_		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, November 13, 2014	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	0.TUENE 0 N.BB0==	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and 2 moment of reflection observed.) 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Here. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 13 MR. MCGOFF: Here. We do have a 14 Proclamation this evening. I would ask that Council members move to the front of the 15 16 dais at this time. 17 WHEREAS, in 2014, an estimated 18 46,420 people will be diagnosed with pancreatic cancer in the United States and 19 20 39,530 will die from the disease. 21 Approximately 1,990 of those deaths will 22 occur in Pennsylvania in 2014; and 23 WHEREAS, pancreatic cancer is on the 24 of deadliest cancers, is currently the 25 fourth leading of cancer death in the United

States and is projected to become the second by 2020; and

WHEREAS, pancreatic cancer is the only major cancer with a five-year relative survival rate in the single digits at just six percent; and

WHEREAS, when symptoms of pancreatic cancer present themselves, it is generally late stage, and 73 percent of pancreatic cancer patients die within the first year of their diagnosis while 94 percent of pancreatic cancer patients die within the first five years; and

WHEREAS, the Recalcitrant Cancer
Research Act was signed into law in 2013,
which calls on the National Cancer Institute
to develop a scientific framework, or
strategic plans, for pancreatic cancer and
other deadly cancers, which will help
provide the strategic direction and guidance
needed to make true progress against these
diseases; and

WHEREAS, the Pancreatic Cancer

Action Network is the national organization
serving the pancreatic cancer community in

Scranton and nationwide through a comprehensive approach that includes public policy, research funding, patient services, and public awareness and education related to developing effective treatments and a cure for pancreatic cancer; and

WHEREAS, the Pancreatic Cancer

Action Network and its affiliates support
those patients currently battling pancreatic
cancer, as well as to those who have lost
their lives to this disease, and are
committed to nothing less than a cure; and

WHEREAS, the good health and well-being of the residents of Scranton are enhanced as a direct result of increased awareness about pancreatic cancer and research into early detection, causes, and effective treatments.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on Thursday, November 13, 2014, Scranton City Council wishes to designate the month of November 2014 as "PANCREATIC CANCER AWARENESS MONTH" in Scranton.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this

Proclamation be made a permanent part of the

Minutes of this Council.

We would like to present this to

Mr. Connors and all of the members of the

Pancreatic Cancer Network, Action Network.

MR. CONNORS: Thank you,

Mr. President.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time

Mrs. Connors would like to address council

and the public via ECTV.

MRS. CONNORS: I will be brief. I just want to thank all of the councilmen for this proclamation and for bringing awareness to pancreatic cancer as Mr. McGoff said at the beginning, that former city clerk Jay Saunders passed away actually two years ago yesterday. Gerry Grabowski passed away about eight years ago and my sister-in-law, and former Mayor Jim Connors' sister, Tina Connors, passed way in January of 1994, so we are still continuing this battle.

In fact, today November 13, was

Purple for a Purpose day and I appreciate

that you are all wearing purple ties and/or

a purple shirt and it's also World

Pancreatic Cancer Day. I just want to read

something very briefly. You know, we face a formidable enemy, one that takes 84 of its victims within five years. We have lost our best and our brightest, our family, our friends, but we will never lose our resolve. We know what we must do, wage hope. We are the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network. We are fighting a terrible disease. We will champion the promise of a brighter tomorrow and this is it our heartfelt battle cry, wage hope.

And another thing we are doing in order to raise awareness for Pancreatic Cancer will be the fifth pizza by Pappas fundraiser next week, it's next Tuesday and Wednesday, November 18 and 19. Bill and Tom Shekosky, the owners of Pappas Pizza donate 50 percent of their pizza sales over those two days to the Pancreatic Cancer Action Network and over the last four years he has donated over \$25,000 to our cause. So, again, I thank you very much for bringing awareness to this disease. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: And again, on behalf of the council we would like to thank the

1	Pancreatic Cancer Awareness Network for the
2	great work that they do in addressing this
3	significant problem.
4	MR. CONNORS: Thank you,
5	Mr. President.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Dispense with the
7	reading of the minutes, please.
8	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. SAPA
9	COMPREHENSIVE PLAN RECOMMENDATION FROM CITY
10	PLANNING COMMISSION RECEIVED OCTOBER 28,
11	2014.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
13	If not, received and filed.
14	MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE BOARD
15	OF ZONING APPEALS HELD NOVEMBER 12, 2014.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
17	If not, received and filed.
18	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S
19	RESULTS REPORTS FOR HEARING DATES HELD
20	OCTOBER 16 AND 22, 2014.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
22	If not, received and filed.
23	MS. REED: 3-D. SINGLE TAX OFFICE
24	CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED REPORT COMPARISON
25	2014 2013.

1	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
2	If not, received and filed.
3	MS. REED: 3-E. TAX ASSESSOR'S
4	REPORT FOR HEARING DATES TO BE HELD DECEMBER
5	3 AND 4, 2014.
6	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
7	If not, received and filed.
8	MS. REED: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
9	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD ON
10	OCTOBER 22, 2014.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
12	If not, received and filed. Are there any
13	announcements from council?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: I have one.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Please.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. The week
17	of November 17 there will be no paper pick
18	up to allow for the leaves to be picked up
19	throughout the city from the DPW. People
20	are encouraged to bag their leaves and the
21	DPW will be around to pick those bags up.
22	Thank you.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Also, in kind of the
24	same vein for the evening, this Sunday at
25	9:00 is the Coaches vs. Cancer breakfast.

If anyone would like to attend tickets can be purchased at the door. Breakfast starts at 9 a.m. and the funds go to the Coaches vs. Cancer program. Many of the funds used directly in the Scranton area for various cancer related purposes and if you do decide to go to the dinner it is -- or the breakfast, it is at Scranton Prep High School 9:00 on this coming Sunday and I know they had at least one distinguished speaker, moi.

Also, just for kind of general knowledge sake, the next couple of weeks with council become extremely busy, and I would just like to at least make people aware of what will be taking place in the coming meetings.

First of all, on November 20 at 5:00 there will be a hearing on SAPA. SAPA will be reintroduced. There will be a public hearing at 5:00 and there will be a motion that evening to bring SAPA legislation back in Seventh Order for a final vote.

Also, at the November 20 meeting at 5:30 that will be a public hearing on the

budget. We must hold that public hearing by
December 1 and so with Thanksgiving being
the Thursday the public hearing on the
budget will be held next week at 5:30.

Also, next week, a busy week, there will be during our caucus time we will hold a caucus here in council chambers. There will be representatives from of the OECD to explain the legislation, the loan legislation, that is on tonight's agenda dealing with the thing it's Steamtown Mall Partners, so that will be the immediately following the budget hearing, the public hearing on the budget. If it extends into the meeting time we will start the meeting late.

There will be no meeting on the 27th because of Thanksgiving. On December 4 -- no -- okay. December 11, the Audubon legislation dealing with Audubon, which will be tabled tonight, will be brought back from the table, and then on the 18th of December, which will be our last meeting of the year, there will be a public hearing at 5:30 on the zoning change for Audubon and that will

be brought back in Seventh Order on that evening for a final vote.

So a number of things taking place over the course of the next few weeks. If you have any questions about any of that or what's taking place please feel free to contact the Clerk's Office for that information, and thank you.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Good evening. Joan Hodowanitz. The last couple of days I have been attending the public hearings on Colt's proposed reduction in services and I went to the one that was held last night at 5 p.m.

The session was hosted by I believe he pronounces his name Mr. Fium, who is the executive director of the Colt's, and he opened his remarks to the public by saying that Colt's had been over funded and therefore had to repay \$6 million. I don't know what over funded means. I think it's a little Orwellian newspeak, but something is not right over at Colt's. Maybe it's right

now, it wasn't right in the past, but something is wrong. I don't think PennDOT -- go ahead.

MR. MCGOFF: I was going to say, I know the over funding is based on the senior citizens numbers --

MS. HODOWANITZ: Oh, I understand that but the term over funded I don't think you will be find that in any dictionary I ever read. Let's face it, something is wrong over there and if we have to do something as drastic as eliminate all Saturday service we need to get to the bottom of this. I think something not legal was done and so we are -- we have been put in this position.

I wrote you all a letter in which I have asked you to consider getting together with other local representatives about what might be done to change the plan that they are looking at right now, because if Colt's go ahead and cuts all Saturday service and adjusts a lot of the weekday routes and times a lot of the people are going to be hurt.

•

MR. MCGOFF: Ma'am --

MS. HODOWANITZ: This is a quality of life issue. I know it's not on your agenda and it's not going to come up under legislation, but as representatives of the people of Scranton you should care that people are going to lose jobs, people are going to find it hard to get around. I am one of those people that rides the bus six days a week. Yes, sir?

MR. MCGOFF: Just to interject, I know Representative Flynn has organized a meeting with various other elected officials to which Scranton City Council has been invited.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I hope you have the opportunity to attend and see what might be done because we need better mass transportation not cutbacks in mass transportation. Remember, if people lose jobs you are going to lose tax revenue and you are just going to have pick up the bill for people who can't support themselves. This is what we call in the Army a bohica moment. You know the term bohica? No?

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Bend over, here it comes again. That's a bohica moment, but enough about Colt's. I will be watching how that goes in the next few weeks.

I noticed that on Halloween, most appropriately, Governor Corbett signed the changes to Act 47 which means the clock is ticking for the City of Scranton, whether it's five years, eight years, whatever the number ends up being we are not going to be distressed forever, so I hope that is kept in mind as we look at the budget. There is not much I can say about the budget tonight because I haven't had a chance to review the actual document. I just saw Mr. Lockwood's summary in this morning's paper. nice getting up, going to the door and taking in the paper and seeing that my real estate taxes are going up 19 percent. That was fun.

And also looking that there are going to be some additions to the staff, and those have to be looked at closely.

Apparently you want to offset that with reduction in other areas or fund them with

22

23

24

25

grants. That's all fine and well. hope that whatever staff we have the people have the right skills set. I don't want to get up here every week again next year and ask you what's the status of the city budget for 2013 and '14 and '15 and so on and so on, okay? I would love to see the job descriptions for everybody that's a city employee, especially those in the Business Administrator's Office. Are they required to know governmental accounting. I don't know, I'd love to see those job descriptions. I don't know if those are online or if those are accessible to the public or maybe you can get them with a Right-to-Know request, but we had a shortfall this year in terms of preparing a budget, we didn't have people that had those skills. We need to that corrected for next year or all we are going to do is shoot ourselves in the foot.

So I will be back next week, hopefully we will be able to get the document on after you introduce tonight and look at it closely. Thank you.

Ш

2

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton,

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. BOLUS: It's a little

disturbing, I took a look at your budget and, you know, I have taken a hard look where Scranton is and where Scranton is going and I see Scranton going in a reverse. The last couple of days I have attended the Colt's bus hearings and listened to the people who rely on our mass transportation. I want to ask, has anybody from council attended any of these meetings at all? Okay. I think it was your responsibility to be there because this is about the city, it's about our citizens. To hear these people talk about how are they going to get around and what happened that caused this. The same thing that's happened in this city, fiscal mismanagement and cronyism. I asked the question over there, over \$6 million on ghost ridership was done, yet if somebody walked in over at the mall and walked into

another store and took a shirt off a coat hanger and put it in their purse they would be charged with a shoplifting and probably looking at two years in jail, yet there has been no prosecution or attempt to prosecute the theft of \$6 1/2 dollars for whatever reason. Still stolen money that has to be paid back because if it wasn't stolen we wouldn't have to pay it back. It would be a gift.

Then I ask where is the Attorney?

General? Where is the District Attorney?

Where is Scranton City Council pushing that prosecution take place, that the people responsible for helping demise this city even further and kill Saturday business because the buses aren't going to run on Saturday? That's an impact here on the city. And this is a responsibility of all of our elected officials to stand up and be counted, and it was disturbing, let me tell you, to listen to these people.

I took my time and a lot of other people took their time, but I saw very few county or state representatives there.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These people are walking away, so I think we should stand up be and counted. Mr. McGoff, it was kind of funny, as I stood and saw how empty it was and you had made the statement in the past, I'm not here being argumentative, okay, I make things happen. That's the difference between me and a lot of other people.

We want to bring a casino here and you called it a Herculean task. The only Herculean task we ask of you people is to participate in making it happen because it's a revitalization of downtown Scranton. not concerned about Wilkes-Barre, I'm not concerned about the Poconos, what they do or can't do, but we have a ghost town mall in the City of Scranton that could bring life You are building an intermodal terminal. What are you going to put in there, empty spaces? Because there is nothing to come here for, and yet we have a budget that you want the people in this city to keep paying and paying and paying.

I bought the Holy Cross Church to save history in this city. Right now I have

3

1

4

6

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a \$46,000 tax bill on me because it was assessed for 500,000 because I wouldn't sell the Holy Cross hall back to the Diocese that a district judge in this city had made a deal to buy it for \$2 but never filed his deed because he was waiting for the city to tear the church down and make it a parking lot. That's what's wrong in Scranton. It stinks. It's like the landfill out there. It stinks.

I came in here and I supplied this council, keep it in mind, remember that, a lab report showing what was going into that landfill, yet I didn't hear a single comment from anybody on this council yet you just heard about pancreatic cancer and other Those carcinogens that I showed you issues. are cancer causing issues, yet nobody stood here and stood up and went to the Dunmore council and defended our health and welfare in this city, and there is a meeting tonight, is anybody going to it to the defend the city? Are you going to defend maybe putting in the leachate line in the budget that they paid us as a host community

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to put more money in our pockets? Are you going to amend the budget to put in a fee across everybody in the city that includes the nonprofits to bring the additional millions of dollars in here? And those are just for openers.

Windmills on the mountain, lower electricity for our people in the city. How about looking into some of the land we own and putting gas wells in? You can't just sit here and be in the blind and just write and ask everybody to pay the City of Scranton debt that was created from council after council and the administration in the past. You guys can't bury your head in the sand anymore, you've got to run the city like a business and unless you are running it like a business you are going to be bankrupt and you are taking it out on the people in the city. Just remember what's going on with Colt's and everything else and then stand up and be accountable. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Bolus Doug Miller.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MILLER: Good evening. Doug Miller, Scranton. You know, without having had a chance to review the budget document it's entirety because it has yet to be made available to the public, I did take some time earlier today to just briefly peruse some of the summaries that have been put forth to the public, and again, without really having had to get into a whole lot of detail with the budget it's quite apparent that it's nothing more than another pathetic document to come forward that just continues to place burden after burden on the residents of this city. You know, we have had one failed plan after another come forward. We listened to Amoroso, we listened to Bulzoni, you know, and all of these other individuals that came forward stating facts that we are already well aware of or at least I hope we were.

We talked about the need to cut the budget by 30 percent and that didn't happen. What we have here is a 19 percent tax increase. We want to now triple the LST from \$52 to \$156. We want to now add more

24

25

positions by funding them with grant money and that's a real pet peeve of mine. know, we look at these budgets and year after year, I mean, how much longer are we going to continue to function our city on grant money? I mean, don't you think that's kind of embarrassing that we have to fund our city on grant money? What happens when that grant money runs out because that does happen and then what do we do? I mean, when you look around we really are a laughing stock and it truly is embarrassing and frustrating to come forward year after year and deal with these positions because we just continue to kick the same can down the road. You know, we listen to people on this council, we have council members talk about how their opinion can be wrong but never be We have Mr. McGoff who thinks his expertise is more relevant than a judge. То think that we even had the audacity to go back and appeal the commuter tax is just There is no common sense absolutely absurd. behind it because you knew one thing you were putting a punishment on people that

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

weren't responsible for our mismanagement, but why did we negligent a commuter tax again because you knew that you would have to put it on the residents of this city and you knew darn well you weren't going to do that, but you don't have a problem putting a 19 percent tax increase on them.

Why don't you for one day, maybe this weekend, gentlemen, you should go through the city, okay, and knock on doors and talk to the seniors who struggle on a daily basis. Go talk to the single mothers, the fathers, all of the people out there working two or three jobs just to make ends meet and look them in the face and justify a 19 percent tax increase, and you all think it's a joke with your smirk but you buried them last year with a 57 percent tax increase and now you are going to bury them again with more taxes because you people are There is not one independent incompetent. person seated on this council other than who showed at times Mr. Gaughan who has been willing to stand forward and challenge various things that have gone on in this

chamber.

But other than that we have a council majority that is just going to continue to carry the water for this administration and that's not going to change because you haven't shown one sign of independence and it's really frustrating. It really, really is sad. It's a sad state of affairs. Forget this budget, forget the nonsense because if you not willing to make drastic changes and drastic cuts then the only thing you can should be doing is going down to Lackawanna County Court with a bankruptcy petition and get it over with because you have had a chance to make real significant changes here and you have failed to do it.

Putting it on the same people and going to the same people year after year with your little handout bag and asking them to give something they don't have is a waste of time and you should have figured that out by now, at least some of you, because for some of you this isn't your first stint here and for those that are here, the newcomers,

24

25

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you live here and you understand stand the challenges this city faces and it's unfair to punish those who had nothing to do with the incompetence.

Unfortunately, there are voters out there that made decisions based on things that weren't really relative to facts. let politics and political affiliations and yard signs a whole lot of other silliness influence elections. Reality doesn't take place in the city government. We have mental midgets on the Scranton Times comments who want to tell us how to do things and they have all the answers, let them come down here and make their comments.

You know, I listen to a lot of the speakers that come forward every week and I have a lot of respect for a lot of them because they will dedicated people who come down here every week, a lot of them unfairly get picked on by a lot of these geniuses out there that have all of the answers, well, come on down. Come on down to council. have been coming here for 12 years. Mr. Morgan has been coming down here for 20

5

6

4

7 8

10

9

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Mr. Sbaraglia, Mr. Bolus has been going down. You know, when we had a chance to put somebody independent in that seat, and this isn't anything personal, Mr. Evans, but of all of the candidates that came forward in this latest vacancy appointment there was only one candidate that stood out that was independent and who has been coming to this podium for decades who is probably more in tune with the way the government functions than most of the people seated up of this council to be quite honest and that's Mr. Morgan. And when you had a chance to put somebody independent up there you chose to be political as usual, and that's when we have to ask ourselves are we basing our decisions on fact and what's right to bring independence in this city or we going to yet again do the political thing and I think we know the answer to that question.

I think the best thing to do in the next election is maybe as Mr. Morgan did for Mr. Sbaraglia when he went and got him signatures to put his name on the --

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller. 1 MR. MILLER: Maybe I'll got next 2 3 time and I'll get signatures and we'll put Mr. Morgan's name on the ballot and bring 4 5 independence to this city because --MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 6 7 MR. MILLER: -- that's the only way 8 we are going to turn this city around. 9 are welcome, Mr. McGoff, and I would really appreciate when I come forward that I don't 10 11 need council members laughing and 12 snickering, let's be professional here. We 13 have serious issues --14 MR. MCGOFF: We are. MR. MILLER: -- and I don't think 15 16 they are laughable. 17 MR. MCGOFF: We are. Well, you are 18 the one that abuses the time. 19 MR. MILLER: No, I'm here to come 20 forward, it's my right of speech. MR. MCGOFF: You are abusing it even 21 22 more. 23 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Ron Ellman. 25 MR. ELLMAN: I am merry to see you

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

gentlemen I guess. I use gentlemen as a courtesy. Please forgive me tonight, my back is killing me because of this weather I think. I mean, it really -- I'm also stopped up, my back hurts and I know it's not old age so I can blame it on the weather.

You know, I find our absentee mayor and four of council, because I think Mr. Gaughan is more in touch with his constituents truly just a disappointment to say the least. There is just nothing positive coming out of these meetings for It's the same old fall back of the taxpayers because they are defenseless. Like I keep telling you, you just are in a different circle than most of the people like a third of the city is and today's paper it just killed some people. It's just terrible news for a lot of people. You know, as far as I'm concerned we elected you five people and the mayor, but we got Mr. Amoroso running the city and telling us what to do. He is dictating everything. His name is in the paper more than anybody

else's. I didn't vote for him. I don't know anyone that voted for him. To me he is just a waste of time and money and I don't know who is paying it but to -- I hope it's not any of our tax money for him because he hasn't brought one minute positive thing to this city, not one. If he has, I'd like to know what it is.

You know, the last meeting I mentioned King's College is paying the city of Wilkes Barre taxes for ten years. Why couldn't Amoroso try to do something with these vermin that run these colleges that have been stealing our property blind. Four million dollars at Lackawanna, he hasn't done nothing about that. The tax raises are going to come near between now and the end of the year, what else is going to be stolen from the taxpayers?

I asked Mr. Hinton, and I told you at the last meeting a simple question, I asked what the tax -- what the building permit cost for the new University building, he refused to divulge any information to me. You know, I don't have a computer and I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

don't know how to get all of that stuff, but like I said, I used to phone Mr. Seitzinger and he would tell me everything. What is so secretive that they won't divulge this information to me?

I don't know the legalities, but why couldn't someone talk to Mr. Blake and the commissioners about giving us a relief on the county taxes even temporarily? We are not going to get -- we are just not going no where except raises taxes. It's costing people's homes, it's costing all of this abandonment. The neighborhoods are in decline. I keep complaining about the neighborhood, there was couches on the street for a couple of weeks and finally one of them got picked up. There is cars on all of the sidewalks because there is no curbs because HUD gives the money to developers instead of the city, it just keeps going on and on and like that.

Then when you pay for three or four inches of payment you get like one. As soon as Main Avenue was paved it was falling apart. They put in those cuts, in less than

a year the cuts were just deplorable. They froze and everything else because they had too much chalk in it see they dry fast.

I don't see why somebody can't talk to the school board and see about a surcharge on the people that got children in school instead of raising our taxes over and over and over again. You know, there are people that haven't had children in school 10, 20, 50 years. I have talked to people that 50 years they haven't had a kid in school and they have to pay taxes.

What good are you guys doing? You are not helping us? You are not doing nothing for the city for people like me and a lot of others out there. You know, it's just going downhill, and let me say one thing else before I go, I am just like everybody else out here I can't stand having to pay the police and the firemen these millions of dollars, but we are blessed with a hell of a good police department and fire department. I was watching them on TV today fighting a fire and as much as I hate to say it I think they are worth every penny that

1 we have to give them, I really do, and I 2 don't have no tickets or nothing I want 3 taken care of I really mean that. I thank you for letting me come up here again. 4 5 Sometimes I want to quit coming, but who would keep you on your toes, you know? 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. 8 MR. ELLMAN: Especially you. Did I 9 give you a headache again? 10 MR. MCGOFF: Not yet. 11 MR. ELLMAN: I've got some aspirin 12 in the car. Thank you for allowing me to 13 talk, Mr. McGoff. 14 MR. MCGOFF: Oh, my pleasure. MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 15 16 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes 17 to address council? 18 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 19 Lee Morgan. You know, listening to 20 Mr. Ellman and some of the other speakers, 21 you know, I don't know how many people read 22 history or have really any grasp or 23 understanding of it, but even Rome fell 24 under the weight of its own corruption and, 25 you know, we can sit here and we can laugh

and we can pretend that things don't matter and that we can keep making laws and keep pushing people to the limit, but I don't think we should ever forget how this country was born and we ran the British out of this country and now we have the state police charging Mr. Frein with, I don't know, trying to start a revolution, but you know something, I hear a lot of people in general saying how disgusted they are with their country and their government and then I see the people the city has elected to lead us and some of them smirk and smile as if the administration of the government is a joke, and it most certainly is not.

And, you know, we look to our elected leaders to find solutions to problems, but not to create them and that's what's occurred here and in many places across our country. It's just a terrible place we have come to. It really is. And, you know, we have blamed so many people for our problems, especially in Scranton. You know, we have blamed Sister Adrian Barrett for bringing the poor people here. You

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, we have blamed landlords for the decline in the city, but we have never really had a council or a mayor willing to admit that they've misadministrated. The city, that they have caused all of these problems.

We don't have a city government willing to push this government into bankruptcy. We are to going to keep going to the poor and pretending that the poor can keep giving when they can't. I don't know, I think it's beyond the point of being able to give anymore, we have got the mall almost collapsed. You know what I'd like to see happen? I'd like to see city council, to do a petition to the county commissioners, all right, asking them not to allow Colt's to cut its route or to eliminate Saturday service. I'd like to see a proactive council who understands that things have to change and sometimes in government one branch of the government has to stand up against another instead of saying we are not going to cross into their circle, because I really believe the government believe, the

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

school district believes that at some time you're their server and then, well, the council isn't going to say against the school board and the school board isn't going to say anything against the council and the county commissioners aren't going to say anything about either party either so where are we at here?

We just keeping going, it's like having a magic flute, we just keep following that flute right down the road right off a clip. And, you know, the pathetic thing is the residents in this city allow this to They have been staunch Democrats. happen. They have done such a great job of really making sure that competent people were elected, and I'm not saying the people here aren't competent, but you know what, the council can override the mayor's budget, they can do that. That's why, Mr. Wechsler, when you were Finance Chair I thought it was important for you to share your responsibilities with the other four remaining council members because to be honest with you I knew this day was going to

come and I knew no change was going to come.

I am thankful for one thing, and I'll be very honest, I think SAPA is the way back for this city, but you have to ask yourself one question do the people we've elected know how to make SAPA work for the residents of this city? That's the real question. Because you know something, you can take a pot of gold and hand it to somebody but it doesn't necessarily mean they know what to do with it. We have got real problems that need real solutions. Pretty suits aren't going to help us, double speak isn't going to help, ignoring problems isn't going to help us.

You know, and the sad part is that every time our rights are extinguished by a government body it goes to the high courts, Supreme Court, any Court, and they find out that yes/no your rights were suppressed.

Why should you have to wait to go to a Court for them to tell you your rights were suppressed? And it's happened over and over and over again, and my question is are we really a free people lead by a government by

the people and for them? Are you for us the people or are you our enemy? Are you a thief that comes into our house and steals off of us, and when we call the police they ignore the call. We have to ask ourselves has any of the taxation and any of the legislation that this council in general government has handed us lately done anything? Don't forget, America when I was a child had a lot of jobs, politicians moved them out of the country? We have created our own problems politically and now the political class doesn't want to answer. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, David
Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes paid,
fees paid, and now I noticed on our budget
schedule we have an EMT tax going up once
again and hopefully that will be progressive
because if you are making \$15,000 a year
it's 1 percent of your paycheck. 1 percent.
So if you are making minimum wage you are at
what, 4.4 percent for city taxes? And I'd

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to thank our state officials, once again, they put the Court question into play. Do we ever, ever, ever have the right to assume that money is going to be collectible? It's ashame and it really is not you guys, it's them. Not you people, they put a question in there on everything.

And I'd like to point out once again being redundant sue to replace tax exempt monies to nullify the PA constitution on tax If we have to go to Federal Court exempts. there is 25 to 30 small cities in our same predicament and it just seems that the counties love to locate tax exempts in the cities and then when the trouble starts, well, every year it's 20, 30 percent more in taxes leveled on your property. That's where a landlord has good use and you just get out other than being stuck with it. can't even withhold my taxes if I disagreed with that because I wouldn't be paying on my mortgage, of course, so even if I wanted to play John the rebel I couldn't.

And I'd also like to point out earlier this week the county has given

another tax loan forgiveness to the Chamber of Commerce once again to move businesses out of the Scranton. We have had two major businesses and these businesses that are being located in Olyphant and Moosic require cars to get to, I'd like to point out that a recent ad in the paper a Honda Accord 60,000 miles \$16,000. How in the heck do you pay for that? That's a whole year's wages for somebody making minimum wage and more because they are going to have social security taxes and everything else deducted.

And we really, really need in this next year, I want to see it, we have to start collecting delinquent fees on trash and other city fees. It's wrong, you don't have to go in, you could put a lien against somebody's property, you don't have to go in and collect the money if they don't have it, but when somebody owns multiple properties and they are not paying these fees it's a considerable burden on people. I don't know how somebody could have the nerve to sign up for a post on city council and owe a couple of thousand dollars in back trash fees.

Shame on them. I be ashamed to do that if I was them.

And I see the Mall at Steamtown on 5-K, well, Mr. Boscov just donated 150 to a failed gubernatorial campaign. Fortunately the money didn't do any good, but that's about 8 percent of that loan which is probably a subordinate loan and we are stuck holding the bag once again, so do what you have to do to keep the mall open, but it's time that we start to tighten the vice.

And on a final comment, the golden parrot goes to all of those people that didn't get off their ditty box last Tuesday and vote, and especially in any form or manor, I don't know how they expect democracy to survive like that, but that's the way it is. Don't bother approaching me at the shopping center if you didn't vote and talk about all of the troubles in the worlds. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians.

In January I addressed you and said I expect nothing out of you but higher taxes and you

come through. You want to give us higher taxes, but what else did you do? Give the bank a Christmas present. You gave the University on their parking a pass. You gave the amusement tax cut down and these are the things you did. You did nothing to relieve the problems of the city. There is no relief from the problems of the city.

Now, I read in the paper that you were thinking of some way after pushing everybody's tax up knowing that they can't pay to take their homes quicker under a different plan, is this your reason for raising taxes so high that there are people can't afford to pay the taxes on them so you can really get in there real fast? And you mentioned that and that's not right. That's not right. People should have as much time as the law allows to pay off their taxes before you step in. You don't have to accelerate it. We are already in trouble as we are.

MR. GAUGHAN: What are you speaking about, Land Bank?

MR. SBARAGLIA: That's right. In

25

the paper you didn't give the full details of it, unfortunately, but you did mention it, you want to accelerate it? The way you get I understand, could I Go ahead. MR. GAUGHAN: I think you are under the assumption that people would get kicked out of their homes and not be allowed to pay their taxes that's not it all. It's vacant and abandoned property. It would speed up the process so that the city could go in and not have to deal with clearing the title and going through a sheriff's sale, it makes that process a lot easier. No one gets booted out of their home. That's the farthest thing from the truth. MR. SBARAGLIA: You know what, you have good intentions but for some reason it doesn't work quiet that way now. MR. GAUGHAN: Just --

MR. SBARAGLIA:

When people can't

pay their taxes they are going to be assessed and being forced out. Don't say it doesn't happen.

MR. GAUGHAN: I agree with you, but the thing that I spoke about two weeks ago has worked across the country and myself and Mr. Evans are exploring the possibility of it so it's not a done deal.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Well, I hope not. I don't have any intentions of buying some of these properties up either because that's the problem, too, not that you get into the real estate business.

MS. EVANS: It's a public entity that would be buying the property. Again, these are abandoned, delinquent properties that have been abandoned for years. The last thing anybody wants to see is anybody taken from their house for their taxes or foreclosure or any reason.

MR. GAUGHAN: And if this did go through you would have a public meeting just as we are sitting up here that would be open to the public, there would be minutes and all of that so this is --

MR. SBARAGLIA: I don't know, it sounds a little dangerous to try to accelerate anything with the people property because I saw ladies come here before and said they had paid their taxes, but in the mean time they had the property sold because it didn't clear through. I watched a lot of that stuff. I have been coming here a probably almost as old as you are.

MR. GAUGHAN: Right. I understand your concern and if we do, you know, move forward with this I would be more than willing to sit down with you and go through the whole process if it gets to that point.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Definitely. When that comes out before you I will read this in detail and look at all aspects of it because you have to.

MR. GAUGHAN: Right.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Now, you are thinking of bringing up SAPA again, which I consider sap. The Abingtons are making a sap out of us and that's what it is. They want their air up their clean and they want to dump everything down into us. That's the

23

24

25

whole thing of SAPA. It's not appropriate that we should be even thinking about. should think about somehow combining with Dickson City, Taylor, Minooka and things like that. Them are the people that we have to really associate. Like I told before, I could step one foot in Scranton and one foot in them locales with no problem. How far would I have to stretch my feet to get up to This is the things you got the Abingtons? to look at. We have to work with the people in the valley and you made no effort at all to get out there to do that for the valley. That's where our heart is, that's where our people are, that's where the people you have to work with. The people of the Abingtons didn't want to associate with us, that's why they are up there. They didn't want to have In fact, that's the whole idea they us. want to have clear up air up there. don't care what happens to us down here and we have enough problems. Well, I'm not going to get into. Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening, Marie Schumacher.

_

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First, because it's relative to the budget I'd like to ask our Finance Chair are the line items pure? We have a lot of or several accounts, for instance, in 2013 the landfill fees were not paid with the understanding they would be paid over the next three years, so is the money that's in the landfill now how much of that is the one-third of the three-year payment?

MR. EVANS: I'll find out for you.

We've had some discussions but I haven't had
a chance to go through the budget, yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: The same with real estate, we have got -- we had several unfunded debts, like about \$15 million worth that we are directed by the Courts to spread over ten years and come out of the property taxes, is the property tax item all of the property taxes or is that less the money that was put into other account and what is that account?

MR. EVANS: I'll find out.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And two weeks ago,

as long as we are on this, you promised you would get me the -- you would provide next week and you didn't meet last week the number of the percent of the people who did not pay their trash fee?

MR. EVANS: I know that -- you want to answer that?

MR. WECHSLER: Those numbers aren't finalized yet because they are still determine the vacant property, people are appealing their bills saying that they didn't rent them, so those numbers aren't finalized yet.

MR. EVANS: And I just talked to Treasurer Wayne Beck and he stated the same thing, the problem is that now people are coming in appealing the fact that their properties were vacant and they were sent a bill.

MS. SCHUMACHER: But of the bills that were sent out what percentage have paid? My calculation was roughly the same as other years, 75 percent.

MS. EVANS: Yeah, we'll find out for you.

1 MS. SCHUMACHER: And so 25 percent 2 aren't paid. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Again, that's something we have refine -- -4 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: My time. 6 MR. WECHSLER: Well, you asked me 7 the question. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: You can do it in 9 Fifth Order if you have more comments. 10 Mr. Gaughan, you said that the DPW will be 11 picking up bags of leaves, what happened to our having vacuum truck? I mean, I know a 12 lot of people who have put their leaves out 13 14 into the -- practically in the street in some instances, are they not using the 15 16 vacuum truck this year? 17 MR. GAUGHAN: I'm not exactly 100 18 percent sure but I will definitely find out 19 about that. MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, that would be 20 21 of interest --22 MR. GAUGHAN: The notice said they 23 were picking up -- it said bags but I'll 24 double check. 25 MS. SCHUMACHER: The snow removal

	∥
1	policy, is there a further breakdown, like,
2	sections of the city or is just on your
3	trash day?
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Are you referring to
5	what they put on the city website?
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: No, I'm referring
7	to the leaf pick up, is that going to be on
8	the day you said there is not going to a
9	trash collection?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: There will be no paper
11	pick up next week.
12	MS. SCHUMACHER: Paper pick up.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: So in lieu of that
14	they are picking up the leaves.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: So whatever day
16	your
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Paper is; right.
18	MS. SCHUMACHER: Is the day they
19	would be there, okay. Thank you.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: You're welcome.
21	MS. SCHUMACHER: And then are we
22	going to have a new snow removal policy?
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Well, there is I
24	was working on one and have given ideas to
25	the mayor and DPW Director Gallagher. They

did put something on the website, although it's not exactly what I had envisioned, but, you know, I plan on meeting with them again and trying to refine what they had put on the website because it's in my opinion should be a little bit more information and should be done differently.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, it would be nice to clue the public in on what's going on.

MR. GAUGHAN: Right, and I definitely will.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And, Mr. Rogan, awhile back you were going to go and find out what happened to that Kabbalah building that we put an at least over a million dollar slate roof on and nothing has happened to it that was promised, what did you find out about that?

MR. ROGAN: I did actually have some documents on the grants on that. I don't have them with me, but I will try to bring them.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You can tell me the gist of it, I don't need to see the

documents.

MR. ROGAN: I don't remember offhand and -- excuse me, I will bring them for next week though.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, and then I would like to talk also on the Keystone Landfill. Is the city going to get involved in our behalf? Next week I will bring you the pictures of what the mountain is that separates us from the trash fill and see how much higher that mountain of garbage is going to be, and the answer I got, I think I told you before when I went to the meeting down at the state building and asked about the owner because we get terrible owners when the wind blows the correct he said, "Well, yeah, you are going to get odors, it's a pile of garbage."

And I think, you know, you should be protecting our interests. I think our city engineer should be involved. He did not attend the -- he did not attend that hearing where they were invite -- everybody was invited. I mean, are we going to have any involvement or are we just going to be cut

21

22

23

24

25

1

off same as -- and I'll just close with this if I may, too, I called on the -- because I couldn't make any of the direct meetings for the Colt's buses, but I called to see what they were doing with the East Mountain bus and they didn't even have a firm route that they could tell me but the only thing they said was it looks as though they are going to be just going up and down Moosic Street, so there's not even going to be an East Mountain bus anymore, and I know several little old ladies that live there that are well over 90 that are still independent because of those buses for their food and for getting in and out and it's going to be very bad if that happens. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. GALLAGHER: Good evening.

MR. MCGOFF: Good evening.

MS. GALLAGHER: If I'm repeating anything that someone else said it's because I really didn't hear everything too clearly, so I hope you will be a little bit patient with me. When I looked at the paper this

2

3

5

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

morning my peaceful day was ended.

MR. MCGOFF: Would you -- I don't mean to interrupt, would you just give your name?

MS. GALLAGHER: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm Rose Mary Gallagher and I'm a taxpayer in Scranton 57 nears. I'm not 57, I'm 84, but anyway. Wayne, you don't have to faint. But anyway, I really am so struck by the lack of equality in this city. I'm sure other cities suffer from the same thing, but through the years I have found out there are two problems really, one is attitude, and the other is the fact that taxpayers are in a position of being an inverted pyramid. There are a few of us and we are supporting everybody else and, you know, that really has to come to an end. It's not going be an abrupt end, but I think we have to work our way to that point. The other day I read in the paper that there are almost 500, Wayne, can check me out on that, properties for sale in this city, and for the size of the city that is an inordinate amount of people who want to sell their properties. But, you

know, it's gotten to the point where that's really what they have to do and we are forced into it and we don't like it and I'm asking you to take whatever steps necessary to prevent that from happening.

As far as the attitude is concerned once again, oh, well, the property owners can support this. I can remember going to a school board meeting a couple of years ago and the superintendent said, "Oh, well, we'll simply raise taxes."

And I said, "Of course, of course that's what you want to do because it's the easiest thing to do."

We are like sitting ducks. You know, we can't run, we can't do anything about it except sell our properties which we certainly don't want to do and go ahead and raise taxes, but how many people are going to be able to afford to pay those taxes and why should they? Why should the class of runs the city be a little more equalized. You know for yourself, I don't have to tell you, that the government, the seat of government is here, county, city, federal,

24

25

judicial and so on. I doubt that they pay They should be approached if it's legal and ask them to pay something at least just like we do with the University, we ask them to pay something in lieu of taxes, and there are other nonprofits that can pay a little bit and if they can't well then they are free to move out of city, they don't have to stay here. They are performing a wonderful service, let me tell you that, and I'm sure it's easier for people from the outskirts to come into the city than vise versa, but maybe they can make a suggestion about how they can share some of the expenses of the city.

I noticed, too, that -- so we have change our attitude. I know Chris Kelly that everybody just loves has said on any number of occasions, "And that's how it is." In other words, we are so accustomed to be taken advantage of that we just say, "Uh, okay," something or other, we are going to have to come forth with this money, but it's getting to the point really I think we have about 20 percent, Wayne can help me out

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there, too, at least 20 percent of the population is elderly and isn't that, that's pretty close? If not --

MS. EVANS: I don't know if it's quite that high. We rank right up there with the highest in the country.

Yeah. So why do we MS. GALLAGHER: have to do all of this? We paid our way and of course we still enjoy the services of our emergency people, and I can't say enough about our fire department or our police department, I have had experiences with both of them and they have been very, very positive, so they are worth what they get, but there again I understand that the mayor is very close to the unions, I don't know if that's factual, but anyway, they have been given a tremendous amount of money which they didn't earn they got it because of interest and I think they can be approached and said, you know, we appreciate what you are doing, we really do, but do you have to make 80 or 90 thousand dollars when the average wage here is the city is probably I think somehow or other they can be 45?

convinced that they are going to be really, oh, what do I say, really involved with the city, which they are. I mean, that's where their living comes from, but they can give some of it back or at least not expect raises in the future. And that's another thing, too, why do we expect to give people raises every year? I mean, you know, it just shouldn't be. They can't take it for granted and I think we can borrow some ideas from the business community.

That leads me to talk about the five people who are going to be hired. I don't know how we can do that in clear conscience because I don't know what it is now, but when I was in the field and I was a development officer so I was raising money for everybody, 80 percent of different organizations pay 80 percent of the payroll -- of the budget, I'm sorry, goes into payroll. Whether it's still the same now I don't know, but I don't know if we need that. You know, in the commercial world if somebody is fired or quits or something else instead of hiring somebody to replace them

they spread the work to other people and we might want to consider that.

So I hope I have offered some ideas, but I do want you to know that I'm very involved with the city, I love the city, I congratulate you for accepting the responsibilities you have because they are very heavy and so I do appreciate all of that. Thank you for this time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: It was good to see you back in our chambers. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: She didn't hear you.

MR. MCGOFF: I said it's good to see you back in our chambers.

MS. GALLAGHER: Oh, thank you. I have been ill for about three years so I'm sort of out of it, but I'm back into it.

MS. BOZAK: Good evening, city council. My name is Elaine Bozak, I'm a Scranton taxpayer. I'm here tonight to discuss the SAPA plan. I'm really concerned about the SAPA plan because I have done a lot of research on planning associations over the past four years which lead me to a

topic that is very well-known, it's called UN Agenda 21. The United States attended the Earth Summit in Rio de Janiero in 1992. That's where all of these planning sessions came together. They brought these ideas back to the United States and on June 10, 1992, curiously Scranton is declared a distressed city. President Clinton one year later signs an executive order for the Presidents Council on Sustainable Development. Sustainable development came out of the Earth Summit in Rio de Janiero. In 2000 Governor Tom Ridge signed the Smart Growth Law which is Act 67 and 68, which you well know is directly tied to the SAPA plan.

I'm concerned because what I have researched has brought me to a website entitled "Democrats Against UN Agenda 21."
They are traveling across this country, they are based in California, they have been fighting sustainable development and land planning for the past ten years. They have a website, they have speakers that will actually come out and inform the public about their planning commissions and, you

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, we have the -- we are under the assumption that we have a choice with SAPA, but do we? It is already a law, it's Smart Growth. Tom Ridge signed it into law in 2000 so where is the choice?

Now, this has created many problems across this country with properties, cities going bankrupt salivate over these plans because it seems like it's going to be a salvation for them and it actually turns out to be a nightmare. More and more people are losing their properties. It's funny Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned about Falls area, they were the first one, by the way, to get into the SAPA plan. He talked about them wanting to keep their air pure. Well, basically that's the truth because the Earth Summit focuses on environmentalism. Actually, it's radical environmentalism. They want to see all of the suburban areas brought into major urban areas, multiple housing units. Take for example our project on the 500 block of Lackawanna Avenue. That's a perfect example. This is one example that you will hear the Democrats

against UN Agenda 121 talk about. You have the cityscape, narrower lanes for driving, you have empty store fronts with multiple apartments on top, which usually the store fronts are empty, so I want people to start doing some research before we actually take a vote on that. If you are not aware of the connection I would suggest that maybe take a look at it, and I thank you for your time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. I'm not going to get into much specifics on the budget because we just received it yesterday just like everyone else, just a few comments on it. I would like to thank Business Administrator Dave Bulzoni and the administration for giving us a workable document that we can use for analysis and evaluating where we are going to go with this, but I also think it's a

useful tool that the average citizen can also work with him.

I would also -- my first glance is that the projections and the revenue and expenses seem to be fairly accurate based upon the most current information that we have, and I think that any budget that's what is the basis of a good budget. I anticipate next year's budget, as we talk about all of the time our audit is not complete, I do anticipate next year that the audit will be done on time and a better tool for making our next budget.

One thing that did come to mind as I looked at this is the tax increase is significant, I felt that it was something actually that should have been done last year. We are kind of making up for lost time. As we look at this, and the part of that problem has been is in the past budgets were not based on accurate information, and we also went several years without any tax increase, and to me looking back at it that is something that can't happen. There is always increases in expenses, and we also

increased our salaries, we increased a lot of things without tax increases and now it's time for that to be paid.

I'm also thinking that since the budget is not finalized yet, and know it's not a popular idea, I also think that we should take a look back at the commuter tax. The commuter tax for the reason being is that as we heard tonight senior citizens are going to be adversely effected by this property tax increase. The commuter tax is spread over all of the workers and it's spread over all of the salaries and I think we owe it as an obligation, it is an option provided to us by the state, I think it is something that we should look at again.

In regards to the additional employees that are being added to the budget, for the most part those employees are being added to address a lot of the concerns that we hear at council. In order for us to operate efficiently, to enforce our ordinances I do believe that we need some more help and some more professional management to assist us in running the city.

And as I said, as we get into looking at the budget more I will have more comments next weak.

I would also like to touch on the HUD loan. Once again, this is another problem, financial problem in this city that we are obligated to pay, and I would like to thank Senator Casey for his assistance in helping us get that spread out over a longer period of time and I'm sure Mr. Rogan is going to speak about it a little bit more, and also leaves the possibility open that the Mall Associates will eventually help us pay off that debt.

In regards to the question about the garbage fee and percentage of the people that are paying it, I have been talking with Wayne Beck and Dave Bulzoni most of the year about getting a more efficient reporting system for collection of the garbage tax, and they have been working on it also very hard. The problem being is that the percentages are hard to calculate because there is only a number of the amount of bills mailed out, and the payments are not

kept track of in an efficient manner because you don't know if the whole bill is paid, half the bill was paid or if none of the Then we also have the bill was paid. problem that all of the bills are mailed out to everyone and every year they have to demonstrate, the landlords, they have to demonstrate by use of their electric bills if the property was rented or not, and they have almost until the end of September to get that information in, so once again we are at the end of the year and we don't have an efficient picture of where we were in mailing of the bills and/or efficiency in collecting them, but it is something that I have been working with the administration on and with the agency handling this and I'm hopeful next year we will have a better reporting system. Thank you, Mr. McGoff.

> MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I will be fairly brief tonight as well. First regarding the budget, obviously we just received this document very recently so council will be reviewing it before making

20

21

22

23

24

25

more in-depth statements, but just a couple of observations after a quick glance at the document. One thing, and this is going to echo what Councilman Wechsler said, one of the things the city cannot afford to repeat is what happened two years ago when the city accounts went dry and we couldn't afford to pay our police officers and firefighters minimum wage, and by looking through I can tell that Business Administrator Bulzoni put a lot of work into it as far as getting the projections to be realistic and that's something that the last item of the years and, remember, I voted against the budget two years ago because of the reason that, you know, the things that were put in the budget were completely off the wall and they never materialized. So it needs to be realistic, that the numbers that are put in this budget will be obtained.

I think the issue actually a few years ago was the commuter tax. The commuter tax was put in prior to receiving any approvals and on that issue, I do agree with Councilman Wechsler that that's

something that the city should continue to push for because it will reduce the tax burden on the senior citizens and the working people in the City of Scranton.

Also, the same goes for the increase in the LST tax. I do support that because instead of putting the burden on property owners this tax will be paid by workers, city residents and non-city residents, which is important to get money from those who do commute to our city, but I'm sure we will have all more to say about the budget in coming weeks.

Next, regarding the item on the agenda for the HUD loan with the Steamtown Mall Associates, I did speak to Linda Aebli and OECD Solicitor Gene Hickey today regarding that and to sum it up basically instead of paying the money that's owed to HUD in one lump sum like we had to do earlier in the year and we had to go through and cut programming money for different programs, this will be spread out over a longer period of time so we can still continue to fund any of those programs in

the community and some of the more popular ones that we see the results of every day are neighborhood police patrols in our neighborhoods, paving in low to moderate income areas, and the demolition of hazardous structures in low to moderate income areas, so we would hate to see a year without any of those activities, so by spreading it out over a longer period of time it does give us some leeway to continue with many of those programs.

Finally regarding SAPA, SAPA will be for, as Mr. McGoff mentioned, for a final vote on next week's meeting. I voted against the SAPA plan about two years ago or three years ago, I will be voting against it again this time. I have not been convinced that this plan is in the best interest of the residents of Scranton. As mentioned by some speakers at the council meeting tonight and in previous meetings, all of the pressure to adopt this legislation has come from outside of the city from groups, as Mr. Sbaraglia mentioned, in the Abingtons. I just don't see how it would be a help to

the city. I think we have our own planning and the city should look to the city, look out for the city first. The Abingtons have a lot of say in this SAPA plan. What they want for the region isn't necessarily what the people of Scranton need. I am sure we will be commenting much more on that issue next week when that comes up for a final vote. That is all I have for tonight. Though. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. On the budget, I just received a hard copy this evening and, quite frankly, I don't know much other than what I read in the paper today, but my first comment is early in the stage I signed onto a plan that called for a 18 percent tax increase in year one, not a 19 percent tax increase, while we are very close it is something that I still want to look at any reduction, no matter how small is extremely important to our property owners.

Additionally, I felt a large omission is a lack of one-third reduction in

21

22

23

24

25

the mercantile tax as called for in the Amoroso plan. The reduction and ultimate elimination of the mercantile tax must happen to foster economic development in this city. We can no longer simply tax our way out of this situation. We must send a message to the business community that we are listening and that we are hoping for business. Of course, this can be remedied by imposing a payroll tax as allowed for in the revised Act 47 legislation recently passed by the state. Its implementation, which would be revenue neutral in year one, would eliminate both the mercantile tax and the business privilege tax with a broader, fairer tax that is proven to increase revenue in other cities that have adopted it without increasing the tax itself. be asking the administration to commit to the payroll tax and implementation within the first quarter of 2015.

I will continue to beat the drum for following, all of which are a part of the plan: Pension reform. It must happen soon.

Inaction on this issue will lead the city

into receivership and ultimately into bankruptcy.

Reassessment. The state needs to make changes to the laws related to reassessment that were allow for creative approaches like rolling assessments tied to the property value increases once a current reassessment is done. However, the fact of the matter is that Scranton property owners are getting crushed because there has not been a reassessment in over 40 years in Lackawanna County. Our assessments are totally out of line with the real estate values with the rest of the county.

Continued inaction by the county should lead to action by the city.

On PILOTS and taxes and properties, I believe there are far too many properties that should be taxable that are not. I believe that many of these properties would not past the HUB test that determines the status and should be returned to the tax rolls. I also believe that Lackawanna County should request a parcel by parcel review of every tax exempt property in the

county by sending a letter to the property owners asking the owners to substantiate their exempt status for each parcel that they own.

These are just the types of initiatives that ave to be taken to bring positive changes on behalf of our property owners. With that said, I am sure my colleagues and I will have some great discussions on the budget in the coming weeks and I would like to compliment the mayor and BA Bulzoni and his staff for presenting what appears to be a very realistic budget with few, if any, pie in the sky numbers, so thank you again for that.

I'm going to take a little bit of a detour from the normal comments to discuss the Keystone Landfill expansion. I want to make is it clear that my comments are my personal opinions, and I'm not speaking in any way for my fellow councilmen on this issue. I would also like to say that I have a deep respect for all of the DeNaple's brothers and what the DeNaples' family have

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done for our community over the years.

While I certainly wish this was just a

Dunmore issue or just a Throop issue, it is

not. It is so much bigger than that.

As a fiscal conservative, I could applaud the deal that Dunmore Borough has As a councilman and as a negotiated. Scrantonian, I surely understand the financial stress that is it put on our local However, as a human being, as governments. a father and a grandfather I still have tremendous concerns for what this could mean to all of us over our lifetimes. What will be the long-term health impact? What will be the impact on our environment? What will be the impact on our real estate values? And what will be the impact on the overall economy of all of the northeast over the life of this contract?

The concerns expressed by numerous citizens and Dunmore officials seem to have been swept aside in this new agreement. A good deal has compromise beyond enhanced financial benefits to the host community.

This deal still calls for a 50-year

expansion. It still allows for 7,250 tons of trash to be deposited daily with a large amount still coming from New Jersey and New York and it will be still lead to a 470-foot mountain of trash. A good deal? Sadly we may have to wait 50 years to find out. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. I'd like to read a letter that council received from PennDOT regarding Dalton Street in Keyser Avenue. If you remember back to August we had residents from the Keyser Valley in over some problems in this area and businesses that were having problems with traffic on that street. The letter was sent by Tom Picarella, assistant traffic engineer and signals at PennDOT. The letter reads -- it was addressed to our City Clerk, Lori Reed.

"Dear Mrs. Reed, this is a follow-up to our September 9, 2014, response letter to your concerns about trucks turning onto Dalton Street from Keyser Avenue in the City of Scranton, Lackawanna County. Our engineering consultant has completed their

evaluation of what modifications or improvements could be made to Dalton Street to try to accommodate larger vehicles and what the additional costs would be.

Based on the findings, the

Department has decided to proceed with

modifications to the intersection to

accommodate the larger WB62 vehicles. This

will require modifying the signal equipment,

the curbing, and additional roadway widening

which we plan on completing some time this

spring.

If you should have any questions or additional concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me."

Mr. Lewis, who has a business in the Keyser Valley, was concerned so hopefully this takes care of that concern and we thank PennDOT for support and their help.

Secondly, I would like to just give an update on the Fall 2014 paving project in the City of Scranton. Right now all work is expected to be completed by Saturday

November 22, as detailed by the contract, weather permitting. The only part of the

city they have left to pave is the Weston Field area.

I received a complaint about a dangerous parking situation in the 1100 block of Morrell Street on the intersection of North Washington Avenue and Morrell, which is right my Marywood University. I contacted Chief Graziano who sent a highway corporal to assess the situation. He issued a recommendation to install two "No Parking Here to Corner" signs near the intersection with North Washington Avenue and Morrell Street. A request was sent to DPW to install these signs and I'd like to thank Chief Graziano and our DPW Director for their help on that matter.

And the rest of my comments I will reserve until Fifth Order. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Just very briefly, as other council members have mentioned we have not had really adequate to time to review the budget and the proposals, I will say that we have, council and myself in particular, we have been kept informed as to the process and have been involved in

process of formulating this budget to some extent. Many of the ideas have been discussed as to how to proceed with the budget, certainly not everything that council has asked for or looked at has been included in the budget, and over the course of the next couple of weeks we will continue to formalize the budget so that there is an adequate and respectable working document moving into 2015.

As for the particulars, there are a lot of things that have been proposed as far as the budget is concerned. It seems, and I have said before, that many of these proposals or all of these proposals as effect someone, whether it's a senior citizen, whether it's a commuter or whether it's, you know, a working person, someone is effected by these proposals.

We talk about, you know, in the budget proposal the tripling of the LST, well, that's fine, but tripling the LST has a greater effect on someone making less money than it does on somebody making \$100,000. The EIT, or if you want I'll call

it a commuter tax, is that more equitable where you taking a percentage? So that, you know, the person making more money is paying more? How do you -- you know, where do you decide? So all of these are things that come into play.

Mr. Evans mentioned the payroll tax, the payroll tax has been looked at. One of the things that we thought was that the payroll tax would address nonprofits. We find out that possibly that's not true, that they may be exempt from paying a payroll tax were it initiated, so is it worthwhile to pursue that if it's not targeting people that it should?

So, again, we will continue to look at many of these items in the budget and hopefully arrive at something that is manageable for all of the residents of the City of Scranton.

Two other things that were been brought up, the SAPA legislation, yes, we will be voting on that. Again, we will have a public hearing on that, a presentation from the people that are part of the SAPA

2

4

5

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and at that time we will, you know, decide whether council feels and whether the city feels that this is a worthwhile opportunity.

As far as the landfill is concerned, the city has been involved and, you know, the discussions that have gone on because it does effect the City of Scranton. I taught history for 35 years and if history teaches us anything about sacrificing our environment for the almighty dollar they don't have it look further than, you know, Lackawanna valley and what the coal industry did to this area. Yes, it produced a lot of money, but it also cost a great deal to the environment and to the health and safety of the residents of Lackawanna valley. landfill -- you know, is there a need to put refuse somewhere? Yes. And again, we come to a balance that at what point do we say no and what point do we say no to the health and safety of the city or the residents of Lackawanna valley is more important than the economic value that this landfill may bring. We are concerned and we will continue to be concerned, and again, be involved in this

discussion. And that's all I have. Thank 1 2 you. MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -3 4 AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 55, 2013, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED "GENERAL 5 CITY OPERATING BUDGET 2014" BY TRANSFERRING 6 7 \$41,500.00 FROM ACCOUNT NO. 8 01.401.16090.4299 (NON-DEPARTMENTAL 9 EXPENDITURES-UNENCUMBERED EXPENSES PRIOR YEAR OBLIGATIONS) TO THE ACCOUNTS LISTED 10 BELOW TO PROVIDE FUNDING TO SUPPLEMENT THE 11 12 SALT ACCOUNT, MONTHLY PAYMENTS TO THOMSON 13 REUTERS (WEST LAW) AND FUNDING FOR 14 MISCELLANEOUS OFFICE SUPPLIES FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS THROUGH THE PERIOD ENDING 15 16 DECEMBER 31, 2014. MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 17 18 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 19 introduced into its proper committee. 20 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 21 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 22 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 24 I did have a question about what Thomas 25 Rudders West Law and we contacted the Law

21

22

23

24

25

1

Department, I wasn't sure what it was and I'll just explain what it is for a second for anybody else who was confused. The Law Department has a \$5,000 budgeted line item for dues and subscriptions. The subscribe to West Law, which is an online service for legal research to look up cases and they also provide the Law Department with books and booklets with updated federal and state laws. An average monthly charge for this service is \$506, however, many times they exceed that and the \$5,000 total budget has never covered all of their costs for the year, so I just wanted to explain that because the legislation didn't really go into detail. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much.

All those in favor of introduction signify
by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE
EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE
PERIOD COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF
JANUARY, 2015 TO AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31,
2015 BY THE ADOPTION OF THE GENERAL CITY
OPERATING BUDGET FOR THE YEAR 2015.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.

I, too, would also like to thank our business administrator and all of the employees in that office and the mayor and the whole administration for putting together budget. It obviously is not an easy thing to do and it takes a lot of work.

Like my colleagues had mentioned earlier, we had just received this document and I have had a chance to go through it somewhat, but not to the full extent to comment on it as much as I would like at

25

this point. However, after looking through it the one thing that I do find extremely alarming and disappointing is that there are no union concessions and no savings from the police and the fire unions. I was hoping that the savings from these concessions that are included in Mr. Amoroso's plan that the mayor had adopted would be reflected in this year's budget. Mr. Amoroso made it clear, and Mr. Courtright concurred, that there was a major urgency to get this done, and it's been a year now and we know this is an issue, and as the Gallaghers said --Mrs. Gallagher had mentioned there's a 21 percent -- or 19 percent property tax increase and it's going to hurt the elderly people, all of the people in this city. knew it was coming, it's not a surprise, and when I look at this budget I see that positions are being added, salaries in some instances are being raised, some of them are covered by grants and we'll look at that further, but I don't see concessions from the unions and I feel that going forward that's important. The time is now for that

and I think we dropped the ball on that.

I was hoping, as I said, it would be reflected in this year's budget. I know they are discussions, you know, I'm not sure how long those are going to take, but this is the critical point in the city's history with the way our finances are and I think that we should look for as much savings as we possibly can. And I will make further comments after I have a better chance to look at the document next week. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: One final thing, the budget is on for introduction tonight. It' I don't want to say a formality, but in order for us to make any changes it is council's responsibility to introduce the budget and then we can work within the framework of what has been presented to us to arrive at a final document.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
2	ayes have it and so moved.
3	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
4	AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING
5	SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229614 ENTITLED
6	"PAFRS" PA FIRE RECOVERY SERVICE FOR THE
7	ACCEPTANCE OF DONATIONS TO SCRANTON FIRE
8	DEPARTMENT AND PAYMENTS FROM PAFRS
9	(INSURANCE) IN ORDER TO MAKE NECESSARY
10	EXPENDITURES FOR THE PURCHASE OF EQUIPMENT
11	AND APPARATUS AND MAINTENANCE OF BOTH.
12	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
14	introduced into its proper committee.
15	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
17	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
21	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
22	MR. EVANS: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
24	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE REMOVAL OF
3	THE EXISTING "NO PARKING" SIGNS LOCATED AT
4	THE INTERSECTION OF HARRISON AVENUE (SR
5	0611) AND EAST GIBSON STREET, WE MAINTAIN
6	THE "ALL WAY" STOP SIGNS AND INSTALL NEW
7	R7-302 NO PARKING SYMBOL/ARROW SIGNS.
8	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
13	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION -
23	AN ORDINANCE - REPEALING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
24	NO. 11, 2014, AS AMENDED AN ORDINANCE
25	AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 74, 1993, AS

AMENDED, ENTITLED "CITY OF SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993", BY CHANGING THE ZONING MAP DESIGNATION OF THE JOHN J. AUDUBON SCHOOL FROM R-1A (MEDIUM LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) TO INS-G (GENERAL INSTITUTIONAL) IN THE CENTRAL PLANNING AREA IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS SAID ORDINANCE WAS ADOPTED AFTER AN INSUFFICIENT NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING HAD BEEN POSTED.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-F be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? I'd just like to explain as the title says, we are repealing this ordinance simply because there was a procedural error when it was done previously and at the request of people involved we are repealing this ordinance and starting the process anew. As you will see in the next item that it is really -- we are redoing the same legislation now with the proper posting.

1	All those in favor of introduction
2	signify by saying aye.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
4	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
5	MR. EVANS: Aye.
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
7	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved.
9	MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -
10	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO.
11	74, 1993, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "CITY OF
12	SCRANTON ZONING ORDINANCE OF 1993", BY
13	CHANGING THE ZONING MAP DESIGNATION OF THE
14	JOHN J. AUDUBON SCHOOL FROM R-1A (MEDIUM LOW
15	DENSITY RESIDENTIAL) TO INS-G (GENERAL
16	INSTITUTIONAL) IN THE CENTRAL PLANNING AREA
17	IN THE EASTERN PORTION OF THE CITY OF
18	SCRANTON.
19	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
20	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
21	introduced into its proper committee.
22	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
23	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
24	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
25	those in favor of introduction signify by

1 saying aye. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 3 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 4 MR. EVANS: Aye. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 7 ayes have it and so moved. 8 MR. ROGAN: I make a motion to table 9 Item 5-G for the 30-day public comment 10 notice requirement. 11 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 12 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor of tabling Item 5-G signify 13 14 by saying aye. 15 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 16 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 17 MR. EVANS: Aye. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 19 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 20 ayes have it and so moved. 21 MR. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING A DONATION OF ONE 22 THOUSAND NINETY DOLLARS AND 51/100 CENTS 23 24 (\$1,090.51) PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF 25 SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FROM KILDARE'S

1 SCRANTON, INC. 2 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 9 saying aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 15 16 MS. REED: 5-I. INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING A DONATION OF SIX (6) 17 TON OF 2B GREY GRAVEL WORTH ONE HUNDRED 18 FORTY-FOUR (\$144.00) DOLLARS PRESENTED TO 19 20 THE CITY OF SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FROM 21 CONCRETE STEP UNITS, INC. 22 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 23 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 24 introduced into its proper committee. 25 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

The

1 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 2 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 3 have no idea what 2B grade gravel is, but 4 certainly would like to thank Concrete Steps 5 for their donation to the city. All those in favor of introduction 6 signify by saying aye. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 15 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER 16 17 INTO AN AMENDED COOPERATION AND LOAN 18 AGREEMENT WITH UNITED NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUNITY 19 DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION ("UNCDC") AS WELL AS A MORTGAGE, PROMISSORY NOTE, FINANCE 20 21 AGREEMENT AND ANY AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO LOAN UNCDC AN ADDITIONAL 22 23 \$10,000.00 TO FURTHER THE NEW CONSTRUCTION 24 OF A RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

IN THE 500 BLOCK OF CEDAR AVENUE.

25

1 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-J be 3 introduced into its proper committee. 4 MR. ROGAN: So moved. MR. WECHSLER: 5 Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 6 A11 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 11 MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 13 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-K. FOR INTRODUCTION -15 A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 16 17 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ENTER 18 INTO A PROMISSORY NOTE AND TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL OTHER DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO 19 20 REFINANCE A SECTION 108 LOAN WITH THE UNITED 21 STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN 22 DEVELOPMENT ("HUD") IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,865,000.00 IN ORDER TO REFINANCE A LOAN 23 24 TO STEAMTOWN MALL PARTNERS, L.P. THAT 25 MATURED IN JULY 2014 AND WHICH WAS

GUARANTEED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON. 1 2 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 3 entertain a motion that Item 5-K be 4 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: 5 So moved. MR. WECHSLER: Second. 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 9 saying aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 11 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 14 MS. MCGOFF: Opposed? No. The ayes have it and so moved. 15 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 16 17 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 18 56, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 188, 1994, AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED 19 20 "ESTABLISHING THE RATE TO BE CHARGED FOR THE 21 USE OF SWIMMING POOLS, RECREATION CENTERS, BASEBALL FIELDS, AND THE SHOWMOBILE BY 22 PROVIDING FOR AN INCREASE IN FEES" BY 23 24 INCREASING THE FEE FOR USE OF THE SHOWMOBILE. 25

	95
1	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by
2	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
3	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
4	pass reading by title.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
6	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
7	those in favor signify by saying aye.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
10	MR. EVANS: Aye.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
12	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
13	ayes have it and so moved.
14	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. NO
15	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
16	MR. MCGOFF: If there is no further
17	business, I'll entertain a motion to
18	adjourn.
19	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	II

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER