		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, January 15, 2014	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	on total content	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	BREAKDOWN OF ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE
17	LIQUID FUELS ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF
18	OCTOBER, NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER 2014.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
22	REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF SCRANTON
23	HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD DECEMBER 1, 2014.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. AUDIT STATUS REPORT FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY RECEIVED JANUARY 9, 2015.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Any clerk's

notes?

MS. REED: No, Mr. McGoff. Anything from members of council? Any announcements? I did have something here. First of all, Monday, is the official holiday Martin Luther king day. City hall will be closed Monday, January 19, in observance of Martin Luther King day and also DPW is a vacation day and there will be no garbage pick up on that day.

On the agenda this evening, just for information's sake 5-B and C deals with the liquid fuels allocation, these two ordinances are state mandated and basically they are a county function for auditing purposes that is now being mandated by the state. 5-I deals with the garbage fee and the garbage fee will be, again, collected half and half and the collection dates or the dates for it will be the same as 2014.

They were changed in 2014 and they will now 1 remain those dates from last year. 5-L is a 2 3 tax abatement. It is something that is for the West Scranton Civic Center or Community 4 5 Center, the tax abatement has already been approved by the school district and by the 6 7 county and we will be voting on it this 8 evening. And, finally, 5-K is the 9 memorandum of understanding for the police 10 contract that is on the agenda this evening. 11 And that's all. 12 MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION. 13 14 MR. MCGOFF: Les Spindler. MR. SPINDLER: Thank you, council. 15 16 Les Spindler, city owner and taxpayer. You 17 took me by surprise, Mr. McGoff, you didn't 18 ask other council if they have any comments? 19 MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry? 20 MR. SPINDLER: Usually you ask other 21 council if they had comments first. 22 MR. MCGOFF: We did and nobody had 23 any. 24 MR. SPINDLER: Okay. Thank you. 25 Anyway, I'm going to open up talking about

25

the negotiations with the policemen's union, I think it's a no brainer, I think it should be passed tonight and next week. It should be introduced tonight, passed next week. It's going to save the city money. I sat in on the caucus, they can't say how much it's going to save, but as Attorney Abrahamsen said it's probably going to save more than what's been publicized already and it's a great job by the mayor, and for the people that said the mayor is in the union's pockets, he is going to give them whatever they want, I think this proves those people don't know what they are talking about, if this was done 13 years ago by the previous mayor we wouldn't be in this situation right But the mayor sat down and talked with the unions and I think it was a great move by the police union even to negotiate, they didn't have to. They could have ran out the contract in 2017 and gone to arbitration, which Attorney Abrahamsen said would just cost the city more money. Coming here 13 years I know from experience. I don't know if we ever won an arbitration, so I think

this should be introduced tonight and passed next week. We don't have much other choice. If it doesn't, it's going to cost the city more money.

And again, I applaud the police unions for doing this. They didn't have to open up that contract and I think it's a great move and it shows that they care about this city, because the people that bash the unions let them move out of the city and see if they can go somewhere else and live and get better police officers. We have the best in the area and it shows they care about this city by renegotiating that contract, they didn't have to do it. And, you know, just -- and I still think even though it's going to save money we still have a doubters up on the council and I don't why.

Moving on just one more thing, I read in the paper last month about Dunmore's budget, how they cut taxes and they did away with their garbage fee, I think council should get in touch with people from Dunmore and see how they did that, maybe we can make

cuts -- make cuts in our city because I think our garbage fee is ridiculous. There is no way it should be \$300. There is \$150 and they did away with it. How were they able to do it? So I think we should get in touch with them and see how they are running their town. And that's all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton. On Monday morning I noticed that
the 2015 operating budget was finally on the
city's website. I thank the IT Department
for finally doing that, however, we still do
not have the 2013 audit. Mr. Rossi's
updates of January 8 there are still 14
items outstanding including the financial
statements from the Scranton Parking
Authority who got a \$4 million loan.

It's a toss up which will appear first, the 2013 audit or Mr. Bulzoni's residency waiver. I checked with the controller's office today, still no sign of it.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would like to know what is the amount of the interest we have to pay for missing the December 31 MMO? Does anybody know? Do we have that figure yet?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry, I do not.

MS. HODOWANITZ: If anybody gets a chance can you check on that for next week? That's an important figure.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes, I will.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Thank you. And the union contract with the police. I sat in the caucus and listened to the information provided by Captain Graziano and Attorney Abrahamsen and I understand that the attorney and the police captain are going to represent the interest of the police and the police unions as best they can and there is no attempt to lie or subterfuge or anything else, however, it's important that we have an independent advocate for the taxpayer who would have access to the same information and give their own independent assessment as would let's say the State Auditor General's Office, of course we can't have the state come down and do that, but we have an

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

internal auditor in the building,

controller's office. I would like to note what an independent advocate would say about the costs and the savings and what the net benefit is to the City of Scranton. what was discussed was management changes and operational changes and if those savings come through that's wonderful, and it's true the police do a wonderful job, I have no complaint about them, I'm not opposed to the idea of the union, but the 800 pound gorilla in the room isn't manning, it isn't these operational needs that we have, as important as they are, the 800 pound gorilla is the severely distressed police pension fund and nothing these contract negotiations comes close to fixing that, okay? So if we aren't going to have an independent advocate look at those numbers then it falls to you five people as the city council to stick your courage to the sticking point and to take a hard look at these numbers. I think you need to table 5-K until you had a chance to study the numbers and have them in front of you in writing and do something some

independent assessment. Maybe you should be contacting some labor lawyers out of the area to see what they say and have them take a look at the information. You are the only protection that the taxpayers has.

If, as the state auditor general has told us last summer, the pension funds are so distressed that between two and a half to five years out down the line we are probably going to be looking at bankruptcy you are not going to get to 2021, so opening the contract and extending it for another four years may end up being a moot point if we could end up in bankruptcy anyway.

So, yes, it's wonderful if we can have operational savings and management changes and better service to the people of Scranton, but you've got to fix the pensions. The city has got to do that so it's fine for you to put a Band-Aid on an artery that's bleeding, but you are still going to bleed out, okay? You have to do something about -- and don't be intimidated by illusions to the fact well, we've always lost an arbitration and if you don't want

this we can always go back to arbitration and the grievances and attorney's fees and everything else are subtle hints that this is a deal you shouldn't look a gift horse in the mouth. You have got to take the hard stand and find a spine and take a hard look at this. Maybe this is a good deal for the city, but you still got to address the pension crisis. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you, Council, for those of you that are still listening to me or talking to me after last week you weren't here so --

MR. EVANS: I got another chance.

MR. ELLMAN: I don't know how to say this, but this is completely off what we usually talk about, but I need to get ahold of someone and I don't now how to do it. A little girl's father phoned me just before Christmas, and I don't know if you remember when I mentioned something about when Jimmy Klee died out of all the people I knew over the years he meant so much to me and he said, "Did you really know Elvis," and he

said, "can you talk to my little girl for a couple of minutes."

And I said, "Sure."

So she is asking me some questions and she said, "Do you have any pictures?"

And I said, "Not anymore, no."

And she said, "Do you anything that you could give me?"

And I hesitated because I do have some things that just mean something to me.

And I said, "Yes, I could get you something."

And she said, "The nurse is here, I got to go," and hung up and I kept thinking her father would phone back and he has never phoned but he watches this program occasionally even and if he would phone me back I have a bottle of colon that Elvis used called "For Men Only", nobody knows this, it comes from a store called Bo Browno where they used to buy those scarves and all of these weird clothes and I wish this gentleman would phone me back so I could send this and I appreciate your time, but this means something to me really, you know?

And I got one other question and I'll get out of your hair, why is Mr.

Amoroso still here? Are we paying him something with our hard earned tax money? I mean, what s he doing here? His year is over. You know, I still read in the paper the Amoroso plan and this and that and what is it with him?

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Amoroso is no longer being paid by anyone and he was never paid by tax dollars, he was paid by donations to the Chamber of Commerce.

MR. ELLMAN: To me he was nothing more than a snake oil salesman with a bunch of worthless, useless literature. I bet you guys mouth watered when you read the KRIZ might be available sooner or later to you, just think of all of that money that you could borrow down and pay 30 years later. You know that if the taxes don't come in to pay it who would be responsible? The paper didn't say to print all of the facts.

I appreciate you letting me try to get ahold of this father of the little girl and I know, I just don't know any other way

to do it, to send that. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Before the next speaker just a response to Ms. Hodowanitz, the MMO penalties for this year was a little over \$300,000. And to answer a question somebody had last week, the accounts payable held over was 1.78 million. Thank you. That was all.

Our next speaker, Karen Foster.

MS. FOSTER: Good evening, Council.

Karen Foster, president of the West Scranton
Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch. I am here for
two general business items for West
Scranton. First of all, starting in January
of this year we are changing our meeting
nights to the last Wednesday of the month so
that would put our first meeting for 2015 at
January 28, 7:00 p.m. We also have changed
the venue to west precinct. We have
recently done a minor remodel in the
addition portion of the building so that we
can have small group meetings there, and the
entrance for that is on Sumner Avenue.

Another item is that we are a

continuing our shoe drive as a fundraiser and as a way to help our residents in West Side. Any shoes that are collected will first help our community, anything left will then go to a company that will either recycle or send them to a third world country. We will get a portion of the funds based on the piece rate of these shoes and will continue this until the end of February. If anyone is interested in helping us out, they can e-mail me at President@HydeParkWatch.org.

And finally, I am just here to appreciate your continued support for our abatement for the 1621 Washburn Street.

It's been nearly two years now since this project was in its conception and we are in the home stretch so thank you so much.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

You know, I'm just going to go by the article in the Scranton Times, I just finally today got a copy of this agreement and I agree with what Joan said today,

21

22

23

24

25

nothing -- it's not a personal shot at the council members, but to be honest with you I just don't think you have the understanding necessary to decipher this contract, and I'm not saying you are not intelligent enough to, you are just probably not qualified. Even though you are been elected, councils before have made a lot of mistake. We need an independent person who really knows how to read this document, can project it out in front of itself and figure out where we are going. You know, it's just we have had city councils make so many mistakes in the past that it's taken our city to where it is now. And just saying that a deal is great and then five years down the road say, well, I didn't know it was like that, what do you say to the residents that are fleeing the city?

I'm not against the police, I'm not against anybody, but I think before you make an agreement with somebody you should know exactly what it is, and if you don't know then I would just think with the powers that you have with the ink pen to sign and agree

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to something that you don't understand and the harm you can do to this city is just terrible. I don't know, maybe you disagree, but in five years what will happen if you were wrong? Look where the city sits now? Can we afford to make more mistakes?

I'm not interested in what the city's attorney stays and I'm not interested in what the police union says, I'm interested in what an independent person says that has nothing in this at all that's going to project these numbers out an impartial way and talk about fact and reality. You know, the truth should be that making all of these new agreements that we are going to make that with the labor in the city isn't going to take us anywhere. city is carrying such a massive debt load that they could go without pay for probably 20 years and it wouldn't do anything to the debt we possess. We have to thank our elected officials, look what Mr. Blake is planning. He is planning to try and save them mall with taxpayers' money. That's the problem here. We are saving all of the

wrong people. We are not saving the residents, we are not shielding them from impossible tax burdens, we forgot all about them.

It's like, you know, I hate to go back to this, but, you know, the way you dress is not any indication of anything intelligent. It's just atrocious where we are at here. You are going to sit and listen to a spiel that was given to you and do you really understand this agreement or do you think you should table it, tabling it won't hurt anything and really find out what the facts are. The Scranton Times brought up some and just listening to some of the things they said was a reason to pause.

Now, on another think I'd like to say, you know, Mr. Evans, you weren't here last week, but I don't see transferring properties to the Hill Neighborhood Association being a solution to any problem in the city at this time possesses because if the Hill Neighborhood Association dissolves, who going to care for those lots? I don't find that to be a solution. I

really find the solution to this city's problem to be totally much different. I think the city needs to reorganize. Some of these lots are talking about not being rebuildable they are building micro homes across this nation that are much smaller that might be able to put on those lots if it came through council, the zoning board, the city decided to move forward in a way like that. All of these vacant lots are only a nightmare.

Detroit tore most of itself down before it filed and went through bankruptcy in a year and a half, and the problem we have in this city is we have elected a lot of people that said a lot of silly things. You know, this council the first thing it should have did was solve our financial problems. We're going to come up with a labor agreement and we can't even pay our bills because we are talking about issues that we shouldn't even be discussing, we should be trying to figure out how we are going to salvage th is city and how we are going to make it possible for people to live

here and how people -- you are a realtor, how long is the average time of a home on the market in the City of Scranton? It's my understanding that a house in South Side just recently sold for \$15,000 that was in move-in condition.

And we listen to people talk with, well, look at, we need to go back and do something about, you know, taxes and we needed a reassessment. No, we need a government that functions for the people that understand that this city is dead. The mall says it all, look at the vacancy rate in downtown Scranton. This agreement isn't going to save the city, and all of the other ones behind it aren't going to do it either, and to be honest with you I just with all due respect don't think you understand this agreement. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia,
Citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I
see you are lowering the mercantile and
business privilege to 1 percent from what

1 was it 2 percent down to 1 percent? mercantile and business privilege tax. It's 2 3 in there. I think it was 2 percent before, now it's 1 percent, I'm asking you who is 4 5 going to makeup the difference between the 1 and 2 percent? Let me go on, when you are 6 7 read it maybe you will read all of the 8 legislation. 9 MR. MCGOFF: I believe it's always 10 been 1 percent. 1 percent for the city and 1 percent for the school district. 11 12 MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes, that was 2 13 percent; right? Now you are reducing it 14 I think it was 2 point some percent at one time, mercantile and business 15 16 privilege. 17 MR. EVANS: No. 18 MR. SBARAGLIA: It doesn't matter 19 anyway. 20 MR. ROGAN: The city rate is 21 unchanged from last year. 22 MR. SBARAGLIA: From last year, the 23 1 percent? I could swear it was 2 percent, 24 but that's okay. I can look in some of the 25 old stuff I got.

24

25

Okay, let's go onto the police union, that seems to be the quirks in the matter or actually the iron in the fire, but you realize that when somebody puts money into the bank it's for their purpose. Increase in the payment that they put into their fund is for themselves. It's like putting money into the bank. To us, it doesn't matter. To us, other than the fact is we still got to make that minimum payment so that's out. That shouldn't even be considered as a great concession, but the manning clause for some reason or other I thought that was instituted by the state when they had their thing. Wasn't the manning clause somewhere in a mandate?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Was that from the Supreme Court mandate?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe so.

MR. SBARAGLIA: How could you change it without going back to the Supreme Court?

MR. MCGOFF: It was up to the union to do that. That was something they agreed to.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SBARAGLIA: Regardless, if you got a Court mandate you should get Court approval that would make more common sense, but that's okay, let's go on further. believe that anybody can make agreements, we had with it the hotel, the Hilton. We saw them agreements that were made, too. it's in a Court order like you pointed out many, many times, the manning clause, the manning clause, the manning clause, then I assume if you want to change the manning clause you would have to go back and have approval from the people who issued the order saying that it had to be such, but I guess you got to -- forget it, the law doesn't really mean to the people. people in power can do what they want until they finally get called upon by either the courts or somewhere along the line, but that's a case, I don't see the point with the manning clause either because if it's Court mandated it's subject to arbitration later on, too.

I don't know, what does it cost for each patrolman's health insurance, does

anybody know that cost being you are planning to give -- I pay 5,000 a month -- I mean 500 a month for my insurance, you tell me what it costs for policemen's that's on retirement in insurance or medical insurance being you are planning to give or out?

No, you can't answer them questions, can you, but I pay 500 so they may be paying more, the city is probably paying more per man because they got a better plan than I got. You cannot give away free medical anymore. That's beyond our ability. You have them give payment and help them pay some of their costs for medical, but you can't give away free medical. That's out.

And all of these little things, I can probably go through 100 things with this contract. I believe in union, I retired on my pension. I believe that a pension is sacred. Them people who worked for their pension should get their pension, but then you got to look at the people who gave away a lot of the pension for disability. That's a board. That was made by individuals of themselves, the policemen, firemen and

whoever had the board and sat on boards, I'm thinking the mayor representative and I think our controller. Them are the ones that put the fun in jeopardy. There is no question about that. You can't give away a lot of things they gave away saying you're disability and you are going to get your money for life like they did. The pension fund was never set up that way to get -- won't get into the actuary accounts, but find out the costs before you even think about giving people who aren't working for the city more free medical.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. Just on the agenda, on the introduction and the ordinance for a \$300 garbage fee, I think once and for all that's got to be thrown out. That's the taxation without representation, you go ahead and pay this and then you allow the landfill to raise it up by 80 cents a ton yet nobody here has taken the time to contact the environmental lab that I gave you the results of laboratory issues

21

22

23

24

25

regarding the contamination going into the landfill because everybody just buried their head in the landfill here and they're not wanting to find out what we are really dealing with it. If you are going to object to it, object to it from a point of strength and knowledge and if you don't do that you are not serving us as you should be. This should be thrown out more than you should raise -- if this happens you should raise the fee and create a fee against the University and everybody else in here that's a nonprofit and bring in the tax base that we need and reduce the tax debt that we have and real estate issues.

As far as in "K", my issue with this is this agreement is an agreement with swiss cheese, literally more holes in it.

Abrahamsen made a statement, "Oh, we can't show our cards, people will know."

Can anybody think we are that stupid and naive that one department isn't going to talk to the other department? This is Scranton. This is more leaks in this community than a firehose that is shot with

24

25

a shotgun, okay? So don't sit in the back room and play games with us anymore, we have had enough. True everybody is entitled to a pension, true everybody is entitled to a fair wage, but we are also entitled to a fair admission of knowledge that should be right here publically, not in the back room caucus and Ned Abrahamsen afraid to show, let's play poker, five card stud, lay the cards out front. Let's play the game and play it right and once and for all get rid of this stigma that we have had in this city for years and years and back door deals. This is about the people in the They are getting screwed every single city. day here. Higher taxes, higher rates, what are you doing, sitting back? It's going to end and end now so if you vote for this legislation you are not serving the people you are serving those for no reason at all and you are serving an attorney. I'd like to know how much we have really paid him to this point.

The other part I have is the county now is looking at the mall and moving county

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

offices in there. If you think we need to absorb more debt on taxpayers in this city to put the county offices in the mall?

Anybody have an answer to that or are we just going to bury the people in more debt?

MR. ROGAN: I spoke about that a few months ago when it was first proposed, I think the county offices in the mall would be a disaster. I agree.

MR. BOLUS: Well, they brought that up the other the day and everybody is looking at the mall. I gave you the opportunity to pen a letter to the legislators and put a casino here. This is about saving Scranton. It's nothing personal or anybody else, because the investment we are making isn't costing the city one bloody dime. They could only win, they could only come out on top, and it's time to put politics, cronyism and all of the other nepotism and stuff to the side and look at Scranton's future. You can get in your car and drive to Mt. Airy, you can get in your car and drive to Wilkes-Barre, why wouldn't you want to patronize something in

1	your own backyard that's paying taxes to
2	save this city?
3	I have something else, if I may,
4	Mr. McGoff?
5	MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Bolus, have you
6	applied for a license?
7	MR. BOLUS: No. I haven't bothered
8	to do it.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Why not?
10	MR. BOLUS: I'll explain that to you
11	in a minute, if I may.
12	MR. MCGOFF: You have had every
13	opportunity.
14	MR. BOLUS: No, without the support
15	of the legislators and council I'm not a
16	fool either to go do something and waste my
17	time and energy. If council will pen a
18	letter I'll be more than happy to make
19	applications with the people that I'm
20	involved with to do what we need done.
21	MR. MCGOFF: You don't need city
22	council to do that.
23	MR. BOLUS: Absolutely I do.
24	MR. MCGOFF: No, you don't.
25	MR. BOLUS: Well, that's your

3

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

opinion, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Yes. its.

MR. BOLUS: I ask the other council members, you represent the city, it's not one man's opinion. All I ask is you pen a letter to the legislators to support our proposal, and that's all you need to do and sit back and see what happens, and if that's the monumental or Herculean task as you call it you are not representing the city, you are representing self-interests, and I'm sorry I don't want to insult people, I believe in Scranton, and I believe in the city.

What you have before you, I went to the zoning board last night, I was told to come here, this was a letter e-mailed on the internet by the President of the Zoning Board, Carrie Lynn Newcomb. I have highlighted the areas on there where she made comments and says anybody associated with the individuals she was talking about, when you also have lost elections. Bolus is the biggest joke. He is hated more He is a criminal and the whole than you.

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city knows it. He is the reason you lose everything you run for.

And there is an additional comments made by her. I am here tonight, this is a public official that's disgraced this city nationally. There is local things that were done by the San Francisco Chronicle and other papers all across the United States based on her comments. She is a public official that made a derogatory remarks. have no dealings with her in any way, shape Her seat on there has created or form. other issues for me regarding the zoning, regarding my home on East Mountain, where last night she had the audacity, the unmitigated gall after I stood before the board and swore where my residence was she had the nerve to sit there and say I don't live on Birch Street in Scranton when the United States government knows where I live, the postal authority knows where I live, my pilot's license that I have had since the 70's know where to send me my information and everyone else, including the zoning board that filed a claim for me to remove

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

containers that are on my property at the Birch Street address that came from the zoning board.

I'm here tonight without any question or doubts to ask for her immediate resignation and removal from the board by this council, and this is where I was told I had to come to do it. I'm putting it out on the table and if this council fails that I will file a mandamus action to have her removed. I will not be discredited by someone who sits in a position in this city of authority to discredit me or any other citizen in this city. They don't have that right and this council has the authority, she was appointed by council, and I'm asking this council to stand up and do what's right by us as citizens and remove her from the board. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council,
Doug Miller, Scranton. Just briefly in
regards to the agreement, you know, just
basing off what we have seen in the media
recently, you know, it's hard for me to

really get a comfortable gauge as to really where we are going here with this. It's hard to get a clear vision as to what the definitive concessions really are, but what's most disturbing to me are the alleged projections for revenue. I believe \$375,000 is the figure being thrown around through enforcing parking citations, ticketing illegal cars parked in alleys, on curbs and sidewalks. And, you know, I'm somewhat a little uncomfortable with that because it's hard for me to believe that if this was such a revolutionary enhancement why haven't we been doing it all this time if it's something that's so successful.

And, you know, I'm not interested in a dog and pony show by the administration coming forward and showing us more or less an insult by depriving us with the studies and the facts that were put together to determine that this revenue would be realized, and the refusal to present to the media so that they can do their due diligence and supply it to the public it's just a total insult, lack of transparency,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it's smoke and mirrors that we are accustomed to the way this city operates and I can't say it surprises me because it really doesn't, as I said, it's kind of the mindset and philosophy of how we operate this city and we where we are today.

And, you know, the other thing that really irritates me is the fact that that caucus yet again tonight on such a very vital issue was held in that back room and, you know, I'm not interested in the idea that anybody can go back there, that's nice, what about the people that are home? elderly that can't go back there and they are deprived the opportunity to view it right from that camera and see and hear what the previous council held every caucus and every public in hearing in this chamber because they knew one thing these issues were an important and the public needed to be made aware of what was going on. was nothing to hide and there shouldn't be anything to hide, but this council has had an ability to present any transparency and credibility, and that's sort of what's

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defined this council to date and do I expect that to change with the leadership we have here? No. I don't.

Just to respond to Mr. Bolus, you know, this is unfortunate that I even have to address something that, you know, that more or less in my opinion is someone who isn't really that significant, I tend to think that I carry myself on a much higher level, but I am going to respond to it. months has passed since the letter that you have before you was sent to me. That letter was initially sent to me through my Facebook by the Zoning Board Chairwoman at the time Ms. Carrie Newcomb, who felt the need to be slanderous and insulting to me as someone who is very vocal for the last 12 years coming forward and I more or less take it as a compliment because obviously I'm doing something right in coming here and fighting for people. It's not about me, it's about the people home, that's why I come here, but I'm going read what she sent to me.

"I just feel the need to tell you what a joke you are. A huge hole to the

24

25

point where you should be embarrassed to show your face. You are clueless, you have been clueless and you sound pathetic everything you speak." Now, I'm reading this word for word how she sent it to me. "If I happen to see my husband's name in a comment from the Scranton Times one more time, I will be sure to slam you with whatever action I feel is necessary. Everybody knows who you are and everybody thinks the same way I do, hence the reason you get the same amount of votes for every ridiculous election you feel the need to further embarrass yourself with. Stay out of the public eye. It is not the place for Anybody associated with you also lost Bob Bolus is the biggest joke. elections. He is a hated more than you. He is a criminal and the whole city knows it. He is the reason you lose everything you run for. If you mocking people makes you sleep better at night then that makes you a bully. People hate bullies. Hate is a strong word. I use it very seldom, but it is completely appropriate in this context. Get a life,

get a clue, and get out of mommy's house.

You are a hypocrite. You pay no taxes and
you care about nobody but you. Think long
and hard before you run your mouth again."

I'm a bully. I don't know, does this sound like someone who comes across as a bully? Someone who should hold themselves to a higher standard as a zoning board official? Someone who represents 70,000 people across this entire city? Someone who makes decisions that impact not only today but the future? And this is the mentality we have? This is a prime example of why the city is in the position it's in because we put people with the mentality like this in positions making vital decisions.

This third grade mentality has no business being part of city government, and as Bob did say, yes, this did make national news, believe it or not. The reporter from the Times-Tribune who wrote the story weeks later actually informed me that the Associated Press did pick up this issue and it's really sad and pathetic, to be honest with you, that the San Francisco Chronicle,

the New Jersey Herald, the Houston
Chronicle, pretty much every paper in the
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania picked it up,
New York, the New York Times, Florida, all
over the country, and I've got the articles
here to show. It's an absolute disgrace.

She should resign immediately, this council should send a letter to the zoning board demanding that she step down because the zoning board is appointed by each individual member of council who selects a zoning board person. There is no place for this in public government and public service I have been coming here for 12 years, okay, and if you want to discredit me about losing elections you could say whatever you want, but I have a record that I'm proud to stand for. I formed the Junior Council, we were successful in that. I put a handicap swing set --

MR. MCGOFF: May I ask you a question?

MR. MILLER: Please.

MR. MCGOFF: This was a Facebook message sent to you?

MR. MILLER: That's correct. 1 MR. MCGOFF: 2 In July of this year --3 last year? 4 MR. MILLER: 2014, yes. 5 MR. MCGOFF: And you made this public? 6 7 MR. MILLER: I did. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 9 MR. MILLER: Yes, because I believe 10 of the public should know that someone who holds themselves in a position that she did 11 12 at the time and still does the public should 13 know that. There is no business for her to 14 send me that e-mail. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 15 16 MR. MILLER: If she has an issue 17 with me she should come forward, and I 18 believe this council has an obligation to 19 demand her resignation --20 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 21 MR. MILLER: -- to throw insults. 22 This is very serious, and I'm insulted not 23 for myself, but the fact that she 24 embarrassed this city nationally. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Miller, thank you.

MR. MILLER: She is a stain on this city and she should resign.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: And I am going to keep doing what I'm doing because what I'm proud of what I have done and I know Mr. Bolus will continue to do the same thing, and I just hope this council will take this serious and do something about it. Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. I would like to state my opinion
as well that that caucus that was held
tonight should have been in this council
chambers so that more people could have had
the opportunity to hear what was said and to
form their own opinions and questions that
they might want to ask their
representatives.

My basic concern is for all of our debtors and creditors. I have been asking some questions and I am not getting answers. I went out and got them from people who I think are expert, and our constitution does state in the ex post facto laws under

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

impairment of contracts, Section 17, that no ex post facto law nor any law impairing the obligation of contracts or making irrevocable any grant of special privileges or immunity should be passed.

Now, I know in Chapter 9 bankruptcy, which I certainly belive is where we are headed, certain judges have overlooked that clause, but there are certainly no guarantees, and so if you will remember Mr. Amoroso's presentation and the biggest chunk is, of course, always our salaries, which you would expect of a service organization, but what we are doing here and I'm quite sure that if this contract is approved as it's stated now, what you are really doing is guaranteeing that when we go into receivership, which will be sooner rather than later, the biggest chunk of our budget will continue to be guaranteed by -that we taxpayers will have to pay 100 percent, and I'm sure that once this one contract is approved all of the other labor contracts will follow suit and you are obligating future councils, and again,

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

putting in jeopardy that we may have to pay those contracts off at 100 percent despite the fact that I believe all creditors and debtors should be treated equally.

Now, the recovery, I have asked when the alarm goes off and as far as the recovery. Now, the recovery plan was effective August 24, 2012. The Act 199, which was the revision of the Act 47 original legislation, goes off in August of 2016 for the beginning of the fiscal year of the plan and the coordinator has 180 days from August 2016 to make the recommendation to the state as to the next steps. it also the beginning of the 2017 budget The coordinator has to receive a cycle. budget from the city 120 days before December 15. Now, I'm surmising since none of you have been able to accept my challenge to show me how we are going to get from where we are now to a healthy position in what August of 2016 that it's probably going to be receivership and I don't know that we should be jeopardizing and tying up all of our labor with a guarantee of 100 percent.

What negotiation is left and what about the other creditors?

And then, I don't believe, also, that any -- before any vote -- I do believe this should be -- "K" should be tabled tonight and before any vote is taken I think you should provide to we, the taxpayers, the annual break out of savings both individually and with offsets and the net savings by year so that it could be tracked and not get any surprises.

And the some of the costs tonight -or some of the savings are not really
savings they are additional income because
we are going to giving out more parking
tickets, but as we all know not all parking
tickets are paid, so can any of you tell me
what percent of our parking tickets are
actually paid? And will these now -- it was
also stated tonight that it was vehicle
infractions that are going to be ticketed,
but as I recall under the quality of life
legislation you have also given the police
the ability to write these -- may I?

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MS. SCHUMACHER: -- to write these tickets so I'm wondering if they are also going to be -- these two people are also going to be the quality of life police.

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And then I think we need to know, as has been previously stated, the cost of the lifetime health care that will be paid for by taxpayers under what is now 5-K.

And then I have two quick questions on Seventh Order business. 7-F, is the funds, if the liquid fuels tax receipts are insufficient to do what is in that legislation how will it be determined which streets that are currently in that listing will be dropped? And on 7-B is this money coming from the capital budget or the operating budget or some grant?

MR. ROGAN: With regard to the first one, the past practice the DPW director has made those decisions regarding what streets get paved.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Without any input from council even though you are voting on

ı

the entire list now?

MR. ROGAN: Well, that's in the past that's how it was done. Obviously, I would hope that council would have input as well and I do know we all provided streets to the administration that we would like to see paved under that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And on 7-B, the funding for that is --

MR. MCGOFF: I believe that's general fund, but I'm not sure.

MS. SCHUMACHER: General funds.

Okay, maybe you could tell me that next week then. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. LIPTAI: Good evening, Council.

Jack Liptai, City of Scranton, city
employees. I'm not here to bash anybody, to
criticize anybody, I'm here to thank some
people. Shortly before Christmas I had
received a call from the Blind Association
regarding one of their clients who was
having some difficulties paying their
utility bills and they were living in a home

where the power had been turned off. I contacted several agencies unsuccessfully, finally, I did contact United Neighborhood Centers and with the help of Jill Moyle, Tammy, and their staff, we were able to get the power turned back on and everything squared away. I'd also like to thank a member of the Scranton Police Department, Steve Carroll, who was assisted me, and a member of my office LIPS, Shelly Roberts. And that's all I have to say.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Liptai.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you as well.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Councilman Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. Just a brief comments, I have some more comments as we go into the meeting, but last night I attended a reorganization of the Greenridge

Neighborhood Association and I always get in trouble when I say this, but it makes me

crazy when I hear people say that Scranton is dead. We have our financial problems and we are working on those. Last night there was over 45 people at this meeting all with ideas on how to help their neighborhood requesting help from the city in assisting them with that. No one made them go out, they came out on their own. The opinions of the room is they want to get involved, they want to help their own neighborhoods.

That part of the city I can tell you right now is not dead. They are working to solve their problems as best they can.

Myself and the rest of the council and the administration will help them where we can.

Part of our problem is always is finances, but sweat doesn't cost you anything. So I am encouraged by them and I promise to help them with everything that I can help them with. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Just very briefly, a couple of items, the others I'll comment as they come up, regarding the union concessions and the union contract, I know there are many people that have contacted us

22

23

24

25

with different concerns and questions, and I think a lot of them were addressed tonight at our caucus and more of them will be addressed when we receive additional information from Attorney Abrahamsen. I am comfortable with introducing this legislation tonight, and if we don't have all of the information before the final vote I would agree with tabling it at that point, but as of now I am comfortable with the information, and I will comment on it more when the vote comes up. I think I made my stance pretty clear on this issue last week that I do support the vast majority of what is in this plan, obviously, there are some items that we wish weren't in there, but as with any bargaining it's give a little, get a little, but I do think that the numbers show and they will show when me get additional information that this will represent a net savings over the current contract and even more so versus going to arbitration, which has been a disaster for the city in the past. But that's all for now, I'll save the rest of my comments for

the votes. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: I'm going to reserve

comment for when we move into our items.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. requested that PEL, our recovery coordinator, attend tonight's caucus to discuss and answer questions regarding the contract revisions between the police union and the city. Mr. McGoff had forwarded that request to PEL and PEL sent an official opinion on the matter that I would like to read now into the record.

The letter says: "Dear Bob, I am writing in response to your request for a Pennsylvania Economy League comment regarding the impact upon the proposed 2015 revised recovery plan of the proposed Fraternal Order of Police memorandum of understanding. As you are aware, PEL, as the City's Act 47 coordinator, will be presenting a revised recovery plan to the mayor and city council this month. In the context of a revised recovery plan process,

PEL will be able to adjust the required baseline projections of expenditures for 2015 through 2020 only to the extent that we can quantify the increases for reductions and expenditures under the MOU.

For example, the employee pension contribution, the increases in base wage from 20118 to 2020 can be calculated as can the amount of increase in employee pension contribution. Other items of the MOU rely on assumptions that cannot be quantified by PEL in the near future, especially considering the tight schedule to adopt an increased local services tax.

However, as the year progresses PEL will review estimates of costs or savings expected under the MOU that may be provided by the administration. In addition, under the new changes to Act 47, PEL is required to review the City's 2016 budget during August and September 2015. That review may provide additional information on the dollar impact of the M O U.

Please feel free to contact me regarding this matter. Sincerely, Gerald E.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Cross, executive director."

For the past few weeks we have had concerns and comments replacing streetlights that have been out and our office had contacted Joyce Electric, who has the contract to do that work in the city. Joyce Electric responded with a letter dated December 30, 2014, to city council and I'd would like to also read that into the record.

"Dear Mrs. Reed, Joyce Electrical, Incorporated, is in receipt of your letter dated December 22, 2014. We absolutely understand your concerns on streetlights that are out throughout the city. We have serviced the City of Scranton streetlights since 2011. The normal procedure was to wait until 15 lights were out before we sent a service truck out to repair the lights and that usually took about five to nine days before we got the 15 reported out. This year from April to December we have serviced 763 lights that were reported out. not uncommon for eight lights to be reported out each day. The reason for this is when

the lights were owned by PPL the utility would relamp entire sections of the city in bulk. These lamps all have a life expectancy and this year many sections of lamp life have expired and we are experiencing higher than average outage reports.

We have additional manpower and equipment and working on the lights for the next two weeks to clear up anything that is not complete. As I write this letter, five more lights were just reported out. The only good news I have to report is the system of reporting the outages is working very well. Sincerely, John Joyce."

So hopefully, that clears up some comments and concerns.

Also, I think Ms. Hodowanitz brought up about the city budget being on the website, it is on the website now just so everyone is aware.

I would like to make a motion at this time to table agenda item 5-K.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I'm asking my colleagues to vote to table agenda item 5-K, the collective bargaining agreement between the police union and the On January 8, I requested the following information from the administration: A cost savings analysis for each article that was changed in the agreement, and an analysis of how much each concession would could cost the city through 2021. The number of eligible police officers who would take their retirement incentive that is included in the new agreement and what the impact would be on the pension fund. The calculator on the longevity bonus for an officer who doesn't use his sick time, and a clarification regarding the sick time incentive bonus and whether or not the bonus would be added to the officer's base pay for the next year.

Some of these questions and concerns were answered tonight in caucus, and we did receive, I guess, an analysis just tonight.

My concern with this and the reason that I'm asking that this be tabled is because I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't understand how we can vote to introduce something when we literally 20 minutes ago just received what I have been asking for for a week and half. I don't think that that is the responsible thing to do. I am going to need more time to review this in order to make sure that this deal is in the best interest of the city.

It is our fiduciary responsibility as elected officials to ensure that this contract is sustainable and the best interest of all of the taxpayers in Scranton. I think it premature and irresponsible to introduce this contract tract without reviewing all of the information, some of which we received tonight, and I just find it odd that in the beginning of our caucus, which I was a little disappointed was not out here in the public, which I did request, I thought that would have been a better way to do that since this is such an important issue, that Mr. Abrahamsen, the City's labor attorney, at first when I asked if we could see these numbers would not give us the numbers

because of what he says, and it was quoted in the paper, that he didn't want to show our cards because we have to negotiate with the other bargaining units. Then after much persistence by myself and some other council members he finally begrudgingly agreed to give in and give us these numbers. However, this is not all that we asked for and he assured us that we will see the rest of what we requested on Monday of next week.

Perhaps, this revised contract saves more money that it costs, perhaps it's a wash. I fear that we may be losing more than what we are gaining and that's why I asked for documents that show me how much we are saving and on each concession that the city gave how much we may be losing and, you know, how does that balance out?

We had no way of knowing this until we see the numbers, until we see a financial analysis of how this contract agreement that extends into 2021 will effect the city as a whole. So for these reasons, I can't in good conscience vote to introduce this contract tonight for approval and I urge my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

colleagues and council to consider doing the same. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, if you don't mind?

MR. MCGOFF: I just wanted to make one note that if this is table it does end any discussion on the piece of legislation, just so you know. I just want to note that.

MR. GAUGHAN: I would disagree, we have been discussing this for the -- I mean, at least I think we have for the better part of the last year, so I don't think discussions stops or that we are -- it's illegal to discuss this. The reason, as I said, I'm making this motion is because I think it's very important that we look at everything. I appreciate Mr. Graziano and Mr. Abrahamsen coming to our caucus, I really do, but it's not good enough just to tell me, you have got to show me. And again, I think that's our fiduciary responsibility to review everything and if you say you are go to save a million dollars show us and let us review it, let us have that time. This is a resolution, we only

have two weeks to look at this and then make a final vote that will impact the city in 2021.

MR. EVANS: First of all, I'd like to thank all of those involved in the negotiation process for their hard work and dedication to the city. While there are many things I like about this contract, there are still many items I have concerns with. I will withhold comment on the individual components until we have received all of the information that we requested.

We all have, as Councilman Gaughan said, a fiduciary responsibility to the taxpayers and this council must know what the total cost and/or savings would be as a result of the proposed changes before we can act on behalf of the taxpayers. While we did have a productive caucus tonight with Chief Graziano and Attorney Abrahamsen, much more information and due diligence is needed before I can make a decision on this contract.

The Amoroso plan simply called for union concessions, somehow we ended up going

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to a full blown renegotiation and I have to rectify that myself what I anticipated happening with the negotiations, so that's all I have on the matter for this moment.

I would just kind of MR. ROGAN: agree with what Councilman McGoff said that this is just an introductory vote, and I do agree with my colleagues that before taking a final vote we do need all of the information that was promised at tonight's caucus, but in the past we have, for instance, when we receive a budget, it's multi-hundred of the pages and we introduce it before everything is read. Introduction is the formal process of opening debate. am comfortable with introducing, if it were a final vote I would agreeing with my colleagues that it should be tabled, but it is not a final vote so I'm comfortable with introducing it.

MR. WECHSLER: As my comment goes, I second this motion so we could have this discussion on the floor. For the majority everything I have heard in the language of the contract is very promising and I'm very

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

encouraged by it. I don't have a problem that it was a new contract because it shows that our unions are willing to work with us and help us in our situation as we progress. The information that we received tonight was only our second session, we had an executive session last week, this is the only second time that we had any information shared with us formally by the administration. it's a simple as putting a list together of what the city is gaining and what the city is giving up to get to this agreement. the bottom of that, there is a net or a It doesn't need to be an actuarial study or anything like that, simple spreadsheet with pluses and minuses is all that I'm looking for.

Tonight Chief Graziano provided us what he had from the operational standpoint.

Once we can digest that, we were handed to us five minutes before we walked over here.

Once we digest that the savings in that program are going to be substantial and benefit to the city. The situation that we don't have all of the information on is the

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fact that some of the other contractual issues haven't been documented to me that I can look at them and understand them Numbers thrown at you go in and completely. out your ear very quickly. The first number you hear is the only number you remember. think part of the reason I would like to table this this evening is to tonight is Thursday, tomorrow is Friday, Monday city hall is closed. If we get the information Tuesday that only gives us Tuesday and Wednesday to evaluate this and I'm not sure how it's going to be presented. If someone could give me a sheet tomorrow like I requested the other day, pluses and minuses, I wouldn't be having this discussion tonight but we didn't get that, and we'll have to see what we get next week to move forward on this.

Like I said, right now I have no objections to the plan. I do not share some of the concerns that Mr. Gaughan has, I don't have those concerns, but I do have some concerns that I would like to see just a very simple sheet that's accessible to the

public that we can all understand and I do thank all of the effort that was made by the union, it shows that they are interested in helping us save the city, and that's what we are here for, that's the goal.

MR. GAUGHAN: I would just like to add one thing, this could have been I think avoided if, you know, the administration had a press conference, touted savings, we should have received with this legislation the backup documentation not 20 minutes before we are supposed to vote to introduce it, and also, the public should be able to look at this. This is not our money, this is dollars that are the taxpayers and that is who we represent.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. EVANS: One other comment, I am going to go along with Councilman Wechsler, I feel like I would like to see a running tally basically section by section. Look at section of entirely the health insurance tell me what it's going to cost. Look at the pension contributions, tell what we are going to save. You know, that's what I'm

Ιs

1 looking for, something in a simple format that we can all look at at the end of the 2 3 day what's the net, positive or negative. Thank you. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? All those in favor of tabling Item 5-K signify by 6 7 saying aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 11 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 12 MR. ROGAN: No. 13 MR. MCGOFF: No. 5-K is tabled. 14 that --MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I just -- I'm 15 16 Just one final comment about the 17 contract, just as I said in the newspaper, 18 at first glance I did have many concerns, some of which were talked about in the 19 caucus, one concern that I have that I think 20 21 Mrs. Schumacher brought up is the four-year 22 expense of the contract. These revisions extend the contract for an additional four 23 24 years until 2021. 25 MR. MCGOFF: This is what tabling

1	does, you should not be discussing it at
2	this point.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Right, I just wanted
4	to briefly
	·
5	MR. MCGOFF: That's what I said.
6	No, this is tabled.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: This is going to be
8	brief.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Once it's tabled
10	excuse me, Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Just what Mrs.
12	Schumacher has said is a concern.
13	(Mr. McGoff gavels Mr. Gaughan.)
14	MR. MCGOFF: You are out of order.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: I don't think I was,
16	but okay.
17	MR. MCGOFF: You are, and that's
18	what I said. Prior to once it's tabled
19	all discussions stops.
20	MR. EVANS: So once it's
21	reintroduced you can begin discussion again.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay, well, that was a
23	concern of mine. Thank you.
24	MR. MCGOFF: A couple of things.
25	First of all, going back to something that
	grand and a grand as a companion of the

was said about the contract in the manning clause, it is my understanding that the Supreme Court merely supported the existing contracts that included a manning clause. It does not mandate a number, all they did was say that the terms of that contract were enforceable and, therefore, the decision to open the contract and change the manning was up to the union, not the Court.

Also, we received from the Single
Tax Office, and I'm sorry, I should have
left this for Mr. Evans, but we did receive
from the Single Tax Office an update on
collections, and just very quickly, real
estate taxes are increased -- year-to-date
an increase is 57.8 percent, which is pretty
comparable to what the tax increase was, you
know, prior years. Delinquent real estate
taxes up 11.4 percent. LST up 0.8 percent,
and business privilege and mercantile tax up
1.0 percent.

Finally, as far as the caucus was concerned and people who have, you know, criticized having a caucus in the back room, it's my intention that council members get

A11

information that they need in order to make 1 2 an intelligent vote and, therefore, the 3 caucus was held in the back, it was open. Some of those who criticized the fact that 4 5 the caucus was held back there were present 6 in the building and chose not to go to the 7 caucus, so their criticism to me is a little 8 bit unfounded. And that's all. Thank you. 9 MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 10 NO. 50, 2014 ENTITLED "CREATING AND 11 ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 12 02.229613 ENTITLED "LIQUID FUELS" FOR THE 13 14 RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS RECEIVED FOR THIS PURPOSE". 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 17 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 18 introduced into its proper committee. 19 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. WECHSLER: 20 Second. 21 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 22 those in favor of introduction signify by 23 saying aye. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN:

Aye.

25

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -5 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 6 NO. 58, 2014, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL 7 8 CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2015" BY ESTABLISHING 9 SEPARATE "03" FUND ACCOUNTS TO DEFINE ACTUAL LIQUID FUEL EXPENDITURES THROUGH THE "03" 10 FUND DESIGNATION; BY REDESIGNATING FUNDS 11 FROM CERTAIN DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS AND 12 DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING, INSPECTIONS AND 13 14 PERMITS ACCOUNTS LISTED BELOW TO THE "03" ACCOUNTS; THE DEPARTMENT, BUREAU AND LINE 15 ITEM DESCRIPTION WILL REMAIN THE SAME. 16 17 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 19 introduced into its proper committee. 20 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 22 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 23 would just like to reiterate that this 24 legislation is because of the state mandate 25 that this be done. All those in favor of

1 introduction signify by saying aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 4 MR. EVANS: Aye. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 6 The ayes have it and so moved. 7 8 MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -9 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS 10 11 AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES 12 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL 13 14 REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. 15 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 16 17 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 18 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 21 A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 22 23 saying aye. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1 MR. EVANS: Aye. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 3 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 4 MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -5 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 6 7 NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS 8 AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE 9 PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; 10 PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING THE METHOD OF 11 12 EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING DUTIES UPON 13 14 CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE REALTY TRANSFER 15 16 TAX AT TWO AND NINE TENTHS PERCENT (2.9%) 17 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL 18 REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. 19 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 21 22 introduced into its proper committee. 23 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 25 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11

those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 7, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1976 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN: PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT ONE (1) MILL (.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

25

24

1 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-F be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. WECHSLER: 5 Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 6 A11 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -15 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 16 NO. 8, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS 17 18 AMENDED) PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO (2) 19 MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR 20 21 CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON 22 AS MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM; REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE 23 24 TAX AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH 25 BUSINESS; PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND

COLLECTION OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH
REQUIREMENTS FOR RETURNS AND RECORDS;
CONFERRING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX
COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES", BY
IMPOSING THE BUSINESS PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE
RATE OF ONE (1) MILL (.001) FOR CALENDAR
YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL
FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.
MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
introduced into its proper committee.
MR. ROGAN: So moved.
MR. WECHSLER: Second.
MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
those in favor of introduction signify by
saying aye.
MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
MR. ROGAN: Aye.
MR. EVANS: Aye.
MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
ayes have it and so moved.
MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -
AN ORDINANCE – AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS

22

23

24

25

AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll

1 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 2 introduced into its proper committee. 3 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 5 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 7 saying aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL 15 16 NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS 17 AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF 18 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE 19 OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE 20 21 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF 22 23 REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND 24 COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 25 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE

AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 5 6 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 7 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 9 saying aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION -16 17 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE 18 RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL 19 20 SERVICES TAX ("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX 21 ("LST")" AND BY IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE 22 SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT 23 24 ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. 25 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll

	70
1	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be
2	introduced into its proper committee.
3	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
6	those in favor of introduction signify by
7	saying aye.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. EVANS: Aye.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
12	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
13	ayes have it and so moved.
14	MS. REED: 5-K is tabled.
15	5-L. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION
16	- AUTHORIZING THE ABATEMENT OF CITY OF
17	SCRANTON DELINQUENT REAL ESTATE TAXES,
18	PENALTIES AND INTEREST ON PROPERTY LOCATED
19	AT 1621 WASHBURN STREET, SCRANTON,
20	PENNSYLVANIA ALSO KNOW AS PIN
21	NO.14517-030-052.01.
22	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
23	entertain a motion that Item 5-L be
24	introduced into its proper committee.
25	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
	II

1 MR. MCGOFF: Is there a second? 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All 4 those in favor signify by saying aye. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. MR. ROGAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 9 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO 11 BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 12 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. 13 F0R 14 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS -FOR ADOPTION RESOLUTION NO. 112, 2015 15 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 16 17 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER IN A 18 GOVERNMENT OBLIGATION CONTRACT THROUGH THE PENNSYLVANIA INFRASTRUCTURE BANK BETWEEN THE 19 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF 20 21 TRANSPORTATION (OBLIGEE) AND THE CITY OF 22 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR A ROADWAY RESURFACING PROGRAM IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 23 24 MR. MCGOFF: What is the 25 recommendation of the Chair for the

1 Committee on Public Works? 2 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the 3 Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 4 passage of Item 7-A. MR. ROGAN: Second. 5 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 8 The approved loan is in the amount of 9 \$2,242,820. The term of this loan is ten 10 years at 1.625 percent. This city will 11 repay the principle and interest of this 12 loan in ten consecutive annual payments and 13 the city will use its liquid fuels 14 allocation from the state to pay back this loan and the paving project is expected to 15 16 begin in the spring of this year. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: You're welcome. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please? 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 23 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 24 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 25 MR. EVANS: Yes.

	19
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
6	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
7	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY -FOR ADOPTION
8	RESOLUTION NO. 113, 2015 - AUTHORIZING THE
9	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
10	TO ENTER INTO A MUNICIPAL LEASE AND OPTION
11	AGREEMENT WITH FIRST BANKERS CORPORATION FOR
12	THE LEASE PURCHASE OF A PIECE OF FIRE
13	APPARATUS, A KME PUMPER TRUCK, FOR USE BY
14	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT.
15	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
16	recommendation of the Chair for the
17	Committee on Public Safety?
18	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
19	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
20	final passage of Item 7-B.
21	MR. ROGAN: Second.
22	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
23	call, please?
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

	80
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
4	MR. EVANS: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
9	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
10	If there is no further business,
11	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
12	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER