## SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL 1 PUBLIC HEARING 2 3 4 IN RE: FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "CITY OF SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993", 5 BY CHANGING THE ZONING MAP DESIGNATION OF THE JOHN J. 6 7 AUDUBON SCHOOL FROM R-1A (MEDIUM LOW DENSITY 8 RESIDENTIAL) TO INS-G (GENERAL INSTITUTIONAL) IN THE 9 CENTRAL PLANNING AREA IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 10 11 12 **HELD:** 13 14 15 Thursday, December 18, 2014 16 17 LOCATION: Council Chambers 18 19 Scranton City Hall 20 340 North Washington Avenue 21 Scranton, Pennsylvania 22 23 24

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

25

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT (Not present)

WAYNE EVANS

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

MR. MCGOFF: I'd like to call this 1 2 public hearing to order. Roll call, please. 3 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. Mr. Evans. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Here. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 10 MR. MCGOFF: Here. 11 12 The purpose of the said public 13 hearing is to hear testimony and discuss the 14 following: AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF THE 15 COUNCIL NO. 11, 2014, AS AMENDED AN 16 17 ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 74, 18 1993, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "CITY OF SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993", BY CHANGING THE 19 ZONING MAP DESIGNATION OF THE JOHN J. 20 21 AUDUBON SCHOOL FROM R-1A (MEDIUM LOW DENSITY 22 RESIDENTIAL) TO INS-G (GENERAL 23 INSTITUTIONAL) IN THE CENTRAL PLANNING AREA 24 IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 25

I'd first like to ask Attorney
Hailstone to speak on behalf of the
legislation.

MR. HAILSTONE: Gentlemen, this matter was here once before, it involves an expansion of an existing general institution district across street to include the Audubon School. The Audubon School was closed some time ago by the Scranton School District because it's condition didn't allow it to be used for children anymore. There is public building, Geisinger/CMC placed the high bid and is prepared to close as early as tomorrow if this legislation passes.

The matter has been reviewed by the Scranton Planning Commission and approved, reviewed by the Regional Planning Commission of Lackawanna County and approved. All of the appropriate notices have been given, and I would like to put in the record at this time a copy of the zoning officer's affidavit that on December 4 he posted in the neighborhood of the John J. Audubon School and a certificate for me which under 108-G of the ordinance requires that on

behalf of the applicant, which is here, Geisinger/CMC, that I sent out certified mail to a list of neighbors, actually the ordinance requires only those who abut or are across the street, but we expanded it to a larger area in the neighborhood and these should both become part of the record. It was also advertised by city council in the Scranton Times, so that all of the appropriate notices have been given, mail, advertisement and posting.

This was explained on May 22 when we had our last public hearing. If you have any questions we have a plot plan, but I submit to you that it is appropriate, it is in best interests of the entire City of Scranton and the entire community, and I ask you that again amend the ordinance to allow Audubon School to be zoned as general institution. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Attorney Hailstone.

Paul Narkoonis.

MR. NARKOONIS: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for allowing me to

speak. My name is Paul Narkoonis, I live in the downtown Scranton and I'm concerned about this unchecked spreading of the nonprofits in the Hill Section. Pretty soon the Hill Section is going to become institutionalized, it's going to become one big nonprofit. Thank goodness that Moses Taylor and Regional Hospital they are now paying their fair share of property taxes, which is a great. Geisinger/CMC doesn't.

Audubon was a gem up there in the Hill Section, it was a part of the flavor of the neighborhood. It has been there for many years, a lovely building, so, unfortunately, it had some problems.

Unfortunately, if Geisinger/CMC wanted to be a good neighbor they could have said, "Look, here is something we can do, we can help you out with this us, we don't want this to die."

But they didn't. They let it die.

They watched it die. Now, it's to tear it down, and it's another architectural piece that's gone from the neighborhood and more institutional spread of nonprofits. They

have some buildings, houses on the 200 block of Colfax Avenue, they tore them all down and put up parking lots in spite of having two parking lots, two parking garages, those are parking lots now that's spread. Those are people that -- it could have been houses, they are off the tax rolls now. This spread has to stop. It's becoming out of hand.

The University of Scranton is becoming out of hand, it's spreading and spreading. We are losing the flavor of the Hill Section and somebody, the neighbors, small businesses were driven out of the Hill Section because of this unchecked growth rate on nonprofits, and I urge you not to change this zoning. Thank you for letting me speak.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who would like to speak to council?

MR. SCACCHITTI: Edmund Scacchitti, attorney, former city solicitor, former city solicitor to the Scranton Zoning Hearing Board, resident of 425 Arthur Avenue. From

the back deck and the rear of our home I could see the back end of the Audubon School.

I was here the last time you were all were here, well, some of you were here, I don't know, is Mr. Rogan planning on attending this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: He is going to be late.

MR. SCACCHITTI: Well, he was late last time and, frankly, I was offended by that because he showed up after all of the objectors had made their presentation, I believe this is his committee, isn't it, that's involved with the zoning change?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?

MR. SCACCHITTI: Isn't this his -the chairmen of the committee that is
involved with the zoning change here this
evening?

MR. MCGOFF: He is the chair of Community Development.

MR. SCACCHITTI: Well, that's what I thought, but be that is it may, I don't want to really go through my argument last time, but I think it's pertinent to note that in

25

the newspapers in the City of Scranton the last few days we have seen how giveaways by the City of Scranton have crippled the city financially, particularly with regard to the pension. Past well-meaning, but short-sided legislators in this community have done things that now we have to deal with financially. We had a massive tax increase last year, we are going to have another tax increase this year, and the strength of any community is it's tax base. If any of you had an opportunity to drive down Gibson Street, east Gibson Street starting at I believe it's North Webster, the vicinity of the synagogue, and see how much real estate is gone, which has been acquired by I believe it's Commonwealth Health, which is now is Moses Taylor and Scranton Regional, these properties arguably are still tax producing because there hasn't been any change in their use, however, it was only a matter of time before there is going to be a project before this body of the zoning board that's going to develop that land and that's all of that land is going become.

I happened to be involved, and we were last time my neighbor, Rick Jones, who was chairmen of the committee that did the last comprehensive zoning change in this community in 1993 talked about why we have institutional zones. The corner block of Arthur, Colfax, both sides, and the five are some of the highest income tax producing real estate in the city except for certain neighborhoods in East Mountain and in Greenridge.

I don't have to tell you, you have a esteemed member of the realty community on your board that by permitting this zoning change and allowing Geisinger/CMC to cross Mulberry Street into a residential district is going to undermine the fabric of that residential area not only to the extent that now we have a footprint outside of the original footprint intended to be governing all of the institutions within the city years ago, but the immediate consequences to every piece of real estate on that block and probably a fourth of Arthur is going to be devalued, and you don't have to take my word

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for it, I'm sure that Mr. Evans is going to agree with that.

But getting back to my point earlier, the city is drowning in its own Elected officials have to make decisions that are going to enure to the benefit of the citizens who live here and who pay the bill. My real estate tax bill for the coming year is probably going to be close to \$7,000. If I'm not paying it, the services that the city provide are not going to be provided. If you continue to permit, as that gentleman pointed out, the erosion of the residential tax base in that community, then let the last person leaving the city turn out the lights because that's essentially where we are headed, but from a legal standpoint what concerns me the most about this project is my esteemed colleague, Attorney Hailstone, appeared here again this evening and said this is in the best interest of the City of Scranton, but he hasn't articulated how. Clearly what's not in the best interest is the residents, the immediate residents in the neighborhood of

25

Geisinger Community Medical Center, those residents of four of Colfax, the four of Arthur. I don't know what the benefit is to the City of Scranton? Have they guaranteed that if they build something that all of the people who are going to be employed there are going to come from the city? All of the people are going to be hired and work in the city? You know that's not the case. don't know how it benefits the city, but what concerns me from a legal standpoint is this is another giveaway. You are giving Geisinger Community Medical Center a zoning change for a property that they have no plans for so if they decide in two years to build an infectious disease specialty center on that location is anybody going to be happy with that? If they decide to build a building to treat acute alcohol and drug addiction, is that going to be compatible with the immediate neighborhood? This is what you are allowing them to do. It's note as they are coming here tonight and saying to you "Gentlemen, here is the drawing, here is the plan, here is what we are doing, here

is how many people we are going to employ, here's how we are going to blend in the neighborhood."

Nothing. Gentlemen, this is a giveaway. Don't fall into a long line of the predecessors who have given this city away by allowing the zoning change to occur. I don't think that it's in our interest certainly, but I don't know how anyone sitting in front of me can tell me how this is going to benefit the City of Scranton. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address speak? If there is no one else, public hearing is closed.

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER