police department about uncontrolled access to Nay Aug Park.

I do believe that there is a solution if we look at this together, I know last year we kind of talked about reduced fees to see if that was a suitable answer, but I think really what we have to do is right now is take a look at the Rec Authority and see what purpose it is going to serve now into the future.

I'm not calling for the elimination of the Rec Authority. I think there has been a lot of great work done in the past and current members have done a lot of good work there, but I do think it's an opportunity to take a look and see what we can do with the Recreation Authority in terms of the entire park system, not just Nay Aug. We have had some people in neighborhoods approach us about taking over some of the neighborhood parks. I think if

that was handled through the Recreation

Authority, the Recreation Authority since it
is an independent board does have the

ability to go out and seek grants on their
own and that may be something that will help
our smaller city parks.

But I do think we should take a look at how this operates. There is too much cost involved with the swimming pool that if it can't be managed properly I think it should come back under the city umbrella.

I would like to offer my condolences to the Bhutanese community in the City of Scranton. These people are the latest immigrants to our city that are hardworking and family oriented people. I understand that the Scranton Diocese will be holding a special collection this week for the earthquake relief and I would encourage everyone to give generously.

Finally, I would also like to take the opportunity to thank the organizers for the kick off event of the 150th anniversary of Scranton. I was very happy being a part of that, and very happy to see the turn out

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the parade and the activity that was at the mall. We have to remember though that this is an all-year celebration so as it's kick off I can feel there was more excitement coming, a lot of people were unaware of what was happening, but I think momentum on this is growing, so I think as the year goes on it will a very enjoyable experience.

I also would like to thank the people that organized the trivia contest that was at Montage Mountain on Saturday We had a council team, we had two pinch hitters come in because Mr. McGoff and Mr. Gaughan were unable to make it so my wife and a friend of Wayne's and mine, Joe Erish, chipped it on our team and to our surprise actually we made it to the semi-finals and we were doing quite well in the semi-finals until a category on medical history of the City of Scranton -- the County came up and I knew I drew a major blank on that, but we did win one game so we were happy with that night, and I did have a good time and I think I would like to

participate again next year, but thank you.

That's all I have.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. A couple of items. First, I'd like to congratulate Councilman Wechsler and Councilman Evans for there win. I apologize I couldn't join, but as I mentioned I was in Pittsburgh for my brother's college graduation, but I'm looking forward to hopefully having a council team next we are and maybe we will do a little studying before the meetings.

Just a few items, the first one is, and this is an item we talked about at our caucus quite a bit, which is the idea of a land bank, that the mayor did send us a response and I know Mrs. Schumacher mentioned this as well. There will be an exploratory committee formed in the near future. I think this a good step. I know council is eager, all five of us on council are eager to see this become a reality.

Also, on in the same vein of blight and those type of issues, this week I did meet with an individual who works for a

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

company that they do what they call a foreclosure registration program. I spoke very briefly about it at caucus last week and I did send an e-mail to our city clerk to distribute to our colleagues on the What this program would do is when a bank forecloses on a home this company would get the contact information for the bank, charge that bank a fee for registering. At that point in time we would have appoint a contact person within a certain distance of the city for if there are issues with the property. If the windows get broken, if somebody breaks in, things of that nature to maintain that foreclosed home, and the fee would be split between the city and the county. So the city would receive some revenue from it.

Currently, there are 311 foreclosed properties within the City of Scranton there are bank owned. At that rate the city would bring in around \$30,000 a year, which is a little bit of money, but, more importantly, it could establish a contact, a chain of communication between the city departments

and the banks who have foreclosed on those properties. I will be -- I meant to mention this earlier, but I will be working with our council president and city clerk to try and coordinate and public caucus so this program can be fully displayed to the public. It does seem like an item that really can help both on the revenue end and on the cracking down on blight.

And finally, just two responses to Ms. Schumacher, the first regarding the economic updates, I agree. There are a lot of good things that are happening and I will try to be proactive in mentioning a lot of these from the podium. You know, I think us up here and the speakers sometimes are guilty of dwelling too much on the negatives and I do think a lot of positive items do need to be focused from business and community groups within the city.

Secondly, and I just double checked, I did not receive an e-mail. You may have sent it to my city account, and I will give me e-mail address out for the public so everyone has it, and it's also on the city

website, it's PatRogan P-A-T-R-O-G-A-N, the number one, at gmail.com, and this on the cities website as well. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Reassessment is going to be a hot topic of discussion this week.

County Commissioner candidates weighed in the subject, their common themes seem to be "We want to do reassessment, but it will cost too much."

Saying they would like to do a reassessment but there is no money is not a solution or an answer it's an excuse. While I was the director of the Assessment Office during the actual phase of reassessment, 90 percent of the inventories properties was completed by 21st Century Appraisals, a company hired by the county to do the reassessment, and much of the necessary mapping was completed by the county through the Assessor's Office.

Nearly \$3 million was spent at that point to complete the reassessment and another estimated 2 to \$3 million would be necessary to totally complete it.

Because the county commissioners in 2009 pulled the plug on reassessment that figure has grown to \$8 million or more. It was a bad decision then, and it remains a bad decision today.

Recently the current commissioners eagerly pointed out that there is now \$8 million budget surplus as one of their crowning achievements. It is ironic that \$8 million is also the proposed cost of the countywide reassessment. There is nothing more important to the economic vitality of our city and county than tax fairness through a reassessment, so is money the real issue or is the perceived political cost simply too high?

And here we are 45 years removed from the last reassessment and the City of Scranton and it's property owners continue to struggle with assessments that are simply unfair. Let me gave you an example of one I ran across recently which is symbolic of the skewed assessed values of properties in our county. House A, there was a property in Scranton, a nice old house, but it needs a

21

22

23

24

25

lot of TLC. It is 3,100 square feet on a 40 foot by 90 foot lot with a shared driveway. The value of the house is estimated at less than \$100.000. House B is house in Clarks Summit, is in immaculate condition. 4,300 square feet on 1.75 acres with a large driveway, and three car garage. The value of this home would be over \$500,000. A, the Scranton house, House A, pays \$6,631 in property taxes. House B, the Clarks Summit house, pays \$6,229 in property tax. But here's where it gets more interesting, looking further into the numbers you will see that the assessed value of the land, House A in Scranton, again, a 40 by 90 foot lot with a shared driveway is \$7,750. assessed value of the land of House B in Clarks Summit with the 1.75 acres and a large driveway is \$1,500.

Even in 1970 it would hard to believe that those assessments were accurate, but today it another example of the unfairness built into this 45-year gap without reassessment. This is a scenario that I would estimate plays out hundreds, if

not thousands of times, within the county.

The other culprit in this mess is the State of Pennsylvania who claims they require reassessment but never do anything about it. The state needs to come up with a formula shared cost of the counties to promote and make reassessment more viable. They must also be progressive like other states and allow for rolling reassessments that are tied to property value increases once their reassessment is done, this would keep values current and doubling costs down.

Property tax fairness isn't just a county issue or a state issue or a city issue, it is a fundamental right of property owners and should not be ignored like it has been for over 45 years. As I have stated before, if there is continued inaction by the county then there should be some action by the city. That's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Go ahead.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Thank you. I would just like to start by saying as Councilman Wechsler mentioned that I would like to express my deep sympathy for the

24

25

11 s
12 p
13 h
14 h
15 c
16 t
17 N
18
19 b
20 V
21 t
22 R
23 i

people who are suffering in the recent earthquake in Nepal. It's been reported that the earthquake has taken the lives of over 6,100 people, which is an absolutely staggering figure, and as Mr. Wechsler had mentioned, it's been well-documented over the past few years that Scranton has a growing Bhutanese and Nepal based community and I've had firsthand experience in dealing with these families as I have worked for a short time in the first time home buyer program and I can tell you that these are hardworking and dedicated people and they have become an integral part of our community, so my heart goes out to all of those local residents who have family in Nepal and during this tragedy.

The street sweeper is scheduled to be in the West Mountain area and Keyser Valley area May 4 to May 8, Jackson Street to Pettibone Street, including North-South Road. As my colleagues had mentioned on the issue of reassessment, I couldn't agree more with both of them. It's been clear for years that we desperately need reassessment.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have a tax structure that's unfair, outdated, and it hinders economic development in our city. Mr. Evans had mentioned last week about the palatial pace of government, and I couldn't agree more. Moving slowly and waiting for things to happen will never work. We need to be progressive. We need action on a number of different fronts whether it be land bank reassessment, the foreclosure registration that Mr. Rogan -- the idea that Mr. Rogan mentioned, reforming rental registration, a number of different things. So I would urge the commissioners to find a way to get reassessment done and remind them of a saying that President Kennedy used to bring up all the time, "We must use time as a tool not as a couch."

And I would also like to touch on the foreclosure registration idea that Mr. Rogan had mentioned. I think it's something that we are all extremely interested in, I think it would be very beneficial for the city. I think in the documents that Mr. Rogan had sent us and in doing my own

21

22

23

24

25

research I think that this registration on foreclosed properties would increase the accountability of the lending institution, which as we know temporarily owns the property, for keeping the property up to code until it is sold to a new owner, and I think it's going to be beneficial for us to look at Susquehanna and Allentown as reference points because they have successful foreclosure registration programs, and I also think that as we are delving into this process we should also consider, and other municipalities are considering, a single ordinance to cover both properties facing foreclosure and vacant properties, rather than instituting a vacant property registration ordinance separate from a foreclosed property registration ordinance so these are all things that we will be working together on in the next few weeks. And that is all I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan.

Once again, the state of the city address
tomorrow at noon at the Chamber of Commerce.

I know there has often been questions about the site. We have a traditionally, at least as far as -- since I have been on council the state of the city address has been done at the Chamber of Commerce. Why that site I don't know. I think perhaps people are right, that if we are going to do the state of the city address it probably should be somewhere within the confines of city government somehow. This room is too small, Governor's room probably the same thing, I don't know what the answer is, but certainly, you know, there is some merit to the idea of having the state of the city address within the confines of the city building.

I do know that it has been broadcast in the past, the problem is that as far as ECTV is concerned they can't do a live broadcast from the chamber building and so anything that they would do would have to be on a replay. I don't know if any of the local channels, broadcast channels would do it live, I don't know if that is scheduled or not.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things that I have always thought about council meetings is that we should try and remove politics from government. At election time that becomes a very difficult situation. There has been some criticism this evening and to some extent I want to apologize to Mr. McAndrew. He did contact me prior to last week's meeting and asked me about what I thought about reading a letter that he had sent to Mrs. Novembrino. I cautioned him that I'm not sure what that -- you know, where that would go and how it would be perceived, and I thought that as he spoke last week he made an attempt to remove kind of the politics from the questions that he had.

Anyone can ask a question, as was said. I don't care -- people that come to this podium for the nine years that I have been here and have asked questions of public officials. The simple thing is either answer them or say no. This is nothing wrong with that and to question somebody just for asking a question I think is inappropriate. Sometimes the questions can

be inappropriate and should be monitored from here if somebody is speaking at the dies.

And the last thing, most of what was contained in the letter that Mr. McAndrew sent to Mrs. Novembrino was made public by others not by him and then to criticize him for being public.

So, again, I'm not, again, I'm not tying to favor any candidate over another.

My hope is that that podium, this dais, does not become a center for political activity.

This is for the governance of the City of Scranton and not for the politics of the City of Scranton or Lackawanna County.

On a positive note, I guess this past week I attended six meetings with bidders on the parking monetization. These bidders came from all over the country as far away as Los Angeles, Puerto Rico, so there is interest. I thought it was interesting to just sit and listen to these people and see that there was a competition that they wanted to bring about, you know, to help Scranton solve a problem and that

they were interested in the City of Scranton, and their perception of the City of Scranton, unlike what some people said at the podium, their perspective of the City of Scranton was one that was very positive.

They looked at the architecture, they looked at the restaurants, the hotels, the activity that was taking place and all of this gave a very positive impression.

These people were looking at bidding for a lease on the parking garages and the off-street parking in Scranton for up to 50 years. They are not bidding if they don't think this city has a future, and I think that that -- I came away with that idea that we have people from outside of City of Scranton looking at it and saying this city has a future and we want to be a part of it.

There is a timeline for the parking monetization that is somewhat constricted by the Scranton -- or the revised recovery plan but most of these bidders felt that they could abide by that timeline. What we are looking at in the future is that there will be concession agreements drawn up, they will

be given to the bidders, the bidders may be asked for an indicative bid, which is kind of like a ballpark figure for what they feel this monetization would be worth, RFP's would then be prepared, bidders would respond, the city would choose one of the bid. All of this hopefully done by the end of 2015.

That is one step in the plan to get the city back to financial credibility and all of these people thought that they could help the City of Scranton do that. They had trust in the City of Scranton that we could move forward in a positive way. And that's all.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 79 OF 2015, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE
OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN
ORDINANCE RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND
MUNICIPAL SERVICES TAX" ("EMST") TO LOCAL
SERVICE TAX ("LST") AND BY IMPOSING A
WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR
2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE
AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY INCREASING

1

THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX WITHHOLDING FROM \$52.00 TO \$156.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015. AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL SOURCES WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN \$15,600.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015, UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE LOCAL TAX ENABLING ACT, ACT 511 OF 1965, P.L.1257, 53 P.S.§6924.101 ET.SEQ., AND THE MUNICIPALITIES RECOVERY ACT, ACT 47 OF 1987, P.L.246, 53 P.S.§11701.101 ET.SEQ. AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AMENDMENTS, EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 1. 2015. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED TO GENERATE APPROXIMATELY FOUR-MILLION TWO-HUNDRED EIGHTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE-HUNDRED (\$4,287,500.00) DOLLARS IN REVENUE IN CALENDAR YEAR 2015.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

> MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. McGoff, just

23

24 25

one quick comment. This new tax is one of the few tools that the state has given us to help with our financial problems. It's a step that we need to take and just one thing I'd like to caution everybody is this -- every dollar that's collected with this tax is one dollar that does not have to be collected from the property tax, and I think that is the -- if there is such a thing as a good part of the tax I think that's the good part of this tax.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

Mrs. Reed, just one second, it should be noted that we have received a letter from the Solicitor's Office asking if we would consider suspending the rules next week to move this from Sixth Order to

Seventh Order for final passage given the timeliness of the LST tax and the fact that it would be retroactive to January 1. I'm not saying that this will be happen, it will be a vote on council to do that.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 58, 2014, AN ORDINANCE "ENTITLED GENERAL
CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2015" BY CREATING A
NEW REVENUE ACCOUNT NO. 01.331.33121 QUALITY
OF LIFE ENFORCEMENT TICKETS.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, if I may, Director Hinton in our packets tonight as far as what the packet is concerned gave us an update of the quality of life tickets and is it okay if I read some of these with your permission?

MR. MCGOFF: Okay.

MR. EVANS: Thanks. The program

_ :

started March 16, that's when the tickets were started. To date, there has been 176 tickets issued, 124 were issued by the police department, 52 by the health inspectors. Overall \$8,525 was taken in by the city and there is an outstanding balance of \$22,100 to be collected.

A couple of takeaways. Number one, I think the police are doing a great job. These are the COM-D patrolmen mostly. My only concern with that is the COM-D patrol only can patrol in certain low to moderate areas, and as we discussed previously, the low to moderate map, the census maps, are woefully inaccurate for lack of a better word and they should be corrected, but we just don't know how to do that. We have asked OECD to take a look at that and I'll ask them again if we can take a look at maps and see what can be done.

I'm a little concerned only 52
tickets were issued by the housing
inspectors. There is three housing
inspectors and 52 tickets in approximately
29, 30 work days. We'll have to look at

that. I know Director Hinton is doing his best, but, you know, it's something we might have to find out what the problem is. This may have to be outsourced, there may have to be part-timers hired, I really don't know what the answer is, but it's something that we can maybe discuss as we approach budget time or whatever if we don't see the workload is there for the employees.

But I applaud him for his due diligence, he is doing a great job, Director Hinton is, and hopefully we can see this pick up as time goes by and just monitor this as best as time goes by. That's all I have. Thanks.

MR. MCGOFF: I should also mention that I will report that we are made aware that according to the statute the account for this particular tax needed to be in a bank separate from one in which other were put, and the reason for this is that we can rectify that situation.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

	64
1	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
2	MR. EVANS: Aye.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
4	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
5	ayes have it and so moved.
6	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
7	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF ROBERT TIMLIN,
8	325 WARREN STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
9	18508, AS A MEMBER OF THE SCRANTON
10	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY. MR. TIMLIN WILL BE
11	REPLACING JACK EMILIANI WHO RESIGNED
12	EFFECTIVE APRIL 1, 2015. MR. TIMLIN WILL
13	FULFILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. EMILIANI
14	WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON
15	FEBRUARY 14, 2017.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
	ll

MR. EVANS: Aye. 1 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -5 A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF JENNIFER 6 7 HERMAN, 127 SOUTH MERRIFIELD AVENUE, 8 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER 9 OF THE SCRANTON MUNICIPAL RECREATION AUTHORITY. MS. HERMAN WILL BE REPLACING 10 DANNY J. DAVIS WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE APRIL 11 7, 2015. MS. HERMAN WILL FULFILL THE 12 UNEXPIRED TERM OF MR. DAVIS WHOSE TERM IS 13 14 SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 17, 2016. MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 15 16 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 17 introduced into its proper committee. 18 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. WECHSLER: 19 Second. 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 would just like to respond to something that was in the paper today as well, this is not 22 23 a question of whether the Scranton 24 Recreation Authority should exist or not. It does at this time, as Mr. Wechsler 25

brought up earlier, perhaps it should be reviewed at a time in the future to see if the Recreation Authority is appropriate. It does exist now, there is an empty seat, and that empty seat should be filled.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.

97, 2015 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF

THE COUNCIL NO. 47, 2013, ENTITLED, "AN

ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON TO TAKE ALL NECESSARY ACTIONS TO

IMPLEMENT THE CONSOLIDATED SUBMISSION FOR

COMMUNITY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS

TO BE FUNDED UNDER THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) PROGRAM, HOME INVESTMENT

PARTNERSHIP (HOME) PROGRAM AND EMERGENCY

1	SOLUTIONS GRANT (ESG) PROGRAM", BY ACCEPTING
2	AND DISBURSING A PERSONAL CONTRIBUTION FROM
3	BOSCOV'S DEPARTMENT STORE TO PAY AN OVERDUE
4	SECTION 108 LOAN OWED BY STEAMTOWN MALL
5	PARTNERS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$715,173.00 AND
6	TRANSFERRING THESE FUNDS TO PROJECT 14-04
7	RE-CONSTRUCTION OF CITY STREETS TO INCLUDE
8	HANDICAPPED CURB CUTS.
9	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by
10	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
11	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
12	pass reading by title.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
14	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
15	those in favor signify by saying aye.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to table
23	Item 6-A per the legally required 30-day
24	comment period.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 1 A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 4 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 5 MR. EVANS: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 7 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 8 9 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 10 SAFETY- FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 140, 11 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 12 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 13 14 ENTER INTO A LEASE AGREEMENT WITH HORSEPOWER HARLEY DAVIDSON, INC. TO LEASE FIVE (5) 15 POLICE PACKAGE MOTORCYCLES FOR THE CITY OF 16 17 SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT. 18 MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 19 20 Committee on Public Safety? 21 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 22 the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend 23 final passage of Item 7-A. 24 MR. ROGAN: Second. 25 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Ro11

1 call, please? 2 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 3 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 6 MR. EVANS: Yes. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 10 11 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 12 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 13 14 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS- FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 141, 2015 -15 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 16 17 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A 18 CONTRACT WITH REUTHER & BOWEN, PC TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE CITY OF 19 SCRANTON 2015 ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT. 20 21 MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the 22 23 Committee on Public Works? 24 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 25 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final

1 passage of Item 7-B. 2 MR. ROGAN: Second. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll 4 call, please? MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 6 7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 8 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 10 11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted. 15 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 16 17 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS- FOR ADOPTION 18 - RESOLUTION NO. 142, 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 19 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH 20 21 DUNBAR'S EVERGREEN LANDSCAPING, INC. FOR FLOOD PROJECT LEVEE CUTTING OF SOUTH 22 23 SCRANTON, ALBRIGHT, PLOT AND GREENRIDGE 24 LEVEES FOR A TWO YEAR SEASONAL PERIOD 25 BEGINNING MAY 15, 2015 THROUGH OCTOBER 31,

	/1
1	2015 AND MAY 15, 2016 THROUGH OCTOBER 31,
2	2016 FOR A TOTAL BID PRICE OF \$24,400.00.
3	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
4	recommendation of the Chair for the
5	Committee on Public Works?
6	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
7	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-C.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
11	Perhaps to answer a question that was
12	brought up earlier, the reason for hiring an
13	outside firm as far as my understanding is
14	that we do not have the work force to do the
15	work that is needed to be done on the
16	levies. Roll call, please?
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
20	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
22	MR. EVANS: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
	II

	72
1	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
2	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
3	If there is no further business,
4	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
5	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER