		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, October 2, 2014	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	2 2 3, 333	
25		

	2
1 2	<u>CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:</u>
3	ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT
4	PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT
5	WAYNE EVANS
6	JOSEPH WECHSLER
7	WILLIAM GAUGHAN (Not present)
8	LORI REED, CITY CLERK
9	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
10 11	AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection 1 2 observed.) 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. 10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 11 Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. Let the record 12 13 show that Mr. Gaughan had previously told us 14 that he would not be able to attend this 15 evening's meeting. I make a motion to 16 MR. ROGAN: 17 appoint Councilman Wechsler temporary chair 18 for the Committee on Public Works. MR. WECHSLER: Second. 19 20 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 21 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The

	4
1	ayes have it and so moved.
2	Dispense with the reading of the
3	minutes.
4	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. SINGLE
5	TAX OFFICE CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED REPORT
6	COMPARISON 2014 - 2013.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
8	If not, received and filed.
9	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
10	SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND WELFARE
11	AUTHORITY REGULAR BOARD MEETING HELD JUNE
12	12, 2014.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE
16	SCRANTON POLICE PENSION COMMISSION MEETING
17	HELD AUGUST 27, 2014.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE
21	NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING
22	HELD AUGUST 27, 2014.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-E. MINUTES OF THE

1	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
2	MEETING HELD AUGUST 27, 2014.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. REED: 3-F. AUDIT STATUS REPORT
6	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & COMPANY RECEIVED
7	SEPTEMBER 25, 2014.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. REED: 3-G. TAX ASSESSOR'S
11	RESULTS REPORT FOR HEARING HELD SEPTEMBER
12	10, 2014.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-H. TAX ASSESSOR'S
16	REPORT FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD OCTOBER
17	29, 2014.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-I. CONTROLLER'S REPORT
21	FOR MONTH ENDING AUGUST 31, 2014.
22	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
23	If not, received and filed.
24	MS. REED: 3-J. THE SEWER AUTHORITY
25	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON INDEPENDENT

AUDITORS' REPORT FOR YEAR ENDING MARCH 1 2 31, 2014. 3 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-K. AGENDA FOR THE 5 NON-UNIFORM MUNICIPAL PENSION BOARD MEETING 6 7 HELD SEPTEMBER 24, 2014. 8 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 9 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-L. CORRESPONDENCE 10 RECEIVED FROM THOMAS J. ANDERSON & 11 12 ASSOCIATES, INC. REGARDING THE 2015 FINANCIAL REQUIREMENT AND MINIMUM MUNICIPAL 13 14 OBLIGATION (MMO). 15 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 16 If not, received and filed. 17 Any clerk's notes? 18 MS. REED: No, Mr. McGoff. 19 MR. MCGOFF: Anything from council? 20 Any announcements? A couple of things, 21 first of all, please remember that October 22 is breast cancer awareness month. 23 people in the our community have been 24 effected and please keep them in your 25 prayers and in your thoughts.

Also, a reminder that beginning next week meetings will be held at the theatre at Lackawanna College, same time. The caucus will be at 6:00, the meeting will start at 6:30.

Also, because of the elevator, the repairs to the elevator, offices to which the public needs access will be moved so that there is -- so that they are ADA compliable. They will be moved within this building for the period of the elevator is not working so if anybody does have business in city hall there will still be handicap access to those offices.

Also, just a note, Scranton Tomorrow in partnership with the Penn State extension master gardeners planted -- over this past weekend planted over 550 mums in over 100 planters downtown. There were well over 40 volunteers for various organizations and all to do this, I guess what we are asking, two things that I would ask of people: First of all, if there for business owners downtown if there is a planter in front of your place of business it would nice to go out and

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water it, you know, once in awhile just to keep it fresh and growing. The other thing is that for people frequenting the downtown the planters aren't waste receptacles. of the -- one of the things that is really appalling to me is that people spend time trying to make -- you know, to beautify the city a little bit and then other people come along and, you know, throw cigarettes, throw lunch bags and everything else into these planters. They are not waste receptacles so please treat them appropriately, and as I said, business owners also, you know, take some pride in what's in front of your building and help out the city a little bit. And that's it.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Bill Jackowitz,
South Scranton resident. Good evening,
everybody. First of all, I'd like to start
off by giving my condolences to the Scranton
taxpayers and property owns evaluation of
the City of Scranton because it sounds like

they are going to get murdered, hammered, killed, whatever adjective you want to use to describe what's going to happen to them once the taxes start getting raised because it's just probably what's going to have to happen.

You know, I'd like to take a little trip down memory lane. You know, we have had recovery plans in the past that failed because there was not a lot of thought put into those plans. We've had unbalanced budgets passed year after year after year. We had a Doherty three, the 3 of 2 votes that happened four consecutive years that basically killed the city and people knew that that was going to happen and it eventually did happen.

We have audits that are late every single year. We have pay raises to the administrator and to the mayor, which the city cannot afford. We have unqualified cabinet members. Proof of that is go back and read Mayor Doherty's sworn testimony when this was all discussed and he was under oath when he answered those questions. We

have lucrative retirement plans. We forced 100 city employees to retire then we doubled their retirement and benefits and then we had to go out and hire all new people to replace them. We never had any serious n negotiations of nonprofits, we never attempted to collect any money seriously from the nonprofits even though we kind of did some doing and money, but we never seriously tried to do anything. We are selling properties to nonprofits basically for pennies and \$99 leases, 99 cent leases, whatever the heck they were, and these properties once paid taxes and now they are not paying taxes.

We are hiring consultants and assistants when they are not needed, and not only are we hiring consultants and assistants we are giving the people who we hired to do these job more money and pay raises because we are hiring the best qualified and if you hire the best qualified you are going to have to pay them more money.

We gave OECD loans to businesses who

really didn't need those loans, but tot top it off they never paid us back. The attorney told us that two weeks ago, I can't remember his name, he said the list is large. We have a large list of people that didn't pay us back. Most of them probably didn't even need the money, but we gave it to them anyway and now they are not paying us back.

We raised the mercantile tax, we have driven business out of this city. Now we are going to drive more businesses out of the city because taxes are going up again, not only are we driving business out of the city we are driving residents out of the city. There is more for sale signs in the City of Scranton more than ever before. couldn't believe how many have gone up recently in my neighborhood in South Side. It's crazy. It really is. I don't have an explanation for it. We raise the parking to a dollar and a quarter an hour, yet we are going to bring more people downtown. going to charge them more money to charge downtown, but yet we are going to bring more

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people downtown. We raised the rates in the parking garages. The parking garages are falling apart, that's going to bring more people downtown?

I mean, it's about time we smarten up and the only people that have to smarten up are seven elected officials, the mayor, five city councilmen and city controller. The city controller has been here for 30 years, okay? This is the problem. It's not the Court cases, it's not the commuters, it's not the nonprofits, it's the people who are in charge of the city. That's why people run and hide when you mention the word Scranton, Pennsylvania, to someone to move into this area or to be a family or whether it be a business. Why? What's the one reason? Taxes. And now we are talking raising taxes again. I mean, come on, people. There has got to be some other plans.

You know, we hire people from

Newark, New Jersey. Newark, New Jersey, is

more corrupt than Scranton, Pennsylvania,

is. Why don't we go out to the midwest?

Why don't we go to Ohio? Why don't we go to Wisconsin, other places where they can -- New Mexico where they have actually turned things around and they have gone from being in debt to having money. Why don't we do that?

And the only people that can do that are the seven elected officials. I can't do it and no one in this audience can do it.

The only people that can do it are the seven elected officials of the City of Scranton and it's about time they do it. It's as simple as that. We can make all of the excuses and all of the alibis in the world, you know what, it all boils down to the seven elected officials in the City of Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident. With regard to item 3-E,
Rossi's audit status, there was one change
on the audit, we apparently did get the
Scranton Redevelopment Authority's audit
done and that's been received, however, we
still don't have the Parking Authority's

audited financial statements.

I did go to Mr. Washo's office this afternoon, he was not there. There was a gentleman manning the office, only one other person. I asked him what would be a good time for me to come back. He said, "Well, Mr. Washo is usually here between three and four."

It was 3:30, he wasn't coming in today. I asked him it he knew the status of the audit? He said, "What audit."

So that's very interesting. With regard to 3-L, the correspondence from Anderson and Associates on the MMO for 2015. I don't know if you have a chance to read the backup on this yourself, but Anderson attached a letter from the Bayer-Barber Company that prepares the MMO and they sent a letter to the Composite Pension Board explaining that the board has two options, one is to go with the full MMO, which would be \$15.8 million, the other one is to go with Act 44 relief provisions, which would be \$12.3 million. However, this is what they say: "Based on the fact that the city

is it a severe level of distress and have one of the lowest funding levels in the state, we recommend that the city make contributions to the plan in excess, excess of the full 2015 MMO which is \$15.8 million before consideration of Act 44 relief."

Would I would like to know is what does the city intend to do and how does it intend to find the money? Does anybody have any ideas whether they are going to with a 12.3 or the 15.8 million or excess?

MR. MCGOFF: That is currently being discussed because going with the lower amount with the discount may put us where we are paying out more than we are putting into the pension.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, you have not only look at the --

MR. MCGOFF: So it really doesn't provide necessarily a savings, but at the same time paying the full MMO or in excess of the full MMO is also problematic. And, yes, they are looking at options, a number of options for it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, good luck

finding \$12.30 let alone 12 point million or more.

And finally, Item 5-D, which is the this contract for fire recovery services, someone explain to me why I pay real estate and EMS taxes and other taxes, I thought that provided me with municipal services? What was I missing? Why this additional fee?

MR. MCGOFF: This would recover costs incurred by the city and we would recover if from and the insurance companies as opposed to paying for those services from general funds.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And for those of us who don't carry insurance because we are covered by the VA who would pay the bill?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?

MS. HODOWANITZ: Those of us are covered by the Veteran's Administration, we retirees who paid our dues to the country, we don't carry private insurance, who would pay that bill?

MR. WECHSLER: This is car insurance.

MR. MCGOFF: This is -- for example,
I'll give you as I did in the caucus, if
there were a car accident where a fire
company had to go out and clean up spilled
fuel --

MS. HODOWANITZ: That's not what your documentation says. Let me read you your documentation: "Fees to be charged. Scranton Bureau of Fire shall be reimbursed the fee which in the event of a motor vehicle accident shall be a per vehicle fee or per pedestrian or per bicyclist. For example, basic emergency services assistance \$250.

Prohibited offenses. It shall not be a defense to any action to collect these fees that a person was not at fault."

In other words, I could be walking down the street -- I'm going to continue, I could be walking down the street, hit by a hit and run driver drunk, okay, fire department comes out and is going to charge me \$250 because it doesn't matter whether I'm at fault or not, okay?

It goes on, "It shall not be a

defense to any action to collect these fees if the person has no insurance coverage."

Well, I'm sorry, I paid my dues. As far as I'm concerned, I'm covered by the VA and I will not pay any fee."

It goes on, it gets even worse -MR. MCGOFF: Ms. Hodowanitz, we
understand what the legislation is.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I'm just saying this isn't right. Are you going to repeal the EMS tax? It seems to me to be redundant? Or maybe we could charge it to the commuters, I'm sure they will be happy to pay it. They will love this when they see this.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Kristen Shemanski.

MS. SHEMANSKI: Hi, everyone. I'm
Kristen Shemanski and I'm here on behalf of
Scranton Reads and Scranton Reads is a
community reading event that if organized by
the City of Scranton and the Albright
Memorial Library. Every year during the
month of October citizens of Scranton come

together to read a great work of literature.

They participate in book discussions,

special events related to the chosen work of that year.

At Scranton Reads we have two main goals, the first is to encourage the community to read, and the second is to bring the community together through the shared common experience. So Scranton Reads we like to enhance the feeling of the community by bringing people from all different backgrounds together.

This year the book that we have selected is the works of Edgar Allen Poe, and Brian has copy of the book for everybody.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$\ \operatorname{MCGOFF}:$$ We always appreciate this.

MS. SHEMANSKI: And in the book is also a list of all events that we have around the Scranton area for the month of October, and this is our 13th year doing it so we really hope to see you at some of our events that are coming up. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: I know in the past council has made attempts to, you know, be participants in the Scranton Reads and, you know, it is a very enjoyable activity that you have and I also talked and made a suggestion for a book for next year which is a book written by a Scranton resident about the City of Scranton.

MS. SHEMANSKI: Oh, that would be great, yeah. Well, thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you very much.
We appreciate it. Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, did you go Miller, Scranton. Just to begin here, I listened to Mr. Jackowitz this evening and I think the beginning of his presentation pretty much summed everything up quite accurately. Get ready for a blood bath, Scranton. With the result we received a few days ago by the Court I could say welcome to paradise and thank you Scranton voters. You have done a remarkable job election after election and I can say that we must have had some pretty darn some beautiful looking yard signs out there last election so thank you.

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, you have done a remarkable job.

You know, it's just beyond the point of frustration at this point when we take a look at where we are at this time, this stage of the game. Quite frankly, there are no answers in this government. It's been that case for decades. When we think we are going somewhere we continue to kick the can down the road we and expect a different result, and I know it sounds quite repetitive, but that's exactly the case when you are in a city where you are constantly banging your head against the same wall and expecting to move forward, and that's what we have consistently done, gentlemen. is not one creative idea that I have heard come out of this chamber since January. We've heard all of the silly little fictitious plans.

We had a transplant from New Jersey come in who has a record of the mismanagement himself come in from New Jersey to tell us things we already knew who made ridiculous recommendations. Prime example is the Act 205 tax that was shot

down. Last week we heard from a council member who claimed that their opinion can be wrong but never be false. I don't know what the difference is between the two, but evidently the Court found that opinion to be false.

So where did we go now, gentlemen?
What's our brilliant plan now? Are we going
to bring Mr. Amoroso back to the table, the
drawing board? Are we going to sell off
some parking garages now and sell off the
Sewer Authority, maybe even sell city hall?
Why not at this point?

Have we taken a chance to actually review this document, the 2014 operating budget? Has anyone taken the time to review this document? Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff should be familiar with it. Have you Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, I did.

MR. MILLER: And Mr. Evans. And what do you think of it?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WECHSLER: It's what we are working to.

MR. MILLER: Are we willing to make

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some serious cuts moving forward because if we are not we are wasting our time. already sat down and reviewed the budget and I'm working on cuts. All I hear out of this chamber is more taxation, more sucking the life out of residents of this city. wanted to burn the people outside of the city and obviously you were held accountable on that, so now what are you going to do? Are we going raise taxes 50 percent again and force more people out of their homes? Forget about selling your home, we have people just fleeing this city altogether on a daily basis and what are we doing, we are just standing on the sidelines watching it happen.

I am so sick and tired of hearing the excuse "Well, give us a chance, we were just elected."

You've had a chance. You have lived in this city for the majority of your lives, you have lived the every day life, you understand the struggles this city face, you all campaigned. You all walked around this city, I would assume. You went through the

neighborhoods, you saw the blight, you saw the "For Sale" signs, saw the poverty all throughout this city. You chose to be put in that seat. The residents elected you. Did qualifications matter? We could debate that, but at the end of the day it's over and you were elected and we have to work with what we have. Is it scary? You are sure the heck right it is but this is what we have, and now you have the keys to the future and we are in a critical stage here.

Do we want to go bankrupt? No, we don't, but is that where we're at right now? It most certainly is because I haven't heard one realistic plan. We are talking about taxing people in the city once again and have we taken the time to look at delinquent taxes and what's currently owed? And so that before we go outside of the city and punish people maybe we should clean our own house out.

You know, it's just disturbing and it's hard to have any optimism for the future as I look around here because I just hear one silly plan after another, none of

19

20

18

21

22

23

24

25

it is realistic. You know, Mr. McGoff stated in the paper today that we might luck out the third time trying the commuter tax. Maybe it's time to get that idea out of our minds because it's an absurdity. I wish I was as delusional as Mr. McGoff was with the statement that bankruptcy is a the end of the road, I still feel we are on the road. Well, maybe you're on -- I don't know what road you are on, Mr. McGoff, because maybe it's the yellow brick road or the land of Oz, but I'd like to be on the same road you are on, but evidently you are not seeing the same thing we are and unless we are not willing to step up and get our acts together and make some tough decisions this city isn't going anywhere and bankruptcy is the only solution.

And maybe we don't have a loud enough bell. You know, I think it's really ignorant when you are speaking and you have a loud bell ring over you maybe we should come up with a light system or something a little will more respectful --

MR. MCGOFF: Maybe you should abide

by the five minutes.

MR. MILLER: Well, maybe you should look at something that's more respectful --

MR. MCGOFF: Maybe you should abide by the Rules of Council.

MR. MILLER: -- and not allow to ring over you when you are speaking.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. MILLER: You are welcome,

Mr. McGoff, you're welcome.

MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.
You know, I'd like to start off by saying,
you know, I still have respect for anybody
who is elected to public office. I won't
deny that I'm extremely disappointed where
we are and how long we have been on this
road to where we are now. I think it's time
for a new plan. I brought up the 30 percent
tax cuts, cut the budget by 30 percent,
that's why I talked about. You know, I
think we have got to stop showboating, and
I'll illustrate that. We went through the
Hill Section, we put up a condemned sign, I

think Mr. Evans went there. You know,

Mr. Evans, I'd like to ask you if you go to the Hill again knock on doors on private residences that are in disrepair and leave them a note and tell them to bring their property up to some kind of standards because, you know, maybe the roof is bad, the porches are falling off, you know, because to be honest with you we blamed all of the wrong people for the problems we have had in our city for a long time.

A 30 percent cut in the budget is only a beginning and we can laugh about it and we can find everything to be humorous, but, you know, I know that people make 70 to 80 thousand dollars a year and they're walking out of their house, they're leaving it here. They tell anybody that could pay what they owe the bank and I have talked to these people.

You know, it's nice to dress up and wear pretty little suits and pretend like you have some answers, and that's not a disrespectful remark. You know, I think we have picked leadership in this country and we have used the wrong barometer to

determine leadership ability and that's why our country been in decline since I have been a child, but we can bomb countries into the stone age, but don't forget we bombed Vietnam into the stone age and in the war we pulled out of there and you see what happened there. We destabilized the whole middle east and now we are worried about ISIS cutting people's heads off and fighting with our equipment.

So, I mean, it's time to be really realistic and understand where Scranton is. It's time to move away from Act 47 and sever that relationship. It hasn't lead us anywhere. It's been a dead end. We can make the decisions we have to make here, and I have said it before, SAPA is the beginning of a new way back for this city. The other way back is to move away from Act 47.

Look it, we can blame Mayor Doherty for all of our problems, he didn't create them, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania told him what to do and he did it. The project money that came in here from the state, the grant money that's been misspent, everybody

knew it was being misspent. That wasn't a secret to all of the people in the halls of power here, just the people on the street have finally figured it out because they can't live here anymore, they can't pay the amount of taxes they are paying.

And, you know, I just think that the residents in this city when this next budget comes out if there isn't a 30 percent cut in the budget they have to ask this council and this mayor to resign. We need to find leadership. This city has to go into receivership or bankruptcy if the people we elect can't make the tough choices, and to be honest with you ordinary people have no more money.

That's why -- the questions I asked that time, the Right-to-Know for, they are tied up. They don't want to give me the answers, and that's fine, because I'm going to sit down with Mrs. Krake probably tomorrow and try to work through this, but these are the questions that council members should have asked because it was so important for you to know the people you are

representing because they are counting on you. They are not counting on me, they are not counting on the people sitting there tonight, they are counting on you. And after two decades under Act 47, and the city is more troubled now than it was then, there is a real problem here.

And, you know, I don't know if you asked your solicitor about 2 05 when you decided to go forward in Court because he should have advised you that it wasn't going to go anywhere, so I think you received some very faulty information, and like I said before -- well, the judge disagrees with you, Mr. McGoff, respectfully, and he is the one that has the say here and not you. What you say doesn't mean a thing to the judge of the Commonwealth because it's just a moot point, with all respect to you.

But you know something, the people in this city they are not going to stay, they are going to leave. They are tired of the corruption here, they are tired of the inside tract to everything that goes on here. They are tired. How can you give

grant money to people and just forget about the neighborhoods? I think the most important thing the council could do is go up to the neighborhood and knock on their door and tell them bring the properties up to code, tell them to fix their porches. I mean, we can play the landlord game now. Let's play the other game and get people who come down here and say you are out of your mind. I mean, it's really time for the residents of this city to ask the mayor and the council to resign if they can't find a solution because taxing people outside of the city that's not the answer. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.
Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Ozzie Quinn, president of the Hill Neighborhood Association. Good evening. I'm here tonight to ask the city council to revive the Vacant Property Review Committee. Last week the Hill Neighborhood Association had its monthly meeting and we had 52 people at the meeting and these people are trying to do something, you know, to bring back the Hill neighborhood. You

know, when you bring 52 people out these people are still interested in what's going on in the neighborhood.

Now, what does that mean? I know, and there are a lot of vacant lots in the Hill neighborhood, okay, the Hill Section that are not being used because they are underutilized by the fact that, you know, these are small, small dimensions, like, 50 by 80, 40 by 85, 27 by 60, and so on and so on, and all of these lots were up for tax sale and they went to the judiciary sale, the upset sale, the judiciary sale, and now they are in the repository in the Lackawanna County Tax Claim Bureau.

Now, we at the Hill were unanimous

last week that we wanted to do something

about these lots. We want to try to -- or

we have different ideas and maybe some

off-street parking, maybe some gardening,

maybe you get Marywood College School of

Architecture involved, you know, things like

that. We want to bring back the

neighborhood, okay? And these lots will

never be sold because people just don't want

them and you can't divide them give on-half, one-half to the other because people don't want their taxes raised, you know?

And if you say, "I'm entitled" you have to get -- you have to do a title and then you have to pay for the taxes. So what I'm asking is that you take it seriously and don't drag your feet and revive the Vacant Property Review Committee. I say that respectfully so that we could possibly start to continue and start to continue to revitalize the Hill Section and that would be a big step.

And that and what Mr. Evans is trying to do in regards to the Quality of Life Ordinance and hopefully we can bring back our neighborhood, okay? When those 52 people turn out they turn out because they are interested in the neighborhood. They don't turn out, you know, to talk about taxes or to talk about anything else, they just talk about what we can do for the neighborhood.

So I beg you, you know, please consider this. Talk amongst yourself and

try to get that Vacant Property Review

Committee back on its feet and so they can
get these properties back and sell them to
us for a \$1, you won't be sorry, it's better
for them than waiting until the summer comes
and the winter comes and you have to
maintain these properties and nobody
maintains them and all it does is it causes
more blight.

You know, we can rectify that situation, we want to rectify it, so I'd appreciate if you would consider our proposal and I offer you tonight and I hope that you can follow through with it. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Quinn, I just wanted to say that that's a very good idea not only for the Hill, but for the rest of the city as well.

MR. QUINN: Yeah.

MR. MCGOFF: You know, that other neighborhoods are in need of that type of activity so thank you for your suggestion.

MR. QUINN: You're welcome.

MR. EVANS: My comment on that, and

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ozzie knows this very well, I'm an advocate of the Vacant Blight Committee be reinstituted for years. It's a very underutilized tool that the city has. Βv doing that they can get these problems -they can the SRA and then turn them over to organizations like Hill Neighborhood Association and then they can do what Ozzie is talking about. They can put gardens there, they can sell them to adjoining neighbors, they can put a garage there, whatever is the best use for that property and get them cleaned up and get them back on the tax rolls, so I applaud you, Oz, for that idea, you know, my full support, the rest of council feels the same way and the Hill could be very much a blueprint for what could happen in the other neighborhoods that we can get thing off the ground so thanks.

MR. MCGOFF: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, my friend,
ex-friends, soon to be ex-friends, whatever.
I only got a couple of things, one of them
it's dead and done, I've heard it from a
couple of people, I just hope we don't go on

2

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like Doherty with all of these losing lawsuits that just make the lawyers rich.

The Amoroso plan is a complete It's time to go onto Plan B, failure. whatever that is. An intelligent being would have done so many things positive to try to help people in this city. I think he could have went down personally and talked to Dean Hesser and tried to keep them from moving and several other big companies like the medical people moved to Throop or Justice or something. It's always easy to say raise taxes and sell things, it takes some intelligent being to try to save this city. He is an outsider that doesn't know nothing about this city. I bet he doesn't know where the Tripp House is or anything. He doesn't know the people. I bet he has never talked to someone from right across the street that's lost their home because they couldn't afford it no more. Just not taxes, just everything about it. You know, I think we got a good mayor. He is just getting very poor advice. Very poor. worst advice humanly possible. I don't know

1

8

7

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where they dug up this guy. He's like a charlatan around here, and I'm not going to go on with this it's just, you know, I talked to people, they just give you on the city, there is just so much apathy here, it's just sad. Nobody wants to vote, nobody cares about who is sitting up there anymore, they don't care who gets the seats for the state government, the governor, I never heard -- nobody seemed to care about anything. It's like a bunch of beaten people.

Well, as you know, I live on North Main, my neighborhood has gone downhill so I think if somebody would give the bad. Licensing Bureau some teeth, some enforcement, they can't enforce codes that are on the books. They can't go into people's houses that are rebuilding them without building permits. This is senseless. They are supposed to do things like that. That's what keeps these people from Brooklyn, New York, from buying ten houses and let them go to pot. I got one of these guys across the street from me, he got

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

125 houses he brags about, you know, no one can take care of 125 pieces of property. He doesn't care who lives there, he doesn't care who goes on as long as he gets his rent.

I got quads running up and down the sidewalk. They shouldn't be prohibited from riding down the street to get gasoline. They are unlicensed and uninsured, they're un-inspected, I don't have no curbs, you can't walk on the sidewalks anyway because cars are parked there because people have -they rent an apartment with no parking whatsoever on the 2500 block so they park on the sidewalk nothing is done about it. the morning it's 7:00, the kids are in the street walking around illegal cars. the same thing for years. Years every Monday and Tuesday there is signs all over the street from yard sales that people don't take down. They ought to be sent a citation or something for crying out loud because it's just -- the neighborhoods just don't look nice no more, you know? What am I paying taxes for? I can't walk on a

2
 3
 4

5

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sidewalk. I don't have no curbs and the water goes all over my yard. I keep putting stones in my driveway they keep washing away.

The block grant money HUD gives it to developers instead of letting the city have it to work on projects that of all things they keep making cuts in the sidewalk where they got them, you know? If they were no good they shouldn't have been paid for to start with. It's just management at every turn and you people don't think about the Doug Millers that are going to be here ten or 20 or 30 years. What kind of city is he going to get? It's terrible. I think it's time to start thinking about receivership or something to try to quit throwing good money after bad because we have hit a -- you people we just travel in different circles.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You people just talk to people in your financial group and social circles and friends, you don't know what's going on down on Luzerne Street and --

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Ellman, thank you.

MR. ELLMAN: -- Jackson Street and so forth. You stop me I'm going to poke pins in your doll tonight.

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sure you will.

MR. ELLMAN: Thank you for allowing me to talk, Mr. McGoff. I won't poke no pins in there. I will wait for the right time.

MR. MCGOFF: Somebody has been poking them, I don't know who. Anyone else who?

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council. Marie Schumacher. I'm going to
get on the receivership bandwagon in a
minute, but a couple of items first.
Today's paper talked about the SRA audit
being completed. Did anybody -- has anybody
ever gone to the authorities and asked them
to go to a fiscal year accounting system so
that we can get our audit on time? Has
anybody asked them that? I mean, I don't
think you can --

MR. MCGOFF: I haven't. I don't know if anybody else in the past has.

 ${\sf MS.}$ SCHUMACHER: Well, I think that

should be investigated so if everybody's fiscal year ended in December we might have a chance of getting an audit on time.

Next, agenda Item 5-D, I just read the backup and at first I thought it was just Rescue 1, and I don't know who dispatches Rescue 1 or under what circumstances, but if during motions somebody knows that I would like to know that, but it also seems to apply just even to a fire at my home, and I do believe that is covered by my property taxes.

The parking meter, Mr. Evans could you please give us a report maybe next week on the parking meter revenue through the end of September this year compared to last year.

MR. EVANS: I know we talked about that on Monday and I'll get that for you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah. And then another thing on the Scranton Parking -- whoops, wait a minute. Does anybody have any feeling for whether or not the legislation reforming act 47 will be passed this legislative session? Nope?

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCGOFF: That's not something that we have any control over.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, no, I would think you talked to the legislators and they would have pretty good idea.

MR. MCGOFF: We have, but it's --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. The Scranton Parking Authority had a meeting I believe it was the 22nd of September and they had one previously on the 2nd of October -- or excuse me, the 2nd of September, do you get reports from them on what they have done? Joan attended the meeting, that last one, and said there was some kind of a bond I would like more information on passed. that, but there is no Parking Authority contact so maybe you guys could find out what they actually did on the 22nd of September that would be nice. Somebody?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. And then finally I want to hop on the receivership bandwagon. Every time it's brought up different people say, you know, that's terrible, it would be the worst thing

25

for the property owners, well, I went back and I looked up what has happened to the property taxes in the City of Harrisburg. The taxes did not change from 2009, 2010, 2011, they were the same, they filed receivership in October of -- or bankruptcy actually and got the receivership on October 12th of 2011, the following year their taxes went up 7.9 percent for the improvements and 8 percent for the land. They remained the same as of 2014, so tell will me what a bad deal that is? What is receivership? think that's something that's just been used as a cover. If somebody can explain during motions how we taxpayers are going to get harmed by going into receivership, I know you have all, at least those I have a provided, some once, some twice, the article that David Unkavich, who was the first receiver for the City of Harrisburg wrote, I think that you should have either -- you should consult with him, you should spend some of your reserve money on meeting with him or possibly hiring him before he continue down this path and start selling

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

assets off or making deals one at a time instead of doing it as a package, and also, back when Gary Lewis was in town he brought a gentleman who came all of the way up I believe from some place in New Jersey, I did get his card, it was Nicholas, and I'm probably going to do a really bad job on his -- Stroumtros -- S-T-R-O-U-M-T-R-O-S. He is a mediator or and he is a retired Superior Court judge in New Jersey. He offered to come in and talk to council. I think you should call him. I have his card if you need contact information and see what you Both of those people I think you need to talk before we go my further, and I think receivership is possibly the answer.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton, fellow Scrantonians. Well, another plan in our plan for recovery has fallen through and broken, but what really bothered me is when the judge asked, "Have you met with the unions?"

Thank you very much.

And they said, "Yeah, last week."

Now, he has been in there for nine

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

months, that mayor has been in there for nine months and last week he decided to meet with the unions. That doesn't work.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Bulzoni was answering on behalf of his himself.

MR. SBARAGLIA: Oh.

MR. MCGOFF: That was what the attorney asked him.

That's what I know MR. SBARAGLIA: because his legal team he means I assume. assume, but it doesn't pay to assume anything in this city. This city has been going down a path to destruction. people are not muses. You are not going to get water from stone and yet you keep It's going to rain, they call for trying. it Thursday, I mean, in a couple of days they expect a good rainstorm, but there's no pot of gold at the end of that rainbow. got to look at -- well, we have been saying this for how many years now and nothing has It's obvious that we can't run been done. the city because you keep giving everything out to the contractors, but the party exists for two things, contractors and pay grads

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that's the path you people follow.

There is no reason why we couldn't do a lot of this stuff that you are giving contracts out in-house. What do we have a treasury department for, to sit there and collect fines from somebody at a meter? what we got? The Treasury Department should be doing a lot of this work you are giving contracts out for. This is no reason why they couldn't -- there is no reason why we couldn't do our own parking meters. joke after another joke after another joke you people do. Either disband the treasury or make them do what they are there for. They are there to collect fines or transfer it over to the Scranton Tax Office.

We lost a lot when they took away
the wage tax, now they are going to do real
estate. Why are we are going outside of the
area when we could be using them and yet
hire so many people back they laid off?
In-house is best way to do anything because
then you got your finger on it. You can't
do what you are doing. You are giving
everything to someone else to do and then

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you are wondering why it isn't getting done because you have no control over them. got their contract, like, this one you are going to give for four years I guess when Billy gets out of office I guess, but we got to remember, people are looking at this and they are saying we blame Mayor Doherty for the same thing. Ten years ago we brought in of up do the things in-house, but we contracted everything out and what happened? Where are we now? People are saying it's time to do something. Either break up the city, maybe give part to Taylor, maybe part to Dickson City, maybe that's the solution, because the city is too complex for the people that are in office to run. shame to say so, but that's what it looks like.

And everybody is looking at it the same way, Scranton -- we used to do everything in Scranton. I remember when the policemen walked around and gave out tickets, but no, no. We got a lot of policemen. We got a lot of people on retirement, but they are not giving out

22

23

24

25

tickets, we got someone else giving out tickets. We got someone else doing this, someone else doing that, but we don't have Scrantonians doing it, we got outside companies doing it. And believe me, we haven't come out very good with these deals, it's ashame to say, but we have been saying it for ten years and it fell on deaf ears, now they are talking about where we are. It's true that blame belongs with the administration of the city, there is no question about that. If you want to blame somebody for where we are you got to blame them, but they are not truly looking at things so you can't blame everything on it. The federal government did a lot of damage to the City of Scranton. Anybody that remembers the garment industry knows that and that was one of the stones that we came across in our contract. Well, you got the problem.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Sbaraglia.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton, taxes and

fees paid. First of all, about two years ago it was mentioned that a fire truck was on loan to Throop and personally I think if these people want to be so chincy with us we should have that fire truck back and auction it off if we need money that bad. It's getting ridiculous. They only don't even pay taxes up there thanks to the landfill that Ms. Schumacher smell five miles away up on East Mountain.

Now, on the Sewer Authority once again, in Detroit they have a water authority, Detroit Water Authority. Now, they ran a lot of pipelines out through more posh communities and so forth and it's in all kinds of debt and if you owe about \$150 or \$200 to the water authority your water gets shut off making your house condemnable be immediately. Well, the golf course out there owes \$500,000 but their water isn't shut off and the sports arena owes -- some sports arena owes close to 100 grand, their water isn't shut off so it's something to think about when you start thinking about handing it out to a private corporation.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, last week I mentioned on taxes
I give you some sheets and that very
possibly \$900 million plus was being
pilfered from sales taxes from restaurants
alone.

Now, I'd like to go over the tax. Now, I added in let's say things really got bad and we had to have that commuter tax and we had to tax the residents, so we got .75 for that, and 3.40 Scranton School, 3.15 state, 1.35 state under Act 76 and 47 is revised and you are near minimum wage, that's another 1 percent, so before you walk out your paycheck compliments of being a resident of this area and in Pennsylvania you will pay about 9.65 percent taxes out of your check before you he ever see it. And, oh, by the way, I also pointed out last week that certain employers are being allowed to -- and even foreign companies to pocket the taxes, the state taxes income tax that are deducted out of the paychecks of their employees with no obligation to disclose that to them, so nice deal if you can get it.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the Chamber is concerned, I didn't vote for any of them, probably never would, I didn't vote for Mr. Amoroso and if we are going to adopt his plan let's take a vote on that, too. That's a Recovery Plan, we voted on the last one, let's vote on this one, and the terrible result of this Court ruling is that we have 33 percent and it's not being gotten out there, 33 percent tax exempt. Some of them are courthouses, prisons, some of them are just churches or schools or whatever, but the point being we have a land grab here for tax exempt properties. Everybody just seems to be piling on looking for more tax exempts. Luzerne Community College is looking for

And also if anybody knows you could state right now what would be the total collectable property tax for the Year 2014, assuming that everybody paid every dime they were assessed, does anybody know?

land up here. It just goes on and on.

MR. MCGOFF: Not offhand.

MR. DOBRZYN: Because I suspect it would be -- wasn't it like over \$20 million

last year and then we raised this property tax so we might be losing light eight, ten million dollars a year on this exempt deal and that would definitely go a long way to solve our problems; and also, we have to collect the trash fees and back trash fees, it's gone far enough, there is too many wealthy people that aren't paying their fees, and if somebody is broke put a lien against their house.

And people of Scranton, you got perisinuitis (phonetic). 65 percent African-Americans and they have an all white council, an all white mayor, an all white police force, and they are complaining and it's very sad when that kid was shot, but we are not voting either, and it's certainly not voting reform so get off your ditty box and vote. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. This week's decision by Judge Braxton was a surprise to us. The way that the opinion was written his findings that the law was silent on whether the tax had to be put on residents as well as non-residents was not as clear to me as it was to him. The judge could have decided this case either way but in reality he did not do that, so now we have to look and wait for the state legislature to come up with their plan on how this tax will be handled.

Hopefully, now we are waiting for them to pass it so we know if the LST is going to be raised and what changes may be made to the Act 205. There are several different options that are under consideration and we are in communication with the administration and we are working and evaluating what those steps could be. We have to go forward with this, and I'm happy with the fact that I voted for the Act 205 and it was a tool that was presented to us for the city. We have to take advantage of everything that is available for us to help balance our budget and get us out of

this financial crises.

This week I attended a meeting with the members of Veteran's Post 341 in regard to the Duffy statue and light pole in Duffy Park in South Scranton. The meeting with the gentleman from that association I found that they are dedicated citizens, very proud of their American heritage, very proud of their service to the country, they proud to be South Siders. Based on some conversations we had with Solicitor Shrive it appears right now that the Duffy statute will remain in South Side and will be part of the new park so we are working on the arrangements to make that happen.

The posts that have their memorial day celebration at that park since 1939 and they have been committed and their association is strong, their association is growing and I look forward to working with them in the future and continuing their service.

In regards to Item 5-D, the insurance recoupment, this is something that has been kicked around in the City of

Scranton for as many years as I have been moved in politics. There is an expense to the city when our cruisers are sent out to different type of accidents. For an example last week when the truck went over the barrier on the Scranton Expressway. Our trucks were forced to go out there and were there with three hours with clean up and all of type of stuff. These are the types of things that are other cities do across the country and it's time for us to get on board and take use of these programs.

The goal is to have these things paid by insurance companies. The fee that the company will charge will be 15 percent of what they collect, and I think it's a win for our city to help us offset some of the costs. And that's all I have tonight, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. I will also talk about the 205 commuter tax a little bit first and I think Councilman McGoff spelled out what the city's options are very well in the newspaper today.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Obviously I'm disappointed in the judge's decision regarding the 205 tax. I personally believe it's traditional That provision currently isn't in activism. the law because one of the big arguments during the time that this was being adopted was whether the state would include any protection provision in that law, and that's what we are waiting on now. We are waiting to see what the state is going to do, whether they will require it to be levied equally on city residents and commuters, but the city does have many options in regard to levying a commuter tax, which I think needs to be done because the residents of the city, especially the senior citizens of our city, can't afford more property tax increases.

With a third of the city's land nonprofit when we're not bringing any property tax revenue the burden falls on those who own homes, and I think it was striking to see throughout this Court case the vast majority of those who were suing or challenging us worked for nonprofits or

3

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

government, and that's part of the problem that the city -- so much of the city's land is taken by nonprofit and government we aren't receiving tax revenue.

Some of the options that were brought up is an appeal of the ruling if the state chooses not to enact that equal protection provision. Another option I, which I believe is a very strong one at this point, is to revisit the Act 47 commuter tax. If you recall two years ago, I mean, actually at this point in time I opposed a commuter tax. Between now and then because of the changes in the city's financial situation, you know, it caused me to change my mind on this issue. But two years ago when the previous administration went to Court there were two main reasons why we are shot down.

Number one was the previous

administration didn't have their facts and
figures correct when they were in front of

Judge Nealon; and number two was in that
decision the judge stated that because the
city has the power to raise taxes and raise

fees that should be the first option. That has happened. Property taxes in the City of Scranton have gone up over 80 percent. The garbage fee is almost doubled. Rental registration fees have tripled. Fees for dog licenses have increased. Everything that could have been increased on the city taxpayers unfortunately has been and now it's time that we have to look for those who work in the city to pay their fair share as well.

A third option that I also believe should be explored that was mentioned by the previous council was a change in the city's classification due to the fact the stays population has decreased. I think that needs to be looked into as well.

I am looking forward to continuing to work with my colleagues on this board and the mayor as well to do anything we can to try to get that commuter tax enacted because it a very important planning in the mayor's recovery plan and the burden cannot be shouldered only by the property owner.

That's been the unfortunate answer to the

city's ills for decades and the homeowner can't continue to pay increased real estate taxes not only from the city but at the same time while the school district and the county are having dramatic increases at the same time.

Two other items, regarding the legislation for the fire department, this is, and I know that it was explained, but this is only for motor vehicle accidents.

MS. HODOWANITZ: No, it's not.

MR. ROGAN: It is only for motor vehicles accidents as I read it. If there is something I'm missing, you know, I'll be happy to look through it again, and as Councilman Wechsler mentioned, it's to offset some of the costs from the insurance companies and in the state of the Pennsylvania it's mandatory if you are driving to have vehicle insurance, so that is something that I did speak to Chief DeSarno about it a little bit today, he reached out to other chiefs in similar sized cities and said that some cities are bringing in 60, 70,000 dollars a year

through this and that money is earmarked in the city's case will be specifically earmarked for the fire department only. The same way that the police department has money through their revenue streams earmarked specifically for that department. So we will continue to look into it. As of now I do support this legislation, but I will continue to look at it further.

And finally, it was brought to my attention and I noticed it on my way to work, somebody cleaned all of the weeds and overgrowth off the Lackawanna Avenue bridge. It looks great and a big thank you to whoever took time out of their day to hand pull all of those weeds. And that is all I have for tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, I would like to update the status on the Quality of Life Ordinance. Scheduling issues have not allowed myself and Pat Hinton to meet with Hazelton officials this week, however, we are now meeting with the mayor of the Hazelton Monday, October 6.

Councilman Rogan also indicate he may available and will attend the meeting, so we are looking forward to their input on this very important piece of legislation and based on our findings after that meeting we hope to fast track this through the law department and then to city council.

I did discuss meeting with nonprofits with Business Administrator,
David Bulzoni. He was happy to have someone from city council engaged in his important task. He will contact me when these meetings are scheduled. Of course, I will certainly report to council any progress along that front.

Act 205. It was stated, of course, in the Amoroso plan that we needed to move forward this and we did, but if the ruling is not appealed and it stands as is then Act 205 becomes a nonstarter for me. To think for a minute that Scranton residents can afford more in a wage tax increase or more of a wage tax increase would be insane. We are well beyond the point of the diminishing returns when it comes to many of our taxes.

History has shown that increase in any taxes on the city residents while creating additional revenue in the short-term actually creates less revenue in the long-term as more and more of other our middle class leaves the city because of these tax policies.

So now what are our options? Well, I haven't formed an opinion on the following items, but these are some of the things that need to be debated. The Act 47 revisions that are currently at the state level will allow for a tripling of the LST tax. It is estimated that this would generate approximately 2.3 million dollars annually. It could bring increased flexibility because the revenue from this tax could go into the general fund and not necessarily be dedicated to the pensions while Scranton is still under Act 47.

The Act 47 revisions also include provisions for a payroll tax which initially would be revenue neutral because it's based on the current revenues received through the business privilege tax and the mercantile

tax. However, if enacted those two nuisance taxes are then eliminated. Also, as wages and employees grow this revenue stream can also grow. It can also capture additional employers including nonprofits.

Finally, a commuter tax per Act 47 ironically if this was instituted the rate on commuters can be as high as 1 percent versus a .75 over the enactment of Act 205. At this point, I'm not in favor of the commuter tax, but simply putting it on the table as we all have in discussion.

And finally, my favorite subject, pension reform. It is no longer just an option. Pension reform is now mandatory. If the current pension plan, and for that matter if the city is to survive financially, and enforce the 401-K-type plan for al new hires must be part of that reform. Again, we have to look long-term and no longer short-term.

On a positive note, Councilman Rogan reminded me of this, I go on a daily walk at Nay Aug Park and there is two gentlemen that go to the park everyday, almost everyday I

25 Well, n

see them, and one has a bag and one has one of those long tools that you can grab trash and everyday they walk around the park and pick up trash, put it in the bag and go home, so they are getting their exercise and cleaning the park at the same time so they are a great example. You know, I don't know who they are or what their names are and one of these days I'm going to stop and ask them, but that's the kind of Scranton that we are all proud of. Thanks.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. For the past couple of weeks we have been arguing over opinions and, you know, the little -- when I taught school I used to use with the students I used to tell them that opinions are like noses, everybody has one and they all smell. Opinions have validity or no validity. It's not a right and wrong idea. In my opinion, the Philadelphia Philly's are the best team in baseball.

MR. WECHSLER: Wrong.

MR. MCGOFF: Well, you know, is it an opinion? Yes. Is there validity to it? Well, not much, but it's an opinion that I

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

may have, so it's not right or wrong but it certainly doesn't have much validity and so when we start talking about opinions just because you have one it doesn't make it valid and, you know, if you can offer some validity to opinions then maybe people will listen.

As we sit here this is not a time for assessing blame for things. I think we are beyond blame. This is a time when we need to seek answers and the problem that we face in seeking the answers to our problems is that there are numerous stakeholders in the welfare of this city. Residents, non-residents, unionized employees, property owners, business owners, elderly, you know, retirees, whatever it is, we are all involved in the welfare of the City of Scranton, and it seems as though whatever steps we take there is going to be sacrifice on someone's part. I think that we all become very personally when this happens. You know, it's kind of like, well, you know, fix the problem but don't touch anything that's fine. You know, take care of your

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issues, just don't affect me, and it just doesn't happen that way.

And the city is working toward some resolution to the problem and to all of our I have been involved in numerous problems. meetings over the past months some of the things that, you know, that are ongoing. The SPA debt and parking monetization. Pennsylvania fund, the super funding of the pension. You know, pension reform, trying to get 2015 TAN. A comprehensive street paving program. Capital expense for fire trucks, pack masters. A streetlight assessment. Health care containment. Grant opportunities for passion and other things. And yes, even PILOT negotiations and there have been talks. These are just some of the things that are being worked on, because they haven't been completed it doesn't mean that they are not addressing the problem.

And as we move towards the budget it's hopeful to some of these things can be resolved. It's a difficult process. It's not something that we are sitting back and just waiting to, you know, for somebody to

come up with a miracle cure. There is daily work toward resolution of these problems and I do take some offense at what was in the newspaper in editorials and in one particular column that kind of indicated that the city wasn't doing anything to address its problems. We are.

Was the Act 205 decision a setback?

Yes. As I said in the paper, I think it's a mild setback, but we do have options. You know, we are moving forward and we are going to address the problems that we have, that is what we were elected to do address the problems and to help in the operation of the city.

We will continue to do that and we welcome any ideas that people have for helping us resolve these problems, even if it is from outside of the city. We don't have all of the answers, we sometimes need help and we will continue to seek that help wherever it comes from. And that's all.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING
SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229613 ENTITLED

"LIQUID FUELS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND 1 DISBURSEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS RECEIVED FOR 2 3 THIS PURPOSE. 4 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be 5 6 introduced into its proper committee. 7 So moved. MR. ROGAN: 8 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 9 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 10 those in favor of introduction signify by 11 saying aye. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 13 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 14 MR. EVANS: Aye. 15 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 16 ayes have it and so moved. 17 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 18 RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING A DONATION OF FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000.00) DOLLARS FROM GEISINGER 19 20 HEALTH SYSTEM PRESENTED TO THE CITY OF 21 SCRANTON TO COVER THE COST OF FIREWORKS AT NAY AUG PARK. 22 23 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: 7 Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A 11 12 RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 13 14 ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH PA FIRE RECOVERY SERVICES, LLC TO PROVIDE A FIRE DEPARTMENT 15 SERVICES COLLECTION REIMBURSEMENT PROGRAM 16 17 FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE PERIOD FROM 18 SEPTEMBER 1, 2014 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2018. MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 19 20 entertain a motion that Item 5-D be 21 introduced into its proper committee. 22 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 24 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? As I 25 read through the backup to this, there may

be a little bit of confusion as it does say motor vehicle accidents, but then it also uses the word pedestrian and bicyclist, so we will seek some clarification on that. If needs to be reworded we will amend it.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.

READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.

48, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE - PROVIDING FOR THE

ACCEPTANCE OF UTILITY AND ACCESS EASEMENTS

IN THE LAUREL WOODS SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN

THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO

AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE

DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA

COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A

DEED FOR THE ABOVE MENTIONED EASEMENTS.

MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

1 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A 2 pass reading by title. 3 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 4 MS. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 5 those in favor signify by saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 6 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 7 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 10 ayes have it and so moved. MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -11 12 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 49, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE - PROVIDING FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF 13 ASPEN DRIVE, SYCAMORE LANE AND AZALEA WAY IN 14 THE LAUREL WOODS SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN THE 15 CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA. ALSO 16 17 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO ACCEPT FOR THE SUM OF ONE 18 DOLLAR (\$1.00) AND RECORD IN THE LACKAWANNA 19 COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS OFFICIAL RECORDS A 20 21 DEED FOR THE ABOVE MENTIONED RIGHTS OF WAY. 22 MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by 23 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 24 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 25 pass reading by title.

1	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
2	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
3	those in favor signify by saying aye.
4	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
5	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
6	MR. EVANS: Aye.
7	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved.
9	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
10	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
11	FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 47,
12	2014 - APPROVING AND ACCEPTING THE UPDATED
13	CITY OF SCRANTON CAPITAL BUDGET FOR THE YEAR
14	2015 THE SECOND-YEAR REVISION AND EXTENSION
15	OF THE 2013 FIVE-YEAR PLAN.
16	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
17	recommendation of the Chair for the
18	Committee on Finance?
19	MR. EVANS: As Chairperson for the
20	Committee on Finance, I recommend final
21	passage of Item 7-A.
22	MR. ROGAN: Second.
23	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
24	call, please?
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

1 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 5 6 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 7 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 8 9 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR 10 11 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 84, 2014 -RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 12 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND 13 14 ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT OF CORRECTION TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL SIGNATURES ON THE 15 EASEMENT AGREEMENT WHICH WAS RECORDED ON 16 17 DECEMBER 24, 2012 TO INSTRUMENT NUMBER 18 201224763 BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND COLTS REGARDING THE PLANNED ACCESS ROAD OFF 19 20 BRIDGE STREET INTO THE NEW INTERMODAL 21 CENTER. MR. MCGOFF: What is the 22 23 recommendation of the temporary Chair for 24 the Committee on Public works? 25 MR. WECHSLER: As temporary

	74
1	Chairperson for the Committee on Public
2	Works, I recommend final passage of Item
3	7 - B .
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
6	call, please?
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
16	If there is no further business,
17	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
18	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ability.

 $\underline{\mathsf{C}}\ \underline{\mathsf{F}}\ \mathsf{R}\ \mathsf{T}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{F}\ \mathsf{I}\ \mathsf{C}\ \mathsf{A}\ \mathsf{T}\ \underline{\mathsf{E}}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER