	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, February 19, 2015
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Before me begin, Councilman McGoff asked me to chair the 4 5 meeting tonight, his voice is a little bit 6 raspy so he asked me to take the reigns for tonight's meeting and I was glad to do so. 7 8 Council did hold an executive 9 session prior to this meeting regarding a 10 matter of litigation. 11 Dispense with the reading of the 12 minutes. 3-A. AGENDA FOR THE 13 MS. REED: 14 ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD FEBRUARY 11. 2015. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 17 If not, received and filed. Do any council 18 members have announcements? 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. 20 Hill Neighborhood Association will meet on 21 Wednesday, February 25, at 6:30 p.m. at 22 Immaculate Conception Church Hall at Gibson 23 and Taylor Avenue. Thank you. 24 MR. ROGAN: And I apologize for 25 jumping the gun. Roll call, please.

MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Here. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 4 MR. ROGAN: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 5 MR. EVANS: Here. 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. 10 11 Thank you, my apologies. MR. ROGAN: 12 Do any other council members have 13 announcements? 14 I have just one, this came from Linda Aebli. It's a press release and I 15 16 will read it. "The City of Scranton Office 17 of Economic and Community Development 18 recently redesigned the City of Scranton's 19 Homeowner Occupied Housing Rehabilitation 20 This program is funded with Program. 21 federal funding and allows income eligible 22 households within the City of Scranton to 23 have their homes brought to code to enable 24 families to live in safe, decent and 25 sanitary living conditions.

In order to be eligible for financial assistance, your total gross household income must not exceed 80 percent of the income limits established by HUD. For example, a household size of 1 person would have an income limit of \$32,600, an income of a household of four people would be \$46,550, and going up to a household of eight people would be \$61,450. All property taxes utilities, garbage fees and mortgages must be paid up-to-date.

There is currently a waiting list for this program, however, OECD urges anyone that qualifies to contact OECD for an application at 570-348-4216."

And secondly, the City of Scranton

-- this an additional press release that did
go to the Scranton Times. "The City of
Scranton Homebuyer's Program enables
families to earn 80 percent less than the
medium income to purchase the single family
home in the City of Scranton and make the
American dream a reality. Since the
homebuyer's program is federally funded
under the HOME Program since 1992, over 900

21

22

23

24

25

families have benefited from the program and approximately \$6 million in federal funds have been invested. The homebuyer's program helps qualified buyers to purchase single family homes by providing a subsidy up to one-half of the amount of the down payment, maximum match dollar-for-dollar not to exceed \$7,000 or 10 percent of the purchase price of the home, whichever is less, eligible closing costs up to \$5,000 maximum, and the total amount of this program is up to \$12,000. The qualified homebuyers must be able to obtain a mortgage from a financial institution and they must attend homebuyer counseling classes. This program stimulates the economy by creating jobs, thereby, contributing to wages and enhancing the local tax base.

Since this is a federally funded program the federal government sets certain requirements for the program such as a maximum purchase price, income limits, and the list of the regulations goes on quite a bit. The household size income limit is the same as what I read before, for one person

it would be \$32,600, for a family of four it would be \$46,500, and for a family of eight it would jump up to \$61,450.

Any home in the city may qualify, however, there are two homes that were recently renovated using federal funds one located 229 Garfield Avenue, which I know is on a very nice section of West Side on a corner lot, and 523 Palm Street.

If you would like more information on this program please contact the City's Office of Economic and Community Development at 570-348-4216 or log onto www.Scrantonpa.gov an click on the OECD link for the application and download the homebuyers' program application. Thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. ROGAN: Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from the Lackawanna County

Community Relations Department. To

beginning this evening, due to the delay in

the sending out of tax bills from the

Scranton Single Tax office, the Lackawanna

23

24

25

County Commissioners have agreed to extend the deadline for county residents to receive a discount in the filing of the county portion of their property taxes for the 2015 This extension mean that property tax year. owners will have until March 17 to receive a 2.5 percent discount and pay their county property tax bills. Those paid after March 17 but before April 30 will receive a 2 percent discount. Property owners have until June 3 to pay their county property taxes without penalty and interest and, of course, this mirrors the extension I believe that has been given by the City of Scranton and also the Scranton School Districts in paying the taxes in those portions of the tax bills that come from the Single Tax Office and the commissioners are happy to partner with the city and the school district in allowing this extension for all city residents and for the rest of the county on the county portion of the tax bills.

Second, the City of Scranton is invited to participate in the annual

Lackawanna County Department of Arts and Cultural -- Municipal Arts and Culture grant program. This is a long running program. It provides up to \$500 in grant funding for all 40 of our municipal governments in Lackawanna County to conduct arts and cultural programming for citizens of their perspective communities. In the past in the City of Scranton, this grant has been used to help fund the summer concerts series at Nay Aug Park.

Now, of course, it is up to the discretion of the city each year whether or not to apply. Of course, I would encourage all 40 municipal governments to apply so that the funds are used. The city, through the discretion of council and the mayor, wish to apply to use it for the concert series or something similar or a different arts and cultural-related projects they would feel free to do so, and I'm available to help assist with the application if necessary and field any questions on that throughout the year.

The programming can take place any

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

time in the 2015 calendar year, we just ask that the city apply approximately 30 days before the beginning of the programming which will allow time for the application process and the check to be issued once the application is deemed successful.

Third, Lackawanna County's Department of Parks and Recreation has a master schedule of 2015 camps, clinics and sporting leagues available to take place at our county parks and other county facilities during the 2015 calendar year. I will leave a paper copy with Ms. Reed to put up at her discretion, of course, on the bulletin board outside. She has been very generous with those programs and announcements and we thank your office for that, and the schedule is also available in the county website at LackawannaCounty.org, and anyone wishing to learn more about any of these programs can call the Parks and Recreation Department at 570-963-6764, and this lists camps and clinics that come up during the year. of course, have individual flyers for them and we will bring those to council. Thev

will also be posted on the County website

Facebook page and we will distribute them to

your office again as they are available.

And last, but not least, the Penn
State Extension Office in Lackawanna County
has their master gardener training program
open for enrollment for 2015. The master
gardeners are a group of gardening and
landscaping enthusiast that focus on
networking, education and community service
relating to gardening and landscaping.

To enroll in the program, which is open until it starts on March 6, you can register by calling 570-963-6842 or e-mail LackawannaMG@PSCU.EDU. And many of you may be familiar, here in downtown Scranton the master gardeners worked in planting flowers in the downtown planters during the warm weather months, and they did planting and then also the cleanup at the end of the year. They're very active in the community and they really enjoy networking in the community service in the areas of gardening and landscaping. And that's all I have for this evening. Are there any questions from

council.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.

MR. HETMAN: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. ROGAN: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident. The 2013 is now 263 days
late, is there any status on it? Any
movement?

MR. ROGAN: No update on that issue, but Councilman McGoff and I were just looking at the request for proposal that was sent down and the request for proposal for a 2014 and 2017 --

MS. HODOWANITZ: Single Tax Office,

I believe. For the Single Tax Office audit?

MR. MCGOFF: This is a new proposal.

MR. ROGAN: This is a new proposal and I thought that this line would be of interest to you, "If the audit is not completed by October 30 of the subsequent fiscal year, the City of Scranton may assess a 10 percent penalty on the contractual cost for the audit for the fiscal year in question. If minimally a draft audit is not

1	delivered by the October 30 completion
2	date."
3	MS. HODOWANITZ: So you are telling
4	me we have an RFP for 2014?
5	MR. ROGAN: That's what it's
6	stating, yes.
7	MS. HODOWANITZ: Has that been
8	published in the paper yet?
9	MR. ROGAN: I don't believe so.
10	MR. HODOWANITZ: Will that be coming
11	out this week?
12	MR. ROGAN: We received it on
13	February 17 in our mailbox.
14	MS. HODOWANITZ: So that is not the
15	single tax audit.
16	MR. MCGOFF: No.
17	MR. HODOWANITZ: Which was 2013,
18	2014 about two or three weeks ago?
19	MR. ROGAN: No, this is for all city
20	revenues in accordance with the Home Rule
21	Charter.
22	MS. HODOWANITZ: So I assume then in
23	the next week or so we should be seeing the
24	RFP in the paper?
25	MR. ROGAN: I would assume.

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, but nobody has any idea on the completion, proposed completion of the 2013 audit.

Last night I went to the Scranton Parking Authority meeting on their resolutions for monetization of their assets, their financial statements were due on April 15, 2014. That is 310 days ago. Now, I asked them if they knew anything about the financial statements and in essence they told me that that was not their job, that was the receiver's job, but since you as councilmen have a fiduciary responsibility to the citizens of the city, if I were you I would be kicking the door of the mayor for him to bring pressure upon Mr. Washo to get those financial statements in if that's what's holding up the 2013 audit, and if memory servers Rossi's 5 February report there were only two items outstanding, one was the GASBE 45 or 47 calculations, and the other one was the Scranton Parking Authority financial statements. Those are the only two outstanding items and I have been trying to

get Mr. Washo, but every time I go to his office he is never there. I leave my name and phone number, he does not call me. I would hope that the Mayor of Scranton would have more success getting ahold of him. I just don't see how you can conduct financial affairs if you don't know what you have and what you owe. Just goes above my head, but then I'm just an ordinary citizen.

With regard to the modified recovery plan, is there a milestone for bringing that in front of the public in terms of a caucus or putting it on the website or whatever you are going to do so that we can be clued in?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ ROGAN: I think we are still waiting for revisions to the draft.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. With the regard to the issue of possible pension overpayments, does the council have any intent to either conduct a public caucus, a public hearing, or to utilize it's investigative powers of subpoena, where do you stand on this issue?

MR. ROGAN: Well, that's something that we will certainly be exploring as a

body I'm sure as you've seen in the article, at this point we are going to see what the Pension Board investigations yield and then at that point council will take appropriate action if we are not satisfied with the answers that are provided.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I would hope that the public attends the next Pension Board meetings which are scheduled for next Wednesday. I think they start at 9 or 10 o'clock and the Composite Board is it 11 a.m. here in council chambers. I'm usually the only member of the public that attends, but I think people should be worried about this whole issue of pensions.

With regard to the 2015 operating budget, are any of the amendments forthcoming to get that thing into balance?

MR. ROGAN: I think we are still looking a few different options on that item.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Has Mr. Bulzoni been working with the council?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, and there has been a some ideas batted around on how to correct

that.

MS. HODOWANITZ: If and when amendments are made I hope it comes up before council for a vote and goes on the website. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia. Citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, the newspaper couldn't tell us of some of your -- I guess your what could be fined and what can't be fined for these two citation officers, are you planning to mark anything on the fire hydrants 15 feet one way or 15 feet the other way to help the motorists tell the distance they are away from the fire hydrant or from any anything that's on this list? Or is this you have to carry your own tape measure with you and a camera to take pictures? Is this what it is? Otherwise, you won't know. I don't know where 15 feet is from the fire hydrant without measuring it. I assume if you are going to fine people you should mark that

24

25

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

distance off so they can tell if they are violating the law or within the law, but I know you are not interested in that, you're only interested in fines. This whole thing is just nothing but fines. I'm surprised you don't have them people wear black and tan uniforms so we can tell where they are.

I don't know what's wrong with you people, all you do is try to oppress the people more, more and more. You don't try to help them. What's happened to the Democratic party? Is so bad that they turned their backs on the people? We can't help the pension plan. The people that were in that plan ruined that plan. I don't blame the citizens of Scranton, I blame the firemen for their problems, the policemen for their problems and non-uniform workers for their problems. They abused it and there is no question about that, but yet you are having all of these. Fine, you got about 73,000 people I think are still in Scranton, there may be less, and you got 1,000 people on the pension plans, and that 1,000 people are holding 72,000 people in

bondage. There is no question about it.

How you can sit up there and claim you are

Scrantonians are beyond me. You are not

Scrantonians, you're oppressors. For a long

time we been coming before you and saying

you are oppressing the people too much, you

got to do something different. You don't go

after the nonprofits. You just don't do it.

You sit there and said, "Well, they will

give it to us if they feel like giving it to

us, if they don't feel like giving it to us

well and good."

After all, there is a lot of benefits from the nonprofits, so you say, but to the average taxpayer there is no benefit. We are being forced out of the city. I'm just glad I don't still work. That is the greatest thing I can say. I'm glad I'm not working because I couldn't afford to live in the city if I was working, too, there is no question about it.

You have raised taxes up 100
percent. You are going after everything you
can go after, even the sign you got another
thing for signs going out there now after

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these people that have the little sign in the window, now you want them to be inspected, put somebody else on. You have no idea what you are doing. True, you need safety on some things, but not a lot of these others, and increasing the fees. I have never forgave you for taking the poor handicap people and charging them \$10 for that sign. That to me was something that the smallest think you can do.

In people's eyes you people are the lowest there is, and that's the sad part about it, you should be held to the highest esteem. When people say you are on city council they should look up to you with respect, now they looking at you in contempt and what am I going to say. Are we going to say you are doing a good job? No. say that a long time, for a long time I have been telling you this is going to happen that you are going to get to the point where you are really, really oppressive and you have reached that point. People can't afford the taxes, social security went up I think 1 1/2 percent, you doubled the taxes.

Where are they going to get the difference to pay that, give up their medical, food or a little pleasure they may have just to pay taxes for people who abused the system?

And you are abusing the system, too.

You should do everything you can, check
everybody's laws across the whole

Pennsylvania and see how you can tax the
nonprofits, but that's me and not you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you, Council.

You know, a whole year you have just been wasting up there. You don't have nothing positive about to tell the people of this city. Anybody got something positive to tell me that you have done in a year? The contracts sure aren't positive, they put you people to sleep, and I could go on and on and I'm not going to fight with you.

Your allegiance should be to us, the people of this city. You know, four of you have allegiance to Bulzoni. This is just senseless employment. What you have done

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you have told the people of this city
there's 60, 70 thousand people there is no
one in this city capable of this position
man or woman. That's what you have told us.

You know, the people of this country, the state, this county, the city, all of these taxpayers they sent a man to the moon and back and you go to tell these people there isn't one capable of person that lives in this city for this position. There is something wrong. I can imagine what an exhausting search you must you have had for this position. Let's just assume that this was the best man for the job, you know, it could be, then Joan and I wouldn't have no reason to be jumping up and down like we do. Let's just assume that this is the best man why couldn't he simply, since he is not going to be here in town, he knew it when he started out he wasn't going to move here for -- sell a house for maybe four year's position, why couldn't he just take some paperwork or something like that to the Single Tax Office and see what his property would be taxed approximately here in town

and subject the school taxes and the city taxes from his -- this overpaid prima dona's excessive salary. It sure would have been better than all of this rigmarole like last weeks vote and he is going to fire if he doesn't stay, that would have been so easy to do and I wouldn't be up here fighting with you week after week. What an epitome of cronyism this turned out to be. The whole city ridicules you people for that.

You know, this man in yesterday's paper he has asked for a consultant. There, yesterday's paper, a year in office he needs a consultant already. You have really picked a winner.

And I just got one other thing for Mr. Evans if you will talk to me, in this, you know the houses for sale that come out every week, here's a house it says, "Low taxes \$775 a year." I have talked to people that got some of the worst looking houses off of Luzerne Street and Jackson Street they are telling me 1,000, 1,500 hundred dollar taxes, I'd like to know how a house with our doubling taxes the past few years

1 can be 775. 2 MR. EVANS: Where is it? 3 MR. ELLMAN: Let me just give you 4 this. Is it in Scranton? 5 MR. EVANS: Wow. MR. ELLMAN: My taxes have gone up 6 7 more than that. 8 MR. EVANS: It's a good deal for 9 somebody. MR. ELLMAN: Pardon? 10 11 MR. EVANS: That's a good deal for 12 somebody, but it's very rare right now. 13 That's why we need reassessment. 14 MR. ELLMAN: Well, I just noticed it when I was looking for some of my neighbor's 15 16 houses in there. 17 MR. EVANS: Cash. 18 MR. ELLMAN: What if I want a waiver 19 on my house taxes what do I have to do? 20 MR. EVANS: Well, you can't get a 21 waiver, but you can get -- every April you 22 can get your property --23 MR. ELLMAN: You are going to give 24 one -- why can't I have one? Why can't 25 these people out here have one? You have

set a precedent. What if one of the firemen wants to leave town and keep his job, what are you going to do with that? Or somebody applying for a job, a firemen or so forth in a year or two, they won't live here, we had a whole bunch of applications that didn't live in town in the past how are you going to handle now? You have allowed one person to just absolutely ruin the pattern that took years and years to get conformed. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, resident. Okay, last week I spoke
and I am going to speak a little more on
this, council before last they never
questioned anything the mayor seemed to
want. The next council, the Evans council,
the controversial council, it's all your
fault, Pat, but when they tried to do
something that the mayor didn't want to do
or the mayor wanted to do something he just
ignored them and that's where, I mean, these
pensions come in. Jack Loscombe, oh, we
picked up on that right away. Oh, he got

shocked with 440 volts, got some toes burned off, got his heart shot to hell and his pancreas, he had diabetic problems I think he mentioned to me several times and, oh, we caught up with that real fast, you know? And it's not even questionable, I don't know where some of these people get their law degrees from, but they must be from the Department of Ideology or something, Ministry of Love out of 1984.

Now, once again the 2013 audit, I'd just like as a little humor, has anybody checked the shredder for the missing files? Maybe you can piece tape them altogether, but that's what it sounds like and shame on the people that were responsible for the accounting in 2013.

And on the parking garages, it was probably six years ago my friend Andy Sbaraglia there had a complaint that the Hilton was handed \$275,000 a year approximately in free parking spaces, and I'm wondering if those freebees are still going on to this very day because I don't think the Hilton needs my tax subsidies to

go into their operation. I mean, you know, that's all there is to it. They're a lot richer than I am and after that, guess what, I don't care. I don't hand them my money.

Now, really last week I would also like to mention that the recycling was picked up on Saturday and I was very happy to see that and I'd like to thank the DPW for the extra time. It must be pretty tough being out there in this kind of weather picking up trash because it's not the kind of job I'd really want to have in the foreseeable future, but hopefully they got paid adequately for it.

But on these pensions, I don't know, I would support you having some type of a caucus on this and we have to get to the bottom of it, I don't know if the money to be taken back that's already been paid. I don't know if even know if it's morale, but therein again, it shouldn't be handed out indefinitely forever. It's not right.

And finally, once again, on the trade packs, Transpacific trade pack, about three years ago we signed onto the Korean

25

trade pack and Panama and Columbia were involved, two narco states, by the way, which allowed banking and stuff for drug money, but we are getting about four cents on the dollar reciprocation and if people don't realize, I mean, Wayne, you lived in South Side all your life, you know, people used to walk over the hill, they would go to work at Goldstar Manufacturing, they'd go up to Herb Jones, there was a printery where the, what's you call it, the Salvation Army is now, the store, and all of these places just disappeared and they continue to disappear, and the fact is we have lost 50,000 factories since 2002 and it goes without saying that without money in the people's lands and without decent jobs then it's all going to just keep falling apart. So, please, once again, contact all of your county, state, federal and tell them BS, we want to see an end to this. We just want to keep what we have left and try and re build from there. Thank you and have a good night. And don't let them tell you otherwise about the jobs they create because

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

all they do is quote the jobs that will be created, not the jobs that are lost, and the sum total will be 90 to 1, 90 to 10, you know? Thank you and have a good night.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Marie Schumacher, citizen and Council. taxpayer. I was hoping the lack of seeing the audit status in the Third Order meant we had one in hand, but I guess that's still not true, and as to what Mr. Rogan read tonight I disagree with that. You give somebody a contract to perform something on the basis that the at this is going to comply with the schedule that they set up I don't think they should be penalized, what good does money do us? It's the information that's in that audit report that we need, not another couple of dimes in the tiller, we really need to know that information, and the ones that should be penalized are the people who are not responding in the timely manner to the schedule that's been set up. And I think that before that's publicized I think that's what you need to do. I don't

see how you can even attempt to punish somebody for something they have no control over. Maybe the business -- they all really report to the business administrator though maybe that's where the action needs to be taken, I don't know, but I don't like what I heard tonight.

Now, part of the Home Rule Charter says that, "The Business Administrator shall have the power to authorize the transfer of any unexpended balance of any appropriation item or any portion thereof within a department, but if it is between departments then the Business Administrator shall make such transfer with the approval of council."

That is Chapter 6 of the

Administrative Code. Now, the Controller's report for the month ending October 2014 noted that items discovered had been reportable conditions, expense accounts were overdrawn, and there were excessive transfers between accounts. When are these transfers going to come before council or are we to believe that either we can ignore or continue ignoring the Home Rule Charter

or that none of these were between departments? I personally find that hard to believe that they were not between departments and if you are doing your job under the Home Rule Charter those should still come here even though it's 2014. I'm really anxious to see that 2014 audit, that is going to be a best seller with all that went on last year.

MS. HODOWANITZ: You won't live that long.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And moving onto the revised recovery plan, how many years will that cover or does it cover, you all have had copies, right, how many years does it cover?

MR. MCGOFF: We -- sorry?

 $\label{eq:MS.SCHUMACHER:} \textbf{MS. SCHUMACHER:} \quad \textbf{That's okay, I} \\ \textbf{understand.}$

MR. MCGOFF: All we have was a draft proposal, but the revised recovery plan should go -- there will be a review in August of '16 and a proposal made by PEL as to what should occur after that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I understand their

obligation, but I thought maybe they would have a three or five-year window, something I have advocated multi-year budgeting because one year at a time isn't getting us

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans, am I wrong

MR. EVANS: No, you are correct, but I also know what you are saying is that what is -- what's the time period that it's actually covered and I think it is going out to 2018, if I'm not mistaken as far as the plan document is concerned, but what President McGoff is true that August 2016 is when they'll review where we are at that point and determine if we are going to move forward until extending this or not.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I know, that's why I objected to the MOU's

MR. EVANS: Right, it went beyond

Yeah. And then moving on to the devil being in the details, we now find out that the expanded parking

enforcement will be performed not on code violations but on a case-by-case basis.

From the Times-Tribune article on Monday it appears that people who have been repeatedly not paying attention to the ordinances will be grandfathered in and enforcement will be dependent on upon the inconvenience to the city vehicles. What a joke. What about the residents? It either should be per code or throw it o ut the window because doing it on a case-by-case basis just doesn't have a very good smell. I'll see you next week good Lord willing. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Is there anyone else who cares to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Councilman Wechsler, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan. In regards to the parking ordinance, parking is one of the biggest complaints that we get when we go out to neighborhood meetings. Every meeting has some complaints in it, and in terms of this ordinance it's in this

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

infancy stage to begin with. In terms of the rules, you know, the state code is the rules for parking. When you take your deliver's license test you learn where you should park and where you shouldn't park and I don't see the city going out and marking distances from curbs and fire hydrants.

But, like I said, this parking issue is a man or concern of everyone in the neighborhoods and it has to be to start to be enforced.

The only other comment I have tonight is that I'm disappointed that the Comcast office is closing in the Steamtown Mall. I don't know, I know I go down there and it's probably the busiest place in the mall and to say there is not a need for it there is obviously I think incorrect. I think that the city with the most customers should have a local office, so I'm going to look into it, and ask my colleagues and the administration, to contact Comcast just to keep the office open, and I think any future contract for cable there should be a requirement that they do have to maintain a

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

local office. We have a lot of people who can't get out to Dickson City if that's where the new cable office is going to be so I would like to see that that city office be kept open. And that's all I have tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a few comments for tonight regarding the pension issue. On February 1 the following letter was sent to City Controller Rosanne Novembrino. "At the meeting of Scranton City Council held February 12, 2015, Councilman Pat Rogan requested a letter be sent to your attention regarding the double pensions given to employees who retired December 31, 2002, and who did not meet the criteria to collect the pension. Councilman Rogan is requesting a report on how this occurred and what, if any, corrective measures are in place to ensure this does not happen again."

Mrs. Novembrino did reply to this
letter as follows: "Dear Mr. Rogan, this is
in response to your letter of February 13,
2015, regarding the double pensions. This
matter is under review and all questions

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should be addressed to Attorney Larry Durkin."

Regarding the pension issue, I am hopeful that the Pension Board through their own investigation will provide all of the answers that myself, I know my colleagues and the public are looking for. These are some of the concerns that I have: First and foremost, is who authorized the doubling of these pension payments for some pensioners? It didn't happen out of the blue that these checks just doubled out of nowhere. Somebody somewhere, whether it be through the city or through the company actually writing the checks, doubled those payments. I think that is he first and foremost question we need to find out was who did it.

The second question is why did they authorize the doubling of these pensions?

Did they believe that there was law in place to that these pensions should have been doubled? Was it a clerical error or was it something else? I think that's something we need it look into, an answer that we need from the Pension Board as well.

Next, I would like to know if the recipients of the double pensions if they were notified that their pensions were increasing or not, and I think this issue or at least for me it makes a big difference on whether I believe the money should be paid back to the pension fund or not. If somebody receiving a pension received an official notification from the city that they're entitlement has changed and that pension doubled I don't believe we could in good conscience ask those people to pay the city back because they were under the assumption that's what they were entitled to from the city.

On the other hand, if the pensions doubled out of nowhere and no documentation or notification was sent from the city, I do believe that those funds should be paid back. As somebody who is a salaried employee, if one month my check doubled out of the blue it's my responsibility to ask a question why my salary doubled. It's not something that should be filed away and say, "Well, I hope it's not caught."

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So that for me is the deciding factor on whether the funds should be paid back to the pension fund or whether it truly was an error of the city and in this case you cannot blame somebody who believed they were entitled to that benefit to pay it back.

I would specifically like to know the role of the city controller and former Mayor Doherty in regard to doubling the pensions and why it took so long to catch this error, and I think one of the speakers made a pretty good point tonight, when Councilman Loscombe was appointed to city council it took a matter of weeks for it to come out that he wasn't -- that he was no longer entitled to his pension. The process played out in a timely and efficient manner. In this scenario, however, many, many years went by before this came out and I normally am not one to thank the media, but I do think that the Scranton Times did do a great job investing these issues and bringing these issues to light.

Finally, I would like to know what

actions the city controller the Pension

Board and the current administration will be taking to make sure that there are safeguards in place that this never happens again. Our city pensions are in bad enough shape on their own without individuals receiving double the compensation that they deserve.

So these are the issues that I think need to be addressed by the Pension Board. If they are not, I do believe council should move forward with investing this on our own, but I'm hopeful that the Pension Board will do a thorough investigation and bring all of these questions that I have and I know my colleagues have and the public has to light. And that is all tonight.

Councilman Evans, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: Yes, thank you. On the news of the latest pension fiasco, I concur with Council President McGoff let's see if the Pension Board can get out in front of this. It is my understanding they have been holding hearings relating to these issues

and we need to give the Pension Board an opportunity to do their job, to get to the bottom of this, and report their findings.

The public and our taxpayers deserve to know that those entrusted with those types of decisions did not misplace that trust.

On a totally different subject, I know some members of council may have the answer to this, this is a problem that's been ongoing for decades without clear understanding of whose responsibility it is, but can anyone tell me who is ultimately responsible for removing the snow from the sidewalks of the bridges all over Scranton? Have we have ever figured this situation out?

I know this comes up almost every year and it's constant back and forth between the city and PennDOT, and it's almost sophomoric how it is handled and I don't know to this day who is responsible for the sidewalks especially, you know, it's hazardous for pedestrians who go to the downtown from the West Side and South Side. You know, these are our citizens trying to

traverse over snow and ice every single day, forced to walk onto a highway, on busy roads or expressway because the sidewalks of these bridges are not cleared, it's simply not right. Many of these citizens are elderly, a lot of them are parents with children and, quite frankly, it's disgraceful. Somehow, I don't know when, we determined that pedestrians are just not that important anymore.

The one personal observation is the sidewalks from leading from Pittston Avenue to South Side to downtown on Spruce Street, it's almost ironic that there is a portion of the sidewalk about 50 feet wide that fronts the University of Scranton soccer field. It's always shoveled and always clear of snow and ice while the rest of the sidewalks on the bridge go unshoveled, untreated and uncared for. So I thank you the University for that part of it, but, however, it really would be great I think if the University would become an even better neighbor and do something many of our citizens would appreciate. So maybe they

could step up, use their resources and clear and maintain all of the sidewalks on this expressway, not just the 50 feet of their sidewalk. I know the hundreds of Scrantonians that walk back and forth along that route every single day would appreciate a kind gesture just like that. That's all I have for now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Councilman Evans. Councilman Gaughan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. I have been inundated with complaints about Lewis Avenue in North Scranton and the condition it's in with potholes and everything else, it is on the paving list for this spring, and council did receive a few weeks ago a list of the streets that will be paved by the city with a loan from the city that we will pay back with our liquid fuels allocation. So, like I said, I have been inundated with not complaints about that street, but many other streets across the city and help is on the way hopefully this spring.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was encouraged to see that a new business will be moving downtown at 322 Penn Avenue, Boston Seafood Direct is opening a new store and restaurant next to Tequila's Mexican Bar and Grill. The restaurant and bar portion is expected to be open this April, and I thank them for their investment in the downtown and I wish them the best of luck with their business.

I am extremely concerned and disturbed regarding the recent discovery that city employees may have improperly received pension benefits. It seems so far as if everyone involved doesn't either remember anything or is saying that it wasn't their fault. Pleading ignorance is unacceptable. We must hold people accountable for their actions. I agree with my colleagues that a public hearing is definitely warranted and I believe that there are two serious questions that must be addressed: First, what recourse does the city have to cease payments and or recover improper payments from the recipients? question will be raised next Wednesday when

the Pension Board votes to appoint a hearing examiner who will determine if the excess payments should shop and/or if the retiree will be required to pay back any money. The Board will then decide how to proceed based on the findings of the examiner.

Second, what are the proper protocols and procedures for vetting and approving pension payments, including payments tied to retirement incentives? Who was responsible for making these decisions? Were there failures to follow procedure? And how do we ensure that this kind of the costly oversight is not repeated in the future?

And I believe that these questions would be best addressed at a public hearing. Getting some clarity on the role of the Pension Board will help us understand this particular case and may yield some additional insight into other recent pension related issues, specifically, the approval of disability claims and how best to manage the retirement incentives offered in the revised police and fire collective

bargaining agreements. It's clear that there was a major failure to properly vet these pension deals. The failure has cost us financially and called into question the integrity of this whole process and I believe that we must make sure that this never happens again. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Councilman McGoff, any motions or comments?

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -

MR. MCGOFF: No.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 51, 1977 AN ORDINANCE, AMENDING FILE OF
THE COUNCIL NO. 44, 1926, ENTITLED "AN
ORDINANCE REGULATING THE CONSTRUCTION AND
MAINTENANCE OF ADVERTISING SIGNS AND SIMILAR
STRUCTURES: PROVIDING FOR THE INSPECTION
THEREOF, PRESCRIBING LICENSE FEES IN
REFERENCE THERETO AND PROVIDING PENALTIES
FOR VIOLATIONS," AMENDED BY FILE OF THE
COUNCIL NO. 5, 1963 TO PROVIDE FOR THE
INCREASE IN FEES, AS AMENDED BY FILE OF THE
COUNCIL NO. 83, 1976 BY DEFINING THE SIGNED
AREA AS THE BASIS FOR CHARGE BY UPDATING AND

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INCREASING THE ANNUAL SIGN FEES.

MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. WECHSLER: So moved.

MR. ROGAN: Is there a second?

MR. GAUGHAN: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

MR. EVANS: On the question, while this appears to be a long overdue increase in sign fees, it is the constant pattern of 50 increases followed by 100 percent increases followed by in this case a 300 percent increase of fees that I find troublesome. Why we are continually sending the same mixed message to the business community, yes, we will be -- what could be a lip service when we talk about wanting business to come to Scranton, we want to encourage existing businesses to flourish and grow, yet our actions continue to send a message that we are not user or business friendly, that we are not encouraging the business to grow, that we are only interested in taxing and charging higher and

_`

higher fees which in turn stunts the opportunity and growth of those same small businesses.

Small businesses are the primary vehicle for job growth throughout the country. We need to recognize that and act accordingly. I'm not sure what the answer is at this point, but constantly increasing fees on the same people is not. I will vote yes tonight to move this along, but we need to begin to have real dialogue with the business community. We used to be known as the friendly city. Maybe it's time to find out how we can be the business friendly city and, therefore, the jobs friendly city. That's all I have for now.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Opposed? The ayes have

1 it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -2 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 3 4 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION 5 6 INSPECTION SERVICES CONTRACT WITH REILLY 7 ASSOCIATES TO PROVIDE DESIGN AND 8 CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES FOR THE 9 CONSTRUCTION WORK FOR THE PROJECT ENTITLED: "RE-CONSTRUCTION OF CITY STREETS TO INCLUDE 10 11 HANDICAPPED CURB CUTS." 12 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 13 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 14 introduced into its proper committee. MR. WECHSLER: So moved. 15 MR. EVANS: 16 Second. 17 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 18 those in favor of introduction signify by 19 saying aye. 20 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 21 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 22 MR. EVANS: Aye. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 24 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Opposed? The ayes have

1 it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 2 NO. BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 3 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR 4 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC 5 WORKS - FOR ADOPTION FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6 82, 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE REMOVAL OF THE 7 8 EXISTING "NO PARKING" SIGNS LOCATED IN THE 9 500 AND 600 BLOCKS OF ADAMS AVENUE (S.R.3023) AND REPLACING THOSE SIGNS WITH 10 NEW R7-302 NO PARKING SYMBOL/ARROW SIGNS AT 11 ADEQUATE INTERVALS ALONG THE WESTERLY SIDE 12 AND EASTERLY SIDE OF THE 500 AND 600 BLOCKS 13 14 OF ADAMS AVENUE (S.R.3023) FROM THE INTERSECTION AT VINE STREET TO THE 15 INTERSECTIONS WITH NORTH WASHINGTON AVENUE. 16 17 MR. ROGAN: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Public Works? 19 20 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 22 passage of Item 7-A. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 24 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Ro11 25 call, please?

		50
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.	
2	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.	
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
4	MR. ROGAN: Yes.	
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.	
6	MR. EVANS: Yes.	
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.	
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.	
10	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.	
11	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item	
12	7-A legally and lawfully adopted.	
13	If there is no further business,	
14	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.	
15	MR. WECHSLER: Motion to adjourn.	
16	MR. ROGAN: This meeting is	
17	adjourned.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. `

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER