_		
		1
1		
2	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING	
3		
4		
5		
6	HELD:	
7		
8	Thursday, March 27, 2014	
9		
10	LOCATION:	
11	Council Chambers	
12	Scranton City Hall	
13	340 North Washington Avenue	
14	Scranton, Pennsylvania	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR – OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
25		

## CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL:

4 ROBERT MCGOFF, PRESIDENT

PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT

JOHN LOSCOMBE

7 JOSEPH WECHSLER

WILLIAM GAUGHAN

LORI REED, CITY CLERK

KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

AMIL MINORA, SOLICITOR

1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. MCGOFF: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
9	MR. LOSCOMBE: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Here.
14	Dispense with the reading of the
15	minutes.
16	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. AUDIT
17	STATUS FROM ROBERT ROSSI & CO. RECEIVED
18	MARCH 20, 2014.
19	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
20	If not, received and filed.
21	MS. REED: 3-B. LACKAWANNA COUNTY
22	SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT
23	EVALUATION RECEIVED FEBRUARY 12, 2014.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments?
25	If not, received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-C. AGENDA FOR THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING HELD MARCH 26, 2014.

MR. MCGOFF: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed.

Clerk's notes?

MS. REED: Nothing, Mr. McGoff.

MR. MCGOFF: Any council members have announcements?

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. McGoff, I have a few. First is the West Scranton Hyde Park Neighborhood Watch is going to hold an April Fool's night out on April 1 with celebrity bartenders to benefit the organization. It will be held at Kilcoyne's in West Scranton.

Also, in West Scranton there is a program conducted by Neighborhood Works to offer free home repairs in West Scranton by volunteers that will take place in the summer. The opportunity for those applications closes on March 31. If anyone is interested they should contact Neighborhood Works.

And the last thing I have is I'd like to wish someone happy birthday. I

haven't done this before, but I haven't heard of anybody who is like this before. Yesterday was Helen Kravitz's 90th birthday. Everybody knows Helen, she has been a great asset to the city, especially in North Scranton, and I'd just like to wish her a happy birthday.

MR. ROGAN: Just one announcement.

I'd also like to wish Helen a happy
birthday, 90 is certainly a milestone and
anyone involved in government in the City of
Scranton knows Helen and all of the hard
work that she does.

The announcement is that the Dante Club will be opening on Tuesday, April 1, at 11 a.m. It will be a haddock fish dinner on Friday, the 4th, from 4:30 until the fish is gone and it's \$12 for a dinner.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else? I do have one. This is a traffic update. On Saturday, March 29, the 600 block of Linden Street between Adams and Jefferson Avenue will be closed to vehicular traffic from 4 a.m. to 5 p.m. That is for the pouring of the concrete and the foundation of the

8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

building at that corner. So there will be traffic flaggers posted on Jefferson and Adams Avenue, and also at Linden Street during this time period. So that's Saturday, March 29, Linden Street. The 600 block of Linden will be closed from 4 a.m. to 5 p.m.

On tonight's agenda there, again, are a number of appointments. We have received letters and resumes from most of those, all of those in Seventh Order have been received, and I believe there is only one in Fifth Order that has not and is in the proces of being received.

7-E on the agenda will be tabled. It is our understanding that the person that is involved in that appointment has withdrawn. We have not yet received formal confirmation of that so we will be tabling 7-E this evening.

And last thing on the agenda, in Fifth Order, there is an amendment of a prior ordinance dealing with secondhand shops, which we will all refer to as pawn shops, but it is an ordinance that was I'll

say requested or at least suggested by the Scranton Police Department that would allow them a little bit more control over the sale of goods through the secondhand stores.

And it would be a further protection to the general public by forcing people who are selling goods or asking people who are selling good to provide photo identification when they went to these stores. And that is all.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. MCGOFF: Ozzie Quinn.

MR. QUINN: Good evening. Ozzie Quinn, Scranton.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. QUINN: Last week's

Scranton/Lackawanna County Taxpayers and Citizens' Association held a debate between representative Attorney Joseph O'Brien and representative for the Lackawanna County Study Commission, Frank Ruggiero, in regards to the form of government for Lackawanna County which will be on the primary ballot on May 20.

We got a lot of good feedback from
it. I think it's good if you Goggle ECTV
who covered it and watch the debate because
I think you will learn a little bit more
about the two forms of government and how
it's looked at different oppositions, okay?

And on April 15 we will be having the house representative candidates here for District 112, 13 and 14 to discuss the issues for this coming election, okay?

As president of the Hill

Neighborhood Association we told you a week
or two ago that we are going along with a
socioeconomic study, a sample study in the
Hill Section, we are going to do over 500
houses as a sample study, about 10 percent
of the houses there, to see what the issues,
problems and needs are.

Concurrently, in April we are going to also do a business retention study. That study is going to be a personal interview which each of the proprietor of the businesses throughout the Hill Section to determine some of their issues that might be bothering them in regards to parking,

22

23

24

25

zoning, job training or capital to fix up their building or to expand it. Business retention I think is a good program and I what we are trying to do is to keep these jobs and everything in Scranton and won't pick up the paper one day and see that somebody left out Mulberry Street.

Also, I would just want to mention on that, and this is a very important thing and we are going to give a hand after this, the government, the United States government contracts out \$650 billion a year, okay, that's a lot of money, and we want the businessmen to know this in Scranton up in the Hill Section, not only in the Hill Section but also throughout the city, you know, they give contracts for every industry imaginable. Machinery shops, distributors of all sorts, services, landscaping, lawn cutting, snow removal, construction, A & E firms, suppliers to the construction industry, IT firms, janitor services, suppliers of products, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera.

It's a procurement contract and if

anybody wants to get in touch with me that's out there, give me a call at the Hill Neighborhood Association and I will be glad to steer you in the right way, okay, because it's quite a way to increase your business. Thank you on that.

I went to a public hearing on Tuesday at the University of Scranton to do with the Clarks Summit State Hospital. Department of Public Welfare is implementing what they call a CHIPS program, Community Home Project Program, okay? What they are going to do through the hospitals throughout the states take patients and put them in community settings. Now, it was packed, packed with over 100 and some people, all right? Some of them are workers, some of them are just interested people like I was, some of them who had their siblings were patients up there, and 26 of the 27 people that spoke were against it closing.

And the county commissioner was there, O'Malley, and Representative Flynn was there and the thing was that everybody's fearful that they are going to close that

building and they are going to lose a lot of jobs, 400 and some jobs, and I asked the council tonight to try to look into that matter, will you please? A lot of those people that work at Clarks Summit State Hospital are Scranton residents and it will be very difficult, you know?

And a lot of people, that wasn't the only reason for jobs, the main reason was they don't feel that the community would be able to handle this type of integration, okay? History would repeat itself, in 1963 it happened and what happened was that they just didn't go for their meds, pretty soon we have a lot of urban renewal and as a result a lot of homelessness.

Last I want to say, please, if I may, on the amnesty program that I mentioned before, we had a hearing and the judicial sale on Monday, 43 properties in the City of Scranton, the repository for the county, which is their bank, which is the whole city delinquent property tax properties, is probably over -- there is over 200 properties right now. Now, do we make

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money? I don't think so. Not when we need to collect from those people hat went into the banks or the repository.

And next September they will have another sale and that will be new people, so we got to do some kind of an amnesty program. It worries me and it worries a lot of people and the fact is that, you know, we just got to do something about the fact that, you know, here is a family, 43 homes were bought did anybody, anybody at all in government go and ask those families what they are going to do? Were they in a fire, were there other evictions where we help these people and these families. I don't know if anybody ever helped contact these families. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Quinn.
Ron Ellman.

MR. ELLMAN: Mr. Wechsler, did I say it right?

MR. WECHSLER: Like an "X."

MR. ELLMAN: I certainly have no arguments with you become how long and hard council works. I've often thought that

should be a full-time position, you know, but it never will be.

 $\label{eq:MR.WECHSLER:} \mbox{ It is. It is a} \\ \mbox{full-time position.}$ 

MR. ELLMAN: Yeah, that way, but I meant like the mayor's position and the secretary and so on. I don't know if that was a good example to use, but in the long run it's the results that count in this city. Nothing else, it's the results.

That's how you are going to be remembered.

Year after year and council after council they haven't had any results, you know? Taxes keep going up and we keep losing properties, nothing seems to change except for the words of the taxable -- the people here. A couple of the weeks ago I mentioned a bunch of different things.

Nobody commented on them the next week, you know 60 percent increase in foreclosures and no comments. No comment about the 57 percent tax increase. People are talking about all of this. They are worried. These people are going to lose their houses. Not

just the tax increase, it's everything else.

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

People in government seem to think the only expenditures we have is taxes. I saw today where they said food went up 19 percent since last year. Of course so many people here get food stamps, I don't get food stamps, but I wish I could.

You know, every week right here standing before you there is many intelligent speakers and they make some extraordinary good situations and comments. Nobody ever seems interested in them. They just seem to fall on deaf ears. Even our tax reporter never reports what people say in here, and once in awhile somebody asks me that doesn't see it on television or something, you know, about a matter that They always seem to be just went on. throwing good after bad in this city. Hiring and inventing positions and it just doesn't ever stop, you know?

Last week I mentioned that the two houses next to me were up for sale last week and I know what to expect. I won't get the caliber of people in the future that are living there right now. My neighborhood has

declined something terrible with a bunch of undesirables renting or buying houses. They just don't care. Some of the houses have just gone downhill since I moved in 20 years ago and I it's -- I got a neighborhood full of just problems. There has been houses broken into all around North Scranton that nobody seems to talk about. It's not listed. I don't know.

You know, our tax dollars are so tight and half of it goes to the school board I know and that's just a waste. They are just infested with waste over there.

Two schools closed because of poor maintenance. You know what the result was? They tired 11 more custodians. Does that mean any sense to anybody? Instead of firing some people responsible for the closure of two schools they hired.

Well, my friends, I don't know, I don't know. I don't expect this council to be any different from the past ones. It just your hands are tied and so many problems and the worst problem in the world is the nonprofits. Nobody seems to be able

to much counteract that in any way whatsoever. I hope, you know, you guys aren't like the council before and the council before that and the council before that. I hope you can help the people in this city and turn things around.

And real quick, I'd like thank Mary

Ann for sending me a get well card. It was

very kind of her. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mr. Ellman.
Bill Jackowitz.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council, Kathy, Lori, Amil,
and I can never remember your name, I
apologize.

But anyway, I'm going to kind of piggyback off of Ronnie and a couple of other people. I guess I think I look at things differently and most people do and when I view the problems we have in the City of Scranton and the fact that we have been distressed for 22 plus years, over 8,000 days, is the citizens were not and the taxpayers were not responsible for that, okay? The citizens and the taxpayers have

to bear the brunt of it because we are the ones whose taxes continue to go up, whose fees continue to go up, and you guys are taxpayers, too, I understand that, but speaker after speaker, year after year came to this city council, to this chamber, and spoke to city council, made recommendations, came up with solutions, came up with ideas.

But you know, the citizens were ignored and I'm hoping, we have a new council now, we have a new mayor, I'm hoping that that doesn't happen again because the citizens are not responsible for this city being distressed. The mayor is and past city councils are and past appointed officials to the City of Scranton and that's a fact, Jack. That's just the way it is. They are the ones who made the votes. Every city council, every mayor has a record. All you have to do is go back and look in your record and look at the votes that they made. Okay? Look what they voted for, look what they voted against.

We went through a four-year period of time, I'll call it the three to two vote,

the Doherty real people I think it was called or whatever it was, they put the city 50 years behind times.

They are the ones that took us to Court, and put us in Court, so on and so forth, and the previous -- and the council after that the same thing. That's the reason why the city is in the mess it's in now. It's not because of the citizens. It's not because of the residents. But the citizens and the residents are the ones whose taxes continue to get raised, whose garbage fee continues to get raised and so on and so forth. And again, those are the facts and that's what needs to be stopped.

We need the mayor, city council, the city controller and everybody to work together and really seriously work for the people. The people are at the end of their rope. They can't afford it anymore. You know, the average person in the City of Scranton gets between six and \$800 a month, in social security? And again, these are facts. This is the way it is and this is what needs to be taken into consideration.

Now, do I have a solutions for it?

No, I had solutions ten years ago, eight years ago, six years ago. I was ignored.

Legion of Doom had a lot of solutions. They were ignored. We are laughed at. We are called names, but you know what? Everything that we said was going to happen basically, happened, but who has taken the brunt of it, the citizens, the taxpayers, the working people in this city.

We are losing jobs. People are losing their houses. The roads aren't being repaired. You know, my garbage wasn't picked up for a month. I mean, it just goes on and on. Go on Prospect Avenue in South Scranton, from the 100 block of Prospect Avenue to about the 1000 block of Prospect Avenue its a total mess. You know, the highway up to Bagdad looked better after the bombing from the first Iraq war than what Prospect Avenue looks like.

Again, who is taking the brunt of it, the people who live in the City of Scranton. That's why people are moving out. That's why people don't want to move in,

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

okay? Jobs are leaving. Businesses are closing. Parking rates are going up and going up. Why would anyone want to pay a dollar an hour to park in Scranton?

Seriously, you know?

Everything is going up. Who is to Not the blame for it? Not the citizens. commuters, but the elected officials, the past elected officials. Now we have new elected officials, I'll call you the current elected officials, and I'm hoping that you guys really work for the people. You know, put a lot of time and work for the people. We don't need anymore tax increases. don't need anymore raising of fees. I know that that might be the only option available to you, but the citizens can't afford it They really can't, and hopefully we don't lose anymore jobs or businesses or citizens. Thank you.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Doug Miller.

MR. MILLER: Good evening, Council.

Doug Miller, Scranton. I'd like to go back
to last time I was here I addressed an issue

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

real serious and valid questions in regards to his track record or his alleged track record of poor management and in terms of his alleged mismanagement of St. Vincent's Hospital. You know, we sat here and we listened to his presentation of things that, you know, we have gone over numerous times, we're quite well aware of just about everything he went over with us and, you know, before I left the podium I had asked counsel if they had any knowledge of this alleged mismanagement and, you know, it's really discouraging for me to get the impression that it was almost as if this council wanted to do everything it could to dodge that question and not even acknowledge it. This is a serious matter and I do

with regard to Mr. Amaroso and I raised some

This is a serious matter and 1 do believe this council has an obligation to look into it, whether you believe it or not you do. I think the mayor has an obligation to look into it most of all because this was is his handpicked individual and that question still remains out there is who

determined that Mr. Amaroso was, in fact, the best candidate to come in and so-called advise us since we are so incapable of putting two and two together ourselves that we need Mr. Amaroso to begin with, and we are still waiting for that question to be answered and perhaps we can get the mayor to answer that question. You know, perhaps hopefully in-between rides we can -- you know, he could take a few seconds and maybe answer that question for us.

But this is a serious issue, I have already been in contact with the attorneys that represented doctors and lawyers -- or excuse me, doctors and nurses that did work for St. Vincent's and tomorrow morning I will be speaking with one of the other ones who is also quite baffled that the City of Scranton and the Scranton Chamber of Commerce would even consider entertaining any sort of business with this individual considering the alleged track record he has.

You know, I was told, you know, read his resume. That, you know, people come here and there is tradition of denigrating

people. This has nothing to do with denigrating people, I think the problem is that we often time our elected leaders live in a delusional world and have a difficult time of grasping the concept of reality. This is a serious issue and rather than turning a blind eye to it, which is a tradition in this chamber by our council officials, turning a blind eye to important issues, perhaps, maybe you should take the time to review the resume like I did and you will find things like this.

We could talk about that he represented the Vatican and he had all of these accolades, that's beautiful. We are talking about the present day. We are talking about the business he is conducting in the city and the recommendations that he is giving us and the trak record he has and that you do have an obligation to look into it, and I'm not going to put this bed because I know that's what we want to have happen, but I'm going to continue to pursue it and the appropriate people will be held accountable because the games in this city

have to end.

In regards to the DCED grant, this takes us back to the end of last year before the 2014 operating budget was passed and as we can recall this administration and this current administration failed to take part in the budget process rather their objective was to use a few members that are currently on this council to rubber stamp this grant through in last year's budget to avoid having to come in and roll their sleeves up and do some hard work. And thanks to our former council president, Mrs. Evans, and our former Finance Chair, Mr. Joyce, they put a pretty staunch end to at the time.

Unfortunately, now, with the transition and everything else, you know, unfortunately, the grant was applied for and received, I believe \$290,000 roughly, I don't have the exact figure, but that's irrelevant, the bottom line is real simple there was no necessity to apply for this grant. I think that the taxpayers need to be made well aware of that. You know, we can talk about the use of a grant, in other

words, that's still your tax dollars and the truth of the matter is that in the second year of this grant you are paying one-third of that and the following year two-thirds of that and then moving forward you are paying all of it. The grant eventually expires, that's what happens with grant money.

But we are a city who consistently relies on grant money and I think it was Mrs. Schumacher who made a very valid argument at the public hearing on March 17, I believe it was in the newspaper she was quoted as saying, "Here we are again holding our little tin can begging for money like little beggars on the street corner."

And I just have to ask this council tonight when are we going to finally face the fact that we cannot continue to function and run our city on grant money? When are we going to finally turn our brains on, use some creativity and generate revenue consistently, a steady stream of revenue that we developed ourselves?

Mr. Jackowitz talked about tonight coming here for so many years bringing up

solutions and suggestions that we all turn a blind eye. I brought up ideas and impact fees, the 1 percent tuition tax on the University and create 6 to 8 million dollars easily but it fell on deaf ears, as a lot of other things do.

So my question tonight is are we ever going to see leadership and, if so, when? We need to solve of our own problems we need to do it now. Thank you.

MR. MORGAN: Good evening, Council.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MR. MORGAN: I brought a book with me today, isn't a shot at Mr. Rogan, but just in general I don't know if anybody would want to look at this or not, it kind of explains what a carpet bagger is and the whole terminology behind it, and really I think it's really important to realize what's happenings in this city because Scranton is like the south. It's just being overrun by people that are just picking the last little bit of what's left of our city.

I really think this council needs to work together, the five members, to file a

petition for bankruptcy. I really think that's really the only way to go. I had a great opportunity this week to be at the Single Tax Office paying my property taxes. The people there were standing in a long line absolutely beside themselves because they can't pay it. They are wondering what this council and what this mayor is thinking. There is people out there saying, "I voted for this guy. Where is his plan?"

This is taking place at the mall people are asking this question. They have no more money left. I really think that when you have a credit card bill and you can't pay your bill you hire an attorney and you file a bankruptcy. We are trying to find new revenue streams for money. We have already heard a discussion tonight of what most retirees retire on social security with.

My question is and 20 years from now when people in my generation, the baby boomers are dead, who is going to live in this city? Who is going to live in this city when the older people passed away that

are in their 70's and 80's now? Nobody. We are going to keep tearing houses down? Is that the solution to our problem? Detroit did that to a large section of their city. It really hasn't done very much for them.

And, you know, Mr. Jackowitz I appreciate the things that he said here tonight, but you know, I think is wrong about some of the things he said because the voters most certainly are responsible for everything that's happened here because they voted every single individual end of the mayor's office and the council for the history of this city, and if we wonder what happened in the Scranton School District the same thing happened.

This city is stuck in a situation where it has a total lack of ability to pick a leadership team. Leaders don't take a city into bankruptcy, or at least where we are financially and, you know, you don't close schools down and sell them off the way it's happened in this city. We have students without books in this city. How is it possible? Does that show any leadership

at all anywhere? I don't think it does.

It's just very critical for the people in this city to know one thing, they have a right to vote, they can vote for whoever they cared to vote for, but when situations like this arise they have to look at themselves.

I mean, at the Single Tax Office I was laughing, I was having the greatest time of all there because I have been coming to these council meetings for over 20 years and I know exactly what's taking place here.

Most of them didn't even understand what's going on. They thought Mr. Courtright was going to get elected, just walk in and cut all their taxes. That's what these people were saying to me. They can't pay anymore. They have no money. They are talking about sale of the property. Can we sell everybody's property in this city?

And then we talk with grant money,
look at, this city has survived on one grant
after another after another after another
and the fact of the matter is, you know,
when we asked how Mr. Amaroso came here it's

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very simple, this is a very, very desperate city that has no more straws left to grasp at because of that inability to pick elected leaders that had the ability to lead.

And, you know, to be real honest with you the most important person at this point in this city at this time is not the mayor, it's Mr. McGoff because he controls the stuff that comes through this council. He either introduces it or he doesn't. that's so what's so good, because no disrespect to you, Mr. McGoff, but you know what, you are an older man, you have got nothing to prove to anybody, okay? You are not tying to move up the ladder or down the ladder and you are an intelligent man and you know what? You are a person who is sitting in that seat who has the ability to make great changes to thi city, and that's what I believe and I think you are intelligent enough to do it.

Even though some people may disagree because they see to think of the history of some of the people that were on this council, but you know something? Some times

there is leaders around you just have to look for them.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Dave Dobrzyn.

MR. DOBRZYN: Good evening, Council,
Dave Dobrzyn, resident. Something just
popped into my mind listening to the other
speakers on these grants. Seeing as the
state constitution has saddled us with 33
percent tax exempt and the federal
government for the last three years has seen
fit to dry up the revenue sharing, maybe we
should get a couple of bigger grants for a
change. It's all on how they are spent
after that.

But basically they stick us with a situation and tell us what rotten people we are for not wanting to pay a bigger bill year in and year out and it's starting to really hit home. I agree with the other side of the equation that people are having a hard time paying their bills.

Last week, I mentioned utilities and Prospect Avenue came up, and there a massive water project on that and I do not feel that

19 20

2122

23

24

25

it is Scranton's responsibility to fix that I feel that it's time now that the spring has coming, let's not forget, who did the repairs on the water lines and I think they should pave our streets. The streets that they have worked on. There is a lot of work on Willow Street, there is a lot of work on Hickory Street, Prospect Avenue, and probably many other areas of town that I haven't traveled through recently and it's time that they kick in and use some of the money that they charge us every month for the utilities to repair the streets. not right for them to come in and a city inspection before and after might be warranted. They can take an average street that isn't too bad and turn it into a real mess.

On the Chamber last week, I
mentioned that nobody was fighting for
Scranton. Well, certainly you people are
from Scranton and I'm entirely convinced
that we have mutual interests and you are
fighting for Scranton, but it seems like a
lot of people have a business model that

entails a few years of tax free and then move onto the next tax free and that's one book I have read in the past was "Free Lunch" by David K. Johnston and that's what it's all about. The New York Yankees got \$650 million worth of New York prime real estate parks and so forth handed to them and that's just an example now. We can see that also locally, here. They come into town, they have a business model and we are hopeful that when the tax exemption is up they are going to stay and we are going to have a few tax dollars coming in and it doesn't work out that way. They move on it another tax exempt property and stay there for how many years that it's tax exempt.

And back during the election it came to light that a lot of people aren't paying trash fees and they are doing it with impunity, and I would like to see collection and liens against property at the very least, that would mitigate that they are unable to pay. If it's some poor old lady with \$800 a month coming in, if she could just leave the liens file up against her

house, but when the house gets sold in the end we could also have our money through attorneys and so forth and closing costs, ten years were owed in one case and that's too much and I am not convinced that that incident and people didn't have the money to pay. It might have been more like motorboat gas for like wonky poo-pooers or something, but it certainly wasn't that they didn't have -- they were living on \$800 a month.

And I'd like to mention once again please consider Steamtown. It was put here in 1992, I think it was conceived, and it was cut 10 percent in 2011, 10 percent in 2012, it was supposed to be a gem of the city. They no longer -- they are trying to get another steam engine running, they don't even have a steam engine running and their visitorship has been atrocious, I gave you the figures last week, so please consider a letter to congressmen so we can get some money in there and once again it's a tax exempt organization and it's supposed to be the gem of the town and there is a lot of money being lost because people aren't

coming in.

And one final thing, again, trade packs, we have a transpacific trade pack, we have the Keystone pipeline, the pipes are coming from India. Where are the jobs?

There are the jobs. And it's just ashame because the working man has gone to half of what they used to earn and please contact the congressmen and the Office of the President and tell them no more trade packs. Forget about it. We need jobs. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Anyone else care to address council? Mr. Sbaraglia?

MR. SBARAGLIA: Andy Sbaraglia, citizen of Scranton. Fellow Scrantonians, I'm going to say a little tail of two foreclosures, one at the mall and one at the Parking Authority. Both of them have cost the city many millions of dollars and they you should be screaming, but I didn't hear a word out of you.

Mr. Boscov as a partner in the mall associates, Mr. Boscov -- Boscov and the Mall Partners are two different entities.

It's the mall partners who caused the

foreclosure against or Boscov's serves on both places, he sort of comes in as a central figure.

Now, currently he had to make a big bond payment and decided not to. What do we think the mall isn't worth the cost of the bond payment or not I know not. What I do know is hoping to buy the mall back at considerable less than the bond payment.

And who is hurting this? The people of Scranton. If he pulls it off, he comes out smelling like a rose while we smell like stink weeds? But this is the way it goes in this city.

Now, let's take the other thing, the Scranton Parking Authority, that went into foreclosure, too, but you notice there was nobody pushing for a sale of the Parking Authority than the people who foreclosed.

Why? It's obvious why. They will make more money off the citizens of Scranton by keeping us paying through those than they would by trying to sell off the properties and this is what we are looking at.

Where we are now happened many, many

years ago with things going on and nobody paid attention to how many people were coming and using these parking garages. Mr. Boscov, as you know, was called in at the very beginning as a cornerstone of the mall project. I think the mall was something like \$100 and some million was poured into that. It's just the same that somebody would say now it isn't worth the price of the huge bond payment, but where are we going to with the loss?

We could have bought a whole fleet of police vehicles, maybe half the fire department could have gotten the vehicles with the money we lost to these two foreclosures.

I know you're hoping that you said that the TAN might be paid off in April, is that true? We are in line to pay of the TAN in April and I assume that's the reason why we are holding off on the money for the police and firemen so we get a better place saying we don't have the TAN to pay as well as this other bond issue we are going to have to pay.

When you got millions of dollars in debt, if you clear up some of them sometimes you can get a little better deal. If we paid off the TAN I assume we are going to get a little better deal on the 22 million or 30 million we got to borrow for the police and fire or I hope the Courts don't step in. You know, they did appeal to the Court to make us pay a dedicated amount like we did for the 22 million, another 22 million maybe -- I mean, 22 percent. That could be, too.

We didn't pay off our debt, we had something like 20 million or 21 million, so they went to the Courts and they got a special deal and they borrowed the money at 9 percent for this deal, and this is what was done to new people. I guess you must have known because it was in the papers. If you read the papers they said all of these things were printed and the facts was.

Now, why we were 22 million in debt at that time I have no way of knowing, but anyway, the bills weren't paid and now they said whatever, five or six million bills

24

25

ain't paid now. Something like that was in the paper again. We cannot take another 22 percent tax hike and that's probably what we are going to have to do, maybe even 30 percent, and you are going to be responsible for it. Whether you want to do it or not, you are going to -- the responsibility is in your hands when that tax hike comes up, and you know it's coming. All you got to do is -- well, you know it has to be because you got to pay off this 22 million and 7 million they said that the budget was under and the amount of unpaid bills, so what we got, 30 million or 30 million more that has to be paid. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Good evening.

Council. Marie Schumacher.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Good evening.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Start off tonight under Third Order, is somebody going to share that? Are we on track to get the audit on the time that it's contractually specified to be provided?

MR. MCGOFF: We received from Rossi

1 & Rossi the list of things that are needed. At this point in time, it's basically 2 3 everything, and that's all that we have. don't know if that's on track or I know that 4 5 they are working on, you know, the audit, but --6 7 MS. SCHUMACHER: Toward a delivery 8 on time this year? Okay. And then also, 9 will we be getting a -- Frank Joyce always 10 provided comparables on a monthly basis of the collections at the Single Tax Office, 11 12 will council still continue to do that? 13 MR. MCGOFF: We have not received 14 anything from the Single Tax Office to that effect. 15 16 MS. SCHUMACHER: Has it been 17 requested? 18 MR. MCGOFF: It probably would be a 19 good idea if it were requested that we could 20 have it. 21 MS. SCHUMACHER: I think that would be helpful to provide to us. 22 23 And then I noticed in the paper this 24 past week that South Abington Township has 25 gotten a new fire rating for their insurance

and their insurance rates are scheduled to go down by hundreds of dollars, and I'm wondering what is the rating for the City of Scranton?

MR. LOSCOMBE: We are a class four, also, and that's what South Abington just went to, a class four from six.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And our insurance,
I know there is issues down in the
Treasurer's Office, is that \$25,000
deductible per year or is that per incident?
I know that was discussed with council a
number of years ago.

MR. MCGOFF: I have no idea.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Could we find that out because if not that would certainly be something I'd like to know.

And then when Mr. Amaroso was here he said he was going to provide his Power Point presentation to -- hard copies to the council or maybe soft copies, I don't know, by the Internet, but do you have those? The Power Point presentation?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ \operatorname{MCGOFF}: Yeah, I do believe that we have that on file.$ 

MS. SCHUMACHER: Could you post that on the city council's website?

MR. MCGOFF: I believe that that would be possible.

MS. SCHUMACHER: In like real time pretty much? The sooner the better? I mean, there really are a lot of questions. I know during his presentation he talked about moving both the pension dollars and the 22 million judgment into the budget. Does that mean, and he didn't specify what he had in mind with respect to the \$22 million judgment, but he did say that he wants to dedicate a portion of the residential taxes, the property taxes, for the pension. Now, do we have any idea how much that would be a year?

You know, on one hand he said, you know, we have this much money coming in and if we don't have all of this debt everything would be roses, but then -- and then he also proceeded to chip away at that very same pot of money. I don't know if he even realizes that we have got two unfunded debt payments that are coming out of that each year for

nine more years or eight and nine, perhaps, and if we do that what are we going to have left to run the city? And will they be formal revenue bonds if he continues with that and it comes to you, would you approve revenue bonds for those two items?

MR. MCGOFF: I can't speculate on what Mr. Amaroso intended and I can't speculate on what we do as a council or what the administration would do with the recommendations. We have to wait until it actually happens.

MS. SCHUMACHER: When do you expect that would be?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, I don't know.

MS. SCHUMACHER: You know, again, he said -- if I can just finish with this one thought?

MR. MCGOFF: Please.

MS. SCHUMACHER: He also said that, you know, really the budget, this year's budget was off by a little bit. Now, remembering that last December the mayor said it was \$7 1/2 million I don't consider that a little bit, but he also said that was

being taken care of, I think the quote was by Dave, with expenses. What expenses has Mr. Bulzoni cut to make those adjustments in to match the revenues?

MR. MCGOFF: Again, I do not know.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Could we find out?

MR. MCGOFF: Those would be things that you would have to ask Mr. Bulzoni.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Right-to-Know.

Okay, another Right-to-Know. If it rains on Saturday I'll have extra time. Thank you very much.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Thank you.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, city resident. With regard to Mr. Amaroso, he billed his wishful presentation as a preliminary report just to give us a status of where he was. Is he planning a second appearance in front of the council?

MR. MCGOFF: There is nothing planned. We asked that when there was a final or even an update if they would, you know, come before council and they accepted. They said that they would do that.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Yes, and I believe

a lot of people would be very interested into seeing what he will say next, and I agree with Marie, we really need to have his Power Point slides posted to the city's website so that we can look at that information in detail. You can't just pull up the ECTV program and be able to read the slides. You really need that provided. If not, then make hard copies available.

And my second item is potholes. You may recall several weeks ago that the Colts bus system had to reroute two buses because the routes were so bad with potholes and the buses simply could not make it through. Is there any possibility that the city could give priority to those stretches of roads that the Colts bus could get back to its original route because that may have effected a lot of the citizens who depend on that service.

And, also, maybe the city should take a look at routes that emergency vehicles have to traverse to make sure that they can reach of the citizens and they can get to the three local hospitals. I know

money is tight, but perhaps we can maybe 1 2 prioritize the work as the spring -- God 3 willing there will be a spring. MR. MCGOFF: You are optimistic. 4 5 MS. HODOWANITZ: I don't know, hopefully there will be a spring and not 6 7 just now and then the fall, but I think that 8 would being good for the citizens and, you 9 know, you may have only a few dollars, but 10 when you have to reroute buses it says 11 something about the conditions of the road. 12 Thank you. MR. MCGOFF: Thank you, Mrs. 13 14 Hodowanitz. Anyone else who cares to address council? 15 16 Mrs. Reed? 17 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. 18 MOTIONS. MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Wechsler? 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, 21 Mr. President. Last week I attended a meeting at the South Scranton Crime Watch. 22 23 Earlier in the month they had done a walk 24 through South Scranton identifying many 25 different issues with blight and in the

lower South Side neighborhood.

Also at the meeting was city inspector, Patty Fowler was there, and she took the list that these citizens compiled and took it back to the city. She is a very capable person and gave a good report to the group as to which problems can be worked on which problems can't and I so that list has been taken to Pat Hinton for action.

This week Councilman Bill Gaughan and I, we took a tour of my neighborhood up in East Mountain just to take a look at some of the problems that have happened up in my neighborhood. The winter, like everywhere else, has been very tough on that area. A lot of the problems, like everywhere else, are potholes. We had a major reconstruction project on East Mountain Road in 2005 and right now this winter has caused that to be serious damage to that project that we did.

We took a look at a couple of other different things and Bill will have the list what we saw to give to DP Director Gallagher. The thing that we found most disturbing, we stopped at Engine 10, which

is a very important and required fire station for our neighborhood and for South Side, that station I think is going to celebrate it's 50th anniversary this year and if you go in there it would be like a little trip in a time machine. A lot of the equipment in there, the furnace and things like that are there from the original construction.

The roof currently is full of holes. In the summertime there is trees growing out of the roof, and I have spoken to Mayor Courtright and he is aware and Chief DeSarno is aware and we really do have to find a way to make some improvements at that fire station and closure is not an option. That firehouse has to stay open, so we are going to have make an investment in repairing it, including repairs to the engine there.

That's it, Mr. McGoff. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just two items. I just wanted to talk about Item 5-B and one other item. About a month or so ago a detective from the Scranton Police

Department contacted me following conducting a raid on local secondhand stores. Many of them you see the "We buy gold" signs where you can sell scrap, gold, jewelry, coins, things of that nature, and there was a city ordinance on the books and also state ordinances requiring that those companies show -- have ID present from the person selling the items to make sure it's not stolen, or if it is stolen so they can be tracked.

Unfortunately, there was a loophole in the city ordinance that didn't require identification so when this was brought to my attention I contacted Councilman Loscombe and our solicitor, Mr. Minora, Attorney Minora, and this was what was we came up with between council, the administration, the mayor and city solicitor Jason Shrive, with the ordinance it now requires a better identity to be shown. If the person doesn't have identification with them, a picture has to be taken. And this hopefully will crack down on the selling of the stolen goods in the City of Scranton.

It's bad enough if your home gets broken into, but it's even worse when our police see that it was stolen and the goods were sold to a shop in the city and then they can't be tracked. So hopefully this ordinance will crack down on the sale of any stolen goods to the secondhand stores within the city and, you know, something that solves a very widespread problem now that we see these by "We Buy Gold" stores going up everywhere.

Just five or six years ago there were only a couple of places where you could sell these type of items. Now you drive drown any major street in the area and you can see these type of stores, so this is something that will work to correct that problem so I'm looking forward to passing that.

And just an update, last week I spoke about the condition on Main Avenue, and I'm sure all of us on council continue to get calls and e-mails about it. I'd just like to reiterate that Main Avenue is a state road, it is not maintained by the

city, but our office did send a letter to

Penn DOT requesting that this road is milled

and paved and I will follow-up with PennDOT

and if not tomorrow the beginning of next

week to see where that request stands.

 $\label{eq:And that's all I have for tonight.}$  Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Loscombe?

MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes, thank you.

First, I, too, would like to wish Helen
Kravitz a very happy birthday. She is very
energetic, it's hard to believe that that's
her age. She's got more ambition than I do,
but God bless you, Helen, and many more.

Next I'll address 5-B. Mr. Rogan, I commend you for really pursuing that once you got the information and our solicitor followed up on it with some changes to the legislation. This is something I think will benefit everybody, and again, as Mr. Rogan and said, tighten the loophole on people getting away with selling stolen items. They will have to try and go out of the area and hopefully they will pick up on our ordinance. too.

And Mr. Wechsler and Mr. Gaughan as we discussed Engine 10 up there, that is a vital company for our city. Remember there was a closure several years ago and, fortunately, the East Mountain Neighborhood Association got together and fought to keep that open. I know the mayor is aware of the problems there and he discussed it with me on several occasions and he does want to get that, you know, spruced up and get the repairs done.

Again, it's just, you know, he is knew into the office, he hasn't had 90 days yet, so he is a definitely aware of that. He has, again, expressed his concern to me that this is a priority because the more the building deteriorates the more costly it would be to repair it down the road, so I do commend you guys for getting involved in that, also.

This past money we had a meeting here with a representative from Fiber, which is formerly StreetSmart. It was more of an informative meeting with our business administrator. Mr. McGoff was there, our

city clerk was there, just an update on the parking situation in Scranton, an update on the technology for the meter systems, and the business administrator at the current time, rightfully so, is concerned about the Pango agreement for credit card payments and the cost at this time to the city and the consumers on that program.

We were made aware of other companies that do offer the same programs so from what I understand it may be put out to bid in the very near future because I believe this contract runs out the end of April, if I'm not mistaken, and it will be provide hopefully through the bidding process, the RFP, and provide, you know, better options for the parkers in the downtown.

But I do have to say, and I'm sure my colleagues on this board, I think all of us are in meetings just about every day with different members of the administration. I think that's, you know, a breath of fresh air. We make a phone call, they make themselves available to us and I think just

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the last month I have more meetings with the administrative personnel than I have in the last -- well, in my time here four years.

And it isn't just a meeting, they do express an interest in what we have to say and it's a good educational process for all of us. I was at another meeting Tuesday regarding our alarm ordinances for burglary and fire alarm and, you know, there was a lot of discussion on that. We had met in the solicitor's office with the police chief, fire chief and such, so there is a lot going on behind the scenes. Everything can't be done overnight. I know there is a lot of frustration. Believe we, I get frustrated myself very easy and if something comes up with that I'm going to get frustrated about I'm going to say it, I'm going to yell about it.

So far, you know, I'm giving them the benefit of the doubt. It looks like everybody is in the administration is trying to do their best to do what they can for the people in this city. Again, it's very early

in the administration, but I see a lot of positive and, you know, I think I am more hopeful than I have ever been that we are on the road to, you know, getting this city back, back to the people, back to where it belongs, not hopeless.

Like I said, I don't think any one of us has ever been rebuffed from contacting one of the administrative personnel or even they go out of their way to find time to met us and, you know, I find that refreshing, and I hope that continues and as it goes now it's very good for all of us.

And again, at the meeting the other day our business administrator sat here for two hours. When I originally told him it might be a half hour meeting, he sat here for two hours and was very interested and took a lot of notes and a lot of information. In fact, it appears every one of our meetings when we are in caucus before we come out here, our business administrator is still in his office, he comes down and checks with us in our council office to see if we have any questions or concerns or

anything that he needs discussed with before he goes home.

So, you know, I'm hopeful, I think we are all hopeful. I think it's a breath of fresh air. Hopefully it will continue this way and please, you know, be patient. I know we have been patient for many years, but in my heart I feel confident that things are on the right path. We have to give things a chance and I'm happy to be here and to be part of it, and that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Just a few things. As Mr. Wechsler mentioned, we both were at the East Mountain neighborhood meeting this week. It was very good to go around East Mountain and see some of the problems that are going on up there, some of the concerns that those residents have, and I will be forwarding all of those concerns to our DPW director, Dennis Gallagher.

We did receive, council received correspondence this week from John Hazzouri, the pave cut inspector. Utility companies

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are going to be working in South Side on

North Scranton and West Side and they will

be paving towards the end of April in those

area, just to update everybody on that.

Just a concern that I had, this week Mayor Courtright was involved in an incident up in the Hill Section on parade day. Μy concern about the ride along issue is based on the questions regarding the city's legal exposure and liability in an incident like that. I did contact Attorney Shrive, our city solicitor this morning. I talked with him, he sent me some documents that may be helpful with some of the questions that I had about the city's legal exposure, our insurance policies, what kind of things that covers in the event that an elected official, if it was one of us, the mayor, anybody, were to be involved in an incident if they were riding along in a police vehicle, DPW truck, on the scene of a fire on a fire truck, whatever it may be.

So I was concerned about some of those issues, as I said, of legal exposure and what the liability would be, so I

haven't had a chance to review those documents yet as I just received them today, but I will be doing that.

And finally, a few weeks ago, I think three weeks ago, I actually -- March 13 I had sent a letter to the mayor concerning questions I had about business retention after we heard the news that two businesses will leave the city. And I just wanted to inform everyone that I did receive correspondence from the mayor's office and I'd like to read that now.

We received this March 21 and it said, "Dear Mrs. Reed, I am in receipt of your letter of March 13, 2014, wherein you forwarded questions from Councilman Bill Gaughan regarding business retention efforts.

Since that time, city solicitor,

Jason Shrive, Esquire, business

administrator, David A. Bulzoni and myself

have discussed the issues raised by

Councilman Gaughan with him to the

satisfaction of all parties.

Should Councilman Gaughan or any

other member of city council request any further information on this issue or any other issue, I will be happy to meet with them to discuss the same.

Sincerely, William L. Courtright,
Mayor."

I did have a back and forth through e-mail correspondence with our business administrator, Dave Bulzoni, and I do appreciate the response from the mayor's office. However, my intent here when I asked those questions on March 13 it wasn't just out of my own curiosity, I asked those questions and hoped that I would get a response that I could share with the public on what some -- what the plan was and what the city was doing.

As I said, I have talked to Dave
Bulzoni, but it's not just out of my own
curiosity. It's not just about me, those
were answers that I would hope I could share
with the public.

And that is all I have. Thank you.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you. Very briefly, as far as the mayor's involvement

3

1

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in an incident, I don't know what the legal or the liability issues are, but I know it has been a past practice of many administrations riding along in service vehicles, be they police cars or I know it's been a practice with many mayors during snow storms of going out with DPW and, you know, being involved in those operations, so I actually applaud, you know, anyone in the administration who would, you know, take the time to go out and see what it is like to do those jobs and the difficulty that is involved in, you know, fighting fires or in being a police officer, being a member of the DPW and I'm sure that everything that was done was within the bounds of legality and liability that we have.

Many of the issues that are being brought up are legitimate issues, be it street paving, you know, capital improvements in a firehouse, whatever. Realize that all of these are things that are dependent upon time and money and I keep reiterating that in a situation that we are in the city and with the budget that we have

things need to be prioritized and the administration and council is well aware of the problems that exist and are trying to address the problems that are of primary importance, be it paving certain streets or dealing with certain, you know, buildings and all. Believe me, we all share in the concerns that people have.

What we have to do, as others have said, be a little bit patient and hopefully we resolve these problems as we go along and as they appear, but it is -- as we look into some of these problems and some of these situations we talk about, you know, paving the streets. An estimate of cost to mill and pave one block is somewhere around \$20,000 and it requires things like curb cuts and drainage and all that price goes dramatically up and so it becomes a difficult proposition in determining what we can do and where we can do these things and when we can do them.

Hopefully we can resolve many of the problems that people have, but again, some patience and did you mention the street

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cleaning list?

No, I didn't. MR. GAUGHAN:

MR. MCGOFF: We did receive a list for street cleaning from DPW from Mr. Gallagher and we are going to request that that list be placed on the DPW -- on the city website and the DPW site so that people are aware of when the street cleanings will take place. They begin in April and there is a schedule all the way through August so it is pretty extensive and it looks as though it covers most of the city, if not all of the city, so if people are concerned, and we will keep you aware of the areas as they become pertinent, those areas that will be covered through the street cleaning.

And that is all. Thank you. please, Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Just to be clear, just to respond to your comments. I, too, applaud anyone that goes out and gets involved and sees what other city employees I'm not anything against any elected officials going out and doing that, my only

concern is just to reiterate what I said earlier is the legal liability that it may cause, and that's the whole concern I had and that's why I made a comment on that.

Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: The mayor is a full-time employee of the city, I don't see how there could be any legal ramification of it. If it was a member of the council there may be other issues because we are part-time employees, but he is a full-time employee of the city.

MR. GAUGHAN: But I just think we need clarification on that because I can't see how if an elected official would be involved in anyway in a police activity and in an investigation, in an incident there may be legal liability, I don't know, that's why I'm asking, and I just think we need clarification on that because I wouldn't want to see the city get sued or anything like that. That was my concern. That's why I brought that up.

MR. ROGAN: I certainly don't think that the city would be in any legal trouble

2

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from a mayor helping a police officer or if it was Joe average on the street helping a police officer in a tough situation.

MR. GAUGHAN: Well, I just think it's worth getting clarification. That's the only reason I asked.

MR. MCGOFF: Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF COUNCIL NO. 11, 1999, AS AMENDED, ENTITLED "AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 9, 1935, (AS AMENDED) AN ORDINANCE REGULATING THE LICENSING OF PURCHASERS OF SCRAP GOLD, OLD GOLD, SILVER, JEWELRY, CLOTHING AND OTHER VALUABLE ARTICLES, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATION THEREOF", BY ADDING ADDITIONAL TERMS, INCREASING THE LICENSING FEE, INCREASING THE PENALTIES OF VIOLATIONS THEREOF, PROVIDING FOR SUSPENSION OF LICENSES, AND MODERNIZING THE LANGUAGE THEREOF, AND INCORPORATING RULES AND REGULATIONS BY FURTHER MAKING AMENDMENTS TO INCREASE THE LICENSE FEE IN SECTION 2 FROM FIFTY DOLLARS (\$50.00) TO ONE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$100.00) PER FILE OF COUNCIL NO.

25

178 OF 1992 SECTION 1 CODIFIED IN CHAPTER 340 PEDDLING AND SOLICITING §340-13 (A) FEES AND AMENDING SECTION 4 (A) TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO BETTER IDENTIFY THE SELLER AND THE GOODS OR ARTICLE BEING SOLD AND SECTION 5 (A) TO INCREASE THE PENALTIES FOR NON COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE CODIFIED IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE IN CHAPTER 379 SECONDHAND GOODS AND DEALERS AT §379-4 (A) AND §379-5 (A) AND TO CHANGE THE DEPARTMENT NAME FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING. INSPECTIONS AND PERMITS THROUGHOUT THIS ORDINANCE.

MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

MR. ROGAN: So moved.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.

MR. MCGOFF: On the question?

MR. ROGAN: Yes, once again, I'd just like to thank Attorney Minora and Attorney Shrive and Mayor Courtright for their quick action on this. It's bad enough

if anyone is victimized in a crime, but certainly no business in this city should be making a profit off stolen merchandise and this will help stop that.

MR. MCGOFF: I would like to just add to that, a number of years ago our house was burglarized and the police went out to try and find some of the stolen materials and what they met with was they found some of the articles at the store, but yet there was no record of who had sold it to them. They had no record of it and so it was very difficult to track down where some of the other items may be and who had actually sold them to this pawn shop, and so that hopefully this will help save some other people that aggravation that we faced.

All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

1	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
2	RESOLUTION - ACCEPTING A CONTRIBUTION IN THE
3	AMOUNT OF \$500.00 RECEIVED BY THE CITY OF
4	SCRANTON FROM THE FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE,
5	E.B. JERMYN LODGE #2 FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY
6	MANPOWER INITIATIVE.
7	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-C be
9	introduced into its proper committee.
10	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question?
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'd just like to
14	thank the E.B. Jermyn Lodge No. 2 for their
15	donation.
16	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. All those in
17	favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
18	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
25	RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF AL BROCAVICH,

1	701 NEWTON ROAD, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA
2	18504, AS ALTERNATE NO. 3 MEMBER OF THE
3	SCRANTON HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD FOR A
4	TERM OF FIVE (5) YEARS TO COMMENCE ON MARCH
5	20, 2014. MR. BROCAVICH'S TERM WILL EXPIRE
6	ON NOVEMBER 24, 2019.
7	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
8	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
9	introduced into its proper committee.
10	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
11	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
12	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
13	those in favor of introduction signify by
14	saying aye.
15	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
19	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
20	ayes have it and so moved.
21	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
22	A RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF MARIO J.
23	BEVILACQUA, 713 ARCHBALD STREET, SCRANTON,
24	PENNSYLVANIA 18504, AS A MEMBER OF THE
25	HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD. MR.

1	BEVILACQUA WILL BE REPLACING MICHAEL TRAPPER
2	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR.
3	BEVILACQUA WILL BE APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5)
4	YEAR TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24,
5	2018.
6	MR. MCGOFF: At this time I'll
7	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
8	introduced into its proper committee.
9	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
10	MR. LOSCOMBE: Second.
11	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? All
12	those in favor of introduction signify by
13	saying aye.
14	MR. WECHSLER: Aye.
15	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
16	MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
18	MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The
19	ayes have it and so moved.
20	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. I'm sorry,
21	excuse me.
22	MR. ROGAN: I would like to make a
23	motion to table Item 7-E.
24	MR. MCGOFF: Do we have a second?
25	MR. LOSCOMBE: I'll second.

1 MR. ROGAN: This is the item that 2 Mr. McGoff mentioned at the beginning of the 3 meeting, the appointment of where -- or the 4 appointee that has withdrawn their 5 consideration. MR. MCGOFF: All those in favor of 6 7 tabling Item 7-E signify by saying aye. 8 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 9 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 10 MR. LOSCOMBE: Aye. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MS. MCGOFF: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO BUSINESS AT THIS TIME. 15 16 SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. F0R 17 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR 18 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 34, 2014 -APPOINTMENT OF THOMAS C. HORLACHER, 902 19 NORTH WEBSTER AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 20 21 18510, AS A MEMBER OF THE HOUSING APPEALS 22 REVIEW BOARD. MR. HORLACHER WILL BE REPLACING RICHARD LEONORI WHOSE TERM EXPIRED 23 24 NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR. HORLACHER WILL BE 25 APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM WHICH WILL

	<b> </b>
1	EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24, 2018.
2	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
3	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
4	passage of Item 7-A.
5	MR. ROGAN: Second.
6	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
7	call, please?
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
9	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
11	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
13	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
18	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
19	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION
20	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -
21	RESOLUTION NO. 35, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF
22	CHARLES WATONIS, 950 TAYLOR AVENUE,
23	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18510, AS A MEMBER OF
24	THE HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD. MR.
25	WATONIS WILL BE REPLACING MARIO SAVINELLI

1	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR.
2	WATONIS WILL BE APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR
3	TERM WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24, 2018.
4	MR. MCGOFF: As Chairperson for the
5	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
6	passage of Item 7-B.
7	MR. ROGAN: Second.
8	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
9	call, please?
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
15	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
18 19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff. MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
19	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
19 20	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare  Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
19 20 21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare  Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.  MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
19 20 21 22	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare  Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.  MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION  BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -

1	HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD. MR. SMURL
2	WILL BE REPLACING GENE TESEROVITCH WHOSE
3	TERM EXPIRED NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR. SMURL
4	WILL BE APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM
5	WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24, 2018.
6	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
7	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
8	passage of Item 7-C.
9	MR. ROGAN: Second.
10	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
11	call, please?
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
15	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
17	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
21	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -
25	RESOLUTION NO. 37, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF

1	MICHAEL BURKE, 74 SNOOK STREET, SCRANTON,
2	PENNSYLVANIA 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE
3	HOUSING APPEALS REVIEW BOARD. MR. BURKE
4	WILL BE REPLACING JOSEPH KAYES WHO REPLACED
5	ROBERT BUTCHKAVITZ UPON HIS RESIGNATION AS A
6	REGULAR MEMBER OF THE BOARD WHOSE TERM
7	EXPIRED NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR. BURKE WILL
8	BE APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM WHICH
9	WILL EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24, 2018.
10	MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
11	Committee on Rules, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-D.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll
15	call, please?
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
17	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
21	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
25	ll

1 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. 2 MS. REED: 7-E is tabled. 3 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE 4 COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -RESOLUTION NO. 39, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF 5 CHARLES DECKER, 430 CHARLES STREET, 6 7 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 18508, AS THE 8 ALTERNATE NO. 2 MEMBER OF THE HOUSING 9 APPEALS REVIEW BOARD. MR. DECKER WILL FILL THE NOW VACANT ALTERNATE NO. 2 POSITION 10 THAT WAS VACATED BY ROBERT JENSEN WHO WAS 11 ALTERNATE NO. 2 BUT BECAME ALTERNATE NO. 1 12 UPON JOSEPH KAYES REPLACEMENT OF ROBERT 13 14 BUTCHKAVITZ UPON HIS RESIGNATION AS A REGULAR MEMBER OF THE BOARD WHOSE TERM 15 16 EXPIRED NOVEMBER 24, 2013. MR. DECKER WILL 17 BE APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM WHICH 18 WILL EXPIRE ON NOVEMBER 24, 2018. MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the 19 Committee on Rules, I recommend final 20 21 passage of Item 7-F. 22 MR. ROGAN: Second. 23 MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll 24 call, please? 25 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.

/ 0
MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
MR. ROGAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted.
MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES -FOR ADOPTION -
RESOLUTION NO. 40, 2014 - APPOINTMENT OF
JOSEPH G. HEALEY, 2049 EDNA AVENUE,
SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18508, AS A MEMBER
OF THE BOARD OF THE SCRANTON REDEVELOPMENT
AUTHORITY. MR. HEALEY WILL BE REPLACING
WILLIAM LAZOR WHOSE TERM EXPIRES ON MARCH
19, 2014. MR. HEALEY'S TERM WILL EXPIRE
ON MARCH 19, 2019.
MR. MCGOFF: As Chair for the
Committee on Rules, I recommend final
passage of Item 7-G.
MR. ROGAN: Second.
MR. MCGOFF: On the question? Roll

ī	
	77
1	call, please?
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. MCGOFF: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
5	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Loscombe.
7	MR. LOSCOMBE: Yes.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McGoff.
11	MR. MCGOFF: Yes. I hereby declare
12	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
13	And I know all of council wishes all
14	of those who were approved for their
15	appointments this evening best of luck in
16	their new positions.
17	If there is no other business, a
18	motion to adjourn.
19	MR. LOSCOMBE: Motion to adjourn.
20	MR. MCGOFF: Meeting is adjourned.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

. .

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER