		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, September 4, 2014	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATUENE C NADDOZZI DDD GEELOLAL COUDT DEDODTED	
25	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

WAYNE EVANS

7 JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection
2	observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here. Dispense with
14	the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. TAX
16	ASSESSOR'S RESULTS REPORT FOR THE HEARING
17	DATE HELD JULY 23, 2014.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. AGENDA FOR THE
21	ZONING HEARING BOARD MEETING HELD AUGUST 13,
22	2014.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S

1	REPORTS FOR THE HEARING DATES HELD
2	AUGUST 13, AUGUST 20, AND SEPTEMBER 3, 2014.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
4	If not, received and filed.
5	MS. REED: 3-D. AUDIT STATUS REPORTS
6	FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED AUGUST 14
7	AND AUGUST 28, 2014.
8	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
9	If not, received and filed.
10	MS. REED: 3-E. AGENDA FOR THE CITY
11	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON AUGUST
12	27, 2014.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
14	If not, received and filed.
15	MS. REED: 3-F. MINUTES OF THE
16	COMPOSITE PENSION BOARD MEETING HELD
17	JULY 23, 2014.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-G. MINUTES OF THE
21	SCRANTON FIREFIGHTERS PENSION COMMISSION
22	MEETING HELD JULY 23, 2014.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	Are there any announcements from
	II

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

members of council?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you, I have two. First, the Dante Literary Society is presenting a Miner's tale the story of an anthracite coal miner in Northeastern Pennsylvania through monologue September 6 at 8 p.m. at the Dante Club at 1961 Prospect Avenue in South Side. The cost is \$8. For further information contact Jack at 342-7110 or 878-6760.

Also, the West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch is hosting the coal cracker smoke and stove barbecue competition September 12 and 13 at Montage Mountain On Saturday, the 12th, it will be Resorts. held from 4 to 11 p.m. and on the 13th it will be held from 10 to 8 p.m. VIP passes are available. General admission is \$5 for children -- or general admission is \$5, children under 12 is free. entertainment and barbecue can be purchased, and the Villa Capri car show is on Saturday from 12 to 6. And is that all I have. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: September is suicide

prevention awareness month. Northeast
Suicide Prevention Initiative will hold a
walk Saturday, September 6, at 9 a.m. from
courthouse square in downtown Scranton. For
more information you can go onto the website
at www.northeastsuicideprevention
initiative.org.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. A couple of things. Well, first of all, my apologies for appearance. Ten days ago I had some surgery to remove a subcutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, I'm learning a lot of medical jargon, write that one down. And it was very extensive and required plastic surgery in order to repair and this is part of the results. I have asked or I will ask ECTV that they do not do any close ups for fear that we scare women and small children, but just point of information.

We are going to deviate a little bit from the agenda for this evening just to make people aware of some of the items that have taken place during the past month, some of the things that we are looking forward to doing in the coming months, and also some

items that may be of interest to those people who would come to the podium on some of the issues that are a part of the agenda this evening.

The first thing that I would like to do is to read a letter that was sent to council from Solicitor Jason Shrive concerning the EIT legislation that is on tonight's agenda under emergency certificate. This letter was received by council on August 15 as an explanation as to why that legislation was being presented at this point in time and not earlier in the year. It is somewhat lengthy, but I do want to read through the entire letter.

"Dear Honorable Council Members: I write this letter in response to a Councilman William Gaughan's press release statement that ran in this morning's Scranton Times-Tribune wherein Councilman Gaughan requests a special meeting of the council with the mayor, the administration, HAB Berkheimer and others to investigate my failure to submit the 2014 EIT ordinance to council earlier this year. It is my sincere

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hope that this letter of explanation will answer any and all questions that council might have regarding this matter.

In January of 2014, soon after being sworn into office as the new city solicitor, one of the many tasks to be completed was that of submitting the various 2014 taxing ordinances to city council. As you know, public notices were placed in the newspaper advertising the proposed adoption of the taxing ordinances as required by law and the various taxing ordinances were adopted by city council on January 30, 2014, and signed into law by Mayor William Courtright on January 31, 2014, with a retroactive effective date of January 1, 2014. Since that time the city has gone about the business of the city including the collection and receipt of tax revenues to support its operations.

On Friday, August 8, 2014, requests were made to the City Clerk's Office and the city law department for a copy of the city's 2014 EIT ordinance. It was at this time that I discovered that I had not submitted

24

25

the 2014 EIT ordinance to city council for adoption with the other taxing ordinances in January of 2014. I need to say this as plainly as possible without any excuses being made that this was an oversight on my part as the new city solicitor. I missed it and it was and is my error. I drafted all the other taxing ordinances, reviewed the same and submitted them to city council not realizing that I had forgot one, namely, the The 2014 EIT has been 2014 EIT ordinance. and continues to be collected by the HAB Berkheimer, the city's earned income tax collector at the rate previously authorized by the city for residents and non-residents.

With that said, it is my legal opinion that the city was not required to reenact the 2014 EIT ordinance as there was no change in the rate of taxation and is not required to reenact any taxing ordinance that does not contain a change in the rate of taxation. I base this opinion on my reading of the Local Tax Enabling Act which states in pertinent part as follows: Every such tax, meaning those taxes authorized by

the Local Tax Enabling Act, of which EIT is included, shall continue in force on a calendar or fiscal year basis as the case may be without annual reenactment unless the rate of the tax is subsequently changed. It is my determination that the city has consistently reenacted the EIT ordinance over the years. Out of an abundance of caution, the only change from year to year in the EIT ordinance has been the tax year and the collection period itself is listed in the ordinance.

The plan to correct this error is to run the required legal notices in the newspaper and submit the 2014 EIT ordinance to city council at its regularly scheduled meeting on September 4, 2014, under an emergency certificate requesting a suspension of the rules and final adoption of the 2014 EIT ordinance at this evening's meeting. The 2014 EIT ordinance will be effective retroactive to January 1, 2014, and the same is permitted under the Local Tax Enabling Act since there is no change in the rate of the taxation.

It is also worth noting that historically most of the city's taxing ordinances are effective retroactive to January 1, including all of the other taxing ordinances adopted by city council earlier this year.

Going forward, the City Law

Department will take steps to ensure that
this error never occurs again, including
enacting taxing ordinances in 2015 that
continue in full force and effect unless and
until a change is made in the rate of
taxation in accordance with the parameters
of the Local Tax Enabling Act.

I have also already calendared reminders for the next three years for the City Law Department to review all of the various city taxing ordinances for any required legislation in early January of each year. I will also draft a letter now for any future incoming administration to be provided to the same during the transition period notifying them of the taxing ordinance requirements and the safeguards of that I have put in place to recommend that

they follow the same.

In this week's newspaper article the possibility for a legal challenge to the city's recently adopted Act 205 tax has been discussed with this error raised as a potential avenue of attack. While I do not believe that the argument will be a strong one, I do not think it would be in the best of interest of the city to hold a special meeting or public caucus discussing this matter publically with a looming threat of litigation.

I have answered the questions posed by the press, I have provided this explanation, and I am more than happy to speak with each member of city council and/or the city council solicitor individually regarding this matter and will make myself available at your convenience. I take full responsibility for this oversight and have apologized to the mayor and now do so to the members of council.

If you should have any questions or would like to schedule a meeting, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for

your time and your understanding. Very truly yours, Jason A. Shrive, city solicitor."

Attorney Minora, is there anything that you would add to that subsequent to that letter being sent to us?

MR. MINORA: I did review the EIT statute at the state level and there is no requirement that it be reenacted every year. It would not have been something that would have come to our attention simply because it need not be -- it need not be reenacted every year. When it didn't come down there was no need for us to call up and say why didn't it, the statute already provided for an automatic continuation of the tax from year to year. That's what Attorney Shrive was referring to and is correct about that.

As to the retroactivity, I think practically every year the taxing ordinances that we pass are retroactive if not for some period of time I think it was the end of January this year so they were retroactive for a month, and in my recollection it has been that way virtually every year because

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there is no taxing ordinances prior to the upcoming year where the tax would be imposed so I believe he is correct about that as well.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Second thing that I would like to -- other members of council may respond to this as we get to the legislation. The second thing that I would like to address is the Scranton Times article that appeared concerning the said the refusal of the city clerk to provide someone with the legislation, the EIT legislation for this agenda. We believe that that was -- I believe and members of council believe that the article was very There was no refusal on the misleading. part of the Clerk's Office to provide that information. The information that was requested was simply not available. legislation for the agenda is not official until it is posted on Wednesday afternoon, 24 hours in advance and, therefore, the clerk has been -- the protocol with all councils the clerk did not provide the legislation prior to it being approved by

19

20

17

18

21

22

2324

25

council for the agenda and being posted. provide legislation prior to it being posted in my opinion and the opinion of our solicitor and the city solicitor would be to provide something that is possibly misleading because until it's officially posted it can be changed, it can be removed from the agenda, and to provide somebody with something prior to that period of time might lead to providing either misinformation or misleading information so there was no intent on the part of the clerk, the Clerk's Office or city council to deceive anyone or to hide anything from the person making the request. It was simply following the protocol that we have always followed as far as providing legislation and publication of legislation.

The third item that we are dealing with is SAPA legislation. We have -- there has been some confusion as to the status of the SAPA and where it stood. We have sort of worked that out and it is our intent to have the legislation concerning SAPA on the agenda for November 6. That would be the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

earliest that it would appear and at that time a vote on the disposition of SAPA will can be held, but that be something that we are looking at for the future, the very near future.

As far as the I'll say recovery plan or Amoroso plan or items, there are a number of things have taken place during the past month and are ongoing. Obviously, the priorities of the administration and of council are to first deal with the pension problems that exist. I am told from the administration that negotiations with the labor union have begun. That both sides are well aware of the need of the distress under which the pension funds are or how far they are stressed and that both sides are understanding of the need for revisions in the current pension plan and in future contract negotiations as far the pensions are concerned. These are negotiations that are currently ongoing and hopefully some resolution to them, again, prior to November and the time that we need to prepare for the budget.

Also, the negotiations continue on the Scranton Parking Authority and Scranton Parking Authority debt and the disposition of the garages. It is hopeful that resolution to at least dealing with the debt is somewhat imminent, hopefully we can look for that in the relatively near future.

As far as the Act 205 tax, I know that there was an article that ran in the local newspaper, certainly the city is -- that there are going to be challenges to the Act 205 tax and that the city is preparing to defend those challenges. We fully intend to implement the Act 205 tax and defend against any challenges.

The last thing, one of the priorities is the Sewer Authority, it's a little bit more difficult to deal with. The administration is still reviewing options as far as the Sewer Authority is concerned as to what might be done, you know, some of the options that may exist as far as the Sewer Authority and the monetization of the Sewer Authority as well.

The 2013 audit is woefully behind.

This is primarily because of some personnel issues that had had listed in the BA's Office actually since last year. When the person, the finance manager, left that office she had been the one that had dealt entirely with the audit and the person that replaced her then went out on medical leave and it has been difficult getting the adequate personnel to deal with the budget. Hopefully the administration has done a number of things to try and shore up the BA's staff so that the budget hopefully -- we certainly want the budget completed prior to the end of this year.

On a positive note, as far as the 2015 TANS are concerned there has been interest from local banks, investment groups, who are looking at the possibility of funding the 2015 TANS at rates that are-interest rates that are much more accessible to the city and also without the punitive restrictions that had existed in some of the other -- in our previous TANS.

Also, we are looking at the possibility, and I know as people sit there

waiting fanning themselves in the heat, we are also looking at publication of a comprehensive of a snow removal or snow plowing program. Mr. Gaughan has some ideas from some other areas and will be hopefully meeting with members of the DPW and the administration so that can publish it, as we said, a comprehensive plan for snow plowing, snow removal, prior to the first snow incident of the year.

One thing that -- another thing that we need to look at for the coming months, the elevator in city hall is going to need extensive repairs, which would mean that the elevator would not be in operation for anywhere from four to six weeks, maybe longer, which presents a problem because without the elevator this room becomes non-compliant with ADA. There is no handicapped accessible way other than the elevator, as it would be for any of the offices above here, so the administration is looking at ways to deal with that situation as far as moving something like LIPS down to the first floor or basement.

3

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What it means for council is that we are not able to hold council meetings here, we are going to need to move council meetings to an alternate site. We are looking at some possibilities, as it becomes more imminent for the repairs of the elevator we will make announcements as to where meetings will be held. The one thing that does become a problem is that this room is the only one that is available for a live feed from ECTV, so to move the council meetings to another site would mean that a live broadcast of the council meeting would not be possible, that it would have to be done on a taped delay or a delay basis of some kind.

And last thing, I meet with the mayor and the mayor and I have agreed that we will meet on a weekly basis to simply discuss what legislation is going to be brought before council, some initiatives that may exist and simply a discussion so that council can be hopefully better prepared to deal with some of the things that come from -- some of the legislation so

that we can be in a better part of the process and hopefully make for a smoother operation of the city.

And I know that was lengthy, but I thought they were some bullet points or talking points that needed to be presented prior to citizens' participation.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,

Scranton resident. I want to go back to the issue of Rossi's 2013 audit, and I have been watching reports that have been submitting on a monthly basis, and if memory serves we had 24 outstanding items in July before the recess and we still have 24 outstanding items. Instead of being woefully late it's going to be tragically late. I understand that we lost one person with the subject matter expert, but maybe the administration could take a lesson from the military. Any very sensitive position that has a key function like supporting the audit needs to document those procedures into standard

operating procedures. Everyone is expendable, okay? This should not happen again.

You realize that in 70 days is the deadline for the administration to present its budget to the council and the audit is it already 95 days late. The administration should have that in hand today, before today. It should have it on May 31 because that's what you base part of your budget process on. You must know, you know, what happened in prior years and what you have to change and correct.

I would also like to see when the mayor submits his proposed budget that he adds a couple of the line items in there and gets somebody there whose function is the audit, who hs those qualifications, that expertise. That should not happen again. I also hope that the administration learns from this experience the importance of cross-training its employees, okay? I take nothing away from the work that they have done up to this point in time, but this is a second chest wound that's been

Is that

1 self-inflicted by the city on itself and we need to stop this. I don't want to stand up 2 3 here next January saying, "What's the status of the 2014 audit," which I will be doing, 4 5 by the way. Which leads me to the more tragic 6 issue the municipal pensions. I do not have 7 8 a warm fuzzy. I wonder if you all have a 9 warm fuzzy. When the state auditor general 10 gave his press conference did he leave a 11 written copy of his audit finance for the city? 12 13 MR. MCGOFF: I don't know that -- I 14 had not received anything so I do not know. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. he did. 15 16 MS. HODOWANITZ: He did? 17 available to the general public? 18 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, it is. I think 19 it was --20 MS. HODOWANITZ: Is it on the 21 website? 22 MR. GAUGHAN: I don't know if it's 23 on the city's website, but I know it was on 24 the Scranton Times' website and I'm sure we 25 would get you a copy.

23

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, I'll talk to 1 Jim later on. I would like to look at that. 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Or we could get you a copy of it. 4 MS. HODOWANITZ: I would love to see 5 that to see what he said lives up -- it's an 6 7 interesting article in the paper, but I want 8 to see the details. 9 Mr. Evans, I compliment you for 10 having taken the time and initiative to attend that press conference. 11 12 MR. EVANS: Thank you. 13 MS. HODOWANITZ: Like many people I 14 was sorely disappointed that the mayor did not have the opportunity to attend. 15 16 Mr. Evans, do you know if the mayor 17 sent a representative. MR. EVANS: Yes, Attorney Shrive was 18 19 there. MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, and were the 20 21 pension boards represented? 22 MR. EVANS: Yes, actually, they were 23 having a meeting in that room. Right before 24 that announcement they all came over for the 25 press conference.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay. I just think that, you know, the single most cripple issue facing the city today, I can't imagine a higher priority than addressing the pension crises. Do you know if the city has made any effort to coordinate with the state on the state level pension reform?

MR. MCGOFF: I know Mr. Bulzoni has been in contact with numerous people at the state level --

MS. HODOWANITZ: Because the AG is insisting that this is not a problem the city can solve by itself it's a statewide problem, so I'd love to see some kind of coordination in that regard.

The only other thing, I would like to compliment the city workers who supported the Latin and Italian festivals. I think they did an excellent job, as they do every year. That went very smoothly and was very enjoyable.

The only other thing, Mr. Rogan, this whole brouhaha about you and your use of your land for the Verizon cell tower, I understand that what you did was perfectly

legal but in the words of who was it, Jay Leno, what were you thinking?

MR. MCGOFF: Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City council. Kathy, City Council
Stenographer, Lori and Amil, welcome back, I
guess. Piggybacking on the audit, okay, I
agree with what Joan just said, maybe the
city should get in contact with the military
because I have been through at least a
thousand audits in my 34-year career, and I
tell you what, they know how to do audits.

Not having a qualified person, not having an SOP, standard operating procedure, not having a checklist for a city this size that deals with hundreds of millions of dollars at one time that's ridiculous. That is an unacceptable, and the only people responsible for it are, like I have been saying for years, are the seven elected officials, the mayor, the city council and the city controller.

We have been distressed since 1992 and you mean to tell me that no one, no one thought about standardizing the audit

procedures so that the audit would be done by 31 May of every year? Is that what I'm expected to believe? Well, I tell you what, I don't believe it and I don't accept the excuse of not having anybody qualified.

We have Tobyhanna Army Depot right up the street, 25 miles away, they do audits on a regular basis. Someone knows how to do an audit up there so why doesn't the city send somebody up there to find out how to do it correctly and then standardize it and keep it there so that -- we know we have an election every four years for mayor and for city council, so we know people are going to change, but yet no one thought of this? I find that very hard to believe.

Okay, now how does a pension fund get to 16.5 percent? Please, can someone please explain that to us during motions? How did it get there? Who is responsible for it getting there and those people should be subpoenaed if they have to come in here and explain that to the citizens and the residents of the City of Scranton because this is, again, totally ridiculous and

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

should never, ever, ever have happened, but it did. We have been distressed since 1992 for God's sake. When are we going to learn? When are we going to actually start doing things the right way and quit making excuses and blaming other people for the mistakes that our elected officials have made? Nobody else, but our elected officials.

Okay, I just heard on the news today that there was 18 bogus cell towers in the United States. All 18 of these towers are located within military -- by military installations, okay, and what they are doing is they are stealing information from people, okay? So the only thing is if you going to approve cell towers make sure that they are legitimate because there is 18 right now in the United States and more being built, so all I'm doing is let's use a little bit of common sense, a little bit of caution before we start approving cell towers, okay, because they may be bogus, you They may not be, but they may be, so we have to make damn sure that they are not, We have enough problems in this city okay?

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and in this state and in this country.

Okay, in reference to Mr. Rogan, Pat, you made a big mistake. It may be legal, it may not be against any ethics violation, but you know what, you made a big mistake, okay. And that's all I'm going to say on the matter, okay? But again, right now in the City of Scranton there is not much confidence in our elected officials. Most people do not trust city council, they don't trust the mayor, they don't trust the school board, they don't trust the president of the United States, Congress, the Senate, and when politicians go out and make mistakes like this all it adds to is more destruction, distress, okay?

So, again, it's over, it's done with, I'm sure you are going to hear more about it, but that was a dumb move, Pat.

MR. MCGOFF: Bob Bolus.

MR. BOLUS: Good evening, Council.

Bob Bolus, Scranton. It's been awhile since
I have been here and it's kind of disturbing
having watched over a period of time what's
transpired in this city. You know, I don't

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

see Scranton going forward in the direction we have been going. Brought in Mr. Amoroso, what could he tell us that Parenti -- it used to be Parenti and Randolph, John Nealon, they merged with a huge firm today, that they couldn't come in and ask us? They are from Scranton, why don't we go ask them what we should do with the City of Scranton from a business point of view?

Why didn't we go to the University and let them logically tell us what needed to be done. They create PhD's. They have a bachelor's program, they have a Dean of Business, I'm sure they know what we need to do in the City of Scranton. Why are we bringing somebody from out of the area to tell us what we already know? We have a hell of a problem here and we are not doing a darn thing about adjusting it or doing anything about it. We have continued to squander millions and millions of dollars here. We are intelligent people hopefully that we can solve our own problem with if we just want to solve it and put politics aside and the good ole' boy clubs Scranton could

survive.

I came here for a number of the reasons. One, I'd like to thank Mr. Shrive for standing up like a gentleman. He took the beating, but it was unfair because there is people on this council, people in the administration that knew what the tax is all about but nobody came forward. He got blind sided, but he was still man enough to stand up and say, "I take responsibility" because he is the attorney.

I think the people should be refunded the money from that tax because we have no business collecting it or taking it. It's not our money, we didn't enact the right legislation to keep the money, it should go back to those that it came from and if we are going to do something let's do it right.

We sit here and we keep talking
about we are going to change this and change
that. I've donated to the commuter tax
fight because I don't think the people
outside this city should have to pay for our
carelessness and our neglect to squandering

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and mismanagement and I can go on and on and on that we have done and asked people to pay our pension funds, our responsibilities.

It's not their responsibility, nor is it our responsibility to worry about their town, but we keep killing this city and there is going to be nothing left here. It's unfair to those people to have to pay to come to the city to work. It's bad enough as it is.

I came here for a couple of the other issues. One, it was sad to see, and I'm looking at the agenda on "C" that we are looking to find money to fund to swimming pool. You realize 3 1/2 million plus dollars that I fought, and others went to Court over to put away perpetually and only the interests used for parks and recreation was squandered by the council and the administration past. Think of that, 3 1/2 million bucks plus the interest went down the toilet and now we are going to look at-where is this money going to come from? What magical wand do we have that is going to bring more money here when we're already trying to beat everybody up to stay the heck 1 ||

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out of Scranton.

I'm being assessed on a church I bought, over \$500,000 assessment on Holy Cross church that I paid \$35,000 to preserve history and culture in this city. They were going to get rid of it for \$2 -- or \$1 rather and \$2 to a judge, magistrate in this area, and because he didn't get it I got assessed \$500,000 with a tax on it already of \$45,000 and you want to tell me about political corruption in the City of Scranton? And we are going to fight it and deal with it, but this is it what's wrong yet the University and other people don't pay a dime for what they are doing here yet I'm paying \$45,000 in taxes on a church that was only worth three bucks? You know, it's sad.

What I came here really for though is I'm asking this council, I'm proposing and I'm pioneering putting a casino in the Steamtown Mall. I'm looking to save Scranton, not kill Scranton. We have the opportunity right now, and I'm asking this council to send letters to the legislators,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our congressmen and everyone that's involved in the political funding to this city, whether it's state or federal, ask the gaming board to create a license for a resort and casino for Steamtown Mall. not looking for a casino license, so you understand that, I'm part of the spearheading of the funding that is going to put the people in the mall. Keeping in mind, that the gaming board could created a license for a felon, they could create a license for the salvation of the City of Scranton. Who is going to compete? going to argue about us? Mohegan Sun is going to come up here with war paint? guess what, we'll circle the wagons and show them just how strong we are in the Scranton area and how we could survive and that's what's killing us. I'm not throwing Boscov out, we are going to make many Boscov's stores in there, but I'm looking at saving Scranton and I'm asking you people today if you have any intentions of saving this city I'm here to help you give it the hope and salvation that it needs. Other than that,

you are going to have a dinosaur on your hands here.

You are selling the parking garages, you are trying to sell the authorities -- no, Mr. McGoff, I need a couple of minutes here because what's going on has been wrong -- you are trying to sell businesses making money, what do you think the people that are buying them are going to do. Raise money, they got to pay for their business. They are going to hammer us. You cannot sell our assets learn how to preserve them and manage them and that's what's been wrong here.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Maddy Garzone.

MS. GARZONE: Good evening, city council. I'm not really sure this is the correct venue, but as a 30-plus year resident of the City of Scranton I don't know where to turn.

MR. MCGOFF: Would you just state your name for the record?

MS. GARZONE: Oh, my name is Maddy Garzone. I live in North Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. GARZONE: For over 20 years the property next to mine has been condemned. I have written letters to the city council, I have written letters to the community development, I have pretty much become a thorn in Brian McAndrew's side for the last seven months about the property next to mine. I have copies of letters, like I said, from city council dating back to 1994, "We will look into it."

Every single one of them. I'm sick of it. The housing inspector says, "Well, there is nothing we can do. We can cite them for not cutting the grass."

I work and I live in the City of Scranton and I work up Tobyhanna. I earn a fair wage and I pay my taxes. No problem there. You know, there is things that I think the city could do better, but who doesn't, but as a taxpayer what is the city doing for me? I've had letters saying, "Oh it should be the razed, the property should be razed."

Several different people, and I made copies of the letters that you guys can

have, and all I get is "We'll look into it." 1 Well, I'd like to know when you are 2 3 going to look into it, in my lifetime? That's all I have to ask. You know, I would 4 5 like some sort of a response from city 6 council. An accurate and honest response whether they will look into it and actually 7 8 follow through on that or not. 9 MR. MCGOFF: If you would leave your name and address with the Ms. Carrera and a 10 11 phone number --12 MS. GARZONE: Certainly. 13 MR. MCGOFF: -- I will contact you, I 14 will meet with you at the property. MS. GARZONE: Okay, and I will leave 15 16 these with her. Thank you. 17 MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Lee Morgan. 18 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening. 20 MR. MORGAN: President McGoff, could 21 I give a copy of this to you, sir? 22 MR. MCGOFF: Please. 23 MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council, 24 Lee Morgan. We all have differing opinions 25 on where the city is so today I am going to

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take a new approach tonight with council because I know I'm on this side of the podium, I know where I am, I just have my My name is not Mr. Amoroso, I own ideas. have come to these council meetings for This man's plan is going to kill decades. our city, there is not a doubt in my mind about it, not even the singlest doubt. What I have here is I'm petitioning the city government to cut Scranton's 2015 budget by 30 percent by however you have to do it because to be honest with you, sale of the Sewer Authority is not the answer. Remember American Anglican, okay?

And look at the pension problems we have, well, when you look at the pension problems we have and they ask who is responsible for that, council is responsible for that. They have an oversight in the budget. They can veto the mayor's budget. Council never did it. We have a dysfunctional government, and I respect everybody sitting there and I always have, I just disagree.

I think you know, Mr. Wechsler, I

asked you a question on your first day in this seat about the amount of debt per property in the city and the amount of debt on every resident, that was a very, very, very important question because that's going to tell you whether this city should immediately file for receivership or bankruptcy, and no disrespect to you, Mr. Wechsler, but the budget isn't something that you can handle by yourself. You aren't prepared to do that, I don't think any council member on that council is prepared to handle that budget by themselves and anybody who believes that is delusional.

This city needs a quick turn around. The most important legislation that's going to come in front of this city is coming

November 6, as Mr. McGoff said. SAPA is going to be the thing that's going to turn this city around if you know how to implement it. You know, we can blame out-of-town landlords for the decline of the city, no, they were just scapegoats. You go to any successful city they never complain about investors coming in and buying rental

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

properties. I think you should talk to Mr. Evans here about the amount of properties for sale in this city and who is really going to come here, and then look at how you are trying to solve of our problems with a commuter tax, the most egregious mistake this council could make. These people didn't create this problem, they are coming here to work and support their families.

This council needs to wake up and do it's job, and it's going to be a hard job, but you asked for the job and you have got I have no ax to grind with the mayor. The mayor talked about a plan. I put my plan in the nice paper. I know my plan will work because I spent over two decades here watching everything that happened and I got here late because there were people here for 20 years before I got here. This city hasn't declined just, you know, over a short period of time. And I disagree, with all due respect to the Scranton Times, their ideas about blight are absolutely incredible. This city has been strangled

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

since the 20's and 30's by political indecision and mistakes and politics and it's just kept going and going and going and now we have come to a city with allegedly 76,000 people that can't pay this city's debt, and as far as the pension, during the last mayoral campaign for council races long before that I said that the pension debt was the gorilla in the closet.

We need to name a receiver in this city that that council can't get the job done and we need to file for bankruptcy and we need to try to protect the people in the city and the most remarkable thing that you can do before you look at the budget and try to figure out what's going on figure what the average person in the city earns and then figure how much tax they Oare paying and you know when you go and you have to factor things how do these people have enough income to pay rent, okay? The sewer bills are outrageous. How do they pay that? Your water, your utilities, how do you have a life? Mismanagement of the city by the city government has made this a place with a

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lack of upward mobility wage wise and it needs to change. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, everyone,
Doug Miller, Scranton. Just to begin on a
personal side note, Mr. McGoff, you
mentioned some of the medical issues and I
just want to wish you a speedy recovery for
a brief moment.

Moving forward, I have quite a few issues tonight obviously after a long break, vacation, you know, we certainly have gone through an awful lot over the last 30 days Unfortunately, during this time I or so. personally believe the city's outlook has only worsened with some of the events that transpired over the last month, specifically, you know, an issue in regards to public trust and public confidence, you know, elected official in regards to the actions by Mr. Rogan. You know, although Mr. Rogan has ended his pursuit to place a Verizon cell tower on his property located on 905-907 West Locust Street, I do believe

there are still many serious questions related to this matter that still need to be addressed. These questions are still relevant and I think we all need to know what took place. Who generated the list of the properties eligible for cell phone towers. Was this decision made by the zoning board? When did council become aware of Mr. Rogan's pursuit of a cell phone tower and at any time did he ever share his intentions with you? Also, when did Mr. Rogan plan on informing the public of this adventure.

And with all of this in mind having these questions out there on the table and hoping to get answers, I think moving forward we need to find a permanent solution to address this issue so that it doesn't happen again, because the thing that troubles me is I just don't understand how this adventure could have moved forward and moved along and probably would have happened had it not be brought forth by the media and a lot of other people that gave it the attention that it got, and I think that's

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what's most frightening is how this council was sort of left in the dark on this issue. I know two council members acknowledged that they weren't aware of it until it was allegedly tabled on the zoning board's agenda.

And so those are the things that certainly trouble me and yet again it's just another sign of the mistrust in government and, you know, the politics in this city is just so disgusting, as I have said, it's destroyed everything it's touched and, you know, had we stop forward here and we wonder why we are in the shape we are in it's just because we keep electing people that just have no respect for people and no respect for law, and I do think people need to be held accountable here and that this should not be swept under the rug like many other things that have been swept under the rug for decades and we wonder why the city is in the situation it's in. It's just mind boggling, it really is. It's just discouraging and it's just another example of why we can't seem to get anywhere and we

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can't seem to move forward because of the politics in this community.

You know, some of the other issues tonight were the wage tax. I, too, believe that the individuals who have had the wage tax collected for the last seven months should have it reimbursed to them because I think for the last seven months this city has been illegally collecting the wage tax. You know, with all due respect to Mr. Shrive and his letter citing his opinion a judge will determine what's legal or not and I do believe a legal challenge should be made to I think by retroactively going back and staying this legislation that should have been legislated in January, I mean, we have a mayor that served on council for six years, he should have known the procedure. We have two council members that have served on this council in the past, you should have been aware of it.

And I do appreciate Mr. Shrive coming forward and admitting the mistake, but my point is we have had people that hold office currently that have been part of this

process for decades and to sort of have it get by, I guess, is a little troubling and it's a little frightening as well, but by doing this I think that it would using a Latin term, legal term, nunc pro tunc, meaning now for then, and I do think that this should be looked at and I do plan on pursuing the issue with some other individuals in regards to the legality of this matter.

You know, we just continue to go down the wrong track. I mean, we had Mr. DePasquale here, the auditor general, to discuss the pension that we have known for decades was the gorilla in the closet, but what I find most troubling is that we have a mayor that felt it was more important to speak to students at a school than to come to a meeting dealing with the pension crises. This is his city. He is the chief executive officer, and I think we are having an issue with priorities. I do respect Mr. Evans for attending that meeting, but the mayor should have been there.

The commuter tax, you know, you made

sure that that was rammed through before you broke for August and I spoke at the meeting in Olyphant three weeks ago and I support their challenge and I hope they are successful with that challenge because the fact that we are so incompetent that we mismanaged the pension fund to now pass on to somebody who had absolutely nothing to do with it I just think is totally inappropriate and I think that we really need to get our priorities in order here.

Selling assets, the parking garages allegedly for \$20 million, we owe \$50 million, we'll still have a \$30 million deficit, is that a common sense? I don't think so. Selling the Sewer Authority to a private entity that is only going to raise the rates that will skyrocket is not the answer.

Going the Amoroso way is not the solution that I said. It's not a real plan for recovery it's a suicide mission and I can only hope that moving forward we will actually take the bull by the horn and we'll be able to solve our own problems without

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

having to go people outside.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Hello, Council. I'm not going to fight with nobody tonight. That's good news, huh? Before I get started I want to repeat myself about I'm not smart enough to think of some of the things that I bring up here. I talked to 12, 15 people during the vacation and everybody was complaining about something or rather, you know, to me but one thing that came up was Mr. McGoff putting Mr. Evans in his seat before the vacation and a dozen people or so felt it could have waited. And believe it or not, no one ever mentioned your problem. I don't know if that's good or bad. didn't ever come up and, you know, I don't know if they didn't think it was important enough.

But I read the article this week in the paper about the Licensing Bureau Inspections, to me it was just a whitewash. I don't know, they just don't see to have no

20

21

22

23

24

25

power to enforce their own codes. I don't understand this. I don't have no example, but let's just take a dog license or cars on the sidewalk, there is codes, there is good codes in the book they are not enforced. was at the grocery store on Keyser Avenue the other day and I couldn't get my car out because there was wall to wall cars, it says "No Parking. Fire Zone" or something, you know, and I had to go up around the car to Somebody ought to drive around and get out. give them tickets for crying out loud. people that deserve tickets ought to get I very seldom park in the handicap place because people hit my car doors and all, but I see young people get of cars and go in they just park there, you know, no respect for anybody.

And in the paper today was that little article about -- well, it was yesterday I think, Luzerne County College wanting to come here, yesterday, and our absentee mayor instead of showing some authority is he welcomes another nonprofit that won't contribute nothing to the city.

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we need is people to come in here and pay taxes, you know, like Mr. Amoroso's idiotic nonsense that he is shoving down everybody's throat and he doesn't tackle one single problem that we have had. think he is a hero, he is the messiah. me he is destroying the city like everyone else that comes in here. All of these developers they have little token building permits and get away with it. Look right across the street Mr. Joyce's building, he bragged he spent \$32 million or something, his building permits are little minor I understand you don't buy one for thinas. \$32,000, I know how it works, but they get away with murder, and his name is in the paper owing for seven pieces of property he had to pay taxes, but he doesn't mind grants, loans. He wants forgiveness on everything.

Like I said, nobody is tackling the problems that are -- Goodwill. 20 years it's been going on with them. Nobody does a thing about Goodwill. They are just -- all of the tens of millions of dollars and the

only thing that comes up raise taxes. You people are just out of tune with what's going on in the city. Two-thirds of the city are giving up something to keep their homes. Maybe not two-thirds, it's more than a third I guarantee you. You can see that with all of the houses in the paper, three or four thousand people have had houses foreclosed on.

I was talking to a fellow the other day that got 15 house he said to tear down in town. You sneaked up on me. I thank you for letting me speak and get it off my chest.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.

Anyone else wish to address council?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, City of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, we are talking about the pension fund being it seems to be earth shattering news at this point, but the truth is I believe there is around 60 some million dollars in our pension fund and we are draining it down about five or six million dollars a year. You got enough money at the current rate

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the current people that are getting the pensions to go about ten years. We all know at one point further out it becomes insolvent, but we are not bankrupt on the pension fund. We have money to cover the people that are on pension for a good ten years. Now, the problem with the pension fund is the length of the time you have to work before you get it. A guy working for social security has to work until he is 67, a guy working for the city 20 some years does that make sense? You seen the police captain that was in Scranton go down to Wilkes-Barre and get another job in the police force so he gets the Scranton pension and he is working toward the Wilkes-Barre This is what is wrong with the pension. pension.

You people ain't old enough to remember a buck an hour or a buck ten an hour, that's when a lot of these things were done, but they're not getting a dollar ten an hour anymore, they are getting more in wage than the average citizen. I believe the average citizen in Scranton makes 23,000

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16 time.

a year, look what the average policeman makes or average firemen make. Now, they are entitled to a pension, I believe that, sincerely believe that, and your job is to make sure that the new hires will get a The people that already get the pension. pension chances are in ten years or so when the -- if the fund wasn't changed they would be in trouble, but as far as it goes now you got ten years to solve this problem, even though they say it's insolvent, this and that and whatever. It's only insolvent when you can't pay the pension then it becomes really insolvent. As long as you can do that we got some solvency, we got some working time and you got to work at it in

Of course, you can't do much for three years. When you took office the contract was signed for three years so it's going to be there for three years and I don't think you are going to see much of a change, and the change for the new hires isn't going to make it. It has to go way back to people that are going to get their

pension, not the people who had their pension they are making changes to that unless you declare bankruptcy, and I don't think you are willing to do that even though they keep saying we'll be bankrupt in four years, two and a half year the pension fund will be, the city already is. We are bankrupt as far as the city. When you are going to sell a \$50 million debt for \$20 million and then pay \$30 million for the next ten years that's idiotic, but the city is very idiotic. That's what our problem was a long time ago.

Never vote your party or your name, know the individual and make sure decision on that and you wouldn't be in the mess we are in today. All they did was go there and pull it, well, Mr. Rogan can tell you that. As a Republican he couldn't get elected so he changed to a Democrat and he is sitting up there now because people vote their party not their brain and that's where we are today in debt, in debt, in debt, and it just keeps piling up and the newspaper calling us the Legion of Doom, well, the doom is here.

4

5

6

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ten years ago it wasn't here. Ten years ago you could have done something to avoid what's happening today, but now you are stuck with it and in the end I don't know what you are going to do, and I don't blame Mayor Courtright because his hands are tied. There is not a darn thing he can do. when he takes control of the Sewer Authority he can get a few bucks with that, but if you new guys remember we went through this already with the Sewer Authority. American Anglican used to run it and we decided to borrow \$20 million or 30 million to pay them out, I don't remember what it was, maybe it wasn't that high, but we had to borrow money to pay them off and take control of the Sewer Authority. And what did we get from We got a \$35 connection fee for every sewer line in the city every two months, and that's what we got from them. Did we get better sewer service? To me it doesn't matter. You open your faucet it goes down What the state may say I don't the drain. know.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,

Mr. Sbaraglia.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
Council, Marie Schumacher. First, I would
like to start by saying I think that the
condition of our pension funds calls for you
folks to vote requesting a receiver. I
believe that we are not just going to get
out of this mess and I would ask
Mr. Wechsler if next week he would tell us,
unless maybe he knows tonight during
motions, assuming that the 205, the Act 205
commuter tax goes through, how many years
will that add to the pension fund before it
dries up?

I would also like, since I'm speaking to you now, next time you give the wage tax, which the third quarter should be coming in shortly, if in addition to comparing it to what was collected last year you would also compare it to the cash flow analysis on whether or not we are ahead or behind where we expect it to be when the --

MR. WECHSLER: Mrs. Schumacher, let me just jump in here, actually this is my

last week as Finance Chair. I will be switching over to public safety. Mr. Evans will become Finance Chair because he has more time to give to the position than I am since he is retired, so although I would be happy to answer all of those questions, Mr. Evans will now --

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, then
I'll just switch sending --

MR. WECHSLER: Not that I don't care about the position any more, but I will not be directly responsible for that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I thank you for that. Then I'll give you one for yours, I don't know that --

MR. WECHSLER: That didn't take long.

MS. SCHUMACHER: For your new assignment. On the SAFER grants I have not heard anything about us receiving a SAFER grant so I'm assuming the time is expired for the award of those grants, if you could let us know next week. I think that's the proper assumption, but I'm not positive, and I would also like to publically thank Mayor

Doherty for rejecting that portion of the last SAFER grant based on the addition of the people based on how many people were going to be retiring because we sure haven't seen those retirements and we would be in really deep doo-doo now if those people had been added and we had to support them.

Next, the packages I gave you all before the recess did anybody have an opportunity to go through those and reach any conclusions or see the implication of the ratio of the actual sale price or market price within Scranton compared to the surrounding areas and what that is doing to the residents of the City of Scranton? No.

MR. EVANS: No.

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think that's something that very much needs to be addressed. That's one thing I do agree with Mr. Amoroso on, a reassessment is not only desirable, but essential and I also believe that you should be talking with our elected officials in Harrisburg to change to a pure market base where it changes every year based on the actual sales of the property as

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

. .

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is done in Northern Virginia and other communities. It keeps it current and it's fair because it's actual.

And now, Mr. Rogan, I will -- I guess I may as well do it now -- no, I'll do what I want to do on the things tonight. don't understand why on 5-B if it's not necessary you are bothering to vote on this It's either necessary and it should be voted on tonight or it's not necessary and it shouldn't be voted on. Ι think the fact that you use past practices for a number of the reasons. Tonight, for instance, you said the failure to provide the ordinance was based on past practices. I don't know why when the public announcement is made that it's going to be on the agenda that should not be the point in time when if you know it's going to be on the agenda that that should be available, but even at worse if you have an ordinance that's supposed to be three readings when you are planning to ramrod something through in one evening and people get 24 hours that is not proper, it's not fair, and I think

that is terrible and even tonight's -- as I know it was called to your attention the certificate of emergency is not even dated, so it could have been pulled from any legislation.

So I will be back next week,

Mr. Rogan, I'll address you and a few other

items. Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Now, on this 205 wage tax, I stated once again before that I support it for the specific reason is this, we are constantly bombarded by tax exempts and are not just church in the valley by the wildwood or the little school house on the prairie constantly.

Now, one thing that was mentioned was the school once up here, another tax exempt. The county just acquired four properties to build a parking lot for the Lackawanna County Prison. Another tax exempt. It just keeps going on and on and on, but what's the answer? Are we getting anything from the state other than bamboozled? No. The state constitution is

defective. It's defective because it's saddling us with 33 percent and growing property tax that is exempt and we can no longer afford and I can no longer approve of such a situation where I have to pay taxes for the entire county or Northeastern Pennsylvania and even more so that foreign exchange students are going to these school, aren't we all nice Americans. It's wrong.

I'd love to see some day to take to Federal Court the constitution of the Pennsylvania and force the state into replacing these property taxes that are not being paid because they are giving exemptions by the state.

Wayne, I'd like to comment on and compliment you on the state is always here to tell us how bad we are in the hole but they offer no help and this relates right to the very 205 wage tax and whatever other plans are being made. It's ridiculous. We lost \$26 million out of the pension plan, I understand with the boondoggle in 2007 and 2008 and nobody went to jail, some of these discredited investors are headed right back

to other banks and are poised to cause more problems in the future. Right now our chamber of the commerce they are creating a building property, a business property bubble. That's why the mall was empty.

That's why I can't get -- my wife loves to go to Montage concerts, I never get there on time, I miss half the damn show and it really peeves me off because I'm paying for it to get there, but there is a big line on the way up the hill, you got a bunch of people screaming at you to drive recklessly to get parked. Then you walk up there and there is searching you and frisking you and every which -- they didn't frisk me properly I could have had a half a dozen firearms under my coat, and I don't know if my size intimidated the guy or he thought I was going to smack him or what, but they didn't really search me anyway.

Sewer utilities and utilities, the worst part of the Amoroso plan is any type of sale of the sewage treatment plan. I would rather pay -- my question is are the taxes worth paying double taxes to the city

even be a steal compared to what some companies, service company is going to raise the rates to. I pointed out previously that they have practically doubled or tripled, practically tripled in Coatsville, Pennsylvania, of what a reasonable rate for

water and I chase my wife around, she has a giant washing machine and I open it up and I see three pairs of jeans and I'm paying about \$32 bucks a month. So, you know, it's like giant washing machine, three pairs of jeans, can't talk to her.

I'd like to cherry pick the Amoroso plan because if it all gets passed last minute 12th hour it's just going to be a -- it's just a way of slipping it under the rug and all of the negative situations with it, and on these Harrisburg tax thieves I'd like to point out just quickly that if you earn minimum wage and they have the LST tax, local service tax, at \$156 and someone else earns a little over \$90,000 a year, you are paying 1 percent more. If all of this came to light where we paid the commuter tax from Scranton and all of this other stuff between

state and local taxes, income taxes, it will be somewhere around 9 percent or higher on a \$15,000 a year wage. Give me a brake. And then on top of it, if you make \$90,000 a year the LST will be about 1 percent.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Point 166 percent of, you know, it's like 166 thousandths of a percent of their wages as compared to 1 percent for a poor jerk that cannot even afford the gas to get to work.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MR. DOBRZYN: Thank you and have a good night. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler?

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. I'd like to just touch on a couple of issues that came out while we were on -- well, we weren't at the meetings. I'd hate to say that we were on vacation because we were very busy in that month. In regard

to the EIT tax, I was one of the people that had wished that we had had a public caucus on this issue. And I would have preferred that Mr. Shrive and Mayor Courtright had come out and gave us the details that were in the letter. The details in the letter are what happened and at this time that is the understanding that we have on the issue and that's where it's going to be.

I do like the ideas that Solicitor

Shrive are putting in place to prevent this

from happening again. I would like to him

when they are complete for us to get a look

at them just so we have some comfort heading

into the end of the year that this will not

happen again or if we get the final

determination that we don't have to vote on

it again.

In regards to the issue with our colleague, Mr. Rogan, our solicitor is still pursuing a determination from the Ethics Commission not so much on what it affects Mr. Rogan, but how this all affected the city council. I was one of the people that did not know about this, I was taken by

1

6

7

5

8

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

surprise, and I just want to make sure that the public has confidence in us that we are doing the right thing up here and I want to regain the public trust on this issue, so Solicitor Minora is pursuing that request that we made.

Also, during our recess since we are prohibited from meeting as more than three people we kind of have to do a lot of things individually so as far of the process each of has prepared issues that we wanted to present to Mayor Courtright so we can establish an agenda for the fall. I think part of the problem as we were on eyeing what the mayor knew, what Solicitor Shrive knew it, we wanted to get more of an idea of what was happening, how it was supposed to happen and not finding out about it maybe the week before, so we all made a list. President McGoff had a meeting with the mayor, sat down and discussed some of the issues as he reported today which was mostly as a result of that meeting and I am very happy to hear that there will be a weekly meeting between the mayor and the council

president and I'm sure his opportunity comes up some other of us may be able to attend.

We just want to get a better feel for what's happening, be more involved in the process moving the agenda along.

I'd also like to mention that -I'd like to thank Pat Hinton and Dennis
Gallagher and his staff for correcting the
problem that arose up as an emergency
situation on East Mountain. They did a
great job. There was a blight problem
there, h it's still going to continue in
some form, but the major problem with
garbage on East Mountain Road was removed.

As mentioned earlier, I didn't want to scare everybody when I said it was going to be my last meeting as Finance Chair, it won't be my last meeting as a council person, but one of the reasons why we decided to make the switch is because Mr. Evans is retired. He has time during the day to attend meetings. As an example, the meeting that was here with the auditor general I work in Mountain Top so it's impossible for me to attend any meetings at

city hall during the day. On the previous day there was a conference call that I was able to participate in, but I feel that the city will be much better served because of Mr. Evans' time and some of his expertise serving as Finance Chair and fore myself a lot of the meetings in terms of the public safety occur in the evening, I'm back in Scranton around 5 p.m. so that will allow me to participate a little bit better I think being on that committee and that's all I have at this time. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. First, I want to thank some of the speakers tonight for raising this issue and giving me an opportunity to address it before moving onto city business. I learned of Verizon's potential investment in the city the way everyone else did, at a public meeting. It was at a meeting of council when we all learned that Verizon was looking to secure locations within the City of Scranton. The representatives stated and the minutes also reflect that they were looking for

additional locations for their expansion project within the city parks and outside of the city parks. I didn't receive any information at any time that was confidential regarding that project. I sought the same information that was received by other private investors when I learned the same thing that we all did at that meeting.

I purchased it at public auction in my own name and applied for approval to be part of the project from the Zoning Hearing Board because I have nothing to hide. Everything that I pursued has been legal and in accordance with the State Ethics Commission. It's not just my opinion, but it's the opinion of a well-respected private attorney as well. Although, everything I pursued has been legal and in accordance with the State Ethics Act, I notified Verizon that I am no longer interested in renting my land to them because I believe this has become a distraction to many of the important issues facing the city. For not anticipating that possibility when I began

to look into this investment and for the distraction that has caused, I apologize to each one of my council colleagues individually and to the public as well. I look forward to moving forward and, again, my apologies to the residents and to my colleagues for this becoming a distraction to the many important issues that we are facing.

Now onto some of those many important issues. The first item I'd like to speak about tonight is the pension report. We all know that the pension has been the problem in the city for quite some The report that came out really put that into focus to how big of an issue it is for the city that the pension fund may run out of money within as little as three to five years, and that's with the market staying flat. If we experience a market pullback like we did from 2007 to 2008ish the pension fund could be decimated because of the markets and that's something that's completely out of control with anyone in this room.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This is probably -- actually this is the most serious issue facing the City of Scranton right now. I know there are a lot of misconceptions regarding the pension out there because when people think of a pension they think, well, it only affects the city employees and the retirees, but that's not the case. If the pension fund runs dry the city is obligated by law to make those pension payments prior to making any other payments in the general operating fund. That would include basic city services such as paying our current police officers, our current fire officers, providing for street cleaning, garbage pick up, any item that's done throughout the city if the pension fund runs dry that has to be paid prior to any of the general obligation funds, the items that we normally would get. This just puts into focus the importance of dealing with the few strategies that we have for the pension. know which has passed city council already and it's pending Court approval is the Act I think we have to wait to see how 205 tax. other Courts rule on that one. I know

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Evans has brought up quite a few times the idea of other pension reforms, and I know Councilman McGoff notified us that negotiations were going to be taking place. That certainly needs to happen as well.

In addition to that, the idea of super funding the pension is something I fully support. By putting a large dollar amount up front and allowing it to grow over time that may save us much more money down the line than just going with our MMO, and when we talk about the MMO it's exactly that, it's the minimum. It doesn't mean it's the correct amount to be putting in, it's the minimum amount, just enough to get And again, one market correction and a few other factors and the pension fund could run dry and at that point the city may have to declare bankruptcy. That's how serious of an issue the pension is.

Moving on regarding the 205 Act, and I did bring his up at in our caucus, I do believe that if the 2015 tax is implemented on commuters that city council rules should be amended of some sort to allow a public

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

participation from those who are paying that tax. Just an idea that we tossed around in the caucus and I'm sure once we get a finalization on that tax we could look into how we want to amend those rules if that's agreeable to the rest of the board.

Next, I did receive our mail here. We have a bill from the Griffin Pond Animal Shelter in the amount of \$37,500 and it is a third notice to the city. This is something that I am concerned about because I know that there are a lot of problems with feral cats in our community and other stray animals, but on the flip side of that some good news, and it's reported in the newspaper and I know the group has spoke at our council meetings as well, the group Street Cats has taken over the old zoo at Nay Aug and is looking to rehab that into a trap, neuter and release program, which is where they trap feral cats, they bring them in, spay or neuter them and release them. Now, it doesn't quite solve the problem of, you know, some feral cats in the community that some people would like, but what it

1

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

does do is it stops the growth and it's safer and it's humane. I know a lot of people from the have approached me, I do think it is a good idea that the city needs to explore and also at the same time it may reduce by going through -- maybe directing some funds to the Street Cats group it may help reduce the cost that we are incurring at Griffin Pond.

Next, an item that was brought up from some speakers and it's been brought up sporadically at meetings and it's been all over social media for quite awhile is the idea of a casino, casino resort in downtown That's definitely -- and I know I Scranton. made some comments about it before, it's definitely an idea I would support if it would be feasible if the state would release a special license for the city. Now, I don't think council should advocate for one specific person to obtain a license, but the idea of the state exploring, opening up a special license specifically to help Scranton I think would be a good idea. That this should be looked into.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, city council did send a letter to Mr. Gorge Roberts, the district engineer from PennDOT, following our public meeting we had two weeks ago regarding the problems at Keyser Avenue requesting that they reconsider the new ban on large trucks turning right from northbound Keyser Avenue onto Dalton Street. The letter was to say that although PennDOT -- the reason for it was for safety, the end result will actually result in trucks traveling through more of a residential neighborhood and through where the Falcons play and West Side baseball plays as well. Did follow-up with James May from PennDOT, it is something that they are looking at, hopefully this is an item that will be resolved, and just as a follow up on that meeting that we had, and I know in the past and the future I probably still will be against the recess in August, but I think the meeting -- if we are able to schedule more meetings in the month of August or throughout the year like we had regarding that one specific issue, I thought that was a very productive meeting, a lot was

accomplished and it was very specific. We were just focused on that one issue and I think by doing that it may enable council to work with other agencies a little bit more to get many items completed.

Two more items. Next, the SAFER grant was brought up again. I do believe from speaking to members of the fire department that the federal government has not opened up the application period for next year's SAFER. I think that happens around November. I could work with Mr. Evans and we will check -- or, I'm sorry, Mr. Wechsler --

MR. WECHSLER: There you go.

MR. ROGAN: And check into that.

And, also, as far as attrition goes, the city cannot afford any further attrition on the fire department. We saw what happened last time when fire houses were closing, particularly on East Mountain, the problems that were caused from that, so that's something that we need to keep at a minimum the fire houses that we have open currently. Further, I would like to see all of them

open and have no brownouts, but at a bare minimum we have to keep the staffing of those where they currently are.

And finally, regarding the emergency certificate and Item 5-B I did speak with Attorney Shrive at length on this issue, probably a good half hour conversation and I spoke to Attorney Minora briefly on it as well, I will be voting "yes" on this legislation to authorize it under the emergency certificate, and I will be comment more on it under -- when the time for that vote comes, and that's all. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: First I'd like to clarify some comments Mr. Wechsler made. Sadly I'm not retired. I did retire my previous job as an engineer, but I have to explain 60, 70 hours of work right now to my family if I'm retired.

MR. WECHSLER: That's retirement.

MS. EVANS: But I'd like to make a couple of comments on some of the discussion tonight. As you know, I attended the press conference given by the Pennsylvania Auditor

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

General and these are some of my take away's. The State of Pennsylvania continues to do nothing in terms of real pension reform that helps cities like Scranton. Ι would to suggest that our state reps and our state senator can serve us well by working Scranton on these issues on our behalf. pension plan is in the category called severe distressed only because there wasn't a category available called on life support. In action on pension reform will lead the city into receivership or bankruptcy.

And finally, while there is a case to be made that a switch to a 401-K plan or Title Plan or a defined contribution plan at least for new hires might generate higher short-term costs, that came up during the Auditor General's conversation with the press conference, the long-term savings because of this change would be tremendous. Constantly taking a short-term approach to our financial picture has gotten us to this point that we are in today.

Secondly, I'd like to talk a little bit about reassessment. The City of

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scranton and our property owners are getting crushed because lack of reassessment in Lackawanna County. Again, while this county abandoned the initiative there needs to be a statewide solution, a plan that will mandate countywide reassessment, however, it cannot There must be be another unfunded mandate. cost sharing involved through the state and the county. There are other things that state could do thinking outside of the box like we looked into. Marie touched on this a little bit, for example, allowing rolling reassessments tied to property value increases that would not cost merely the amount that a standard reassessment would and could be done annually to see any even more. Again, this is something our state reps and our state senator could look into our behalf.

Finally, I want to touch on cell towers. Myself, Council Gaughan and Councilman Wechsler were at the zoning meeting last month. I served on the city planning commission when the current zoning code was drafted in 1994. The technology

and the need for increased placement of cell towers was not even an issue back then.

However, 20 years later we are still using the same code to regulate cell towers in our city. I would suggest with the concurrence of council that I ask Don King, the city planner, and the city planning commission to review this matter and come up with a comprehensive zoning amendment change relative to cell tower placement in our city for our review. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. And I would agree with the asking Mr. King, I think that your are totally right on that, we need to make sure that cell phone towers are not put in the middle of residential neighborhoods. It's a major problem.

I was contacted by a gentleman today about speeding on Sloan Street in West Scranton and I did tell that gentleman that I would get together or send correspondence to Chief Graziano to see what we could do about that an increase patrol on Sloan

2

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Street so I will taking care of that.

During the break numerous residents contacted me with various concerns. There were issues with properties on Quincy Avenue in the Hill Section. Our office contacted Licensing and Inspections and they are looking into those issues. There was also a concern about a wall that is falling over on McKenna Court in the Hill Section. inspector is being sent out to this location and we will be updated as to the problems on that. A resident also expressed concerns about 1103 Blair Avenue. It's a vacant property and the grass is severely Our office has forwarded it's overgrown. concerns, again, to Licensing and Inspections and hopefully that can be taken care of for the residents in that neighborhood.

Over the break a few residents had inquired about getting street lights put up in different sections of their neighborhoods for various reasons. There is a process for this. I won't go through it, but there are eight steps that needs to be taken in order

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for council to consider putting a streetlight up in your neighborhood. to go through the city engineer and PPL. will be requesting that this process be published on the city's website so residents can have easy access to it. Also, a reminder, again during the break it came up a lot, about reporting streetlights when they are out. If you have access to a computer you can go to www.nepalights.com. You will need the pole number and street address, and the pole number is 11 digits, so you can report it on there. If you don't have access to a computer, you can call Joyce Electrical at 570-521-4273 to report

I was pleased to be present on
Tuesday for a press conference held by
PennDOT regarding the Rockwell Avenue bridge
project. Everything seems to be on schedule
for an October 2015 completion date and work
should begin in the next few weeks so that
was good news.

your streetlight being out.

I would also ask that we send a request to Licensing and Inspections that

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the zoning board agenda is posted on-line to the city's website as soon as possible, as soon as available. The last agenda posted on the website is from June of this year. It's my hope that the agendas will be posted as soon as they can be so the public can be informed about that.

Council received a petition to eliminate illegal parking in front of the Geneva House on Adams Avenue. Residents of the Geneva House would like a new "Emergency Exit Only No Parking" sign. The current one is in bad shape and it seems to be they are having an awful time with those issues. will be contacting DPW to see if we could get that done and I will also speak to Chief Graziano about the petition that the residents in the Geneva House send down to council. He was copied the letter so I'm sure he was aware of it and when I do get that information I will update the public.

We are approaching winter once again, as Mr. McGoff mentioned earlier in the meeting, and as I expressed last winter I think it's important that we revisit

22

23

24

25

alternate side of the street parking during snow emergencies and we get a jump on this issue. I also think it's important that the city develop a snow emergency plan that can be accessed by residents on the city's website. This will help keep everyone on the same page during the snow emergency and we can become more efficient in alerting the public, and many other cities do this. plan on reaching out to the DPW director, Dennis Gallagher, the mayor and Chief Graziano to get their input and see if they would be on board with this, also Mr. Wechsler, since he will be the public safety chair, and Mr. McGoff as he mentioned spoke to the mayor and it seems like there is a the hope that we can get this done.

I'd also like to see if it's

possible that the city could notify

neighborhood residents when the street

sweeper is scheduled to be in their area.

During August I was approached by numerous

citizens as I attended some neighborhood

meetings who said they would be more than

willing to move their cars to give the

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gentleman from the DPW better access to the curbs. They just need to know when the street sweeper will be in their area and think the DPW workers do a wonderful job in this may make it easier on them and help the residents so I will be speaking to DPW Director Gallagher about that to see if there is a different way we might be able to do that for next year.

And finally, just a comment as Mr. Wechsler did earlier about the cell phone tower issue, I was, as Mr. Wechsler was, disappointed and disheartened that that took place during the break. I believe that Mr. Rogan used extremely poor judgment and his actions affected the perception that the public has on this council as a whole and that's what really bothered me the most about it to be completely honest, and I appreciate Mr. Rogan apologizing, but I would appreciate if he would apologize for not disclosing the fact that he was in negotiations with Verizon and apologize for the fact that he brought Mr. Oster in to our caucus without telling us that there was any

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ga wh Th co be ad ev

hint of what was going on, because as Mr. Wechsler explained, we did not find out about this until the night of the zoning board meeting. So we, as councilmen, need to remember our responsibilities as elected officials, and as a speaker said earlier today there is this sense in the city that no one can be trusted. There is not a trust of council or the administration and we need to get that trust back and what happened during the break was not a good start, and Mr. Rogan said an attorney said it was ethical what he did, my code of ethics and Gaughan code of ethics it wasn't ethical what went on. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Just a couple of comments, I spoke long enough before. On the pension, everyone in the administration, everyone on council, I think everyone in the city recognizes the severity of the problem that exists with the pension. The problem becomes how to deal with it. It's not just identifying the problem, it's identifying a way to resolve the problem and

issue it needs not only long-term reform it needs short-term reform as well. dealing with a number of issues as far as the pensions are concerned, and I know the administration has been working every day to try and find some resolution to this. not something that's being ignored. How did we get to this situation? There are any number of, you know, reasons that you can give for why the pension, you know, fund is in the state it is. You can look nationwide and see the pensions throughout the country are in trouble. As bad as Scranton's. probably not, but there are a great number of the reasons why the problems exist. we need to do is continue to work at resolving the problems rather than determining, you know, blame. We need resolution to the problems and I think that we are on the road to doing that.

because of the complexity of the pension

Just a brief comment on the idea of a casino, casinos in the City of Scranton.

First of all, to get the state to issue a special license is probably a Herculean

22

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

\_ .

task. There are restrictions against licenses in proximity to other gambling licenses and there are all types of restrictions as far as the license is concerned, and even if that were to take place just because you have a license doesn't mean that you have someone that will open a casino or operate one and that becomes the second question, you know, big question to me, is who would actually operate a casino in the City of Scranton. That could be problematic.

And lastly, even though I do frequent I'll say Mohegan and Mt. Airy at times, I'm not a big fan of having a casino in an urban area. I think that that old idea that gambling is going to bring about salvation, if we look at Atlantic City and what's occurred there I don't think that's necessarily a true or a fact of any type. I think the problems when you put in a casino far outweigh the benefit they would bring to the city, and that's all.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —

AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX: AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS"; BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2014. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. WESCHLER: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question, I just wanted to say that I do appreciate Attorney Shrive's letter that President McGoff read at the beginning of the meeting and I don't think that he should take all of the blame for what happened, but I do appreciate the letter that he did send to council and I appreciate the efforts that Attorney Shrive and the law office are going to make moving forward and I think that will help in the future. I am voting yes on this tonight because I would voted yes for it if it was introduced in January, however, I do have concerns about the emergency situation. I think moving forward we need to make sure that when emergency legislation is sent down there is an actual emergency. I think as Ms. Schumacher pointed out correctly I don't see the emergency here and I think that's a It really probably should have problem.

gone through three readings. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to comment as well. First of all, the tax was part of the 2014 budget. It was approved as part of the budget. The enabling legislation did not come to us, but as has been stated by Attorney Shrive and also Attorney Minora by the law that's not necessary, so to me by enacting it as part of the budget we did, in fact, enact the EIT tax for 2014.

As far as doing it in three readings or in one evening, I think the idea of an emergency certificate attached is maybe a bit of a misnomer. As we said the other day, I think that the more proper term would be an expedient certificate attached. I don't think doing this in one reading or one evening or three weeks makes a difference. It is something that we would have voted for, it's something that was, you know, part of the budget, I don't think it makes a great deal of difference in the number of days in which we do vote on this.

MR. ROGAN: I would comment just briefly, kind of echo what my colleagues

The

2 mentioned that this piece of legislation is 3 actually enacted retroactively every year. It's normally the end of January, but it's 4 5 still also retroactive to January 1 so that 6 in a sense is the same. You know, as far as 7 passing it in one night under a emergency 8 certificate, I'm normally not a fan of an 9 emergency certificate but because of pending 10 litigation over the 205 tax and this 11 possibly being one of the items that may be 12 debated, I think it would be in the city's 13 best interest to get this passed and get it 14 on the books as quickly as possible. MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor of 15 16 introduction signify by saying aye. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 19 MR. EVANS: Aye. 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 21 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? 22 ayes have it and so moved. 23 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -24 AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING 25 SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229612

said regarding this legislation, it also

1

	93
1	ENTITLED "FREE SWIM FOR CITIZENS OF
2	SCRANTON" FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT
3	OF THOSE FUNDS RECEIVED FOR THIS PURPOSE.
4	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
5	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
6	introduced into its proper committee.
7	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
8	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
9	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
10	those in favor of introduction signify by
11	saying aye.
12	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
13	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
14	MR. EVANS: Aye.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
16	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
19	AN ORDINANCE - DESIGNATING THE 500 BLOCK OF
20	BOGART PLACE AS ONE WAY DESIGNATION-
21	WESTBOUND FROM CEDAR AVENUE TO SOUTH
22	WASHINGTON AVENUE, APPROXIMATELY 430 LINEAR
23	FEET.
24	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
25	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be

introduced into its proper committee. 1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 3 MR. WECHSLER: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 4 For 5 those people that aren't familiar with where Bogart Place is, it is the court, I'll say, 6 that runs behind the 500 block of Lackawanna 7 8 Avenue behind Coney Island and now the 9 Renaissance 500 or whatever the development 10 It is that court there, and with names are. 11 the introduction of some hopefully new 12 businesses into the 500 block of Lackawanna 13 County and possible increased traffic in 14 Bogart Place it was thought that a one-way were to be much more appropriate for that 15 16 area rather than two-way traffic since it is 17 a narrow court. 18 All those in favor of introduction 19 signify by saying aye. 20 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 21 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 22 MR. EVANS: Aye. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 24 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 25 ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF SANTA J. CORBY 2 3 A/K/A SANDY CORBY, 401 WILLIAMSBURG LANE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF 4 THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY 5 EFFECTIVE AUGUST 11, 2014. MRS. CORBY WILL 6 7 BE REPLACING FRANK J. TUNIS, JR. WHO WAS 8 REMOVED FROM THE BOARD BY LETTER DATED 9 JANUARY 22. 2014. MRS. CORBY WILL FILL THE 10 UNEXPIRED TERM OF FRANK J. TUNIS, JR., WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2017. 11 12 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 13 entertain a motion that Item 5-E be 14 introduced into its proper committee. MR. ROGAN: So moved. 15 16 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 17 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 18 I just want to say to Mrs. Corby 19 that your brother asked me to vote "no" on 20 this so that you would not be appointed, but 21 I will not listen to him. 22 All those in favor of introduction 23 signify by saying aye. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye.

1

1	MR. EVANS: Aye.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
3	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
4	ayes have it and so moved.
5	MS. REED: 5-F. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
6	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF MAUREEN
7	MCNICHOLS, 551 NORTH HYDE PARK AVENUE,
8	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS A MEMBER OF
9	THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY
10	EFFECTIVE AUGUST 11, 2014. MRS. MCNICHOLS
11	WILL BE REPLACING ROSETTA WALSH WHO RESIGNED
12	EFFECTIVE AUGUST 5, 2014. MRS. MCNICHOLS
13	WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF ROSETTA
14	WALSH, WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON
15	JUNE 1, 2015.
16	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
17	entertain a motion that Item 5-F be
18	introduced into its proper committee.
19	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
21	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
22	those in favor of introduction signify by
23	saying aye.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
25	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
	II

1	MR. EVANS: Aye.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
3	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
4	ayes have it and so moved.
5	MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
6	RESOLUTION - AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 1,
7	2014, ENTITLED "ADOPTING THE RULES AND ORDER
8	OF BUSINESS OF THE SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL,
9	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA", BY AMENDING ARTICLE
10	III. COMMITTEES, SECTION 2. STANDING
11	COMMITTEES OF THE COUNCIL AND SECTION 3.
12	STANDING SUB-COMMITTEES OF THE COUNCIL.
13	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
14	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be
15	introduced into its proper committee.
16	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
17	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
18	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes, I'd like to
20	congratulate Mr. Evans on his retirement.
21	MS. EVANS: Thank you.
22	MR. ROGAN: And his new position as
23	well.
24	MR. MCGOFF: And the need to do this
25	was the names of the people and their
	II

committees were placed into the Rules of 1 2 Council and just so that we can reflect the 3 proper committee assignments we felt it necessary to make this amendment to the 4 Rules of Council. 5 All those in favor of introduction 6 signify by saying aye. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. EVANS: Aye. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - RE-APPOINTMENT OF MICHAEL 15 16 SALERNO, 1200 BRYN MAWR STREET, SCRANTON, 17 PENNSYLVANIA, 18504, AS A MEMBER OF THE 18 BOARD OF THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY FOR 19 AN ADDITIONAL FIVE (5) YEAR TERM. MR. 20 SALERNO'S CURRENT TERM EXPIRED ON JUNE 21 1, 2014 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON JUNE 22 1, 2019. MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 23 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF DOMINIC 13 14 GEORGETTI 3015 PITTSTON AVE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE 15 BOARD OF THE SCRANTON SEWER AUTHORITY 16 17 EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 2014. MR. GEORGETTI 18 WILL BE REPLACING ELIZABETH RANDOL WHO RESIGNED ON AUGUST 26, 2014. MR. GEORGETTI 19 20 WILL FILL THE UNEXPIRED TERM OF ELIZABETH 21 RANDOL, WHOSE TERM IS SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON 22 DECEMBER 31, 2015. 23 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 24 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be 25 introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved. 1 2 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 3 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 13 14 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY AND BETWEEN THE 15 CITY OF SCRANTON, PP&L AND THE UNIVERSITY OF 16 17 SCRANTON FOR THE PLACEMENT OF SURVEILLANCE 18 CAMERAS ALONG A PORTION OF VINE STREET IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS WELL AS ANY AND 19 20 ALL ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS THAT MAY BE 21 NECESSARY TO CONSUMMATE THIS TRANSACTION. 22 MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll 23 entertain a motion that Item 5-J be 24 introduced into its proper committee. 25 MR. ROGAN: So moved.

1 MR. WECHSLER: Second. MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 4 I just want to read the locations of the 5 cameras because I think that's important. There will be six cameras that will be 6 located at Madison and Vine, Monroe and 7 Vine, Quincy and Vine, Clay and Vine, Taylor 8 9 and Vine and Webster and Vine, and these 10 cameras will have no cost to the city as 11 discussed in caucus. 12 MR. MCGOFF: And it should also be 13 mentioned that they will be integrated into 14 the surveillance camera system that is in 15 place at police headquarters. 16 All those in favor of introduction 17 signify by saying aye. 18 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 19 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 20 MR. EVANS: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 23 ayes have it and so moved. 24 MR. WECHSLER: I make a motion to 25 suspend the Rules and move 5-B to Sixth and

Seventh Order to be considered for final 1 2 passage based on the attached emergency 3 certificate. MR. ROGAN: Second. 4 5 MR. MCGOFF: On the question. A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 7 saying aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A -FORMERLY 5-B - READING BY TITLE -FILE OF 15 COUNCIL NO. 41 -2014 - AN ORDINANCE -16 17 AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976 -18 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE 19 20 PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) 21 ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, 22 INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO 23 ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR 24 NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR 25 WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS

CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS
SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO
COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE
ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT
OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR
THE VIOLATIONS"; BY RE-ENACTING THE
IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR
TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR
RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED
INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF
SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED
OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF
SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2014. (EMERGENCY
CERTIFICATE ATTACHED)

MR. MCGOFF: Prior to, if anyone would like to speak to what is now 6-A and potentially 7-A, will have the opportunity to do so now.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher.

I would like to reiterate if this is not necessary I don't know why you are doing this at all. There is no emergency. If there had been an emergency, presumably you would have come back during the month of

2

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

August and taken care of it then, and again, if it's not required why bother? Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah. Bill I don't have a problem with you Jackowitz. guys pushing this on up and getting it done in one night, my problem is the same problem I had with the audit, we do this on regular basis. Why don't we have standard operating procedures in the City of Scranton? City of Scranton has been in existence for I don't know 200 years? I have no idea. Ι remember growing a beard for the 100-year centennial when I in high school so I don't know how many years it's been here, when are we going to wake up? I mean, seriously. Now we opened up a door for the commuters to take us into Court and now we have to defend this, which is going to cost the city more money because we are going to have defend this because of the statements made.

I appreciated Attorney Shrive stepping up to the plate and admitting that he made a mistake. I think that's awfully manly of himself to do that, but it doesn't rest with him. Mayor Courtright was a city

22

23

24

25

councilman for six years. Mr. Rogan and Mr. McGoff have been on council for several years, we have been through this before. Again, if we had a standard operating procedure, an SOP, and a checklist that would outline to all of the people in these jobs what to do, how to do it and when to do it, we could probably alleviate a lot of problems that this city has, okay? That's my point. We make more problems for ourselves than we solve. It's time for the City of Scranton to wake up, so I hope someone passes this onto the administration and to Mayor Courtright that maybe we need to sit down and get the administrative people working on drawing up SOP's, standard operating procedures, like they do in just about every major company in the United States and probably every city except Scranton.

MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?

MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MS. MCGOFF: On the question? 1 MR. WECHSLER: Yes, Mr. McGoff, just 2 3 one comment. The reason why I understand this could not be voted on prior to this 4 5 evening was that a tax -- any tax legislation requires a longer advertising 6 7 period; is that correct? 8 MS. REED: Correct. 9 MR. WECHSLER: So that's why we 10 couldn't act on it prior to this evening 11 because it had to be advertised I believe 12 for 30 days, so this is the earliest 13 possible chance that we could vote on it. 14 Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor 15 16 signify by saying aye. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 18 MR. ROGAN: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 19 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 21 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved. 22 23 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7 - A . F0R 24 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -25 FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 70, 2014 -

	107
1	APPOINTMENT OF PATRICK DESARNO, 606 HAMPTON
2	STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 TO THE
3	PERMANENT POSITION OF SUPERINTENDENT OF FIRE
4	FOR THE SCRANTON FIRE DEPARTMENT EFFECTIVE
5	JULY 26, 2014.
6	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-A.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-B, FORMERLY 6-A, FOR
24	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE -
25	FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 41,

24

25

2014, AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE: PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION. COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS"; BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2014. (EMERGENCY CERTIFICATE ATTACHED).

109
MR. MCGOFF: What is the
recommendation of the Chair for the
Committee on Finance?
MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-B.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
call, please?
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
If there is no further business,
I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the

above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true

and correct transcript of the same to the best of my

notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the

ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER