г		
		1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
2		
3		
4		
5	HELD:	
6		
7	Thursday, July 31, 2014	
8		
9	LOCATION:	
10	Council Chambers	
11	Scranton City Hall	
12	340 North Washington Avenue	
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
24	on total content	
25		

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT JOHN LOSCOMBE JOSEPH WECHSLER WILLIAM GAUGHAN LORI REED, CITY CLERK JAMIE MARCIANO, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please. 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Here. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Here. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Here. 13 14 For the record, I would like to welcome Mr. Evans to city council. 15 16 Mr. Evans was appointed at our last meeting 17 and was sworn in earlier today, so he is now 18 a full-fledged member of the Scranton City Council. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Thank you very much. 21 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. MINUTES OF THE SCRANTON POLICE PENSION 22 23 COMMISSION MEETING HELD ON JUNE 25, 2014. 24 MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? 25 If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-B. TAX ASSESSOR'S
REPORT FOR THE HEARING DATE TO BE HELD ON
AUGUST 13, 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. AUDIT STATUS REPORT RECEIVED FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. ON JULY 24, 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-D. SINGLE TAX OFFICE
CITY FUNDS DISTRIBUTED COMPARISON REPORT FOR
JULY 2013 AND 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

I skipped over dispense with the reading of the minutes, my apologies. One thing that was received late and were unable to place on the agenda in Third Order was the agenda for the Board of Zoning Appeals. They will hold a meeting in city hall on Wednesday, August 13, at 6:00 p.m. Included on their agenda is a submission for a variance for a cell tower by Verizon at Cayuga Park. It is the only one of the

three that had been mentioned in the past.

Weston Field and Nay Aug are not included in this -- in their agenda, so it is simply the variance for the Cayuga Park cell tower, but I know that that has come up in discussion before and I just wanted people to be aware of that meeting.

Anyone else have announcements? A couple of things, first of all, the National Night Out is Tuesday, August 5, from 6 to 8 p.m. in the Scranton High School parking lot. It is conducted by the Scranton Police Department so National Night out Tuesday, August 5, 6 to 8 p.m. at Scranton High School.

Also, this past week we did receive a letter of resignation from Mr. Joseph Gilhooley, a resignation as an alternate member of the Scranton Board of Zoning Appeals and we will address that vacancy when we return in September.

Also, asked to announce the August 1
the 3-on-3 basketball tournament conducted
by Lackawanna County. Five player maximum
per team, \$75 to register if you register by

July 1. There is division, boys, girls, men, women, all types of different options for play. It's a unique event and it's held in downtown Scranton at this time. One of those nights -- those days, the one and two blocks of Wyoming Avenue will be closed. That would Friday and Saturday, August 1 and 2, and the intersections affected by the event will be closed from 8 a.m. Friday through Sunday evening if necessary. Colts will pick up and discharge in the 100 block of Franklin Avenue on Friday and Saturday, August 1 and 2, all right?

MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff, before

public participation begins, when speakers

-- because we opened it up for residents and

nonresidents at the last couple of week'S

meetings, I see on the speaker sheet that

the address is left blank for most

individuals, so could we request speakers

state if they are a resident or nonresident?

MR. MCGOFF: Yes.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: As per Mr. Rogan's request, please just state your name and

residence when you come to the podium to speak.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Before we begin, I'm sorry, there was one other -- on the agenda this evening in Sixth Order, 6-A and B are pieces of legislation for LHGA. As was announced last week, if these pass in Sixth Order there will be a motion to move these to Seventh Order. If anyone wishes to speak to these two pieces of legislation, please do so during citizens' participation. We will not have an additional speaking time prior to Sixth Order.

First speaker on the list is Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident. I noted Item 3-C, the
audit status report for Robert Rossi dated
24 July, and I looked at that in the Clerk's
Office, and once again, we have 26 items
outstanding in the audit, which is the same
as last month, and the month before and the
month before, and the audit was supposed to

be completed on May 31. It's already two months overdue and I am very doubtful that the audit will be completed in time for the administration to use it as they prepare a budget due to you I believe on November 13 and that really gives me pause because considering all of the financial problems in the city and the accounting difficulties that have come to the light in the past year, you really do need that audit report and I think the explanation of they're understaffed no longer cuts it. We need to see why that number hasn't gone down in three months.

With regard to the caucus that took place tonight, I'm really discombobulated. It's about the only word I could come up with. I did not get a warm and fuzzy that the administration has a handle on the pensions and pension reform. It just blew my mind when the administration said that basically they were in discussions vice negotiations. I simply home I live long enough to see negotiations begin and conclude. Just blows my mind. Yet, we are

pushing forward with the commuter tax.

If I recall, Mr. Amoroso said that his plan had to be implemented as an entirety, not piecemeal. Yet, you know, we can't say that Mr. Amoroso's plan, was administration's plan and then change it, but we have.

And the last thing I want to comment on is the article in this morning's paper about the Scranton Chamber of Commerce asking the administration to proceed with negotiations and then the mayor asking to bring Mr. Amoroso back for another six months. Can some explain to me why we need Mr. Amoroso back for another six months when we have hired PFM in March for a four-year stint? Wasn't PFM to pick up the work of Mr. Amoroso after he left? Why do we need Mr. Amoroso back? Has anyone talked to the mayor. Has the mayor talked to anyone?

MR. MCGOFF: I would say that the work that PFM is doing is in negotiating with various investment entities for various revenues that we need to implement part of the plan.

MS. HODOWANITZ: That may be true, but Mr. Amoroso said in his plan that I downloaded from your website, you know, that PFM would take over once he and his group concluded their work. What exactly did Mr. Amoroso do for another six months? You know, I think that the administration is behind the power curve, I really do, and I would still like to see the mayor come before the public in some kind of a town hall meeting, both residents and the nonresidents and explain to us, you know, what is going on, what his vision is, what the numbers are, because I do not have a warm and fuzzy. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Jamie, Stenographer,
Lori, and Amil. How did this happen? How
did Scranton become distressed for 22 and
one half years? My answer, elected
officials, politicians. They are the ones
that took the votes. They are the ones who
made the decisions. They are the ones who

did not listen to the speakers who spoke at city council and other places. They made their own decisions and they made all bad decisions.

Mr. Courtright was on council, he is responsible for this, he was on council for six years, some of his votes. We have two city councilmen right now who have made votes that put the city where it is now. It was not the commuters, it was not the residents, it was the elected officials.

All the elected officials of Scranton from Mayor Hanlon until the present. That's as far as I can go back to is Mayor Hanlon because I'm only 66 years old.

Okay, solutions. I did not hear one solution in this caucus. Everything was speculation. I can remember standing here at this podium and being ridiculed and reprimanded about sitting city councilmen about speculation, but yet tonight you allow those three people, the three leaders, a mayor and two attorneys, to speculate on every single question that they were asked tonight. They did not answer one question

affirmatively. Not one. And I hope you take that into consideration when it comes time to vote. There is no plan. Why don't we admit it, we have no plan to get the city out of this distressed status because it's an impossibility. It's as simple as that. We have been speaking about this for years and years and years and years only to be ignored.

I can remember being locked out of city hall. I can remember going through a metal detector by sitting city council members. I can remember five minute speech, three minute speech, why? Because the elected officials did not want to hear what the citizens had to say. If they would have listened, we would not be in the position the city is in right now. We have had two failed recovery plans, I pray to God that we don't have the third recovery plan failure with this vote that's going to happen tonight because it's not going to solve anything.

Again, responsibility. Who is responsible for it? Some people want to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

blame the nonprofits. Some people want to blame the fire and police. Some people want to blame the neighborhoods. Some people want to blame everybody but the people who should be blamed. The people who should be blamed are the elected officials. We have seven of them. We have a mayor, five city council members and a city controller. is it where 100 percent of the blame for the City of Scranton rests, with our elected officials because you are the ones who have made the decisions for the past 22 half years, not Bill Jackowitz and none of these people in this audience. Some people even want to blame the Scranton Times, but they didn't make the decisions either, all they did was print nonsense is what they did, It all rests on the backs of the elected officials and you are getting ready to make the same mistake all over again.

As far as security clearance, I have had a top secret, expanded background security clearance, triple security clearance since 1968 so I'm pretty sure that I can be responsible enough to find out what

the plan is for the City of Scranton. I have had the clearance. I've got the same clearance that the President of the United States has and I've had it since 1968. So, please, I'm an adult, tell me what's going on. No more secret meetings. No more talking behind closed doors. Bring it out to the public. The mayor was here tonight, he did not answer one question. Everything was speculation not one question was answered.

As far as the school board goes, they are a joke. That's why nobody wants to come to the City of Scranton. That's why you can done whatever you want to do, no businesses are going to come here, why?

Because of our elected officials and the politics in the City of Scranton.

Okay, and also I'd like you all to enjoy your vacation, take the month off because when you come back North Scranton High School will still be in the position it's in right now, although we were promised it would be done by April 15. The Lace factory will have the same problem that

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they've had so enjoy your vacations, we'll see you guys in September.

MR. MCGOFF: Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council. The first thing I have to say, with all honesty, you know what I got to say, "Hi, suits," and I really appreciate the suits that the people that were sitting here were wearing and, you know, we have elected a lot of suits in this city and a lot of decisions that have been made about the way people dress, and just utterly the silliness that I have ever seen in my life, and one of the really troubling things today for me at this meeting was that I thought, with all honesty, Mr. Gaughan, you asked some very important questions, you didn't receive any answers.

And, Mr. Rogan, I mean, I don't know where you were at because from what I saw he was asking legitimate questions, and it just -- it just goes to prove how really broken our government is. We have people that did a presentation here, in other words, for a recovery plan. No information

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

came from them. Everything is just like other speakers said speculation. I have read the report, it's as silly as Mr.

Doherty's report because this commuter tax is going to do more damage to this city than you can ever believe and I honestly believe that anybody who is employed here and pays this tax needs to find another job. I think that employers who have employees here need to move, because I think it's time to stop shifting everything to somebody else.

Look it, when a ship is going to sink you don't do something about it when it's one foot from being under the water. There is just no excuse for what's going on The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania here. holds a very fast majority of the blame for what's occurred here, and the city residents. They have listened to all of the spiels that political candidates have put forward for decades, and not just two decades, six or seven. And how a city as nice as this one can be torn apart like it has, we have lost some of the greatest building in our city that have been torn

down. I think that one of the first things this city should do is do something about containing the colleges here and put them inside zones that they can't expand out of and force them to build up. I think we use our eminent domain to start going after some of these buildings they have purchased that they have taken off the tax rolls.

I think, to be honest with you,

Mr. Evans, you are the realtor, I mean, I'm

a truck driver, but you know something, when

nonprofits start eating up all of your

property you got a real problem because you

have nobody willing to come here and invest

and I think the greatest gift the city can

receive is looking where all of these

colleges have their money invested in and

how many hundreds of millions of dollars

they are worth. I think that would be a

great think to see.

I think there's a lot of other great things we should see and, you know, I have got -- I intend to do about 50 Right-to-Knows soon, and I'm going give every councilman a copy of one, every single

one and we are going to see if we get the answers because you know, gentlemen, we have been short on answers here in this council chambers for the plus 20 plus years I have been here and we keep talking about it.

You know, I asked Mr. Wechsler a question one time if you looked at the debt in the city how much of it is on every single resident and then break it down to how much was on every single citizen of the city's total debt. You know another troubling I think we should look at is how a park built under Project 70 funds was ever sold because it was illegal according to federal law, but it was still done.

I think that the city has played fast and loose for so long. You know, I recently attended a viewing in the city of a former resident who left here 30 years ago and everybody in -- almost everybody there had left the city and their opinion in bulk was that the city is mess from the islands all the way to the end of Pinebrook and that just the disrepair of the city in every neighborhood is unbelievable, and we are

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

talking about possibly selling the Sewer
Authority when that asset has to worth a
billion dollars, and the reason I say that
is how much would it cost to dig up every
street and put all of that infrastructure in
and then physically build a plant, and
that's an asset that belongs to Scranton and
Dunmore.

These sells of the last remaining assets aren't the answer. We need to redo almost everything about the city if it's going to survive. We are down to 70,000 people, we lost 30,000 and I'm only 55 years old. So in my 55 years we went from 101,000 probably to under 70, and there will be nothing left of the city if we put this plan through. Everybody is going to pat themselves on the back like they always do and talk about how great their decisions Talk is cheap, but results aren't, were. but we haven't seen any. We saw a lot of money invested in the downtown for decades, which hasn't brought anything great around, because we didn't have a council or city government that was functioning. And to be

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quite honest with you, county government isn't functioning either. Really what branch of government is functioning? It's all driven by innuendos, misinformation and money and the people who go to work every day and hope for the best they are getting the short end of it. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council, Doug Miller, Scranton. You know, just to, you know, discuss the caucus we had here this evening, I think the only thing tonight we were missing, gentlemen, was popcorn and soda in all honesty. It was a complete joke, as most of our city government is today at this point. We didn't receive one answer to any question asked other than speculation that has been previously addressed here this evening. No reality has been discussed other than we want to now punish commuters that we are well aware of, placing a burden on commuters that had absolutely nothing to do with the gross incompetence that took place and continues to take place within our city government.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We want to punish, as I take it from Mr. McGoff, to quote, "Jane from Dickson City" that we now want to place the burden on her and thousands of others who commute daily to the city to earn a living. Because we caused the problem, and when I say "we", you know, we often talk about our elected leaders causing the brunt of the problems and there is no doubt that you are in the position to where you certainly make the decisions, but ultimately you are put there somehow, and how are you put there? You are put there by voters and for decades voters have gone out and voted misinformed. They have voted based on who has a pretty yard sign going down Main Avenue, whose a Democrat, whose a Republican. Oh, yeah, you know, I graduated with him 20 years ago, he is a nice guy, yet if you ask the one thing about the candidate as to what their plans or what their vision is they can't tell you one thing other than, yeah, he has a nice yard sign going down Main Avenue, and then we stand here today and we wonder why we are in the situation we are in, because we have

so many uninformed and uneducated people making decisions that it's completely just discouraging and, quite frankly, disgusting because of the politics in this city that has touched so much things, has destroyed the future of this city.

And you know what, the 24-year-old young man who has a vested interest in this city, who has been coming here for 12 years and speaking my mind and offering solutions and suggestions, it's really discouraging to think that there is even a future for this city because we have people that consistently let us down.

We had mayor here tonight that couldn't answer one question, did nothing but stagger around every question. And then when we have councilmen such as Mr. Gaughan who, you know, I do have to say in the recent weeks I have gained a lot of respect for Mr. Gaughan. You know, coming into his term, you know, I didn't know really know Mr. Gaughan that well, but I have come to realize one thing, he is asking tough questions and when he has a colleague like

3

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Rogan who wants to criticize him for doing his job and representing the residents of this city that elected him, I think
Mr. Rogan should be ashamed of himself. You may want to carry the water for this administration, Mr. Rogan, but remember one thing, you were elected by the residents of this city and you have an obligation to represent them, not Mayor Courtright.

So, Mr. Gaughan, I appreciate what you did tonight and I hope continue to ask the tough questions, and I apologize that none of those questions were answered accurately or, quite frankly, even intelligently. I think you were given the run around, but stick to your guns and continue to hold their feet to the fire because, unfortunately, I agree with you this probably will pass tonight because we are dealing with a rubber stamp council majority who has overlooked all of the In fact, they don't even know the facts. facts. That's what rubber stampers do.

And by voting this tonight and putting this on the commuters of the city

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you should yourself in shame because I personally would rather see a receiver come in before you ever put this on the There is no justification to it commuters. and you by doing this tonight are doing a disjustice to yourselves, and I'd say, quite frankly, you can kiss your political careers goodbye, too, because it's wrong, there is no justification and in regards to what the plan is, there is no plan. We talk about the Henry Amoroso plan, we are not worried about the Henry the Liquidator plan because that's not a plan. It's a suicide mission. It's the final nail in the coffin for this city. The mayor doesn't have a plan, he has never had a plan. He claimed to have one, he doesn't have one.

In regards to the discussions with the unions, we got the run around on that. It's nice to talk about it, but it's time to step up and actually do it. We have done a lot of talking for decades and now we are in a position tonight where we are discussing a commuter tax on people who have absolutely nothing to do with the failed policy

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decisions of this council, past councils, past administrations. We want to sell assets, the remaining we have left. already sold the golf course. We sold the South Side complex. Now we want to sell parking garages and still owe \$30 million on That makes a lot of sense. I'm glad them. we have the common sense in our government. We want to sell a Sewer Authority that as the previous speaker alluded to, Mr. Morgan, probably is worth close to a billion dollars, but have we ever taken the time to research that? No. Because we only have one agenda and that's the political agenda.

And I hope you vote with some common sense tonight, even though I don't think that's going to happen because there is no common sense in our government. Do the right thing. Don't put it on the backs of Jane from Dickson City and many others who had absolutely nothing to do with your mismanagement. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Miller. Fay Franus.

MS. FRANUS: Fay Franus, Scranton.

Mr. Evans, I'd like welcome you to council.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MS. FRANUS: You are a breath of fresh air. Mr. Gaughan, I'd like to thank you for letting the people know as much as you could let them know by asking all of the right questions, and you have a councilman like Pat Rogan who is trying to hide the truth even though he knew all of these people that are here tonight, the mayor, and the lawyers, even though he knew they didn't have any answers he tried to silence you so that you couldn't possibly get any answers. But by you asking all of these questions you exposed them for what they are.

We didn't elect Mr. Amoroso, we elected Bill Courtright but he hasn't done one thing since he has been in office. He is the one that's supposed to be going to the nonprofits, he hasn't going there once. I don't know what he has done other than gone out with the police for two hours in West Side one night.

Another thing, he mentioned the clerical union, that's the one union that he

mentioned, they are the lowest paid union.

Amazing how he threw them in the mix.

Didn't mention the fire or the police at all, but I think he would have wet his pants if he had to do anything with them because he is scared to death of them. They got him elected and he won't dare cross them. So there is no way you can are going to get answers from him. You can pin him up on a cross and he won't give you any because he doesn't know anything. He won't approach them at all. The fact that you are voting

for this tonight before any concessions from

the union it's disgraceful.

And the fact that Scranton residents might pay to the .75 percent wage tax you didn't have any answers, nobody knew it was legal, nobody knew if you could do it, then they said they didn't know if it could ever come off once it went on, but you are voting for something that you don't even know is going to be able to get taken off for the residents. You sit there and make it sound like it is. How you can vote for something, is totally beyond me, that you don't have

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

any answers for. Tonight proved that you had no answers. One question after another from Mr. Gaughan to these people, to the mayor of the city and he didn't know anything.

Mr. Jackowitz is right and that's the reasonable there aren't thousands of people tonight because they know better. They know that this council is corrupt. They know that every government person in the city, every city employee, they are all dirty. They are only taking care of themselves, they are not taking care of the people, that's why you are going to vote "yes" for this because you are corrupt, because you don't want the answers, you just want to line your pockets and do whatever you are going to get for doing this, but to make the people out of town pay for bad management is disgraceful. It would be different in this city tried, tried to help the solutions and tried to help the people, but they never once in years ever did it but it's not like they ever tried something so why should the people out of town pay for

your mistakes? It's totally disgraceful and your political career will be over, Mr. Rogan, and Mr. Wechsler and Mr. McGoff.

Mr. Evans, I'd like to ask you since you said in the paper the other day that it was totally unacceptable if the Scranton people had to pay the wage tax, well, since they didn't have an answer whether it would be legal or not or and whether it really will could happen how can you possibly -- if you vote "yes" how can you possibly vote "yes" to this when there is no answer?

MR. EVANS: We'll have to see.

MS. FRANUS: Well, let's put it this way, I hope you have -- if you vote "yes" there is nothing you can say that's going to justify why you did it because there is no answers here, there is no answers that were given tonight so we'll see what happens, but I hope you do the right thing for the people that you were elected -- appointed to represent. I really do.

MR. EVANS: Thanks.

MS. FRANUS: Mr. Gaughan, I hope you keep asking these questions and never stop

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the likes of Mr. Rogan. Who the hell is he to tell you you can't talk and ask questions? You got elected by the people? You keep asking and thank you for doing that.

And the other consultant firm that the woman mentioned before to take over for Mr. Amoroso, this firm that was hired in March until 2018 with no cap on their salary, that's another illegal thing. have mentioned this at council how many weeks and your own lawyer says it was legal and Mr. McGoff says it was legal. It's not It's not legal. If I had money to legal. go to a lawyer I would be there tomorrow to prove it because it goes against City Code, Administrative City Code Section 613, the money has to in the budget this year to pay them, not next year in January's budget, this budget. It says right in the Code, so you are hired them illegally, but we can't do anything about that because you circumvent the law all the time because you know the people can't take you to court so you just laugh at us.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just like when I went to the caucus that one night and there was four members and Mr. McGoff said, "That's not against the Sunshine Law."

Like hell it isn't. He must think we are stupid. We're not stupid. Just like Mr. Jackowitz said, you are the reason, all the politicians in the City of Scranton you are the reason why we are in the shape we are and you want to charge the people out of Don't you know that's going to help the businesses in Scranton because they are going to boycott. Gas stations, restaurants, businesses, food courts, everything they are going to boycott and I I would boycott them, don't blame them. too, if I had to pay your mistakes in the City of Scranton. It's not like you tried to do everything right and you should have concessions from this union, and I wish you could impeach Bill Courtright because he has nothing for this city. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. Franus.

Matthew Ford.

MR. FORD: Once again, Matthew Ford,

Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania. I'd like to thank the council and everyone who showed up once again for those discussions and for my permission to speak here. It's absolutely fantastic that you do invite everybody out, and I want to say, wow, I couldn't be more impressed with the residents of Scranton coming out here educated on point and actively participating in the discussion.

Sadly, I feel that that discussion is pretty one-sided and they are the only ones offering some real solutions. I had a whole bunch of notes and things I want to talk about tonight, every single one of them walked up and pretty much went all over my points, but I do want to share a couple of things that I had in individual conversations with members of council and also with attorneys for the mayor here.

Last week, you know, I asked

Mr. Rogan week one when I was here he said

that he wanted to hear what the residents of

the city had to say, that was the purpose of

these meetings, and I asked him last week

after he said that he now supported the bill

what happened to hearing discussions for three weeks before making a decision, and he said that the reason he came back to that decision was that he had an overwhelming course of go commuter tax pouring into his phone from different community events that, you know, he attends and my question is where are those citizens because these are the active citizens that I see and they are all saying this they don't want it?

I spoke with Mr. Durkin after he stepped out of the meeting, and after he provided his knowledge to you and tried to educate you gentleman on how much they knew about this plan and I asked him a couple of questions of my own and the first thing I asked from him was if he was familiar with equity index funds, which basically is a way that you can participate in some of the markets gains while it goes go up, but you don't expose yourself to any risk while it goes down. He was unaware of that financial products existence.

I then asked him if we were paying off \$13 million per year -- well, the City

of Scranton, I'm not, but the City of Scranton is paying \$13 million in funds right now for those pensions and they only contributed \$4.2 million in our last figures, what the gap was, because I feel that's a pretty common thing to investigate if you were going to see how much you needed to fun it with, he had no answers for what denomination of money could make up the gap to get us actually paying for all of the contributions that are going out every year.

And last week we talked a little bit about planning for what -- it seems everybody wants to plan for the law as it's written today, and I want to point out to you gentlemen that we put a man on the moon based on estimated what ifs and planned ahead, and I urge you to be deliberate with your decision today, I urge you to put it off until you find out all of the facts. I think that this caucus here at least raised some very relevant questions that I feel that voting now after seeing what happened in caucus would be very irresponsible and I urge you guys to deliberate this bill for a

little while longer. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ford. Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: You get up and leave when I finish. Sadly, I believe we are always going to be adversarial. I thought well of you though. I'm just uncomfortable with your statement how good a Mayor Doherty was because one of the problems you people are doing is try to correct all of the mistakes and problems that he left in the city.

And secondly, I understand that

Mr. Sweeney from the zoning board also works

for you. I find this a complete conflict of

interest. If, in fact, I'm not caring

stories, I was told there has already been

results of this marriage and that shouldn't

be. He should choose one job or the other

if you ask me.

And next, I'm not trying to pick on you, but I was wandering if you are going to take a paid vacation next month for working one day. As bad as this city needs money, I just would find that that if you are it's

reprehensible. It's -- here we are broke, I don't think anybody should take a paid vacation. You people need to try to help the rest of the city. You expect us to just keep sacrificing and suffering in taxes, but, you know, like I said, I'm not trying to --

MR. EVANS: I will answer the question partially that I actually got sworn in today on purpose so I don't get paid for last week.

MR. ELLMAN: Well, this is just between you and God, I guess, you know. In your support for our Moses plan I just find this nothing but a feeble outsiders adverse -- it's not a plan, you know a four-year-old could just sit around and say raise taxes. I just can't imagine why you spend six more months with him, he has been here six months and he has never done a -- been doing a thing about the Pure Charity Act that has just broke the city. He has said, "Well, I might talk to them."

He has had six months to address this. You know, a third of our taxable

property is gone and, you know, he doesn't seem to understand that's one of the problems. The problem is not telling everybody you are going to raise taxes. He is using the idea of a reassessment. Almost every house in the city in the past ten or 15 years the taxes have doubled. You go to talk to anybody their taxes keep going up and up and up, and the next let's say five years, ten years, they will probably double or triple again the way things are going. We don't need to spend millions on reassessment.

You know, I think you people think that taxpayers are just a gigantic piggy bank or something, I don't know, it's just -- you are just not in tune with what's happening to the people of this city. The neighbors -- the neighborhoods are in decline. You know, like I said, my neighborhood was so nice 20 years ago and now it looked like crap. I don't have any sidewalks, I don't have no curbs, people park on the sidewalks. They rent houses with no parking whatsoever, but they park on

the sidewalks. Cars come up and down my street late at night on North Main Avenue 60, 70, 80 miles per hour one after another. They won't put up stop signs. Dunmore put up stop sign on Electric Street to stop speeding, but I was told they can't do it here. You know, I think chickens will grow lips before anything positive for the taxpayers of the city will happen, and again, I just wish you the best because you got a lousy job to do. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman. Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening,

Council. Les Spindler, city resident and
taxpayer. It's been awhile since I have
been here, I'm working two jobs, new shift
on my job 12 hours a day so when I work
until six it's a rush to get here, but after
all the negativity I heard last few weeks I
had to come here and say my peace.

First off, I want to thank the mayor for coming tonight and answering questions the best he could. He has kept his campaign promises that said he is going to come to

the meetings. In seven months he has attended more meetings than the previous mayor and our sixth councilman did in 12 years, so I think that proves he is keeping his word.

Next, Mr. Evans, I want to congratulate you on your appointment.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. SPINDLER: Can't say you were my choice because I know it's no secret you were a Doherty supporter, and I wasn't.

MR. EVANS: Fair enough.

MR. SPINDLER: You said Chris

Doherty is doing a good job, really? That's why we are here today because of Chris

Doherty. He put us in the mess we are in.

When Mayor Connors left office we had a million dollar surplus. Now God knows how much we are -- and Chris Doherty put us all in bankruptcy, him and his rubber stamp councils, which Mr. McGoff was one of them.

It's no secret. He borrowed and spent us into almost bankruptcy and it's a darn shame. I love this city, and that's why I have been coming to these meetings for over

12 years now because I can't come as much now, but I had to come tonight. I love this city and I hope you people do the right thing and I do think we need all of the money coming into this city we can get. doesn't matter how we get it, and I think, Mr. Gaughan, I don't think you are fighting for the people of this city because, and as I said, we could need all of the money coming into this city. For you to say we should delay the commuter -- a vote on the commuter tax until September that's taking money out of the city's pockets. I read where if it's passed now it could enacted by the last quarter of the year, if you wait until September probably couldn't be enacted until next year. We need every penny we can coming into this city and I think it's wrong to say that we should delay it. I don't think that's fighting for the people of this city.

Next thing, I was thrilled to see

that the unions they are willing to sit down

and possibly talk about opening up their

21

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

contract, because when Mayor Courtright was

running he was criticized for saying, oh, he is going to give you the unions everything that, you know, he is going to give whatever they want. This shows that the unions are willing to give back to the city before they even get their big Supreme Court award. So what does that tell us? I think -- I really applaud you for saying that. I mean, nothing is done yet, but at least they said they are willing to sit down and possibly open up their contracts. I give them all of the credit in the world.

Next thing, a couple of neighborhood things, I live in Tripp Park on Dorothy
Street, Mr. Rogan, I know you are familiar with it, where the playground is, where the ball fields are, it's right across the block, I don't know what block of Dorothy it is, it's right across from the basketball courts, there is about a half a block that there is pavement missing and the street on the side is lower, when it rains there is like a half -- it's almost like a river going six to seven feet out into the street, there is a storm drain there but the rest of

the road is so low it doesn't make to the storm drain and it sits there for days and days and days and, unfortunately, sometimes if you walking in that street cars go by and I'll get splashed that's why I walk on the other side if there is water there. So that's been like that, I don't remember, years and years. And I spoke to someone else who lives in Tripp Park, said he would take care of it, but he never did so -- -

MR. ROGAN: We'll look into it.

MR. SPINDLER: Mr. Gallagher is doing a great job, so I know he helped me out, hopefully we can look into that.

And lastly, on the corner of
Bullsworth and North Rebecca there is a
street light out, it's really, really dark
for about a week now, I know you used to be
able to call the number on the pole --

MR. ROGAN: If you could get the pole number if you call me or call the city council office we could enter that for you and get that taken care of the.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay. I think that's all I have tonight. I'll try to make it to

few more times, but enjoy your vacation and hope you do the right thing tonight. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Dave
Dobrzyn, resident, taxes and fees paid. We
are here tonight to discuss a lot of issues
and I'd like to make a mention, you took a
lot of heat for it, Obama froze federal
wages so as a result you don't have a
government that is going further into
deficit at least on wages.

Our current governor could have done something like that, and instead he has given guarantees to certain public worker unions that he will not harass us on the wage and he will keep them in mind when he is reelected.

I'd also like to mention think
tanks. They can be mercenaries. If you
want a right wing decision, get a right wing
think tank. If you want a middle of the
road decision, you get a left -- middle of
the road think tank, and if you want a left
wing decision get a left wing think tank.

3

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Very simple.

So now let's go to the Act 205. actually for Act 205 tax, however, we need it to call attention to in every day of our lives 33 percent of the city is tax exempt. It does a lot of things for the state, we have courthouses, we have hospitals, we have schools, and nobody is reimbursing us for So I would really love to see some day that would take this to court, to federal court, and force the state revenue share with us. I'm tired of it, I don't have the money to pay everybody else's bills, and I don't want to see the city, which I own two properties, wind up in the trash bin, and if you think people are hollering at you now as far as the residents, just raise their property tax 119 percent, you will hear some roaring. It's really ashame that it has to be done, but we also have 11 percent of residents that either are unable or -- and a few probably unwilling to just pay it. feel, "Oh, I'm going to dump that house and maybe an absentee landlord two or three years, so by the time they catch up to me it

will be -- it will be worth nothing anyway
to me so they can take the house and I have
my money out of it."

On authorities, I think I see something on Mr. Amoroso's on the trash authority. Well, we have had quite a few problems with authorities in the past on the if you lose control of them, what do we do when the trash authority decides we want nice and shiny new trash hauling trucks and we are going to buy them and we don't have to ask you. And, oh, by the way, we don't want a GMC trash truck we want a Mercedes trash truck, damn it.

I'm happy to see that speeders -- I heard yesterday that there was some nailed in South Side on Pittston Avenue, I'd like to see that some more because there are some cars zipping even up Mulberry Street at probably 40, 45, 50 miles an hour. The speed limit in Scranton is 25 miles an hour. I like to keep it at 20. I was down Spruce Street, 25 year old at least comes out in front of me with the skateboard down by the old Northeastern Bank there, I don't know

what they called it now, they change every week.

And on any sale of the Sewer
Authority, we are having tentatively on
August 19 at 6:30 we are having an expert on
the river in there and the implications of
privatizing the Sewer Authority, and I have
been studying around the state a little bit,
I just do studies, I'm no expert on
anything, I know a little bit about
everything, but some of the sewer rates
increased by 300 percent, so it's something
to consider. I mean, that can be taxes in
our coffers or it could be going for CEO
stock options and compensation.

And over the weekend or your holiday don't forget, outsourcing, transpacific pack. They keep pushing it and pushing it and pushing it and pushing it and we will lose twice as much business as we gain on the transpacific pack so, it's time to call your congressmen and just tell them to can it. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just please state your name for the stenographer.

MR. ALBERT: Surely. Good evening. My name is Lieutenant Colonel Joe Albert, US Army retired. I'm a resident of the City of Scranton, I work in the City of Scranton throughout Lackawanna County and other counties. I'd like to speak to you tonight in regard to the proposed commuter tax asked for the mayor, being considered by this council, as a solution to the pension deficit problem facing this distressed Class 2A citv. This is an extremely complex question that cannot and will not be solved by simply imposing a commuter tax on individuals who work in Scranton, but do not reside in Scranton. Gentlemen, that's simply called taxation without representation and should this council enact that ordinance I guarantee you somebody will fight it in Court on the simple basis of taxation without representation.

I strongly suggest that you consider tabling your motion until the state legislature finishes its business with Act

205. You lose nothing except a little bit of time. I question whether or not you have, in fact, or the mayor or his administration have examined alternatives. For example, former mayoral candidate, Attorney Jim Mulligan, wrote a letter in our one newspaper town today offering alternatives. Has anybody considered them? If you haven't read the letter, I suggest you do. If you have read the letter, I suggest you read it again. You lose nothing by tabling this question for another time. Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone one else who wishes to address council?

MR. STEPANOVICH: Hi. My name is
Angie Stepanovich. I travel to Scranton
every day from Harding and I have a feeling
that you guys have already made up your
minds about the commuter tax, so I'll make
it short. I would rather resign from my job
in Scranton than pay a tax to bail out the
city that has mishandled the taxpayers'
funds for years too many to count. Might I
remind you gentlemen that you are civil

servants and you are employees for the taxpayers of this great city, and I believe that they have told you what your next move should be. The buck must stop somewhere. Good day.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,

Council. Marie Schumacher, city resident and taxpayer. You know my feelings on 7-A, I think it's immoral. I would like to read, again, the first two paragraphs of the letter that was written or the article that was written by David Unkavitch, who was the first receiver of the City of Harrisburg. It's titled "A Critical First and Last Steps in a Municipal Work Out."

"The first critical step in a municipal work out, whether inside or outside of bankruptcy, is to get all parties, the debtor and the creditors, to accept that they will all have to incur significant losses as a result of the work out process. Secured creditors will do better than unsecured creditors, but taking

18

19

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

that into account, the losses need to be fair and proportionate and the municipal needs to come out of the other end of the process able to operate successfully into the future. Because the municipality is a public entity and a public subdivision of the state, the politics and the finances of the work out are intertwined. Creditors with the most political pull or with overwhelming financial strength, compared with the debtor and the other creditors, will try to exercise that pull or strength to avoid losses and to get a disproportionately better deal. If those powerful creditors are successful, the work out will not produce a good result to the municipality or the creditors."

I have provided copies of that article to you all prior to this time. And, Mr. McGoff, I just have to say to you, I'm very disappointed what you did last week, those comments you made, without identifying who the parties are because the personal impact is on me now is to trust nobody. Absolutely trust nobody.

Mr. Rogan, you have said you are against the August recess, but I just want to call your attention to the fact that you are able to have meetings in this chamber at any time you so choose. Judy Gatelli did it and you might want to pick some topics and do that and hear from the people.

Mr. Wechsler, a couple of things, I was appalled to find out tonight in the auditor's report that the trial balance for the year end 2013 has not yet been received by Rossi & Company. Why? What on earth? We have given the business administrator a big bump in salary, additional personnel, what in the world is the reason that trial balance is not finished? We are entering the eighth month. That is appalling.

And then the Single Tax Office report for the year-to-date is one of the third items, and I'd like to know how we are tracking to the budget to the cash flow on that, not just the comparison with year-to-date during motions, and then I would like to ask Mr. McGoff if the Third Order items as they are received will all be

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

listed in your first meeting in September or will they be available in the office? So if you could answer that in Fifth Order because I would like to get through the materials I brought.

Because I took the liberty of putting packages together for you all since you have got the whole month off, they come in three sections. The first is pensions, I have an article that I thought was excellent. Since most of you are public employees, I'm not sure you understand how the private pension system works, so I have something on the pension benefit guarantee corporation. What they do, includes the maximum monthly guarantee tables, and also a table going from 1975 through 2012, I believe, on what the average claim per vested employee and then what they are able to get, and then finally an article preparing from Boston College who has a center for retirement research stating that local plans are different from private plans that you might find of interest.

And then reassessment is the second

24

25

section and why we need reassessment. have provided one sheet that you can use as a worksheet. What I did was I took two months of court notes and I went into all of the deeds and I calculated -- I took all of the properties that were in there, I sorted them by municipality -- if I may finish, please? May I? Thank you. And calculated what the common level ratio is in actuality, so I hope you will do those calculations and I hope you will investigate what other people do around this country, specifically, Northern Virginia where market -- the reassessments are made every year based on actual market values, and then -- yes, and then the final section is the fire station. It's a proposal, it's also a candidate for the test of the Amoroso theory if they can see if they will invest. It describes the situation with the East Mountain fire station, which is in terrible disrepair, and I hope you will look at that, also, and give it your consideration. Thank you. will bring these up.

Also, when you are doing the

reassessment needs, I would also urge you to look at some of the tax -- the assessor's roles. There is one block in my neighborhood, all lots the same size and the land values differ significantly from 1,000 to 6,000 dollars and with the taxation the way it is with the land waste four times higher than plus, it's worth reading.

MR. MCGOFF: Is there anyone else who wishes to address council?

MS. CUMMINGS: Lori Cummings from Old Forge. Do you need my full address?

MR. MCGOFF: I'm sorry?

MS. CUMMINGS: Do you want my full address?

MR. MCGOFF: No, that's fine.

MS. CUMMINGS: I'm here against the commuter tax. I didn't realize that we can all speak at this meeting so I apologize. I just came up here after work and I didn't realize you were holding this meeting, but I saw it on the news. I'm just concerned that Scranton has reached a limit of where they need to stop and look at the real problem here and that is your unions, and you need

23

24

25

to negotiate with them before you do a commuter tax against all of the other citizens in Lackawanna County and anywhere else. So I'm completely against this. I'm surprised this room isn't packed and I'm a little bit shocked, but next time I'll make sure I do a better job getting more people here, but I hope that Scranton realizes they have to start looking at voting differently because, obviously, it's not just effecting Scranton, but now everybody is going to be effected by your vote tonight. I'm hoping that the next time the Republican party brings up a Republican that should have won this election that the people listen, so that's about all of I have to say and I hope you vote "no" on the commuter. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Anyone else who wishes to speak?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, would you be open to try to pass legislation that has that pension board expanding to outside our area? If you are going to ask people to pay up \$5 million they should have

representation on the pension board, so this is something you should do as a decent America. You should give them people representation on the pension board being that's where the money is going, but I know it's going to fall on deaf ears. But anyway, that's what should be done if you care about anything being fair. If you don't care about being fair, just pass the law and say that's to heck with you people. You come to Scranton, pay the money and be part like the stupid people of the city.

This is where we stand today. We are not moving forward, we are moving backwards. You've got to have at least fairness. They deserve, if you pass that bill, a commuter tax, they deserve representation on the pension board because you are asking them to pay. To sit there and not think about it is not right. It's your un-America to put it that way. This is the only representation you can give the people who are given that. The legislation that passed the law, they aren't coming to Scranton and say, "Put these people on the

board."

25

1

In fact, you should have never passed the law anyway, but that's our legislation. Our legislature in Harrisburg are a bad bunch. I don't know why they said Pennsylvania is the most fifth corrupt state, but it may be, I don't know. anyway, they should be given representation on the pension board if you so desire to pass the commuter tax. This is the only fair thing to do. America was built on fairness and we should try to be as fair as we can, I know it's a burden. It's a burden on anybody in the city especially if you ever walk -- because you are not going to be able to do much but probably raise our income tax and the commuter tax, but somewhere along the line you got to look --I told you a long time ago, you charge a fee for the garbage, you charge a fee for the sewer, why didn't you charge a fee for the fire protection and the police protection so everybody that lives in the city would have to pay. The nonprofits, too, but that fell on deaf ears a long time ago. Maybe it

23

24

25

cannot be done, maybe it could be done, but you should at least look into it. Somewhere along the line you got to spread the burden and you are not spreading the burden other than the poor people up the line with the commuter tax. Senator Blake had a good idea, he was going to take the emergency service tax. Notice I keep calling it the emergency service tax, because that's what it came out to be, it was only for an emergency, but now it's permanent like anything else that happens, but he was going to triple that and do away with the commuter tax and that was a good idea, because all they would be paying would be about 100 and some dollars instead of God knows what they are going to pay, depends on their salary.

I know I paid, I paid it because I love the city. I stayed in the city even though a lot of people said you are crazy, but I paid the tax. I was glad to pay it because I love the city. I grew up in the city and plan to be buried in the city, but you got to look at that, too. You got to look at everybody that's in the city and if

21

22

23

24

25

said I blame the people voting their party and their name. Jackowitz said that. in a way he was right, too, it's the people that we elected, but by voting our party and our name, we put us on the path where we are. If we had looked at people, like you are a Republican, I liked Brian Reap. agreed with a lot of things he said when he was up there, it's just too bad that happened, but at least he tried, but they didn't want him because he was trying too hard and this is where we started to go down the slope back then. I'm sorry we are at that point. I'm really sorry the people of Scranton are the way they are, but it's something that we got to work through. don't know how, by taxing a lot of the businesses it's not fair. I know you are going to come in with -- okay. Bye. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you,

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you Mr. Sbaraglia. Anyone else?

MR. KAVULICH: Thank you. I'm representative Sid Michaels Kavulich. I represent the 114th District in the State

you don't you are going to be in trouble.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

House of Representatives, and like you I came here tonight to hear what the mayor and some representatives of the city had to say, and I appreciate your questions and also your time and your patience in listening to what they had to say.

And again, I came here to hear what had to be said and I heard the mayor say that the unions were willing to talk and they were willing to work with the administration. Now, under Act 47 the city is required to exhaust all other avenues before imposing so-called commuter tax, and I'm wondering if the fact that the unions are willing to talk to the administration, whether or not that means that the city has not exhausted all of those avenues before imposing this tax. And, also, as a state representative, I am concerned about those residents who live outside of the City of Scranton and in particular the residents of the 114th district which I represent, and I am wondering and I am investigating now, my staff and I in Harrisburg, whether or not the stipulations of Act 47 would supercede

the stipulations of Act 205. So again, I don't have the answers at this particular time, but I am investigating that and looking into that because, again, I hear from my constituents each and every day and it is overwhelming that they do not want to pay this commuter tax, and I realize that the City of Scranton needs to do something to get out of this distressed status, but I do once again hope that it is not at the expense of the people that work in the City of Scranton and reside outside of the city and in particular, my district.

And again, I thank you for the time and I thank you for the patience and I thank you for you listening to also not only Mayor Courtright and his representatives, but also the citizens that are here today speaking.

Thank you very much.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Representative Kavulich.

Anyone else who wishes to speak?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.

MOTIONS.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you,

Mr. McGoff. First tonight I would like to congratulate Councilman Evans on taking his seat tonight. I'm looking forward to working with Wayne. I have worked with him on a lot of neighborhood projects and community projects in the past and he is quite capable and I look for great things from him.

I'd also like to congratulate Chief
DeSarno for becoming the permanent fire
chief of Scranton, and I also have worked
with Chief DeSarno this year on many
different community projects and he is also
doing a fine job.

I'd like to touch a little bit on the Act 47, as if we haven't done enough of it already. We heard a lot tonight about speculation. The problem with speculation is it's not us speculating. The state is speculating. The state is speculating. The state is speculating as to whether they are going to pass the Act 47 LST tax. The Act 205 tax is on the books, it's a tool available to the city, and it would be irresponsible for us not to take

advantage of that at this time. But in 2014 if there is a chance that this tax could be implemented and starting to be collected in October, it could lead to maybe a million dollars for the city to come in. It would be irresponsible for us not to pursue that.

Representative Kavulich almost made a point that I spoke of a few weeks ago about this tax, the state did not care about what was happening in Scranton in terms of the Act 205 until we introduced it and started talking about it. Finally now they have some input from Senator Eikelberger who is concerned about Scranton implementing a tax all of a sudden. Our representatives have been working to get that Act 47 tax passed for years, and finally now maybe the fact that we are putting some pressure on them by passing Act 205 is having the intended result of getting them to move along.

Looking at this, I can understand the questions about the taxes without representation. There are over 40 municipalities in the state that already

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have this tax. This is not a tax that's been invented for Scranton, there are communities collecting this tax successfully and there has been argument against taxation without representation and the tax was collected.

And I agree with Mr. Mulligan's article in the paper today. That would be great, but right now this is on the table. We are not going to collect \$8 million in garbage taxes by the end of December. We are not going to get these delinquent properties sold. This is on the table tonight. This is something that the city can begin as part of a plan. impossible for the entire plan to be presented at one time. It's impossible. There is too many working parts. This all has to be put together so we can start preparing a budget for 2014 -- I'm sorry, 2015, 2016 and 2017 just as we looked at Mr. Amoroso's plan.

So tonight I will be voting to move this along, and then that does not mean the job is finished, we do have to consider if

the state passes that Act 47. If it passes in time that we can use it as a tax in 2015, maybe Act 205 does get repealed. I don't know if everyone who realizes it when they talk about Act 47 that's also going to put a burden on the commuters that come into the city. There is no way the commuters are not going to pay some increased tax to the City of Scranton. Either they are going to be through Act 47 or it's going to be through Act 205, that's going to happen.

And the one last thing I would like to discuss tonight, and I really don't want to talk about it again, but it was mentioned a few times about the summer vacation that we are going on. I took office in January, the council reorganized and it was decided that we would take August off as a break. As such, I have not missed one meeting, I have not missed one caucus. I have scheduled my time off with my family toward-- two-thirds is at the end of the August. Because we are not here does not mean that we are not being councilmen. My calendar next week already has three things

I have to attend to in the evenings during the week. The fact that we are not here at this time to prepare for some legislation that's go to be coming for us in the fall, we have a lot of things that we are going to get started on again. By the time we get back it will be time to start talking about the snow removal plan for this winter.

So there is things that we have to get together on and discuss, and I will be out all the time during the recess. I see citizens all the time, so we are family people, we do have scheduled time off, I scheduled my time off during the break and that's my responsibility to be here when I am supposed to be here, and I will be off two Thursdays, if anything comes up on the other Thursdays I will be available. And that's all I have, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Yes, thank you. Just two housekeeping items to begin. I'd like to also welcome Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I look forward to

2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

working with you and definitely will be leaning on you for expertise in the real estate issues that will be coming up.

And secondly, I would like to apologize to Mr. Gaughan for my choice of words with my -- the statement that I made during the caucus. That certainly wasn't my intent. My frustration during this entire process, and Mr. Wechsler touched on this as well, is much of the questioning from -- and especially from the media regarding this issue hasn't been on the current state of the law. As I mentioned before, there is a difference between a proposal, a bill, and a The law as it currently stands in the State of Pennsylvania Act 205 tax can be implemented, and that's why it's being explored by the city.

I appreciate the input from
Representative Kavulich. We agree many
times and this time, unfortunately, we are
on other sides, but, you know, I understand
you are here to fight for the people of your
district and the same for the mayor of the
Clarks Summit who was here last week, and we

certainly appreciate those thoughts from outside of the city, but at the end of the day city council, the mayor, the city controller have to look out for the best interests of the residents of Scranton and at this time this is one tool the state has given to us to help shore up our pension problem.

Whether the state decides six months from now, two years from now or ever to make changes, at that point in time all that means is more work for city council and the mayor to adjust to how the state has changed and we are certainly up for that tax, but as the law currently stands the City of Scranton, number one, can legally implement the 205 tax. That's why you haven't seen the challenges that happen two years ago when the city was considering implementing the Act 47 recovery -- Act 47 commuter tax, that's number one.

And number two, which was very important in me decision and to me, is the deciding issue that as the law currently states, currently stands, city residents

will not pay an additional cent of tax because of this vote. City residents will actually pay less tax because of this vote.

If council and the administration fail to act in shoring up our pensions, we are either going to wind up in receivership or bankruptcy, and neither of those is a good option for the residents of Scranton because when a receiver comes in the first thing they are going to do is they are going to raise your taxes and they are going to implement a 205 tax, and they will implement it and they will also increase the wage tax because the receiver wouldn't be a resident of Scranton like the five of us are on this board who lives here and understands what's going on. That's who we have to look out for.

I understand that the state senator from Archbald, Mr. Blake, is against the city doing this. The state senator from Archbald is not the state senator from Scranton. Scranton, as anyone who in Lackawanna County knows, has much different issues than the surrounding areas, and at

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this time the only proposal that has been brought up by anyone to help shore up the pensions is the 205 tax.

I also agree with comments that have been made for new employees that there needs to be major concessions. The idea of a 401-K has been floated around, and I think that's something that should be explored for new hires, but in order for a 401-K to be even considered for new hires you have to replace that revenue that you will be losing by employees contributing into the pension It's not just like social security, it's the same system where you are a current workers paying for retired workers. what it amounts to, and when you take out that stream of income you have to replace it. If the city was not willing to pay the unions what's owed from pensions, we would be sued and we would lose in Court just like we have last time. The issue has to be addressed. It's not something that it's simply not paying.

I don't want to pay my mortgage at the end of every month but I have to. If we

24

25

don't the bank is going to come and take my property. The city doesn't want to pay these pensions but we have to. It's an obligation that's been made. If we don't do it, we wind up in Bankruptcy Court or with a receiver and that means more taxes for the There have not been any residents. alternatives brought up to shore up the pension fund outside of this tax. If this tax on commuters doesn't pass, what is going to happen is your taxes will go up more than they are already going to because of the whole that's been dug for the last four That's why I support this tax. took awhile for me to come to terms with it, but thanks to Attorney Minora for helping educate us, Solicitor Shrive as well I have spoken to numerous times over the last few weeks about this issue, it is the best way for the city to shore up the pension fund without overtaxing our already overtaxed residents. One of the speakers brought up an article by a former mayoral candidate and he mentioned some very good points in that article, and they need to be done in

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addition to this tax. The city budget outside of the pensions is strapped. We need to do those items to shore up our budget without passing tax increases along to our residents. Because of those reasons, because it doesn't raise taxes on the residents of Scranton who elected us, I fully support the 205 tax, it will pass tonight, it will become law, and I'm confident that it will help shore up our pensions, and once they are shored up then we have a few other big issues to address, including the Court award, concessions from unions, which hopefully will take place. know the unions have come out and said that they are willing to work with the city, which is certainly a big step forward from the last 12 years when labor relations in the city were completely non-existent because we had a mayor that refused to negotiate.

So because of all of those reasons, and I don't want to keep belaboring the point, but the bottom line for me and the reason why I'm supporting this tax is

2

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because it will generate revenue for the city without taxing city residents a dime.

Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Thank you. First of all, I'd like to say that I'm honored, humbled and excited to be part of the Scranton City Council so I thank you for your kind comments last week and tonight.

Act 205. I'm a supporter of the Amoroso plan, I think there is no doubt about that and I also support Act 205. However, my decision and my comments that my support of Act 205 is contingent on pension reform. The pension currently is a disaster. You know, we are category three. When I look at the Amoroso proposal one of the most frightening things I saw that expenditures increased since 2008 by 261 percent with the Bureau of Fire and 451 percent in the Bureau of Police. The appropriations, the city's minimum municipal obligation or the MMO will have grown by 290 percent by 2016. That's clearly unsustainable so I agree we need this

22

23

24

25

infusion of the money. My disappointment tonight was I felt that I really wanted a commitment by the administration to make pension changes now. I feel like Act 205 calls for mandatory, I think the word is remedies, of the plan and I think that we can do it now. Take first time hires and immediately put them into a 401-K or a 457 plan which is what is out there for municipal employees. That is something that could be done with a stroke of the pen and that's something that I will be more -that's mine feeling after reading through the plan.

There is a commitment to obviously make changes with the pension, but with the mayor and the administration and sounds like the unions are agreeable to that, but I just feel that currently, and again, let me touch on this a little bit, I agree with Mayor Courtright in the sense that blaming Act 205 to reopen the contracts may be a mistake because it will take too long to reopen contracts and negotiate all of the terms of the contract. However, open contract for

pension changes only where it would establish a defined benefit for new hires would not. It's quite simple, I feel like Act 205 should equal pension reform. That's my own -- I'll make further comments, you know, as we move to Seventh Order, but that's my comments for now on 205.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.

First, I'd like to congratulate Mr. Evans on his appointment and look forward to working with him and wish him the best of luck.

The residents of Oak Avenue in Minooka would like to thank the DPW for patching some pretty big potholes on their street, it was much appreciated.

Last week I mentioned that a resident had contact with me regarding businesses on Main Avenue in West Scranton they were putting objects on the sidewalks making foot traffic difficult at times.

Chief Graziano responded to council that a patrolman would be out to ensure this is taken care of and they are going to checkup

on the matter frequently so I thank them for that.

Residents in Pinebrook had called to complain about a nuisance property on Ward Street. The LIPS Department sent an inspector out to the property and they are going to work in conjunction with the DPW to get that issue taken care of.

Council has made a second request to have Joyce Electrical fix a broken street light on Kester Court that neighbors have been concerned about for quite some time.

I'd like to give an update on the Rockwell Avenue bridge probable cause ledge. As scheduled by PennDOT, the anticipated notice of receive the construction date is August 20, 2014. Everything is currently moving to that date without issues.

The street sweeper is scheduled to be in the North Scranton area next week from Sprint Street to Oak Street including all avenues and streets.

Before we recess for the month of August, I'd just like to say what a great job our office staff has done. Mrs. Reed,

as city clerk, has done an outstanding job
these last seven months along with our
secretaries, Kathy Carrera and Jamie
Marciano, and Attorney Amil Minora. Their
job is not easy and always hectic, but they
made our transition smooth and I appreciate
that very much.

Finally, I'd like to make a motion that we table Agenda Item 7-A.

MR. MCGOFF: There's a motion, is there a second? Motion dies for lack of a second.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. And I'll reserve the rest of my comments for agenda Item 7-A. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. A few things, some people mentioned the audit and the status of the audit. There was a meeting today with the auditor and the employees of the Business Administrator's Office and what they attempted to do was to delineate the plan for preparing the audit. The additional help that was mentioned prior has not materialized. Due to illnesses and other things the BA's Office has been

understaffed, and while that is an explanation it may not be an excuse. They do need to, and they understand that the -- excuse me, the audit needs to be completed as expeditiously as possible, and so what they did today was they set down and kind of defined roles for preparing the audit and the auditor and the business administrator are hopeful that this plan will get the audit completed perhaps not as timely as we might want, but certainly needs to be done before the end of the calendar year.

Somebody mentioned a public safety fee and that we should investigate it, that has been investigated in the past and what we found was that a public safety fee while you can impose it on people living in the city, it cannot be imposed upon anyone in a tax exempt entity, and so the idea of a public safety fee, you know, to include educational institutions and so on just isn't feasible.

As far as the Act 205 is concerned, I'm not going to reiterate what was already said. As far as I'm concerned, I supported

25

the commuter tax back when it was introduced earlier, two or three years ago or whatever I believe that what we are doing is we did not pass Act 205, as I stated last That is something that the state representatives voted upon and enacted. are simply implementing a tool that is being used by over 40 municipalities throughout the State of Pennsylvania, Commonwealth of This is not something new, it Pennsylvania. is simply something that is available to us and that we can use as needed. And as Mr. Wechsler said, I think it's significant to note that no matter which piece of legislation becomes applicable, whether it's Act 47 or Act 205, that commuters in the City of Scranton, people working in the City of Scranton are going to pay one or the other it would appear, and also as part of the Amoroso plan or now the mayor's plan for fiscal recovery, there are other parts to this plan and one of the things that was part of the plan was a real estate tax increase that would be felt by the citizens of Scranton, so the idea that the citizens

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Scranton are not going to be taxed any further is really not true. It's simply that, as Mr. Rogan said as the law states now Act 205 residents would not be taxed. That doesn't mean that as we move forward into 2015 that in the budget there would not be a tax increase on residents of the City of Scranton.

There are many stakeholders in this city, be they residents, commuters, union members, whatever, and in order to bring the city back to fiscal responsibility all of the stakeholders in the city will probably need to sacrifice in some way. I think that that's a reality that cannot be escaped, and as we move forward there will probably be other parts of this plan, this recovery plan that will be met with opposition by one group or another, but it is our responsibility to bring the city to fiscal responsibility as soon as we can and my belief that this is, Act 205, is the initial step in implementing that plan. And that's all. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION- A

1	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF PATRICK DESARNO,
2	606 HAMPTON STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA,
3	18504 TO THE PERMANENT POSITION OF
4	SUPERINTENDENT OF FIRE FOR THE SCRANTON FIRE
5	DEPARTMENT EFFECTIVE JULY 26, 2014.
6	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. EVANS: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A.
21	READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
22	39, 2014 – AN ORDINANCE – AUTHORIZING THE
23	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
24	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT
25	AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND

1	LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY VARIOUS
2	PROPERTIES ALONG NAY AUG AVENUE.
3	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by
4	title of Item 6-A, what is your pleasure?
5	MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-A
6	pass reading by title.
7	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
8	MS. MCGOFF: On the question? All
9	those in favor signify by saying aye.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
11	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
12	MR. EVANS: Aye.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
15	ayes have it and so moved.
16	MS. REED: 6-B. READING BY TITLE -
17	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 40, 2014 - AN
18	ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER
19	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
20	ENTER INTO AN EASEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
21	CITY OF SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE
22	VALLEY AUTHORITY FOR A SECTION OF THE
23	LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL SITUATE IN
24	SOUTH SCRANTON.
25	MR. MCGOFF: You've heard reading by

1 title of Item 6-B, what is your pleasure? 2 MR. ROGAN: I move that Item 6-B 3 pass reading by title. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Second. MS. MCGOFF: On the question? 5 A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 9 MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 11 MR. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 12 ayes have it and so moved. 13 MR. WECHSLER: I make a motion to 14 suspend the rules to move Item 6-A and 6-B 15 to Seventh Order for final passage. 16 MR. ROGAN: Second. 17 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? A11 18 those in favor of suspending the rules and moving item 6-A and 6-B into Seventh Order 19 20 for final consideration signify by saying 21 aye. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 23 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 24 MR. EVANS: Aye. 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 36, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE REVENUE FUNDING FOR THE SEVERELY DISTRESSED PENSION(S) OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY ADOPTING AND IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL SEVENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (.75%) OF A PERCENT TAX UPON EARNED INCOME RECEIVED AND NET PROFITS EARNED BY NON-RESIDENTS FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED, BUSINESS CONDUCTED AND INCOME EARNED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON. REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTING AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; SAID REVENUE TO BE SPECIFICALLY RESTRICTED TO FUNDING OF THE SEVER LY DISTRESSED PENSION(S) OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, UNDER AND PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL PENSION PLAN FUNDING STANDARD AND RECOVERY ACT, ACT 205 OF DECEMBER 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, NO. 205),

1 AND ITS AMENDMENTS, SPECIFICALLY 53 PA. C.S.A. §895.101 ET SEQ. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED 2 3 T0 GENERATE APPROXIMATELY FIVE-MILLION 4 5 (\$5,000,000.00) DOLLARS IN ANNUAL REVENUE. MR. MCGOFF: What is the 6 recommendation of the Chair for the 7 8 Committee on Finance? 9 MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for 10 the Committee on Finance, I recommend final 11 passage of Item 7-A. 12 MR. ROGAN: Second. 13 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 14 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. I again made a motion tonight to table Item 15 16 7-A, the final reading of the Act 205 17 legislation. For the past two weeks I have 18 voiced my concerns and opposition to this 19 legislation. I will reiterate the reasons 20 why I oppose this legislation. 21 First, I believe voting on this 22 legislation is completely premature and at 23 this point grossly irresponsible. City 24 council should not consider a tax increase 25 in the absence of Mayor Courtright's 2015

budget proposal. Every budget, as we know, has many moving pieces and these important components should not be presented piecemeal to city council.

Second, as I stated last week, I believe it's only fair that city council knows what concessions and savings the unions agree to before we consider any additional tax burdens for residents or people who are within the city. Mayor Courtright made it very clear that he accepted Mr. Amoroso's recommendations regarding renegotiating certain provisions in the collective bargaining agreements. Mayor Courtright also agreed with Mr. Amoroso's conclusion that the real drivers of Scranton's structural deficit are pension obligations and personnel costs.

The proposed Act 205 tax along with the LST tax, property tax increases and assets sales are all extreme measures that only plug holes in the budget.

It only makes sense that the mayor address the union concessions first so that we may have meaningful change and no what

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

savings can be found.

Third, as I explained in the past two weeks, the general assembly is likely to pass Act 47 legislation which will require that any tax levied against commuters must also been levied against residents in an equal or greater rate. In other words, an increase in the non-resident wage tax will require a match in the resident tax. City residents will face a 33 percent increase in their wage tax which would be crippling.

Mr. Rogan stated last week that the city could just lower the wage tax and then raise it again essentially avoiding the 33 percent increase. This is not possibly. The Act 205 tax is not a separate tax. It's an adjustment to the earned income tax. Section II of Act 511, the Local Tax Enabling Act, lists 13 type of taxes that can be levied locally. A pension tax, a commuter tax, no where is Act 205 is mentioned as a separate tax, therefore, we can't lower the resident wage tax and raise it within the same budget year, which if that's the route they were going to go poses

1 ||

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a major problem.

Mr. Rogan also stated last week that 40 municipalities use the Act 205 tax. This is also untrue. Only 14 municipalities in the Pennsylvania have levied the Act 205 tax. Not one of these 14 municipalities have levied the tax solely on commuters. They have levied against both commuters and residents.

As we see tonight from the public caucus that was held, there are numerous questions about the implementation of the tax and about revenue projections. Now, the topic about unions has come up in the last two weeks. I am not against unions whatsoever. I have nothing against unions. My grandfather with a city policeman who started under Mayor Hanlon and served honorably for 20 years. My family been involved in unions for I think about over 100 years before Lackawanna County was even Lackawanna County when they came right off of the boat as many of you ancestors have, but I believe in fairness. I believe in fairness. It is not fair to tax commuters

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or residents and ask them to pay for a pension when we have not made true reform to that pension and we have not had those negotiations with the unions. That's only fair. I don't want to see anybody lose their job, I don't want to see anybody that would be hurt by this, but I can't in good conscience vote on what I have in front of me when we haven't done those things first.

This is not the plan. This is a sliver, this is a part, it's a component of I think we should look at the plan. everything with the context of a budget. Tο me that only makes sense. I have done my homework on this, I have spoken to Senator Blake. I have spoken to Senator Eikelberger. As to my colleagues saying that, you know, as the law currently stands that we can do this, I think as councilmen we should look into the future. There is a very good chance that Act 47 could have an affect on how we levy this tax. So, I mean, what are we supposed to do, just pull the wool over our eyes and pretend it's not there or might not happen? That's a

legitimate concern.

So in closing, I honestly can't think of any reason to vote "yes" for this tax. I haven't heard a good reason yet as to why the administration is in such a rush to impose this tax. We haven't had the benefit of reviewing this tax within the context of a full budget proposal from Mayor Courtright. The administration has not secured much needed concessions from the unions, and there is pending legislation in Harrisburg that will effect how this tax is levied. Voting "yes" for this tax is not I believe in the best interest of this time of the City of Scranton.

I realize that our pension system is severely distressed, however, it makes no sense to ask other people to pay for it until we are sure that we have taken the necessary steps to effect true and meaningful long-term change. So for those reasons that I have given tonight and in the last two weeks my final vote will once again be "no." Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else?

MR. EVANS: A couple of comments, having stated before I support the Amoroso plan, now the mayor's plan. This is a component of that plan. I'm disappointed that pension reform was not addressed prior to this vote, however, some times you have to take a leap of faith and hope, hope it will get done. I expect though, and I will absolutely ask for this remedy to be a 401-K or 457 for new hires. That should be the minimum that we should expect on Act 205. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: I'd just like to address one item regarding, you know, what Mr.

Gaughan said regarding looking to the future of what the state does. The City of Scranton cannot wait on the State of Pennsylvania. We have for many, many years. Act 47 has been the biggest disaster the City of Scranton has ever had. Because of previous administration looking into what --how they thought the Court would rule, it costs us over \$20 million in court settlements. It would be nice if we could have clarity from the state on what reforms

2

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they will push, but we don't have that.

It's an election year for the governor, it's an election year for our state reps and state senators, they are obviously not looking to go out on a limb for the City of Scranton, they haven't in the past. My vote is we have to look out for our own here in the city. This will help shore up the pension funds without taxing the residents, so I will vote "yes."

MR. GAUGHAN: I just want to make one additional comment, I am looking out for the residents of the City of Scranton. cannot turn a blind eye to legislation that is most likely going to pass in Harrisburg. I have talked to Senator Blake, who is the ranking Democrat on the local government committee, I have talked to Senator Eikelberger who proposed that legislation and every indication when the general assembly reconvenes in September is that this will pass, so why not wait. What is the rush to do this at this point? been my question from the beginning, why not wait? And to say that we shouldn't look

into the future, to me that makes no sense. We have got to be responsible before we ask other people to pay a tax we got to make sure that we are responsible and we have realized what savings are going on in the City of Scranton. That's fairness to me.

Now, I know when we sit up here we are going to have to make tough decisions, I understand that. I will not sit up here and be the naysayer and say no to everything that Mayor Courtright presents. That is not how I intend to be a city councilman, but what is presented in front of me, the questions that I have asked tonight that I don't feel were answered in the slightest makes me very concerned. So again, that is why I am voting "no."

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Just a brief comment, I do believe that the city needs to be proactive. We have been reactive for a long period of time. The newspapers, citizens, everyone has asked what are you doing? This is what we are doing. This is the first step in a plan, in a coordinated plan, to bring the city to

fiscal responsibility. We cannot wait for 1 2 the state to act. Honestly, I don't believe 3 the state has the very good track record when it comes to the City of Scranton and if 4 5 we wait for their help it may never occur. 6 I think that what we need to do is, as I 7 said, be proactive, start to implement the 8 plan and move forward. Yes, that's looking 9 into the future, implement a plan that will 10 bring us to future fiscal responsibility. 11 Anyone else? Roll call, please? 12 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 14 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. MR. ROGAN: Yes. 15 16 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 17 18 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: No. 20 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 21 22 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. 23 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 24 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION - FILE

OF THE COUNCIL NO. 37, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE

25

Ro11

OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON ADOPTING THE 2009 1 EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY 2 MAINTENANCE CODE, REGULATING AND GOVERNING 3 THE CONDITIONS AND MAINTENANCE OF ALL 4 PROPERTY, BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES, BY 5 PROVIDING THE STANDARDS FOR SUPPLIED 6 UTILITIES AND FACILITIES AND OTHER PHYSICAL 7 8 THINGS AND CONDITIONS ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE 9 THAT STRUCTURES ARE SAFE. SANITARY AND FIT FOR OCCUPATION AND USE; AND THE CONDEMNATION 10 OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES UNFIT FOR HUMAN 11 12 OCCUPANCY AND USE, AND THE DEMOLITION OF SUCH EXISTING STRUCTURES IN THE CITY OF 13 14 SCRANTON: PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND COLLECTION OF FEES THEREFOR: 15 REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 2, 2000 OF THE CITY 16 17 OF SCRANTON AND ALL OTHER ORDINANCES AND PARTS OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT THEREWITH. 18 19 MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the 20 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 21 passage of Item 7-B. 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? 24 call, please? MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. 25

96
MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
MR. EVANS: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 38, 2014 - CREATING
AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
02.229611 ENTITLED "TEAMSTERS LOCAL #229
REPUBLIC PARKING EMPLOYEES HEALTHCARE
CONTRIBUTIONS" FOR THE RECEIPT AND
DISBURSEMENT OF THOSE FUNDS RECEIVED UNDER
THE CURRENT COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT.
MR. MCGOFF: What is the
recommendation of the Chair for the
Committee on Finance?
MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-C.

1 MR. ROGAN: Second. 2 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Ro11 3 call, please? 4 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: Yes. 5 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan. 6 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 7 8 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans. 9 MR. EVANS: Yes. 10 MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff. 12 MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare 13 14 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION 15 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION 16 17 -RESOLUTION NO. 69, 2014 - RE-APPOINTING 18 STEVEN KOCHIS, 531 HICKORY STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE 19 BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS FOR THE CITY OF 20 21 SCRANTON. MR. KOCHIS'S TERM EXPIRED ON JULY 22 16, 2014 AND HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE 23 ON JULY 15, 2019. MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the 24 25 Committee on Rules, I recommend final

	9
1	passage of Item 7-D.
2	MR. ROGAN: Second.
3	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
4	call, please?
5	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
7	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
8	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
10	MR. EVANS: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
14	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
15	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
16	MS. REED: 7-E, FORMERLY 6-A - FOR
17	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
18	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE
19	COUNCIL NO. 39, 2014 - AN ORDINANCE -
20	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
21	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN
22	EASEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
23	SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
24	VARIOUS PROPERTIES ALONG NAY AUG AVENUE.
25	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
	II

1	recommendation of the Chair for the
2	Committee on Finance?
3	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Community Development, I
5	recommend final passage of Item 7-E.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
7	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
8	call, please?
9	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
10	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
11	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
12	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
13	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
14	MR. EVANS: Yes.
15	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
17	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
18	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
19	Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted.
20	MS. REED: 7-F, FORMERLY 6-B, FOR
21	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY
22	DEVELOPMENT - FOR ADOPTION - AN ORDINANCE -
23	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
24	CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN
25	EASEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
	II

	100
1	SCRANTON AND LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
2	AUTHORITY FOR A SECTION OF THE LACKAWANNA
3	RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL SITUATE IN SOUTH
4	SCRANTON.
5	MR. MCGOFF: What is the
6	recommendation of the Chair for the
7	Committee on Community Development?
8	MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Community Development, I
10	recommend final passage of Item 7-F.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
13	call, please?
14	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Wechsler.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
16	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Rogan.
17	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
18	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Evans.
19	MR. EVANS: Yes.
20	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
22	MS. MARCIANO: Mr. McGoff.
23	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
25	Prior to adjournment, I would like

_	
	101
1	to announce that the next scheduled meeting
2	for city council will be held September 4.
3	If there is no further business?
4	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
5	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting adjourned.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER