	1
1	
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	
4	
5	
6	HELD:
7	
8	Thursday, July 17, 2014
9	
10	LOCATION:
11	Council Chambers
12	Scranton City Hall
13	340 North Washington Avenue
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT (Not present)

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1 (Pledge of Allegiance recited and moment of reflection observed.) 2 3 MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please. 4 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. MR. WECHSLER: 5 Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Here. 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. 10 MR. ROGAN: Mr. McGoff was unable to 11 12 attend tonight's meeting due to a procedure 13 he had, an unplanned procedure he had to 14 He is doing well and he did have done. phone into our office so we looking forward 15 16 to his return next week. 17 I would like to make a motion to 18 appoint Councilman Gaughan as temporary 19 chair for the Committee on Public Safety. MR. WECHSLER: 20 Second. 21 MR. ROGAN: On the question? A11 those in favor signify by saying aye. 22 23 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 24 Mr. GAUGHAN: Aye. 25 MR. ROGAN: Aye. The ayes have it

1 and so moved. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: I make a motion to 3 appoint Council Rogan temporary chair for 4 the Committee on Rules. MR. WECHSLER: Second. 5 MR. ROGAN: On the question? All 6 those in favor signify by saying aye. 7 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 Mr. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. The ayes have it 11 and so moved. Please dispense with the 12 reading of the minutes. THIRD ORDER. 3-A. AUDIT 13 MS. REED: 14 STATUS RECEIVED FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. ON JULY 10. 2014. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 17 If not, received and filed. 18 MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF SCRANTON 19 HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD JUNE 2, 2014. 20 21 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 22 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-C. TAX ASSESSOR'S 23 24 REPORT FOR THE HEARING DATE TO BE HELD JULY 25 23. 2014.

1 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. 2 3 MS. REED: 3-D. BREAKDOWN OF THE ELIGIBLE SALARIES FOR THE LIQUID FUELS 4 ACCOUNT FOR THE MONTHS OF APRIL, MAY, AND 5 JUNE, 2014. 6 7 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 8 If not, received and filed. 9 Do any council members have 10 announcements to make? MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I have. Jeff and 11 Traci Reese and their three children lost 12 13 their home to fire on May 20. There will be 14 a spaghetti dinner to benefit the Reese family at the Villa Maria at 1610 Washburn 15 16 Street in Scranton on the July 20 from 5 to 17 Tickets will be available at the 8 p.m. 18 door for \$10. The donations of items to be 19 raffled are being accepted along with 20 monetary donations to help the family. 21 make a donation or a purchase tickets in 22 advance contact Kevin at 570-351-1762. 23 That's all I have. Thank you. 24 MR. ROGAN: There be will a 25 fundraiser for the family of former DPW

employee Dick Slocum, who just passed this week, at the Farr Street Tavern this Saturday from 6 to 9 p.m.

First speaker is Paul Kaymar.

MR. KAYMAR: Good evening.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. KAYMAR: My name is Paul Kaymar, I'm a nonresident of Scranton, a resident of Duryea, Pennsylvania, I want to talk briefly about my opposition to the commuter tax that's being discussed and may be introduced as an ordinance. I don't have a lot of prepared remarks, but this is from the heart.

I'm here before the council here today to talk about what you are discussing as far as the commuter tax, a tax that I personally believe and strongly believe is basically imposing tax without our consent. As a non-resident of the City of Scranton we have no vote and we have no voice except for today, and I want to thank city council for suspending their -- the Rules of Council's chamber to let non-residents speak. But my concern is what happens to our voice after

tonight or after if this ordinance is ever passed? Again, as a non-resident there is no ability to vote. There is no ability or a voice to say whether it's right or wrong. You are asking us to pay for pension deficits that have been around since at least the 1980's, at that time at least the late 1980's, and is consistently resulted in a distressed city and the distressed pension.

Imposing taxes without our consent is a fundamental violation of American principles. It's what our country was founded on. We just celebrated our Independence Day, July 4, based on freedom and the ability to say yes or no to our representatives and to say yes or no to taxes. Every tax I pay, whether it's real estate or income tax or school tax comes with a cost to government and that cost to government is a right to vote or a voice.

If you impose this tax on us, that's fine, that's up to you, that's your decision, but don't take away my right to say yes or no to what's decided in the

pension boards or on city council. If you are going to take money out of my paycheck and take money out of my family's funds, I would like or at least have some type of fair and equitable representation on the pension board at a minimum because if we are helping pay for the pension, we should be participants in that, just like any other city resident has that same right. I'm asking for that right as well if you do, in fact, pass this commuter tax.

This is not about the City of Scranton's finance, it's about fundamental American principles about no taxation without representation. This was challenged in County Court and lost in the past, and I think it will challenged again and lost in the future because what we just did was pledge to the flag of the United States of America and it represents something. As one of largest cities in this state you can take a stand and say, hey, we understand what America is all about and what we stand on and what our principles are.

So I ask kindly that you consider

not passing this commuter tax for non-residents since we do not have a right to vote or a right to fair and equal representation. Thank you for your time.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: The next speaker is Gerard Hetman.

MR. HETMAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. HETMAN: Gerard Hetman,

Lackawanna County's Community Relations
Department. To begin this evening, the
Lackawanna County Department of Arts and
Culture has their 2015 Community Arts and
Culture Grant Program now open for
applications. Community Arts and Culture
Grants are grants that can be applied for in
the amounts of up to \$3,000, not exceeding
\$3,000, to be used by individual artists as
well as community groups and other art based
groups such as cultural society or possibly
a band or music group to produce arts and
culture programming in Lackawanna County in
the 2015 calendar year. The deadline to
apply for the grant is Friday, October 10,

and I will leave a sample copy of the grant application and handbook with Ms. Reed for reference in the council office if anyone should be asked about the grants or which to inquire more about them.

And, of course, the applications as well as handbook are available on the Lackawanna County website at LackawannaCounty.org. It's a program that we see a broad range of applicants for from musicians, which do community concert series, up to individual artists doing community art projects, murals, sculptures, as well to produce art exhibits and other programming related to arts and culture. No limit increase with these applications certainly so everyone is welcome to apply.

Second, three upcoming community events related to the Arts and Culture and Parks and Rec Department. The first two will be taking place at McDade Park. The Art in the Park date for McDade will be on Tuesday, July 29, 10 a.m. to noon in the first pavilion at McDade Park. It's a free day of arts and cultural programming in the

morning for children and accompanying adults. We do this at each of the four county parks. It was very popular. We have free refreshments. It's a great date out for kids. If the grandparents have children for the day or something to do with the kids outside of the school everyone is more than welcome.

And then, also, McDade will host it's family fun day date on Sunday, August 10 from 11 a.m. to 3 p.m. It's kind of an open house at the park, a chance for the Parks and Rec Department of Lackawanna County to thank everyone that comes out and to make all of our parks the great assets that they are in the community. They serve refreshments that are donated by the local businesses, and they will also have some face painters, a couple of the other entertainers. Champ, who is live for the Rail Riders. A good day to be out in the park and for the community.

And then Arts Engage Day, which is done in conjunction with the Lackawanna

County Library System, takes place at the

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Electric City Trolley Museum on Friday,
August 1, from 10 a.m. to noon. Again, arts
and culture hands activities for children.
They also give free trolley rides. The
stipulation is the children have to have a
library card from the Lackawanna County

Library system to attend.

And last, the 3-on-3 basketball tournament run by Lackawanna County each year will take place August 1st through 3rd on the streets here in downtown Scranton. This is an event that we see a lot of participation, and some members of the council in the past. I believe it's one of that people wait typically until the last week of the tournament to register for. There is nothing wrong with that, we just want to let folks know that they haven't missed the deadline. I know a lot of teams register right at the very end. They just have to go to LackawannaCounty.org. Right on our home page you will find the application form, more than welcome to submit it.

At just a reminder with that, we

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thank everyone who cooperates with the street closures. The event gets great feedback from participants and a lot of businesses and residents downtown to do this. That will be the third year the tournament is held downtown, but the 100 and 200 blocks of Wyoming Avenue as well as Spruce Street and the intersection at North Washington up to Oakford Court that runs back of Bank Towers will be closed from Friday morning, August 1, through Sunday afternoon, August 3, to accommodate the tournament. Again, some may not be aware of that, we'll share that information on social media, on our website, and again, we thank everyone for cooperating because we know it's a little bit of inconvenience, but we think the program is produces is a great value to downtown, to our area, to our participants.

Last thing I just want to let folks know, this came up two years ago and apparently they are back by popular demand.

The producers of the television show on the History Channel, American Pickers, contacted

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

our office recently to let us know that they will be in the area looking for anyone's antiques that may be of interest to them.

They have left us a complete list here of their most coveted items and pretty much anything that might qualify as an antique in folk's home they are looking, exceptions are mostly agricultural-related equipment such as tractors, combines things that you probably wouldn't have as city residents.

The information, if anyone wants to contact the producers to offer their items, they can e-mail American Pickers at Cineflix.com. That's CI-N-E-F-L-I-X dot com at the end of the e-mail. And their phone numbers if 1-855-OLDRUST, spelled as it 1-855-OLDRUST. So I know last looks there. time they did hit some houses in the Hill Section and South Scranton and made several stops, so you never know one of your neighbors may be on the History Channel That's all I have for this sometimes. evening.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Hetman, just while are you here --

MR. HETMAN: Sure.

MR. ROGAN: Did the county commissioners reply or give any thoughts on the letter city council sit opposing the commissioners subsidizing the halfway house in South Scranton.

MR. HETMAN: Yes, absolutely. The letter, we did work on that a little bit this week with the Lackawanna County and Susquehanna Office of Drug and Alcohol programs. If the letter hasn't reached the council chambers yet, I would say tomorrow it will be probably at the latest with the weekend mail it should be there.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you.

MR. HETMAN: Not a problem. That will explain the situation with that situation, but the arrangement will give you a clear picture of that and after that feel free to contact us with any follow-up questions.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Thank you very much.

MR. HETMAN: Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Matthew Ford.

2

4

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FORD: Thank you,

Mr. Chairperson, members of council and attendees, I appreciate the opportunity to speak tonight. I'm a non-resident as well from Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, and I commute five days a week to my job on Keyser Avenue at Jerry Donahue State Farm Office up there, and I'm also opposed to the proposed commuter tax. I heard about it on the radio yesterday, I apologize, I didn't have a ton of time to look into the matter. contend that it is very legal to do. have seen in Hazelton and Allentown the commuter tax can be imposed, but I do stress the results of Hazelton, it has been a distressed city even longer than Scranton with regard to their city pension. have had the commuter tax in effect for 30 years now, it has not made a difference. They are still in a distressed situation.

You guys have attacked the budget problem in a number of different, raised property taxes, garbage fee, rental registration fees, local taxes, school taxes, length of meter hours, none of this

has helped the problem. Now you have syphoned you own population own population you want to grab on the outside of the city, too, but the true problem is two-fold. The first is very simple, it's a spending issue, your spending has raised over the last three years consecutively, regardless of the fact that you are in a budget crises. We have to curb the excess spending, and more importantly, simple corruption within the local government.

The second and more important is that long ago it was lost, the true value of a community is actually its citizens, and they are the most valuable asset a municipal actually has. If you want to increase the revenue, don't raise the taxes, raise the wage. This indirectly will both raise the wages and lower the burden of social programs that drains the coffers of the local establishments.

Once you rase the wages, citizens are going to want to live in Scranton. They are going to be able to purchase things.

That in turn is going to bring the

businesses which will be broaden your tax base and an increase revenue to the city.

I beg council to stop putting the weight of the city on the backs of the poor and the elderly, and now communities outside their own and instead I urge you to empower your own community by giving them the opportunity to better their lives and their community and indirectly with their help you fix the city. And I yield the remainder of my time. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Kathy, Stenographer,
Lori, Amil. First of all, you know, we have
been here before several times. Do we
really think a 30 percent property tax
increase over the next three years tripling
the local service tax, do we really think
that that's going to help the City of
Scranton or is going to hurt the City of
Scranton?

And also the commuter tax, you know, like the speaker before me said, we have

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been to Court about this and the city lost.

I mean, it seems like we are trying to do
the same things, selling the Sewer Authority
again, trying to auction off the dilapidated
parking garages we have that need like 10 to
15 million worth of repairs.

I mean, years ago I started the Legion of Doom. I hate to say this, but the Legion of Doom is actually accurate on everything that we spoke about over the last 17 years. Everything that was predicted actually has come true and the city has found themselves in the position that we are in now, and I just feel that if we implement all of these proposals that are out on the table right now, I actually, feel it's going to hurt the city because more people are going to leave the city, less people are going to want to come to the city, and most importantly, there will be less people who really want to live in the City of Scranton.

So I really hope that we take the time this time to really drill into this matter and see what's best for the city and for the residents especially the senior

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

citizens and the taxpayers. I mean, they are the most important people because they are the ones that have to pay the bills, okay?

Now, as far as the pension plan goes, how a pension plan got into the mess that it got into I have no explanation for it. Like, I don't have any explanation how the garbage fees fell delinquent by \$7 million, I can't explain that one either, but it happened. You know, for years the hospitals never paid taxes, but now hospitals are paying taxes, and it's roughly \$2.5 million came in this excess of what we have gotten in a year from the hospitals paying the taxes. I would like to know what happens to that money? What account is money being placed into? Is it going into the general account? Is it going into the property tax account? I mean, that's actually, \$2.5 million or so roughly that the city got in taxes because the hospitals, some of the hospitals now, are paying taxes.

So these are questions that I believe that need to be answered and

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

explained, where that money has gone, what is it being spent on and who is spending it?

And also, I'd like to know how did the city ever get the name Progressive City? If anything we are not a progressive city we are a regressive city because we are still talking about the same things that we were talking about 15, 20 years ago. I mean, the city has been distressed for 8,000 days. That's a long time and nothing has been done to make things better. All we do is tax the people, tax the people, tax the people, raise fees, raise fees, and ask people and the residents to do more and now we are asking people who don't even live in the city to pay for the pension plans of our city workers. I just don't think it's fair, I'm not even sure if it's legal, but I know it's not fair. It's not fair to the people who live in the surrounding communities and it's definitely not fair to the city residents either, because let's face it, everybody talks about Scranton and normally it's in the negative way, it's not in a positive way.

So we really need to think about this this time. I mean, we have had -- this will be our third recovery plan, the first two were complete failures. Let's hope the third recovery plan is not a failure because I don't think the city can afford another failed recovery plan. I really believe the City of Scranton is on its last legs right now. This plan is going to have to work or the city is going to have to file bankruptcy. I mean, it's as simple as that. That's just my belief.

Like I said, the Legion of Doom
everybody laughed at us, everybody called us
names, but you know what, we were right
because everything that we predicted five,
six, seven years ago came true. We knew we
were going to be in this position that we
are in right now and we are here. So now we
need to get out of it. We really do.
Somebody -- the elected officials need to
sit down and work this out. There is only
seven elected officials in the City of
Scranton, five councilmen, a mayor and a
city control or. I blame them for

everything that has gone wrong in the City of Scranton. I'm not talking about you guys up there right now, I'm talking about in the past because they are the ones who passed everything, they are the ones who wrote the ordinances, so on and so forth, so they are the ones who have to be held responsible for where the City of Scranton is, not the commuters. Thank you.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. MILLER:

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Doug Miller.

Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. Just to begin here, you know, a lot of people encourage me to put in the for council vacant seat by Mr. Loscombe and, you know, I just came to the conclusion that at this time it wouldn't be in my best interest to do that. You know, I think we have come to the point in administration and the things going on in this city that, you know, I'm not some sort a lap dog or a rubber stamper. It's not even worth the time or the aggravation. You know, it's my opinion that Saturday is nothing more than a horse and pony show. I

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personally believe that a decision has already been made as to who is going to fill that seat, and to come up here and waste my time in offering solution, is I have done for 12 years, I believe would be a waste of my time and a waste of your time, and to subject myself to a horse and pony show, because that's what it is, is just totally irrelevant.

You know, we had some speakers come up from outside of the city in regard to the commuter tax and I'd like to take a few moments to address that. As we know in the past, it's not a secret, in 2012 I supported that commuter tax, and I just want to clarify that on the record, but today the situation is completely different. In 2012 we were discussing a commuter tax that was in regards to services that the city provided for residents outside of the city. Today we are talking about a commuter tax because we have an inability to fund our pension because we mismanaged the funds. are responsible for that, not the individuals that spoke prior to me, nobody

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can down the road and we expect a positive result, and now we want to punish people who had absolutely nothing to do with the failed decision making made by past councils and 13 past administrations. 14 So what sense does it make now to 15 put that burden on the people that commuter 16 tax here every day to earn a living? 17 are not responsible. They don't even have 18 the ability to vote in this town. We have a

punish these people.

else.

I mean, gentleman, where are we going here? We're taking advice from someone, from some transplant from New Jersey who has absolutely zero credibility

hard enough time getting people that live

here to vote, let alone now we want to

We caused that because our inability

to elect the right people. We have elected

incompetent people for decades in this city

disgusting that it's absolutely discouraging

and it leaves you with absolutely no hope to

think that anything is going to turn around

because we continue just to kick the same

and the politics in this city is so

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to begin with, Mr. Henry the Liquidator, we know why how left New York the way he liquidated the hospital, and now we want to listen to his plan. It's not a plan, it's a suicide mission. It's the final nail in the coffin for this city. There is not one thing in that plan that gives us any reason for hope.

Yeah, sure, we want to sell the Sewer Authority, that makes a lot of sense so that the rates can skyrocket. Let's continue to put the screws to the people. Their garbage fee just went up because we don't have the money to pay the tipping fees. Where did all of that money go through the years that we never were able to pay the tipping fee. Where is the money? Nobody has ever had the you know what to stand up and find out where that money went because we are timid and we're afraid of take on bullies, because that's all we have in this city are bullies and we are afraid to take them on.

There is absolutely no creativity in this government and the amount of the time I

1

5

6

4

7

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have come here not one time have I heard a creative idea. There has been a few, but in general I have never heard real money making opportunities that we can utilize our assets to make money.

Selling the parking garages that are allegedly worth \$22 million, for what, to still owe \$30 million on them? We don't even know how much they cost build yet we want to go and sell them. Again, does not make any sense. We are taking advice and input from someone who doesn't live the life of a Scranton resident. You know, come here for just a day and look around. Go through the neighborhoods, the countless "For Sale" signs everywhere. There is no opportunity but yet we want to punish people out of town because we can't keep our finances in order. And we can't fund our pensions. Hazelton has been under this alleged commuter tax since 1986 and their pension is still under water, so what makes you think we are going to come here and resolve it with this and put it on the backs of people who had nothing to do with it. It's not fair to

2

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them because we are incompetent and we are incapable of make being the right decisions.

Take a look at the mall. We have a very unique opportunity to generate millions and millions of dollars out of that facility. You know, over the last few days there has been discussion on converting it to a casino and resort. It's my personal opinion that that would a fantastic opportunity, that within five years this city could be debt free and there would be no more property taxes because it's utilizing an asset with creativity. amount of the jobs and opportunity that would come into this community would be It's not a pipe dream, it's a endless. realistic thing that can happen. You know, a lot of people want to brush it off with laughter and think it's completely false, but we have done a lot of laughing through the years and we see where we are, so it's not a joke, it can happen.

I could say next week there will be a petition being circulated that there is support from many people in this community

that would like to see that happen because as far as keeping it as a mall as is, it's not realistic. It hasn't lasted, it hasn't worked for 20 years and it's not going to work now. We need to be creative and that solution and that suggestion, that plan brought forward by Mr. Bolus is the way to go, and we will be circulating a petition because we do have a lot of support in the community.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Miller.

MR. MILLER: As far as that plan, throw it in the garbage because that's all it is. We have an obligation to solve our own problems, not put it on the backs of other people. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz,
Scranton resident. Have you heard anything
from the mayor with respect to a possible
town hall meeting on Mr. Amoroso's
recommendations?

MR. ROGAN: I think that idea,
Mr. Weschler and myself talked about it

2

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happening in some public forum such as, you know, opening up city council to residents, and I think what were talking about doing was having a comment period prior to a city council meeting as well, prior to a vote, an up or down vote regarding the 205 tax, and any agenda items included in the report.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, I just think that if we ever needed a town hall meeting we need one now, okay? And I think it should be hosted by the mayor and his administration, I really do. He has made the statement that he supports Mr. Amoroso's I think that the citizens recommendations. and even those non-citizens that are going to be affected by a commuter tax really need an opportunity to express their opinions and their concerns and he may do his own thing, but he owes us the right to speak our opinions and our mind, and I hope that this can be done before the end of July because it's important.

I saw in the Clerk's Office the audit status from Robert Rossi on the city's audit which is overdue, was due on May 31,

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and the same 26 items are still outstanding. I think what they do is change the date on top, add a few memos to the last page that were issued since the last report, sign it, because it's not seeming to change at all, and as you should know, the budget has to be presented by the mayor to city council not later than November 15, which this year is actually November 13, because November 13 is Thursday and we have less than four months, and I don't see how the mayor and his administration can prepare a sound and realistic budget without the benefit of the Rossi audit because the audit will find problems. If it's done well it will find So that should be an issue of problems. concern to Mayor Courtright and his business administrator.

Just to let you know, since I asked about property assessment here and it was advised to bring it up with the county commissioners I did that yesterday morning. I really didn't get much satisfaction during that meeting. I was basically told that reassessment is difficult and impractical.

It would adversely effect mostly the elderly and that it is a statewide problem, but we really need is for the state to mandate one law for reassessment for all of the counties. So I will be hitting up Representatives Farina, Haggerty and Senator Blake on the same issue, but I don't think our assessment are fair, and even those it's not a city-controlled aspect, it's something that you should be as concerned about as I am.

And finally, I intend to go to the next pension board meeting, which I believe is July 30, here in council chambers and I think anybody who's concerned about the unfunded pension obligations of the city should be here, too, if they have the time and the interest. And what we need to do is we need to send a message to city administration and it all of the legislators that people are watching, the people care and the people are not going to ignored. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much.

Lee Morgan.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: It is my intention, even though it may be a waste of time, I'm going to ask that council consider appointing me to the seat. I'd just like to read a very brief statement.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Morgan, if you are interested in the vacant council position please provide your resume to our City Clerk.

MR. MORGAN: I'll do that today.

MR. ROGAN: Okay.

MR. MORGAN: I made this out to
Mr. McGoff and all it states is very simple,
and this is going to be my application. My
name is Lee Morgan and I'm asking to be
appointed to the council seat vacated by Mr.
Jack Loscombe. I hope to be appointed to
the seat because over the course of the time
my opinions regarding may of the city's
financial problems have been validated. The
city has fiscal problems and they are only
one part of the many difficult situations
that lie ahead for the city.

Okay, a few of the other problems are the lack of investment and infrastructure, the lack of employment opportunity, the lack of an upward driven wage base, lack of strong real estate market, lack of a growing business sector, young adults leaving the city for opportunity elsewhere. An inability to make decisions based on reality and a city government driven by politics.

It is my opinion that I have many solutions to the multitude of problems that face our city. I am intellectually driven and analytically inquisitive. It is time to break the budget down line item by line item to see if realistic cuts can be made. It would be a good time to reorganize city government. I believe we have followed the wrong path to find the city's fiscal solvency for a long time. I would like to be appointed to council to use SAPA as the first step to begin the city's multitude of problems, okay?

And what I basically say here is that I look forward to a council that is

3

4

5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

independent, okay, because I find, as it says here, I look forward to any interview I may be granted for any consideration for appointment by any individual council I have learned more than I can express on paper and over my two decades of the participation here at council meetings. I do have real answers to our real problems. I just hope that politics will not determine the appointment. It is my respectful opinion that politics has brought us to where we are today. I believe it is time for hard work and thought driven options to salvage our city before there is nothing left to work with, and I think together we can find answers.

So what I'm asking is every council member and, look, there is all kinds of rumors out there who is going to be picked already, and I think that's unfair to try to discuss that at this point, but it's my opinion, and I have been here a very long time, it's not a personal shot at anybody, the recovery plan Mr. Doherty presented was never a recovery plan. It just wasn't

possible. That PEL plan was never a recovery plan. This city has too many problems. We have gone and we have tried to, you know, create opportunity here, but I don't see how it's working. I mean. look at Scranton Lace building, all they're doing over there is cutting all of the scrap metal out of the building and scrapping it. The North Scranton project, stalled for decades. Any real opportunity for change has just been thrown to the wind. We have come to the point now where we have our last, what we make consider our last major assets, and like I said before, how much did it cost to build the Scranton Sewer Authority? How much did it cost to develop that? Why are we giving all our assets We can't find solutions to our problems because we are too busy in this city playing politics. And as a young child there were 101,000 people here, there is 70 here, okay? We expect a city of 70,000 people to carry this debt? Not possible. 0kay?

When people ask, well, what happened

25

21

22

23

24

to the pension plan? We need to ask why
Mellon Bank was removed as the administrator
when the pension plan took a beating. We
have to ask why over all of these decades
that pension plan wasn't funded. The
residents here were paying the tax. We have
to ask, you know, why weren't the tipping
fees paid last year to the landfill. I
mean, why are we going to scrap the pothole
machine? Why?

I mean, there is just so many questions to be asked here and, you know, it's really time to start asking some real questions and getting some real answers and I believe, and my own opinion, that it is time for every resident in this city and non-residents to come forward and speak about the drain that this city is creating around itself and destroying everything around it because of it's lack of money and the lack of the ability to make the decisions based on fact and not politics, and I'll leave copy here with --

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

You will have to give it to our city clerk

1 and you will get a time for an interview. 2 We will consider your resume and we will 3 also --MR. MORGAN: Well, I'd like to hand 4 5 it in today because I can't come tomorrow. MS. REED: After the meeting is 6 7 fine. 8 MR. ROGAN: After the meeting is 9 fin. MR. MORGAN: That will be fine. 10 11 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Dave 12 Dobrzyn. 13 MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening. 14 Dobrzyn, resident of Scranton. And first of all, I'd like to speak on Mayor Courtright, 15 16 I think when you told Mr. Amoroso we have no money to pay him that he was more or less 17 18 departing from some of the plans anyway. So 19 he hung around for a short time and I'm 20 willing to give him a break on that and 21 watch and see and try to influence him. Ι 22 have to get a letter out to him. I know he 23 is probably busy. 24 Now, in the Taxpayers, on two 25 different occasions we threw conferences on

reverse mortgages, and I would really like the council over the summer break to consider this because it's a way of getting paid for the trash fees. Believe me, I did a medicate spend down I have a letters from the state that I did absolutely nothing wrong, everything is correct, but the point being the money goes once somebody gets ill and can't be attended to by lay people, and there is no sense in trying to hold on to it and they could pay their back fees and become a supporting member of the community hopefully, and I appreciate if the council would look into this and try to help people through their various offices.

Now, my advice for the commuters, petition your reps and state senators, state reps, for tax exempt replacement. I can't afford to support the whole region. If someone wants to hook a tow chain to the courthouse and haul it up to Mayfield and give them a tax exemption, feel free, but I can't keep having my city taxes raised in that sense either.

And a lot of poor language has been

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used on us like rat hole and black hole, I don't get to say where my tax money goes and, you know, somebody in the city existing as a tax exempt entity and they seem to want to direct this money to some source that might benefit them all the more or maybe not, but the point being that that's very offensive, very offensive.

And on the sale of the Sewer Authority once again I have to express my deepest concern. In Detroit now, ALEX, American Legislative Exchange Council, they run around the country passing out proposed legislation to states and they a bi-template legislation. They have the same -- they have a few different words, the same state. They are basically an extreme right wing lobby group funded by Olagars and they --3,000 people have their water shut off a week in Detroit. 3,000 people. No water. If you don't pay your sewer bill, no water, so if my sewer bill goes out through the ceiling or my water bill goes high through the ceiling or my electric bill then you have no utilities, guess what? Your house

is condemnable immediately. So it's something to consider. I can't afford to have my sewer bill go from \$350 to \$400 a year to \$1,200 and \$1,500 so that I can pay for stock options and CEO -- CEO and centers to pick my pocket basically.

And it came to my attention, this is in state, that campaign funds are being solicited from certain public unions by our governor, and he hasn't been kind to Scranton, he hasn't been kind to many people and be aware because the average person has lost as much as 50 percent of their income when they became reemployed over this last one, and I'd also like to remind everybody everywhere that Scranton has lost \$26 million out of their pension fund thanks to Wall Street.

So I have one other thing here, I'll give it to you and speak on it next week, but the way, if you guys could send some of these to Mr. Courtright it would be helpful.

MR. ROGAN: Sure thing. Thank you very much. Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DOBRZYN: That's on your PUC bill for electricity, there is 350 people vending electricity. That's what we get for deregulation and privatizing. Thank you.

Good evening,

MS. NEIHAUS:

everybody. Well, last time I was here I preliminarily announced the creation of a group to meet comprised of Scranton residents and citizens and I was hamstrung by not having a vehicle at the time. have transportation now and I also have a working name for the group, it's just a working name it doesn't have to stick, but for the few people that I have run to by they actually kind of like it. I think the those of us who love the city was something I spoke about several years ago at this podium, referencing it to then Council President Janet Evans, whom I truly believe and still believe loves the city as did the mayor at that point in time, and I think that is like the ongoing sentiment that I detect among residents in the city. love the city, they're just not happy with the way it's been functioning, operating,

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

administered, etcetera, and this has been building up I think for decades and there is lot of the good ideas out there and they want to see- they want to pitch in and actually start making it happen. So with that in mind the working name is none other than Scranton Lovers, and again, has a little humor to it for Scranton. It's tongue and cheek, but Lord knows we need some humor in this city as well to get us through this stuff, so with that in mind I've been throwing around a few little slogans, too, such as "When you love a city you want good things for it, we are Scranton Lovers.

Another one is, "Improving Scranton and loving every minute of it, we are Scranton Lovers."

Because I really do believe people want to work and make the city better. And my personal favorite, "Of course, I gotten engaged in Scranton, I'm a Scranton lover."

So with that, I contacted the county, and unfortunately, Chris Minora has I think gone off to law school now, but his

24

25

replacement has offered the following times for us to meet at the entrepreneurial launch pad, which is the former Scranton Electric building I believe on Linden Street, and we have the following times slots, I picked three, because I want people to show up and not have any, you know, the reason that they couldn't make it because of some other constraints, so we have next Tuesday reserved from 8:30 a.m. until moon, and anybody who wants to drop by the entrepreneurial launch pad just to sign up, give your name, your phone number, the idea and maybe what you can commit to doing for It's just, you know, we need the group. muscles, we need brains, we need combinations thereof, and we need ideas, so that's one time slot. Then we are going to have another one on Wednesday from 4 p.m. to 8 p.m. for people who have to work during the day, and then on Thursday from 1 to 4 in the afternoon. So if any of those time slots work for people, hopefully they will, we want people to -- I want people to show up and offer their ideas, start making plans

to improve the city and, you know, maybe it will go nowhere and maybe it will end up doing some good, I don't know, I don't have a crystal ball, but no matter how small all I know is it that I want to help the city improve in a variety of ways, and if doesn't happen in my lifetime I'm at the point where at least I know I will die knowing I tried to improve the city and I think a lot of people feel that way because when I run the idea by people to do even simple things, like, pulling weeds or raking, you know, the layers of trash that are stuck on streets and curbs, they are, like, "I'll do that. could do that."

So really we are going to be starting humbly and move on from there, and we are going to discuss concerns, ideas and try to figure out why we continue to have administrations that are basically not responsive to the vast majority of Scrantonians. We want to work with government, but we want it to be positive and as apolitical as possible.

I don't know how much time I have,

but I know last time I was here I believe

Mr. Wechsler thought I wanted him to set his

hair on fire. Well, nothing could be

further from the truth, Councilman. You

know, in that respect, that would be panic,

and I would never advocate anybody to panic,

but urgency to me, which is what I was

requesting, means that people realize how

critical a situation is and at least exude a

fire in your belly about finding workable

solutions, so that's really all I meant

about wanting to sense that urgency.

I'm going to finish one point,
people also discussed the plans that, you
know, people wanted a plan, a plan, well,
I'm not an advocate of having a plan for
plan's sake. Often times good ideas require
time to develop and take shape so while
having a plan is a great thing, let's make
sure it's a well thought out and as well
vetted a plan as possible, and I think it
also needs to be a fluid, adaptable plan
that has fallback positions. So thank you
for your time.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you very much. Is

	47
1	there anyone else who cares to address
2	council?
3	MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening,
4	Council. Marie Schumacher.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Good evening.
6	MS. SCHUMACHER: First, I'd like to
7	ask did any of the three of you bother to
8	read the paper that was written by the
9	Harrisburg of its first receiver that I
10	supplied you with, each of you with last
11	week?
12	MR. ROGAN: This one?
13	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah.
14	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
15	MS. SCHUMACHER: You read the second
16	paragraph? Mr. Gaughan, the second
17	paragraph?
18	MR. GAUGHAN: I didn't get a chance
19	to read it.
20	MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay.
21	Mr. Wechsler?
22	MR. WECHSLER: We had quite an
23	active agenda this week because of the
24	meeting last Thursday.
25	MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Also,

1 were supplied to people for the five-year 2 OECD plan, how many were received? 3 MR. ROGAN: I will follow-up with 4 Ms. Aebli. 5 MS. SCHUMACHER: Twelve did you say? MR. ROGAN: No. I said I will 6 follow-up with Ms. Aebli to get a breakdown. 7 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: Oh, I thought you 9 were on that committee that -- you haven't met since then? 10 11 No, we haven't. MR. ROGAN: 12 MS. SCHUMACHER: When is the next 13 meeting? 14 MR. ROGAN: Again, I'll have to follow up with Ms. Aebli. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Okay, 17 now back to the loans. I'm going to read 18 back on March 7, 1913, (sic) "You said I'll 19 address the loans that are currently in 20 litigation, and as I mentioned numerous 21 times, the amount totals over \$2 million in 22 taxpayer dollars, so I'll read off, these are all currently in litigation." 23 24 We have, and I'd like you to tell me 25 what happened to these. Danielle and

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Company? I mean, I have asked several weeks ago, so Danielle and Company --

MR. ROGAN: Again, you can read them all off one by one, but the answer is the same for all of them, we are currently in the process, the administration and myself and OECD and working on a plan to recoup funds from those loans that were tied up. There was never formal litigation submitted in the past and that was part of the problem. They were listed as in litigation on documents that were handed to city council, but as far as actually filing that hasn't happened on a number of the loans, and the first step that I believe needs to happen is we need to try and work out with the loan holders if they can make payment, and if not then we have to take legal recourse. It's something I spoke to Solicitor Hickey about numerous times and met with Ms. Aebli along with President McGoff.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Is there a statute of limitations on loan from the time they default?

1 MR. ROGAN: I would have to ask 2 Solicitor Hickey. 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: How about Solicitor 4 Minora? I could ask Solicitor 5 MR. ROGAN: Minora, but he is not the OECD solicitor. 6 7 MR. MINORA: I have never seen the 8 document so I can't possibly answer that. 9 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, thank you. 10 When did you expect to have something on 11 that? 12 Well, through the course MR. ROGAN: 13 of August it's something that we are going 14 to be working on, so hopefully by the beginning of September we should have some 15 16 more information. 17 MS. SCHUMACHER: Okay, that's really 18 disappointing. You know, it's \$2 million 19 hanging out there and we could certainly use 20 it and that's why overall I feel there are 21 many items that you people could have gone 22 after, prior people and you council people 23 this year, and to be going after the 24 nonresidents, and I am firmly of the opinion 25 that taxation without representation is not

the way to go and I will maintain that position as long as I live, and I think you all need to start scratching around for other things that could be done and some innovation. Maybe you could go to the county, I understand innovation starts down there, so maybe if we go to the 200 block of Adams Avenue for some inspiration, but you need to find something else. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Is there anyone else who would like to address council?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Wechsler do you have any comments or motions?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes. Thank you,

Mr. Rogan -- I almost said Mr. McGoff, I'm

so used to saying. I would like to mention

we are happy that Mr. Bob is feeling well

and we do miss him tonight.

Tonight I'd just like to talk a little bit -- I am going to go vote for the introduction of the Act 205 legislation.

The reason why I'm doing this is so that the debate and information shared can begin on

22

23

24

25

this issue. We would like this plan to been voted on in a group, but, unfortunately, the time on issues don't allow that to happen all the time. I am of the opinion that the state has not offered the city much help in terms of our recovery. The Act 205 is current legislation that is available for us to take a look at, and we need to push forward, and as of tonight that's all we are doing right now. We are introducing it so we can start to debate and I encourage everyone in the city, outside of the city to please participate in this discussion. is very important, as other speakers have said and I have been saying all along, this is the last chance the City of Scranton has.

The problem is that if this plan is not successful we can't afford bankruptcy because many of the things that we are saying we don't want to do either the receiver or the bankruptcy court will make us do and it will be much more painful to have us told to do it than if we choose what we can do ourselves.

So, like I said, this is just to

1

start the debate, and I would encourage the mayor to have a town hall meeting. up encourage to allow us to participate just so we can get comments at the same time. This is an administration plan where the mayor has raised it, he has talked to the media, he has shared a letter with us on council that he does approve of the plan, and I believe that a town meeting may be in order. I can't make that happen. nothing that we can do as council here except listen to you because we do not have the answers of everything and for us to have a town meeting I think might add to the frustration level if the mayor is not present.

So there is some time to get this together, and like I said, tonight we are just looking to introduce this legislation.

Thank you, Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Councilman Gaughan, do you have any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I do, thank you.

Scranton and Lackawanna County Taxpayers

25

24

22

23

will meet next Tuesday, July 22, at 7 p.m. in city council chambers. I was contacted by a gentleman at Kessler Court who had a streetlight out. We've made a request to DPW and Joyce Electrical. Hopefully that will be taken care of soon.

A reminder to everyone that parking will be banned on a section several miles long of Main Avenue starting Friday due to a resurfacing project. The project is scheduled to end July 28, weather permitting, and will run from the Scranton/Taylor border north of Providence Road according to PennDOT. It is part of a \$4.6 million contract to resurface various roads in Lackawanna County and a \$2.1 billion statewide plan to upgrade highways and bridges.

Mrs. Davits from North Scranton came to council last week were concerns regarding her back yard being ripped up. City council spoke with Mr. Gallagher, the DPW director, who has since been up there and taken care of that issue.

And that is all I have until my

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

comments on Item 5-B. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Councilman Gaughan. Before addressing some items that are on the agenda, I have a quick story and a quick announcement that I wanted to make. The announcement is that Giovanni Piccolino, the owner of Buona Pizza downtown will be hosting a Kids Swim Free day on Saturday, The first 100 children that go to July 26. the park that day will swim for free, receive a free slice of Buona Pizza, and free ice cream all courtesy of Mr. Piccolino and Buona Pizza, and Mr. Piccolino has always donated to the community, and we certainly thank him for that, but there is a little bit more of a story as to why he is having this Kids Swim Free day.

For a number of years Mr. Piccolino was trying to get a 15 minute parking sign in front of Buona Pizza downtown, and I had mentioned it at council and number of times and, unfortunately, it kind of fell on deaf areas with the previous DPW directors, but thanks to efforts from Mayor Courtright and our DPW director, Denny Gallagher and

myself, that sign was put in and as payment back to the community Mr. Piccolino is hosting a Kids Swim free event, so we thank him for that and it will a very nice event. Very nice of him to give back to the community in that way.

A couple of items that I'd like to talk about. First, I agree with what Councilman Wechsler said regarding the 205 tax on the agenda tonight. Tonight's agenda is simply allowing debate amendments to be made to hear from residents and nonresidents. I think it's imperative that council listens to not only those who live in the city, but those who live outside of the city as well.

On July 31 the final vote will be made on this legislation. By that point in time we hope, health willing, Councilman McGoff will be back and we hope that we will will have a new council member. As you know, city council has been taking resumes throughout the course of the week to fill the vacant council position. This Saturday we will hold interviews, and then after the

interviews we will begin the process of debating and selecting a new councilman.

This vote must be taken with a member with a full five member body on city council. Tonight our council president isn't here for unexpected reasons and we have a vacant position, so that the July 31 meeting allows council and the public two more opportunities to address city council here publically, and we encourage residents and nonresidents to come.

And it also gives us an opportunity to hear different views, and I know all of us have probably have a number of e-mails, calls, text messages, Facebook messages from people on both sides of the issue. Many in the city would like to see the 205 tax move forward because it will help strength the city's pension, you know, it will be less in tax increases that they would have to pay. Many outside of Scranton have many questions about it.

It's our job as council members to take a deep thoughtful look at this issue over the course of the next few weeks and

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

determine which way is the best course for the city. That being said, the proper thing to do is for this legislation to be voted on by a full member body on the 31st, which would be our last meeting of the month, and that's how I believe we need to move forward.

Finally, regarding in article on that I saw on WNEP regarding the mall, I know there has been a lot of talk regarding the mall and what the future of the mall will be, one thing that I think almost everyone agrees that we don't need more of in downtown Scranton is governmental offices. The proposal by County Commissioner Jim Wansack to turn the mall into more county offices would probably be one of the worst things that could ever happen to downtown Scranton. The mall is to be a place of commerce, a place for jobs to be created, for people to go and shop. not a place for bureaucracy. We had enough of that in downtown Scranton. It would create another tax exempt building in the downtown and that certainly is the last

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thing we need in the City of Scranton.

There have been a number of other ideas on how the mall could best be Obviously, the one mentioned, a utilized. casino comes up quite often. If the state were to grant a license I think that would be a great idea for the downtown, but that's a tall task that hopefully the state would help us out with. If that's not an option, I know there are many other developers in the city besides Mr. Boscov that are interested in that building if the price is right to use it for a commercial district to have a mixed use for shops on the lower floor, on the upper floor opening up the roof and being able to drive through to the park that sits right behind the mall.

There are a number of things that can be done with that building that would be positive for the City of Scranton and for our community, but the one that will not work is more governmental offices that are a downtown. So that being said, I hope that county commissioners would consider that statement, I know there hasn't been a

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

decision as of yet, and hopefully we will have a vibrant mall in our downtown and a vibrate mixed use building, but certainly not another governmental building.

So that's all I have for now. I will be commenting more on agenda items as they come up, and that is all for now.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE TO PROVIDE REVENUE FUNDING FOR THE SEVERELY DISTRESSED PENSION(S) OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON BY ADOPTING AND IMPOSING AN ADDITIONAL SEVENTY-FIVE HUNDREDTHS (.75%) OF A PERCENT TAX UPON EARNED INCOME RECEIVED AND NET PROFITS EARNED BY NON-RESIDENTS FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED, BUSINESS CONDUCTED AND INCOME EARNED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX; REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE; PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTING AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; SAID REVENUE TO BE SPECIFICALLY RESTRICTED TO FUNDING OF THE SEVERELY DISTRESSED PENSION(S) OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, UNDER

1	AND PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL PENSION PLAN
2	FUNDING STANDARD AND RECOVERY ACT, ACT 205
3	OF DECEMBER 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, NO. 205),
4	AND ITS AMENDMENTS, SPECIFICALLY 53 PA.
5	C.S.A. §895.101 ET SEQ. THIS TAX IS EXPECTED
6	TO GENERATE APPROXIMATELY FIVE MILLION
7	(\$5,000,000.00) DOLLARS IN ANNUAL REVENUE.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Mr. Rogan, before you
9	speak, would I be able to make a motion?
10	MR. ROGAN: When it gets to that
11	point, sure.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: No, I can make a
13	motion.
14	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
15	entertain a motion that Item 5-B be
16	introduced into its proper committee.
17	MR. WECHSLER: So moved.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second. On the
19	question?
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Well, I should have
21	been able to make a motion to table the
22	introduction of Item 5-B.
23	MR. ROGAN: You had that opportunity
24	in Fifth Order when you provided comments.
	II

MR. GAUGHAN:

No, I just did. Well,

anyways. I'm voting "no" to introduce this legislation tonight and there are three reasons why I believe introducing this legislation is extremely premature. First, we shouldn't consider a tax increase in the absence of a full budget proposal. There are many moving pieces to every budget and those components should not be presented piecemeal to city council. It is irresponsible for city council to entertain any tax increase until we have a chance to review it within the context of Mayor Courtright's 2015 15 budget.

Second, until we know the concessions the unions agreed to, it is premature to consider any additional tax burdens for residents or people who work within the city. As Mr. Amoroso pointed out in his final record, the real drive of the Scranton structural deficit are pension obligations and personnel costs. He made several specific recommendations regarding renegotiating certain provisions in the collective bargaining agreements.

Concessions in the union contracts

will help the city balance its budget in 2015 and will result in long-term meaningful solutions to the city's fiscal health. The Act 205 tax along with the LST tax, property tax increases and asset sales are all extreme measures that only plug holes in the budget. If we are going to have any meaningful change, then we need significant concessions.

Further complicating matters, I put a call in earlier this week to Senator John Eikelberger. Senator Eikelberger is the chairman of the Senate Local Government Committee and is also the Senate sponsor of the Act 47 legislation pending in Harrisburg.

Senator Eikelberger believes that
the Act 47 legislation will likely be
brought to a vote this fall. He explained
to me that if the general assembly passes
the legislation, it will require any tax
levied against commuters to also be levied
against residents at an equal or greater
rate. In other words, any increase in the
nonresident wage tax will require a match in

2

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the resident tax. Legislation would not allow the city's ordinance to be grandfathered in. This means that city residents would face an additional .075 percent wage tax increase bringing the total resident wage tax to 4.15 percent.

I cannot think at this time of any compelling reason to introduce this legislation. What is the rush to impose in tax? We don't have the benefit of reviewing this tax within the context of a full budget proposal. The administration has not secured concessions from the unions and there is pending legislation in Harrisburg that could effect how the tax is levied. are here tonight with a quorum, but not a full council. Council McGoff is not with us this evening and we are in the process of filling Mr. Loscombe's open seat. serious decision and it should be made by at least a majority of the council. I strongly believe that introducing this legislation tonight is hasty and extremely premature. And that's all I have.

MR. ROGAN: Anyone else on the

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

question? I would just make a couple of comments regarding being a full body, I think we all agree that there needs to be a full body for this vote, but by the July 31 meeting we will have a full body. legislation, assuming it passes tonight, doesn't change anything. It takes three votes -- for those who are unfamiliar with the city council procedure, there is the initial vote, which opens up the opportunity for amendment and debate. There will then be a second vote, which passes it along to the third stage in the process, that third vote is the final vote, the up or down vote which decides whether a piece of legislation becomes law or whether it fails.

I have some concerns regarding this legislation as well, and I think council needs to do the research and look into it. That's why by passing -- by introducing it tonight that gives us that opportunity. It gives the public the opportunity to come here and talk to us. By simply voting it down and going away for the August recess that you voted for, that I opposed, that

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't give anyone an opportunity.

So I think the proper course is to move forward and then at that point decide if there is still questions that are unanswered at the July 31 meeting then a motion to table would absolutely be appropriate.

MR. GAUGHAN: All I'm saying is, I mean, when I introduce legislation I'm not -- it's not introducing it at the dinner table conversation. I mean, we are introducing this stuff because we should be prepared to answer questions and everything I don't understand the rush. outlined for you serious concerns about why we should introduce this legislation, and now we have three weeks, without a council to my left and a councilman to my right, whether or not we appoint someone by next week, I don't understand the rush. What is the rush by doing it now as opposed to in September when we know if this pending legislation has any effect on that? get answers from the mayor on where we are at in negotiations with the unions, which I

feel is important. I just don't understand introducing it tonight.

Again, we are not introducing this to say, "Oh, okay, you know, we are having a dinner table conversation about it."

This is very, very serious. There are a lot of people that are going to be effected by this, so that is the reason why that I was going to make a motion to table and I will make a motion to table after I assume this will be introduced.

MR. ROGAN: Mr. Gaughan, as you know, the first vote is simply a vote to open debate on the matter. You have voted to introduce legislation --

MR. GAUGHAN: But you can still have those conversations -- we can have those conversations, it doesn't need to be on the agenda for us to be able to have those conversations. That's all I'm saying.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Rogan, one thing I'd like to comment on is since we started talking about this 205 tax we finally hear something from the state about the LST tax. We haven't heard anything from them

18

19

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

beforehand on a true commitment to get this passed. Now, we have someone who is in charge of the committee in Harrisburg saying that this tax has been passed. We haven't heard that. This Act 205 is on the table, we can discuss it, it's available to us. A promise from someone in a House committee or a Senate committee that it's going to pass to me that means nothing. That Harrisburg. Harrisburg doesn't move with concerns for Scranton, we have seen that before. They are not concerned about the City of Scranton. And if their intent is to pass something and it make an act to penalize us again that's even worse. That's why we have to get this started. It has to start somewhere.

In terms of its all working together. Concessions from the union, I don't know what they are, I'm not involved with it, but all I could give you is what's in front of me and I can help move this discussion along. If we don't start now and we wait I don't think we are going to get the information from the state about where

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they really are with that LST tax. There is no pressure on them to do anything about it without moving this along.

My final comment prior MR. ROGAN: to a vote would be, you know, many people have said, "Well, wait until budget time," that hasn't worked. Two years ago I sat on city council when a commuter tax was proposed during the budget. The budget passed with a commuter tax in it, I opposed that budget. A Court battled then ensued. At that point the city had a budget with a multi-million dollar hole because it was passed prior with a commuter tax in it, prior to letting any time frame challenges to work through the system, and that presented a very large problem for that year.

I think it's something whether
people oppose it or support it that it needs
to be addressed prior to the budget that way
we know if it's a tool at the city's
disposal come budget time. As I said,
tonight's vote is simply a procedural vote.
There is much research that needs to be done

The

by all members of council and the public and 1 2 I for one am looking forward to hearing from 3 residents and nonresidents' opinion on this issue, so we definitely welcome you to come 4 5 here and comment. Anything else on the question? All 6 7 those in favor of introduction signify by 8 saying aye. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 10 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? MR. GAUGHAN: 11 No. 12 MR. ROGAN: The ayes have it and so 13 moved. 14 MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a motion that we table Item 5-B. 15 16 MR. ROGAN: Is there a second? 17 motion fails for lack of a second. 18 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF 19 SCRANTON ADOPTING THE 2009 EDITION OF THE 20 21 INTERNATIONAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE CODE, REGULATING AND GOVERNING THE CONDITIONS AND 22 23 MAINTENANCE OF ALL PROPERTY, BUILDINGS AND 24 STRUCTURES, BY PROVIDING THE STANDARDS FOR 25 SUPPLIED UTILITIES AND FACILITIES AND

OTHER PHYSICAL THINGS AND CONDITIONS 1 ESSENTIAL TO ENSURE THAT STRUCTURES ARE 2 SAFE. SANITARY AND FIT FOR OCCUPATION AND 3 4 USE: AND THE CONDEMNATION OF BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES UNFIT FOR HUMAN OCCUPANCY AND 5 USE, AND THE DEMOLITION OF SUCH EXISTING 6 7 STRUCTURES IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON; 8 PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS AND 9 COLLECTION OF FEES THEREFOR; REPEALING 10 ORDINANCE NO. 2, 2000 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON AND ALL OTHER ORDINANCES AND PARTS 11 12 OF ORDINANCES IN CONFLICT THEREWITH. 13 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be introduced into its proper committee. 15 16 MR. GAUGHAN: So moved. 17 MR. WECHSLER: Second. 18 MR. ROGAN: On the question? 19 would just like to say we were all provided 20 with a copy of the new code and we all be 21 reviewing that prior to the final vote. All those in favor of introduction 22 23 signify by saying aye. 24 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 25 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

1	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2	have it and so moved.
3	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
4	AN ORDINANCE - CREATING AND ESTABLISHING
5	SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO. 02.229611
6	ENTITLED "TEAMSTERS LOCAL #229 REPUBLIC
7	PARKING EMPLOYEES HEALTHCARE CONTRIBUTIONS"
8	FOR THE RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF THOSE
9	FUNDS RECEIVED UNDER THE CURRENT COLLECTIVE
10	BARGAINING AGREEMENT.
11	MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
12	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
13	introduced into its proper committee.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: So moved.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
16	MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
17	those in favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
21	have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO
23	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
24	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
25	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
	ll

SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 34, 2014 - ESTABLISHING PERMIT PARKING ONLY IN THE 900 BLOCK OF PRESCOTT AVENUE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STREET 901 TO 949 AND 902 TO 956 WHICH INCLUDES ALL THE RESIDENCES, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF APARTMENTS LOCATED ABOVE COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES.

MR. ROGAN: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety?

MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary
Chairperson for the Committee on Public
Safety, I recommend final passage of Item
7-A.

MR. WECHSLER: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question?

 $MR.\ GAUGHAN:\ Yes,\ on\ the\ question,$

I see some of the residents from Prescott

Avenue here tonight, and it was great
getting to meet you and know you and working
with you and hopefully this will take care
of those issues up there. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes.

	75
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
2	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
5	MR. ROGAN: I hereby declare Item
6	7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
8	THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -
9	FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 35, 2014 - CREATING
10	AND ESTABLISHING SPECIAL CITY ACCOUNT NO.
11	02.229610 ENTITLED "GERRITY PARK" FOR THE
12	RECEIPT AND DISBURSEMENT OF GRANT FUNDS
13	RECEIVED UNDER GRANT APPLICATION.
14	MR. ROGAN: What is the
15	recommendation for the Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Finance?
17	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
18	the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-B.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Second.
21	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
6	BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION
7	-RESOLUTION NO. 67, 2014 - ACCEPTING A
8	DONATION OF TEN THOUSAND (\$10,000.00)
9	DOLLARS FROM ALLIED SERVICES PRESENTED TO
10	THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO PROVIDE FREE
11	SWIMMING FOR ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED
12	INDIVIDUALS AT THE NAY AUG PARK SWIM
13	COMPLEX.
14	MR. ROGAN: What is the
15	recommendation of the Chair for the
16	Committee on Finance?
17	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
18	the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
19	passage of Item 7-C.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Second.
21	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

	17
1	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
8	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
9	RESOLUTION NO. 68, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF
10	THOMAS J. GALELLA, JR., 112 PELLER AVENUE,
11	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER
12	OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION EFFECTIVE
13	JULY 3, 2014. MR. GALELLA'S TERM WILL BE
14	FOR FOUR (4) YEARS AND WILL EXPIRE ON JULY
15	3 ,
16	2018.
17	MR. Rogan: As temporary Chairperson
18	for the Committee on Rules, I recommend
19	final passage of Item 7-D.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Second.
21	MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
22	call, please?
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
24	MR. WECHSLER: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

ı		1
		78
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.	
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.	
3	MR. ROGAN: Yes. Hereby declare	
4	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.	
5	Being that there is no further	
6	business, I'll entertain a motion to	
7	adjourn.	
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Motion to adjourn.	
9	MR. ROGAN: Meeting adjourned.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER