	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, October 16, 2014
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE C NADDOZZI DDD AFFICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK PATRICK SCANLON, SOLICITOR 

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
14	Dispense with the reading of the
15	minutes.
16	MS. REED: 3-A. TAX ASSESSOR'S
17	RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING DATES
18	HELD SEPTEMBER 23 AND 24, 2014.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
22	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE HELD OCTOBER 16,
23	2014.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. AUDIT STATUS REPORT FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED ON OCTOBER 9, 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Any Clerk's notes?

MS. REED: No, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Any announcements from council?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one. The popular event "Bonfire at the Furnaces" will be held this Saturday, October 18, from 6 to 8 p.m. at the Scranton Iron Furnaces, 159 Cedar Avenue. Tickets are \$15 in advance and \$20 at the door. Tickets can be purchased in advance online at www.Scrantonbonfire.com or at the Anthracite Heritage Museum. The event will include many activities for all ages. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Let the record also show that Attorney Minora was unable to attend tonight, but in his stead Attorney Pat Scanlon is representing Attorney Minora.

Also, I should have announced last week that the schedule for the showing of

the council meetings on ECTV, since we are not able to do it live, they are being shown at the following times: Friday at 2:30, Saturday at noon, Sundays at 8 p.m. and while we are at Lackawanna College they will be shown on Monday evenings at 6:30 p.m., and what we are going to ask and we should have asked if ECTV will scroll this on Thursday evenings at 6:30ish just so people that would turn to see the broadcast would be able to at least see the schedule for the rebroadcast of it.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

Gerard Hetman from Lackawanna County's

Community Relations Department. Good to see you as always. This evening I'd like to go over a few specifics regarding the proposed 2015 Lackawanna County general fund budget, which in accordance with the Lackawanna

County Home Rule Charter, was presented by the commissioners to the public yesterday

Wednesday, October 15.

To begin, the 2015 budget which tolls approximately \$104 million includes no tax increase in county real estate taxes for the 2015 fiscal year, so the county millage rate will stay the same at 57.42 mills for 2015 and Lackawanna County residents of Scranton and all 40 municipalities in the county will not see an increase in their county property taxes for 2015.

To go over some of the other specifics on the sheets in front of you Ms. Reed provided, first, in terms of economic development the 2015 budget will continue to fund some of the commissioners' key economic development incentives including our SBA loan fee waiver program, our construction permit fee waiver program, and our wireless initiative.

Second in the area of quality of life, the budget will continue to also fund the community reinvest program, the county park system and all related activities, and also our arts and culture programming and grant opportunities. All those program will be continued to be funded at the same level

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as they are currently.

Third, in terms of future savings. By completing the last three county audits on time and building a fund balance over the past three budget cycles the county continues to move in a favorable direction in the eyes of credit rating agencies. an important as the county seeks to restore its investment grade credit rating "A" for, of course, general good financial practices as always, and also specifically to eliminate the surveillance fee that is now imposed on county government as a condition of past borrowing. That surveillance fee presently tolls approximately \$1 million and while there are provisions in the 2015 budget to pay that this year, eliminate that surveillance fee through the restoration of investment grade credit rating is a key priority of the Lackawanna County commissioners. In addition, the Lackawanna County pension fund continues to be funded at approximately 80 percent, and energy saving upgrades at the Lackawanna County Prison will ensure future savings in future

budget cycles in the area of prison operations.

And lastly, one of the hallmarks of any county government in Pennsylvania is the area of human services. Lackawanna County was selected by the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services to participate in a pilot block grant program which will allow the county commissioners and the Department of Human Services in Lackawanna County to best direct funding to the areas that it's most utilized, best utilized and most needed by Lackawanna County residents. We hope to see, again, improvements, continuous improvements in the delivery of the funding and the execution of the services in the area of human services.

The second sheet in front of you gives you some of the specifics regarding the hard numbers on the financials with this year's budget cycle. I won't go over the sheet, but it gives you a number of facts and figures that go into various aspects of the budget, and what I'd like to note that all of these documents presented tonight,

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

both the ones in front of you as well as the entire 2015 budget are available in PDF format on the Lackawanna County website, just visit LackawannaCounty.org and anyone can download, again, the two sheets you have here that give you this information as well as the entire budget in PDF format.

And with that in mind we all invite all county rest departments to attend one of the four required budget hearings that the Lackawanna County Commissioners will host to gather input, thoughts and opinions from county residents on the 2015 budget process. Their dates and times are as follows: first one, Wednesday October 22, 11 a.m. at the commissioner's conference in the Lackawanna County administration building, 200 Adams Avenue, in Scranton. The second is Monday, October 27, 3 p.m. at the Jefferson Township Municipal Building, 487 Cortez Road in Jefferson Township. third is Wednesday, October 29, 6:30 p.m. at the Jermyn Borough Building, 440 Jefferson Avenue in Jermyn, and lastly Wednesday, October 29, 8 p.m. at the Dickson City

1

Borough building, 901 Enterprise Street in Dickson City. That is their new location we should note. So again, everyone is welcome to come and give their input on it. If any council members have questions on the budget process I'll be happy to take them, and if it's one that I can't answer we will have our revenue and finance staff get you an appropriate answer as soon as we can. there any questions from council this evening?

MR. MCGOFF: Just one on this, has ECTV been provided a schedule of the public meetings?

MR. HETMAN: I can't say for certain, Mr. McGoff, I do they also film our commissioners' meeting. In the past two years that I have been here they have filmed and televised all of our budget hearings so we will check with them as soon as we can to make sure they have them, but it has been standard practice for us to do that.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Ms. Franus.

23

21

22

24

25

1 MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton. With that parking meter with that loan to 2 3 the bank, how much of the parking meter revenue, what percentage, would be paid to 4 5 towards that load? MR. MCGOFF: There is no loan 6 7 agreement as of yet. 8 MS. FANUCCI: You have no idea what 9 kind of percentage? MR. MCGOFF: There is no loan 10 11 agreement yet so we don't know. What we are 12 voting on is to give approval for them to 13 negotiate that loan. 14 MS. FRANUS: Mr. Rogan, you were on council with Jack Loscombe, Mr. McGoff, 15 16 Janet Evans and Boyd Hughes, solicitor, did 17 you not -- what did you think when Landmark 18 Bank got this loan without council approval? 19 MR. ROGAN: I don't think the loan 20 should have ever been made. 21 MS. FRANUS: So why do you think it should be paid back? 22 23 MR. ROGAN: Well, this vote 24 specifically I'm going to vote "yes" for 25 because it only gives the authority it look

into it. It will come back to council with 1 a final vote and that's something --2 3 MS. FRANUS: That's next week, isn't it? 4 5 MR. ROGAN: No, this would be -- we were talking about this earlier, what this 6 7 would do would give the authority -- it 8 would give the city the authority to 9 negotiate the loan. 10 MS. FRANUS: So why would you even consider that when you don't believe this 11 12 loan should be paid back since it never came 13 in front of council? Why wouldn't you say 14 no right off the bat? MR. ROGAN: Well, I think it's 15 16 something we need to take a look at. 17 MS. FRANUS: Why? 18 MR. ROGAN: Because part of -- one 19 of the problems the city is having is with 20 the banking community. 21 MS. FRANUS: No, no. They will get 22 They don't need this loan to be paid 23 to get other loans. There is enough credit 24 in there to get -- don't use that for an 25 That's just an excuse. excuse.

MR. ROGAN: No, and I agree this loan was never backed by the city.

MS. FRANUS: But it also -- you are using this, and I have heard Wayne Evans tell me as well, that the reason they are doing this, one of the reasons, is so the bank will have more credibility with the city they'll get loans easier. That's a lot of crap.

I was going over some minutes from this time period before and after thorough investigation and research Attorney Hughes, Boyd Hughes, stated clearly and adamantly that Landmark Bank would never win the case in Court and would have to eat the cost because the city never guaranteed the loan.

Mr. Bulzoni who recommended the bank and the officers of the Parking Authority circumvented city council and the required legislation.

Now, if that doesn't -- that money, that 2.9 million that Landmark Bank gave that loan that the city -- council didn't approve, that money can go to lower our taxes. This is totally unethical, I don't

know if it's illegal, but I'll certainly find out. I mean, how a city council member knows that this didn't come before the city council for a vote and you are still going to consider paying them back, when you don't have to pay them one penny, Boyd Hughes is a very intelligent man and everything he said I believe completely, and all of you up there are saying, "That's not true."

It certainly is true and for you to pay this loan back when you don't have to and especially when the people were getting taxed right out of their home and you are going to add more taxes because you are paying this loan that could have went to lower their taxes, and not just that, you are going to vote -- you are given the administration raises. I mean, I don't understand it. When General Motors went under the people that worked there took a pay cut to keep them afloat. You just don't see anything. I don't understand you people.

All I could say is I'll be back and people will see what you are doing, Pat.

Mr. McGoff, well, we expect that from you because we know what you are doing. As far as the other ones, every one should be ashamed of themselves to put more taxes on the people by granting this loan. This is nothing you could say that will justify paying this loan when legally you don't have to pay this loan back and that money, that almost \$3 million, could go to lower our taxes, but you don't care. You just want to take care of your friends at the bank.

Mr. Bulzoni is the one that got that deal. I'm very surprised that the Scranton Times doesn't have big editorials on how this loan came about without council approval. Why aren't there editorials in the paper about that? And what ever happened to Dave Bulzoni that he left Landmark Bank? Why aren't their editorials asking those questions? Why did he get let go from Landmark Bank? I'm pretty sure it was because of that loan because they know it wasn't guaranteed by the city, so you are going to vote to possibly pay that back when you don't have to. And that's \$3 million

that taxpayers don't have to pay more in taxes, but you don't care. You don't care about the people at all. I just hope come election day every one of you put their names down and never vote for them again because none of you, if any one of you, every one of you that votes for this you should be ashamed of yourself and you do not represent the people. You should hang your head.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton. I'm going to say the same thing I
have been saying every week. You still
don't have a city audit for 2013 and the
deadline of November 15 for the 2015 budget
is coming within a month. I don't
understand how we could have realistic
numbers in a 2015 budget without benefit of
an audit.

And with regards to that, I have been going on a regular basis trying to catch Mr. Washo at the Scranton Parking Authority, one of the 23 items that are still outstanding to complete the city's

2013 audit is they audited financial statements of the Scranton Parking Authority for 2013. Now, I'm not as up to speed as Fay is with regards to this loan, but I will say that before we start looking at approving the issuance of a note for \$4 million for the Scranton Parking Authority, even if it's going to be repaid by meter revenue we need those 2013 audited financial statements to see what went on at the Scranton Parking Authority and how they are balancing their books.

You know, if I went into a bank for a loan I'm sure that I would have to give a certain amount of financial information to the bank so they would understand my financial status, so why would we want to approve the issuance of a \$4 million note for the Scranton Parking Authority when we don't what their books look like, you know?

I mean, I keep trying to catch

Mr. Washo, his audited financial statements

for last year were due to Rossi & Company on

April 15, six months ago. Now, I don't know

how he is going to rationalize not having it

done, doesn't know how to do it, doesn't have the personnel to do it, if he has an excuse he should have raised a red flag and said, "I needed help," but why would you approve the issuance of a \$4 million note when you don't know the status of their books? That does not make sense and you have a fiduciary responsibility to the taxpayers and that's a legitimate question you should be asking the administration who should be asking Mr. Washo.

Second topic, what's going on with Goodwill? Have they done anything in the building?

MR. ROGAN: Not in the building. I know they were moving closer to towards finalization of closing on having the building. I should have good news on that outlook in the next week or two.

 $\mbox{MS. HODOWANITZ:} \quad \mbox{Okey-dokey.} \quad \mbox{Now,} \\ \mbox{watch what you say.} \\ \label{eq:ms.hobo}$ 

MR. ROGAN: I did speak to --

MS. HODOWANITZ: Because I get the feeling that, you know, I'm going to see the second coming of the Christ before I'm going

1 to see this project finished. 2 MR. ROGAN: I did speak to 3 representatives from Goodwill two weeks ago 4 and they were very optimistic. 5 I thought that they MS. HODOWANITZ: 6 got the approval that everything was hinging 7 on and it was full speed ahead, right? 8 MR. ROGAN: They received the 9 approval from the HUD vouchers. MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes, and what are 10 11 they waiting for now? 12 MR. ROGAN: I believe the closing. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: Okey-dokey, and who 14 is in charge of that? What's the hold up there? 15 16 MR. ROGAN: I'm not sure. They 17 didn't get into the details, but they did 18 say we should hear something here shortly. 19 MS. HODOWANITZ: Let's hope they 20 don't ever come back with hat in hand 21 because I don't think they deserve another 22 In fact, they should repay the city 23 with interest. Thank you. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. 25 MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes

to address council?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council and everybody else.
Bill Jackowitz, South Scranton. My question
is what's the cost of the four pack masters
that the city is going to be purchasing and
where is the money coming from? Does
anybody have the answer to that?

MR. MCGOFF: I don't have last week's backup. I'm not sure what the cost was, it was at a very low interest and I believe the money was coming from -- to pay back the loan that we would take was from excess liquid fuels, what the increase in liquid fuels would be.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. If possible, can someone possibly research that a little bit further and get numbers, specific numbers for the citizens of the city?

Also, the parking revenue, do I understand correctly that we still don't have like a game plan for this loan and how we are going to repay it? Did I understand that correctly?

MR. MCGOFF: There is no loan. All

1 we are doing is setting the parameters in which the loan can be negotiated. 2 3 MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that. but sooner or later the loan is going to be 4 5 negotiated and there is going be a loan; correct? Is that what this is all about? 6 7 MR. MCGOFF: Yes, that's the purpose 8 but there is no loan agreement at this time. 9 MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, on October 16, 10 but what's going on November 16 if we go ahead and get a loan, is there a game plan 11 12 in effect for how we are going to pay that 13 back? Are we going to use 100 percent of 14 the parking revenues? MR. MCGOFF: That's part of the 15 16 negotiation of the loan involves. 17 MR. JACKOWITZ: Right now, okay. 18 But the way things go in Scranton --19 MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Jackowitz, I can't 20 tell you what's going to happen November 16. 21 If I could, I'd be a millionaire. 22 MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that, 23 but what I'm saying is normally you have 24 long-term and when you are making a plan you 25 have ideas of how you are going to pay it

MR. JACKOWITZ: I understand that.

You are voting on the opportunity to have -to propose a loan next month or two months

from now. That's basically what you are
voting on now, so eventually a loan is going
to be made, we all know that. Everyone in
the city knows that.

MR. MCGOFF: Hopefully. Hopefully, yes.

MR. JACKOWITZ: And my question is once that loan is made how are we going to repay it? We are going to repay with parking revenue, okay?

MR. MCGOFF: Exactly.

MR. JACKOWITZ: What the citizens need to know what percentage of the parking revenue is going to be used to repay that loan. I think that's a very legitimate question because there is thousands and thousands of citizens asking that question.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, ask it when the actual loan negotiations are complete?

MR. JACKOWITZ: Well, then it will be too late.

MR. MCGOFF: No, it's not too late.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yes, it is.

MR. MCGOFF: That's when we vote on it.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah, but we never get answers anyway.

 $\label{eq:mr.mcgoff: You just don't accept} % \begin{center} \be$ 

MR. JACKOWITZ: I spoke with Mayor Courtright, Mayor Courtright told me the reason why he didn't attend that meeting on that Tuesday because he was advised by his attorneys not to attend it so that question was answered and resolved.

You know, it was nice to hear that the county is getting itself on back on their feet again. It seems like they are getting their audits done on time, there is no tax increases, they are paying their bills on time, so on and so forth, and it will be really nice when we can get to that point with the City of Scranton because 22 years has been a long time, going on 23 years, on January 10 it will be 23 years.

Also, several citizens have approached me and they wanted me to ask you

all a question, but I'm not going to do that, but basically what that question was, and if anybody wants to answer it during motions it would be appreciated, could any of you live on \$12,500 a year and pay your bills and pay your expenses because there is a lot of citizens, retired citizens, senior citizens who are older than I am who are living on \$12,500 a year or less and they have to absorb these tax increases that seem to be every year we get one. So that was the question that I was asked by several senior citizens whether or not city council could live on their \$12,500 a year salary.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council.

Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, South

Side. Last week I pulled a slight boner, I

said no loans and what I really meant was

that no unauthorized loans, they all have to

come before council and if they do not and

someone is bold enough to take that loan

then they should have to be dismissed from

their duties and sent along because as I

remember it, that parking garage on

Washington Avenue we fixed the facade, well, everybody should remember what happened last winter, large chunks of concrete potentially falling on cars, so once again we put the cart before the horse. We have a nice facade and no functionality. I feel that the \$4 million has to be repaid strictly because the banks have a lot of the power over us.

There is a really interesting commercial on television that a kid says, "Whose that, daddy?"

And he says, "Oh, that's our investment broker."

And he says, "Oh, why is he not happy, he invests money for us."

And he says, "Why isn't he happy, does he have to repay you?"

And he says, "No."

And the kid says, "Why not."

And then he says, "Well, it doesn't work that way."

And he says, "Well, why not?"

So the bottom line is we lost \$26 million in investments and the banks walked

away from that, but don't you dare owe them any money. Don't you dare.

And on 7-G I have to question the timing of this. I've said it before, they seem to have a really poor mechanic plan down there, repair facility, things don't get fixed, the local detective was complaining that it took 15 weeks or something like that or 12 weeks and they still hadn't had a motor mount put on his car, which is a state inspection item, by the way, and when I worked in at Lincoln garage that job paid 1.3 hours, so you know, if we are going to hand them bright and shiny new trucks and have them look like they have been through Iraq 15 times maybe we don't need it.

I also have to be critical of the Parking Authority. Once again, they are the ones that are mentioned in the audit the most and there is no excuse for these people not having their act together. Even if they have to use a fudge factor or something or say, "Gee, I just don't know what happened to this money," and put the cards on the

to agree with Joan. Six months is too long and it's gone on year after year after year and the authorities are once again nothing more than another taxing body. We have municipal, we have the school district, we have the county, we have the state, we have the federal government, and nobody -- everyone just seems to pass the buck back and forth onto the next guy and it's really shameful.

It's time, it's six months, I have

I hate to keep harping on Act 76, but I just seen a anti Tom Wolf ad blaming him for the taxes that will increase on Act 76 and it's like, you know, just for the insult I don't think I'm going to vote for the other guy over that. It's an insult upon my intelligence to tell me that. Just lay the cards on the table and take responsibility for your actions.

And once again on this budget, how do you figure out a budget without knowing what went on last year? It's really the audit has to come in and it has to be on time. It's way past due. Thank you and

\_\_

have a good night.

And one final thing, we will never, ever straighten Scranton out is my prediction until we take the state to court and make them replace the money for all of the tax exempts. What they want to do is fine, but don't tell me I have to do it all the time. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Council Marie Schumacher.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: First, just on principle, not because of the dollar amount, but I believe that 6-A and B need to be modified to establish either a time limit, a deed registration and a tax payment. Last year I reported on a number of properties that I had been tracking and over the period of five years the lost revenue to the city because none of those things had occurred was well over \$40,000. There is no reason for that to happen and it's possible that it's only been added to it, but that should not be open ended. Developers get these and

then they let them sit for years and then they go in and file and the city losses the money and they come out like bandits.

Now, to the real issue tonight, which is that authority to go ahead with that \$4 million loan. I'd like a show of hands of those of you who went back and read the -- actually read the lawsuit that Landmark filed which is available on the Times website?

MR. MCGOFF: I did not.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Anybody?

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I would recommend that highly so you really know what has been going on. It is somewhat suspicious that the Courtright administration selected a former employee of the Landmark Bank whose name is on many of the lawsuit exhibits for their business administrator and are now -- the administration is now seeking to make that former employer 100 percent well at the expense of the taxpayers of the city.

Property owners are being threatened with double digit tax increases for the

24

25

fourth I believe consecutive year. taxpayers' pockets do have bottoms, you know. The mayor has gone on record regarding shared sacrifices to save the Why then you are hell bent on plans to take more from the taxpayers and seeking give backs from employees while making a 100 percent payment of a loan the city doesn't even owe. It's the Parking Authority's debt, let them work it out. Putting a debt we do not owe ahead of all true debt holders is immoral. The lawsuit should proceed to settle this matter and Landmark should be paid from the sale or the lease of the Scranton Parking Authority assets, the parking garages and not from the revenue of the city-owned parking meters. Any shortage in operating revenue where the parking meter belongs would have to be born by the property owners. This transfer of city revenue to an Authority is not proper or moral. This is yet another reason why the city must immediately, as in now, bring in an impartial mediator to sit down with all of the debt holders to negotiate how much

they will contribute to reducing the structural deficit if, in fact, the mayor is serious about shared sacrifice.

You do realize that if this goes through you are putting this one bank ahead of everybody else, your employees, and your taxpayers. It's just plain not right. And I would like to read an excerpt from the 6th September 2012 Scranton City Council meeting. It is Mr. Hughes, Solicitor Hughes speaking, who I believe is a well respected municipal attorney.

"A letter was sent today by Attorney Gownley on behalf of Landmark Bank saying that they have a security interest in the contract and they are going to file a lawsuit. They want a meeting with Attorney Kelly and myself. I reviewed the letter, I think the allegations are groundless on the basis that, first of all, when Landmark Bank lent the Parking Authority money back in September of 2011 council knew nothing about the loan. The only way that we were informed of the loan was by reading it in the newspaper and what was pledged as

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collateral were the funds of the contract, not the contract itself.

I believe for that loan to be made if they had done their due diligence they would have seen in this contract it could be terminated by either party in 30 days. is long ago as the contract remained in effect the bank did have the security interest in those funds. It does not have security interest in the contract itself, which is what they are trying to imply, that by making that the loan and not coming to council and seeking or even telling council what they were going to do why they were making the loan and seeing an assurance --" may I finish the last paragraph? you -- "for council to amend the contract to state that as long as the loan was outstanding but would not terminate it was never done. This was done behind closed It was a cloak and dagger strictly doors. between back room dealing between Landmark Bank and the Scranton Parking Authority to make that loan and they have no security interest in the contract itself.

summarily dismissed the letter as being unfounded. There is no basis for them to file a lawsuit. Council is fully within its right to terminate the agreement and to me it is certainly folly to continue to let the Parking Authority continue to collect 10 percent of all of the revenue from the Scranton parking meters, including any increase revenue that would come about because of the parking meter enhancement program when it was awarded by the city when the city needs this money to pay the obligations of the Scranton Parking Authority."

It should go to court. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, you're looking for money for Lackawanna Station restoration, I believe we gave them money before when they were going to make that into a restaurant, how many times do we keep going to the well to keep feeding these projects that never get done?

Now, Leonard's, Leonard's was a KOZ

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we took it on the chin and gave them ten
years -- I think about, maybe not the full
ten years, but close to the ten years, tax
abatement, they didn't have to pay taxes
which we paid for them. Now, you are
looking for another grant to put back into
this project. KOZ's don't work. They just
don't work because they wrote the law lousy.
There is no question about it.

I don't want to get into a big debate on the Parking Authority, but the Parking Authority is a defunct organization. You plan to bring them back to life with a That's your doing. They are dead. The garages are being held by a receivership from the bondholders. They are the only onces that can lease it or sell it. Parking Authority is negative. We were told this many, many times and you were, too. You all know anybody that came to meetings or sat on that board knew it. They are defunct. Why are we bringing them back to There is no reason for that. they need another lawyer is that what you want to do, hire another lawyer?

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

another guy a job or you have money poured into that place so they can use it. Forget it.

The city is in trouble like you pointed out. It's as plain as the nose on all of our faces. The city is in trouble. You got to look for how to cut. I remember a few years ago we went to New York and bought pack masters secondhand, I don't know how that worked out, but we did try to alleviate the costs of the pack masters. Those of you -- I don't know if any of you were on council -- well, I don't know if any of you, Mr. McGoff or Mr. Rogan were on council at that time, but that was done. They tried to hold the costs down. Mr. Doherty tried to hold some costs down, but a lot of the other costs he just shook his head and forgot about and they piled in on us.

If you remember when the council -your last time you were on council when you
took office how many thousands of dollars,
no, millions of dollars were we in debt and
that in turn caused all of the escalation of

elected to do, there is no question about it. I mean, we paid some of you almost \$100,000 to sit on council. Some of you only \$50,000. That's how much we made you while you were sitting up there and what have we gotten for our money? Nothing. Hot air? It's time for you to really buckle down and do what you were elected to do and forget about all of these other projects, we got to have posterity, there is no question about it.

the taxes. You are not doing what you were

You must have some kind of -- when you coming into your budget it has to be very austere. You got to have it. Other than that, we are going down the tubs. The people are really disgusted. Every time you go somewhere they tell you. They must be telling you, too. Not only me, I don't know why they tell me so much I can't really do much but speak a little, that's about it, but they should be calling you up and really keep on the line with you people, and tell you we can't afford more taxes. If we can buy pack masters from New York that are

salvageable and will work for so much years then that's the way to go.

Some of the other things, it just -you are just piling on debt and when it
comes time for you to go before that judge
to ask for the commuter tax he is going to
see that you created most of these problems.
You piled on the debt to make them look good
when you come before that judge for the
commuter tax and he is going to see right
through it and we are going to be stuck with
this debt. I'm not going into get too much
more. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. First of all, I'd like to apologize for my absence last week, I did have make a visit to see my daughter in Indiana so I do apologize for missing that, so I didn't get an opportunity to thank Lackawanna College for allowing us to be here while our elevator is being fixed, I do

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

really appreciate that and it is a great setting to hold our meetings.

In regards to some of the issues that have been spoken about tonight, our goal as a council when we came in in January was to help restore the financial house of the city. This debt that's overhanging our head is a blemish on our regard with the financial community, and although we may not legally have to repay this loan it is making it difficult for us to go out into the financial markets and these are the type of things that we have been working on getting corrected and we are starting to see some results on that. We are having more acceptance in the financial community and that's what our goal has to be, and I know it's painful and we may not have to pay this money, but I think it's an obligation that as a city we do have to repay and take steps to do that. That's all I have tonight, Mr. McGoff. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'll be pretty brief tonight, I've had the pleasure all

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

little bit behind on some of my city council duties. Just two issues I wanted to address, the first is the Parking Authority debt issue, and as I mentioned earlier I will be voting "yes" on this issue to allow the negotiations and agreement. At that point it's something that council has to take a long hard look at. I agree with what some of the speakers have mentioned that that loan should have never been issued or if the previous mayor wanted that loan it should have came before city council as every other loan in the Parking Authority has taken out has. That is something that is very troublesome for me. At the same time, I could see the

week of serving on jury duty so I've been a

At the same time, I could see the other side of the coin. What Mr. Wechsler just mentioned and what others have regarding the banking community and the other negative consequences if the city choses not to pay this loan, but this legislation is not to approve a loan. It is simply to allow negotiations to take place and at that point city council will have

another vote once the loan is -- if there is a loan that's agreed upon that would come to city council for another vote and at that point in time we will get to cast an up or down vote.

Finally, regarding some paving issues, I know Councilman Gaughan is going to address regarding a larger paving project that the city is looking at for next year, regarding this year's paving through OECD I do know that curb cuts, handicapped curb cuts and milling has we begun so you will be seeing that in a lot of the low to moderate income areas where we are allowed to use the CDBG funding for paving. Again, areas outside of the low to moderate income those federal dollars cannot be used. So that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, to give an update on the cell towers zoning ordinance revisions. Don King, the city planner, has returned from a conference on the subject. Ironically while he was there he met up with the attorney from the

Cowen Law Group, who happens to be the attorney representing the city in negotiations with Comcast, and he and his law firm are also experts in municipal law as it relates to cell towers and wireless networks so Don is investigating to see if they can give us some assistance on this issue and if under their existing contract if that's possible so a little bit more on that subject later.

On the SPA ordinance, again, the payment of the outstanding loans to Landmark and M & T is part of the larger plan for the Scranton Parking Authority and for the solvency of the City of Scranton, but besides that paying those loans just makes sound financial sense. In the broader picture, municipal government cannot exist or survive without the support of the financial community or our local banks.

An example of that was a meeting that I attended on Tuesday morning with Council President McGoff to discuss the 2015 tax anticipation note or TAN. Four banks were represented at the table and an

additional two or three are expected to participate. Does anyone think for a minute that as many as seven banks would be willing to be participate on the TAN if the city allowed the default on the loans to those two local banks?

Also, I fully expect when the terms of that loan are negotiated that this council will be voting on what those final terms would be and its impact on the revenue received through parking meter concessions -- or collections.

Next week I'll be reviewing and taking a more comprehensive look again at pension reform. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

Mr. Rogan had just mentioned the 2014 fall paving program and the status of that and this past week I have received a few calls from people and some of these areas where the streets are getting paved and they felt that it would be helpful if I read through the streets that are going to be paved so I'll take a minute to do that.

. .

In West Scranton Curtis Lane between Mt. Vernon Avenue to Potomac Street. Sharon Court, the 1400 block. The 1400 and 1500 block of Thomas Place. Parkhurst Court, the 1300 and 1400 block. The 13, 14 and 1500 block of Manilla Court. 900 block of North Garfield Avenue. 800 and 900 block of North Rebecca Avenue, and the 800 block of North Sumner Avenue, and that's in West Scranton.

In North Scranton we have Pawnee

Avenue between Market and Oak Street.

Stewart Court between Saltry Place to Pawnee

Avenue and the 1600 block of Church Streets.

In the Pinebrook section we have
Fordham Court, the 1400 block of Monsey
Avenue, the 700 block of Marion Street, the
100 block of Larch Street, including the
intersection through Capouse Avenue. 900
block of Penn Avenue, 1100 and 1200 blocks
of Penn Avenue, the 900 and 1000 blocks of
Roche Court, that's the Pinebrook section.

And finally, the Weston Field section, Bartle Street between Albright and Barring, Kossuth Avenue between Lawall and Baattle Street. Lawall Street between

Albright and Barring Street. Ward Street between Albright and Barring. Foster Street between Albright and Barring. Barring Avenue between Lawal and Battle. Grove Street between Albright and Seventh Avenue and Cottage Avenue between Foster past Grove to the end of the levy.

So hopefully that helps the residents out in those sections and the paving will be completed hopefully by October 31.

And finally, just a quick follow-up from my comments last week concerning the Act 47 reform legislation, the legislation passed both the House yesterday and the Senate this morning and is now on its way to the governor for approval. There were recent amendments to the bill that will effect Scranton's options moving forward, specifically with the 2015 budget.

As I mentioned last week, there are equal treatment provisions in the bill.

This means that Scranton cannot levy an earned income tax solely on commuters. The EIT tax would have to be levied at least

equally on residents. Prior to this legislation, the city would have been able to petition the Court through Act 47 to levy commuter tax on non-residents as we tried to do unsuccessfully in 2012. This bill, however, does not allow that. You must levy it on both residents and non-residents and the same applies for the Act 205 pension tax.

If the administration decides to petition the Court for an Act 47 EIT increase on both residents and non-residents, we would not be allowed to increase the LST tax, and that is an amendment that just was put in I believe last night or a few days ago. If the city decides to enact the Act 205 EIT increase on both residents and non-residents in order to help fund the pensions, the city would only be allowed to increase the LST tax to \$104 a year, not 156. The LST increase would be subject to Court approval each year and that was an amendment that was put in recently.

If the city decides to forego an increase in the EIT by either Act 47 or Act

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

205 then they may increase the LST tax to \$156 a year, and again, that increase will be subject to Court approval every year.

And as I mentioned last week, a part of the bill that affects Scranton is it allows for the business privilege and mercantile tax to be abolished in favor of a payroll preparation tax, so just an update on that. And that is all I have for this week. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: I did misspeak on the payments for the packmaster. Mr. Gaughan had some information that -- let me just go through it so I don't misspeak again. lease of the pack masters would be at 3 The payments would be at \$145,000 percent. annually until 2016. The payments, the repayments of the loan would come from the energy management lease and when that -when that matures, which is in 2016, then we will have -- our, excuse me, when that matures then we will have a single payment rather than a double payment, but it was the thought to lease these because of the very low interest rate that was being offered

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through MAC trucks that it is well within --within our ability to pay and also very much
needed for the DPW to actually perform the
services that we need. Thank you on that.

Where I misspoke, we are also pursuing and received notice that a loan application that we did put in for through the Pennsylvania Transportation Infrastructure Bank or PIB loan, it's being recommended that that loan be granted to the That is at, again, a very reasonable city. rate, ten years at 1.6 percent is the recommendation. The amount of the loan would be two point -- a little over \$2.2 million and it would be dedicated to road resurface throughout the city. I believe it's anywhere from 12 to 15 miles of road within the city and it is that loan that would be repaid through the liquid fuels account. I just mixed up the two of them earlier.

And then lastly, as far as the Parking Authority debt, I spoke on that before and we have -- it should be noted that that was in court and that it was

recommended to both sides that this be settled out-of-court. That recommendation came from the judge. It was thought by the attorneys representing the city that we potentially could have lost that court case, and the potential cost, additional cost, would have increased that dramatically -- the amount that we would pay dramatically and it was felt that the best way to pursue this was through negotiations and that's what we are doing. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY
MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS CORNER OF PARK AND
TERRACE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
16806-010-031 TO THOMAS J. KANE AND MARY M.
KANE, 95 LINWOOD AVENUE, SCRANTON,
PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, FOR THE CONSIDERATION
OF \$1,000.00.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF AKSHAR LACKAWANNA STATION HOSPITALITY TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,500,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "LACKAWANNA STATION RESTORATION SCRANTON PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT

CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND

UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF

\$2,500,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF

PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question? It should be noted on these that this is not the city that is asking for a grant, we are merely approving the ability of someone else to apply for these grants through that gaming -- the local share account grant. It should also be noted that for any of these that are approved that the city receives what was it, 5 percent?

MR. WECHSLER: 5 percent.

MR. MCGOFF: The dollar amount goes to the city for any of these grants that are approved, so it is in the best interest of the city to look into these and to work with the individuals or the corporations that are

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

applying for these grants.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF SCRANTON-LTI, LP, 335 ADAMS AVENUE. SCRANTON. PENNSYLVANIA 18503 TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$615,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "LEONARD THEATER ADAMS AVENUE PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL AND EXECUTE

1	AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT
2	CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE
3	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND
4	UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
5	\$615,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF
6	PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.
7	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
9	introduced into its proper committee.
10	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. EVANS: Aye.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
20	ayes have it and so moved.
21	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
22	RESOLUTION - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 174,
23	2009 ENTITLED "AUTHORIZING APPOINTMENT OF
24	THE CITY OF SCRANTON'S REPRESENTATIVES TO
25	THE TAX COLLECTION COMMITTEE ("TCC")

1	PURSUANT TO ACT 32 OF 2008" BY REPLACING THE
2	CURRENT DELEGATES WHO ARE NO LONGER
3	EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON WITH
4	CURRENT EMPLOYEES IN THE BUSINESS
5	ADMINISTRATION OFFICE.
6	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
21	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
22	51, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE SALE OF TAX
23	DELINQUENT PROPERTY MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS
24	540 NORTH REBECCA AVENUE, SCRANTON,
25	PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO. 14510-020-003 TO

1	ARNOLD DOMMES, JR., NORTH REBECCA AVENUE,
2	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, FOR THE
3	CONSIDERATION OF \$650.00.
4	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by
5	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
6	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
7	pass reading by title.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
9	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
10	those in favor signify by saying aye.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
12	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
13	MR. EVANS: Aye.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
15	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
16	ayes have it and so moved.
17	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
18	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 52, 2014 - AN
19	ORDINANCE SALE OF TAX DELINQUENT PROPERTY
20	MORE COMMONLY KNOWN AS 532 PRESCOTT AVENUE,
21	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TAX MAP NO.
22	15710-020-007 TO JAMES SMITH AND DENISE
23	SMITH, 528 PRESCOTT AVENUE, SCRANTON,
24	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510, FOR THE CONSIDERATION
25	OF \$1,500.00.

MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by 1 2 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 3 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 4 pass reading by title. MR. WECHSLER: Second. 5 MS. MCGOFF: On the question? 6 A11 7 those in favor signify by saying aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 6-C. READING BY TITLE -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 53, 2014 - AN 15 16 ORDINANCE APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF A NOTE 17 BY THE PARKING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON IN A MAXIMUM AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$4,000,000.00 TO FINANCE THE 2014 19 PROJECT (AS DEFINED HEREIN); AUTHORIZING THE 20 21 PLEDGE OF THE CITY'S ON-STREET METER REVENUES AS SECURITY FOR AND THE SOURCE OF 22 23 PAYMENT OF THE PARKING AUTHORITY'S 2014 24 NOTE: AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE 25 PLEDGE DOCUMENTS (AS DEFINED HEREIN);

1	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OFFICIALS OF THE
2	CITY TO TAKE OTHER APPROPRIATE ACTION;
3	PROVIDING FOR THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS
4	ORDINANCE AND THE SEVERABILITY OF CERTAIN
5	PROVISIONS; AND REPEALING ALL INCONSISTENT
6	ORDINANCES OR PARTS OF ORDINANCES.
7	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by
8	title of Item 6-C, what is your pleasure?
9	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-C
10	pass reading by title.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
12	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
13	those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
21	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE-
22	FOR ADOPTION FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 50,
23	2014 - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL
24	CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229613 ENTITLED "LIQUID
25	FUELS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF

	58
1	THOSE FUNDS RECEIVED FOR THIS PURPOSE.
2	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
3	recommendation of the Chair for the
4	Committee on Finance?
5	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
7	passage of Item 7-A.
8	MR. ROGAN: Second.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
10	call, please?
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
16	MR. EVANS: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
19	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
21	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
22	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
23	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION
24	RESOLUTION NO. 87, 2014 - ACCEPTING A
25	DONATION OF ONE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED FIFTY

1	(\$1,250.00) DOLLARS PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF
2	SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT FROM GEISINGER
3	BLOOD CENTER.
4	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chair for the
6	Committee on Finance?
7	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-B.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
12	Certainly we would like to thank the
13	Geisinger Blood Center for their generous
14	donation to the Scranton Fire Department.
15	Roll call, please?
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
21	MR. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
25	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
	II

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION RESOLUTION NO. 88. 2014 - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF THE SCRANTON CULTURAL CENTER AT MASONIC TEMPLE TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT. PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,017,975.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "SCRANTON CULTURAL CENTER AT MASONIC TEMPLE BUILDING RESTORATION PROJECT" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,017,975.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.

	01
1	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
2	recommendation of the Chair for the
3	Committee on Finance.
4	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-C.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
22	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION
23	RESOLUTION NO. 89, 2014 - RATIFYING AND
24	APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF
25	THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF
	II

SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF LACKAWANNA COLLEGE TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "EXPANSION OF ACADEMIC PROGRAMS AND COMMUNITY HEALTH PROJECTS AT LACKAWANNA COLLEGE" LOCATED IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.

MR. MCGOFF: What is the recommendation of the Chair for the Committee on Finance?

MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the Committee on Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-D.

23

22

24

25

	63
1	MR. ROGAN: Second.
2	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
3	call, please?
4	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: I abstain.
6	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
8	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Yes.
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
14	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
15	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
16	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
17	RESOLUTION NO. 90, 2014 - AUTHORIZING THE
18	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
19	TO ACCEPT THE GIFT OF A 2003 FREIGHTLINER
20	PACKMASTER VIN# 1FVABXCX53HL79913 FROM THE
21	SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT TO THE CITY OF
22	SCRANTON DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
23	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
24	recommendation of the Chair for the
25	Committee on Public Works?

	04
1	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-E.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
6	call, please?
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION
19	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION
20	RESOLUTION NO. 91, 2014 - APPOINTING ROBERT
21	GATTENS, 528 ORCHARD STREET, SCRANTON,
22	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS AN ALTERNATE NO. 2
23	MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF THE ZONING APPEALS
24	FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON. MR. GATTENS IS
25	FILLING THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF JOSEPH

1	GILHOOLEY WHO RESIGNED ON JULY 25, 2014.
2	MR. GATTENS' TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JULY 1,
3	2015.
4	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
5	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-F.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
12	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
15	MR. EVANS: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted and
21	congratulate Mr. Gattens on his appointment.
22	MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
23	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
24	RESOLUTION NO. 92, 2014 - AUTHORIZING THE
25	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS

1	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A GOVERNMENT
2	OBLIGATION CONTRACT BETWEEN KANSAS STATE
3	BANK OF MANHATTAN (OBLIGEE) AND THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA (OBLIGOR) FOR THE
5	PURCHASE OF FOUR (4) 2015 MACK GU813 REFUSE
6	PACKERS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
7	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chair for the
9	Committee on Public Works?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-G.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, just on the
16	question, I'm voting "yes" for this. In my
17	conversations with our DPW Director Dennis
18	Gallagher, this equipment is sorely needed.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please?
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
21	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
25	MR. EVANS: Yes.

	67
1	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
3	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
4	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
5	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
6	If there is no further business,
7	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
8	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting is adjourned.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. `

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER