	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, January 8, 2014
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS (Not present) JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. Mr. 9 Gaughan. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the record 13 show that Mr. Evans has informed council 14 that he will be unable to attend this evening due to a prior commitment. Dispense 15 16 with the reading of minutes. 17 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATE 18 19 HELD DECEMBER 10, 2014. 20 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 21 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-B. AUDIT STATUS REPORT 22 FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY RECEIVED 23 24 DECEMBER 18, 2014. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. 1 MS. REED: 3-C. THE COMPLETED AUDIT 2 OF THE SINGLE TAX OFFICE FOR THE YEAR ENDING 3 2012. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 6 MS. REED: 3-D. TAX ASSESSOR'S 7 8 REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD JANUARY 7, 9 2015. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 11 MS. REED: 3-E. DRAFT GENERAL FUND 12 BALANCE SHEET FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY 13 14 RECEIVED JANUARY 5, 2015. MR. MCGOFF: I'd just like to note 15 16 that the fact that the general fund balance 17 from Rossi & Rossi was issued prior to the 18 issuance of the TAN and was accepted 19 Amalgamated Bank as sort of part of their 20 checklist for the issuance of the TAN. Are 21 there any other comments? If not, received 22 and filed. MS. REED: 3-F. AGENDA FOR THE BOARD 23 24 OF ZONING APPEALS MEETING TO BE HELD JANUARY

14. 2015.

25

2

3

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any commence?

If not, received and filed.

Any announcements from council?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I have one. I'd just like -- I received a letter about an event that's coming up February 7, and I'd like to take a moment to read it. It's an event that honors Shannon McDonough, formerly of South Scranton. In 2009, at the age of 23, Shannon McDonough passed away from colon cancer. She was an inspiration to many and a friend to all. The non-profit organization "Friends of Shannon McDonough" supports young courageous individuals battling cancer in Northeastern Pennsylvania. And I'd like to take a second here to read the letter that I received on behalf of this group.

It says, "Hello. I'm honored to write to you on behalf of the "Friends of Shannon McDonough." We are a Local 501-C nonprofit organization which provides emotional and financial support to young individuals battling cancer in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Over the past three years,

"Friends of

Shannon of McDonough" work with local oncologists in order to financially support five young individuals during their courageous battles with cancer. As a small nonprofit organization, we conduct fundraising activities that not only support our cause financially, but also provide our community with pride, socialization and fun.

In 2012, the "Friends of Shannon McDonough" fashioned Shannon's Shimmering Shamrocks, an annual Scranton St. Patrick's Day parade t-shirt sale. Last year "Friends of Shannon McDonough" held our inaugural polar plunge splashing with compassion. We are thrilled to be holding the second annual polar plunge on Saturday, February 7, 2015, at Montage Mountain in Scranton, PA.

We are asking for your support by helping us connect with deserving and financially distressed individuals. We have seen firsthand the struggles that cancer has caused emotionally, physically and financially to our late friend, Shannon McDonough. If you have treated or know

22

23

24

25

anyone between the ages of 16 and 25 living in Northeastern Pennsylvania who could use our help, please help us to connect with them so that we can donate portions of our proceeds to them. If interested in learning more about the "Friends of Shannon" McDonough", please visit our website at WWW.FRIENDSOFSHANNONMCDONOUGH.COM or if you have any questions please feel free to call 570-903-9079, and the event that was mentioned in the letter is the splash with compassion polar bear plunge February 7, 2015. You can register for the event from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m. at Montage Mountain. plunge takes place from 11 to 3 p.m. Ιt doesn't cost anything to attend. It's \$35 per person if you desire to plunge. is a prizes, entertainment, raffles and a kids table, so I encourage everyone to try to get out to this event in a month, February 7. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? I guess this the proper time, I have a Proclamation for an individual in the City of Scranton that is going to be presented at a later

1	date at a private function and I'd like to
2	make this proclamation part of the permanent
3	minutes of tonight's meeting.
4	Do I need to do that as a motion, to
5	put it in the minutes?
6	MR. MINORA: The proclamation? Yes,
7	you need a motion.
8	MR. MCGOFF: I make a motion to make
9	this proclamation a part of the permanent
10	minutes of tonight's meeting?
11	MR. ROGAN: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: All in favor?
13	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
14	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
16	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? Thank
17	you. And a copy will be given to the
18	stenographer for placement in the minutes.
19	Thank you.
20	WHEREAS, the Council of the CITY OF
21	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, celebrates "LAMEO &
22	ASSOCIATES, INC." Plumbing and Heating on
23	the 25th anniversary of their business in
24	the City of Scranton; and
25	WHEREAS, PAUL LAMEO, founder and

owner of "LAMEO & ASSOCIATES, INC." is a long time resident of the City of Scranton where he has devoted his time to his family and business and had dedicated countless hours to the performance of community service; and

WHEREAS, "LAMEO & ASSOCIATES, INC." is a small business that has contributed positively to the local community by creating jobs, boosting our local economy and preserving our neighborhoods; and

WHEREAS, the City of Scranton strongly supports the efforts of "LAMEO & ASSOCIATES, INC." for their commitment to live and work in their community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Saturday, January 10, 2015, Scranton City Council proclaims that day as "LAMEO & ASSOCIATES, INC. SATURDAY" in Scranton.

Scranton City Council wishes to congratulate Paul Lameo, his Family, Co-Workers and Friends for the outstanding achievements and extend our best wishes for success in all future endeavors.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this

Proclamation be made a permanent part of the minutes of this Council as lasting tribute to "LAMEO & ASSOCIATES, INC."

There are a couple of the other announcements that I would like to make. First, two executive sessions were held, one on December 18, that was to discuss potential litigation involving the City of Scranton, and another on January 5 to discuss contract negotiations. And that's are being announced to fulfill our obligation under the Sunshine Act.

Also, we received a notice from Mr. Langan from Goodwill Industries, he says and the note was that the management company for Goodwill at North Scranton Junior High School will advertise in the newspaper this July, July 2015, to start building a list of those interested in an apartment at Goodwill at North Scranton. Estimated move in date is December of 2015, January of 2016. And it further says, "All our encouraged to respond immediately to the notice in the paper, details will be provided in the notice."

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

24

25

And lastly, something that we received from Lackawanna County Commissions, a Code Blue Frigid Weather Alert and in the alert it says that homeless individuals can access an emergency shelter at the following KRMH Shelter at Bethel AME locations: Church, 716 North Washington Avenue. Anthony's Haven, 409 Olive Street in Scranton. And the Community Intervention Center, 445 North Sixth Avenue in Scranton. If you know of any individuals who would be in need of shelter, please pass this information onto them, and if you need anything please contact the Clerk's Office and these addresses will again be provided.

Also, something to go along with this, we encourage everyone to please if you have pets please take them indoors during this time of year. As was said, pets get cold, too, and these types of conditions can be fatal to your loved pets, so please bring pets indoors. Thank you. That's all.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz. city resident. I'm a little peeved why isn't the approved 2015 operating budget on the City's website? It's been approved since December 4. If Mr. Bulzoni or Mayor Courtright needs a contribution, I will write a check to the city, but let's put it This is unconscionable. on the website. It's unconscionable, you know, a city as much financial distress as we are that we don't have access to that document or we have to go to the City Clerk's Office and stand there or make copies at 25 cents a I'll have a baby before that thing page.

With regard to the 2013 audit, I was pleased to see in the background papers for tonight's meeting that there was at least the draft of the general fund budget, but that's a long way from the actual audit, and I saw that we had Mr. Rossi's update as of December 18 which listed 18 outstanding items, we are making progress. One of those outstanding items appears to be the audited finances statements for the Scranton Parking

gets on the website.

Authority. Remember, we give them \$4 million backed up by our parking revenues? I don't understand why Mr. Washo hasn't produced those audited financial statements that were due on April 15 of last year, but hopefully we will make progress there before we start bidding out the 2014 audit. And I will also offer to pay for putting the 2013 audit, should it ever be completed, on the city website.

With regard to Mr. Bulzoni, the BA, I spent some time during the holiday period reading the City's Charter and Administrative Code, and it came to my attention that employees who reside out of the city should submit a waiver if they are not going to move back in the city after six months employment. These are new employees, which would be Mr. Bulzoni. Mr. Bulzoni, if memory serves, lives in Clarks Summit. Has Mr. Bulzoni submitted a waiver to live in Clarks Summit?

MR. MCGOFF: That is not submitted to us so I would not know.

MS. HODOWANITZ: My understanding

it's supposed to go to the controller and the last time I spoke with the controller it was not seen. Just an interesting piece of information.

With regard to PFM, which was hired to provide financial services back in April and they were providing services as of March 9, 2014, do we know what their billable hours and their costs was for 2014 since it was never budgeted for last year?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't know that. I do know that they received I forget what the total amount was, but as part of the proceeds of the TAN.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. It would be interesting to see what we paid them and what they billed us for. Also, what they produced, that would be very interesting.

With regard to the December 31 MMO you mentioned during the caucus that it was paid after two days, how much interest did we incur for paying that late?

MR. MCGOFF: Whatever the amount was, I don't know the exact amount, it was -- I know was reported in the paper. It

was two to three-hundred thousand dollars, somewhere in there.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I'd be interested in seeing that figure, too. It should have been zero, unfortunately, I'm hoping this is not going to be a trend. That's two years in a row now we have paid interest.

MR. MCGOFF: It should be noted that that's not -- that that payment goes directly -- even the penalty goes directly to the pension fund. It does not go to a general fund.

 $\label{eq:MS.HODOWANITZ:No,I understand} \textbf{MS. HODOWANITZ:} \quad \textbf{No, I understand} \\ \textbf{that.}$

MR. MCGOFF: I'm just clarifying.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I would hope it does not become a trend and we do that a third year. That would be unconscionable.

And finally, the concessions that were advertised in the paper for the last two days, I applaud the police union for the concessions that they did make, however, I fear that those concessions are hardly going to make the police pension fund solvent. I don't think we are going to know what impact

they have had until the Summer of 2016 when we get the next comprehensive compliance audit that comes out every two years but we'll see what happens and what the other unions do. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
You know, Mr. McGoff, at the last meeting I guess before the Christmas break you made a comment, it was a compliment about your attire here and, you know, you are probably right because it's really very difficult to compliment this council on any of the actions they have taken at this point, so I take that as a very positive development that you guys have nice suits on, but as far as the ability to think and do something positive for the city I just really don't see it and I don't think any of the other residents do either.

And maybe it's just that the

Democratic and the Republican parties are so

out of touch with reality that they can't

legislate anymore but they have us trapped

in a dead two-party system, which really has nothing to offer the ordinary person. I mean, you look at SSI and SSD they are bankrupt and they want to wait until after the 2016 elections to start discussing that issue.

But, you know, I thought I could come up in here today and maybe start with you, Mr. Wechsler, sir. You know, your contribution to the city, I guess, you may believe it was really a great thing which was the North Scranton project, but that's just another funding for a nonprofit that's struggling in the city and I'd like to ask how much of their own developer's money actually ever went into any of that project.

And, you know, as we move this way, you know, Mr. Rogan, I really have nothing to say to you because I'm not sure you have ever really contributed anything to the city.

And, you know, Mr. McGoff, with all of the intellect you have and all of the ability you have and the ability of being a retired senior citizen who didn't owe

anybody any favors and who really could have started to steer this city in a different way, it just hasn't happened. It's just -- it's really tragic with all of the ability you have and the ability to speak your mind that you couldn't offer the down and out residents of this city some solutions and stand strong, because I know mentally you are capable of a lot more than you give here and I just hope that maybe you would have contributed something to the city.

And, Mr. Gaughan, you know, I appreciate the stands you take from time to time here, but I have to say that when we are going to try to take a city that's over 100 years old and determine how we are going to plow snow, you know, I hope you change your focus and I hope the residents of this city realize that it's time to start putting the city's tax revenue in escrow and starve the city out.

I have completed the paperwork to file this group, and I intend to do it next week, and I know that Myron Walkoff had standing in Court to stop the American

Anglian deal with the Scranton Sewer
Authority and I think it's time for the city
residents to understand that the only way to
stop the city, the county or the school
district is to starve them. And, you know,
when you take a look at what the legislators
have offered us in Harrisburg, which had a
50 or 60 billion dollar pension debt and the
roads and bridges or everything about
Scranton, Pennsylvania, we are 50th in job
creation. We just have the most lackluster
legislature on every level, and we are great
at playing politics.

And, you know, the most troubling thing, even though Mr. Evans isn't here today, we are talking about the Hill Neighborhood Associations taking over city lots in the hill and making them mini parks, we are doing a park in Greenridge, too, but my problem with that whole thing is can the Hill Neighborhood Association guarantee that even for 20 years they can maintain those lots?

So if we transfer a problem that the city can't handle and the city created, we

1

3

5

6 7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to hand it off to a neighborhood group. Why? We can't even -- if the Hill Neighborhood Association can guarantee that they can maintain those lots in the future that might be a plan, but do we really need mini parks in the city or do we need population?

And as we even look in the downtown mall, I do understand that some of the mall is because the leases have ended and Mr. Boscov would like to buy the mall as cheap as he possibly could, but, you know, the major problem here is that the residents of this city have no disposal income because the city government has been broken for over 20 years with no answers and we have chased pipe dreams and illusions for all of these years instead of sitting down and taking the budget apart. Even the police contract, but I'm going to wait until that is deciphered in the newspaper to find out exactly what's what before you talk about something you aren't in command of.

But, I mean, really to be honest with you I think the greatest thing that

could happen to this city is for the council in total to resign and reorganize this city, because you know what, pretty suits don't do very much but the people walking up and down the street, pretty soon they won't be able to afford clothes, and the general condition of the city is worse than I ever remember it and we keep funding nonprofits. Lackawanna College owes the plaza across the street. We keep talking silliness and not doing the things we should be doing, and remember one thing talk is cheap but actions speak louder than words and I just haven't seen any here. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Doug
Miller, Scranton. Before I get into my main
issues tonight, I'm not going to really get
into the alleged police concessions, I
haven't had a chance to really digest it, it
wouldn't be appropriate to discuss it, I
have to take some time to review it, but my
main issue tonight is, you know, some
statements were made before the holiday
break, specifically some comments made by

Mr. Wechsler talking about the need for speakers to come forward to the podium and to be more positive while speaking heading into the new year. And I do have to say, I agree with Mr. Wechsler, we should be positive coming forward and tonight I'd like to be the first one to express some bit of positivity with within the city here, and I'd like to do that in making a suggestion to the council tonight.

Of course it's not a secret to anyone that the city is in dire need of cash, we are in a desperate situation. We have many obligations that very to fulfill and we have an inability to generate the revenue to sustain those debts, but I really believe that this council and the mayor heading into a new year, a fresh start, need to explore our alternative sources of revenue, real sources of revenue. Not pie in the sky numbers, not fairytale plans, but real sources of revenue that we can rely on without having to resort to the same old tax increases and punishing people who suffered for decades.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, the unfortunate thing is in the past year we had a new mayor come in, we had a new council majority who came in and talked an awful lot about ideas and the vision that they had to move this city And unfortunately, we have, in forward. opinion wasted 12 months as not too many productive things came about in the last year, we took a few steps back, but I really believe that it's time for this council and the mayor to explore the idea of pursuing revenue from all the recyclables that the city collects. When you think about the recyclables that we take to the Lackawanna County Recycling Center what are we really getting in return for that? And I think when you take the time and think about the amount of paper, glass, cardboard, aluminum delivered to this center, I mean, that has significant value.

And, you know, I think that if we take a step back and we review a letter to the editor from a few weeks ago by Attorney Jim Mulligan that's where the suggestion was initiated from and I happened to read that

25

letter and I think it made a lot of sense because it actually showed someone in the city who wasn't afraid to actually put forth some creativity, something that we lack in this government I have been saying that a long time. I sound quite repetitive, but that's the truth. But unfortunately right now we don't receive a dime for our recyclables and yet we deliver them, we use our manpower, our fuel, our equipment, what do we get? Nothing. You know, their is scrap dealers all over the nation that buy and sell recyclables and we give them away. You know, we hear Mr. McGoff talk about common sense, well, is this common sense? don't think it is. I truly believe, and I took the time to do my homework and I explored some other cities in the nation who have instituted similar programs and they generate millions of dollars annually, so I know it can be done. In fact, just a few miles down the road in the Borough of Old Forge they have instituted a similar program and this is a town of approximately 6,000 people and they generate nearly \$25,000 a

year by getting something in return for their recyclables. And I spoke directly to the Borough manager, I did my homework. We are a city of about 70,000 so when you think about that and you put the numbers together we have the opportunity to really bring in some significant revenue, and we also had the idea to possibly reduce the tipping fee and perhaps even eliminate the garbage fee altogether.

But the problem we have in this city is we lack creativity. We look any effort by our government. We have an inability to want to roll our sleeves up and get down to business, and that's a really problem, that's why we are in the situation we are in today and so I really believe that council and the mayor a fresh start, a clean slate, should reach out to the recycling center and try to negotiate an agreement that gives us a fair rate for our commodities that we distribute to the center, and if the recycling center doesn't want to negotiate a fair deal, well, then we have the ability to seek outside sources so that we do get our

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fair rate and our fair share.

You know, as I said, creativity and taking chances is what can turn this city around and, you know, it's nice to talk about being positive, but we really haven't had a lot to be positive about when you take a look at what's gone on in the city. And, as I said, it's a new year, it's a fresh start, it's a chance to bring something positive to the table and I'm interested to see if council and the mayor would take advantage of this opportunity. It's a unique opportunity and do we want to move forward or do we want to continue to be a council that has a reputation of a do nothing council? So the ball is in your court and let's see if we can really be positive. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus. First of all, I think somebody dropped a ring outside, it was outside council the door here. If I may, I'll just leave it here if someone comes and looks for it.

First off, I'd like to thank
everyone who attended our dinner, it was a
great success and we served over 3,000 meals
and delivered -- and had over 3,000 takeouts
go out the door. So I want to thank all of
the volunteers and everybody else that
contributed to the success of this and just
shows what Scranton is all about and this
whole community, especially those that
showed up, they made if happen.

I'd like to talk a little about Mr. Flynn and Mr. Farina on the landfill. I don't appreciate their comments that they are taking sides with the landfill. You know, they are sitting here and running off, well, it should be this, it should be that. I supplied council with lab reports that were given to DEP, the Dunmore Council, Throop Council, and the news media, but yet everyone has failed to address it or contact the lab to find out really what's going on and get an understanding and education.

Well, DEP has questioned the samples we took over because when we dropped them off they weren't on ice, but they went from

my office in Throop to Dickson City, which is about five minutes, they were in the container. There was nothing wrong with the samples, but that's their requirement.

So my suggestion tonight is that all of the councils, including Flynn and Farina, that we presume will represent us, contact DEP and request DEP take current samples of vehicles entering the landfill from the Marcellus shale and take accurate samples and tell the people the real truth about the contaminants going in there, and I would suggest this council pan a letter to DEP requesting that they do so.

This is about the health and safety.

It's not about the power giants in the area, it's about us, as Kathleen Kane, the Attorney General labeled us, the regular people. Those that don't have the wherewithal to be able to stand up to the big boys because we don't have the funds and the financial backing she and others have. That's why we have councils and legislators to do that for us because they have that power to legislate and take us on that same

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plateau. So, gentlemen, that's why I'm asking that be done. Let's take us from being the regular people to an equal level, to our legislators and people that should be done their jobs.

Another thing that I find very troubling, we saw the mall now, it's almost a ghost town and, you know, I'm going to bring up something that Mr. Miller touched on it a bit, but I took personal offense to it and, Joe, don't take it the wrong way, I have come in here, I am probably the most positive person and progressive person in this community toward this city. I championed the fight against the golf When it was sold, I championed and course. paid for the litigation to have the money put in escrow for parks and recreation. Ιt was this council and the past administration, I say this council, Mr. McGoff was part of it, you gentlemen weren't, that squandered 3 1/2 million dollars that was earmarked for parks and recreation. We wouldn't have sweltered in the heat and no pools and everything if you

had done your job and supported the real people, okay? And that's a positive attitude. You want to know why we are negative? Because you took what didn't belong to the city anymore and you gave it away. That's the negativity. This council had people in the past, and I'm tired of listening to stuff around here and everybody telling their muscles and telling us how great you are, you had the golf course.

I bought the church here in Scranton, the Holy Cross Church and the hall. Those kids have been playing free in this hall at my expense, yet this city, this county, because I wouldn't sell it to a district magistrate, the hall, assessed me at \$500,000 on a church that was being sold for \$3 and a hall combined. I paid \$35,000 to preserve history, keep what it was, and create something out of that development until they assessed me at a half a million dollars then the taxes are 46,000 right now. You want to be positive? That's the negativity that's going on in this community.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I brought the first train from New Hope, Pennsylvania, on tractor trailers free to this city. I moved everything from Bellow Falls that could be moved on tractor trailers, I donated free to the Steamtown Ariel. That's negative? That's being negative? If everybody on this council and every damn legislature in this community was as positive as I was, Scranton would be where -- would not be where it is today.

I brought you the casino. Whether Mr. McGoff likes the idea or not I really don't care anymore. It was a Herculean task. Well, go ahead what are you going to do with the mall now. Mr. McGoff? what your Herculean task did not do as why we got a ghost town in this city. You taxed us to death, you let the city run rampant into death, yet a positive idea has come forward to convert it in something you would rather go to Mt. Airy Lodge or Wilkes-Barre than support what's in this city. supported not bringing legislation to pass a fee on everybody in this community to allow the people that are nonprofits to pay their

fair shares. You played cronyism in here.

You allowed these people to beat the regular people in the ground, and you can beep the number again, that's fine. The truth is --

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Bolus --

MR. BOLUS: The truth is,

Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Why don't you abide by the Rules of Council.

MR. BOLUS: I follow the rules, and I ask that --

MR. MCGOFF: No, I don't.

MR. BOLUS: -- you to follow the rules while you took this seat. Pen a letter, support us in legislation. Flynn and the others that sit back and say, "You can't put a casino here" because they are to darn lazy to do their job. Do the job and I'll put a casino in Scranton and I'll bring Scranton back where it belongs and that, Mr. Wechsler, is being positive. Thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. That's a hard act to follow. I'm not going to waste no time and be blunt and get to the point, I wrote it down so I don't get it all

screwed up. It has become apparent to me many that our mayor cannot perform his elected position. All he seems to relate to is the Amoroso plan this, and Amoroso plan that, which is a monumental failure. It's just useless, it's worthless as it's content as it's author. Amoroso talks much and says little. If Mayor Courtright isn't capable of doing his office responsibility, he needs to resign immediately and allow someone to can perform these chores to take over.

The trouble with you people is, like I've said, you're not relating to the people in this city, you just don't know what people are saying or you don't want to acknowledge what people are saying. They are against everything that this administration has so far.

You know, 50 years ago Mayor

Schmidt, it was in the paper last week, he needed \$5.4 million for a budget. That means in 50 years we have going over \$100,000 with a lot less people and you want us to pay for everything. This is just an impossibility. You can charge \$1,000 and

double it next year and double it the year after next, you are not going to make a dent in what we owe anymore. You are going to make a ghost town out of this place and you are going to take people out of their houses and businesses are closing left and right.

I know it's hard for you all and there is not a person in this city, your grandchildren, your -- nobody that wants you to be more successful than I do, and Mr. Courtright, but you are not that's what it's comes down to, that's the bottom line you are not being successful for the people. You know, it takes a lot more knowledgeable person than Mr. Amoroso to do this job. I hear this two, three times a week from some professional people let me say.

You know, when I talk to the people out here they complain it wasn't just a wage tax, it's a lack of progress. It's people can't pay the upcoming taxes and you people are spending more than we take in. You're spending it before it comes in. This isn't anyway to run the city, you know? You can't talk about wanting that bike to come in and

it doesn't. You can't account for what has come in half the time. There is just -- there is just no grand plan for recovery of this city. The Amoroso plan is not for recovery of this city, go and talk to someone from Newark and see what he did down there, the same thing he is doing here sell the authorities, sell this, sell that.

Mr. Rogan, and I'm not being argumentative, the paper said you wanted to take the burden off the people, if you could sell the Sewer Authority a private individual is going to buy it and the rates would double or triple. You know that.

They are in it to make money and the same -- you sell the Parking Authority what for 20 million or something? We still owe 30 or 40 million. What sense is there in that? Not having nothing anymore?

I saw that big picture of

Mr. Courtright in the paper that he looked

so arrogant. That wasn't a good picture.

It doesn't give people a good attitude of

him. Mr. McGoff, I tell you what I'll do,

Mr. Evans has great faith that next year

won't be a double digit, well, I think it will be just outrageously high. I'll pay this year's taxes that are coming and I'll pay next year's taxes with it if you give me the same rate. You think about it and let me know. I don't know the legality of that, but I'll give you two years taxes immediately when I get my paper for the same rate because I think it would be outrageous next year. Of course, I might lose, you know, but I'm a gambler.

Before I get everyone mad at me, the bottom line is that we just bankrupt for crying out loud. You just can't keep milking the people dry like we are doing. This is going to end up a ghost town. It's just going down hill so fast and you just don't want to acknowledge what's going on it seems. Don't get mad at me, but you people need to do something on your own and not act like five stooges for the Amoroso plan, and the same for our mayor. There is nobody wants to see him accomplish more and help the city than me, but he is not. That's the bottom line.

And before I go I'd like to thank

Mr. Bolus for a wonderful dinner and so many
people appreciated that don't say nothing to
him that I talked to. One lady told me to
give him a big hug and kiss for her, and I
won't go that far, but I would like to thank
him publically because this is a wonderful
event for us. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, how much interest are we paying on that TAN? All of you said was it's lower than the last time. I believe you said the last TAN was 9.1 percent from the same people, are we paying 8 percent now?

MR. ROGAN: Most of the savings was actually on the fees more so than the interest rate, I think the interest rate last was about 4 1/2 percent, this year it was 4, but when you have to look at it all in costs. It's more on the fees than on the interest rates and that's where most of the savings was this year. I think it was, and

1 don't quote me, I think it was around 300 -it was a few hundred thousand dollars in 2 3 savings. MR. SBARAGLIA: That's isn't the 4 5 question I asked. I asked what rate are we paying? Are we paying 8 percent on the TAN? 6 7 MR. ROGAN: The rate is --8 MR. SBARAGLIA: And not 4 1/2 9 percent because you've got a lot of costs in 10 The percent was up to 9.1 percent 11 the last time. 12 MR. ROGAN: The rate and the costs 13 are separate though, you can't combine them. 14 MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, tell me, who has to pay the total costs? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: The taxpayers. 17 MR. SBARAGLIA: Okay, then there is 18 a percentage that it averages out to be, 19 either 8 percent, 7 percent, don't say it's 20 less. You need to give a number and if you 21 are not willing to give a number then you 22 are covering it up. 23 MR. ROGAN: I don't have it in front 24 of me this week, but I did give a number at 25 the last meeting.

1 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Sbaraglia, the number, the amount, is 3.95 percent 2 3 interest. MR. SBARAGLIA: Really? That's 4 5 okay. MR. MCGOFF: Yes, it is. 6 7 MR. SBARAGLIA: But what's the other 8 costs that's added on, that is in there that 9 we have to pay back? I mean, this is the 10 reason -- you have to look at total cost of 11 everything. Don't give me that, the last time I asked this guy right here, "What is 12 13 the interest rate?" 14 He said, "The interest rate was 3 point -- " I think 3 point -- no, 4.2 or 3 15 16 percent and then with all of the other costs 17 was added on he claimed it was 9.1 percent. 18 MR. MCGOFF: Correct. 19 MR. SBARAGLIA: So don't give this, 20 give the true percentage rate that it's going to cost the people of Scranton. 21 22 MR. MCGOFF: The question you asked 23 was what was the interest rate. 24 MR. SBARAGLIA: I don't want a cover 25 up, I want an answer. Yous people cover too

much up. That's not an answer. 1 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, it was. It was an 2 3 answer to your question. MR. SBARAGLIA: It's the total cost 4 5 of the loan to the people of Scranton. MR. MCGOFF: Okay. I answered your 6 7 initial question, now you want to know what 8 the total costs, what the fees were, is that 9 correct? MR. SBARAGLIA: The total cost of 10 11 the loan. In other words, if we borrow what was it \$13 million and we have to pay back 12 13 \$15 million I want to know that cost. 14 MR. ROGAN: I read these off the last meeting of the year, but I will read 15 16 it, I just found it in my notes, I do have 17 it here. In 2014, the total all in costs 18 between interest and fees was \$787,149. 19 2015, the total all in costs will be 20 \$471,685. MR. SBARAGLIA: About half. 21 22 MR. ROGAN: I'll give you the report 23 if you want. 24 MR. SBARAGLIA: About half; right? 25 And the fees?

1	MR. MCGOFF: \$300,000 savings.
2	MR. ROGAN: \$300,000 savings.
3	MR. SBARAGLIA: Then how come we got
4	a he said it was 9.1 percent that TAN?
5	9.1 percent. Now, with the reduction he
6	said there that still leaves us about 8
7	percent? I mean, if we are paying 8 percent
8	on the loan just say so. What it totals out
9	the cost.
10	MR. MCGOFF: That's not what you
11	asked.
12	MR. SBARAGLIA: Yes, that's the way
13	you interpreted it. That's not what I
14	interpreted it.
15	MR. MCGOFF: No, you asked what the
16	interest was and I told you.
17	MR. SBARAGLIA: I said total
18	interest. Total. In other words, what all
19	of the fees and everything else would it
20	cost and that's what you got to give.
21	MR. MCGOFF: You got your answer.
22	MR. ROGAN: That's why I just read.
23	MR. SBARAGLIA: And that's what you
24	should have gave earlier. You should have
25	said instead of 9.1 percent it averages out

to be 8 percent. That people understand, but when you keep on quoting little things to get away from the total costs that's different. People want to know exactly what it costs with all of the fees and everything else entitled to. The person that sold the TAN to us, how much they received and so forth and so on. Okay, let's get onto something different, there is no sense haggling over it, I figure it's about 8 percent.

Now, we got this thing here, UCC enforcement, third party enforcement, has this got anything to do with the quality of life?

MR. ROGAN: Which third party enforcement is that through Licensing and Inspections Office?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Here it is here if you want to look at it.

MR. ROGAN: A lot of the inspections for construction of certain trades are done through I believe it's Marino Brothers that has the contract currently, but the quality of life would be administered through

housing inspectors through police officers. 1 2 MR. SBARAGLIA: But it just says UCC 3 enforcement, third party. I assume you are hiring people out to enforce city codes, is 4 5 this what it is or not? MR. ROGAN: In past practice it's 6 been for building trades. 7 8 MR. SBARAGLIA: Yeah, past practice, 9 but that doesn't mean it -- you didn't get 10 the legislation yet I take? All you got was 11 that they approved it up at a city hall. 12 It's going to come down to you I guess, 13 because it's a contract. 14 MR. ROGAN: At this point that's a 15 question we can ask. 16 MR. SBARAGLIA: And at that point we 17 will have more information on this. Thank 18 you. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 20 MR. MCGOFF: The total interest, Mr. 21 Sbaraglia, comes to 7.58 percent. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, 23 Council, Marie Schumacher. First, I'd like 24 to thank you for taking a position on the 25 landfill. I hope you will be more proactive

and urge the mayor to be more proactive and actually engage the city engineer into what's really going on up at that landfill.

I don't have much to say tonight because I did take -- I figured if you guys take a vacation so could I and I took a vacation to both try to stop thinking about this city and the horrible position we are in, and recover from the sinus infection from H-E double hockey sticks, so maybe by not week I will have more, but I do have questions.

First of all, what was the unfunded fees that are carried forward from 2013 to 2014 -- or 2014 to 2015? Our unpaid bills that are being carried forward, what is that amount?

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff:} \mbox{MR. MCGOFF: I'm not sure. I don't} \\ \mbox{have that number with me.}$

MS. SCHUMACHER: In the past couple of weeks you have talked about the fact that we don't have the five years to go into receivership -- or get our act together or go into receivership under the new Act 47 legislation, you said it was three years,

when is that -- what budget would that 1 equate to for the city, 2015 year one or --2 3 MR. MCGOFF: I believe it's the -it starts with the adoption of the revised 4 5 recovery plan, and that would be 2015 starts, I believe it's a three-year since we 6 7 -- isn't it? 8 MR. ROGAN: There is also an 9 optional I believe it's either a two or 10 three-year extension on that. 11 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, three years, 12 that's --13 MR. ROGAN: Right. 14 MS. SCHUMACHER: I mean, without the extension, okay. It's not because we had 15 16 already had a recovery plan in progress that 17 we haven't paid much attention to from a 18 couple of summers ago if that's not the --19 is the upcoming recovery plan? 20 MR. MCGOFF: That's my 21 understanding, but I'm not sure. 22 MS. SCHUMACHER: How do we get a 23 ruling on that, would one of our state 24 legislators know that. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Well --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I'm interested --

MR. MCGOFF: Mrs. Schumacher, the revised recovery plan, the period of time was a five-year period, I believe, from the beginning of the last revision of the recovery plan. With this new revision, which is required by the Act 47 revisions, we have a three-year period to implement that or to, you know, to receive -- to get to a point of recovery, but there is also, as Mr. Rogan said, an appeal for an extension.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And again, I would like to know when the budget is going to be -- the 2015 budget is going to be put online? This is certainly the longest that it's taken.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, I made a request I think three weeks ago, but I'll make another one tonight that we ask the administration to put that on as soon as possible.

MS. SCHUMACHER: When will the full audit be available?

1 MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea. 2 MS. SCHUMACHER: Does Mr. Evans. 3 when he returns, as the Finance Chair does he know? 4 MR. MCGOFF: I can ask. Like I 5 said, I have not -- I don't know. 6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Now, I have been told, and I'd like to know whether or not 8 9 this is true, that anything -- all contracts 10 have to be honored 100 percent when you go 11 into a receivership under the state plan, is 12 that true? MR. MCGOFF: I'm not familiar with 13 14 any of the, what should I say, facets of a receivership. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: And when will this 17 proposed new contract with the police union be available for the public to review? 18 MR. MCGOFF: I believe when it's 19 20 posted, which would be hopefully next week. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: Because I am 22 certainly against any contract being 23 extended beyond 2021 by this council. 24 at you why next week. Thank you. 25 Good evening, Council. MR. DOBRZYN:

Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. I'd like to bring up an issue from last council, new and old laws. First of all, appreciate that the fines were lowered. Secondly, they have gone from outrageous to heavy handed at best.

I have another concern, where is our local IT Department? Now, I have been trying to look through the city website and I can't find anything on any ordinances whatsoever, zoning, etcetera, etcetera, that goes on and on and on and where is our IT Department? Now, ignorance of the law does not vacate your legal responsibility to comply, so if you don't know what the law says or you have to jump through hoops to find out what the law says in it's entirety, you know, you are open for problems fully knowing the extent of the law.

Now, I guess you can go down the library and pay 25 cents a page, but you people get a portion every month of what I pay to Comcast, I think it's 7 percent

something like that, so what I pay to

Comcast that would probably be another \$120

a year or \$150 a year in local taxes that

I'm paying by having Comcast Xfinity and I

can't get the local ordinances. Sometimes

they are good and sometimes they're bad.

I have something here that everybody should receive and stop by on your way out.

"Suit: Law discriminatory. Lawyers says
Blakely ordinance targets victims."

Apparently a lady had to call the police to talk to her daughter one time and inform her that running away wasn't the smartest thing to do and then her husband came up and made trouble twice at her apartment and she got a letter from Blakely, she didn't her landlord did, telling them that they had to be evicted, so I'm going to give these to Rose. That's an example, oh, by the way, Morristown had a similar law and they lost a lawsuit for half a million dollars, so something to be concerned about.

If we are going to try and collect new revenue by fines and fees, what about the 7 /12 million back trash fees that many

21

22

23

24

25

Schumacher had on the ordinances now, I was a mechanic all my life, I serviced my cars all my life, I went for a state inspection the day after Thanksgiving and riding home I noticed a scratchy sound in my wheel. pull it into my driveway and I remove a brake drum and here they knocked a spring off of my brakes when they put it back, brake drum back on so I had to fix it. I'm reading that it's illegal to have a car I hope jack stands are on blocks. sufficient because otherwise I'll see you in court. I have my own driveway, I don't do any environmentally risky things like oil changes and I will fix my own car in my own driveway. And I also let me neighbors park in

people might not have money to pay or may

not have had money to pay or then there is

for it.

some people that just don't feel like paying

For instance, when I read what Ma

my carport, I have three spaces, one car, so anybody that wants to park there keep out of the way, the garbage truck or they got relatives in for Christmas, well, if I'm out

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

if still looks like somebody is there so I'm getting something out of it, too.

And another thing on cars was I heard a story, and I don't know both sides of the story, but two cars were removed from a person's property, they weren't licensed, they weren't insured, they weren't inspected, but they were resold for \$5,000. Now, if it's to pay back a bank note, and I'll try and find out that, but if that were sold for \$5,000 without that coverage of that ordinance that would have been theft, so it's something to think about. If that car has some kind of value, something should be done about it. Yeah, you can't have two or three cars in every yard, but it's like, I said the last time, oppression starts in your hometown and if you want a constitutional reprieve go to the Supreme Fine if you have \$5 million. Court. you and have a great night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER:

Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. I would like to congratulate the administration and the FOP for all of the hard work and effort put forth in developing and ratifying the new contract. We just got this so after my initial review I am pleased with the salary and overtime savings, the scheduling flexibility and the increased employee contributions to the pension plan.

The agreed upon wage increases are well below market averages. The proscribed rate will allow the city to have fixed numbers for the budgeting for the police salaries for the next few years. The additional manpower flexibility will allow more police presence during peak times without increasing costs or adding overtime.

While we were already are one of the safest large city in Pennsylvania, the greater police presence will permit Scranton to become even a safer city. The increased contributions to the pension plan indicate

that the membership is confident that the parties can come to an agreement to make the pension solvent.

Additional work to achieve pension reform is required both at the city and state level. As we move through this year, I know that's a consideration that we all will be working towards as the year goes on.

I also look at this contract as a starting point for the other city bargaining units. When we take a look at these salary increases I wish that they would able to get something that they would be put out by a review basis and not automatic. I think there should be some performance-type reviews involved with that, but it is a starting point. You have to remember that the police and fire and all of the other unions are still under contract, so they did not have to give us anything at this time.

And again, I commend the FOP for showing their commitment to move Scranton forward and work together in solving our financial problems. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. I think Councilman Wechsler stole my notes for tonight because I had the same sentiments that Councilman Wechsler does regarding the union concessions, and much of this has already been talked about, but I think especially regarding the pension, during the time that we were debating a 205 tax and talked about the pension problem, many people have said, well, why aren't the members of the unions who will benefit from the pension contributing more? And it was definitely a valid argument to made and, you know. I commend the members of the FOP for making that concession.

Although, it will be more money in the fund, it will not fix the fund, without question. I think everyone agrees that it will take much more than increased contributions from the union members to make the funds solvent, but it is a step and even more so than the actual funding going in, residents of the city for the last four years or so have had tax increases every year, increases in fees and while the

\$40 million, which is something that people don't mention often that the unions did give

half of that award to the city already.

not happen, and you can blame whoever you

million plus bill to pay. It was actually

want for that, that's why we have a \$20

Obviously, we would look more of it to be

given up, but \$20 million already was

concede of that award.

And there are many cost savings, but

Because in the past negotiations did

employees have not given anything. In this case, we see that, that the employees who also live in the city are willing to give back.

Regarding the salary increases, historically the increases in these union contracts are approximately 3 percent per year. That's generally what it's been awarded three to four percent. This is much below that which is a good thing, and the last thing that we would have wanted to do is to have a situation like occurred in the past where an arbitrator or a judge is making this decision.

more importantly than that it allows the chief flexibility to implement the police patrols in the way that he sees fit to keep the city safe. Under the Court award, the city had to have a minimum number on each shift, whether it was a very busy shift, like midnights on Friday or whether it was a very slow shift, Monday mornings. You have a different element in the city that the police are dealing with on a Friday night than you are on a Monday morning, and this gives the chief flexibility to reduce the manning at the times when crime is low, and increase it when crime is high without costing tons of money and overtime.

Overtime has been, the last few years, has been around a million dollars. That's a lot of money in overtime. By being able to implement different strategies the chief believes that he will be able to save on overtime significantly without hurting the protection at the times when people need it most.

I do have some questions on how some things will work out, which I will be

following up with the chief with, but I would also like to commend the mayor for his great work with this, the chief for enlightening us at the caucus about how he plans to strategize, and I know he has a sheet with the number of calls on each shift, what time the calls are, where the calls are, to implement a full strategy on policing our city.

And I have said this probably a hundred times since I have been on this board, but government's job is to keep people safe. That's it. I like this deal because it does not cut men on the street and the hours they are needed and it also saves the taxpayer money, so it's something that I will be supporting and look forward to continue to work with our chief on this. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Just an update on the Rockwell Avenue bridge project. As of today, per PennDOT, after the pedestrian bridge was installed and available for use as of January 2 the

demolition process of the existing bridge part was started on Monday, January 5. The demolition work continued around the clock for two days to expedite the demolition phase and is now complete. With weather permitting, the contractor will proceed with stream containment and stabilizing the stream banks for additional work to start in March of 2015. Everything so far is proceeding on track.

Neighbors have requested a stop sign at the intersection of North Sumner Avenue and Los Robley Street. It is a three-way stop and the neighbors have concerns that there is speeding so they think a stop sign might help there. Our office is sending correspondence to Police Chief Graziano to request that a study be conducted on the feasibility of installing the stop sign at this location.

I also received a complaint over the break about an abandoned vehicle in the 3000 Colliery Avenue in Minooka. I forwarded the complaint to Chief Graziano and the issue was resolved and the residents are very

pleased.

I would ask that we send another request to the administration about putting the city's budget on the website, if possible.

And as my colleagues have done, I just want to briefly comment on the contract agreement between the city and the police union. The fact that the police union reopened their contract is a very positive first step for the City of Scranton. I appreciate their willingness to come to the table and discuss opportunities for cost savings. I just received this agreement late yesterday and will take this weekend and next week before it's placed in our agenda to do my due diligence and thoroughly review it.

At first glance, I do have some questions. Earlier today I sent a request to our Business Administrator to provide a cost savings analysis for each article that was changed in the agreement and any additional expenses to be projected through 2021, which would be the life of this

agreement. I think it's very important to examine how the newly ratified contract will impact the city's budget over the life of the agreement. I also have asked for the eligible number of police officers that could take their retirement incentives included in the agreement and what the potential impact would be on the pension fund, which we know is severely distressed. And another question that I had was had an actuary studio on the impact of the retirement incentive been completed.

I would also ask, and I did mention this in caucus tonight and my colleagues agreed, that we contact PEL, the city's recovery coordinator, and request that they attend a caucus, if possible, next week when this legislation will be placed on our agenda to discuss their thoughts and opinion on the soundness of the contract and how it fits into the overall financial picture for the city moving forward. We do have a new recovery plan, as Mr. McGoff mentioned, that is scheduled to be unveiled sometime this month and I think it's extremely important

that we have a full briefing with PEL to discuss the impact of the labor agreement on the recovery plan and the city's financial health over the next six years.

I want to make sure that I have as much information as possible before I vote or give this contract my endorsement. It's imperative that we make sure that this is a good deal for the city taxpayers and is sustainable over the next six years. And that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Gaughan.

Just a few brief responses to some of the things that were mentioned during citizens' participation. I would like to thank Mr. Morgan for the constructive criticism that he provided, although, I'm not sure that wearing a coat and tie is a negative thing, but thank you for your input.

As far as the recycling that was mentioned by Mr. Miller, the city does get a reimbursement from the Commonwealth based on tonnage. That's the reason why the city collects recyclables from commercial sites

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as well as residential sites. It increases our reimbursement. Mr. Miller was correct in the fact that we do not receive any money from the actual recycling center, but the city does get a state reimbursement.

And I would also like to congratulate Mr. Bolus again on the dinner that's provided every year, that's a great community benefit, but I do disagree with some of the things that he mentioned as he was speaking. Number one, the \$3 1/2million that he spoke of for the golf course was never designated for recreation We went through the legislation, purposes. we went through the minutes of those meetings, and while they discussed the possibility that it should be used for recreation that was never contained in the legislation, so it was not ever -- while the intent may have been to do that, it was never in the legislation and, therefore, that money was not designated specifically for parks and recreation.

As far as Holy Cross Hall that he mentioned, my father's first cousin, Jack

O'Connor, was the man who opened that hall. I was one of the first people to -- first kids to play there somewhere around 1960-'61. Kids have all played there I'll say for free. Biddy basketball there may be a small fee involved, but that has always been part of Holy Cross Hall, and had he not purchased Holy Cross Church and the hall, the plan was that that hall would still stand and would still be available for recreation purposes. So his statement that, you know, he is doing something that was not done in the past is somewhat, somewhat erroneous.

And last the thing, as far as the casino idea, yes, I'm opposed. I'm personally opposed to an urban casino. I think there a precedent set in any number of places that that is not necessarily an answer or a panacea for distressed cities.

Also, he mentioned that I said it was a Herculean task to get a permit or whatever, a license for the casino, and it is. There are restrictions by the state that would prevent at this point in time

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bolus from opening a casino in Scranton.

It's that simple.

And as far as the contract is concerned with the police, as Mr. Gaughan and everyone has mentioned, we are, you know, early in the process of reviewing that, there are a lot of questions, but the contract is something that we would -- we have been asking for. It's something that people have questioned, you know, why this wasn't being done. We are able to have one of the unions willing to negotiate with the city to provide benefits, mutual benefits I think there are some short-term savings in this proposal, but I'm more concerned -- I think that there are some long-term benefits that we will see as far as the pension and the overall costs of the police department. And again, early in the process of reviewing it, next week as it hopefully appears on our agenda I'm sure we will have more to say, and that's it.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE

1	AND ENTER IN A GOVERNMENT OBLIGATION
2	CONTRACT THROUGH THE PENNSYLVANIA
3	INFRASTRUCTURE BANK BETWEEN THE COMMONWEALTH
4	OF PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF
5	TRANSPORTATION (OBLIGEE) AND THE CITY OF
6	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, FOR A ROADWAY
7	RESURFACING PROGRAM IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON.
8	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
20	ayes have it and so moved.
21	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
22	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
23	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER INTO A
24	MUNICIPAL LEASE AND OPTION AGREEMENT WITH
25	FIRST BANKERS CORPORATION FOR THE LEASE

PURCHASE OF A PIECE OF FIRE APPARATUS, A KME 1 PUMPER TRUCK, FOR USE BY THE CITY OF 2 3 SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT. 4 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 5 introduced into its proper committee. 6 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 9 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 10 those in favor of introduction signify by 11 saying aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 15 The 16 ayes have it and so moved. 17 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 18 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. NO BUSINESS AT 19 20 THIS TIME. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Prior to adjournment, I 22 would like to say that next week we expect 23 the FOP contract to be on the agenda plus a 24 number of the taxing ordinances that need to 25 be done each year, so next week's agenda

will probably be a very busy one. If there is no further business, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn. MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn. MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.

ability.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER